brought to you by 🖉 CORE provided by Repositori d'Objectes Digitals per a l'Ensenyament la Recerca i la Cultura

Angiogenesis in implant dentistry

Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal. 2016 Jul 1;21 (4):e514-25.

Journal section: Oral Surgery Publication Types: Review doi:10.4317/medoral.21199 http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.4317/medoral.21199

The role of angiogenesis in implant dentistry part I: Review of titanium alloys, surface characteristics and treatments

Mohammad-Ali Saghiri¹, Armen Asatourian², Franklin Garcia-Godoy³, Nader Sheibani¹

¹ Bsc, Msc, PhD. Departments of Ophthalmology & Visual Sciences, Biomedical Engineering, and McPherson Eye Research Institute, University of Wisconsin School of Medicine and Public Health, Madison, WI ,USA

² DDS. Sector of Angiogenesis and Regenerative Surgery, Dr.H Afsar Lajevardi Cluster, Shiraz, Iran

³ DDS, MS, PhD, PhD. Bioscience Research Center, College of Dentistry, University of Tennessee Health Science Center, TN, USA

Correspondence: Departments of Ophthalmology &Visual Sciences and Biomedical Engineering University of Wisconsin School of Medicine and Public health Madison, WI, USA Saghiri@wisc.edu

Received: 19/12/2015 Accepted: 10/02/2016 Saghiri MA, Asatourian A, Garcia-Godoy F, Sheibani N. The role of angiogenesis in implant dentistry part I: Review of titanium alloys, surface characteristics and treatments. Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal. 2016 Jul 1;21 (4):e514-25.

http://www.medicinaoral.com/medoralfree01/v21i4/medoralv21i4p514.pdf

Article Number: 21199 http://www.medicinaoral.com/ © Medicina Oral S. L. C.I.F. B 96689336 - pISSN 1698-4447 - eISSN: 1698-6946 eMail: medicina@medicinaoral.com Indexed in: Science Citation Index Expanded Journal Citation Reports Index Medicus, MEDLINE, PubMed Scopus, Embase and Emcare Indice Médico Español

Abstract

Background: Angiogenesis plays an important role in osseointegration process by contributing to inflammatory and regenerative phases of surrounding alveolar bone. The present review evaluated the effect of titanium alloys and their surface characteristics including: surface topography (macro, micro, and nano), surface wettability/ energy, surface hydrophilicity or hydrophobicity, surface charge, and surface treatments of dental implants on angiogenesis events, which occur during osseointegration period.

Material and Methods: An electronic search was performed in PubMed, MEDLINE, and EMBASE databases via OVID using the keywords mentioned in the PubMed and MeSH headings regarding the role of angiogenesis in implant dentistry from January 2000-April 2014.

Results: Of the 2,691 articles identified in our initial search results, only 30 met the inclusion criteria set for this review. The hydrophilicity and topography of dental implants are the most important and effective surface characteristics in angiogenesis and osteogenesis processes. The surface treatments or modifications of dental implants are mainly directed through the enhancement of biological activity and functionalization in order to promote osteogenesis and angiogenesis, and accelerate the osseointegration procedure.

Conclusions: Angiogenesis is of great importance in implant dentistry in a manner that most of the surface characteristics and treatments of dental implants are directed toward creating a more pro-angiogenic surface on dental implants. A number of studies discussed the effect of titanium alloys, dental implant surface characteristic and treatments on agiogenesis process. However, clinical trials and *in-vivo* studies delineating the mechanisms of dental implants, and their surface characteristics or treatments, action in angiogenesis processes are lagging.

Key words: Angiogenesis, dental implant, osseointergration.

Introduction

In the field of implant dentistry, titanium and its alloys are one of the most commonly used groups of materials due to their unique biocompatibility, mechanical characteristics, and chemical stability. These distinct values of titanium are because of the formation of an oxide layer on the surface, which has a superior role in establishing a direct contact between dental implant and the surrounding alveolar bone, known as osseointegration (1-3). In addition to TiO₂ layer, other elements are effective on osseointegration including the titanium implant surface composition and topography (4). The dental implants, which have micron-scale surface roughness could better induce the osteoblast culture and differentiation compared to implants with machined surfaces (5,6). Even in normal bone formation, osteoclasts make the surface roughness, which plays a critical role for osteoblasts activity such as differentiation, mineralization, and production of growth factors like transforming growth factor beta-1 (TGF- β 1) and bone morphogenetic proteins (BMPs) (7-9).

Angiogenesis is the formation of new blood vessels from pre-existing capillaries, with major contribution to inflammatory and regenerative events in the body's tissues including bones. The critical role of angiogenesis in regenerative dental procedures, which deal with dentin-pulp complex and dental pulp regeneration has been recently discussed (10,11). The formation of new bones, bone regeneration, and also osseointergration after dental implant installation require a blood supply to provide nutrition, oxygen, and osteoprogenitor cells through the newly formed blood vessels (11-13). Authors have previously indicated that there is an interaction between angiogenesis and osteogenesis, in a way that the regulation of angiogenesis plays a crucial role in bone remodeling through the wound healing process (14).

According to the close relationship between angiogenesis, osteogenesis, and osseointergration, in this review we provide an overview of the role of titanium alloys (titanium-aluminum-vanadium) and dental implant surface characteristics including surface topography (macro, micro, and nano), surface wettability/energy, surface hydrophilicity or hydrophobicity, surface charge, and treatments on angiogenesis processes occurring in surrounding alveolar bone after dental implant installation.

Material and Methods

1. The Review Purpose:

The present review was conducted to assess the effects of titanium alloys and dental implant surface characteristics and treatments on angiogenesis processes that occur during peripheral alveolar bone regeneration. In order to assess these effects, the following main aspects were selected according to the aims of this review. These aspects included: 1) the effects of titanium and its alloys used in implant dentistry on angiogenesis processes; 2) the pro-or anti-angiogenic properties of these elements and their mechanisms of action; 3) the effect of dental implant surface characteristics on angiogenesis processes; 4) identify the surface characteristic or characteristics that have the highest pro-angiogenic effect; 5) the effect of dental implant surface treatments on angiogenesis processes; 6) identify the surface treatment or treatments that have the greatest pro-angiogenic impact; and 7) the current state and trend of dental implant surface treatments from the angiogenesis point of view.

2. Search Strategy for the Identification of Pertinent Studies:

The searching methodology included electronic searches performed in the PubMed, MEDLINE, and EM-BASE databases via OVID using keywords mentioned in the PubMed and MeSH headings including the impacts of titanium alloy used in implant dentistry and the dental implant surface characteristics and treatments on angiogenesis events beginning in alveolar bone after insertion of root forming dental implants. In the electronic search of scientific papers in the PubMed, MEDLINE, and EMBASE databases, the following keywords were used in combination with angiogenesis: "Titanium alloys and angiogenesis", "dental implant and angiogenesis" dental implant surface topography", "dental implant surface wettability", "dental implant surface energy", " dental implant surface hydrophilicity", "dental implant surface hydrophobicity", "dental implant surface charge", and "machined surface dental implant", "sand-blast large grit acid etched dental implant surface", "acid-etched dental implant surface", "dual acid-etched dental implant surface", "anodized/ oxidized dental implant surface", "plasma-sprayed dental implant surface", "bisphosphonate coated dental implant", "simvastatin coated dental implant", "antibiotic coated dental implant", "functionalized dental implant", "cytokine coated dental implant", and "growth factor coated dental implant".

3. Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria:

The inclusion criteria were: 1) studies published in English; 2) studies accepted and published between January 2000-April 2014; 3) the scientific *in-vitro* or *in-vivo* articles, reviews, systematic reviews, case reports that had evaluated the effect of titanium and it alloys, used in implant dentistry, on angiogenesis processes; and 4) studies that had evaluated and compared the effect of different dental implant surface characteristics and treatment on angiogenesis processes. The exclusion criteria were: 1) the studies that were not published between January 2000 and April 2014; 2) the studies that only focused on the effect of titanium surface characteristics or treatments on osteogenesis or osseonintegration.

Results

Of the 2,691 articles found in initial search results, only 30 met the inclusion criteria set for this review. These 30 studies were directly related to the effect of dental implant titanium alloys, surface characteristics, and treatments on the angiogenesis processes, which are presented in table 1 and 1 Continue. The relevant full

text articles and the reference lists of the related articles were evaluated to supplement the search as well. The assessment of the eligibility and finding related data were performed by two reviewers independently. A third reviewer was selected for further discussion and final agreement on any conflict met in the mentioned processes.

Table 1. The list of included stprocess.	udies that are directly related to the effec	t of dental implant titanium alloys, surface characteristics, and treatments on angiogenesis
Study	Main aspect	Conclusion
Lee <i>et al</i> .(17), Mentus (19)	The corrosion process of titanium alloy	The production of ROS and H2O2 due to the corrosive reaction of titanium dioxide inside the human body
Irwina <i>et al.</i> (20)	The role of ROS in vasculogenic events	ROS can induce ROS-HIF-1-VEGF pathway during vasculogenic events
Gough & Cotter (21)	The signaling effect of ROS molecules	Introducing the effect of ROS molecules in VEGF dependent and VEGF independent pathways
Tsaryka <i>et al.</i> (22)	The effect of ROS on human endothelial cells	The negative effect of ROS, formed on the surface of Ti6Al4V, on endothelial cell survival
Degidi <i>et al.</i> (23)	The angiogenic and inflammatory responses of peri-implant soft tissues against titanium and zirconium oxide healing caps	The expression of VEGF, eNOS, nNOS, and the microvessels density were higher around the titanium made healing cap compared with zirconium oxide
Zhang <i>et al</i> . (24), Zhang <i>et al</i> . (25)	The effect of vanadate on cell growth	Vanadate can induce cell growth arrest by formation of ROS and arrest the cell growth at the G2/M phase
Refai <i>et al.</i> (33)	The effect of titanium surface topography on macrophage cells	Titanium implant surface topography and roughness induce the macrophage cell to produce TNF- α , with no increase in amount of IL-1 β and IL-6
Raines et al. (34)	The effect of titanium surface microstructure and energy on angiogenesis	The micro-level topography increases the production of pro-angiogenic factors such as VEGF-A, FGF-2, and EGF in primary human osteoblasts
Olivares-Navarrete et al. (35)	The effect of rough titanium alloys on production of angiogenic factors	Rough Ti surface can enhance the production of VEGF-A, TGF-B1, FGF-2, osteoprotegerin, and angiopoietin-1
Peng <i>et al.</i> (42)	The effect of TiO2 nanotubes on endothelial cells	The nanotubular TiO2 structures can promote endothelial cells proliferation
Vlacic-Zischke et al. (8)	The effect of titanium surface microroughness and hydrophilicity on TGF-β/BMP signalling	The modified SLA surface promoted osseointegration through the TGFβ-BMP signaling pathway enhancing the expressions of several genes including KDR, which is associated with angiogenesis processes
Shi et al. (14)	The effect of different titanium surfaces on the angiogenic behavior of HUVECs	HUVECs and MG63 osteoblas-like cells grew better on the hydrophilic dental implant surfaces than smooth the hydrophobic surfaces. The modified acid-etch surface can better stimulate VEGF expression compared with conventional acid-etched surfaces
Ziebart et al. (48)	The effect of titanium implant surfaces on endothelial progenitor cells	The hydrophilic implant surface can promote these cells growth and function and induce the production of more pro-angiogenic factors such as VEGF-A, eNOS, and iNOS. Also the modified acid-etch surface has the highest effect on expression of eNOS and iNOS in endothelial cells

Donos et al. (49)	The effect of hydrophilic or hydrophobic microrough implant surface in gene expression	The hydrophilic surface of SLActive implants induces pro-osteogenic and pro-angiogenic effects through modulation of gene expression
Ren <i>et al.</i> (51)	The effect of metal ions on the surface of titanium in regulation of rBMSCs	The incorporation of Ca+2 and Mg+2 on the implant surface enhanced adhesion and differentiation of rBMSCs
Mamalis & Silvestros (52)	The effect of implant surface treatment on human mesenchymal cells	The SLA surface treatment can up-regulate 16 genes involved in cell differentiation, angiogenesis, cell adhesion, and bone formation
Mamalis & Silvestros (53)	The effect of modified implant surface on osteoblastic gene expression	The modified SLA surface can up-regulate the expression of 27 genes, while the SLA surface only up-regulates the expression of 19 genes involved in osteogenesis, angiogenesis, and osseointegration
An <i>et al</i> . (54)	The effect of different titanium surfaces on HUVECs	The modified SLA surface can stimulate the expression of pro-angiogenic genes in HUVEC, while the modified acid-etched surface is the optimum surface treatment for the proliferation and pro-angiogenic activity of endothelial cells
Herr et al. (71)	The effect of implant surface conditioning with Tetracycline-HCl on osseointegration	The enhancement of cellular attachment to the implant surface
Anil <i>et al</i> . (29)	Dental implant surface enhancement and osseointegration	Several pro-angiogenic growth factors such as VEGF, EGF, insulin-like growth factors (IGF-1 and 2), platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF), TGF- β , and osteogenic proteins like BMP-2 and BMP-4 were suggested to be incorporated into the implant surface
ELkarargy (84)	Biological functionalization of dental implants with fibronectin	The fibronectin used for surface functionalization can improve the osseointegration of dental implants
Schliephake <i>et al</i> . (85)	Angiogenic functionalization of titanium surfaces using nano- anchored VEGF	The recombinant human VEGF-165 on titanium surface could promote angiogenesis events either in immobilized or released form
Tan <i>et al</i> . (86)	Dual functionalization of titanium with VEGF and AMP	The rhVEGF and AMP coated on titanium promote the integration and decrease the infection risk
Poh <i>et al.</i> (87)	The effect of VEGF functionalization of titanium on endothelial cells	The immobilized VEGF on titanium surface can promote the revascularization process around the dental implants

Table 1 Continue. The list of included studies that are directly related to the effect of dental implant titanium alloys, surface characteristics, and treatments on angiogenesis process.

Discussion

1 Effects of titanium alloys on angiogenesis:

Titanium and its alloys are load-bearing metals, which are used in manufacturing bio-implants. The titanium made implants, due to their TiO₂ layer have a unique ability to establish a reliable attachment to surrounding bone structure (3). The titanium alloy used for manufacturing dental implants is the alloy of Ti₂Al₄V, which is covered by a TiO₂ passivating layer. This layer is well attached to the surface and acts as a protective layer on the surface of titanium alloys. This layer may be the main reason for the high biocompatibility of this alloy (1), since the biological systems sense the surface of biomaterials (15,16). However, several investigators indicated that the surfaces of titanium alloys are reactive in different environments including inside the human body (17,18). Hallab et al. reported that the serum titanium concentration increases in patients after total knee replacement surgery during the first month (18). Mentus introduced two corrosion processes on the titanium alloy surfaces including anodic and cathodic corrosion (19). The anodic corrosion occurred in areas where there were defects in the passivating oxide layer (TiO₂). In the cathodic process, the reduction of oxygen occurs resulting in the formation of intermediate products such as reactive oxygen species (ROS) and hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) (19). In addition to the ROS formed by the corrosion process, the inflammatory cells such as macrophages, monocytes, and granulocytes can also produce ROS (17).

ROS are important molecules with remarkable role in angiogenesis events. Irwina *et al.* indicated that ROS are involved in the ROS–HIF-1–VEGF pathway during hypoxia induced angiogenesis (20). Gough, Cotter introduced different mechanisms that ROS molecules can present proangiogenic activities (21). The other possible pathway of ROS activity is known as the VEGF-independent pathway. In this pathway, the main role is played through modulation of the activity of transcriptions factors such as AP-1 and NF-κB (Tables 1 and 1 Continue, 2).

Despite the prominent roles of ROS in angiogenesis events, Tsaryka *et al.* indicated that ROS formation on the surface of titanium alloy (Ti_6AI_4V) can negatively impact endothelial cell survival (22). These authors indicated that the increased production of ROS and oxidative stress can adversely affect the vitality of endothelial cells and attenuate the angiogenesis process (22). Degidi *et al.* compared the angiogenic and inflammatory responses of peri-implant soft tissues to the titanium and zirconium oxide healing caps (23). These authors indicated that the expression of VEGF, endothelial nitric oxide synthase (eNOS or NOS3), neuronal nitric oxide synthase (nNOS or NOS1), and the microvessels density were higher around the titanium made healing cap compared with zirconium oxide (23) (Tables 1 and 1 Continue, 2). Other compositions of titanium alloy such as vanadium was able to produce ROS (24). Zhang *et al.* reported that vanadate can induce cell growth arrest by formation of ROS, which can affect mitogen-activated protein kinases family members and arrest the cell growth at the G2/M phase (25) (Table 2).

2-1. Effect of surface characteristics on angiogenesis: Osseointergration is defined as a direct structural and functional contact between the bone and the load-bearing implant. This connection is crucial for stability, loading, and long-term survival of dental implants (3) and biomaterials (26). Titanium and its alloys are not capable of establishing a reliable bone-to-implant contact, and they need surface modifications to enhance osseoingtegration (27). Sul et al. indicated that osseointegration is closely related to the chemical and physical characteristics of titanium made implants (27). These characteristics mainly include the surface topography and roughness (28), wettability, surface energy, charge, surface chemistry, chemical potential, metal or nonmetal surface composites, impurities, and thickness of TiO, layer (29,30). Among these characteristics, the surface topography and roughness, wettability/energy, hydrophilicity or hydrophobicity, and surface charge had the greatest impact on osseointegration (29). Thus, in the following section we review the impacts of most effective and important characteristics of dental implant surface on angiogenesis events involved in osteogenesis process and osseointegration.

2-2. Surface macro- and micro-scale topographies and roughness:

Dental implant surface topography is described as the macroscopic and microscopic textures (31). Shibli et al. reported that different surface textures and topographies can induce proliferation, transformation, and function of osteoblasts (32). Dental implant surface roughness is divided into three types of macro-, micro-, and nano-roughness according to the dimensions of the surface texture (29). Macro-roughness is referred to the surface features with dimensions between microns to millimeters, and in micro-roughness between 1-10 microns (29). Refai et al. (33) demonstrated that the titanium implant surface topography and roughness created by sand-blasted large grit acid etched and acid etching (AE) treatments stimulated the macrophages to secrete proinflammatory cytokine including tumor necrosis factor (TNF)- α , which plays a great role in angiogenesis events immediately after implantation. Meanwhile, they reported that the macrophages' secretion of interleukin IL-1ß and IL-6 were not increased, and the production of chemokines like the monocyte chemoattractant protein (MCP)-1 and macrophage inflammatory protein (MIP)-1a were down-regulated after culture on sand-blasted large grit acid etched and AE surfaces (33). However, these investigators reported that macrophages

Type of Element/ Characteristic/ Treatment	Angiogenic Properties	Mechanisms of Action
Titanium oxide passivating layer (TiO ₂)	Pro-angiogenic & Anti-angiog en ic	 ROS-HIF-1-VEGF pathway ROS activating transcription factors like AP-1 and NF- κB Negative impact on endothelial cell vitality and survival
Titanium made healing cap	Pro-angiogenic	VEGF, eNOS, nNOS, and the microvessels density (MVD)
Micro-level topography	Pro-angiogenic	VEGF-A, FGF-2, EGF, TGF-β1, Osteoprotegerin, Angiopoietin-1
Nanotubular TiO_2 structures	Pro-angiogenic	Promote endothelial cells proliferation
Hydrophilic Surface	Pro-angiogenic	VEGF-A, eNOS, iNOS, TGF- β , FGF-1, FGF-2, and BMPs Promote the growth and function of undifferentiated endothelial progenitor cells
SLA Surface	Pro-angiogenic	TNF- α , VEGF-A, FGF-2, and EGF
Modified SLA Surface (SLActive)	Pro-angiogenic	 VEGF-A, FGF-2, and EGF Expression of 19 genes involved in osteogenesis, angiogenesis, and osseointegration
Modified Acid-etched (modA)	Pro-angiogenic	Expression of eNOS and iNOS in endothelial cells
Nanocrystalline Hydroxyapatite	Pro-angiogenic	1) FGF-2, eNOS, BMP-4, BMP-7, VEGF, osteocalcin, and alkaline phosphatas 2) Promote the endothelial cells function
Bisphosphonates Loaded Surface	Anti-angiogenic	Down-regulation of FGF-2 and VEGF
Simvastatin Loaded Surface	Pro-angiogenic	Enhancing the endothelial cell functions
Doxycycline	Anti-angiogenic	Affecting macrophages
Erythromycin	Anti-angiogenic	Negative effect on action of endothelial cells proliferation
Surface Functionalization	Pro-angiogenic	Incorporation of VEGF, EGF, IGF-1 and 2, PDGF, TGF- β , osteogenic proteins like BMP-2 and BMP-4

Angiogenesis in implant dentistry

stimulated by lipopolysaccharide (LPS) could produce higher levels of these cytokines (TNF- α , IL-1 β , IL-6) and chemokines (MCP-1, MIP-1 α) (33) (Tables 1 and 1 Continue, 2). Raines *et al.* showed that the micro-level topography increases the production of pro-angiogenic factors such as VEGF-A, fibroblast growth factor (FGF)-2, and epidermal growth factor (EGF) in primary human osteoblasts (HOB) through $\alpha 2\beta$ 1 signaling pathway (34). Olivares-Navarrete *et al.* reported similar results and indicated that rough Ti surface can enhance the secretion of VEGF-A, TGF- β 1, FGF-2, osteoprotegerin, and angiopoietin-1 by osteoblast-like MG63 cells (35) (Table 1 and 1 Continue).

Vandamme *et al.* pointed out that the mechanical loading on dental implants has greater effect on osseointegration process than the microtopography of implant surface (36). These authors indicated that in case of load-free implants, the surface micro-roughness enhanced the osteogenic activity better than turned or machined surfaces. However, in load-bearing or loaded implants this surface characteristic did not show significant enhancement in oseointegration compared with machined surface implants (36).

2-3. Surface nano-scale topographies and roughness:

The nano-scale topographies are the features on dental implant surfaces with dimensions ranging between 1-100 nanometers (29,37). The rationale for making dental implants with nano-roughness on the surface was to increase the surface texture and topography. This issue can result in increased surface energy and wettability, which accelerates the adhesion of matrix proteins, growth factors, and osteoblasts (29,38). Several authors investigated the effect of nano-roughness or nanostructure of dental implant surface during osseointegration. Webster et al. (39) and Dalby et al. (40) reported that the nanotopographies of dental implants modulate the cell adhesion and osteoblast cells differentiation. The effects of nano-scale topographies on different cell types such as osteoblasts, fibroblasts, epithelial cells, and myocytes have been discussed (41). There are few studies which directly investigated the effects of nanotopographies of titanium surface on the endothelial cells function and angiogenesis events (42,43). Peng et al. indicated that the nanotubular TiO₂ structures can promote endothelial cells proliferation and increase the secretion of prostaglandin I-2 (PGI-2) (42) (Table 1 and 1 Continue). Jo et al. indicated that TiO₂ nano particles can be used for treatment of renal diseases due to their anti-angiogenic properties (43) (Table 2).

2-4. Surface wettability and energy:

The wettability of dental implant surface is one of the most important surface characteristics for osseointegration (29). The higher energy or wettability of titanium implant surface can change its interactions with surrounding cells. These interactions are influenced by the better adsorption of protein molecules to the implant surface with high wettability (41).

Rupp *et al.* showed that wettability of implant surface is of great importance and can be modified by other implant surface characteristics, especially surface topography and chemistry (44). This issue was also emphasized by Elias *et al.* where they showed that implant surface wettability was closely related to the surface roughness and morphology (45). In 1985, Van Wachem *et al.* investigated the effect of wettability of different polymers on function of human endothelial cells, and concluded that polymers with greater wettability, due to better adsorption of serum and/or cellular protein molecules, can promote the growth of human endothelial cells (46). 2-5. Surface hydrophilicity and hydrophobicity:

The hydrophilicity or hydrophobicity of dental implant surface is crucial determinant for interactions with blood at inserted sites. After surgical installation of dental implants in alveolar bone, the hydrophilic surface of implant can promote the coagulation of blood and adsorption of matrix proteins such as fibronectin. The process of oseeointergration begins with the migration and function of macrophages in the subjected area. These immune cells, after removal of necrotic debris resulted from surgical phase, can produce several growth factors including TGF- β , FGF-1, FGF-2, and BMPs that are pro-osteogenesis and pro-angiogenesis (29) (Table 1 and 1 Continue). Numerous attempts have been made to modify the surface of dental implants to make them more hydrophilic. Vlacic-Zischke et al. indicated that the hydrophilic sand-blasted acid-etched surface showed better osseointegration than unmodified sand-blasted large grit acid etched surface (8). These investigators reported that the modified sand-blasted large grit acid etched surface promoted osseointegration through the TGFβ-BMP signaling pathway enhancing the expressions of several genes including KDR (VEGF-R2), which is associated with angiogenesis processes (8). Shi et al. indicated that the co-culture of human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVEC) and MG63 osteoblas-like cells grew better on the hydrophilic dental implant surfaces than the smooth hydrophobic surfaces (47). Ziebart et al. also addressed this issue and showed that hydrophilic implant surface can promote growth and function of undifferentiated endothelial progenitor cells to produce more pro-angiogenic factors including VEGF-A, eNOS, and iNOS (48). Donos et al. showed that hydrophilic sand-blasted acid-etched surface of dental implants compared with sand-blasted large grit acid etched surface, imposed more pro-osteogenic and pro-angiogenic effects through modulation of gene expression (49). Furthermore, Schwarz et al. reported that the hydrophilicity of dental implant surface is a more important factor than the surface microtopography in soft and hard tissue integration (50).

2-6. Surface charge:

The dental implant surface charge is modified by chemical treatment methods, which usually use sodium or potassium hydroxide followed by heat treatment. The result of this treatment is the formation of titanium hydroxide (Ti-OH) on the surface of implant, which exchanges the sodium ions with the calcium ions present in the surrounding tissue (29). Ren *et al.* demonstrated that the incorporation of Ca⁺² and Mg⁺² on the implant surface enhanced the adsorption of proteins, which was followed by adhesion and differentiation of bone-marrow derived stem cells (51) (Table 2).

3. Effect of surface treatments on angiogenesis:

The surface treatments or modifications of dental implants are performed to induce and alter their characteristics. The majority of these treatments are performed to generate different topographies or roughnesses on the surface. However, other characteristics such as wettability, surface energy, and surface charge are also impacted by the surface modifications (2,16,29). Basically, there are three types of methods for surface treatments, including physical, mechanical, and chemical, which enhance the ostegenesis and osseointegration. The common mechanical methods are machining, polishing, blasting, and grinding, which produce either smooth or rough surfaces in order to promote the adhesion, proliferation, and differentiation of surrounding cells (29).

The other common method is the chemical treatment, which removes the surface contaminants and increases the biomechanical properties that result in enhanced osseointegration. Surface treatments with hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2), acids, alkali, anodization, chemical vapor deposition, and sol-gel are different types of chemical modification. The last method is a physical method including plasma spraying, ion deposition, and sputtering methods (29). In the following sections, the effects of most common surface treatments on angiogenesis events are overviewed.

3-1. Machining or turning:

The first treatment method of dental implant surface was the machining or turning, which creates a polished surface. This is one of the physical treatment methods, which was used for first generation of implants (29). Thus far, the effect of this treatment on angiogenesis events has not been evaluated.

3-2. Sand-blasting large grit acid-etched:

Another common physical method used for surface treatment of dental implants is the grit blasting, which is done by titanium or aluminum particles ranging in size between 25-75 μ m. The sandblasting and acid-etching treatment method includes the grit blasting with 250-500 μ m size particles, and the acid-etching is done with hydrochloric and sulfuric acid (29). The sand-blasted large grit acid etched surface treatment was demonstrated to induce macrophages to produce TNF- α , a

pro-angiogenic factor 33. Similarly the expression of other pro-angiogenic factors such as VEGF-A, FGF-2, and EGF are increased on sand-blasted large grit acid etched or modified sand-blasted large grit acid etched treated dental implants (34) (Table 1 and 1 Continue).

Several authors have compared the pro-angiogenic and pro-osteogenic activity of conventional unmodified sand-blasted acid-etched and the modified sand-blasted large grit acid etched surface that is hydrophilic. These investigators presented similar results that the modified sand-blasted large grit acid etched surface known as hydrophilic sand-blasted acid-etched can better stimulate the adsorption of proteins, alveolar bone stem cells differentiation, and result in a better osseointegration and angiogenesis in surrounding bone (8,48,49). Mamalis, Silvestros showed that the sand-blasted large grit acid etched surface treatment can up-regulate 16 genes, which are effective in cell differentiation, angiogenesis, cell adhesion, and bone formation (52). These authors in another study showed that the modified sand-blasted large grit acid etched surface can up-regulate the expression of 27 genes, while the sand-blasted large grit acid etched surface only up-regulates the expression of 19 genes involved in osteogenesis, angiogenesis, and osseointegration (53). Shwarz et al. demonstrated that the modified sand-blasted large grit acid etched surface, compared to machined sand-blasted large grit acid etched, could better promot the soft and hard tissue integration (50). An et al. showed that modified sand-blasted large grit acid etched surface can stimulate the expression of pro-angiogenic genes in HUVEC (54) (Table 2).

3-3. Acid-etching (AE) and dual acid-etching:

The acid-etching is the most common chemical method used for surface treatment. The acid-etching is usually performed by either hydrochloric acid (HCl) and sulfuric acid (H₂SO₄), or nitric acid (HNO₂) and hydrofluoric acid (HF). The duel acid etching is performed by heated HCl and H₂SO₄ (29). Mamalis, Silvestros indicated that the dual-acid etched (Osseotite) surface of dental implants can up-regulate the expression of 15 genes involved in osteogenesis and angiogenesis (52). An et al. reported that among acid-etched (A), sand-blasted large grit acid etched, modified acid-etched (modA), and modified sand-blasted large grit acid etched (modS-LA) the modA is the optimum surface treatment for the proliferation and pro-angiogenic activity of endothelial cells (54). Similar results were reported by Ziebart et al., which indicated that the modA has the highest effect on expression of eNOS and iNOS in endothelial cells, while the conventional acid-etched surface showed the lowest stimulation (48). Shi et al. showed that modA surface can better stimulate VEGF expression compared with conventional acid-etched surfaces (14).

3-4. Anodizing or oxidizing:

Anodizing or oxidizing is another chemical method

for treatment of dental implant surfaces, which is performed by electrochemical reaction. The aim of this treatment method is to increase the thickness of TiO_2 layer in order to improve the surface characteristics of dental implants (29).

3-5. Plasma spraying:

Plasma spraying (PS) is one of the physical methods, which uses the atmospheric PS and vacuum plasma spraying to treat the implant surface. In this method the plasma torch is used to coat one of the three types of particles including titanium, calcium phosphate (CP), and hydroxyapatite (HA) (54). The titanium plasma spray (TPS) is effective in making surface roughness, which assists the rapid establishment of bone-implantcontact (BIC) (55). The rational for addition of hydroxyapatite or calcium and phosphor particles by plasma spraying method is similarity of these components with the basic structure of implant surrounding tissues (56). The plasma-sprayed hydroxyapatite (PSHA) was shown to better promote the osseointegration compared to uncoated surfaces (57).

The direct of effects of TPS and PSHA treatments on the angiogenesis events have not been evaluated. However, related to the titanium particles it should be noted that the titanium made healing caps affect the expression of VEGF, eNOS, iNOS, and nNOS in surrounding tissues (58). Several studies have also discussed the effects of calcium phosphate (CaP) ceramics such as hydroxyapatite on angiogenesis events (59-61). Chen et al. indicated that the calcium phosphate ceramics including hydroxyapatite can induce angiogenesis and neovascularization (59). Canuto et al. indicated that the nanocrystalline hydroxyapatite can enhance angiogenesis, osteogenesis, alveolar socket healing, and epithelialization through increased expression of BMP-4, BMP-7, VEGF, osteocalcin, and alkaline phosphatase (60). Similarly, Pezzatini et al. reported that the nanocrystals of HA are able to promote the expression of FGF-2, eNOS and promote the endothelial cells function during angiogenesis (61, 62) (Table 1 and 1 Continue).

3-6. Active drug incorporation:

The active drug incorporation is one of the recent treatment method for enhancement of dental implant surface characteristics. In this modification the bioactive elements such as bisphosphonate, simvastatin, and antibiotics are coated on the surface to promote the osseointegration process (29). The major aspect in adding bisphosphonate on the surface of dental implants is to prevent bone loss from the surrounding through time and increasing the density of alveolar bone (63). Or *et al.* showed that bisphosphonates such as Alendronate and Etidronate can induce inflammation by expression of cytokines, and inhibit angiogenesis events by decreasing the expression of FGF-2 and VEGF (64). Ribeiro *et al.* reported similar results using Alendronate and Zoledronate as these bisphosphonate drugs, exhibit anti-angiogenic effects by down-regulating VEGF expression. However, these authors presented that these bisphosphonates could up-regulate the expression of BMP-2, osteocalcin, osteoprotegerin, and alkaline phosphatase, which are effective in osteogenesis (65).

Simvastatin is another bioactive drug which is shown to be effective on bone formation and density (66,67). Several authors indicated that Simvastatin (3-hydroxy-3methylglutaryl coenzyme A reductase inhibitor), which is cholesterol-lowering drug can promote angiogenesis events and endothelial cell functions (68-70). Gentamycin and Tetracycline-HCl are two types of antibiotic which have been coated on the surface of implants (29). Beside their antimicrobial activity, authors indicated that Tetracycline-HCl is able to enhance cellular attachment to the implant surface (71), and promote osseointegration through blood clot establishment on implant surface (72).

Lee *et al.* incorporated amoxicillin in nanotubes of TiO_2 and concluded that this antibiotic can present a remarkable bactericidal effect in preventing the early failure of dental implants (73). He, Marneros indicated that doxycycline by affecting macrophages has anti-angiogenic impacts during neovascularization (74). Vancomycin is another antibiotic which Zhang *et al.* suggested for coating of titanium implant surface (75). Most of the antibiotic agents were indicated to have anti-angiogenic behavior (76-81). Meghari *et al.* showed that erythromycin has anti-angiogenic effect due to its action on endothelial cells proliferation (76). Other antibiotics such as ciprofloxacin (77,78), clindamycin (79), and minocycline (80,81) have also demonstrated anti-angiogenesis effects at applied sites.

3-7. Surface functionalizing:

The functionalization is a novel term in the dental implant surface modification era. In these surface treatments different growth factors and cytokines are utilized to enhance the biocompatibility and biological activity of implant surface (82). Several pro-angiogenic growth factors such as VEGF, EGF, insulin-like growth factors (IGF-1 and 2), platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF), TGF- β , and osteogenic proteins like BMP-2 and BMP-4 are suggested to be incorporated into the implant surface (29). Hossain et al. indicated that the hepatocyte growth factor (HGF) can be adsorbed to the hydroxyapatite surface of implants and promote osteogenesis and angiogenesis events during osseointegration process (83). Elkarargy reported that fibronectin can be used for surface functionalization in order to improve the osseointegration of dental implants (84).

Schliephake *et al.* showed that the coated recombinant human VEGF-165 on titanium surface could promote angiogenesis events either in immobilized or released form (85). Tan *et al.* reported that rhVEGF and antimi-

crobial peptide (AMP) coated on titanium stimulate the integration and decrease the infection risk (86). Poh *et al.* also suggested that the immobilized VEGF on titanium surface can promote the revascularization process around the implants which resulted in better integration (87) (Tables 1 and 1 Continue, 2).

As an eye to the future, it should be mentioned that several *in-vivo* and clinical studies are necessary to directly evaluate the effect of surface characteristics on angiogenesis process and to point out the specific effects and detailed mechanisms of the mentioned treatment methods on the angiogenesis process at the dental implant installation site.

Conclusions

According to the point of views and aspects overviewed here the following conclusions can be drawn:

• The nano particles of TiO_2 have anti-angiogenic behavior, while the ROS produced from the corrosion of titanium metal and its alloys act as a double edged sword, at low concentration is pro-angiogenic while at high concentration is anti-angiogenic by influencing endothelial cell function.

• The surface characteristics of dental implants have been well-discussed through the history and it was shown that surface topography and hydrophilicity are the most important and effective characteristics on angiogenesis and osteogenesis due to increasing the production of several pro-angiogenic growth factors. Meanwhile, there is still lack of knowledge on detail of mechanism of action of surface characteristic on angiogenesis.

• Among dental implant surface treatment methods, the sand-blasted large grit acid etched and acid-etched modifications were well-discussed methods. The current trends in surface treatments of dental implants are directed toward more complex and combination of methods and biomaterials in order to produce more bioactive and pro-angiogenic surfaces on dental implants.

References

1. Williams D. Titanium and titanium alloys. CRC Press, Inc, Biocompatibility of Clin Imp Mater 1981;1:9-44.

2. Saghiri MA, Ghasemi M, Moayer AR, Sheibani N, Garcia-Godoy F, Asatourian A, et al. A novel method to evaluate the neurocompatibility of dental implants. Int J Oral Maxillofac Imp. 2013;29:41-50.

 Brånemark PI. Osseointegration and its experimental background. J Prosthet Dent. 1983;50:399-410.

4. Le Guéhennec L, Soueidan A, Layrolle P, Amouriq Y. Surface treatments of titanium dental implants for rapid osseointegration. Dent mater. 2007;23:844-54.

5. Lincks J, Boyan BD, Blanchard CR, Lohmann CH, Liu Y, Cochran DL, et al. Response of MG63 osteoblast-like cells to titanium and titanium alloy is dependent on surface roughness and composition. Biomaterials. 1998;19:2219-32.

6. Schwartz Z, Raz P, Zhao G, Barak Y, Tauber M, Yao H, et al. Effect of micrometer-scale roughness of the surface of Ti6Al4V pedicle screws in vitro and in vivo. J Bone Joint Surg. 2008;90:2485-98.

7. Boyan BD, Schwartz Z, Lohmann CH, Sylvia VL, Cochran DL, Dean DD, et al. Pretreatment of bone with osteoclasts affects phenotypic expression of osteoblast-like cells. J Orthop Res. 2003;21:638-47.

8. Vlacic-Zischke J, Hamlet S, Friis T, Tonetti M, Ivanovski S. The influence of surface microroughness and hydrophilicity of titanium on the up-regulation of TGF β /BMP signalling in osteoblasts. Biomaterials. 2011;32:665-71.

9. Kieswetter K, Schwartz Z, Hummert TW, Cochran DL, Simpson J, Dean DD, et al. Surface roughness modulates the local production of growth factors and cytokines by osteoblast-like MG-63 cells. J Biomed Mater Res. 1996;32:55-63.

10. Saghiri MA, Asatourian A, Sheibani N. Angiogenesis in regenerative dentistry. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol. 2015;119:22.

11. Saghiri MA, Asatourian A, Sorenson CM, Sheibani N. Role of Angiogenesis in Endodontics: Contributions of Stem Cells and Proangiogenic and Antiangiogenic Factors to Dental Pulp Regeneration. J Endod. 2015;41:797-803.

12. Nobuto T, Suwa F, Kono T, Taguchi Y, Takahashi T, Kanemura N, et al. Microvascular response in the periosteum following mucoperiosteal flap surgery in dogs: angiogenesis and bone resorption and formation. J Periodontol. 2005;76:1346-53.

13. Abshagen K, Schrodi I, Gerber T, Vollmar B. In vivo analysis of biocompatibility and vascularization of the synthetic bone grafting substitute NanoBone®. J Biomed Mater Res Part A. 2009;91:557-66.

14. Shi B, Andrukhov O, Berner S, Schedle A, Rausch-Fan X. The angiogenic behaviors of human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HU-VEC) in co-culture with osteoblast-like cells (MG-63) on different titanium surfaces. Dent Mater. 2014;30:839-47.

15. Sautier JM, Nefussi JR, Forest N. Surface-reactive biomaterials in osteoblast cultures: an ultrastructural study. Biomaterials. 1992;13:400-2.

16. Saghiri MA, Asgar K, Lotfi M, Karamifar K, Saghiri AM, Neelakantan P, et al. Back-scattered and secondary electron images of scanning electron microscopy in dentistry: a new method for surface analysis. Acta Odontol Scandinavia. 2012;70:603-9.

17. Lee MC, Yoshino F, Shoji H, Takahashi S, Todoki K, Shimada S, et al. Characterization by electron spin resonance spectroscopy of reactive oxygen species generated by titanium dioxide and hydrogen peroxide. J Dent Res. 2005;84:178-82.

18. Hallab NJ, Jacobs JJ, Skipor A, Black J, Mikecz K, Galante JO. Systemic metal-protein binding associated with total joint replacement arthroplasty. J Biomed Mater Res. 2000;49:353-61.

19. Mentus SV. Oxygen reduction on anodically formed titanium dioxide. Electrochim acta. 2004;50:27-32.

20. Irwin DC, McCord JM, Nozik-Grayck E, Beckly G, Foreman B, Sullivan T, et al. A potential role for reactive oxygen species and the HIF-1 α -VEGF pathway in hypoxia-induced pulmonary vascular leak. Free Radic Biol Med. 2009;47:55-61.

21. Gough D, Cotter T. Hydrogen peroxide: a Jekyll and Hyde signalling molecule. Cell Death Dis. 2011;2:e213.

22. Tsaryk R, Kalbacova M, Hempel U, Scharnweber D, Unger RE, Dieter P, et al. Response of human endothelial cells to oxidative stress on Ti6Al4V alloy. Biomaterials. 2007;28:806-13.

23. Degidi M, Artese L, Scarano A, Perrotti V, Gehrke P, Piattelli A. Inflammatory infiltrate, microvessel density, nitric oxide synthase expression, vascular endothelial growth factor expression, and proliferative activity in peri-implant soft tissues around titanium and zirconium oxide healing caps. J Periodontol. 2006;77:73-80.

24. Zhang Z, Huang C, Li J, Leonard SS, Lanciotti R, Butterworth L, et al. Vanadate-induced cell growth regulation and the role of reactive oxygen species. Arch Biochem Biophys. 2001;392:311-20.

25. Zhang Z, Leonard SS, Huang C, Vallyathan V, Castranova V, Shi X. Role of reactive oxygen species and MAPKs in vanadate-induced G(2)/M phase arrest. Free Radic Biol Med. 2003;34:1333-42.

26. Saghiri MA, Orangi J, Tanideh N, Janghorban K, Sheibani N. Effect of Endodontic Cement on Bone Mineral Density Using Serial Dual-energy X-ray Absorptiometry. J Endod. 2014;40:648-51.

27. Sul YT, Johansson C, Wennerberg A, Cho LR, Chang BS, Albrektsson T. Optimum surface properties of oxidized implants for reinforcement of osseointegration: surface chemistry, oxide thickness, porosity, roughness, and crystal structure. Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants. 2004;20:349-59.

28. Cochran DL, Buser D, Bruggenkate CM, Weingart D, Taylor TM, Bernard JP, et al. The use of reduced healing times on ITI implants with a sandblasted and acid-etched (SLA) surface: early results from clinical trials on ITI SLA implants. Clin Oral Implants Res. 2002;13:144-53.

29. Anil S, Anand P, Alghamdi H, Jansen J. Dental implant surface enhancement and osseointegration. Implant Dentistry: A Rapidly Evolving Practice New York. InTech. 2011;83:108.

30. Eriksson C, Lausmaa J, Nygren H. Interactions between human whole blood and modified TiO2-surfaces: influence of surface topography and oxide thickness on leukocyte adhesion and activation. Biomaterials. 2001;22:1987-96.

31. Dahiya V, Shukla P, Gupta S. Surface topography of dental implants: A review. J Dent Imp. 2014;4:66.

32. Shibli JA, Grassi S, Cristina de Figueiredo L, Feres M, Marcantonio E, Iezzi G, et al. Influence of implant surface topography on early osseointegration: a histological study in human jaws. J Biomed Mater Res Part B. Appl Biomateri. 2007;80:377-85.

33. Refai AK, Textor M, Brunette DM, Waterfield JD. Effect of titanium surface topography on macrophage activation and secretion of proinflammatory cytokines and chemokines. J Biomed Mater Res Part A. 2004;70:194-205.

34. Raines AL, Olivares-Navarrete R, Wieland M, Cochran DL, Schwartz Z, Boyan BD. Regulation of angiogenesis during osseointegration by titanium surface microstructure and energy. Biomaterials. 2010;31:4909-17.

35. Olivares-Navarrete R, Hyzy S, Gittens IRA, Schneider JM, Haithcock DA, Ullrich PF, et al. Rough titanium alloys regulate osteoblast production of angiogenic factors. Spine J. 2013;13:1563-70.

36. Vandamme K, Naert I, Vander Sloten J, Puers R, Duyck J. Effect of implant surface roughness and loading on peri-implant bone formation. J Periodontol. 2007;79:150-7.

37. Saghiri MA, Asgar K, Lotfi M, Garcia-Godoy F. Nanomodification of mineral trioxide aggregate for enhanced physiochemical properties. Int Endod J. 2012;45:979-88.

38. Brett P, Harle J, Salih V, Mihoc R, Olsen I, Jones F, et al. Roughness response genes in osteoblasts. Bone. 2004;35:124-33.

39. Webster TJ, Siegel RW, Bizios R. Osteoblast adhesion on nanophase ceramics. Biomaterials. 1999;20:1221-7.

40. Dalby MJ, Andar A, Nag A, Affrossman S, Tare R, McFarlane S, et al. Genomic expression of mesenchymal stem cells to altered nanoscale topographies. J Royal Soc Interface. 2008;5:1055-65.

41. Mendonça G, Mendonca D, Aragao FJ, Cooper LF. Advancing dental implant surface technology–from micron-to nanotopography. Biomaterials. 2008;29:3822-35.

42. Peng L, Eltgroth ML, LaTempa TJ, Grimes CA, Desai TA. The effect of TiO2 nanotubes on endothelial function and smooth muscle proliferation. Biomaterials. 2009;30:1268-72.

43. Jo DH, Kim JH, Son JG, Song NW, Kim YI, Yu YS, et al. Antiangiogenic effect of bare titanium dioxide nanoparticles on pathologic neovascularization without unbearable toxicity. Nanomedicine. 2014;10:1109-17.

44. Rupp F, Gittens RA, Scheideler L, Marmur A, Boyan BD, Schwartz Z, et al. A review on the wettability of dental implant surfaces I: Theoretical and experimental aspects. Acta biomater. 2014;10:2894-906.

45. Elias CN, Oshida Y, Lima JHC, Muller CA. Relationship between surface properties (roughness, wettability and morphology) of titanium and dental implant removal torque. J Mech Behav Biomed Mater. 2008;1:234-42. 46. van Wachem PB, Beugeling T, Feijen J, Bantjes A, Detmers JP, van Aken WG. Interaction of cultured human endothelial cells with polymeric surfaces of different wettabilities. Biomaterials. 1985;6:403-8.

47. Shi B, Andrukhov O, Berner S, Schedle A, Rausch-Fan X. The angiogenic behaviors of human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HU-VEC) in co-culture with osteoblast-like cells (MG-63) on different titanium surfaces. Dent Mater. 2014;30:839-47.

48. Ziebart T, Schnell A, Walter C, Kämmerer PW, Pabst A, Lehmann KM, et al. Interactions between endothelial progenitor cells (EPC) and titanium implant surfaces. Clin Oral Investig. 2013;17:301-9.

49. Donos N, Hamlet S, Lang N, Salvi G, Huynh-Ba G, Bosshardt D, et al. Gene expression profile of osseointegration of a hydrophilic compared with a hydrophobic microrough implant surface. Clin Oral Imp Res. 2011;22:365-72.

50. Schwarz F, Ferrari D, Herten M, Mihatovic I, Wieland M, Sager M, et al. Effects of surface hydrophilicity and microtopography on early stages of soft and hard tissue integration at non-submerged titanium implants: an immunohistochemical study in dogs. J Periodontol. 2007;78:2171-84.

51. Ren N, Li J, Qiu J, Sang Y, Jiang H, Boughton RI, et al. Nanostructured titanate with different metal ions on the surface of metallic titanium: a facile approach for regulation of rBMSCs fate on titanium implants. Small. 2014;10:3169-80.

52. Mamalis A, Silvestros S. Modified Titanium Surfaces Alter Osteogenic Differentiation: A Comparative Microarray-Based Analysis of Human Mesenchymal Cell Response to Commercial Titanium Surfaces. J Oral Implantol. 2013;39:591-601.

53. Mamalis AA, Silvestros SS. Analysis of osteoblastic gene expression in the early human mesenchymal cell response to a chemically modified implant surface: an in vitro study. Clin Oral Imp Res. 2011;22:530-7.

54. An N, Schedle A, Wieland M, Andrukhov O, Matejka M, Rausch-Fan X. Proliferation, behavior, and cytokine gene expression of human umbilical vascular endothelial cells in response to different titanium surfaces. J Biomed Mater Res Part A. 2010;93:364-72.

55. Al-Nawas B, Wagner W, Grötz KA. Insertion torque and resonance frequency analysis of dental implant systems in an animal model with loaded implants. Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants. 2006;21:726-32.

56. Kirsch A. Plasma-sprayed titanium-I.M.Z. implant. J Oral Implantol. 1986;12:494-7.

57. Clark PA, Rodriguez A, Sumner DR, Hussain MA, Mao JJ. Modulation of bone ingrowth of rabbit femur titanium implants by in vivo axial micromechanical loading. J Appl Physiol. 2005;98:1922-9.

58. Degidi M, Artese L, Rubini C, Perrotti V, Iezzi G, Piattelli A. Microvessel density and vascular endothelial growth factor expression in sinus augmentation using Bio-Oss®. Oral Dis. 2006;12:469-75.

59. Chen Y, Wang J, Zhu XD, Tang ZR, Yang X, Tan YF, et al. Enhanced effect of β -tricalcium phosphate phase on neovascularization of porous calcium phosphate ceramics: in vitro and in vivo evidence. Acta Biomater. 2015;11:435-48.

60. Canuto R, Pol R, Martinasso G, Muzio G, Gallesio G, Mozzati M. Hydroxyapatite paste Ostim®, without elevation of full-thickness flaps, improves alveolar healing stimulating BMP-and VEGF-mediated signal pathways: an experimental study in humans. Clin Oral Imp Res. 2013;24:42-8.

61. Pezzatini S, Solito R, Morbidelli L, Lamponi S, Boanini E, Bigi A, et al. The effect of hydroxyapatite nanocrystals on microvascular endothelial cell viability and functions. J Biomed Mater Res Part A. 2006;76:656-63.

62. Pezzatini S, Morbidelli L, Solito R, Paccagnini E, Boanini E, Bigi A, et al. Nanostructured HA crystals up-regulate FGF-2 expression and activity in microvascular endothelium promoting angiogenesis. Bone. 2007;41:523-34.

63. Kwak HB, Kim JY, Kim KJ, Choi MK, Kim JJ, Kim KM, et al. Risedronate directly inhibits osteoclast differentiation and inflammatory bone loss. Biol Pharm Bull. 2009;32:1193-8.

64. Or C, Cui J, Matsubara J, Forooghian F. Pro-inflammatory and anti-angiogenic effects of bisphosphonates on human cultured retinal pigment epithelial cells. Br J Ophthalmol. 2013;97:1074-8.

65. Ribeiro V, Garcia M, Oliveira R, Gomes PS, Colaço B, Fernandes MH. Bisphosphonates induce the osteogenic gene expression in cocultured human endothelial and mesenchymal stem cells. J Cell Mol Med. 2014;18:27-37.

66. Ayukawa Y, Okamura A, Koyano K. Simvastatin promotes osteogenesis around titanium implants. Clin Oral Imp Res. 2004;15:346-50.

67. Ayukawa Y, Yasukawa E, Moriyama Y, Ogino Y, Wada H, Atsuta I, et al. Local application of statin promotes bone repair through the suppression of osteoclasts and the enhancement of osteoblasts at bone-healing sites in rats. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod. 2009;107:336-42.

68. Hamelin BA, Turgeon J. Hydrophilicity/lipophilicity: relevance for the pharmacology and clinical effects of HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors. Trends Pharmacol Sci. 1998;19:26-37.

69. Mundy G, Garrett R, Harris S, Chan J, Chen D, Rossini G, et al. Stimulation of bone formation in vitro and in rodents by statins. Science. 1999;286:1946-9.

70. Min KS, Lee YM, Hong SO, Kim EC. Simvastatin promotes odontoblastic differentiation and expression of angiogenic factors via heme oxygenase-1 in primary cultured human dental pulp cells. J Endod. 2010;36:447-52.

71. Herr Y, Woo J, Kwon Y, Park J, Heo S, Chung J. Implant surface conditioning with Tetracycline-HCl: A SEM study. Key Eng Mater. 2008;361:849-52.

72. Persson L, Ericsson I, Berglundh T, Lindhe J. Osseintegration following treatment of peri-implantitis and replacement of implant components. J Clin Periodontol. 2001;28:258-63.

73. Lee JH, Moon SK, Kim KM, Kim KN. Modification of TiO2 nanotube surfaces by electro-spray deposition of amoxicillin combined with PLGA for bactericidal effects at surgical implantation sites. Acta Odontol Scandinavia. 2013;71:168-74.

74. He L, Marneros AG. Doxycycline inhibits polarization of macrophages to the proangiogenic M2-type and subsequent neovascularization. J Biol Chem. 2014;289:8019-28.

75. Zhang L, Yan J, Yin Z, Tang C, Guo Y, Li D, et al. Electrospun vancomycin-loaded coating on titanium implants for the prevention of implant-associated infections. Int J Nanomed. 2014;9:3027.

76. Meghari S, Rolain JM, Grau GE, Platt E, Barrassi L, Mège JL, et al. Antiangiogenic effect of erythromycin: an in vitro model of Bartonella quintana infection. J Infect Dis. 2006;193:380-6.

77. Bezwada P, Clark LA, Schneider S. Intrinsic cytotoxic effects of fluoroquinolones on human corneal keratocytes and endothelial cells. Curr Med Res Opin. 2007;24:419-24.

78. Galley HF, Dhillon JK, Paterson RL, Webster NR. Effect of ciprofloxacin on the activation of the transcription factors nuclear factor B, activator protein-1 and nuclear factor interleukin-6, and interleukin-6 and interleukin-8 mRNA expression in a human endothelial cell line. Clin Sci. 2000;99:405-10.

79. Michalska M, Palatyńska-Ulatowska A, Palatyński A, Mirowski M, Kaplińska K, Nawrot-Modranka J, et al. Influence of antibiotic therapy on the level of selected angiogenic factors in patients with benign gynecologic tumors-preliminary report. Pharmazie. 2011;66:619-22.

80. Jung HJ, Seo I, Jha BK, Suh SI, Suh MH, Baek WK. Minocycline inhibits angiogenesis in vitro through the translational suppression of HIF-1α. Arch Biochem Biophys. 2014;545:74-82.

81. Li CH, Liao PL, Yang YT, Huang SH, Lin CH, Cheng YW, et al. Minocycline accelerates hypoxia-inducible factor-1 alpha degradation and inhibits hypoxia-induced neovasculogenesis through prolyl hydroxylase, von Hippel-Lindau-dependent pathway. Arch toxicol. 2014;88:659-71.

82. Liu Y, Enggist L, Kuffer AF, Buser D, Hunziker EB. The influence of BMP-2 and its mode of delivery on the osteoconductivity of implant surfaces during the early phase of osseointegration. Biomaterials. 2007;28:2677-86.

83. Hossain M, Irwin R, Baumann M, McCabe L. Hepatocyte growth factor (HGF) adsorption kinetics and enhancement of osteoblast differentiation on hydroxyapatite surfaces. Biomaterials. 2005;26:2595-602.

84. Elkarargy A. Biological functionalization of dental implants with fibronectin: a scanning electron microscopic study. Int J Health Sci (Qassim). 2014;8:57-66.

85. Schliephake H, Strecker N, Förster A, Schwenzer B, Reichert J, Scharnweber D. Angiogenic functionalisation of titanium surfaces using nano-anchored VEGF–an in vitro study. Eur Cell Mater. 2012;23:161-9.

86. Tan XW, Lakshminarayanan R, Liu SP, Goh E, Tan D, Beuerman RW, et al. Dual functionalization of titanium with vascular endothelial growth factor and β -defensin analog for potential application in keratoprosthesis. J Biomed Mater Res Part B: Appl Biomater. 2012;100:2090-100.

87. Poh CK, Shi Z, Lim TY, Neoh KG, Wang W. The effect of VEGF functionalization of titanium on endothelial cells in vitro. Biomaterials. 2010;31:1578-85.

Acknowledgments

This publication is dedicated to the memory of Dr. H Afsar Lajevardi, a legendry Iranian Pediatrician (1953-2015) who passed away during the writing of the series of articles regarding the regenerative dentistry and Angiogenesis. We will never forget Dr. H Afsar Lajevardi's kindness and support. She was the only clinician scientist with a particular focus on infectious diseases of children in Iran. She established Dr. H. A. Lajevardi foundation, with great mission in Angiogenesis and Regenerative Medicine for the next generation of scientists.

This work was supported in part by P30 EY016665, and an unrestricted departmental award from Research to Prevent Blindness. Dr Sheibani is a recipient of a research award from the Retina Research Foundation.

Conflict of Interest

The authors hereby declare that they have actively participated in this work and preparation of the manuscript and have read the contents of this manuscript. We affirm that we have no financial affiliation or involvement with any commercial organization with direct financial interest in the subject or materials discussed in this manuscript.