CONF-950846--61

MASTER

UCRL-JC-121758 PREPRINT

Crystal Structure of Actinide Metals at High Compression

Lars Fast Per Soderlind

This was prepared for submittal to the American Physical Society Topical Conference Seattle, Washington August 13-18, 1995



DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor the University of California nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or the University of California, and shall not be used for advertising or product endorsement purposes.

DISCLAIMER

Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.

CRYSTAL STRUCTURE OF ACTINIDE METALS AT HIGH COMPRESSION

Lars Fast

Condensed Matter Theory Group, Physics Department, Uppsala University, Box 530, Sweden

Per Söderlind

Physics Department, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, CA 94550

The crystal structures of some light actinide metals are studied theoretically as a function of applied pressure. The first principles electronic structure theory is formulated in the framework of density functional theory, with the gradient corrected local density approximation of the exchange-correlation functional.

The light actinide metals are shown to be well described as itinerant (metallic) f-electron metals and generally, they display a crystal structure which have, in agreement

with previous theoretical suggestions, increasing degree of symmetry and closed-packing upon compression. The theoretical calculations agree well with available experimental data. At very high compression, the theory predicts closed-packed structures such as the fcc or the hcp structures or the nearly closed-packed bcc structure for the light actinide metals. A simple canonical band picture is presented to explain in which particular closed-packed form these metals will crystallize at ultra-high pressure.

INTRODUCTION

The light actinide metals, 90Th-94Pu, have cohesive properties that resemble the *d*-transition elements, with the difference that it is the 5f electrons rather than the d electrons that determine the cohesion. The equilibrium volume, bulk modulus, and cohesive energy behave similarly for these metals, and the light actinides are understood to be part of a f-transition series. However, the crystal structures for the *d*-transition and *f*-transition metals are very different. The d-transition metals crystallize in the closed-packed structures fcc and hcp or the nearly closed-packed bcc structure, all with a high degree of symmetry. These structures are in sharp contrast to the anomalous crystal structures that the early actinides prefer, which are open/complex with very low symmetry. Clearly this behavior is dictated by the bonding properties of the 5f electrons in these metals, but a good understanding of the mechanism involved

has only recently been presented (1). As an accurate description of this mechanism has evolved, a better understanding of the high pressure phases of the actinides has been possible.

In the following sections we present our computational technique, the symmetry breaking mechanism that stabilize the light actinides in their crystal structures at ambient conditions, and a simple canonical band model, which can be used to understand the crystal structures for these metals at high compression.

COMPUTATIONAL DETAILS

The electronic structure method used to calculate the total energy for the actinides is based on the density functional theory (2). Usually a local approximation of the exchange-correlation energyfunctional is used, but we have also taken into account the gradient of the charge density in our calculations (3), (4). The theory is implemented with

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED MASTER

the linear muffin-tin orbital method for a full potential (FP-LMTO) (5). With this accurate approach, we have been able to calculate the total energy for the actinides in their highly distorted crystal structures. This would not have been possible with methods that rely upon spherical approximations of the electron charge density and/or potential.

Our full potential technique requires that the crystal is divided into non-overlapping muffin-tin spheres surrounded by an interstitial region. The muffin-tin spheres and the interstitual region are then treated differently; Inside the muffin-tin spheres the basis functions are expanded in muffin-tin orbitals (6) and the basis set consists of 6s, 6p, 7s, 7p, 6d, and 5f partial waves for which a separate set of energy parameters are used for the two first, lower lying, semi-core states. Two or three tail functions with different kinetic energies κ^2 are attached to each basis function, i.e., a "double" or "triple" basis is used. The radial part of these functions outside the muffin-tin spheres is a solution to Helmholtz equation, i.e., Neumann or Hankel functions (depending on the sign of κ^2) whereas the angular part is a spherical harmonic function. These functions are represented in a Fourier series in the interstitial region. The special k-point method is used in the k-point sampling within the Brillouin zone, with a gaussian smearing of 20 mRy for each k-point.

RESULTS

The first actinide metal, with an appreciable 5f occupation is thorium. At equilibrium conditions the number of 5f electrons are relatively small, but as the hydrostatic pressure increases, the 5f population increases (7) and consequently a distorted (bct) structure is found experimentally at about 75 GPa (8). This fcc-bct transition has been studied earlier and theoretically (4) the c/a value of the bct structure, as a function of applied pressure, is in a very good agreement with experiment (8). As Th is compressed further, we predict another crystallographic transition from bct to the hcp structure at approximately 8 Å³, see Fig.1. For the hcp structure the c/a ratio is close to the ideal value, in the volume interval examined.

For the rest of the light actinides, Pa-Pu, one already at ambient conditions encounter distorted

structures due to their substantial 5f-band occupation. In fact, as we proceed from Pa to Pu, the 5f-band filling increases with about one electron per



FIGURE 1 Total energy for Th in the hcp structure relative to the energy of the bct structure, as function of volume.

element and the crystal structures display a pronounced increase of complexity. We have chosen here to study one of these metals more in detail, neptunium. Neptunium has one of the most complex crystal structures of the metals in the periodic table. In agreement with experiment, the ground-state crystal structure of Np is calculated (9) to be a simple orthorhombic structure with 8 atoms/unit cell (α -Np). Theoretically, a subsequent transition to the somewhat less complicated β -Np structure (orthorhombic with 4 atoms /cell), has been found (9). At even higher pressures neptunium transforms to the bcc crystal structure, see Fig.2.



FIGURE 2 Total energy for Np in the α -Np, β -Np and bct structure relative to the energy of the bcc structure, as function of volume.

DISCUSSION

In the present report we focus on two actinide metals, namely thorium and neptunium. They serve as representatives for metals in the light actinide series, as regards their crystal structure behavior as a function of applied pressure. For Th, which have a relatively small number of 5f electrons at ambient conditions, we have to apply a hydrostatic pressure (and increase the 5f population) before we can make use of the general arguments for the crystal structure behavior we are to present. The other light actinides (Pa-Pu) have sufficiently large 5f occupation for the 5f band to become the most dominating band at ambient pressure.

As we have already mentioned, the crystal structures become open/complex (low symmetry) for the metals where the f band dominates the bonding (compressed Th, Pa, U, Np, and Pu). The reason for this behavior has been unclear until recently (1) when a link between the simple metals, the *d*-transition metals and the *f*-transition metals were discovered, namely the bandwidth of the dominating band. Hence, by manipulating the bandwidth in the calculations (by changing the lattice parameter) one could obtain both complicated structures (as in the actinides) or simple structures (as in the *d*-transition series) for all these different types of metals. The argument was (1) that for narrow bands close to the Fermi level $(E_{\rm E})$, the system could lower the total energy by lowering the symmetry of the crystal. Hence, degeneracies in the one-electron energies due to symmetry requirements of the crystal could be lifted so that weight is shifted from higher to lower energies in the electron density of states (DOS). This mechanism was referred to as a Peierles distortion (1) and was used to explain why the light actinides crystallize in low symmetry structures.

This argument is most easily demonstrated for neptunium metal, even though it is generally valid for all the light actinides. In Fig.3. we illustrate the Peierles distortion by showing the calculated 5f and 6d DOS for Np for β -Np (left) and bcc (right) structures for a volume close to the equilibrium volume (lower) and a compressed volume (upper). First notice that the 6d DOS is very low and featureless in the vicinity of the Fermi level, and that it is the 5f and not the 6d DOS that is dominating at higher energies. Notice also in the lower panel, that the 5f DOS at the E_F is much higher for bcc than it is for β -Np. This is so since in the bcc structure the symmetry of the crystal leads to degenerate levels close to E_F . However, for β -Np the symmetry is much lower and many of these degeneracies disappear, and the 5f DOS at E_F is consequently lowered.





This symmetry breaking mechanism (Peierles distortion) lowers the one-electron contribution to the total energy and overcomes the increased electrostatic energy associated with the lowering of the symmetry of the structure. The balance between the one-electron energy and the electrostatic energy leads to that B-Np is favored over the bcc structure at the larger volume. When the metal is compressed the f bands (together with all other bands) are broadened. The effect of this is obvious in Fig.3 (upper panel) where the difference in the DOS at the E_F has essentially vanished between the B-Np and bcc structure. Hence, for this volume, the Peierles distortion is no longer an efficient way to lower the one-electron energy and Np transforms to the bcc phase at this pressure due to the lower electrostatic energy of this structure. This transformation, B-Np to bcc, is particularly interesting since one can define (approximately) a transformation path depending only on one internal parameter of the crystal structure. Hence it is possible to study this transformation in detail, as a function of pressure (9).

Another interesting question is of course why Np adopts the bcc structure at high compression. Nothing in the discussion so far has indicated that the bcc structure should be favored over, for instance, the fcc structure. Next, we will try to explain this with help of a canonical band picture for the f bands. The following argument is much in the spirit of the explanation for the crystal structures of the d-transition metals, for which Skriver (10) used a canonical band description to analyze the observed hcp-bcc-hcp-fcc structural sequence through the (non-magnetic) d-transition metals. The canonical bands (11) are independent of volume and potential, and canonical in the sense that they are material independent which makes them very suitable to use for investigating band filling effects upon, for example, the crystal structure. Since they do not account for the electrostatic energy but only for the band (one-electron) energy they can only be used to compare crystal structures with a similar electrostatic energy, such as the fcc, hcp, and the bcc structures.



Figure 4 Canonical *f*-band energy differences (arbitrary units) as a function of *f*-band occupation. The fcc canonical energy defines the zero energy level of the plot. Equilibrium *f*-band occupations for the light actinides (Th-Pu) are also shown.

In Fig.4 we show the canonical f-band energy as a function of f-band filling. For simplicity we plot energies relative to the fcc structure energy. Notice that for Np (which has about four f electrons) the canonical energy is substantially lower for bcc than for both the hcp and fcc structures. We argue that this is the reason to that Np under compression eventually (when the 5f band is sufficiently broad) crystallize in the bcc structure. Furthermore, this explains why all the calculated low temperature phases for Np are more (α -Np) or less (β -Np) distorted bcc structures. The canonical band model can also be applied for other light actinides, such as Th for example. Figure 4 suggests that Th will

transform to the hcp structure at ultra-high pressure, which our present electronic structure calculations indeed confirm. For Pa the model also predicts the hcp structure to be stable at high pressures whereas for U, Np, and Pu the bcc structure seems to be favored at large compression according to the canonical band theory.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful to Olle Eriksson, Börje Johansson and A.K. McMahan for valuable discussions. Work performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy by the Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory under contract number W-7405-ENG-48.

REFERENCES

- P. Söderlind, O. Eriksson, B. Johansson, J.M. Wills, and A.M. Boring, Nature, 374, 524-525 (1995).
- W. Kohn and L. Sham, Phys. Rev. B 140, A1133-A1138 (1965).
- J.P. Perdew, J.A. Chevary, S.H. Vosko, K.A. Jackson M.R. Pederson, and D.J. Singh, Phys. Rev. B 46, 6671-6687 (1992).
- P. Söderlind, O. Eriksson, B. Johansson and J.M. Wills, (Accepted for publication in Phys. Rev. B).
- J.M. Wills (unpublished); J.M. Wills and B.R. Cooper, Phys. Rev. B 36, 3809-3823 (1987); D.L. Price and B.R. Cooper, Phys. Rev. B 39, 4945-4957 (1989); J. Trygg, Thesis, Uppsala University, (1995).
- 6. O.K. Andersen, Phys. Rev. B 12, 3060-3083 (1975).
- O. Eriksson, P. Söderlind, and J.M. Wills, Phys. Rev. B 45, 12588-12591 (1992).
- Y.K. Vohra and J. Akella, High Pressure Research, 10, 681-685 (1992).
- 9. P. Söderlind, B. Johansson, and O. Eriksson Phys. Rev. B 52, xxxx (1995).
- 10. H.L. Skriver, Phys. Rev. B 31, 1909-1923 (1985).
- 11. H.L. Skriver, *The LMTO Method*, (Springer, Berlin, 1984).

٠