STAFF OPINION DIFFERENCES BETWEEN GERIATRIC AND NON-GERIATRIC TREATMENT WARDS AT A STATE MENTAL HOSPITAL

APPROVED:

May he for home
Major Professor
Earl W Korker
Minor Professor
Harold D. Holloway
Director of the Department of Psychology
NohertB. Toulouse
Dean'of the Graduate School

Geriatric and Non-Geriatric Treatment Wards at a State Mental Hospital. Master of Science (Clinical Psychology), August, 1970, 42 pp., 4 tables, bibliography, 12 titles.

The present study is concerned with differences in the attitudes of psychiatric aides toward the patients they work with, what these differences may be related to and the implications they may have in terms of treatment. The Staff Opinion Scale (SOS) and a brief questionnaire were completed by twenty-seven geriatric ward aides (Geriatric Ss), thirty chronic and acute ward aides (Non-Geriatric Ss), and twelve aides who had completed training but who had not yet been assigned to wards (Training Ss).

Geriatric Ss differ from Non-Geriatric Ss at the .05 level or better on three SOS factors: Geriatric Ss tend to believe in stricter control and management of patients and in greater restriction of patients' personal possessions (Factors I and VI), while Non-Geriatric Ss express greater concern for keeping patients active and motivated (Factor V). Geriatric Ss also tend more than Non-Geriatric Ss to believe that their patients were hospitalized due to physical disease or disability rather than psychological problems. These attitudinal differences are discussed in terms of differences both in treatment programs and patient

characteristics. The attitudes of Training Ss differ from those of Geriatric and Non-Geriatric Ss, although they more closely resemble those of the latter, and further, longitudinal research is suggested to explore the influence of actual work experience on the development of these attitudes.

The tendency to emphasize the role of physical over psychological factors leading to psychiatric hospitalization is found to be related to attitudes favoring a generally dominant, custodial orientation toward patients. There is also a relatively large positive correlation between the amount of experience an aide has and the attitude that patients should be managed so as to avoid their causing trouble, especially for the staff, suggesting that as psychiatric aides gain experience they may develop attitudes not consistent with current treatment philosphies.

It is concluded that at least three factors of the Staff Opinion Scale are useful in distinguishing between aides working on essentially custodial wards and those working on wards involved in more active treatment programs. It also appears that the degree of importance aides attribute to physical rather than psychological factors leading to psychiatric hospitalization is at least partly a function of patient age, and this attitude may contribute to the development of non-therapeutic, custodial modes of patient-staff interaction.

STAFF OPINION DIFFERENCES BETWEEN GERIATRIC AND NON-GETRIATRIC TREATMENT WARDS AT A STATE MENTAL HOSPITAL

THESIS

Presented to the Graduate Council of the North Texas State University in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements

For the Degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE

Ву

Gerald R. Curtis, B.S

Denton, Texas

December, 1970

STAFF OPINION DIFFERENCES BETWEEN GERIATRIC AND NON-GERIATRIC TREATMENT WARDS AT A STATE MENTAL HOSPITAL

THESIS

Presented to the Graduate Council of the North Texas State University in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements

For the Degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE

Ву

Gerald R. Curtis, B.S.

Denton, Texas

August, 1970

TABLE OF CONTENTS

																						P	age
LISTOF	TABLE	S.	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	iv
Chapter																							
I.	INTR	ODU:	СT	'IC	N	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	1
II.	METH	OD	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	e	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	10
		Su In Pr	st	rt	ıme	ent:	៩៩																
III.	RESU	LTS	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	15
IV.	DISC	USS:	ΙO	N	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٥	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	22
v.	SUMM	ARY	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	29
APPENDIX		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	• .	•	31
BIBLIOGR	APHY.	• :	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	e	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•		•		42

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
I.	Comparison of Means Between Geriatric and Non-Geriatric Ss	16
II.	Comparison of Means Between Training and Geriatric Ss, and Between Training and Non-Geriatric Ss	17
III.	Comparison of Means Between Acute and Chronic Ss	19
IV.	Correlations Between SOS Factor Scores and Other Variables (N=57)	20

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

A growing proportion of the patients in many state mental hospitals consists of geriatric patients, those over the age of 65. Geriatric patients are frequently seen as "terminal" patients, with the apparent belief that their behavioral deterioration is largely due to irreversible physical decline. The treatment of these patients has often been confined to custodial care until they die or, less frequently, display spontaneous remission of symptoms.

There is a growing body of evidence and opinion, however, suggesting that many, if not most, geriatric patients suffer primarily from psychological problems and that physical illness, while present, is not a major factor. One study (Blau, Kettell, Arth, Smith, & Oppenheim, 1966) scught to determine the relative importance of social, economic, physical, and psychological factors leading to the psychiatric hospitalization of forty randomly selected admissions to a state geriatric hospital. The patients were interviewed and given a broad battery of psychological tests, and information was gathered from collateral sources such as relatives, referring physicians, and social agencies. The findings of this study indicated that financial

deprivation, negative feelings of relatives, and physical illness were not major factors in admission, and that "the primary reason for hospitalization was behavior symptomatic of psychological problems (Blau et. al., 1966, p. 208)." In addition, Davis (1968), a psychologist specializing in geriatrics, states that "conditions which pose as senility may actually conceal depression, anxiety, inadequacy feelings, and unmet dependency and affectional needs (p. 803)," and feels that many geriatric patients "... play the 'illness game' in order to secure the affection, care, and display of concern from those nearby which they might otherwise be denied (p. 802)."

Previous research has also indicated a close interaction between patient behavior and staff attitudes and behavior. Kellem, Durell, and Shader (1966) explored the relationship between changes in the staff's attitudes and feelings toward a patient and changes in that patient's behavior. Their research was carried out on an open psychiatric research ward over a period of ten months and included thirty-two patients who spent all or part of this period on the ward. Each day, four of the ward's staff members rated each patient on a single global scale of psychosis, consisting of six levels of illness ranging from "extreme" to "no evidence of psychosis," and from these individual ratings a consensus rating was derived. Nursing staff attitudes were assessed daily by having each nursing

staff member rate herself along each of twelve descriptive statements of attitudes or feelings toward each patient. A scale from zero to five was used, zero indicating no feeling, five indicating the most intense feeling. Of the thirty-two patients in the study, six were chosen because their behavior included one or more relatively abrupt changes in psychotic symptomatology, and these changes were compared with changes in nursing staff attitudes toward the same patients. The results of this comparison indicated that, when a patient became more psychotic, the nursing staff expressed negative feelings toward him, including anger, dislike, and a feeling of distance between themselves and the patient. As the patient improved, these feelings were replaced by strong positive feelings in the opposite direction. It was also noted that the staff appeared to react to a patient's increased illness, when this occurred, with overt depression, feelings of job dissatisfaction, and a loss of self-esteem. From this, the authors received the impression that there was a marked "dependency" of the ward staff on the patients! increasing health.

The interaction between staff attitudes and patient behavior may play an important role in changing or maintaining patients' behavior, as their behavior is probably influenced to at least some degree by the expectations of others. For example, one might expect that patients

residing on a ward run by a custodially oriented staff would indeed behave in a manner requiring custodial care, thereby reinforcing the staff's custodial attitudes and producing a closed circle of patient-staff interaction. Such a situation may be more likely to occur on geriatric treatment wards than on wards treating younger mental patients for at least two possible reasons: the concept that elderly mental patients are "terminal" patients suffering primarily from irreversible physical deterioration may foster the development of custodial attitudes on the part of the staff; and the readiness of many elderly patients to play the "illness game" may reinforce these attitudes.

A number of investigators and mental health workers feel that nursing attendants, because of their greater day-to-day contact with patients, are of major importance in effecting treatment programs both in mental hospitals (Harshbarger, 1967) and in institutions for the mentally retarded (Cleland & Peck, 1967). One way in which patients may be affected is through the assimilation of staff attitudes. Dietze (1967), for example, collected 173 behavior items considered by psychiatric patients and staff members as indications of improvement in mental health. The importance of each item was then evaluated by 53 staff members and 81 patients with varying amounts and kinds of experience

in psychiatric wards, and the ratings were correlated among various patient and staff groups. Dietze found that the attitudes of aides were more similar to those of patients who had been hospitalized for longer periods of time, suggesting that the group of patients "... which continues to agree substantially with aides and fails to acquire the conceptions characteristic of the professional staff does not get early discharges (p. 44)." It appears likely, then, that the attitudes of aides toward patients will be of significance in influencing patient behavior, including that leading to improvement and discharge from the hospital.

Consistent differences in attitudes between professional and non-professional staff members within the same hospital have been measured using a variety of instruments. Gilbert and Levinson (1956) led the way by developing the Custodial Mental Illness Ideology Scale (CMI) to measure mental hospital staff attitudes along a proposed custodialism-humanism continuum. They conceived of "custodialism" as being primarily concerned with the detention and safekeeping of patients, attributing mental illness mainly to poor heredity or organic causes, and as being ". . . saturated with pessimism, impersonalness, and watchful mistrust (p. 264)." They defined "humanism," on the other hand, as ". . . concern with the individuality and the human needs of both patients and personnel (p. 264)," and felt that persons with a humanistic orientation would view patients in

psychological rather than moral terms and would emphasize patient self-determination and patient-staff communication. The CMI consists of 20 statements, each of which has 7 possible responses ranging from "strongly agree" to "strongly disagree," and was initially developed on a sample of 335 staff members (aides, student nurses, nurses, and psychiatrists) in 3 Massachusetts mental hospitals. Gilbert and Levinson found that aides in all 3 hospitals scored highest on the CMI (indicating a more custodial orientation), followed in order by student nurses, nurses, and psychiatrists. Cohen and Struening (1962), believing that mental hospital staff attitudes might be too complex to be profitably measured on a single continuum, developed the Opinions About Mental Illness Scale (OMI). consists of 70 Likert-type opinion items and was administered to 1,194 staff members at 2 Veterans Administration neuropsychiatric hospitals, one in the Northeast and one in the Midwest. The factor analysis of item intercorrelations identified 5 opinion-attitude dimensions which were named Authoritarianism, Benevolence, Mental Hygiene Ideology, Social Restrictiveness, and Interpersonal Etiology. Cohen and Struening found differences among mental hospital occupational groups similar to those found by Gilbert and Levinson, particularly with respect to OMI scores on the Authoritarianism factor. That is, aides at both VA hospitals obtained the highest scores (with the exception of kitchen

workers) on this factor, indicating a more authoritarian attitude, with psychologists and psychiatrists scoring lowest and the other occupational groups scoring in between.

These intra-hospital attitude differences among occupational levels are not restricted to mental hospitals. Fuhrer, Ware, and Scott (1968) compared the attitudes of seventeen nurses with those of fifty-eight nursing attendants at a medical rehabilitation facility, using a questionnaire made up of thirty-nine Likert-type items. that nursing attendants, in comparison with nurses, ". . . appeared more likely to harbor a negative stereotype of the disabled, to be more custodial in their concepts of patient care, and to entertain a more authoritarian viewpoint (p. 346)." In addition, such intra-institutional attitude differences are maintained in spite of wide inter-institutional differences at the same occupational level (Cohen & Struening, 1962; Gilbert & Levinson, 1956). To date. however, there have apparently been no studies of intrahospital attitude differences within the same occupational løvel.

The primary purposes of the present study were (1) to see in which way, if any, the measured attitudes of psychiatric aides employed on geriatric wards differed from those of aides employed on non-geriatric wards at the same hospital; and (2) to explore the relationships between measured attitudes and other variables such as age, education, amount of

experience as an aide, amount of experience on either geriatric or non-geriatric wards, and the number of patients per aide on each ward.

The Staff Opinion Scale (SOS) was developed to measure ". . . staff opinions about concrete work-a-day problems in caring for and managing hospital patients (Rice, Berger, Klett, & Sewall, 1966, p. 428)." The SOS was initially administered to a sample of 1,866 individuals working in 5 mental hospitals and representing 6 occupational groupings: physicians, social workers, registered nurses, nursing aides, psychologists, and rehabilitation workers. Factor analysis of item intercorrelations revealed 6 main factors: (I)Patient Control, a high score on which indicates the person believes in the strict control of patient behavior, and that hospital routines should be organized to maximize patient management; (II) Provision of a Humane Environment, a high score reflecting the belief that the hospital environment should be made as pleasant as possible, and that patients should be given a certain amount of freedom and treated with dignity; (III) Protective Isolation, a high score indicating the belief that patients should be managed to prevent their causing trouble, that they should be dealt with essentially as one might handle children; (IV) Patient-Staff Communication, which emphasizes the importance of patient-staff social interaction and that patients have something worthwhile to say; (V) Reduction of Patient Dependency, concerning the idea that patients should be kept active and motivated; and (VI) Restriction of Personal Possessions, a high score indicating the belief that patients' possession of personal articles should be restricted.

In line with the major purposes of the present study, it is hypothesized that aides working on geriatric wards will obtain higher average scores on the Patient Control (I), Protective Isolation (III), and Restriction of Personal Possessions (VI) factors, and that aides working on nongeriatric wards will score higher on the Provision of a Humane Environment (II), Patient-Staff Communication (IV), and Reduction of Patient Dependency (V) factors. also hypothesized that geriatric ward aides will tend to believe their patients suffer primarily from physical disease or decline rather than from psychological difficulties, and that the reverse will be true for non-geriatric In addition, it is felt that the attitudes of ward aides. individuals who have been trained as psychiatric aides, but who have not yet obtained work experience on either geriatric or non-geriatric wards, might provide a reference point from which to evaluate the possible effects of such experience on the development of these attitudes.

CHAPTER II

METHOD

Subjects

The twenty-seven Geriatric Ss consisted of all psychiatric aides employed on the five geriatric wards of a midwestern state mental hospital. These wards housed an average of sixty-three patients each, all over the age of sixty-five, and four of these wards were "closed" or locked, with only a few patients on each permitted to leave the ward unescorted by a staff member. Only the patients on the single, "open" ward were involved in psychotherapy--patients on the other wards spent most of their time confined to large, bare day-rooms with all meals served on the ward. Although each ward was scheduled for an average of five to six hours of activities and recreation therapy per day, less than thirty per cent of the patients participated in such programs.

The thirty Non-Geriatric Ss included all psychiatric aides employed on nine inpatient wards at the same midwestern state mental hospital. Four of these wards housed primarily chronic, or long-term, mental patients with an average of forty-two patients per ward, and four of the wards housed primarily acute, or short-term, mental patients with an average of twenty-five patients per ward. The ninth ward, a

"token community," treated nineteen chronic patients through behavior modification programs based on learning theory and using tokens as reinforcement. Each of the nine wards was scheduled for about six hours of activities and recreational therapy per day: approximately forty per cent of the chronic patients and eighty per cent of the acute patients participated in these programs. At least some of the patients on each chronic ward received some form of psychotherapy, and all patients on the acute wards were involved in regular group psychotherapy sessions. All nine wards were "open" wards and, with some individual exceptions, the patients were permitted to leave the wards unescorted.

A third, "Training" group of Ss consisted of twelve newly employed psychiatric aides who had just completed a twelve-week training course but who had not yet been assigned to wards. As a part of their training, each aide worked part-time on six different wards, two weeks on each, with the result that all the Training Ss had at least some exposure to geriatric, chronic, and acute wards.

Psychiatric aides at the hospital where the present study was conducted were, after completion of training, permanently assigned to wards by a central nursing office on the basis of existing vacancies. The personal preferences of the aides as to which type of patient or which area of the hospital they would like to be assigned to were not considered in their placement. In addition, aides were rarely

transferred from one unit of the hospital to another (e.g., from geriatric to chronic or from chronic to acute), although there were occasional transfers between wards within the same unit. Therefore, it was felt that the aides' attitudes prior to obtaining work experience would have only a random effect on their attitudes after obtaining experience.

Instruments

The Staff Opinion Scale (SOS) was used to measure the attitudes of all Ss (see Appendix). The SOS consists of 61 statements which are answered according to a Likert-type format: each statement is provided with 6 response options, ranging from "strongly agree" (with a value of +1) to "strongly disagree" (with a value of +6). An additional · Likert-type item was included with the SOS in which each aide was asked to select the one of 7 statements which she felt was the main reason that the patients she was currently working with were hospitalized. The choices ranged from "physical (medical) disability or disease, including brain damage" (with a value of +1) to "psychological (emotional) problems" (with a value of +7). A brief questionnaire was used to obtain data such as age, sex, amount of education, amount of experience on their current ward, and amount of experience as a psychiatric aide.

Procedure

All Geriatric and Non-Geriatric Ss on each of three consecutive working shifts completed the SOS and questionnaire anonymously during part of their shift. Data from the Training Ss were collected on the afternoon of their last day of training, before they received their permanent ward assignments. Each of the six SOS factor scores was averaged for each group, and the means were compared using a two-tail t test. For the Geriatric and Non-Geriatric groups, the following values were also averaged and the means compared with a t test: age (in years), education (in years), "etiology" score (score on the seven-point "physical disease versus psychological problems" opinion item), "aide" score (experience as a psychiatric aide, in months), "unit" score (experience on their current unit of the hospital, in months), and patient-to-staff ratio (number of patients per each aide). As the Training Ss had no regular ward experience, only their averages on the six SOS factors, age, education, and etiology score were compared with those of the other two groups. In addition, the Non-Geriatric Ss were divided into two groups, Acute (aides working on the four acute wards, plus the token community) and Chronic (aides working on the four chronic wards), and their average SOS factor scores, etiology scores, and patient-to-staff ratios were compared. Finally, the SOS factor scores of all Geriatric and Non-Geriatric Ss were

correlated with age, education, etiology score, aide score, unit score, and patient-to-staff ratio, using the Pearson product-moment correlation coefficient and Fisher's test for statistical significance.

CHAPTER III

RESULTS

As can be seen in Table I, although the Geriatric Ss! SOS factor scores differed from those of the Non-Geriatric Ss in the predicted direction on all factors except one (Factor IV, Patient-Staff Communication), the differences were statistically significant for only three factors: Patient Control (I), Reduction of Patient Dependency (V), and Restriction of Personal Possessions (VI). Geriatric Ss tended to believe in stricter control and management of patients and in greater restriction of patients' possessions, and Non-Geriatric Ss expressed greater interest in the idea that patients should be kept active and motivated. In addition, Non-Geriatric aides were slightly but significantly older than Geriatric aides, had fewer patients per aide to care for, and felt to a significantly greater degree that their patients were hospitalized owing to psychological or emotional problems rather than to physical disease or disability.

Based on SOS factor scores, Training Ss were generally more like Non-Geriatric Ss than Geriatric Ss (Table 2). As with the Non-Geriatric Ss, Training Ss scored significantly lower than Geriatric Ss on Factors I and VI. They also

TABLE I Comparison of Means Between Geriatric and Non-Geriatric $\underline{\mathbf{S}}\mathbf{s}$

The second secon	ትርርርያው ደንሃሳም ያምም የጀመርስ ነገር ተወቅ አስመጀርት ከተጀመሩ ለመጀመር አገባቸው ነው ተመቀመ ነው ያቸው እና የቀ የሚኒስርር ነገር ተመመል የሚመጣቸውን ነገር	- prilita i literatur de mente e como e distribución propriesa de la como de la como de la como de la como e d La como establica de la como establica de la como establica de la como de la como de la como establica de la como e dela como e de la como e de la como e de la como e de la como e dela como e de la como e dela como e de la como e de la como e de la como e de la como e dela como e dela como e dela como e de la como e dela como e de la como e dela como e de la como e dela	on Villean Million and Commission in the Office of the Commission	
Factor	Geriatrica X S.D.	Non-Geriatricb X S.D.	(af=55)	p
T	59.2 6.2	51.1 10.9	3.38	.01
II	51.7 9.5	56.0 8.6	1.81	
XII	21.9 5.9	19.0 7.4	1.62	
IV	27.4 4.5	27.3 4.4	.03	
v	18.6 4.8	21.7 5.6	2.19	.05
VI.	13.5 3.1	8.5 3.2	5.92	.001
Age	41.7 13.6	կ5.8 11.1	12.51	.001
Education	10.5 1.7	10.1 1.9	•79	
Etiology	3.3 2.0	5.5 1.2	5.24	.001
Aide	93.1 80.2	122.8 96.0	1.26	
Unit	37.4 37.5	32.3 20.9	. 64	
P:S Ratio	35.4 7.4	29.7 12.6	2.08	.05
8 N=5 Z	transport Wiley Literature (1975) (1995) (1996) (1996) (1996) (1996) (1996) (1996) (1996) (1996) (1996) (1996)	bN=30	n tradition and materials, six alternating enterprise property of a read of second	がでいる。 では、大学ないである。 では、大学ないでは、 では、 では、 では、 では、 では、 では、 では、

TABLE II

Comparison of Means Between Training and Geriatric Ss,

and Between Training and Non-Geriatric Ss

THE RESERVE AND PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF TH	e información cución que color, porte entresan ", pomentir, i	**************************************	· (j.c.,) (j.c.,	The same of the second	
Factor	Geriatric ^a X S.D.	(df=37)	Trainingb X S.D.	(df <u>=</u> 40)	Non-Geriatric ^c X S.D.
Т	59.2 6.2	5.20**	48.3 5.6	.83	51.1 10.9
II	51.7 9.5	2.31*	59•3 9•6	1.10	56.0 8.6
III	21.9 5.9	1.86	18.4 3.9	•26	19.0 7.4
IV	27.4 4.5	.03	27.4 3.5	.06	27.3 4.4
V	18.6 4.8	•31	18.2 3.1	2.0կ*	21.7 5.6
VI	13.5 3.1	3.32**	9.8 3.3	1.20	8.5 3.2
Age	41.7 13.6	1.20	36.3 11.0	2.51	45.8 11.1
Education	10.5 1.7	1.85	11.6 1.6	2.28	10.1
Etiology	3.3 2.0	2.50*	5.0 1.9	1.10	5.5 1.2
8,, 0,,	h				

⁸N=27

 $b_{N=12}$

c_{N=30}

*p<.05

**p<.01

scored significantly higher than Geriatric Ss on Factor II (Provision of a Humane Environment). Training Ss differed significantly from Non-Geriatric Ss on only one SOS factor, Reduction of Patient Dependency (II). Generally, then, psychiatric aide trainees tended to believe in less strict control and management of patients, less restriction of personal possessions, and more freedom and dignity for patients than did aides working on geriatric wards, and were less concerned with keeping patients active and motivated than aides working on non-geriatric psychiatric wards. In addition to the SOS factor differences, Training Ss were younger and more educated than both Geriatric and Non-Geriatric Ss, and believed that mental patients were hospitalized owing primarily to psychological problems rather than physical disease or disability.

When the Non-Geriatric Ss were divided into Acute and Chronic groups and their means on the six SOS factors, etiology score, and patient-to-staff ratio were compared (Table 3), the only significant difference found was patient-to-staff ratio. That is, although aides working on chronic wards were responsible for twice as many patients each (more than aides working on geriatric wards were responsible for), their opinions were not significantly different from those of aides working on primarily acute wards.

TABLE III

Comparison of Means Between Acute and Chronic Ss

y maganamantagy polity y mag i sportor, har didirectal and an annotation, who i sport by the distance of a super-	ran - market kangging panggapanggapanggapanggapanggapanggapan sa kanggapanggapanggapanggapanggapanggapanggapan	g dan an selatah dilaman dalam ^{arti} dalam 4 dalam dalam ^{arti} dalam d	тупскогой. Институт година выполнять на принципут по поменения принципут по принцип
Factor	Acute ^a X S.D.	Chronic ^b X S.D.	(df=28)
	49.3 11.8	53.8 9.3	1.12
II	56.2 8.3	55•7 9•3	•17
111	20 . կ 7 . 3	16.8 7.3	1.33
IV	27 .1 4.8	27.7 4.0	•33
v	22.4 5.7	20.6 5.6	.86
VI	8.4 2.9	8.6 3.8	.11
Etiology	5.6 1.1	5.3 1.1	•69
P:S Ratio	21.1 5.7	µ2.5 7.3	9.03*
a _{N=18}	b _{N=12}	.00.>α*	том так жене так жене том об на при

The majority of correlations between SOS factor scores and variables such as age, education, etiology score, length of experience as an aide (Aide), length of experience on geriatric or non-geriatric wards (Unit), and number of

TABLE IV

Correlations Between SOS Factor Scores
and Other Variables (N=57)

Variable -	SOS FACTOR SCORE								
V (1 1 (10 10	I	II	III	IV	v	VI			
Age	.26	.00	.41**	.20	.20	.06			
Education	02	-,16	35**	11	25	08			
Eticlogy	31*	.17	38**	.12	.18	46**			
Aid⊖	.16	.15	.70**	.11	.25	.09			
Unit	.11	02	.20	.06	.10	.08			
P:S Ratio	.20	.02	14	.06	23	.13			
*p<.05 **p<.01									

patients per aide (P:S Ratio), were low and not statistically significant (Table 4). However, four of the variables did correlate significantly with SOS Factor III,

Protective Isolation, a high score on which reflected the opinion that patients should be managed to prevent their causing trouble, including segregating the sexes and maintaining a minimum of male-female patient social interaction. Both age and experience as an aide correlated positively with this factor (+.41 and +.70, respectively), while amount of education and etiology score were negatively correlated with it (-.35 and -.38, respectively). In other

words, older aides with more aide experience tended to be more socially restrictive toward patients, while more educated aides who emphasized the importance of psychological problems over physical disease or disability in their patients tended to be less restrictive. Moderate but statistically significant negative correlations were also obtained between etiology score and Factors I (-.31) and VI (-.46), indicating that aides who felt their patients suffered more from psychological problems than physical problems tended to be less concerned with strict patient control and management and less restrictive towards patients' possession of personal articles.

CHAPTER IV

DISCUSSION

There are marked differences between the treatment provided for geriatric and non-geriatric psychiatric patients at the state mental hospital where the present study was conducted, and these differences are at least partially reflected in the attitudes, as measured by the Staff Opinion Scale, of psychiatric aides involved with the different treatment programs. Geriatric patients receive essentially custodial care, and the attitudes of geriatric ward aides emphasize strict patient control and management and the restriction of patients' personal possessions (SOS Factors I and VI). Non-geriatric patients are involved, to varying degrees, in more intensive psychiatric treatment, and the attitudes of aides working with these patients emphasize keeping patients active and motivated (SOS Factor V). These differences in attitudes do not appear to be directly related to the aide characteristics of age, education, amount of experience as an aide, amount of experience with geriatric or non-geriatric patients, or with the number of patients each aide is responsible for.

It also seems unlikely that these differences are due to individual differences in attitudes existing prior to

obtaining work experience as an aide, for two possible reasons. First, the hospital policy concerning the assignment and transfer of aides is based on existing vacancies rather than on individual preference, thereby essentially randomizing the assignment of new aides throughout the hos-Second, the attitudes of psychiatric aide trainees differ significantly from those of Geriatric Ss on three factors (I, II, and VI) and from those of Non-Geriatric Ss on one factor (V). Although there is no reason to believe that the attitudes of trainees included in the present study are identical to those of the Geriatric and Non-Geriatric Ss when they were trainees, these differences do suggest that the attitudes of Geriatric and Non-Geriatric Ss were at least partly influenced by actual work experiences with a particular type of patient or treatment program. A longitudinal study of the Training Ss' attitudes may indicate which attitudes are influenced, and to what degree, by specific kinds of work experiences.

It appears that the differences in attitudes reflected in SOS Factors I, V, and VI are related to differences in patient age (older versus younger psychiatric patients) or to characteristics associated with differences in patient age; no such differences in attitudes were found between aides working with chronic versus acute psychiatric patients. One characteristic associated with patient age may be the degree to which aides working with older patients feel that

their patients suffer more from physical disease or deterioration than from emotional problems, thereby relegating the treatment and "cure" of such patients to professional medical staff and placing the aides in a primarily custodial role. Geriatric Ss did, in fact, place a significantly greater emphasis than Non-Geriatric Ss on physical disease and disability rather than on emotional problems as the main reason for the psychiatric hospitalization of the patients they have worked with. In addition, the combined etiology scores of both Geriatric and Non-Geriatric Ss correlated negatively with Factors I and VI, suggesting that, for aides in general, attitudes emphasizing patients' physical disease or disability are accompanied by attitudes favoring strict control and management of patient behavior and the restriction of patients' personal possessions. geriatric patients do play the "illness game," as Davis (1968) suggests, it would serve to focus aides' attention on the medical aspects of the patients' condition and may further the development of custodial and restrictive attitudes toward patient care.

The finding that aides working with geriatric patients obtained lower scores on Factor V, reflecting relatively less emphasis on keeping patients active and motivated, may be of particular importance in the successful treatment of geriatric patients. Manson (1961) studied 275 male admissions to the geriatric-psychiatric service of a Veterans

Administration hospital during a 39 month period, to determine which patient characteristics, if any, differentiated between those who were discharged from the hospital (N=80) and those who remained (N=195). The 10 patient characteristics studied were race, age, marital status, major occupation, number of diagnoses, kinds of diagnoses, death rate, time in hospital, number of discharges, and kinds of dis-No significant differences were found in race, age, marital status, or number of diagnoses and, ". . . although some differences between the remaining and discharged groups were found, no single characteristic or combination of characteristics could be recognized which made it possible to predict which patients would remain in or would leave the hospital (Manson, 1961, p. 617)." After discussing the results of his study with the staff members of the hospital at which the study was conducted, Manson concluded, ". . . it well may be that the motivation of staff members to move patients is the most significant factor in their discharge from geriatric-psychiatric settings (p. 618)."

There were no significant differences between Geriatric and Non-Geriatric Ss on Factor III, a high score on which reflected the attitude that patients should be managed so as to avoid their causing trouble, especially for the staff. Factor III scores did, however, correlate significantly with age, education, length of experience as an aide, and

etiology score, with the correlation between Factor III and length of experience being the highest (r = +.70) of all correlations between aide variables and SOS factor scores. Generally, the more work experience an aide had the more likely she was to favor segregation of the patients by sex and to make sure the patients did not bother the doctor or nursing staff too much. Such an attitude appears to reflect a rather authoritarian and dominant orientation toward patients.

One researcher (Lawton, 1968) attempted to determine whether or not certain personality characteristics, attitudes toward mental health, and social-background factors of psychiatric aides were significantly related to adequacy of job performance. Seventy-two aides were rated as to job performance by their supervising physicians on nine jobrelated characteristics, each characteristic having four response options ranging from "very strongly present" to "absent." These ratings were then correlated with aides! scores on Cohen and Struening's Opinions About Mental Illness Scale, the Leary Interpersonal Checklist, the Edwards Personal Preference Schedule, and social variables such as age. education, and years of service. Relatively few significant relationships between tests and the criteria were found, but there were enough significant and predicted relationships to suggest that aides considered more adequate are less authoritarian, more benevolent, and less dominant. If high scores on SOS Factor III do reflect an essentially dominant,

authoritarian attitude toward patients, and if such an attitude reduces the adequacy or effectiveness of psychiatric aides, it would appear that, at least in this respect, older and more experienced aides may be less adequate than newer ones. One type of work experience which may contribute to the development of such attitudes could be exposure to rigid role conceptions among medical and nursing staff, emphasizing traditional authority relationships. In any case, the possibility that psychiatric aides may develop attitudes not consistent with current treatment philosophies as a function of experience in a mental hospital deserves further research.

The results of the present investigation indicate that scores on Factors I, IV, and VI of the Staff Opinion Scale discriminate between psychiatric aides working on essentially custodial wards and aides working on wards engaged in more active treatment programs, and that these differences in measured attitudes may be related to differences in patient age or to characteristics associated with such differences, such as the perceived importance of physical reasons for the psychiatric hospitalization of the aged. In addition, scores on Factor III were found to be primarily related to length of experience as an aide, with more experienced aides tending to be more dominant and less considerate toward patients. Although inter-hospital differences in SOS scores within the same occupational level are expected, it is felt

that intra-hospital differences similar to those reported here will occur to the degree that similar differences in patient treatment exist.

CHAPTER V

SUMMARY

The present study was concerned with differences in the attitudes of psychiatric aides toward the patients they work with, what these differences may be related to and the implications they may have in terms of treatment. The Staff Opinion Scale (SOS) and a brief questionnaire were completed by twenty-seven geriatric ward aides (Geriatric Ss), thirty chronic and acute ward aides (Non-Geriatric Ss), and twelve aides who had completed training but who had not yet been assigned to wards (Training Ss).

Differences significant at the .05 level or better were found between Geriatric and Non-Geriatric Ss on three SOS factors: Geriatric Ss tended to believe in stricter control and management of patients, and in greater restriction of patients' personal possessions (SOS Factors I and VI), while Non-Geriatric Ss expressed greater concern for keeping patients active and motivated (SOS Factor V). Geriatric Ss also tended more than Non-Geriatric Ss to believe that their patients were hospitalized due to physical disease or disability rather than psychological problems. These attitudinal differences were discussed in terms of differences both in treatment programs and patient characteristics. The attitudes

of Training Ss differed from those of both Geriatric and Non-Geriatric Ss, although they more closely resembled those of the latter, and further, longitudinal research was suggested to explore the influence of actual work experience on the development of these attitudes.

The tendency to emphasize the role of physical over psychological factors leading to psychiatric hospitalization is found to be related to attitudes favoring a generally dominant, custodial orientation toward patients.

There is also a relatively large positive correlation between the amount of experience an aide has and the attitude that patients should be managed so as to avoid their causing trouble, expecially for the staff, suggesting that as psychiatric aides gain experience they may develop attitudes not consistent with current treatment philosophies.

It is concluded that at least three factors of the Staff Opinion Scale are useful in distinguishing between aides working on essentially custodial wards and those working on wards involved in more active treatment programs. It also appears that the degree of importance aides attribute to physical over psychological factors leading to psychiatric hospitalization is at least partly a function of patient age, and this attitude may contribute to the development of non-therapeutic, custodial modes of patient-staff interaction.

APPENDIX

STAFF OPINION SCALE

1.	Age					
2.	Sex					
3.	What	the highest grade you completed in school?				
4	How 1	ng have you been employed as a Psychiatric Aide?				
5.	How 1	ng have you worked on this unit of the hospital?				
б.	Which	hit, if any, did you work on before you came to this one?				
7.	Which	shift do you usually work?				
8.		Generally speaking, which of the following do you feel is the main reason that the patients you now work with are hospitalized (check one)?				
	1.	Physical (medical) disability or disease, including brain damage.				
	2.	Primarily physical (medical) disability or disease, with some psychological (emotional) problems.				
	3.	Primarily physical (medical) disability or disease, with considerable psychological (emotional) problems.				
	4.	Both physical (medical) and psychological (emotional) problems, about equally.				
	5.	Primarily psychological (emotional) problems, with considerable physical (medical) disability or disease.				
	6.	Primarily psychological (emotional) problems, with some physical (medical) disability or disease.				
	7.	Psychological (emotional) problems.				
	(DO	NOT WRITE BELOW THIS LINE)				
	I.	IV.				
	II.	· v.				
	III.	V1. ·				

STAFF OPINION SCALE

The statements that follow are opinions or ideas about the care of mental
nationts. Different people will feel differently about these statements. Some
will agree with them while others will disagree with them. We would like to know
how you feel about each statement. Each statement has six choices after it.
Please check the choice which most nearly describes how you feel about the
statement. The six choices are:

	(1) (2) (3)	agree	agree but proba	bly	(4) (5) (6)		not su disagr strong	ee	_		disagr	ee
	It is imper each or name.	portant th ne. There	at you do are no ri	not omi ight or	it any wrong	que: ansi	stions, wers.	so d Pleas	o your e do n	best ot si	to gn	
*	* *	* *	* *	*	*	*	* *	*	*	*	*	*
1.	Patients	know bett	er than ar	nyone el	lse if	the	ir medi	cine	is hel	ping	them.	
	(1) (2) (3)	agree	agree but proba		(4) (5) (6)	age on a supplied the state of	not su disagr strong	re bu ee ly di	t prob	ably	disagr	ree
2.		tal patien es more tr					things	as hi	s watc	h and	l jewe]	lry,
	(2)	strongly agree not sure agree			(4) (5) (6)		not su disagr strong	re bu ee ly di	t prob	ab1y	disagn	ree
3.	Nursing	attendants	should to	ry to ma	ake fr	iend:	s with	their	patie	nts.	-	•
			agree but proba		(5)		not su disagr strong	'ee			disagn	ree.
4.	If a men to chang	tal patien e it.	it does not	t like l	nis wo	rk a	ssignme	ent, h	e shou	ld b∈	e allow	ved
	(1) (2) (3)	strongly agree not sure agree	agree but proba	ab1y	(4) (5) (6)	Blanco to a financia de la companya	not su disagr strong	ee			disagı	ree
5.	It reall kept bus	y makes ve y during t	ry little he day.	differe	ence w	heth	er or n	ot a	menta1	pati	ient is	5
•	(1) (2) (3)	strongly agree not sure agree	agree but proba	ably	(4) (5) (6)		not su disagr strong	re bu ee ly di	t prob	ably	disagı	ree

6.	Except for regular appointments, i doctor unless he explains what he	t is bad policy to let the patient see his wants.
,	(1) strongly agree (2) agree (3) not sure but probably agree	(4) not sure but probably disagree (5) disagree (6) strongly disagree
7.	The staff of a mental hospital show	uld not eat with the patients.
	(1) strongly agree (2) agree not sure but probably agree	(4) not sure but probably disagree (5) disagree (6) strongly disagree
8.	Patients who need new clothes should the money.	ld be allowed to buy their own if they have
	(1) strongly agree (2) agree (3) not sure but probably agree	(4) not sure but probably disagree (5) disagree (6) strongly disagree
9.	You can't help most of the patient for themselves.	's families because they won't accept help
	(1) strongly agree (2) agree not sure but probably agree	(4) not sure but probably disagree (5) disagree (6) strongly disagree
10.	decide for themselves when they war	
	(1) strongly agree (2) agree (3) not sure but probably agree	(4) not sure but probably disagree (5) disagree (6) strongly disagree
11.	Mental hospitals should not admit p	patients over 60 years of age.
	(1) strongly agree (2) agree (3) not sure but probably agree	(4) not sure but probably disagree (5) disagree (6) strongly disagree
12.	Social affairs for patients should	mostly be limited to one sex.
	(1) strongly agree (2) agree (3) not sure but probably agree	(4) not sure but probably disagree (5) disagree (6) strongly disagree

13.	The mental patient the institution.	is usually able t	to tell when he is	well enough to leave
	(1) strongly (2) agree (3) not sure agree	agree but probably	(4) not sure disagree (6) strongly	e but probably disagree e y disagree
14.	A patient should b in age, education,			patients are like him
	(1) strongly agree (3) not sure agree	agree but probably	(4) not sure disagree (6) strongly	e but probably disagree e y disagree
15.	Patients feel more	comfortable if the	hey are on a locked	d ward.
	(1) strongly (2) agree (3) not sure agree	agree but probably	(4) not sure (5) disagree (6) strongly	but probably disagree disagree disagree
16.	All newly admitted being assigned to	patients should l a regular ward.	be placed on an adı	mission ward before
	(1) strongly (2) agree (3) not sure agree	agree but probably	(4) not sure (5) disagree (6) strongly	e but probably disagree e y disagree
17.	Nursing personnel	should have more	time to devote to p	patients.
	(1) strongly (2) agree (3) not sure agree	agree but probably	(4) not sure (5) disagree (6) strongly	e but probably disagree e y disagree
18.	The best treatment with their doctor.	for most mental p	patients is to tall	k over their problems
	(1) strongly agree (3) not sure agree	agree but probably	(4) not sure (5) disagree (6) strongly	e but probably disagree e y disagree
19.	It is good practic given it to be sur	e to have patients e they don't thro	s take their medic w it away.	ine at the time they're
	(1) strongly agree (3) not sure agree	agree but probably	(4) not sure (5) disagree (6) strongly	e but probably disagree e v disagree

20.	Mental patients should be allowed t	meir own books and magazines.				
	(1) strongly agree (2) agree not sure but probably agree	(4) not sure but probably disagree (5) disagree (6) strongly disagree				
21.	Mental Hospitals should have strict	cly enforced visiting hours.				
	(1) strongly agree (2) agree not sure but probably agree	(4) not sure but probably disagree (5) disagree (6) strongly disagree				
22.	Wards should be searched regularly	for forbidden items.				
	(1) strongly agree (2) agree not sure but probably agree	(4) not sure but probably disagree (5) disagree (6) strongly disagree				
23.	The patients on a ward should plan	their own social affairs.				
	(1) strongly agree (2) agree (3) not sure but probably agree	(4) not sure but probably disagree (5) disagree (6) strongly disagree				
24.	Patients often understand other patients better than the staff does.					
	(1) strongly agree (2) agree not sure but probably agree	(4) not sure but probably disagree (5) disagree (6) strongly disagree				
25.	Each mental patient should be given belongings locked up.	his own place where he can keep his ward				
	(1) strongly agree (2) agree not sure but probably agree	(4) not sure but probably disagree (5) disagree (6) strongly disagree				
26.	Mental patients come to the nursing	staff with too many unimportant problems				
	(1) strongly agree (2) agree not sure but probably agree	(4) not sure but probably disagree (5) disagree (6) strongly disagree				
27.	Outgoing mail of patients should be	read over by a staff member.				
-	(1) strongly agree (2) agree (3) not sure but probably agree	(4) not sure but probably disagree (5) disagree (6) strongly disagree				

28.	It is not a good idea for the menta he is in the institution.	l patient to stay on one ward as long as
	(1) strongly agree (2) agree (3) not sure but probably agree	(4) not sure but probably disagree (5) disagree (6) strongly disagree
29.	Most mental patients who complain a for attention.	bout physical problems are really looking
	(1) strongly agree (2) agree (3) not sure but probably agree	(4) not sure but probably disagree (5) disagree (6) strongly disagree
30.	Each day a patient spends in the in to get along in the community.	stitution makes it more difficult for him
	(1) strongly agree (2) agree (3) not sure but probably agree	(4) not sure but probably disagree (5) disagree (6) strongly disagree
31.	Mental patients should not be used	for research studies.
	(1) strongly agree (2) agree (3) not sure but probably agree	<pre>(4) not sure but probably disagree (5) disagree (6) strongly disagree</pre>
32.	Mixing of man and woman patients sh	ould be discouraged.
	(1) strongly agree (2) agree (3) not sure but probably agree	(4) not sure but probably disagree (5) disagree (6) strongly disagree
3 3.	A mental patient should have the sa entire stay in the hospital.	me doctor as far as possible during his
	(1) strongly agree (2) agree (3) not sure but probably agree	(4) not sure but probably disagree (5) disagree (6) strongly disagree
34.	Patients should never be locked up	alone in an isolation room.
	(1) strongly agree (2) agree (3) not sure but probably agree	(4) not sure but probably disagree (5) disagree (6) strongly disagree

Page	6		
35.	Most patio	ents should be required to	make their own beds.
	(1) (2) (3)	strongly agree agree not sure but probably agree	(4) not sure but probably disagree (5) disagree (6) strongly disagree
36.	It is usua institution	ally unwise to discharge a on less than a month.	mental patient who has been in the
	(1) (2) (3)	strongly agree agree not sure but probably agree	 (4) not sure but probably disagree (5) disagree (6) strongly disagree
37.		al patients should be common is really in charge.	itted by the courts so that the
	(1) (2) (3)	strongly agree agree not sure but probably agree	(4) not sure but probably disagree (5) disagree (6) strongly disagree
38.	Patients	should have a choice of fo	od at each meal.
	(1) (2) (3)	strongly agree agree not sure but probably agree	(4) not sure but probably disagree (5) disagree (6) strongly disagree
3 9.	Mental pa were requ		r if some payment for room and board
	(1) (2) (3)	strongly agree agree not sure but probably agree	(4) not sure but probably disagree (5) disagree (6) strongly disagree
40.	Mental pa	tients would get along bet	ter if they were allowed more privacy.
	(1) (2) (3)	strongly agree agree not sure but probably agree	(4) not sure but probably disagree (5) disagree (6) strongly disagree
41.	Mental pa	tients should be allowed t	o have pocket money.
	(1) (2) (3)	strongly agree agree not sure but probably agree	(4) not sure but probably disagree (5) disagree (6) strongly disagree

42.	Mental patients who get along well after		trouble in the institution are likely to
	(1) strongly (2) agree (3) not sure agree	agree but probably	(4) not sure but probably disagree (5) disagree (6) strongly disagree
43.	Many more patients if a place to live		als could be released from the institution or them.
	(1) strongly (2) agree (3) not sure agree	agree but probably	(4) not sure but probably disagree (5) disagree (6) strongly disagree
44.	Patients should be	given more chance	e to visit the community.
	(1) strongly (2) agree (3) not sure agree	agree but probably	(4) not sure but probably disagree (5) disagree (6) strongly disagree
45.	Difficult patients	often improve in	their behavior if placed on an open ward.
	(1) strongly agree (3) not sure agree	agree but probably	(4) not sure but probably disagree (5) disagree (6) strongly disagree
46.	The nursing staff much of the doctor	should try to see 's time.	that the patients do not take up too
	(1) strongly agree (3) not sure agree	agree but probably	(4) not sure but probably disagree (5) disagree (6) strongly disagree
47.	Nursing personnel their problems.	should try to get	the patients to talk with them about
	(1) strongly agree not sure agree	agree but probably	(4) not sure but probably disagree (5) disagree (6) strongly disagree
48.	Most patients return	rning from a leave	should be searched for forbidden items.
	(1) strongly (2) agree (3) not sure agree	agree but probably	(4) not sure but probably disagree (5) disagree (6) strongly disagree

Page	8	
	Patients should be paid for any v	
	(1) strongly agree (2) agree not sure but probably agree	(4) rot sure but probably disagree (5) disagree (6) strongly disagree
50.	A large number of patients would community and came to the institu	be helped more if they remained in the ution only for periodic evaluations.
	(1) strongly agree (2) agree not sure but probably agree	(4) not sure but probably disagree (5) disagree (6) strongly disagree
51.	There should be a patient on each patients feel at home.	h ward who is responsible for helping new
	(1) strongly agree (2) agree not sure but probably agree	 (4) not sure but probably disagree (5) disagree (6) strongly disagree
52.	A mental hospital should keep a him to the institution is gone.	patient until the behavior which brought
	(1) strongly agree (2) agree (3) not sure but probably agree	 (4) not sure but probably disagree (5) disagree (6) strongly disagree
53.	Mental patients should do most o	f the housework on the wards.
	(1) strongly agree (2) agree (3) not sure but probably agree	(4) not sure but probably disagree (5) disagree (6) strongly disagree
54.	Mental patients will get well fa	ster if they are placed in a home-like ward
	(1) strongly agree (2) agree (3) not sure but probably agree	 (4) not sure but probably disagree (5) disagree (6) strongly disagree
55.	Patients who may be dangerous to	themselves should be on a locked ward.
	(1) strongly agree (2) agree (3) not sure but probably agree	<pre>(4) not sure but probably disagree (5) disagree (6) strongly disagree</pre>
56.	_ ·	sical problems should be on the same wards.
	(1) strongly agree (2) agree (3) not sure but probably agree	(4) not sure but probably disagree (5) disagree (6) strongly disagree

57.	Most activities during the day she	ould take place off the ward.
	(1) strongly agree (2) agree not sure but probably agree	(4) not sure but probably disagree (5) disagree (6) strongly disagree
58.	A mental hospital should do its be	est to keep patients from escaping.
	(1) strongly agree (2) agree (3) not sure but probably agree	(4) not sure but probably disagree (5) disagree (6) strongly disagree
5 9.	The staff should be as friendly w	ith patients as they are with one another.
	(1) strongly agree (2) agree (3) not sure but probably agree	(4) not sure but probably disagree (5) disagree (6) strongly disagree
60.	It is important to explain to men are being done.	tal patients the reasons why various things
	(1) strongly agree (2) agree (3) not sure but probably agree	(4) not sure but probably disagree (5) disagree (6) strongly disagree
61.	Mental patients should be allowed approves	to make phone calls only if the staff
	(1) strongly agree (2) agree (3) not sure but probably	 (4) not sure but probably disagree (5) disagree (6) strongly disagree
62.	Mental patients should not be allo	owed to have their own matches.
	(1) strongly agree (2) agree (3) not sure but probably agree	(4) not sure but probably disagree (5) disagree (6) strongly disagree
63.	Mental hospitals should not admit	patients who are known to be alcoholics.
		(4) not sure but probably disagree (5) disagree (6) strongly disagree
64.	It is important that each patient get well.	know that the staff believes that he will
	(1) strongly agree (2) agree not sure but probably agree	(4) not sure but probably disagree (5) disagree (6) strongly disagree

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Blau, D., Kettell, M., Arth, M., Smith, J., & Oppenheim, D. Psychiatric hospitalization of the aged. <u>Geriatrics</u>, 1966, 21, 204-210.
- Cleland, C. C., & Peck, R. F. Intra-institutional administrative problems: A paradigm for employee stimulation. Mental Retardation, 1967, 5(3), 2-8.
- Cohen, J., & Struening, E. L. Opinions about mental illness in the personnel of two large mental hospitals. <u>Journal of Abnormal Social Psychology</u>, 1962, 64, 349-360.
- Davis, R. W. Psychologic aspects of geriatric nursing.

 American Journal of Nursing, 1968, 68, 802-804.
- Dietze, D. Relationships between staff and patients in judging criteria for improvement in mental health.

 Journal of Clinical Psychology, 1967, 23(1), 41-46:
- Fuhrer, M. J., Ware, K. E., & Scott, R. W. The nursing attendent's role in a rehabilitation setting: Conceptions and attitudinal correlates. Nursing Research, 1968, 17, 343-348.
- Gilbert, D. C., & Levinson, D. J. Ideology, personality, and institutional policy in the mental hospital.

 <u>Journal of Abnormal Social Psychology</u>, 1956, 53, 263-271.
- Harshbarger, D. D. Ideologies and dissonance within a mental hospital. <u>Current Conclusions</u>, 1967, July, 10.
- Kellam, S. G., Durell, J., & Shader, R. I. Mursing staff attitudes and the clinical course of psychotic patients.

 <u>Archives of General Psychiatry</u>, 1966, 14, 190-202.
- Lawton, M. P. Personality and attitudinal correlates of psychiatric-aide performance. <u>Journal of Social Psychology</u>, 1965, 66, 215-226.
- Manson, M. P. Study of a geriatric psychiatric population. Geriatrics, 1961, 16, 612-618.
- Rice, C. E., Berger, D. G., Klett, S. L., & Sewall, L. G.
 Measuring psychiatric hospital staff opinions about patient care. Archives of General Psychiatry, 1966, 14, 428-434.