

This paper has been published in *Annali di Matematica Pura ed Applicata. Series IV*, 189(4):567–570 (2010).

Copyright 2010 by Springer-Verlag.

The final publication is available at www.springerlink.com.

<http://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10231-009-0124-7>

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10231-009-0124-7>

On a graph related to permutability in finite groups

A. Ballester-Bolinches* John Cossey† R. Esteban-Romero‡

Abstract

For a finite group G we define the graph $\Gamma(G)$ to be the graph whose vertices are the conjugacy classes of cyclic subgroups of G and two conjugacy classes \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} are joined by an edge if for some $A \in \mathcal{A}, B \in \mathcal{B}$ A and B permute. We characterise those groups G for which $\Gamma(G)$ is complete.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): 5C25, 20D15, 20D20,

Keywords: Finite groups, graphs, soluble groups, permutability

1 Introduction

There are many ways in which a graph has been associated with a finite group. Herzog, Longobardi and Maj [8] have defined a graph whose vertices are the conjugacy classes of a group, with two vertices joined by an edge if an element of one vertex commutes with some element of the other vertex. This is a generalisation of the commuting graph of a group, which has the elements of a group as vertices, joined by an edge if they commute (see [9]). In this paper we will consider a generalisation of the graph of Herzog, Longobardi and Maj. For a finite group G we define the graph $\Gamma(G)$ to be the graph whose vertices are the conjugacy classes of cyclic subgroups of G and two conjugacy classes \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} are joined by an edge if for some $A \in \mathcal{A}, B \in \mathcal{B}$ A and B permute. We characterise those groups G for which $\Gamma(G)$ is complete.

*Departament d'Àlgebra, Universitat de València; Dr. Moliner, 50; 46100, Burjassot, València, Spain; email: Adolfo.Ballester@uv.es

†Mathematics Department, Mathematical Sciences Institute, Australian National University; Canberra, ACT 0200, Australia; email: John.Cossey@anu.edu.au

‡Institut Universitari de Matemàtica Pura i Aplicada, Universitat Politècnica de València; Camí de Vera, s/n; 46022 València, Spain, email: resteban@mat.upv.es

Recall that a subgroup H of a group G is said to be permutable in G if HL is a subgroup of G for every subgroup L of G . Permutability, like normality, is not a transitive relation in general. We say that a group G is a *PT*-group if the permutability is transitive in G , that is, if H is permutable in K and K is permutable in G , then H is permutable in G . According to a classical result of Ore [10] permutable subgroups of finite groups are subnormal. Hence a finite group is a PT-group if and only if every subnormal subgroup is permutable.

We prove:

Theorem 1. *A finite group G is a soluble PT-group if and only if the graph $\Gamma(G)$ is complete.*

2 Proof of Theorem 1

Suppose that G is a soluble PT-group. By a result of Zacher [12] $G = AH$ where A is an abelian normal subgroup of G , H is a nilpotent modular subgroup of G , A and H have coprime orders and every subgroup of A is normal in G . If X and Y are two cyclic subgroups of G , we can write $X = X_0X_1$ and $Y = Y_0Y_1$, where X_0 and Y_0 are subgroups of A and X_1 and Y_1 have orders dividing $|H|$. Since H is a Hall subgroup of G we can, by replacing X and Y by conjugates if necessary, assume that X_1 and Y_1 are subgroups of H . Since every subgroup of A is normal in G we have $Y_0X_1 = X_1Y_0$ and since H is modular we have X_1Y_1 is a subgroup of G . It now follows that $X_0X_1Y_0Y_1 = Y_0Y_1X_0X_1$ is a subgroup, that is, X and Y permute.

In the other direction, we argue by induction on the order of G . We begin by showing that G is a soluble PT-group if G has at least two minimal normal subgroups. If M and N are two minimal normal subgroups of G , then G/M and G/N clearly satisfy the hypothesis of the theorem. Hence G/M and G/N are soluble PT-groups. It follows that NM/M is a minimal normal subgroup of a soluble PT-group, and so is cyclic of prime order because every soluble PT-group is supersoluble. Similarly MN/N is cyclic of prime order and hence M and N have prime orders, p and q say (and G is soluble). Then, for any prime $r \neq p$, all r -chief factors of G/M are G -isomorphic. Further, by Zacher's Theorem [12], Sylow r -subgroups of G/M are abelian if r -chief factors are noncentral and modular if all r -chief factors are central. If $p \neq q$ by considering G/N we have all p -chief factors G -isomorphic and Sylow p -subgroups abelian if p -chief factors are noncentral and modular if p -chief factors are central. In this case G is a PT-group by [3, Corollary 3] and [4, Theorem 2] (note that G is supersoluble).

Thus we suppose that all minimal normal subgroups have the same prime order p . If M and N are minimal normal subgroups and p divides $|G/MN|$, then both M and N are G -isomorphic to a (fixed) p -chief factor of G/MN and so are G -isomorphic. Thus all p -chief factors are G -isomorphic. Therefore G is supersoluble and all chief factors of the same order are G -isomorphic. By [3, Corollary 3] G is a group in which every subnormal subgroup permutes with all Sylow subgroups (G is a PST-group). If the p -chief factors are central, then G is a p -group with all proper quotients modular and so is itself modular, since by Theorem of Longobardi [7] such a group must have a unique minimal normal subgroup. Applying a result of Agrawal [2], G has an abelian Sylow p -subgroup and it then follows that G is a PT-group by [4, Theorem 2]. Assume now that MN is a Sylow p -subgroup of G . Let $M = \langle m \rangle$, $N = \langle n \rangle$. By hypothesis, given a p' -element $y \in G$, $\langle mn \rangle$ permutes with a conjugate $\langle y^g \rangle$ of $\langle y \rangle$. Hence $\langle mn \rangle \langle y^g \rangle \cap MN = \langle mn \rangle$ is normalised by y^g . Call $m^g = m^{a_1}$, $n^g = m^{a_2}$ and $m^y = m^{b_1}$, $n^y = n^{b_2}$ and $(mn)^{g^{-1}yg} = (mn)^c$. Hence $(mn)^{g^{-1}yg} = m^{b_1}n^{b_2} = (mn)^c$, which implies that $b_1 \equiv b_2 \equiv c \pmod{p}$. Consequently M and N are G -isomorphic. Since G has all Sylow subgroups modular, it follows that G is a PT-group by [3, Corollary 3] and [4, Theorem 2].

We now suppose that G has a unique minimal normal subgroup N . If N is not soluble, then $N = S_1 \times \cdots \times S_r$, where the S_i are isomorphic (nonabelian) simple groups. Let p and q be different primes dividing the order of S_1 and let x_1 and y_1 be elements of S_1 of orders p and q , respectively. For $2 \leq i \leq r$, let x_i, y_i be the images of x_1, y_1 under the isomorphism between S_1 and S_i . Then $\langle x_1 \cdots x_r \rangle$ permutes with a conjugate $\langle (y_1 \cdots y_r)^g \rangle$ of $\langle y_1 \cdots y_r \rangle$. The projection of $\langle x_1 \cdots x_r \rangle \langle (y_1 \cdots y_r)^g \rangle$ onto S_1 is then a subgroup of S_1 of order pq and so S_1 has subgroups of order pq for every pair of primes dividing its order. A result of Abe and Iiyori [1] shows that this is impossible. Consequently N is a p -group for some prime p .

If N is not contained in the Frattini subgroup of G , then G is a primitive soluble group and $G = NM$, where M is a maximal subgroup of G , $N \cap M = 1$ and N is self-centralising. Since M is isomorphic to the soluble PT-group G/N , M is the product of its nilpotent residual $F = M^{\mathfrak{M}}$, which is an abelian normal Hall subgroup of odd order, and a complement C which acts on F as power automorphisms ([12]). Let Q be a cyclic normal subgroup of M . Suppose that $QP \neq PQ$ for some cyclic subgroup P of N . We have $Q^g P = PQ^g$ for some $g \in G$ and we can assume that $g \in M$. Since Q is normal in M we have $Q^g = Q$, giving a contradiction. Thus P is normalised by Q since $P = N \cap PQ$. It now follows that every element of F acts as a power automorphism on N and hence F acts as a power automorphism group on N . Since power automorphisms are central in the power automorphism

group of N ([5, Theorem 2.2.1]), F is central in M and so $F = 1$. Thus M is a nilpotent modular group. In particular M is a p' -group. Let Q be a non-abelian Sylow q -subgroup of M . By Iwasawa's Theorem ([11, Theorem 2.4.14] Q has an abelian normal subgroup Q_0 with cyclic supplement S with S acting as a power automorphism group on Q_0 or Q is Hamiltonian. In both cases every cyclic subgroup of Q_0 is normal in Q and hence in M . Let U be a cyclic subgroup of N and let R cyclic subgroup of Q_0 . By hypothesis, there exists an element $a \in M$ such that RU^a is subgroup. Since $R^a = R$, we have that RU is also a subgroup and U is normalised by R . Further, there exists an element $m \in M$ such that S permutes with U^m and so S and R normalise U^m . This implies that Q normalises U and Q acts as power automorphisms on N . It now follows that M acts as power automorphisms on N and so N is a cyclic group of order p and M is cyclic of order dividing $p - 1$ and G is clearly a PT-group.

Now suppose that N is contained in the Frattini subgroup of G . If G is nilpotent, then G is a p -group since it has a unique minimal normal subgroup and G/N is an modular group. Assume that G is not modular. Let $M(p)$ denote the nonabelian group of order p^3 and exponent p for p odd and the dihedral group of order 8 for $p = 2$. By the Theorem of Longobardi [7] either G is the central product of a subgroup P isomorphic to $M(p)$ and another subgroup or G is isomorphic to

$$G_0 = \langle a, b, w : a^{p^n} = w^p = 1, a^b = a^{1+p^s}, b^{p^j} = a^{p^{n-s}}, a^w = a^{1+p^{n-1}}, b^w = b \rangle,$$

where $0 < s < n$, $s \geq 2$ if $p = 2$ and $j \geq n - s$. In the first case it is clear that if P is generated by a and b of order p no conjugate of a will commute with b and hence will not permute with b . Now consider G_0 and let $C = \langle a^\alpha b^\beta \rangle$ be a cyclic subgroup of $H = \langle a, b \rangle$. Then C permutes with $\langle w^g \rangle$ for some $g \in G_0$ and so, being of index p in $C\langle w^g \rangle$, is normalised by w^g . Since $[w, g] \in \langle a^{p^{n-1}} \rangle$, C is also normalised by w . Thus w acts as a power automorphism on H . If p is odd, H is regular ([6, III, Satz 11.4]) and so it acts as a universal power automorphism on H by [5, Theorem 5.3.1], a contradiction. Hence we suppose $p = 2$. Since w centralises b and b has order at least 4, w acts as universal power automorphism on H by a theorem of Napolitani [11, Theorem 2.3.24], again a contradiction. Thus G cannot be nilpotent.

If G is not nilpotent, then $E = G^{\mathfrak{N}} \neq 1$ and so $N \leq E$. Since G/N is a PT-group, G supersoluble, E is nilpotent and so it is a p -group. Furthermore E/N is abelian and complemented in G/N by a p' -subgroup B/N say which acts on E/N as power automorphisms. Then there exists a p' -subgroup D of G complementing E in G . If $C_D(E/N) \neq 1$ then $C_D(E/N)$ is a nontrivial

normal subgroup of G , a contradiction. It follows that D is cyclic of order dividing $p - 1$. We have that $N \leq Z(E)$. Consequently $[a^p, b] = [a, b]^p = 1$ for every $a, b \in E$ by [6, III, Hilfssatz 1.3]. This implies that $E^p \leq Z(E)$. Assume that E^p is not trivial. Hence E^p is cyclic because E^p is an abelian normal subgroup of G . Let $E^p = \langle a \rangle$, where a has order p^n . Suppose that N is a proper subgroup of E^p . Given $x \in G$, we have that $a^x = a^i$ and so all chief factors of G below E^p are G -isomorphic. Applying again [3, Corollary 3] and [4, Theorem 2], G is a PT-group. Assume now that $E^p = N$. Let x be an element of E not in N . If x has order greater than p , then $N \leq \langle x^p \rangle \leq E^p$ and $\langle x \rangle/N$ is a normal subgroup of G/N . Since all chief factors of G between N and E are G -isomorphic and the same happens with all chief factors of G below $\langle x \rangle$, we conclude again that all chief factors of G are G -isomorphic and G is a PT-group. Thus all elements of E are of order p . If E is abelian then E is cyclic and so $E = N \leq \Phi(G)$, a contradiction. Suppose that E is non-abelian and $x, y \in E$ do not commute, so that $N = \langle [x, y] \rangle$. Since $\langle x \rangle$ permutes with $\langle y^g \rangle$ for some $g \in G$, we have that $\langle x, y^g \rangle$ is of order p^2 and hence abelian. Since $\langle yN \rangle$ is a normal subgroup of G/N , we have that $\langle y^g \rangle \leq \langle y, N \rangle$ and it follows that $y^g = y^j n$ for some $n \in N$ and some integer j coprime with p . Then $[x, y^g] = [x, y^j n] = [x, y^j] = [x, y]^j \neq 1$, a contradiction. This completes the proof.

Acknowledgements

This paper has been supported by the research grant MTM2007-68010-C03-02 from MEC (Spain) and FEDER (European Union).

References

- [1] S. Abe and N. Iiyori. A generalization of prime graphs of finite groups. *Hokkaido Math. J.*, 29(2):391–407, 2000.
- [2] R. K. Agrawal. Finite groups whose subnormal subgroups permute with all Sylow subgroups. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 47(1):77–83, 1975.
- [3] M. J. Alejandro, A. Ballester-Bolinches, and M. C. Pedraza-Aguilera. Finite soluble groups with permutable subnormal subgroups. *J. Algebra*, 240(2):705–722, 2001.
- [4] A. Ballester-Bolinches and R. Esteban-Romero. Sylow permutable subnormal subgroups of finite groups. *J. Algebra*, 251(2):727–738, 2002.

- [5] C. D. H. Cooper. Power automorphisms of a group. *Math. Z.*, 107:335–356, 1968.
- [6] B. Huppert. *Endliche Gruppen I*, volume 134 of *Grund. Math. Wiss.* Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1967.
- [7] P. Longobardi. Gruppi finite a fattoriali modulari. *Note Mat.*, II:73–100, 1982.
- [8] P. Longobardi M. Herzog and M. Maj. On a commuting graph on conjugacy classes of groups. *Commun. Algebra*, 37(10):3369–3387, 2009.
- [9] B. Neumann. A problem of Paul Erdős on groups. *J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A*, 21:467–472, 1976.
- [10] O. Ore. Contributions to the theory of groups of finite order. *Duke Math. J.*, 5:431–460, 1939.
- [11] R. Schmidt. *Subgroup lattices of groups*, volume 14 of *De Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics*. Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 1994.
- [12] G. Zacher. I gruppi risolubli finiti in cui i sottogruppi di composizione coincidono con i sottogruppi quasi-normali. *Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur. (8)*, 37:150–154, 1964.