Modelling mass transfer properties in a biotrickling filter for the removal of isopropanol
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#### Abstract

A study was carried out to model mass transfer properties in biotrickling filters, treating isopropanol as the target pollutant. This study was extended to the mass transfer of oxygen related to the fact that the treatment of hydrophilic compounds by biotrickling filtration is often limited by oxygen. A simple method for each compound was developed based on their physical properties. The influence of temperature on the Henry's law constant of isopropanol was determined. An increase of 1.8 per $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for the dimensionless Henry's law constant was obtained. The determination of the overall mass transfer coefficients of isopropanol ( $K_{G} a$ ) was carried out, obtaining values between 500 and $1800 \mathrm{~h}^{-1}$ for gas velocities of 100 and $300 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~h}^{-1}$. No significant influences were observed for either the liquid velocity or packing material. Also, the determination of overall mass transfer coefficients of oxygen $\left(K_{L} a\right)$ were carried out, obtaining values between 20 and $200 \mathrm{~h}^{-1}$ depending on the packing material for liquid velocities between 2 and $33 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~h}^{-1}$. Structured packing materials exhibited greater mass transfer coefficients, while for random packing materials, the mass transfer coefficients


clearly benefited from the high specific surface area. Mathematical correlations found in the literature were compared with the empirical data, showing that neither was capable of reproducing the mass transfer coefficients obtained empirically. Thus, empirical relationships between the mass transfer coefficients and the gas and liquid velocities are proposed to characterise the system.
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1. Introduction

In recent decades, there has been an emergent interest in research into biotreatment as an alternative for the treatment of volatile organic compounds (VOC), which includes the biotrickling filter as one of the most applicable technologies (Devinny et al., 1999). The use of biotrickling filtration for the treatment of VOC it is frequent and has been shown to be capable of achieving high removal efficiencies. Considering that biotrickling filtration involves a series of complex physical, chemical and biological processes, further work is needed to determine the mechanisms that contribute to the observed behaviour (Iranpour et al., 2005). The most representative mechanisms in a biotrickling filter are mass transfer, diffusion and biological degradation. Typically, the process may be limited by mass transfer as well as kinetics. Unfortunately, research has been mainly focused on biodegradation kinetics rather than mass transfer (Dorado et al., 2009; Lebrero et al., 2012) and, despite being a key step in the process, the optimisation of mass transfer between the gas and the liquid/biofilm remains one of the most difficult aims to achieve. Relating to this, several authors (Dorado et al., 2009; Kim and Deshusses, 2008a) have emphasised the need to determine the mass transfer coefficients in order to develop simulations for the design and optimisation of biotrickling filters.

Also, determining the mass transfer coefficient would facilitate the selection of the
packing material and the modelling of bioreactors used for air pollution control.

As pointed by other authors (Dorado et al., 2009; Kim and Deshusses, 2008a; Pérez et al., 2006), the hydrodynamic conditions used in biofiltration are markedly different than those used in absorption processes, so the typical correlations used in these systems are not useful for predicting the phenomena occurring in biotrickling filters. Dorado et al. (2009) confirmed that using experimental global mass transfer coefficients appears to be the most suitable way to represents mass transfer in biotrickling filter systems; they pointed out the need for using the target pollutant for the determination of mass transfer coefficients.

Isopropanol is a hydrophilic compound typified by its high volatility and relatively low hazardous properties in comparison with other solvents. As a result, it is one of the most commonly used solvents in chemical industries as coating, printing, cleaning, among others, resulting in a large amount of emissions to the atmosphere that should be treated. Due to its low Henry's law constant in comparison with the Henry's law constant of oxygen, its treatment by biofiltration implies that the process could be typically limited by the low concentration of oxygen in the biofilm. This could imply that that the penetration depth of oxygen in water or the biofilm is lower than that of the pollutant, causing anaerobic zones in the deeper parts of the biofilm close to the substratum (Shareefdeen and Singh, 2005). Experiments based on the physical properties of the gas and liquid phases have shown that the volumetric mass transfer coefficient could be influenced by the liquid phase at a similar level of contribution than the influence of the gas (Pérez et al., 2006). So, both influences should be assessed in order to characterise and improve the process.

The purpose of this research was to determine the mass transfer coefficients for the treatment of hydrophilic compounds using isopropanol and oxygen as reference components for various packing materials. To carry out this purpose, the following objectives were developed: (1) to establish a simple method to determine the mass transfer coefficients of typical hydrophilic compounds using isopropanol as the target pollutant, (2) to establish a simple method to determine the mass transfer coefficients of oxygen, (3) to determine the influence of gas and liquid velocities on the mass transfer coefficients and (4) to establish a mathematical relationship between the mass transfer coefficients and the operational conditions.
2. Materials and methods

### 2.1 Theory

The overall mass transfer coefficient expressed in the liquid phase is defined as a function of the individual mass transfer coefficients, and is related to the overall mass transfer coefficient expressed in the gas phase, according to Eq. (1)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{K_{L} a}=\frac{1}{H K_{G} a}=\frac{1}{k_{L} a}+\frac{1}{H k_{G} a} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Depending of the Henry's law constant of the substance, the main resistance to the transfer could be controlled only by one of these phases. Liss and Slater (1974) established that for Henry's law constants over 250 atm (mole fraction) ${ }^{-1}$, the main resistance is controlled by the liquid film, while for Henry's law constants between 1 and 250 atm (mole fraction) ${ }^{-1}$, the main resistance is a mix between the two phases, and for Henry's law constants up to 1 atm (mole fraction) ${ }^{-1}$, the resistance is controlled by the gas film. Due to the existing differences between the Henry's law constant of
isopropanol $(0.460 \pm 0.124 \mathrm{~atm} \text { (mole fraction) })^{-1}($ Sander, 2005)) and oxygen $(43922 \pm 1679 \mathrm{~atm} \text { (mole fraction) })^{-1}$ ), two different methods were developed to measure the mass transfer coefficients for each compound.
2.2 Determination of the mass transfer coefficient of isopropanol

### 2.2.1 Experimental set-up

As shown in Fig. 1, the system consisted of a column of methacrylate ( 14.4 cm internal diameter, 120 cm height) and a recirculation tank ( 5 L water volume). Two packing materials, one random (Flexiring 25mm) and one structured (PAS Winded Media), were investigated; the characteristics of these materials are shown in Table 1. The packing height was 100 cm . The air stream (compressed, filtered and dried) was introduced through the bottom of the column, with the flow rate adjusted using a mass flow controller (Bronkhorst Hi-Tec, The Netherlands). The experiments were carried out at three superficial air velocities around 100,150 and $300 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~h}^{-1}$ and the trickling water was recirculated using a centrifugal pump (HPR10/15, ITT, Great Britain) in counter-current mode with respect to the air flow rate, with a superficial water velocity of $2,4,7$ and 13 $\mathrm{m} \mathrm{h}^{-1}$. The operational conditions were selected in order to evaluate the wide range used in biotrickling filters. The experiments were carried out at room temperature (21.5 $\pm$ $1.3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). For isopropanol, these changes in temperature imply variations in the Henry's law constants of up to $20-25 \%$ (Sander, 2005); thus, the dependence of $H$ on temperature had to be obtained for an accurate study of mass transfer.

The concentration of isopropanol was measured using a total hydrocarbon analyser (Nira Mercury 901, Spirax Sarco, Spain). The response factor of the total hydrocarbon
analyser was determined by gas chromatography (model 7890, Agilent Technologies, EEUU). The determination of the total organic carbon (TOC) in water was measured using a Total Organic Carbon Analyser (TOC-V $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{CHS}}$, Shimadzu Corporation, Japan). 2.2.2 Experimental procedure

A method under inert conditions was used for the determination of the overall mass transfer coefficients of isopropanol. This method consisted of monitoring the concentration of isopropanol in the gas phase during entire experiment and periodically taking samples from the bottom of the column and water tank. To minimise the effect of adsorption in the packing surface and the absorption in the water inside the reactor, the system was previously wetted and saturated with isopropanol. When the inlet concentration was equal to the outlet concentration, a continuous trickle began from the tank to the top of the column. The first 20 minutes of each experiment were neglected to ensure that stable conditions were achieved. After 20 minutes, the gas concentration as well as the liquid concentration at the bottom of the column and in the tank were considered as the initial points of the experiment and were used to estimate the value of the mass transfer coefficients. Under these conditions, mass balances of isopropanol were developed based on the following assumptions: (1) the behaviour of the column was described as a plug flow regime; (2) the water tank was perfectly mixed; (3) reaction in pipes was negligible, so, the concentration of the water tank was the same as the concentration of the inlet of the column.

Mass balance in the packed column

For each time point and for a differential column of the reactor, the total amount of carbon transferred from the gas to the liquid phase in the column is defined by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d C_{G, I P A}=-\frac{Q_{L}}{Q_{G}} d C_{L, I P A} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{G, I P A}$ and $C_{L, I P A}$ are the gas/liquid concentrations and $Q_{G}$ and $Q_{L}$ are the volumetric flow rates in the gas/liquid phases, respectively.

From the integration of Eq. (2), the following equation is obtained:

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{L_{B}, I P A}=C_{L_{A}, I P A}+\frac{Q_{G}}{Q_{L}} \cdot\left(C_{G_{\text {in }}, I P A}-C_{G_{\text {out }}, I P A}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

To determine the outlet concentration in the gas phase, the mass balance is described as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{G} \cdot d C_{G, I P A}=-H_{I P A} K_{G} a \cdot\left(C_{L, I P A}^{*}-C_{L, I P A}\right) \cdot d V_{c} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V_{c}$ is the volume of the column, $H_{P P A}$ is the dimensionless Henry's law constant of isopropanol expressed as concentration of gas phase/concentration of the liquid phase and $C_{L, I P A}^{*}$ is defined by Henry's Law according to Eq. (5).

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{L, I P A}^{*}=\frac{C_{G, I P A}}{H_{I P A}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking into account Eq. (5) and that the cross-sectional area of the column is constant, Eq. (4) can be written as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{G} \frac{d C_{G, I P A}}{d z}=-H_{I P A} K_{G} a \cdot S\left(\frac{C_{G, I P A}}{H_{I P A}}-C_{L, I P A}\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S$ and $z$ are the surface and the distance from the bottom of the column, respectively. The following boundary conditions were assumed. At the bottom of the column ( $\mathrm{z}=0$ ):

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{G, I P A}=C_{C_{1 m}, P A} \\
& C_{L, P A}=C_{L_{B}, P A} \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

while at the top of the column $(\mathrm{z}=\mathrm{Z})$

$$
\begin{align*}
C_{G, I P A} & =C_{G_{\text {out }}, I P A} \\
C_{L, I P A} & =C_{L_{A}, I P A} \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

where $C_{G_{i_{i}, I P A}}$ and $C_{G_{o u t}, I P A}$ are the inlet/outlet concentrations in the gas phase and $C_{L_{A}, I P A}$ and $C_{L_{B}, I P A}$ are the liquid concentrations at the top and at the bottom of the column. The concentration at the top of the column is assumed to be equal to the concentration in the tank. Integrating Eq. (6) and with the conditions presented in Eqs. (7) and (8), the following equation was obtained:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln \frac{\left(C_{G_{\text {out }}, P A}-H_{I P A} \cdot C_{L_{A}, I P A}\right)}{\left(C_{G_{i n}, I P A}-H_{I P A} \cdot C_{L_{B}, I P A}\right)}=-\left(1+H_{I P A} \frac{Q_{G}}{Q_{L}}\right) \frac{K_{G} a}{Q_{G} .} \cdot S \cdot Z \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining Eq. (9) and Eq. (3), the outlet concentration is described by:

Mass balance in the tank

The variation of $C_{L_{A}, I P A}$ and $C_{L_{B}, I P A}$ is described by the mass balance in the tank as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d C_{L_{A}, I P A}}{d t}=\frac{Q_{L}}{V_{T}}\left(C_{L_{B}, I P A}-C_{L_{A}, I P A}\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V_{T}$ is the volume of the tank.

As was previously mentioned, the variations in temperature during the experiment imply variations in the Henry's law constants up to 20-25\% (Sander, 2005). For this reason, the estimation of the parameters was divided into two stages. First, the estimation of $K_{G} a$ and $H$ was carried out for the experiments with Flexiring 25 mm . These fitted values of $H$ were related to the temperature and an empirical correlation between $H$ and $T$ was obtained. Second, the empirical correlation between $H$ and $T$ was used in each experiment of the structured packing material, thus the $K_{G} a$ was the only parameter to be fitted in this step.
2.3 Determination of the mass transfer coefficient of oxygen

### 2.3.1 Experimental set-up

As is shown in Fig. 2, a similar set-up as that used for the determination of the mass transfer coefficient of isopropanol was used but with a volume of 10 L in the recirculation tank. In this case, the study was extended to three packing materials, two random (Flexiring 25mm and Refilltech 15 mm ) and one structured (PAS Winded Media); the characteristics are shown in Table 1. The packing height was 40 cm for the random packing materials and 20 cm for the structured packing material. The experiments were carried out at two superficial air velocities of $104 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~h}^{-1}$ and $312 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~h}^{-1}$. The trickling water was recirculated using a centrifugal pump with a superficial water velocity between 3 and $33 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~h}^{-1}$. The equipment was supplemented with a dissolved oxygen probe (Cellox ${ }^{\circledR} 325 \mathrm{i}$, WTW, Germany) to measure the dissolved oxygen
concentration in the tank. An internal pump installed in the recirculation tank ensured the ideal mixing conditions. The experiments were carried out at room temperature $\left(21.2 \pm 0.7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. For oxygen, this implies variations in the Henry's law constant up to $2 \%$ (Sander, 2005), thus the dependence of $H$ on temperature was neglected.

### 2.3.2 Experimental procedure

For the determination of $K_{L} a$, a dynamic method under inert conditions was used as described elsewhere (San-Valero et al., 2013). The method consisted of measuring the increase in the oxygen concentration over time in a tank in which the oxygen was previously displaced by bubbling nitrogen gas. The experiment started when the air blower and the recirculation pump were switched on; oxygen was transferred from the air to the water in the packed column, causing an increase in the dissolved oxygen concentration in the recirculation tank. The oxygen mass balances were developed using the same assumptions as isopropanol.

Mass balance in the packed column

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{L_{B}, O X Y}=C_{L, O X Y}^{*}-\frac{C_{L, O X Y}^{*}-C_{L_{A}, O X Y}}{\exp \left(\frac{Z}{v} K_{L} a\right)} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $C_{L_{B}, O X Y}$ is the predicted dissolved oxygen concentration at the bottom of the column, $C_{L_{A}, O X Y}$ is the predicted dissolved oxygen concentration in the recirculation tank, $C_{L, O X Y}^{*}$ is the oxygen solubility and $Z$ and $v$ are the height of the column and the velocity of the trickling water, respectively.

In the tank:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d C_{L_{A}, O X Y}}{d t}=\frac{Q_{t}}{V_{T}}\left(C_{L_{B}, O X Y}-C_{L_{A}, O X Y}\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The combination of Eqs. (12) and (13) permits obtaining the variation of the predicted oxygen concentration over time (Van't Riet, 1979):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d C_{L_{A}, O X Y}}{d t}=\frac{Q_{L}}{V_{T}}\left(C_{L, O X Y}^{*}-\frac{C_{L, O X Y}^{*}-C_{L_{A}, O X Y}}{\exp \left(\frac{Z}{v} K_{L} a\right)}-C_{L_{A}, O X Y}\right) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The response time constant of the probe, $\tau$, is defined as the time at which the probe achieves $63 \%$ of the end value measured when the probe is subjected to a step input assay (Van't Riet, 1979). It was determined by transferring the oxygen probe from an ideal mixed tank in which the dissolved oxygen concentration was displaced by bubbling nitrogen gas to a second tank which was saturated with dissolved oxygen. First order dynamics were assumed a according to Eq. (15) (Weiland and Onken, 1981)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d C_{L_{m}, O X Y}}{d t}=\frac{\left(C_{L_{A}, O X Y}-C_{L_{m}, O X Y}\right)}{\tau} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{L_{m}, O X Y}^{*}$ is the dissolved oxygen concentration in the recirculation tank measured by the oxygen probe.

The value of $K_{L} a$ of the packed column was calculated by minimising the sum of squares of the difference between the measured data recorded for the dissolved oxygen concentration in the recirculation tank and the value obtained from the mathematical resolution of Eqs. (14) and (15).
3. Results and discussion
3.1 Determination of the mass transfer coefficient of isopropanol
3.1.1 Correlation between the Henry's law constant and the temperature

The determination of the mass transfer coefficient of isopropanol was carried out for two packing materials: Flexiring 25 mm and PAS Winded Media. As the influence of the temperature on the Henry's law constant of isopropanol should not be neglected, the first set of experiments with the packing material Flexiring 25 mm were used for the estimation of $K_{G} a$ and $H$. This estimation allowed for obtaining the exact temperature dependence of the system with the Henry's law constant. The values of $H^{*}{ }_{298 K}$ and the enthalpy of the solution divided by the ideal gas law constant were obtained by using the least squares method in order to minimise the differences between the experimental data and the Van't Hoff equation. The parameters $H^{*}{ }_{298 K}$ and the enthalpy of the solution divided by the ideal gas law constant obtained are shown in Eq. (16)

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{T, I P A}^{*}=146 \cdot \exp \left(5501 \cdot\left(\frac{1}{T}-\frac{1}{298}\right)\right) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H_{T, I P A}^{*}$ is the Henry's law constant expressed in $\mathrm{Matm}^{-1}$ and $T$ is the temperature expressed in $K$. The dimensionless Henry's law constant could be related with the Henry's law constant expressed in $\mathrm{Matm}^{-1}$ by the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{I P A}=\frac{1}{H_{T, I P A}^{*} R T} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R$ is the universal gas constant ( $0.082 \mathrm{~atm} K^{-1} M^{-1}$ ).
Fig. 3 shows the experimental data with the results provided by the empirical correlation described by the Eq. (16). The available data from literature is also plotted (Snider and Dawson, 1985). The general variation range of the dimensionless Henry's law constant for VOC from 1.12 to 3.55 per $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ rise in temperature, with an average
value of 1.88 found by Staudinger and Roberts (2001). The empirical correlation of Eq. (16) presented an increase in the dimensionless Henry's law constant of 1.8 per each $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ while Snider and Dawson (1985) provides a variation of 2.25 per $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ rise. It is common to find some discrepancies in the literature for the same compound related with the influence of non-temperature effects on the Henry's law constant such as pH , dissolved salts, etc (Staundinger and Roberts, 1996). Regarding this, Staundinger and Roberts (2001) pointed out that it appears prudent to determine the exact temperature of each case. Thus, the empirical correlation obtained in Eq. (16) was used to obtain the value of $H$ in the experiments carried out with the structured packing material.

### 3.1.2 $K_{G} a$ calculations

Typical examples of the raw data obtained from the experiments for each packing material and the results of the data obtained with the mathematical model are shown in Fig. 4. The experiment presented in Fig. 4a corresponds to one test carried out with the packing material Flexiring 25 mm operating at a gas velocity of $180 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~h}^{-1}$ and a liquid velocity of $6.3 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~h}^{-1}$ while the experiment presented in Fig. 4b corresponds to one test carried out with the packing material PAS Winded Media operating at a gas velocity of $180 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~h}^{-1}$ and a liquid velocity of $1.8 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~h}^{-1}$. These examples were representative of all tests done. The goodness of fit of the experimental data and the data provided by the mathematical model were tested by using the relative error of the concentration of each phase. An average value of the relative error of $6 \%$ for the gas phase and an average error of $5 \%$ for the liquid phase $\left(C_{L_{A}}, C_{L_{B}}\right)$ were obtained. The relative error obtained indicated that the method was accurate for the determination of the mass transfer coefficient of isopropanol.

The effect of the gas and liquid velocities for both packing materials is shown in Fig. 5. Depending on the packing material and the conditions, $K_{G} a$ values were obtained in a range between 500 and $1800 \mathrm{~h}^{-1}$ for gas velocities between 100 and $300 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~h}^{-1}$ and for liquid velocities between 2 and $13 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~h}^{-1}$. These data are on the same order of magnitude as the data presented by Kim and Deshusses (2008a). These authors showed that for biotrickling filters operating at a typical range of gas velocities below $500 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~h}^{-1}$, the $k_{G} a$ values were between 500 and $2000 \mathrm{~h}^{-1}$. As shown in Fig. 5, the mass transfer coefficient of isopropanol was strongly influenced by the gas velocity. As an example, a $50 \%$ variation in the gas velocity would imply a variation of around $40 \%$ in the mass transfer coefficient. This behaviour is in agreement with that found by other authors in the literature (Dorado et al., 2009; Kim and Deshusses, 2008a; Piche et al., 2001). To evaluate the effect of the liquid velocity on the mass transfer coefficient of isopropanol, further experiments were carried out at several liquid velocities. No significant influence of the liquid velocity was observed. This could have occurred since the packing material was completely wet. Kim and Deshusses (2008a) observed that when wetting was almost complete, the effect of liquid velocity was slight or constant. The same behaviour was observed by Piche et al. (2001). No differences between packing materials were found (Fig. 5). Regarding this, Dorado et al. (2009) suggested that when pollutant diffusion is in the gas phase, as is the case with isopropanol, neither the liquid side resistance nor the packing material characteristics affect the global system performance.
3.2 Determination of the mass transfer coefficient of oxygen

The determination of the mass transfer coefficient of oxygen was extended to the three packing materials shown in Table 1 at several liquid velocities (from 2 to $33 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~h}^{-1}$ ) and
two gas velocities ( 104 and $312 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~h}^{-1}$ ). The $K_{L} a$ coefficients were obtained using the least squares method in order to minimise the differences between the experimental data and the concentration of oxygen provided by the mathematical model established by Eq. (14) and Eq. (15). The response time of the probe ( $\tau$ ) was determined by means of a step input assay, resulting in a value of $19.4 \pm 1.5 \mathrm{~s}$ (San-Valero et al., 2013).

A typical example of the raw data obtained during one of the experiments and the result of the mathematical procedure described above is shown in Fig. 6. The same mathematical procedure was used for all packing materials, so only one example is shown as a representative of the other packing materials. This corresponds to one test carried out with the packing material Flexiring 25 mm operating at a gas velocity of 104 $\mathrm{m} \mathrm{h}^{-1}$ and a liquid velocity of $11 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~h}^{-1}$. Similarly to the experiments using isopropanol, the experimental data and the data provided by the mathematical model were evaluated by using the relative error of the concentrations, obtaining an average value less than 2 \% for all tests done. This confirms that the method was accurate for the determination of the mass transfer coefficient of oxygen.

The effect of the gas and liquid velocities on the mass transfer coefficient is shown in Fig. 7 for each packing material. Depending on the packing material and the conditions, $K_{L} a$ values were obtained in a range between 20 and $200 \mathrm{~h}^{-1}$ for liquid velocities between 2 and $33 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~h}^{-1}$. These results are consistent with the data obtained by other authors (Kim and Deshusses, 2008a; Piche et al., 2001). As may be seen in this figure, oxygen transfer was enhanced at high liquid velocities. A clear dependence can be observed between the global coefficients and liquid velocities. In addition, these data show that there was not a significant influence of gas velocity on the mass transfer coefficient of oxygen. This is in accordance with the literature (Piche et al., 2001; Kim
and Deshusses, 2008a), and is related to the fact that oxygen is a poorly soluble gas in water and the main resistance is located in the liquid phase, in contrast to what occurs with isopropanol.

The influence of the packing material was extended to three materials: two random with different specific surface area and one structured. The experimental data from SanValero et al. (2013) are compiled herein for this purpose. The most important differences in the behaviour of the packing material were observed at liquid velocities above $15 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~h}^{-1}$. This could be due to the fact that turbulence is higher at higher liquid velocities than at lower liquid velocities, thus increasing the interfacial area and facilitating the mass transfer of oxygen between phases, in contrast to the mass transfer of isopropanol where the main resistance was located in the gas phase. For the comparison between packing materials, a velocity of $30 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~h}^{-1}$ was chosen since this was the velocity where differences were most evident. In this regard, the structured packing material with a specific surface area of $410 \mathrm{~m}^{3} \mathrm{~m}^{-2}$ exhibited the maximum mass transfer coefficient of oxygen with a value around $175 \mathrm{~h}^{-1}$. For the random packing materials, $K_{L} a$ values of 130 and $100 \mathrm{~h}^{-1}$ were obtained for Refilltech 15 mm and Flexiring 25 mm , with specific surface areas of 348 and $207 \mathrm{~m}^{3} \mathrm{~m}^{-1}$, respectively. These data show that a high specific surface area improves the mass transfer of oxygen. The structured packing material exhibited a higher mass transfer coefficient than those obtained with the random packing material with a similar specific surface area. This could be attributed to the effect of different flow paths within the packing materials on the mass transfer of oxygen. Random packing materials could promote channelling more readily than structured packing materials.

### 3.3 Mathematical correlation

Mathematical correlations were developed in order to characterise the influence of the gas and liquid velocities on the mass transfer coefficients of isopropanol and oxygen. For the mass transfer of isopropanol, non-significant influences of the packing materials and liquid velocities were observed. Thus, the experimental data can be fitted to a relationship between the mass transfer coefficient and the gas velocity. For the mass transfer of oxygen, two important influences were observed, i.e. the packing material and the liquid velocity. So, it was considered necessary to develop empirical correlations for each of the packing materials. Power law relationships according to Eqs. (18) and (19) between gas or liquid velocity and $K_{G} a$ or $K_{L} a$ could be the most suitable way to represent this phenomenon for isopropanol and for oxygen:

$$
\begin{align*}
& K_{G} a_{I P A}=c_{1} \cdot v_{G}^{c_{2}}  \tag{18}\\
& K_{L} a_{O X Y}=c_{1} \cdot v_{L}^{c_{2}} \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

where $K_{G} a$ and $K_{L} a$ are expressed in $h^{-1}$, and $v_{G}$ and $v_{L}$ in $m h^{-1}$.
The parameters obtained for each correlation are shown in Table 2. In order to analyse the accuracy of the empirical correlations proposed herein, the observed and predicted values of the mass transfer coefficient for isopropanol and oxygen are presented in Fig. 8. In both cases, the experimental data fit $\left(\mathrm{r}^{2}>0.94\right)$ the predicted data; the greatest observed difference was $20 \%$ for the entire data set. These uncertainties are similar to those reported by other authors (Kim and Deshusses, 2008b; Onda et al., 1968).

The most general correlations were those proposed by Onda et al. (1968) and Van Krevelen and Hoftijzer (1948) for conventional absorption packing columns. These correlations are described as follows:

Onda

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{G}=5.23 \frac{D_{G}}{d_{p}^{2} a_{p}}\left(\frac{\rho_{G} v_{G}}{\mu_{G} a_{p}}\right)^{0.7}\left(\frac{\mu_{G}}{\rho_{G} D_{G}}\right)^{1 / 3} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{L}=0.0051\left(a_{p} d_{p}\right)^{-0.4}\left(\frac{\mu_{L} g}{\rho_{L}}\right)^{1 / 3}\left(\frac{\rho_{L} v_{L}}{a_{e} \mu_{L}}\right)^{2 / 3}\left(\frac{\mu_{L}}{\rho_{L} D_{L}}\right)^{-0.5} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Van Krevelen and Hoftijzer

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{G}=0.2 \frac{D_{G}}{d_{c}}\left(\frac{\rho_{G} v_{G}}{\mu_{G} a_{p}}\right)^{0.8}\left(\frac{\mu_{G}}{\rho_{G} D_{G}}\right)^{1 / 3} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{L}=0.015 D_{L}\left(\frac{\mu_{L}^{2} g}{\rho_{L}^{2}}\right)^{-1 / 3}\left(\frac{\rho_{L} v_{L}}{a_{e} \mu_{L}}\right)^{2 / 3}\left(\frac{\mu_{L}}{\rho_{L} D_{L}}\right)^{1 / 3} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

The assumed supposition that the main resistance to the mass transfer of isopropanol is in the gas phase and for oxygen is in the liquid phase was checked applying the Onda and Van Krevelen and Hoftijzer equations, taking into account both resistances and neglecting one of them for each compound. The error committed neglecting one of the phases was very small (in all cases less than 6\%). The hydrodynamics under which these correlations were developed are markedly different than these used in biofiltration, characterised by lower gas and liquid velocities. For this reason, Kim and Deshusses (2008b) developed specific correlations for biotrickling filters for different packing materials.

Kim and Deshusses (For Pall ring 1")

$$
\begin{align*}
& \log \left(k_{G} a\right)=2.05+0.33 \log \left(v_{G}\right)  \tag{24}\\
& \log \left(k_{L} a\right)=0.69+0.83 \log \left(v_{L}\right) \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

The results obtained herein were compared with these three correlations for the experiments with Flexiring 25 mm . In the case of the equations proposed by Onda and Van Krevelen and Hoftijzer, the wetted area and the effective gas-liquid interface were considered the same as that of the packing material. In the case of Kim and Deshusses, since Pall rings 1 " and Flexiring 25 mm have a similar specific surface area, the values of the coefficients proposed in their research were used for comparison purposes.

Fig. 9 shows the comparison between the experimental data and correlations for the packing material Flexiring 25 mm . For the mass transfer coefficient of isopropanol (Fig. 9a) it is clear that neither correlation found in the literature was capable of simulating the mass transfer coefficients obtained experimentally. The Onda equation overestimated the values of $K_{G} a$ by a factor between 2 and 3, while the values provided by the Van Krevelen and Hoftijzer equation underestimated the experimental data. In the case of the equation from Kim and Deshusses, the experimental data were successfully predicted only at low gas velocities.

For the mass transfer coefficient of oxygen, the prediction of the Onda correlation fit accurately with the experimental data, and the results predicted by Kim and Deshusses were slightly smaller in comparison. In this case, the results of Van Krevelen and Hoftijzer were clearly quite different from the empirical data.

These results show how theoretical and general correlations are not accurate for the prediction of the mass transfer coefficients in biotrickling filters. So, as was proposed by Dorado et al. (2009), using experimental global mass transfer coefficients appears the most suitable way to represent mass transfer. The correlations proposed in this paper are useful for the mathematical modelling of the treatment of vapour emissions of isopropanol with biotrickling filters.

## 4. Conclusions

Mass transfer properties for isopropanol and oxygen were determined for several packing materials in the liquid and gas velocity ranges typically used in biotrickling filtration. The Henry's law constant of isopropanol was clearly influenced by the temperature in the ambient range. An empirical correlation based on the Van't Hoff equation was obtained by using experiments with the random packing material Flexiring 25 mm . This correlation was validated with the experiments carried out with the structured packing material PAS Winded Media. The influence of the gas and liquid velocities and the packing materials on the mass transfer coefficients of isopropanol and oxygen was determined. The mass transfer coefficient of isopropanol increased almost linearly with gas velocity, while the influences of the liquid velocity and packing material were not significant. The mass transfer coefficient of oxygen was influenced by the packing material and by the liquid velocity. No significant influence of the gas velocity was observed. Based on the data obtained in the present study, power law relationships between the mass transfer coefficient and the gas and liquid velocities were proposed in each case. Three mathematical correlations found in the literature were compared with the empirical data; none of the correlations were capable of reproducing the mass transfer coefficients obtained empirically.

The data presented in this paper contain valuable information for modelling the mass transfer coefficients of isopropanol and oxygen. This information is useful for mathematical modelling of physical phenomena that take place during the removal of isopropanol by biotrickling filtration. This paper provides a simple methodology that can be extended to any hydrophilic volatile organic compound.
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| Nomenclature |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $a$ | Specific interfacial area |
| $a_{e}$ | Effective specific interfacial area |
| $a_{p}$ | Packing specific surface area |
| $C$ | Mass concentration |
| $c_{1}$ | Empirical coefficient of power law |
| $c_{2}$ | Empirical coefficient of power law |
| $D$ | Diffusion coefficient |
| $d_{c}$ | Column inner diameter |
| $d_{p}$ | Particle diameter |
| $H$ | Henry's law constant |
| $K$ | Overall mass transfer coefficient |
| $k$ | Individual mass transfer coefficient |
| $Q$ | Volumetric flow rate |
| $S$ | Surface of the column |
| $t$ | Time |
| $V$ | Volume |
| $V_{R}$ | Volume of the reactor |
| $V_{T}$ | Volume of the tank |
| $z$ | Distance from the bottom of the column |
| $Z$ | Height of the column |
| $\rho$ | Density |
| $\tau$ | Response time of the probe |
| $\mu$ | Viscosity |
| Subscripts |  |
| $G$ | Gas |
| $I P A$ | Isopropanol |
| $L$ | Liquid |
| OXY | Oxygen |
| $S u b-s u b s c r i p t s ~$ |  |
| $A$ | Inlet to the column of the liquid phase |
| $B$ | Outlet of the column of the liquid phase |
| in | Outlet of the column of the gas phase |
| $m$ |  |
| out | Oelumn of the gas phase |
|  |  |

Table 1 Characteristics of the packing materials

| Packing material |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Diameter }^{* *} \\ (\mathbf{m m}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Density }^{* *} \\ \left(\mathbf{k g ~ m}^{-3}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Bed } \\ \text { Porosity"* } \left.^{*} \%\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Specific } \\ \text { surface } \\ \text { area** } \\ \left(\mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{~m}^{-3}\right) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PAS Winded Media* | Structured | ---- | ---- | 93 | 410 |
| Flexiring | Random | 25 | 71 | 92 | $207 * * *$ |
| Refilltech | Random | 15 | 110.7 | 91 | 348 |

"Packing material supplied by PAS Solutions BV
${ }^{* *}$ Data provided by the suppliers
*** Larachi et al. (2008)

Table 2 Empirical coefficients for the correlations described by Eqs. (18) and (19)

| Packing material | $K_{G}$ a isopropanol ( $h^{-1}$ ) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} c_{1} \\ \left(m^{-c_{2}} h^{\left(c_{2}-1\right)}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $c_{2}$ <br> (dimensionless) | $\mathrm{r}^{2}$ |
| PAS Winded Media Flexiring 25 mm | 11.59 | 0.85 | 0.94 |
|  | $K_{L} a$ oxygen $\left(h^{-1}\right)$ |  |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} c_{1} \\ \left(m^{-c_{2}} h^{\left(c_{2}-1\right)}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $c_{2}$ <br> (dimensionless) | $\mathrm{r}^{2}$ |
| Flexiring 25 mm | 10.72 | 0.65 | 0.99 |
| PAS Winded Media | 9.54 | 0.84 | 0.95 |
| Refilltech 15 mm | 5.29 | 0.93 | 0.99 |
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Fig. 7 Influence of gas and liquid velocities on the mass transfer coefficients of oxygen.
A) Flexiring 25 mm B
B) PAS Winded Media
C) Refilltech 15 mm
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Fig. 9 Comparison between experimental data and empirical correlations for Flexiring 25 mm. A) Isopropanol B) Oxygen


Fig. 1 Experimental set-up for the determination of the mass transfer coefficient of isopropanol

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \cdots \mathrm{N}_{2} \\
& \longrightarrow \text { Air } \\
& \longrightarrow \cdots \quad \text { Liquid }
\end{aligned}
$$ oxygen
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Fig. 3 Dependence of the Henry's law constant on temperature


Fig. 4 Experimental data and model results for the experiments of the mass transfer coefficient of isopropanol. A) Flexiring $25 \mathrm{~mm}: 1 . C_{G_{\text {out }}}$ 2. $C_{L_{B}} 3 . C_{L_{A}}$ B) PAS Winded Media:1. $C_{G_{\text {out }}}$ 2. $C_{L_{B}}$ 3. $C_{L_{A}}$



 Fig. 6 Experimental data and model results for the experiments of the mass transfer coefficients of oxygen
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Fig. 7 Influence of gas and liquid velocities on the mass transfer coefficients of oxygen.


Fig. 8 Comparison of correlation results and experimental data for mass transfer coefficients: A) Isopropanol B) Oxygen: 1. Flexiring 25 mm 2. PAS Winded Media 3.

Refilltech 15 mm . Dotted lines indicate an uncertainty of $\pm 20 \%$
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