Thermochemical and Theoretical Studies of Dimethylpyridine-2,6-dicarboxylate and Pyridine-2,3-, Pyridine-2,5-, and Pyridine-2,6-dicarboxylic Acids

M. Agostinha R. Matos,^{*,†} Victor M. F. Morais,[‡] Maria D. M. C. Ribeiro da Silva,[†] Marta C. F. Marques,[†] Emanuel A. Sousa,[†] Jorge P. Castiñeiras,[†] Cláudia P. Santos,[†] and W. E. Acree, Jr.[§]

Centro de Investigação em Química, Departamento de Química, Faculdade de Ciências da Universidade do Porto, Rua do Campo Alegre, 687, P-4169-007 Porto, Portugal, Instituto de Ciências Biomédicas Abel Salazar, ICBAS, Universidade do Porto, P-4099-003 Porto, Portugal, and Department of Chemistry, University of North Texas, Denton, TX 76203-5070

The standard ($p^{\circ} = 0.1$ MPa) molar enthalpies of formation for gaseous pyridine-2,5-dicarboxylic acid, pyridine-2,6-dicarboxylic acid, and dimethylpyridine-2,6-dicarboxylate were derived from the standard molar enthalpies of combustion, in oxygen, at T = 298.15 K, measured by static bomb combustion calorimetry, and the standard molar enthalpies of sublimation, at 298.15 K, measured by Calvet microcalorimetry: pyridine-2,5-dicarboxylic acid, $-(580.6 \pm 5.0)$ kJ·mol⁻¹; pyridine-2,6-dicarboxylic acid, $-(608.0 \pm 6.1)$ kJ·mol⁻¹; dimethylpyridine-2,6-dicarboxylate, $-(562.4 \pm 4.2)$ kJ·mol⁻¹. The enthalpy of formation for crystalline pyridine-2,3-dicarboxylic acid was also derived from combustion calorimetry measurements as $-(733.1 \pm 2.0)$ kJ·mol⁻¹. In addition, theoretical calculations using the density functional theory and the B3LYP/6-311G^{**} hybrid exchange-correlation energy functional have been performed for these molecules in order to obtain the most stable geometries and to access their relative stabilities. The good correlation obtained between experimental and theoretical results allowed the estimation of the enthalpy of sublimation for pyridine-2,3-dicarboxylic acid.

Introduction

The study of the thermochemical parameters of heterocyclic molecules, namely, pyridines,^{1,2} bipyridines,³ quinolines,⁴ diazines,⁵ and benzodiazines,⁶ has been a continuing interest in our laboratory. Besides, we have been looking for the energetic effect on the dissociation enthalpy of the (N-O) bond due to substituents on the ring of the nitrogen heterocycle *N*-oxides.⁷ It is our aim to enlarge the thermochemical data basis of these kinds of compounds as well as to provide credible correlations between structure and energetics in order to predict the thermochemical parameters for other compounds whose experimental study is impossible due to limitations of time to study the vast number of new compounds and/or to the impossibility to obtain pure samples of the compounds in the needed quantity.

In the present work, we report the standard molar enthalpies of formation of crystalline pyridine-2,3-dicarboxylic acid, pyridine-2,5-dicarboxylic acid, pyridine-2, 6-dicarboxylic acid, and dimethylpyridine-2,6-dicarboxylate, which were obtained from measurements of the combustion energies of the crystalline species using a static bomb calorimeter. We report also the values of the enthalpies of sublimation of pyridine-2,5- and pyridine-2,6dicarboxylic acids and dimethyl-pyridine-2,6-dicarboxylate, measured by Calvet microcalorimetry; these experimental results together with those obtained from combustion calorimetry allow the calculation of the values for the gaseous standard molar enthalpies of formation for the corresponding compounds. In addition, theoretical calculations using the density functional theory and the B3LYP/ 6-311G** hybrid exchange-correlation energy functional have been performed for these molecules in order to obtain the most stable geometries and to access their relative stabilities.

Experimental Section

Materials. The crystalline dimethyl-2,6-pyridinedicarboxylate [5453-67-8], 2,6-Py(COOMe)₂, was purchased from Aldrich Chemical Co. and was purified by repeated vacuum sublimation.

The sample pyridine-2,3-dicarboxylic acid [89-00-9], 2, 3-Py(COOH)₂, was purchased from Aldrich Chemical Co., >99.7%, and it was used without any treatment, as it suffers decomposition upon heating.

The pyridine-2,5-dicarboxylic acid [100-26-5], 2,5-Py- $(COOH)_2$, was prepared by potassium permanganate oxidation of 5-acetyl-2-methylpyridine, as described by Binns et al.⁸ The sample was further purified by three crystallizations from water. The melting point of the purified sample was within 1 K of the published literature value. From elemental analysis, it was found for mass fractions: C, 0.5022; H, 0.0309; N, 0.0831, while the calculated values are C, 0.5031; H, 0.0302; N, 0.0838. The sample was submitted to further purification by repeated vacuum sublimation.

 $[\]ensuremath{^*}\xspace{To}$ whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: marmatos@fc.up.pt.

[†] Centro de Investigação em Química, Universidade do Porto.

[‡] ICBAS, Universidade do Porto.

[§] University of North Texas.

Pyridine-2,6-dicarboxylic acid [499-83-2], 2,6-Py(COOH)₂, was a sample purchased from Aldrich Chemical Co. and purified by three crystallizations from water and repeated vacuum sublimation.

The purity of the compounds was assessed by differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) and confirmed by the average ratios of the mass of carbon dioxide recovered after combustion to that calculated from the mass of sample. For the studied compounds, the obtained ratios were the following: 2,6-Py(COOMe)₂, (1.0005 ± 0.0006) ; 2,3-Py-(COOH)₂, (1.0003 ± 0.0008) ; 2,5-Py(COOH)₂, (0.9982 ± 0.0005) ; and 2,6-Py(COOH)₂, (0.9997 ± 0.0007) , where the uncertainties are the standard deviation of the mean.

Calorimetric Measurements. The combustion experiments for 2,6-Py(COOMe)₂ and 2,6-Py(COOH)₂ were performed with a static bomb calorimeter (twin valve bomb, type 1108, Parr Instrument Company); the apparatus and technique have been described.^{9,10} Benzoic acid (Bureau of Analysed Samples, Thermochemical Standard, BCS-CRM-190n) was used for calibration of the bomb. Its massic energy of combustion is $-(26\ 432.3\ \pm\ 3.8)\ J\cdot g^{-1}$, under certificate conditions. The calibration results were corrected to give the energy equivalent ϵ (calor) corresponding to the average mass of water added to the calorimeter: 3119.6 g. From six calibration experiments, ϵ (calor) = (16 007.3 \pm 0.7) $J \cdot K^{-1}$, where the uncertainty quoted is the standard deviation of the mean. For all experiments, ignition was made at $T = (298.150 \pm 0.001)$ K. Combustion experiments were performed in oxygen at p = 3.04 MPa, with 1.00 cm³ of water added to the bomb. The electrical energy for ignition was determined from the change in potential difference across a capacitor when discharged through the platinum ignition wire. For the cotton-thread fuse, empirical formula $CH_{1.686}O_{0.843}$, $\Delta_c u^\circ = -16\ 250\ J\cdot g^{-1.11}$ The corrections for nitric acid formation were based on -59.7 kJ·mol⁻¹,¹² for a molar energy of formation of 0.1 mol·dm⁻³ HNO₃(aq) from N₂, O₂, and H₂O(l).

The measurements for the energy of combustion of pyridine-2,3-dicarboxylic acid were also performed in the static combustion equipment previously described; however, a different twin valve bomb (Parr Instrument Company type, 1105) was used. From 10 calibration experiments with benzoic acid (BDH Thermochemical Standard, batch 693976/01, having a massic energy of combustion under standard bomb conditions of $-(26 \ 435.1 \pm 3.5) \ J \cdot g^{-1}$), the energy equivalent of the calorimeter, $\epsilon(\text{calor}) = (15 \ 908.7 \pm 1.3) \ J \cdot \text{K}^{-1}$, was determined. To have a complete reaction, *n*-hexadecane [$\Delta_c u^\circ = -(47 \ 161.9 \pm 3.2) \ J \cdot \text{g}^{-1}$] was used as an auxiliary in the combustion experiments.

The energy of combustion of pyridine-2,5-dicarboxylic acid was also determined with an isoperibol static bomb calorimeter, with a twin valve bomb of internal volume of 0.290 dm³, that has been used formerly at the National Physical Laboratory, Teddington,¹³ and in Manchester.¹⁴ This calorimeter has been transferred from Manchester to Porto and was used mainly as previously described but with a few changes in technique because of the different auxiliary equipment used.¹⁵ The electrical energy for ignition was determined from the change in potential across a 1400 μ F condenser on discharge through the platinum ignition wire. The calorimeter temperature was measured to $\pm 10^{-4}$ K with an S10 four-wire calibrated ultrastable thermistor probe (Thermometrics, standard serial no. 1030) and recorded by a high sensitivity nanovolt/ microohm meter (Agilent 34420 A) interfaced to a microcomputer programmed to compute the adiabatic temperature change. The calorimetric system was calibrated using benzoic acid (BDH Thermochemical Standard, batch 693976/ 01) having a massic energy of combustion under standard bomb conditions of $-(26\ 435.1\pm 3.5)\ J\cdot g^{-1}$. The calibration results were corrected to give the energy equivalent ϵ (calor) corresponding to an average mass of water added to the calorimeter of 2900.0 g. One set of seven calibration experiments was made in oxygen at p = 3.04 MPa, with $1.00\ cm^3$ of water added to the bomb, leading to an energy equivalent of the calorimeter of ϵ (calor) = (15 551.6 \pm 2.6) $J\cdot K^{-1}$, where the uncertainty quoted is the standard deviation of the mean. As it was very difficult to purify pyridine-2,5-dicarboxylic acid and the amount of sample available was small, *n*-hexadecane, $\Delta_c u^\circ = -(47\ 164.3\pm$ $3.6)\ J\cdot g^{-1}$, was used as an auxiliary in the combustion experiments, to get an appropriate temperature change.

The amount of substance used in each experiment was determined from the total mass of carbon dioxide produced after allowance for that formed from the cotton-thread fuse and auxiliary of combustion. The densities at T = 298.15 K for the compounds were estimated: dimethylpyridine-2,6-dicarboxylate, $\rho = 1.2$; pyridine-2,6-dicarboxylic acid, $\rho = 0.94$ (taken as similar to the pyridine-2, 4-dicarboxylic acid density¹⁶); pyridine-2,5-dicarboxylic acid, $\rho = 1.0$; and pyridine-2,3-dicarboxylic acid, $\rho = 1.2$. An estimated pressure coefficient of massic energy of $(\partial u/\partial p)_T = -0.2 \text{ J} \cdot \text{g}^{-1} \cdot \text{MPa}^{-1}$ at T = 298.15 K, a typical value for most organic compounds, was assumed. For each compound, the massic energy of combustion $\Delta_c u^{\circ}$ was calculated by the procedure given by Hubbard et al.¹⁷

The standard molar enthalpies of sublimation for pyridine-2,5- and pyridine-2,6-dicarboxylic acids and dimethylpyridine-2,6-dicarboxylate were measured by Calvet microcalorimetry, using the "vacuum sublimation" drop method,¹⁸ on a SETARAM HT 1000 apparatus. From the observed enthalpies of sublimation, the standard molar enthalpies of sublimation, at T = 298.15 K, were calculated using $\Delta_{298.15}^T KH^{\circ}_{\rm m}$ estimated by a group method based on the values of Stull et al.¹⁹

The microcalorimeter was calibrated in situ for these measurements by making use of the reported molar enthalpy of sublimation, at T=298.15 K, of naphthalene, $C_{10}H_8$: (72.51 ± 0.01) kJ·mol⁻¹.²⁰

It has been impossible to select a convenient temperature to measure the enthalpy of sublimation of pyridine-2, 3-dicarboxylic acid, since the compound decomposes upon heating, as is described in the literature.^{21,22}

Results

Table 1 lists the results for a typical combustion experiment on each compound; $\Delta m(H_2O)$ is the deviation of the mass of water added to the calorimeter from 3119.6 g or 2900.0 g, with the mass assigned for ϵ (calor) depending of the apparatus used, ΔU_{Σ} is the correction to the standard state, and the remaining terms are as previously described.¹⁷ As samples were ignited at T = 298.15 K, $\Delta U(\text{I.B.P.}) = -\{\epsilon(\text{calor}) + c_{\text{p}}(\text{H}_2\text{O}, \textbf{l})\Delta m(\text{H}_2\text{O}) + \epsilon_{\text{f}}\}\Delta T_{\text{ad}} + c_{\text{p}}(\text{H}_2\text{O}, \textbf{l})\Delta m(\text{H}_2\text{O}) + c_{\text{f}}(\text{H}_2\text{O}) + c_{\text{f}}(\text{H}_2\text{O})$ $\Delta U(\mathrm{ign}),$ where ΔT_{ad} is the calorimeter temperature change corrected for heat exchange and the work of stirring. The individual values of $-\Delta_{\rm c} u^{\circ}$, together with the mean and its standard deviation, are given in Table 2. Table 3 lists the derived standard molar energies and enthalpies of combustion and the standard molar enthalpies of formation for the compounds in the condensed state, at T =298.15 K. In accordance with normal thermochemical practice, the uncertainties assigned to the standard molar enthalpies of combustion and formation are twice the overall standard deviation of the mean and include the

| Table 1. | Typical Con | abustion Experi | iments for D | imethylpyridine- | 2,6-dicarboxylate | and Pyridine-2,3-, | Pyridine-2,5-, and |
|----------|----------------|--------------------|----------------------------|------------------|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Pyridin | e-2,6-dicarbox | xylic Acids at T | $' = 298.15 \text{ K}^{a}$ | | | | |

| | $2,6$ -Py(COOMe) $_2$ | $2,3$ -Py(COOH) $_2$ | $2,5$ -Py(COOH) $_2$ | $2,6-Py(COOH)_2$ |
|---|-----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| $m (\rm CO_2)/g$ | 1.88852 | 1.70258 | 1.67655 | $2.264\ 44$ |
| m'(cpd)/g | $0.928\ 21$ | $0.717\ 30$ | $0.522\ 25$ | $1.225\ 81$ |
| m''(fuse)/g | 0.002 97 | $0.003\ 21$ | 0.004 03 | 0.002 96 |
| $m^{\prime\prime\prime}(n-\text{hexadecane})$ | | 0.120 63 | $0.227\ 18$ | |
| $\Delta T_{ m ad}/ m K$ | 1.239 13 | 1.101 78 | $1.243\ 05$ | 1.255~76 |
| $\epsilon_{\text{calor}} / (J \cdot K^{-1})$ | 16 007.3 | 15 908.3 | $15\ 551.6$ | 16 007.3 |
| $\epsilon_{\rm f}/({ m J}\cdot{ m K}^{-1})$ | 16.28 | 16.10 | 16.22 | 16.19 |
| $\Delta m(H_2O)/g$ | 0.0 | -0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $-\Delta U(I.B.P.)/J$ | 19 854.71 | $17\ 544.08$ | 19 350.43 | 20 120.63 |
| $-\Delta U(\text{ign})/\text{J}$ | 0.59 | 1.20 | 1.21 | 1.03 |
| $-\Delta U(HNO_3)/J$ | 33.91 | 29.23 | 25.29 | 40.48 |
| $-\Delta U(n-hexadecane)/J$ | | 5689.11 | 10 728.07 | |
| $-\Delta U(\text{fuse})/\text{J}$ | 48.23 | 52.13 | 65.45 | 48.07 |
| $\Delta U_{\Sigma}/\mathrm{J}$ | 17.06 | 15.11 | 12.66 | 24.02 |
| $-\Delta_{\rm c} u^{\circ}/({\rm J.g^{-1}})$ | $21\ 283.45$ | 16 392.62 | 16 311.99 | 16 322.32 |

^{*a*} m'(cpd) is the mass of compound burnt in the experiment; m''(fuse) is the mass of fuse (cotton) used in the experiment; m'''(n-hexadecane)is the mass of *n*-hexadecane burnt in the experiment; ΔT_{ad} is the corrected temperature rise; ϵ_{calor} is the energy equivalent of the calorimeter; ϵ_i is the energy equivalent of bomb contents in the initial state; $\Delta m(\text{H}_2\text{O})$ is the deviation of the mass of water added to the calorimeter from the mass used for calibration; $\Delta U(\text{I.B.P.})$ is the energy change for the isothermal combustion reaction under actual bomb conditions; $\Delta U(\text{I.B.P.})$ includes the ignition energy, $\Delta U(\text{ign})$; $\Delta U(\text{HNO}_3)$ is the energy of combustion for the nitric acid formation; $\Delta U(n\text{-hexadecane})$ is the energy of combustion of the *n*-hexadecane; $\Delta U(\text{fuse})$ is the energy of combustion of the fuse (cotton); ΔU_{Σ} is the energy correction to the standard state; and $\Delta_c u^{\circ}$ is the standard massic energy of combustion.

Table 2. Individual Values of the Massic Energy of Combustion $\Delta_c u^\circ$ for Dimethylpyridine-2,6-dicarboxylate and Pyridine-2,3-, Pyridine-2,5-, and Pyridine-2,6-dicarboxylic Acids at T = 298.15 K

| • | • | | |
|----------------------------|---|----------------------|-------------------|
| 2,6-Py(COOMe) ₂ | $2,3-Py(COOH)_2$ | $2,5$ -Py(COOH) $_2$ | $2,6-Py(COOH)_2$ |
| | $-\Delta_{\rm c} u^{\circ}/$ | $(J \cdot g^{-1})$ | |
| $21\ 265.63$ | 16 391.48 | $16\ 311.99$ | $16\ 319.67$ |
| $21\ 283.45$ | 16 388.01 | $16\ 293.67$ | $16\ 322.32$ |
| $21\ 282.13$ | 16 385.09 | 16 352.91 | 16 301.49 |
| $21\ 281.52$ | 16 396.97 | $16\ 308.15$ | 16 314.60 |
| $21\ 272.60$ | 16 399.39 | $16\ 351.13$ | $16\ 308.41$ |
| $21\ 284.67$ | $16\ 376.04$ | | $16\ 342.89$ |
| | 16 392.62 | | |
| | $-\langle \Delta_{\rm c} u^{\circ} \rangle^{a}$ | $u/(J \cdot g^{-1})$ | |
| 21278.3 ± 3.1 | 16389.9 ± 3.2 | 16323.6 ± 12.0 | 16318.2 ± 5.8 |

^a Mean value and standard deviation of the mean.

Table 3. Derived Standard ($p^{\circ} = 0.1$ MPa) Molar Energies of Combustion $\Delta_{c}U_{m}^{\circ}$, Standard Molar Enthalpies of Combustion $\Delta_{c}H_{m}^{\circ}$, and Standard Molar Enthalpies of Formation $\Delta_{c}H_{m}^{\circ}$ for Dimethylpyridine-2,6-dicarboxylate and Pyridine-2,3-, Pyridine-2,5-, and Pyridine-2,6-dicarboxylic Acids at

 2728.0 ± 4.1

 2727.1 ± 2.0

 $2,5-Py(COOH)_2$

2,6-Py(COOH)₂

uncertainties in calibration and in the auxiliary quantities used. To derive $\Delta_f H_m^{\circ}$ from $\Delta_c H_m^{\circ}$, the standard molar enthalpies of formation (H₂O(l), -(285.83 ± 0.04) kJ·mol⁻¹; CO₂(g), -(393.51 ± 0.13) kJ·mol⁻¹) were used.²³

 2724.9 ± 4.1

 2724.0 ± 2.0

 744.2 ± 4.2

 745.1 ± 2.2

Table 4 presents the values, determined from six experiments, for the observed enthalpies of sublimation, the estimated corrections for temperature, as well as the standard molar enthalpies of sublimation, at 298.15 K. Table 5 lists the derived standard molar enthalpies of formation for the compounds in the gaseous state, at T = 298.15 K.

Theoretical Calculations. The most stable conformations of all pyridinemonocarboxylic and -dicarboxylic acids and of dimethylpyridine-2,6-dicarboxylate were obtained from density functional theory (DFT) calculations based on the Becke three-parameter hybrid exchange²⁴ and the Lee– Yang–Parr²⁵ correlation density functional (B3LYP) with Pople's split-valence 6-31G* extended basis set.^{26,27} We report in Table 6 the relevant geometrical parameters thus obtained (see Figure 1 for the atom numbering).

Harmonic vibrational frequencies were then calculated at the optimum conformations which, after being scaled by the appropriate factors of 0.9613,²⁸ were used to obtain estimates of the thermal factors, at T = 298 K, properly corrected for the anharmonicity.

More accurate energies were also obtained from singlepoint calculations using second-order Møller–Plesset perturbation theory (MP2) with the 6-31G* basis set and using density functional theory with the 6-311G** extended basis set.^{26,27,29}

The total molecular energies obtained from all calculations as well as the corresponding thermal corrections are collected in Table 7. All calculations were performed at the most stable B3LYP/6-31G* geometries using the U.K. version of GAMESS.^{30,31}

Discussion

Most Stable Conformations. The most stable conformations of the studied systems should result from a compromise between several conflicting interactions which occur involving the pyridinic aromatic ring and the π electron acceptor -COOH substituents. Indeed, in the absence of other interactions, the allowance of extended π electron delocalization between the aromatic ring and the substituents leads us to expect that the mutual coplanar orientation of those fragments should contribute to stabilize the molecular systems. On the other hand, for the isomers substituted at contiguous sites (2,3- and 3,4-), sterical repulsions arise between the close substituents opposing the above stabilizing tendency, thus favoring the nonplanarity of one or both substituents. It is the balancing of these opposing tendencies that should finally determine the optimum conformation. In addition, for 2- and 6-substituted isomers, there is also the possibility of the occurrence of hydrogen-bond-type interactions, O-H···N, which further stabilize these molecules and, in pyridine-2,3- and pyridine-3,4-dicarboxylic acids, a further O-H···O hydro-

Table 4. Standard ($p^{\circ} = 0.1$ MPa) Molar Enthalpies of Sublimation $\Delta_{cr}^{g,T}H_{m}^{\circ}$, Determined by Microcalorimetry at Various Temperatures *T* for Dimethylpyridine-2,6-dicarboxylate and Pyridine-2,5- and Pyridine-2,6-pyridinedicarboxylic Acids

| | no. of expts | $\frac{T}{K}$ | $\frac{\Delta_{\rm cr}^{g,T} H_{\rm m}^{\circ}}{\rm kJ{\cdot}mol^{-1}}$ | $\frac{\Delta_{298.15\text{ K}}^{T}H_{\mathrm{m}}^{\circ}}{\mathrm{kJ}\boldsymbol{\cdot}\mathrm{mol}^{-1}}$ | $\frac{\Delta^g_{\rm cr} H^{\rm o}_{\rm m}(298.15~{\rm K})}{{\rm kJ}{\cdot}{\rm mol}^{-1}}$ |
|---|--------------|-------------------|--|---|---|
| $\begin{array}{c} 2,6\text{-}\mathrm{Py}(\mathrm{COOMe})_2\\ 2,5\text{-}\mathrm{Py}(\mathrm{COOH})_2\\ 2,6\text{-}\mathrm{Py}(\mathrm{COOH})_2 \end{array}$ | 7 6 6 | 385 492 494 | $\begin{array}{c} 130.0\pm 3.8\\ 194.4\pm 2.7\\ 168.2\pm 5.7\end{array}$ | $16.5 \\ 30.8 \\ 31.1$ | $\begin{array}{c} 113.5\pm3.8\\ 163.6\pm2.7\\ 137.1\pm5.7\end{array}$ |

Table 5. Derived Standard ($p^{\circ} = 0.1$ MPa) Molar Enthalpies of Formation $\Delta_{f}H_{\rm m}^{\circ}$ of Dimethylpyridine-2,6-dicarboxylate and Pyridine-2,5and Pyridine-2,6-dicarboxylic Acids at T = 298.15 K

| | $\Delta_{\mathrm{f}} H^{o}_{\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{cr})$ | $\Delta^g_{ m cr} H^{ullet}_{ m m}$ | $\Delta_{\rm f} H^{\rm o}_{\rm m}({ m g})$ | |
|----------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| | $kJ \cdot mol^{-1}$ | $kJ\cdot mol^{-1}$ | $kJ\cdot mol^{-1}$ | |
| 2,6-Py(COOMe) ₂ | -675.9 ± 1.8 | 113.5 ± 3.8 | -562.4 ± 4.2 | |
| 2,5-Py(COOH) 2 | -744.2 ± 4.2 | 163.6 ± 2.7 | -580.6 ± 5.0 | |
| 2,6-Py(COOH) 2 | -745.1 ± 2.2 | 137.1 ± 5.7 | -608.0 ± 6.1 | |

gen-bond-type interaction between both substituents can come into play in the stabilization process. The occurrence of the last H-bond-type interaction depends crucially on the coplanarity or near coplanarity of both substituents, thus opposing the tendency resulting from the mutual steric repulsions.

The net result of all the mentioned interactions is the coplanarity between the -COOH substituents and the pyridinic ring for all the studied systems, with the exception of pyridine-3,4-dicarboxylic acid. For the 2- and 6-substituted isomers, the hydrogen atom of the ortho carboxyl group is found to be oriented cis relative to the nitrogen atom of the ring, thus allowing the occurrence of $O-H \cdot \cdot \cdot N$ hydrogen-bond-type stabilizing interactions.

In addition, a further H-bond-type stabilizing interaction, involving both -COOH substituents, is found to occur for the isomers with contiguous substituents. The occurrence of this interaction creates a second closed ring of atoms for pyridine-2,3- and pyridine-3,4-dicarboxylic acid isomers, thus qualifying itself as a resonance assisted H-bond (RAHB),^{32,33} which is one of the strongest H-bond-type interactions.^{32,33} The qualification of these O-H···O interactions as RAHBs can be supported by the observed equalization of the bond lengths in the second ring of pyridine-2,3- and pyridine-3,4-dicarboxylic acid isomers when compared with the corresponding bond lengths in the remaining isomers.

Indeed, the O-H and C=O bond lengths in pyridine-2,3-dicarboxylic acid (0.999 Å and 1.222 Å, respectively) and in pyridine-3,4-dicarboxylic acid (0.991 Å and 1.226 Å, respectively) show a substantial increase over the corresponding average values of 0.980 Å and 1.212 Å found for the remaining disubstituted isomers, while the C-O bond length (1.321 Å and 1.327 Å, respectively, for the 2,3- and 3,4-isomers) evidences a corresponding decrease over the average value (1.345 Å) of the remaining disubstituted isomers. Also, the $(O)H \cdot \cdot \cdot O$ distance amounts to 1.582 Å (2,3-) and 1.625 Å (3,4-) as compared to the average bond length of similar intramolecular H-bonds in the methoxyphenol isomers³⁴ (2.079 Å), while the O–O distance displays very short values of 2.574 Å and 2.591 Å for the 2.3- and 3.4-isomers. Thus, the proton involved in this interaction tends to occupy a more central and symmetric position relative to the hydrogen bond in pyridine-2,3- and pyridine-3,4-dicarboxylic acids.

In addition, more detailed insight into the nature of this $O-H \cdot \cdot O$ interaction can be obtained from a topological analysis of the electron density obtained from the B3LYP/ 6-31G* wave functions using the theory of atoms in

molecules (AIM).^{35–37} In this way, a bond line is found for each H-bond-type interaction, with the electron density at the corresponding bond critical point being much larger for the O–H···O interactions in pyridine-2,3- and pyridine-3,4-dicarboxylic acids (0.0616 and 0.0547 au, respectively) as compared with the corresponding values found for the similar O–H···O interactions in ortho-substituted methoxyphenols³⁴ whose average values amount to a mere 0.0214 au.

More detailed insight of the individual orbital interactions involved in the stabilization of those systems can be obtained by conducting a natural bonding orbital (NBO)³⁸⁻⁴⁰ study of the corresponding wave functions. This analysis reveals that the delocalization energy, obtained by suppression of all interactions between the filled natural bonding orbitals (NBOs) and the corresponding antibonding NBOs in the Fock matrix, is much larger by \sim 125–250 kJ·mol⁻¹ for pyridine-2,3-dicarboxylic acid and pyridine-3,4-dicarboxylic acid than for the remaining systems. This large increase in the delocalization energy results mainly from the interactions between the two close substituents, as can be asserted from similar calculations on the conformers with the relevant O-H bond rotated by 180° about the C–O bond (this conformation suppresses the O-H· · · O interaction and is called the open conformation). These calculations give delocalization energies for the pyridine-2,3-dicarboxylic and pyridine-3,4-dicarboxylic acids which are now about the same magnitude as for the remaining systems or even slightly lower, in the case of pyridine-3,4-dicarboxylic acid. Additionally, inspection of the individual orbital interactions through the second-order perturbation theory analysis of Fock matrix in the framework of the NBO method enabled us to verify that for the conformers which have the $O-H \cdot \cdot \cdot O$ hydrogen bond the interactions involving the lone electronic pair of the proton acceptor oxygen atom and the antibonding O-H orbital of the proton donor group assume a very important role, while such interactions have no measurable effect for the open conformers.

We can thus suppose that the occurrence of the second hydrogen-bond-type interaction in the pyridine-2,3- and pyridine-3,4-dicarboxylic acid isomers, allowing extended cyclic electron delocalization across the second ring, is the main factor which preserves their stability, which otherwise will be seriously decreased by the sterical repulsion between the close substituents. In the case of pyridine-3,



Figure 1. Atom numbering for pyridinedicarboxylic acids.

Table 6. Calculated B3LYP/6-31G* Bond Lengths (Å), Bond Angles (deg), and Dihedral Angles (deg) (See Figure 1 for Atom Numbering)^a

| | R_{1-2} | R_{1-6} | $R_{ m r-10}$ | $R_{ m r-14}$ | R_{10-11} | R_{10-12} | R_{14-15} | R_{14-16} | $\theta_{\rm r-r-10}$ | $\theta_{\rm r-r-14}$ | $\Phi_{r-r-10-12} \\$ | $\Phi_{r-r-14-16} \\$ | $\Phi_{r-10-12-\rm H}$ | $\Phi_{r-14-16-\rm H}$ |
|--|-----------|-----------|---------------|---------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| Py | 1.339 | 1.339 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2-Py(COOH) | 1.342 | 1.336 | 1.511 | | 1.210 | 1.342 | | | 115.6 | _ | 0.0 | | 0.0 | |
| 3-Py(COOH) | 1.337 | 1.339 | 1.486 | | 1.214 | 1.356 | | | 122.9 | _ | 0.0 | | 180.0 | |
| 4-Py(COOH) | 1.338 | 1.340 | 1.492 | | 1.213 | 1.354 | | | 123.0 | _ | 0.0 | | 180.0 | |
| $2,3-Py(COOH)_2$ | 1.348 | 1.329 | 1.522 | 1.540 | 1.222 | 1.323 | 1.215 | 1.321 | 110.2 | 131.2 | -0.1 | -0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| $2,4-Py(COOH)_2$ | 1.341 | 1.337 | 1.511 | 1.496 | 1.209 | 1.342 | 1.212 | 1.350 | 115.8 | 122.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 180.0 |
| $2,5-Py(COOH)_2$ | 1.343 | 1.334 | 1.512 | 1.489 | 1.209 | 1.341 | 1.213 | 1.353 | 115.6 | 118.7 | 0.0 | 180.0 | 0.0 | 180.0 |
| $2,6-Py(COOH)_2$ | 1.337 | 1.338 | 1.511 | 1.500 | 1.210 | 1.341 | 1.214 | 1.346 | 115.6 | 118.5 | 0.0 | 180 | 0.0 | 180.0 |
| $3,4-Py(COOH)_2$ | 1.331 | 1.338 | 1.487 | 1.539 | 1.226 | 1.344 | 1.213 | 1.327 | 116.4 | 130.8 | 18 | -21.5 | -177.5 | 6.8 |
| $3,5-Py(COOH)_2$ | 1.337 | 1.337 | 1.488 | 1.488 | 1.213 | 1.355 | 1.213 | 1.355 | 122.9 | 118.8 | 0.0 | 180.0 | 180.0 | 180.0 |
| 2,6-Py(COOCH ₃) ₂ | 1.337 | 1.337 | 1.505 | 1.505 | 1.217 | 1.340 | 1.217 | 1.340 | 118.9 | 117.6 | 0.0 | 180.0 | 180.0 | 180.0 |

^{*a*} 1, nitrogen; 2-3-4-5-6, C–C–C–C–C; 10, carbon atom of lowest numbered substituent; 14, carbon atom of highest numbered substituent; 11, oxygen atom doubly bonded to C10; 12, oxygen atom singly bonded to C10; 15, oxygen atom doubly bonded to C14; 16, oxygen atom singly bonded to C14. In angles r–r–10–12 and r–r–14–16, r symbolizes ring carbon atoms, and they appear in increasing ordering; the first r has a lower numbering and the second a higher numbering.

Table 7. Calculated Total Energies (hartrees) at the Most Stable Geometries and Thermal Corrections E_{TOT} (kJ·mol⁻¹)

| | MP2/6-31G* | B3LYP/6-31G* | B3LYP/6-311G** | $E_{ m TOT}$ |
|---------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------|
| Ру | $-247.482\ 456$ | $-248.284\ 973$ | $-248.349\ 817$ | 236.233 |
| 2-Py(COOH) | $-435.580\ 863$ | $-436.859\ 380$ | -436.984557 | 281.625 |
| 3-Py(COOH) | $-435.577\ 332$ | $-436.856\ 863$ | $-436.981\ 628$ | 281.625 |
| 4-Py(COOH) | $-435.577\ 606$ | $-436.855\ 389$ | $-436.980\ 119$ | 281.506 |
| 2,3-Py(COOH) ₂ | $-623.666\ 624$ | $-625.424\ 658$ | $-625.608\ 948$ | 325.380 |
| $2,4-Py(COOH)_2$ | $-623.674\ 085$ | $-625.427\ 848$ | $-625.613\ 070$ | 326.588 |
| 2,5-Py(COOH) ₂ | $-623.674\ 158$ | $-625.429\ 116$ | $-625.614\ 349$ | 326.721 |
| $2,6-Py(COOH)_2$ | $-623.673\ 347$ | $-625.427\ 386$ | $-625.612\ 517$ | 326.370 |
| 3,4-Py(COOH) ₂ | $-623.659\ 589$ | $-625.416\ 688$ | $-625.600\ 597$ | 326.220 |
| $3,5-Py(COOH)_2$ | $-623.670\ 264$ | $-625.426\ 827$ | $-625.611\ 691$ | 326.682 |
| $2,6-Py(COOCH_3)_2$ | $-701.975\ 810$ | $-704.036\ 103$ | $-704.229\ 887$ | 477.111 |
| CH_4 | $-40.332\ 526$ | $-40.518\ 371$ | $-40.534\ 248$ | 121.891 |
| $ m CH_3 CH_3$ | $-79.494\ 688$ | $-79.830\ 414$ | $-79.857\ 273$ | 191.157 |
| $HCOOCH_3$ | $-228.396\ 400$ | $-229.063\ 011$ | $-229.133\ 662$ | 169.829 |
| CH_3COOCH_3 | $-267.572\ 989$ | $-268.388\ 482$ | $-268.470\ 249$ | 244.369 |
| C_6H_6 | $-231.457\ 732$ | $-232.248\ 666$ | $-232.311\ 529$ | 266.103 |
| C_6H_5COOH | $-419.555\ 137$ | $-420.822\ 139$ | $-420.944\ 499$ | 311.772 |
| $C_6H_5COOCH_3$ | $-458.709\ 808$ | $-460.128\ 713$ | $-460.255\ 656$ | 387.364 |
| C_6H_5OH | $-306.490\ 935$ | $-307.464\ 887$ | $-307.555\ 477$ | 279.422 |

4-dicarboxylic acid, this repulsion still dominates to a large extent and the molecule adopts a conformation with the substituents, even though interacting among themselves through the cited H-bond interaction, orienting themselves out of the plane of the pyridinic ring.

Experimental X-ray diffraction studies evidence the existence of an intramolecular hydrogen bond between the adjacent carboxyl groups in the crystalline 2,3-isomer⁴¹ but not in the crystalline 3,4-isomer.⁴²

Energetic Stability. The stabilizing effect of the O-H· $\cdot \cdot N$ and O-H $\cdot \cdot \cdot O$ hydrogen-bond-type interactions can be estimated as the difference in energy between the most stable conformation of each isomer and the corresponding conformation optimized with the restriction that the relevant O-H bond remains frozen at the trans orientation relative to the proton acceptor fragment. In this way, we have obtained the $O-H \cdot \cdot \cdot N$ bond strengths: 14.0 kJ·mol⁻¹, 11.8 kJ·mol⁻¹, 12.4 kJ·mol⁻¹, and 13.9 kJ·mol⁻¹, respectively, for pyridine-2-carboxylic acid, pyridine-2,4-dicarboxylic acid, pyridine-2,5-dicarboxylic acid, and pyridine-2,6-dicarboxylic acid. These values indicate a considerably weaker interaction than that occurring in 2-hydroxypyridine for which we have recently⁴³ estimated a value of 24.2 kJ·mol⁻¹. For pyridine-2,3-dicarboxylic acid, our estimate of 25.3 kJ·mol⁻¹ indicates a much stronger interaction. On the other hand, for the $O-H \cdot \cdot O$ interaction in pyridine-2,3- and pyridine-3,4-dicarboxylic acids, we find the values 40.8 kJ·mol⁻¹ and 29.5 kJ·mol⁻¹, respectively. These estimates support the greater importance of this hydrogen-bond-type interaction, as mentioned above. In

fact, this interaction has a strength almost comparable to the 56.9 kJ·mol⁻¹ value which we have reported for the $O-H\cdot\cdot O$ hydrogen bond of 2-hydroxypyridine-*N*-oxide.⁴³

To study the energetic stability of these systems, we have considered several types of isodesmic reactions. The choice of the particular isodesmic reactions is of course conditioned to the existence of good experimental energetic data concerning all the auxiliary molecules involved, whose geometries and energies have also been calculated using the same methods mentioned above. The first set of reactions, denoted by I in Table 8, involves the following reactions:

$$C_5H_4NCOOH + CH_4 \rightarrow C_5H_5N + HCOOCH_3$$
 (1)

$$C_5H_3N(COOH)_2 + 2CH_4 \rightarrow C_5H_5N + 2HCOOCH_3$$
 (2)

and the second set (II) consists of the following reactions:

$$C_5H_4NCOOH + CH_3 - CH_3 \rightarrow C_5H_5N + CH_3COOCH_3$$
(3)

$$C_5H_3N(COOH)_2 + 2CH_3 - CH_3 \rightarrow C_5H_5N + 2CH_3COOCH_3$$
 (4)

$$C_5H_3N(COOCH_3)_2 + 2CH_4 \rightarrow C_5H_5N + 2CH_3COOCH_3$$
(5)

As can be seen from the results in Table 8, reaction sets I and II are very consistent in reproducing the experimen-

Table 8. Theoretical Estimates of the Standard Molar Enthalpies of Formation in the Gas Phase $(kJ \cdot mol^{-1})$ at T = 298.15 K

| | | MP2/6-31G* | B3LYP/6-31G* | B3LYP/6-311G** | experimental |
|--|---------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------------|
| 2-Py(COOH) | I; II | -240.4; -238.4 | -227.9; -228.5 | -242.5; -242.8 | -243.0 ± 2.6^{44} |
| | III; IV | -239.4; -243.1 | -239.3; -242.4 | -241.5; -242.4 | |
| 3-Py(COOH) | I; II | -231.1; -229.1 | -221.3; -221.9 | -234.8; -235.1 | -221.5 ± 1.5^{45} |
| | III; IV | -230.2; -235.5 | -232.7; -238.8 | -233.8; -239.8 | |
| 4-Py(COOH) | I; II | -232.0; -230.0 | -217.5; -218.2 | -231.0; -231.2 | -234.8 ± 4.7^{44} |
| | III; IV | -231.0; -227.4 | -228.9; -223.4 | -229.9; -223.9 | |
| 2,3-Py(COOH) ₂ | I; II | -589.7; -585.7 | -573.9; -575.1 | -599.9; -600.4 | |
| | III; IV | -587.7; -591.3 | -596.6; -599.7 | -597.8; -598.7 | |
| 2,4-Py(COOH) ₂ | I; II | -608.0; -604.0 | -581.0; -582.3 | -609.5; -610.0 | |
| | III; IV | -606.1; -609.7 | -603.8; -606.9 | -607.4; -608.3 | |
| 2,5-Py(COOH) ₂ | I; II | -608.1; -604.1 | -584.2; -585.5 | -612.7; -613.2 | -580.6 ± 5.0 |
| | III; IV | -606.2; -609.8 | -607.0; -610.1 | -610.6; -611.5 | |
| $2,6-Py(COOH)_2$ | I; II | -606.3; -602.3 | -580.0; -581.3 | -608.2; -608.8 | -608.0 ± 6.1 |
| | III; IV | -604.4; -608.0 | -602.8; -605.9 | -606.2; -607.1 | |
| 3,4-Py(COOH) ₂ | I; II | -570.3; -566.3 | -552.1; -553.3 | -577.1; -577.6 | |
| | III; IV | -568.4; -573.8 | -574.8; -581.0 | -575.0; -581.1 | |
| 3,5-Py(COOH) ₂ | I; II | -597.9; -593.9 | -578.3; -579.5 | -605.7; -606.3 | |
| | III; IV | -596.0; -601.3 | -601.0; -607.2 | -603.7; -609.7 | |
| 2,6-Py(COOCH ₃) ₂ | II | -567.5 | -563.5 | -556.1 | -562.4 ± 4.2 |
| | III | -537.4 | -542.2 | -544.2 | |
| | V | -569.4 | -586.5 | -551.5 | |
| | | | | | |

tal standard enthalpies of formation. However, the results obtained from B3LYP/6-31G* calculations indicate a lower stability than that observed experimentally. The largest deviations (for pyridine-2,5-dicarboxylic acid) amount to 27.8 kJ·mol⁻¹ (MP2/6-31G*) and 32.2 kJ·mol⁻¹ (B3LYP/6-311G**) for reaction set I and to 23.5 kJ·mol⁻¹ (MP2/6-31G*) and 32.6 kJ·mol⁻¹ (B3LYP/6-311G**) for reaction set II. However, excluding B3LYP/6-31G*, a reasonable agreement is found between the experimental standard enthalpies of formation and our theoretical estimates, with these being in most cases within the experimental uncertainty.

Set III involves benzoic acid:

$$C_{5}H_{4}NCOOH + C_{6}H_{6} \rightarrow C_{5}H_{5}N + C_{6}H_{5}COOH \quad (6)$$

$$C_{5}H_{3}N(COOH)_{2} + 2C_{6}H_{6} \rightarrow$$

$$C_{5}H_{5}N + 2C_{6}H_{5}COOH \quad (7)$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathrm{C_5H_3N(\mathrm{COOCH_3})_2} + 2\mathrm{C_6H_6} \rightarrow \\ \mathrm{C_5H_5N} + 2\mathrm{C_6H_5COOCH_3} \ (8) \end{array}$$

The values for the enthalpy variation for these reactions represent the effect of the carboxylic group on the stability of the pyridine ring as compared to its effect on benzene. Also, in this case, a consistent description is obtained for all levels of calculation. The largest deviations (for pyridinedicarboxylic acid) amount to 25.6 kJ·mol⁻¹ (MP2/ 6-31G*), 26.4 kJ·mol⁻¹ (B3LYP/6-31G*), and 30.0 kJ·mol⁻¹ (B3LYP/6-311G**). The unacceptably large deviations of 25.0 kJ·mol⁻¹ (MP2/6-31G*), 20.2 kJ·mol⁻¹ (B3LYP/ 6-31G*), and 18.2 kJ·mol⁻¹ (B3LYP/6-311G**) for dimethylpyridine-2,6-dicarboxylate can perhaps be accounted for by noting that the two experimental standard enthalpies of formation of methyl benzoate (C₆H₅COOCH₃) reported in NIST tables, 46 -269.3 \pm 5.1 kJ·mol^{-1 47} and -299.8 kJ·mol⁻¹,⁴⁸ span a very large energy range, also allowing our estimates of the standard enthalpy of formation of dimethylpyridine-2,6-dicarboxylate to vary in a corresponding range which would then clearly contain the experimentally observed values.

The last set (IV) of isodesmic reactions is constituted by the following reactions involving hydroxypyridines:

$$\begin{split} & \mathrm{C_5H_4NCOOH} + \mathrm{C_6H_5\,OH} \rightarrow \mathrm{C_5H_4NOH} + \mathrm{C_6H_5COOH} \\ & (9) \\ & \mathrm{C_5H_3N(COOH)_2} + \mathrm{C_6H_6} + \mathrm{C_6H_5\,OH} \rightarrow \mathrm{C_5H_4NOH} + \\ & & 2\mathrm{C_6H_5COOH} \ (10) \end{split}$$

for the pyridine carboxylic acids. For pyridine-2,6-dimethylcarboxylate, the following reaction was chosen instead:

$$C_5H_3N(COOCH_3)_2 + 2CH_4 \rightarrow C_5H_3N(COOH)_2 + 2CH_3 - CH_3$$
 (11)

The resulting estimates of the enthalpies of formation in Table 8 show again a very good agreement with experiment, with the largest deviations (for pyridine-2, 5-dicarboxylic acid) amounting to 29.2 kJ·mol⁻¹ (MP2/ $6-31G^*$), 29.5 kJ·mol⁻¹ (B3LYP/6-31G*), and 30.9 kJ·mol⁻¹ (B3LYP/6-311G**).

The results for the enthalpies of formation reported in the present work for pyridine-2,6-dicarboxylic acid and dimethylpyridine-2,6-dicarboxylate show the same trend as the recent result for methyl benzoate relative to benzoic acid.⁴⁹ Actually, the methylation of benzoic acid means an enthalpic increment of 18.0 \pm 4.6 kJ·mol⁻¹, which is in perfect agreement with the increments associated with the transformations: acetic acid/methyl acetate, $\Delta H =$ 20.9 ± 2.2 kJ·mol⁻¹; and hexanoic acid/methyl hexanoate, $\Delta H = 19.3 \pm 2.6$ kJ·mol⁻¹, as referred by Roux et al.⁴⁹

From our work, we can calculate the increment for the dimethylation of the pyridine-2,6-dicarboxylic acid as $46.0 \pm 7.3 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$. Using the following process:

2-methyl benzoate
$$\rightarrow$$
 1,3-dimethyl benzoate +
benzene (12)

and the literature values for the enthalpies of formation of the involved species,^{49–51} we can calculate an enthalpy of reaction of 7 kJ·mol⁻¹, which means the destabilization effect caused by the second methylation of benzene in the meta position relative to the first methylation. As in dimethylpyridine-2,6-dicarboxylate acid, the –COOMe groups are in relative meta positions, and we can estimate for the energy of the exchange of two -COOH groups by two -COOMe groups the value $(46.0 - 7) = 39 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$; thus, for each exchange, we obtain $39/2 = 20 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$, in good agreement with the values presented by Roux et al.49

Considering the mean value of all of the 12 theoretical estimates of the standard molar enthalpy of formation for gaseous pyridine-2,3-dicarboxylic acid, resumed in Table 8 as $-(591 \pm 10)$ kJ·mol⁻¹, and using the standard molar enthalpy of crystalline pyridine-2,3-dicarboxylic acid, reported in this work, we can estimate the value 142 \pm $10 \; kJ \cdot mol^{-1}$ for the correspondent standard molar enthalpy of sublimation, which seems to be a reasonable value when compared with the experimental value measured for the enthalpy of sublimation of pyridine-2,6-dicarboxylic acid.

Literature Cited

- (1) Ribeiro da Silva, M. A. V. Thermochemistry of Substituted Pyridines and Analogous Heterocycles: the Enthalpic Increments for a Group Additivity Scheme. Pure Appl. Chem. 1999, 71, 1257-
- (2) Ribeiro da Silva, M. A. V.; Matos, M. A. R.; Rio, C. M. A.; Morais, V. M. F.; Wang, M. C. A.; Nichols, G.; Chickos, J. S. Thermochemical and Theoretical Study of Phenylpyridine Isomers. J. Phys. Chem. A 2000, 104, 1774–1778.
- (3) Ribeiro da Silva, M. A. V.; Morais, V. M. F.; Matos, M. A. R.; Rio, M. C. A. Thermochemical and Theoretical Studies of Some Bipyridines. J. Org. Chem. 1995, 60, 5291-5294.
- (4) Ribeiro da Silva, M. A. V.; Matos, M. A. R. Recent Work on The Thermochemistry of Some Nitrogen Heterocycles. Pure Appl. Chem. 1997, 69, 2295-2305.
- (5) Ribeiro da Silva, M. A. V.; Morais, V. M. F.; Matos, M. A. R. Thermochemical and Theoretical Study of Some Benzodiazines. J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans 1995, 92, 1907–1910.
- (6) Ribeiro da Silva, M. A. V.; Morais, V. M. F.; Matos, M. A. R.; Rio, M. C. A.; Piedade, C. M. G. S. Thermochemical and Theoretical Study of Some Methyldiazines. Struct. Chem. 1996, 7, 329-336.
- (7) Acree, W. E., Jr.; Ribeiro da Silva, M. D. M. C.; Pilcher, G. J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data, in press.
- (8) Binns, F.; Swan, G. A. Oxidation of 5-acetyl-2-methylpyridine. J. Chem. Soc. 1962, 2831-2832.
- (9) Ribeiro da Silva, M. A. V.; Ribeiro da Silva, M. D. M. C.; Pilcher, G. Enthalpies of Combustion of 1,2-Dihydroxybenzene and of Six Alkylsubstituted 1,2-Dihydroxybenzenes. J. Chem. Thermodyn. 1984, 16, 1149-1155.
- (10) Ribeiro da Silva, M. A. V.; Ribeiro da Silva, M. D. M. C.; Pilcher, G. The Construction, Calibration and Use of a New High-Precision Static-Bomb Calorimeter. Rev. Port. Quim. 1984, 26, 163-172.
- (11) Coops, J.; Jessup, R. S.; Van Nes, K. Calibration of Calorimeters for Reactions in a Bomb at Constant Volume. In Experimental Thermochemistry; Rossini, F. D., Ed.; Interscience: New York, 1956; Vol. 1, Chapter 3.
- (12) The NBS Tables of Chemical Thermodynamic Properties. J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data 1982, 11 (Suppl. 2).
- (13) Gundry, H. A.; Harrop, D.; Head, A. J.; Lewis, G. B. Thermodynamic properties of organic oxygen compounds. 21. Enthalpies of combustion of benzoic acid, pentan-1-ol, and hexadecane-1-ol. J. Chem. Thermodyn. 1969, 1, 321-332.
- (14) Bickerton, J.; Pilcher, G.; Al-Takhin, G. Enthalpies of combustion of the three aminopyridines and the three cyanopyridines. J. Chem. Thermodyn. 1984, 16, 373-378.
- (15) Ribeiro da Silva, M. D. M. C.; Santos, L. M. N. B. F.; Silva, A. L. R.; Fernandes, Ó.; Acree, W. E., Jr. Energetics of 6-methoxy-quinoline and 6-methoxyquinoline N-oxide: the dissociation enthalpy of the (N-O) bond. J. Chem. Thermodyn. 2003, 35, 1093-1100.
- (16) Merck Index; Budavari, S., Ed.; Merck Company: Rahway, NJ, 1989.
- (17) Hubbard, W. N.; Scott, D. W.; Waddington, G. Standard States and Corrections for Combustions in a Bomb at Constant Volume. In Experimental Thermochemistry; Rossini, F. D., Ed.; Interscience: New York, 1956; Vol. 1, Chapter 5.
- (18) Adedeji, F. A.; Brown, D. L. S.; Connor, J. A.; Leung, M.; Paz Andrade, M. I.; Skinner, H. A. Thermochemistry of Arene Chromium Tricarbonyls and the Strengths of Arene-Chromium Bonds. J. Organomet. Chem. 1975, 97, 221-228.
- (19) Stull, D. R.; Westrum, E. F.; Sinke, G. C. The Chemical Thermo-dynamics of Organic Compounds; Wiley: New York, 1969.
- (20) de Kruif, C. G.; Kuipers, T.; van Mittenburg, J. C.; Schaake, R. C. F.; Stevens, G. The Vapour Pressure of Solid and Liquid Naphthalene. J. Chem. Thermodyn. 1981, 13, 1081-1086.

- (21) Allan, J. R.; Barron, M.; Werninck, A. R. Thermal Studies on Pyridine-2,3-dicarboxylic Acid Compounds of Manganese(II), Iron-(II), Cobalt(II) and Nickel(II). Thermochim. Acta 1987, 116, 275-284.
- (22) Briehl, H.; Butenuth, J. Thermal Studies on the six isomers of pyridinedicarboxylic acid. *Thermochim. Acta* **1990**, *167*, 249–292. (23) Cox, J. D.; Wagman, D. D.; Medvedev, V. A. *CODATA Key Values*
- for Thermodynamics; Hemisphere: New York, 1989.
- (24) Becke, A. D. Density-Functional Thermochemistry. 3. The Role (11) Dotal, I. D. Dotale, J. Chem. Phys. 1993, 98, 5648-5652.
 (25) Lee, C. T.; Yang, W. T.; Parr, R. G. Development of the Colle-
- Salvetti correlation-energy formula into a functional of the electron density. *Phys. Rev.* **1988**, *B37*, 785–789.
 (26) Hariharan, P. C.; Pople, J. A. The Influence of Polarization Functions on Molecular Orbital Hydrogenation Energies. *Theor.*
- Chim. Acta 1973, 28, 213-222
- (27) Francl, M. M.; Pietro, W. J.; Hehre, W. J.; Binkley, J. S.; Gordon, M. S.; DeFrees, D. J.; Pople, J. A. Self-Consistent Molecular Orbital Methods. XXIII. A Polarization Type Basis Set for Second-Row Elements. J. Chem. Phys. 1982, 77, 3654-3665.
- (28) Scott, A. P.; Radom, L. Harmonic Vibrational Frequencies: An Evaluation of Hartree-Fock, Moller-Plesset, Quadratic Configuration, Density Functional Theory and Semiempirical Factors. J. Phys. Chem. 1996, 100, 16502–16513.
- (29) Frisch, M. J.; Pople, J. A.; Binkley, J. S. Self-Consistent Molecular Orbital Methods 25. Supplementary Functions for Gaussian Basis Sets. J. Phys. Chem. 1984, 80, 3265–3269.
- (30) UK-GAMESS is a package of ab initio programs written by M. F. Guest, J. H. van Lenthe, J. Kendrick, K. Schoffel, and P. Sherwood, with contributions from R. D. Amos, R. J. Buenker, H. J. J. van Dam, M. Dupuis, N. C. Handy, I. H. Hillier, P. J. Knowles, V. Bonacic-Koutecky, W. von Niessen, R. J. Harrison, A. P. Rendell, V. R. Saunders, A. J. Stone, and A. H. de Vries. The package is derived from the original GAMESS code due to M. Dupuis, D. Spangler, and J. Wendoloski (NRCC Software Catalog, Vol. 1, Program No. QG01 (GAMESS), 1980).
- The DFT module within GAMESS-UK was developed by Dr. P. Young under the auspices of EPSRC's Collaborative Computational Project No. 1 (CCP1) (1995-1997). Subsequent developments have been undertaken by staff at the Daresbury Laboratory.
- (32) Bertolasi, V.; Gilli, P.; Ferretti, V.; Gilli. G. Evidence for Resonance-Assisted Hydrogen Bonding. 2. Intercorrelation between Crystal Structure and Spectroscopic Parameters in Eight Intramolecularly Hydrogen Bonded 1,3-Diaryl-1,3-propanedione Enols. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 4917-4925.
- Wojtulewski, S.; Grabowski, S. DFT and AIM Studies on Two-(33)Ring Resonance Assisted Hydrogen Bonds. THEOCHEM 2003, 621, 285-291.
- (34) Morais, V. M. F.; Miranda, M.; Matos, M. A. R. Thermochemical Study of the Methoxy- and Dimethoxyphenol Isomers. J. Chem. Eng. Data 2003, 48, 669–679.
 (35) Biegler-König, F. W.; Bader, R. W. F.; Tang, Y. H. Calculation of
- the Average Properties of Atoms in Molecules. J. Comput. Chem.
- 1982, 3, 317–328.
 (36) Bader, R. W. F.; Tang, Y. H.; Tal, Y.; Biegler-König, F. W. Properties of Atoms and Bonds in Hydrocarbon Molecules. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 946-952.
- (37) Bader, R. W. F.; Carroll, M.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Chang. C. Properties of Atoms in Molecules: Atomic Volumes. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 7968-7979.
- (38) Reed, A. E.; Curtiss, L.; Weinhold, A. F. Intermolecular Interactions from a Natural Bond Orbital, Donor-Acceptor Viewpoint. Chem. Rev. 1988, 88, 899-926.
- (39) Foster, J. P.; Weinhold, F. Natural Hybrid Orbitals. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 7211-7218.
- (40) Reed, A. É.; Weinhold, F. Natural bond orbital analysis of near-Hartree-Fock water dimer. J. Chem. Phys. 1983, 78, 4066-4073.
- (41) Takusagawa, F.; Hirotsu, K.; Shimada, A. The Crystal Structure of Quinolinic Acid. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1973, 46, 2372-2380.
- (42) Takusagawa, F.; Hirotsu, K.; Shimada, A. The Crystal Structure of Cinchomeronic Acid. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1973, 46, 2669-2675.
- Ribeiro da Silva, M. D. M. C.; Matos, M. A. R.; Miranda, M. S. (43)Morais, V. M. F.; Acree, W. E., Jr. Experimental and theoretical study of the dissociation enthalpy of the N-O bond on 2-hydroxypyridine N-oxide: theoretical analysis of the energetics of the N-O bond for hydroxypyrydine N-oxide isomers. J. Chem. Thermodyn. 2004, 36, 107-113.
- (44) Ribeiro da Silva, M. D. M. C.; Matos, M. A. R.; Vaz, M. C.; Santos, L. M. N. B. F.; Pilcher, G.; Acree Jr., W. E.; Powell, J. R. Enthalpies of Combustion of the Pyridine N-Oxide Derivatives: 4-Methyl-, 3-Cyano-, 4-Cyano-, 3-Hydroxy-, 2-Carboxy-, 4-Carboxy-, and 3-Methyl-4-nitro, and of the Pyridine Derivatives: 2-Carboxy- and 4-Carboxy-. The Dissociation Enthalpies of the
- N-O Bonds. J. Chem. Thermodyn. 1998, 30, 869–878.
 (45) Tucker, S. A.; Ribeiro da Silva, M. D. M. C.; Matos, M. A. R.; Gonçalves, J. M.; Ribeiro da Silva, M. A. V.; Pilcher, G. Enthalpies of Combustion of 4-Nitropyridine N-oxide and Pyridine-3-car-

boxylic acid N-oxide; The Dissociation Enthalpies of The N–O bonds. J. Chem. Thermodyn. 1995, 27, 391–398.
(46) NIST Chemistry Webbook, NIST Standard Reference Database

- (46) NIST Chemistry Webbook, NIST Standard Reference Database Number 69, March, 2003 release, http://webbook.nist.gov/chemistry.
- (47) Guthrie, J. P.; Cullimore, P. A. Effect of the acyl substituent on the equilibrium constant for hydration of esters. Can. J. Chem. 1990, 58, 1281-1294.
 (48) Hall, H. K.; Baldt, J. H. Thermochemistry of Strained-Ring
- (48) Hall, H. K.; Baldt, J. H. Thermochemistry of Strained-Ring Bridgehead Nitriles and Esters. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1971, 93, 140– 145.
- (49) Roux, M. V.; Temprado, M.; Dávalos, J. Z.; Jiménez, P.; Hosmane, R. S.; Liebman, J. F. Enthalpy of formation of methyl benzoate: calorimetry and consequences. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2002, 4, 3611–3613.
- (50) Maksimuk, Y. V.; Kabo, G. J.; Simirsky, V. V.; Kozyro, A. A.; Sevruk, V. M. Standard Enthalpies of Formation of Some Methyl

Esters of Benzene Carboxylic Acids. J. Chem. Eng. Data 1998, 43, 293-298.

(51) Pedley, J. B. Thermochemical Data and Structures of Organic Compounds; Thermodynamics Research Center: College Station, TX, 1994; Vol 1.

Received for review November 26, 2004. Accepted April 11, 2005. Thanks are due to Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia, F.C.T., Lisbon, Portugal, and to FEDER for financial support to the research project POCTI/44471/QUI/2002. E.A.S. and C.P.S. thank F.C.T. and the European Social Fund (ESF) under the 3rd Community Support Framework (CSF) for the award of their Ph.D. research grants (BD/5355/2001 and BD/828/2000, respectively).

JE049586L