

This paper has been published in *Archiv de Mathematik*, 92(6):549–557 (2009).

Copyright 2009 by Springer-Verlag.

The final publication is available at www.springerlink.com.

<http://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s00013-009-2976-x>

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00013-009-2976-x>

1 are precisely the \mathcal{PST} -groups.

2 A natural question to ask is if a similar statement holds for \mathcal{PT} -groups. That
 3 is, are the \mathcal{PT} -groups precisely the groups G for which $H \trianglelefteq K \trianglelefteq G$ implies H is
 4 permutable in G ? One aim of this article is to give an affirmative answer to this
 5 question in the solvable universe. However, we provide a counterexample which
 6 shows that the answer is negative for insolvable groups.

7 The second and fifth authors introduced the concepts of permutable sensitivity
 8 and S-permutable sensitivity in [3].

9 **Definition 2.** A subgroup H of a group G is said to be

(1) **permutable sensitive** in G if the following holds:

$$\{N|N \text{ is permutable in } H\} = \{H \cap W|W \text{ is permutable in } G\}.$$

(2) **S-permutable sensitive** in G if the following holds:

$$\{N|N \text{ is S-permutable in } H\} = \{H \cap W|W \text{ is S-permutable in } G\}.$$

10 It was shown in [3] that the groups whose normal subgroups are S-permutable
 11 sensitive are the \mathcal{PST} -groups and that the groups whose subnormal subgroups are
 12 permutable sensitive are the \mathcal{PT} -groups.

13 **Theorem 3** (Beidleman and Ragland [3]). *The groups whose normal subgroups are*
 14 *S-permutable sensitive are precisely the \mathcal{PST} -groups.*

15 It was left as an open question there whether or not the \mathcal{PT} -groups could be
 16 thought of as the groups whose normal subgroups are permutable sensitive. Another
 17 aim of this article is to answer this open question in the affirmative in the solvable
 18 universe.

19 Let us now recall the concept of conjugate-permutability.

20 **Definition 4.** A subgroup $H \leq G$ is said to be **conjugate-permutable** in G if
 21 $HH^g = H^gH$ for all g in G , that is, H permutes with all of its conjugates in G .

22 An old result of Szép [11] (see also [5, Corollary 1.1]) generalizing Ore's afore-
 23 mentioned result shows that every conjugate-permutable subgroup is subnormal.
 24 The converse obviously holds for subnormal subgroups of defect two.

25 We will show in the solvable universe that a slightly stronger property for
 26 conjugate-permutable subgroups is equivalent to being a \mathcal{PT} -group. That is, a
 27 solvable group G is a \mathcal{PT} -group if and only if every conjugate-permutable sub-
 28 group of G is permutable in G .

29 The answers to the already mentioned questions are contingent on what happens
 30 in the p -group case, p a prime. In fact, the main result of the paper provides a new
 31 characterization of modular p -groups.

32 **Theorem A.** *For a p -group G the following statements are equivalent.*

- 33 (i) G has modular subgroup lattice;
 34 (ii) G has all subnormal subgroups of defect two permutable;
 35 (iii) G has all normal subgroups permutable sensitive.
 36 (iv) G has all conjugate-permutable subgroups permutable.

1 2. PRELIMINARIES

2 In this section, we introduce some terminology, notation, and some needed results
3 not discussed in the introduction.

4 Let p be a prime. It is well-known that the modular p -groups are exactly those
5 p -groups with all subgroups permutable. The following three results on modularity
6 and p -groups will be essential in the proofs of our main results. First we recall
7 the classic result of Iwasawa (see Theorem 2.3.1 of [9]) on the characterization of
8 modular p -groups.

9 **Theorem 5.** *A p -group G is modular if and only if*

- 10 (i) *G is a direct product of a quaternion group Q_8 of order 8 with an elementary*
11 *abelian 2-group, or*
12 (ii) *G contains an abelian normal subgroup A with cyclic factor group G/A ;*
13 *further there exists an element $b \in G$ with $G = A \rtimes \langle b \rangle$ and a positive*
14 *integer s such that $a^b = a^{1+p^s}$ for all $a \in A$, with $s \geq 2$ in case $p = 2$.*

15 The following can be found as Lemmas 2.3.3 and 2.3.4 of [9].

16 **Lemma 6.** *A p -group G is not modular if and only if there exists a section H/K of*
17 *G with H/K isomorphic to the dihedral group of order 8 or the non-abelian group*
18 *of order p^3 and exponent p for $p > 2$.*

19 **Lemma 7.** *Let G be a p -group with an abelian subgroup A such that every subgroup*
20 *of A is normal in G and G/A is cyclic. For $p = 2$ and A of exponent greater than*
21 *2, further assume that there exists subgroups $A_2 \leq A_1 \leq A$ with A_1/A_2 cyclic of*
22 *order 4 and $[A_1, G] \leq A_2$. Then G is a modular p -group.*

23 The following lemma can be found as Propositione 1.6 of [7]

24 **Lemma 8.** *Let G be a non-modular p -group all of whose proper factor groups are*
25 *modular. Then G has a unique minimal normal subgroup.*

26 Our next theorem contains classical results of Agrawal's from [1] characterizing
27 solvable \mathcal{PST} -groups and \mathcal{PT} -groups.

28 **Theorem 9** (Agrawal [1]).

- 29 (i) *G is a solvable \mathcal{PST} -group if and only if the nilpotent residual of G is an*
30 *abelian Hall subgroup of G acted upon by conjugation as a group of power*
31 *automorphisms by G .*
32 (ii) *G is a solvable \mathcal{PT} -group if and only if G is a solvable \mathcal{PST} -group with*
33 *G/L a \mathcal{PT} -group.*

34 3. A NEW CHARACTERIZATION OF MODULAR p -GROUPS

35 As it is mentioned in the above section, the modular p -groups can be thought of
36 as those p -groups all of whose subgroups are permutable. It is a natural question to
37 ask if one can impose less permutability conditions on the subgroups of a p -group
38 and not lose modularity. The answer to that question is contained in Theorem A.
39 It shows that one only needs to require the subgroups of defect two in a p -group
40 to be permutable in order for the group to enjoy the property of having a modular
41 subgroup lattice.

42 For p an odd prime, we let $M(p)$ denote the non-abelian group of order p^3 and
43 exponent p . For $p = 2$, we let $M(p)$ denote the dihedral group of order 8. We

1 let \mathcal{X} denote the class of p -groups in which every subnormal subgroup of defect
 2 two is permutable and we let \mathcal{Y} denote the class of p -groups all of whose normal
 3 subgroups are permutable sensitive. The class of modular p -groups will be denoted
 4 by \mathcal{M} . Note that the classes \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} are quotient closed.

5 We need the following lemma.

6 **Lemma A.** *Let G be a p -group and suppose that one of the following two conditions*
 7 *hold.*

8 (1) *Every subnormal subgroup of G of defect two is permutable in G .*

9 (2) *Every normal subgroup of G is permutable sensitive in G .*

10 *In addition, assume G has a unique minimal normal subgroup N with G/N modular*
 11 *but G not modular. Then*

12 (i) *G does not contain a normal subgroup H isomorphic to $M(p)$;*

13 (ii) *G/N is not abelian, and;*

14 (iii) *G/N is not Hamiltonian.*

15 *Proof.* (i) Suppose G does contain H as a normal subgroup with $H \simeq M(p)$. Then
 16 N is contained in H .

17 Assume that $p = 2$ and H/N is not central in G/N . Then there is an element
 18 $g \in G$ and a non-central element $x \in H$ such that $\langle x, x^g \rangle = H$. Let $z = [x^g, x]$
 19 and note that x must have order 2 and $N = \langle z \rangle$. Since G/N is modular, $\langle g, z \rangle$ is
 20 permutable in G and hence $\langle x, g \rangle = \langle x \rangle \langle g, z \rangle$ so that $\langle g, z \rangle$ has index 2 in $\langle x, g \rangle$.
 21 In particular, $\langle g, z \rangle$ is a normal subgroup of $\langle x, g \rangle$. The group $\langle xN \rangle \langle gN \rangle$ is one of
 22 three possible semidirect products; xN maps gN to $g^k N$ where $k = -1$, $k = 2^n - 1$,
 23 or $k = 2^n + 1$ where $|gN| = 2^{n+1}$. One can then deduce that $[g, x] \in \langle g^2, z \rangle$. Now z
 24 is a power of g since for some i and j , we have $z = [x^g, x] = [g, x]^2 = (g^{2i} z^j)^2 = g^{4i}$.
 25 Note that $[g, x]$ has order 4 since $z = [g, x]^2$ has order 2. Also note that $\langle g \rangle$ has
 26 index 2 in $\langle x, g \rangle$ since $\langle g \rangle = \langle g, z \rangle$. Since $\langle g \rangle$ is normal in $\langle x, g \rangle$, $g^x = g^t$ with
 27 t either -1 , $2^m - 1$, or $2^m + 1$ where $|g| = 2^{m+2} = 2^{m+1}$. In these three cases,
 28 $[g, x]$ has order 2^m , 2^m and 2, respectively. Hence $m = 2$ and g has order 8. Thus
 29 $\langle x, g \rangle = \langle x, g \mid x^2 = g^8 = 1, [g, x] = g^s \rangle$ where $s = 2$ or $s = -2$. It is clear from
 30 the presentation of $\langle x, g \rangle$ that $\langle xN, gN \rangle$ is dihedral of order 8, a contradiction to
 31 Lemma 6. Consequently, H/N is central in G/N . It means that every subgroup of
 32 H is subnormal in G of defect at most 2. Hence if G were an \mathcal{X} -group, H would
 33 be a modular group. Therefore G must be a \mathcal{Y} -group. Let A be a subgroup of H .
 34 If N is contained in A , then A is normal in G . Assume that N is not contained in
 35 A . Then AN is normal in G . Since AN is permutable sensitive and A is normal in
 36 AN , there exists a permutable subgroup K of G such that $AN \cap K = A$. If $H \cap K$
 37 is not contained in AN , it follows that $H = (AN)(H \cap K) = H \cap K$ and then
 38 $AN = A$. This contradiction yields $H \cap K \leq AN$ and so $A = H \cap K$ is permutable
 39 in H . Consequently, H is a modular group. This contradicts Lemma 6. Therefore
 40 p is and odd prime. Then H contains a normal elementary abelian subgroup of G ,
 41 say L , of order p^2 . Let x be an element of L which is not central in H . Suppose first
 42 that $G \in \mathcal{X}$. Then $\langle x \rangle$ a subnormal subgroup of defect two in G and so permutable
 43 in G and hence in H . This is a contradiction. Now suppose $G \in \mathcal{Y}$. Then $\langle x \rangle$
 44 is normal in L and since L is permutable sensitive in G , $\langle x \rangle = L \cap M$ for some
 45 subgroup M permutable in G . But since $M \cap H$ contains x and does not contain N ,
 46 we must have $M \cap H = \langle x \rangle$. Hence $\langle x \rangle$ is permutable in H . This final contradiction
 47 proves statement (i).

(ii) Suppose that G/N is abelian and derive a contradiction. Let E be an arbitrary subgroup of G . If $N \leq E$, then E is normal in G . Suppose then that E does not contain N . Then E is normal in NE and NE is normal in G . So if $G \in \mathcal{X}$ we have E is permutable in G . Hence G is modular, contrary to the choice of G . Assume $G \in \mathcal{Y}$. Since N is central, $N \leq C_G(E)$ so that $C_G(E)$ is normal in G . Thus $C_G(E)$ is permutable sensitive in G and there is a permutable subgroup K of G with $K \cap C_G(E) = E$. If K is not contained in $C_G(E)$ then $[E, K] = N$ and so $N \leq EK$. Thus if n is a non-trivial element of N we have $n = hk$ for some $h \in E$ and $k \in K$. But then $k = h^{-1}n \in C_G(E)$ and since $K \cap C_G(E) = E$ we have $k \in E$ and so $n \in E$, which contradicts the fact that N is not contained in E . Hence every subgroup is permutable and G is modular. This is the final contradiction.

(iii) Suppose that G/N is Hamiltonian. Then G/N is the direct product of an elementary abelian 2-group E/N and the quaternion group Q/N of order 8. Since the quaternion group of order 8 has trivial Schur multiplier by Corollary 11.22 of [10], it follows that $Q = Q_0 \times N$ with Q_0 non-abelian. But then $Q' = Q'_0$ is a normal subgroup of G with $Q' \cap N = 1$, a contradiction. \square

Proof of Theorem A. We are trying to show $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{M}$. Clearly \mathcal{M} is contained in $\mathcal{X} \cap \mathcal{Y}$ and so it is enough to show that \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} are contained in \mathcal{M} .

Suppose $G \in \mathcal{X} \cup \mathcal{Y}$ with the order of G minimal with respect to G not being modular. By Lemma 8 we have G possesses a unique minimal normal subgroup, say N . Now $G/N \in \mathcal{M}$ and by Lemma A parts (ii) and (iii), G/N is not Dedekind. Hence, by Theorem 5, $G/N = A/N \times CN/N$ with A/N abelian and CN/N cyclic acting on A/N as power automorphisms in the following way: for some positive integer s , $(aN)^{cN} = (aN)^{1+p^s}$ for all $a \in A$ where $C = \langle c \rangle$.

Suppose $\Phi(A) = 1$. Then $(aN)^{cN} = (aN)^{1+p^s} = aN$. Hence cN centralizes A/N . Thus $G/N = A/N \times CN/N$ is abelian, a contradiction to Lemma A part (ii). Thus we can assume $\Phi(A) \neq 1$.

Next suppose $A^p = 1$. Then A is not abelian or we would have $\Phi(A) = 1$. Hence p is odd. Let x and y be two non-commuting elements of A . Then $\langle x, y \rangle$ is a subgroup of A containing N which is isomorphic to $M(p)$. Hence $\langle x, y \rangle \trianglelefteq G$. This contradicts Lemma A part (i). Hence $A^p \neq 1$.

Now A/N is abelian so that $A' \leq N \leq Z(A)$. Hence, for $a, b \in A$, $[a^p, b] = [a, b]^p = 1$ so that $A^p \leq Z(A)$. Moreover, for $a \in A$, we have $(a^p)^c = (a^c)^p = (a^{1+p^s}n)^p$ where n is some element of N . Hence $(a^p)^c = (a^p)^{1+p^s}$. So if $x \in A^p$ has order p , then x is central in G . Hence $\Omega(A^p) = N$. But A^p is abelian and thus A^p is cyclic.

Let y be of maximal order in A . Let us show that A possesses a generating set X with $y \in X$ and every other element of X having order p . Since y is of maximal order in A , $\langle y^p \rangle = A^p$. Let $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, y\}$ be any generating set for A . For each i , write $a_i^p = y^{pt_i}$ where t_i is some integer. Note that the identity

$$(*) \quad (ab)^p = a^p b^p [b, a]^{\frac{p(p-1)}{2}}$$

holds for all $a, b \in A$ since $A' \leq Z(G)$.

First suppose p is odd. Now $(a_i y^{-t_i})^p$ is easily seen to be trivial using equation (*). Let $b_i = a_i y^{-t_i}$. Since $\langle b_i, y \rangle = \langle a_i, y \rangle$, the set of non-trivial elements in $\{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n, y\}$ is a generating set of the desired type.

Suppose now that $p = 2$. Then $(a_i y^{-t_i})^2 = [y^{-t_i}, a_i]$ follows from equation (*). Let $b_i = a_i y^{-t_i}$. Since the order of y is greater than 4 by Lemma A part (ii), it

1 follows that $b_i^2 \in N \leq A^4 = \langle y^4 \rangle$. Now, for each i , write $b_i^2 = y^{4s_i}$ where s_i is
 2 some integer. Now write $c_i = b_i y^{-2s_i}$. Equation (*) yields $c_i^2 = [y^{-2s_i}, b_i]$ and since
 3 $y^{-2s_i} \in A^2 \leq Z(A)$, we have that $c_i^2 = 1$. Since $\langle c_i, y \rangle = \langle b_i, y \rangle = \langle a_i, y \rangle$, the set of
 4 nontrivial elements in $\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n, y\}$ is a generating set of the desired type.

5 Now let $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, y\}$ be a generating set for A with the order of each
 6 a_i equal to p . If $\langle a_i, a_j \rangle$ is non-abelian, then $N \leq \langle a_i, a_j \rangle \simeq M(p)$, contra-
 7 dicting Lemma A part (i). Thus each a_i and a_j commute and we have $M =$
 8 $\langle a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, N \rangle$ is an elementary abelian normal subgroup of G . Thus each sub-
 9 group of M is subnormal of defect two in G . Hence if $G \in \mathcal{X}$ then every subgroup
 10 of M is permutable in G . Suppose $G \in \mathcal{Y}$. Then the argument used in the proof of
 11 Lemma A part (ii) gives all subgroups of M are permutable in G .

12 Suppose that $g \in G \setminus C_G(M)$. Then $[a, g] \neq 1$ for some $a \in M$. Note that
 13 $\langle a \rangle N \trianglelefteq G$ and also note that $\langle g \rangle \trianglelefteq \langle a \rangle \langle g \rangle$. Hence $1 \neq [a, g] \in \langle a \rangle N \cap \langle g \rangle$. Now if
 14 $N \not\leq \langle g \rangle$ then we have $\langle a \rangle \leq \langle a \rangle N = (\langle a \rangle N \cap \langle g \rangle) N \leq C_G(g)$, a contradiction to
 15 $[a, g] \neq 1$. Hence $N \leq \langle g \rangle$. Thus $\langle g \rangle$ is permutable in G for all $g \in G \setminus C_G(M)$.

16 For a final contradiction, we are now left with showing that if $g, h \in C_G(M)$,
 17 then $\langle g \rangle \langle h \rangle = \langle h \rangle \langle g \rangle$ giving all subgroups of G permutable. Let $D = \langle y \rangle C$. From
 18 Lemma 7, it is clear that D is a modular p -group when p is odd. A little more
 19 explanation is needed for the case when $p = 2$. With 2^α denoting the order of y , let
 20 $A_1 = \langle y^{2^{\alpha-3}} \rangle$ and $A_2 = \langle y^{2^{\alpha-1}} \rangle = N$. Note that $\alpha \geq 3$ or else $\exp(A) \leq 4$ in which
 21 case it is easily deduced from its structure that G/N is abelian, a contradiction to
 22 Lemma A part (ii). Hence A_1/A_2 is cyclic of order four and $[A_1, D] \leq A_2$. So we
 23 have D is a modular p -group using Lemma 7. Let $g, h \in C_G(M)$ and write $g = mu$
 24 and $h = m'v$ with $m, m' \in M$ and $u, v \in D$. Note that $g, h \in C_G(M)$ implies
 25 $u, v \in C_G(M)$. Since D is modular, $X = \langle u \rangle \langle v \rangle$ is a subgroup and $uv = v^k u^l$
 26 for integers k and l . We have $u^{1-l} \Phi(X) = v^{k-1} \Phi(X)$. If X is not cyclic, then
 27 $\langle v \Phi(X) \rangle \cap \langle u \Phi(X) \rangle = 1$ in which case $u^{1-l} \Phi(X) = v^{k-1} \Phi(X)$ gives rise to $k \equiv l \equiv 1$
 mod p . If X is cyclic, then $k = l = 1$. Hence we have

$$gh = mum'v = mm'uv = mm'v^k u^l = (m')^k v^k m^l v^l = (m'v)^k (mu)^l = h^k g^l.$$

28 Now $gh = h^k g^l$ implies $\langle g \rangle$ permutes with $\langle h \rangle$. So all subgroups of G are permutable,
 29 a contradiction. Thus if $G \in \mathcal{X} \cup \mathcal{Y}$, then G is modular.

30 Assume now that every conjugate-permutable subgroup of a group G is per-
 31 mutable. Then $G \in \mathcal{X} = \mathcal{M}$. □

32 4. AN APPLICATION

33 As an application of Theorem A, we prove the following theorem.

34 **Theorem B.** *The following statements are equivalent for a solvable group G .*

- 35 (i) G is a \mathcal{PT} -group.
- 36 (ii) Every subnormal subgroup of defect two in G is permutable in G .
- 37 (iii) Every normal subgroup of G is permutable sensitive in G .
- 38 (iv) Every conjugate-permutable subgroup of G is permutable in G .

39 *Proof.* Note that the properties of the statements (ii)-(iv) are quotient closed and
 40 every \mathcal{PT} -group satisfies (ii)-(iv).

41 Assume now that a solvable group G satisfies one of the conditions (ii)-(iv). We
 42 prove that G is a \mathcal{PT} -group by induction on $|G|$. Applying either Theorem 1 or
 43 Theorem 3, G is a solvable \mathcal{PST} -group. Moreover, by Theorem A, we may assume

1 that G is not a p -group. Suppose that G is nilpotent. Then all Sylow subgroups
 2 of G are modular and so G is a solvable \mathcal{PT} -group. Therefore we can suppose
 3 that G is not nilpotent. If L is the nilpotent residual of G , then G/L is a solvable
 4 \mathcal{PT} -group and G is a solvable \mathcal{PT} -group by Theorem 9. \square

5 5. A COUNTEREXAMPLE

6 Finally we present an example of a group with all subnormal subgroups of defect
 7 two permutable which is not a \mathcal{PT} -group.

8 *Example.* Let $A = \langle a \rangle$ be a cyclic group of order 27. Then A has an automorphism b
 9 of order 9 acting on A as $a^b = a^4$. The corresponding semidirect product $M = [A]\langle b \rangle$
 10 is an Iwasawa group. Note that the center of G has order 3.

11 On the other hand, let $D = \text{SL}_3(4)$. This group has center of order 3. We can
 12 construct the central product C of D and M in which both centers are identified.

13 Let t be an automorphism of order 3 acting on D as the inner automorphism
 14 induced by the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mu \end{pmatrix},$$

15 where μ is a generator of the multiplicative group of $\text{GF}(4)$, and on M as the inner
 16 automorphism of M induced by a^3 . Since t acts trivially on the centers of D and
 17 M , t induces an automorphism of C of order 3. We see that $D\langle t \rangle$ is isomorphic to
 18 $\text{GL}_3(4)$. Let G be the semidirect product $G = [C]\langle t \rangle$.

19 We see that $\text{O}_3(G) = M$ is the solvable radical of G and that D is the solvable
 20 residual of G . On the other hand, every normal subgroup of M is normal in G
 21 because it is centralized by D and normalized by t . Furthermore, all 3'-elements of
 22 G centralize M . It follows that the normal closure $\langle H^G \rangle$ of a subgroup H of M in
 23 G coincides with the normal closure $\langle H^P \rangle$ of H in a Sylow 3-subgroup P of G , and
 24 since D centralizes M and t acts on M as an inner automorphism, it coincides with
 25 the normal closure $\langle H^M \rangle$ of H in M . We can check that every subnormal subgroup
 26 of G of defect 2 is permutable in G : For the solvable subnormal subgroups, which are
 27 contained in M , it is enough to check permutability in P , and these subgroups are
 28 conjugate to $\langle b, a^9 \rangle$, to $\langle ba, a^9 \rangle$, to $\langle ba^2, a^9 \rangle$ or to $\langle b^3 \rangle$. For an insolvable subgroup
 29 H , H contains D and since G/D is a \mathcal{PT} -group, H/D is permutable in G/D and so
 30 H is permutable in G . Nevertheless, the subgroup $\langle b \rangle$ is a subnormal subgroup of
 31 M (and so of G) of defect 3 which does not permute with $\langle t \rangle$, because $\langle b, t \rangle$ contains
 32 the element $b^t = b^{a^3} = ba^{-9}$ and so $a^9 \in \langle b, t \rangle$.

33 ACKNOWLEDGMENT

34 This paper was started and completed in two visits of the first author to the
 35 Department of Mathematics of the Australian National University, Canberra, Aus-
 36 tralia. He is grateful to the Department of Mathematics for its warm hospitality
 37 and financial support while this investigation was carried out. He also gratefully ac-
 38 knowledges financial support by Grant MTM2007-68010-C03-02 from MEC (Span-
 39 ish Government) and FEDER.

40 The research of the fourth author has been supported by the Grants MTM2007-
 41 68010-C03-02 from MEC (Spanish Government) and FEDER, and GV/2007/243

1 from Generalitat (Valencian Government). He thanks all these institutions for their
2 financial support.

3 REFERENCES

- 4 [1] R. K. Agrawal, *Finite groups whose subnormal subgroups permute with all Sylow subgroups*,
5 Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **47** (1975), 77-83.
- 6 [2] A. Ballester-Bolínches, R. Esteban-Romero, and M. Ragland, *A note on finite \mathcal{PSI} -groups*,
7 J. Group Theory, **10** no. 2, (2007), 205-210.
- 8 [3] J. C. Beidleman and M. F. Ragland, *The intersection map of subgroups and certain classes*
9 *of finite groups*, Ric. Mat. (2007), **56** no. 2, 217-227.
- 10 [4] K. Doerk and T. Hawkes, *Finite Soluble Groups*, Walter De Gruyter, Berlin/New York, 1992.
- 11 [5] T. Foguel, *Conjugate-permutable subgroups*, J. Algebra, **191** (1997), no. 1, 235-239.
- 12 [6] O. Kegel, *Sylow-Gruppen und Subnormalteiler endlicher Gruppen*, Math. Z., **78**, (1962),
13 205-221.
- 14 [7] P. Longobardi, *Finite groups with modular factors*, Note Mat., **2** (1982), no. 1, 73-100.
- 15 [8] O. Ore, *Contributions to the theory of groups of finite order*, Duke Math. J., **5** (1939), no.
16 2, 431-460.
- 17 [9] R. Schmidt, *Subgroup lattices of groups* Vol. 14, Walter de Gruyter & Co., (1994).
- 18 [10] J. J. Rotman, *An introduction to the theory of groups*. Fourth Edition, Graduated Texts in
19 Mathematics 119, Springer, New York (1995).
- 20 [11] J. Szép, *Bemerkung zu einem Satz von O. Ore*, Publ. Math. Debrecen, **3** (1953), 81-82.
- 21 A. BALLESTER-BOLINCHES (CORRESPONDING AUTHOR), DEPARTAMENT D'ÀLGEBRA, UNIVERSI-
22 TAT DE VALÈNCIA, DR. MOLINER, 50, E-46100 BURJASSOT, VALÈNCIA, SPAIN
23 *E-mail address:* Adolfo.Ballester@uv.es
- 24 J. C. BEIDLEMAN, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, 745 PATTERSON OFFICE TOWER, UNIVER-
25 SITY OF KENTUCKY, LEXINGTON, KY, 40506-0027, U.S.A.
26 *E-mail address:* clark@ms.uky.edu
- 27 JOHN COSSEY, MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES INSTITUTE, AUSTRALIAN
28 NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, 0200, CANBERRA, AUSTRALIA
29 *E-mail address:* John.Cossey@maths.anu.edu.au
- 30 R. ESTEBAN-ROMERO, INSTITUT UNIVERSITARI DE MATEMÀTICA PURA I APLICADA, UNIVERSI-
31 TAT POLITÈCNICA DE VALÈNCIA, CAMÍ DE VERA, S/N, E-46022 VALÈNCIA, SPAIN
32 *E-mail address:* resteban@mat.upv.es
- 33 M. F. RAGLAND, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, AUBURN UNIVERSITY MONTGOMERY, P.O.
34 BOX 244023, MONTGOMERY, AL, 36124-4023, U.S.A.
35 *E-mail address:* mragland@aum.edu
- 36 JACK SCHMIDT, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, 745 PATTERSON OFFICE TOWER, UNIVERSITY
37 OF KENTUCKY, LEXINGTON, KY, 40506-0027, U.S.A.
38 *E-mail address:* jack@ms.uky.edu