

ANL/TD/CP-- 88548  
CONF-960569--4

## Assessment of Erosion and Surface Tritium Inventory Issues for the ITER Divertor\*

J. N. Brooks<sup>1</sup>, R. Causey<sup>2</sup>, G. Federici<sup>3</sup>, D. N. Ruzic<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Argonne National Laboratory, 9700 S. Cass Ave., Argonne, IL 60439, USA

<sup>2</sup>Sandia National Laboratories, P.O. Box 969, Livermore, CA 94550, USA

<sup>3</sup>ITER JWS Garching Co-center, Boltzmannstrasse 2, 85748 Garching, Germany

<sup>4</sup>University of Illinois, 103 S. Goodwin Ave., Urbana, IL 61801, USA

RECEIVED  
JUL 23 1996  
OSTI

### Abstract

We analyzed sputtering erosion and tritium codeposition for the ITER vertical target divertor design using erosion and plasma codes (WBC/REDEP/DEGAS+) coupled to available materials data. Computations were made for a beryllium, carbon, and tungsten coated divertor plate, and for three edge plasma regimes. New data on tritium codeposition in beryllium was obtained with the TPE facility. This shows codeposited H/Be ratios of the order of 10% for surface temperatures  $\leq 300^\circ\text{C}$ , beryllium thereby being similar to carbon in this respect. Hydrocarbon transport calculations show significant loss (10-20%) of chemically sputtered carbon for detached conditions ( $T_e \approx 1\text{eV}$  at the divertor), compared to essentially no loss (100% redeposition) for higher temperature plasmas. Calculations also show a high, non-thermal, D-T molecular flux for detached conditions. Tritium codeposition rates for carbon are very high for detached conditions ( $\sim 20\text{g-T}/1000\text{ s discharge}$ ), due to buildup of chemically sputtered carbon on relatively cold surfaces of the divertor cassette. Codeposition is lower ( $\sim 10\text{X}$ ) for higher edge temperatures ( $\sim 8\text{-}30\text{eV}$ ) and is primarily due to divertor plate buildup of physically sputtered carbon. Peak net erosion rates for carbon are of order  $30\text{ cm}/\text{burn-yr}$ . Erosion and codeposition rates for beryllium are much lower than for carbon at detached conditions, but are similar to carbon for the higher temperatures. Both erosion and tritium codeposition are essentially nil for tungsten for the regimes studied.

### 1. Introduction

A recent paper [1] summarized initial work on surface related tritium issues for ITER. This paper extends the analysis, specifically for the divertor. In particular, the effect of different materials and edge plasma regimes, and the transport of chemically sputtered hydrocarbons for detached conditions has been examined. We have also obtained critically needed data for H/Be

\*U.S. work was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy.

**DISCLAIMER**

**Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.**

codeposition at elevated temperatures. This work is also, in part, a follow up to Ref. 2 which analyzed erosion for a gas-bag type ITER configuration. Since the plasma solutions available to date are still evolving, are partially inconsistent in assumptions with each other, and are speculative to various degrees, the intent of this analysis is on defining broad trends. More research will be needed to develop reliable design information for ITER, or any fusion tokamak.

## **2. Hydrogen/Beryllium Codeposition Experiment at TPE**

### **2.1. Procedure**

The Tritium Plasma Experiment (TPE) [3] was used for preliminary measurements of the amount of tritium retained in a sputter deposited beryllium film produced by the sputtering of beryllium with a hydrogen isotope plasma. A 2.54 cm radius beryllium disk was used as the sputter source. The 0.3 cm radius aluminum or copper catcher plate for the sputtered beryllium was located approximately 5 cm from the plane of the beryllium disk and 5 cm from the disk centerline. The miniature catcher plate was heated by a Varian™ heater. A small aluminum sleeve with an attached thermocouple was placed around the plate to hold it in place. The plasma used to generate the sputtered beryllium consisted of 90% deuterium and 10% tritium. The beryllium sample was biased to give the D and T ions an energy of 100 eV.

An experiment began with the heating of the catcher plate to the desired operating temperature before the ignition of the plasma. The plasma was started using pure deuterium. The beryllium disk was then biased to bring the average energy of the particles striking the disk to 100 eV. The plasma intensity was increased until the deuterium flux (primarily  $D_1^+$ ) on the beryllium sample was 1.1 A ( $3.3 \times 10^{21}$  D/m<sup>2</sup>-s). At this time, tritium was added to the plasma gas, and the one hour codeposition period "officially" began.

At the end of one hour, the source was turned off, and the sputter-coated sample was allowed to cool. This sample was then placed in a small furnace where the temperature was ramped linearly up to 1073 K. During the heating, gas consisting of 99% helium and 1% protium was swept over the sample. This gas with the collected tritium was sent first through an ionization chamber. Upon exiting the ionization chamber, 10% oxygen was added to the gas. The mixture was then sent over a copper oxide bed heated to approximately 823 K. The hydrogen isotopes were converted to water by this process and collected by glycol bubblers next in line. The amount of tritium released from the catcher plate was determined both by the calibrated ionization chamber and by scintillation counting of the glycol-water solution. The amount of tritium collected was multiplied by 10 to give the total amount of hydrogen isotopes retained in the sputtered beryllium film.

## 2.2 Results

Elastic recoil detection analysis (ERDA) was used to measure the amount of beryllium in the film collected on a copper catcher plate at 373 K (one sample was prepared with deuterium alone). Approximately  $6 \times 10^{16}$  Be/cm<sup>2</sup> was measured. The measurement at 573 K was performed using an aluminum catcher plate after it was noticed that an earlier experiment at that temperature with copper suggested interdiffusion of the beryllium and the copper. Two experiments were performed at 473 K, one with an aluminum catcher plate and one with a copper plate. The ratio of hydrogen isotope to beryllium in the samples was: 0.35 for 373 K; 0.18 and 0.14 for 473 K; and 0.03 for 573 K. While the retention in the copper catcher plate at 473 K was slightly greater than that for the aluminum plate, the difference was not considered significant.

The results presented here agree with the findings of Mayer et al.[4] where a 4.5 keV D<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup> beam was used to sputter beryllium onto a silicon catcher plate. In those experiments, a ratio of D/Be of 0.38 was measured at room temperature. Mayer et al. reported carbon to also exist in their layer at a level somewhat below that of the deuterium. In the present experiments, carbon was seen during the analysis, but only at trace levels. It can be concluded that carbon is not a controlling factor in these measurements. The results also agree with those of Wampler[5] where beryllium samples were saturated with 0.5 and 1.5 keV deuterons. The retention at saturation was 0.31 D/Be. Wampler's samples were annealed after saturation at 50 K intervals for 10 minutes at each interval. The retention versus temperature for his results agree very well with the values determined in this report for saturation at temperature.

It must be stressed that the results presented here are preliminary. These experiments are to be repeated with silicon used as the catcher plate. More complete analysis of the sputter deposited layer will be performed.

## 3. Computational Model for Erosion and Codeposition

We modeled the ITER vertical target divertor design [6]. This design has a solid divertor plate that receives most of the ion and heat flux, a louvered region opposite the plate surmounted by the dome which defines the private region, and several other surfaces e.g. cassette body liners. We focus on the outer divertor region and scale tritium results for the whole system, i.e. inner and outer divertors. We use as input a basic set of 2-dimensional D-T plasma parameters for the divertor region. The D-T ion flux to the plate is given by  $\Gamma_i = N_i C_s \sin(\theta)$ , for sound speed  $C_s$ , ion density  $N_i$ , and magnetic field-to-surface angle  $\theta = 1.5^\circ$ . The DEGAS+ code[7] is then used to compute atomic and molecular hydrogen flux (H mass = 2.5AMU) to the divertor, for the detached regime, but not at present for the other regimes. The WBC[8] and REDEP[9-10] codes

are then used to compute sputtering and the resultant impurity transport. The sheath model of Ref.[8] is used in all cases. Transport back to the plate, if any, is followed in detail, but transport to the other surfaces is computed in a simplified manner for this study.

Parameters for a detached plasma solution were taken from the (preliminary) work of Kukushkin [11]. This shows plasma temperatures of  $T_e \approx T_i \approx 1-1.5\text{eV}$ , and electron densities of  $N_e \approx 10^{19} - 10^{21}\text{m}^{-3}$  along the divertor plate. "Radiative" regime parameters are taken from the work of Schneider et al. [12] for a ~1% neon/D-T plasma. This shows a substantial reduction of convected heat load to the plate compared to a high recycle solution, fairly low plasma temperatures, but not full detachment. Finally, a "high-recycle" plasma was defined using the parametric model of [10], with a peak heat load of  $8\text{ MW/m}^2$  (probably lower than obtainable), peak sheath temp. of  $T_e = T_i = 30\text{ eV}$ , and temp. and density e-folding parameters  $\delta_t = .03\text{m}$ ,  $\delta_n = .04\text{m}$ . It should be noted that these solutions inconsistently differ in important respects such as input power to the scrape off layer, midplane density, and treatment of neutrals.

The plate surface temp. is computed as a function of material coating and heat flux, for the ITER water cooled design. Hydrogen trapping fractions for carbon are given by the surface temperature dependent model of Ref.[13]. H/Be fractions are given by the above TPE results, scaled linearly for 100-300°C and scaled two ways for >300°C (1: same as 300°C value, i.e. 3%H/Be, and 2: linearly reduced).

Ref. [14] gives details of chemically sputtered hydrocarbon transport models used in the WBC code. A key update is the inclusion of elastic collisions between hydrocarbons and D-T ions and neutrals. Briefly, thermal methane is launched. Carbon/hydrocarbon particles collide with the plasma through elastic and inelastic collisions, and undergo Lorentz force motion. The 34 reactions of Ref.[15] are followed. Redeposited particles stick or are reemitted according to the model of Ref.[14], such model tending to be confirmed by recent data [16].

## 4. Results

### 4.1. Carbon Coating- Detached Regime

The most striking result of the DEGAS+ solution is a high molecular flux, or order 10X the ion flux, and with energies in the 3 to 5 eV range. These are due to thermal molecules that charge exchange with the faster flowing D-T ions producing a fast flowing molecule which scatters off the field line and strikes the surface. This does not happen at higher plasma temperatures because the molecules are rapidly dissociated by electrons and so do not remain intact. In contrast to the

molecules, the predicted ratio of atom to ion flux, i.e.  $\sim 0.5$ , is similar to other regimes. It should be noted that the effect of the high molecular flux on the ion parameters, if any, has not been self-consistently computed.

Carbon erosion in this regime occurs due to the so-called "room temperature" type chemical sputtering, with essentially no physical sputtering. Hydrogen ion impingement energies are of the order of 5-10eV (depending on the precise  $T_e$ , sheath potential, and flow velocity). Hydrogen atom energies are of order 5eV. There is no chemical sputtering coefficient data for these low energies. Chemical sputtering mechanisms have been recently assessed by Roth and Garcia-Rosales [17]. Based on the theory of [17] we have used a computational value of  $Y_{\text{chem}} = 0.01$  for the D-T ions and atoms. This is much lower than the value of  $\sim 0.05$  observed at higher energies, e.g. Ref. [17-18], but is based on consideration of possible threshold effects. Non-thermal molecular sputtering coefficients are highly uncertain. We have used a range of values for this study with a "reference" value of  $Y_{\text{H}_2\text{-C}} = 0.001$ .

The WBC results show that about 10 to 20%, depending on plate location, of chemically sputtered particles are not locally redeposited, i.e. on the divertor plate, but instead travel completely across the divertor region to the plenum and other surfaces. A typical reaction sequence for escaping particles is as follows:  $\text{CH}_4 \rightarrow \text{CH}_4^+ \rightarrow \text{CH}_3 \rightarrow \text{CH}_3^+ \rightarrow \text{CH}_2 \rightarrow \text{CH}_2^+ \rightarrow \text{CH} \rightarrow \text{CH}^+ \rightarrow \text{C}$ . The carbon atom so formed generally undergoes no further reaction due to the low plasma temperatures in most of the divertor region. (The reactions occurring are primarily electron recombination and proton ionization, but not electron ionization). The carbon atom then redeposits or escapes, depending on its velocity at formation and on subsequent elastic collisions.

The net erosion profile for carbon is shown in fig. 1. (An 80cm wide segment along the target is shown, with the distance parameter going from top to bottom.) Table 1 summarizes results for this and other cases. Due to the high loss fractions net erosion is always positive along the divertor vertical plate - growth of carbon occurs on other surfaces. The gross erosion rate is about 10 times higher than the net - this raises concerns about the properties (thermal, mechanical, etc.) of the redeposited material. The tritium codeposition rate was computed based on the computed carbon growth rate and a surface temperature of 150°C for the "cold" surfaces. The resulting codeposition of 21gT for a 1000s ITER pulse is very high. Both erosion and tritium codeposition vary substantially with the molecular chemical sputtering coefficient.

#### 4.2 Beryllium Coating- Detached Regime

A key finding for this regime is that any beryllium atoms that are sputtered do not locally redeposit - due to the very low rate coefficient for electron impact ionization. This contrasts with the 90-100% redeposition rate typical of higher temperature regimes. The critical issue is whether there is any sputtering. Low energy data is lacking. Both hydrogen and helium impingement energies are below commonly computed sputtering thresholds, but this concept is based on binary collision theory. Molecular-dynamic considerations give rise to the possibility of non-zero sputtering for impinging particle energies above the surface binding energy. A particular issue is sputtering by the ~10% content helium ions. Trace impurities such as oxygen (order of 0.1%) and radiating impurities (e.g. neon) may also sputter. The impinging helium energy depends on the charge state (via sheath acceleration), plasma flow entrainment, and exact plasma temperature. These are not well specified at present by the plasma solutions. A rough estimate leads to a plausible helium impingement energy range of 5-15eV, with incidence at ~60° from the normal. The binary-collision VFTRIM code [19] was used to calculate the He-Be sputtering yield for these conditions. The yield range is 0 to  $9 \times 10^{-4}$ . Using this, possibly lower bound, yield range gives the erosion and tritium rates shown in Table 1. Both rates are much lower than for carbon but tritium codeposition may still be significant.

#### 4.3 Higher Temperature Regimes

Figs. 2-3 show the net erosion profiles for carbon and beryllium for the radiative regime. Their behavior is qualitatively similar and shows a complex pattern of erosion/growth on the plate. The oscillatory nature is due to small variations in the plasma parameters at the plate, for the solution employed. The gross erosion profiles (not shown) are much smoother, net erosion being a fine balance between high sputtering and redeposition fluxes. Most net carbon erosion is due to physical and not chemical sputtering in this regime, although the latter is still important at lower plasma temperature areas along the divertor plate. An important point for both materials is that net growth tends to occur on *colder* regions of the plate, as well as the colder non-plate regions. There is a general transfer of material from top to bottom along the plate - as follows from the ~15° angle of the poloidal field. For these reasons the resulting tritium retention tends to be relatively insensitive to peak heat loads. Results for tungsten show small gross erosion due only to a trace (~0.1%) oxygen content, and very low net erosion due to nearly 100% local redeposition.

As summarized in Table 1, the results for the high recycling regime are qualitatively similar to the radiative regime, for the low-Z materials. The key difference from previous analysis, e.g. Ref. 8, is the prediction of significant tritium codeposition for beryllium. This, of course, follows from using the new TPE data. Tungsten erosion increases in this regime but is still very low.

Tritium codeposition for tungsten is essentially zero, within computational error, due to very little growth, and such growth only occurring in high heat flux/high surface temp. regions of the divertor plate.

## **5. Discussion**

Implications for the performance and safety of the ITER device resulting from the tritium codeposition inventory and plate erosion lifetime for most of the C and Be cases, are large and would need counteracting measures. In particular, we would need reliable and efficient cleaning methods that would periodically remove tritium from the codeposited layers (either adhering onto the PFC surfaces or in the form of flakes possibly collecting on the bottom of the divertor cassette). Helium-oxygen glow discharge cleaning has been used with some success to remove surface tritium in existing machines. However, the rather low cleaning efficiency anticipated for ITER conditions and the resulting oxygen contamination of the plasma facing surfaces raises concerns for subsequent plasma operation. Alternative cleaning techniques and various other solutions need investigation.

## **6. Conclusions**

This work has identified several trends which can help guide material selection and operating regimes for a fusion reactor. For a carbon divertor plate coating, the use a low but not detached plasma edge regime can minimize sputtering erosion and tritium codeposition. Use of carbon in a detached regime would appear to raise serious concerns about surface tritium inventories. Beryllium is much better than carbon at detached conditions but not for other regimes. Tungsten is far superior, in the respects analyzed. Considerable uncertainties apply to many of these findings. Major uncertainties include the effect of oxygen on beryllium codeposition properties, low energy physical and chemical sputtering coefficients, and the transport of helium ions and hydrogen molecules for detached conditions. Data and analysis is needed in these areas as well as analysis of tritium codeposition from other plasma facing components.

---

## **Acknowledgment**

We would like to thank R. Turkot Jr. (UIUC) for performing He-Be VFTRIM calculations.



## References

- [1] G. Federici et al., "Preliminary Assessment of the Tritium Inventory and Permeation in the PFC's of ITER" Fus. Tech. to be published.
- [2] J. N. Brooks, D.N. Ruzic, D.B. Hayden, and R.B. Turkot Jr., J. Nuc. Mat. 220-222(1995)269.
- [3] R. A. Causey, D. Buchenauer, W. Harbin, D. Taylor, and R. Anderl, Fus. Tech. 28, No. 3 (1995) 1144.
- [4] M. Mayer, R. Behrisch, H. Plank, J. Roth, G. Dollinger, and C.M. Frey, J. Nuc. Mater. to be published.
- [5] W. R. Wampler, J. Nuc. Mater. 122&123 (1984) 1598.
- [6] K.J. Dietz et al., Fus. Eng. Design 27 (1995) 96.
- [7] D.N. Ruzic, Phys. Fluids B 5 (1993) 3140.
- [8] J. N. Brooks, Phys. Fluids 8 (1990) 1858.
- [9] J. N. Brooks, Nuc. Tech./Fusion 4 (1983) 33.
- [10] J. N. Brooks, Fus. Tech. 18 (1990) 239.
- [11] A. Kukushkin, personal communication (1996).
- [12] R. Schneider et al. ISPP, Theory of Fusion Plasmas, E. Sindoni et al. eds., SIF, Bologna (1994)273. also D. Coster, pers. comm. (1995).
- [13] J. N. Brooks, H.F. Dylla, A.E. Pontau, and K.L. Wilson, Fus. Tech. 19 (1991) 1095.
- [14] J. N. Brooks, ANL/FPP/TM-259, Argonne National Laboratory (1992).
- [15] A. B. Ehrhardt and W.D. Langer, PPPL-2477 (1987).
- [16] W. Wang, W. Eckstein, R. Schwörer, H. Plank, and J. Roth, J. Nuc. Mat. 220-222(1995)1033.
- [17] J. Roth and C. Garcia-Rosales, "Analytic Description of the Chemical Erosion of Graphite by Hydrogen Ions", Nuc. Fus. to be published.
- [18] W. Poschenrieder et al., J. Nuc. Mat. 220-222 (1995) 36.
- [19] D. N. Ruzic, Nucl. Instr. and Meth. B 47 (1990) 118.

## DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

Table 1 Summary of ITER Divertor Erosion and Tritium Codeposition Analysis.

| plasma regime<br>(peak $T_e$ at<br>divertor ) | divertor<br>coating | Peak gross<br>erosion rate,<br>cm/burn-yr | Peak net<br>erosion rate,<br>cm/burn-yr | Tritium co-<br>deposition<br>rate <sup>a</sup> , g-<br>T/1000 s-<br>discharge | Primary<br>location of<br>codeposition |
|---|---------------------|---|---|---|--|
| detached<br>(~1.5 eV)                         | carbon              | 242<br>(161-963) <sup>b</sup>             | 27<br>(14-119) <sup>b</sup>             | 21<br>(12-106) <sup>b</sup>   | non-plate <sup>c</sup>                 |
|   | beryllium           | (0-1) <sup>d</sup>                        | (0-1) <sup>d</sup>                      | (0-0.4) <sup>d</sup>  | non-plate                              |
| radiative<br>(~ 8 eV)                         | carbon              | 758                                       | 18                                      | 1.3   | plate                                  |
|   | beryllium           | 689                                       | 32                                      | (2.0-4.0) <sup>e</sup>  | non-plate                              |
|   | tungsten            | 0.5                                       | <0.01                                   | ~0  | -----                                  |
| high-recycle<br>(~30 eV)                      | carbon              | 1090                                      | 46                                      | 2.6   | plate                                  |
|   | beryllium           | 1500                                      | 59                                      | 3.0   | non-plate                              |
|   | tungsten            | 5   | <0.1                                    | ~0  | -----                                  |

a) total for inner and outer divertor system (does not include any tritium trapping due to first wall, limiter, etc. erosion)

b) range of values computed for possible variations in D-T molecule chemical sputt. coeff., range shown is for  $Y_{H_2-C} = 0-0.01$

c) plenum region, dump plate, etc.

d) highly uncertain due to lack of data on He-Be low energy, oblique incidence, sputtering coeff.; range shown is for  $Y_{He-Be} = 0 - 0.001$

e) uncertain due to lack of data on all sput. coefficients at low energy, and trapping with surface temp for above 300C; range show is for span of calculations.




Fig. 1 Net erosion profile for carbon divertor surface, detached plasma regime.




Fig. 2 Net erosion profile for carbon divertor surface, radiative plasma regime.




Fig. 3 Net erosion profile for beryllium divertor surface, radiative plasma regime.