

This paper has been published by Springer-Verlag and Akadémiai Kiadó in *Acta Mathematica Hungarica*, 101(3):193–202 (2003).

The final publication is available at

<http://www.springerlink.com>

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/B:AMHU.0000003903.71033.fc>.

# On finite soluble groups in which Sylow permutability is a transitive relation\*

A. Ballester-Bolinches

Departament d'Àlgebra

Universitat de València

Dr. Moliner, 50

E-46100 Burjassot (València)

Spain

email: [Adolfo.Ballester@uv.es](mailto:Adolfo.Ballester@uv.es)

R. Esteban-Romero

Departament de Matemàtica Aplicada

Universitat Politècnica de València

Camí de Vera, s/n

E-46022 València

Spain

email: [resteban@mat.upv.es](mailto:resteban@mat.upv.es)

20th January 2013

## Abstract

A characterisation of finite soluble groups in which Sylow permutability is a transitive relation by means of subgroup embedding properties enjoyed by all the subgroups is proved in the paper. The key point is an extension of a subnormality criterion due to Wielandt.

## 1 Introduction and statements of results

One of the principal objectives of this paper is to give characterisations of finite soluble groups in which Sylow permutability is a transitive relation by means of two subgroup embedding properties, weak S-permutability and S-subpermutiser condition, which will be defined below.

Our approach involves an analysis of the relation between the above properties and Sylow permutability. In this context, a nice extension of a well-known subnormality criterion due to Wielandt turns out to be crucial.

Recall that a subgroup  $H$  of a finite group  $G$  is said to be *S-permutable* in  $G$  if  $H$  permutes with all Sylow subgroups of  $G$ . According to a theorem of Kegel [10], every S-permutable subgroup is subnormal. A group  $G$  is said to be a *PST-group* if every subnormal subgroup of  $G$  is S-permutable in  $G$ . Subclasses of *PST*-groups are the class of *PT-groups* or groups in which permutability is transitive and the class of *T-groups* or groups in which normality is transitive.

There are several characterisations of finite soluble *T*-groups, *PT*-groups and *PST*-groups in terms of normal structure and Sylow structure ([1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 9, 12]).

Theorem 3 of [4] explains clearly the parallelism between these characterisations. Roughly speaking, one can get a *T*-characterisation (respectively, a

---

\*Supported by Grant BFM2001-1667-C03-03, MCyT, Spain, and FEDER, European Union

Keywords and phrases: finite groups, permutability, subnormality

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): 20D35, 20D10, 20D20

$PT$ -characterisation) from a  $PST$ -characterisation just by adding ‘Dedekind’ (respectively, ‘modular’) to the Sylow subgroups and substituting ‘S-permutable’ by ‘normal’ (respectively, ‘permutable’).

Recently, Bianchi, Gillio Berta Mauri, Herzog and Verardi [6] present a new characterisation of soluble  $T$ -groups using the following embedding property:

A subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  is said to be an  $\mathcal{H}$ -subgroup of  $G$  if for all  $g \in G$ ,  
 $N_G(H) \cap H^g \leq H$ .

They prove:

**Theorem 1** ([6, Theorem 10]). *A group  $G$  is a soluble  $T$ -group if and only if every subgroup of  $G$  is an  $\mathcal{H}$ -subgroup.*

The above embedding property is closely related to the *weak normality*, studied by the authors in [3]:

A subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  is called *weakly normal* in  $G$  if  $H^g \leq N_G(H)$  implies that  $g \in N_G(H)$ .

If  $H$  is weakly normal in  $G$  and  $H$  is normal in a subgroup  $K$  of  $G$ , then  $N_G(K)$  is contained in  $N_G(H)$ . This fact is crucial in the proof of [6, Theorem 10] and is a subgroup embedding property also studied in [3]:

A subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  is said to satisfy the *subnormaliser condition* if for every subgroup  $K$  of  $G$  such that  $H \trianglelefteq K$ , it follows that  $N_G(K) \leq N_G(H)$ .

Although neither a weakly normal subgroup is an  $\mathcal{H}$ -subgroup nor a subgroup satisfying the subnormaliser condition is weakly normal ([3, Example 2]), we have:

**Theorem 2** ([3]). *The following statements are equivalent:*

1.  $G$  is a soluble  $T$ -group.
2. Every subgroup of  $G$  is weakly normal in  $G$ .
3. Every  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$  is weakly normal in  $G$  for all primes  $p$ .
4. Every subgroup of  $G$  satisfies the subnormaliser condition in  $G$ .
5. Every  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$  satisfies the subnormaliser condition in  $G$  for all primes  $p$ .

In view of the parallelism between the characterisations of finite soluble  $T$ -,  $PT$ - and  $PST$ -groups in terms of the normal structure and Sylow structure, it is of interest to investigate the following situation:

Is it possible to define  $PT$ - and  $PST$ -versions of the above embedding properties to get the  $PT$ - and  $PST$ -versions of Theorems 1 and 2?

This paper tries to give the complete answer to this question.

Let us begin with the following elementary equivalences:

- A subgroup  $H$  of a group  $G$  is weakly normal in  $G$  if and only if  $H$  satisfies the following property: if  $g \in G$  and  $H$  is normal in  $\langle H, H^g \rangle$ , then  $H$  is normal in  $\langle H, g \rangle$ .
- A subgroup  $H$  of a group  $G$  satisfies the subnormaliser condition in  $G$  if and only if for every subgroup  $K$  of  $G$  such that  $H$  is normal in  $K$  and for every element  $x \in G$  such that  $K$  is normal in  $\langle K, x \rangle$ , we have that  $H$  is normal in  $\langle H, x \rangle$ .

Therefore it seems natural to consider the following embedding properties, which can be regarded as the *PST*-versions of the abovementioned ones:

**Definition 1.** We say that a subgroup  $H$  of a group  $G$  is *weakly S-permutable* in  $G$  when the following condition holds:

If  $g \in G$  and  $H$  is S-permutable in  $\langle H, H^g \rangle$ , then  $H$  is S-permutable in  $\langle H, g \rangle$ .

**Definition 2.** We say that a subgroup  $H$  of a group  $G$  satisfies the *S-subpermutiser condition* in  $G$  when the following condition holds:

If  $H$  is S-permutable in  $K$  and  $x$  is an element of  $G$  such that  $K$  is S-permutable in  $\langle K, x \rangle$ , then  $H$  is S-permutable in  $\langle H, x \rangle$ .

Note that there exist subgroups  $H$  such that  $H$  is S-permutable in  $\langle H, H^g \rangle$  for all  $g \in G$ , but  $H$  is not S-permutable in  $G$ , as Example 1 shows.

*Example 1.* Consider the group  $G = \Sigma_4$ , the symmetric group of degree 4, and  $H = \langle (1, 2)(3, 4) \rangle$ . For every  $g \in G$ ,  $\langle H, H^g \rangle \leq \text{Soc}(G)$ . In fact, if  $g \notin N_G(H)$ ,  $\langle H, H^g \rangle = \text{Soc}(G) \trianglelefteq G$ , hence  $H$  is S-permutable in  $\langle H, H^g \rangle$ , but  $H$  is not S-permutable in  $\langle H, g \rangle$  for some  $g \in G$ , e.g.,  $g = (1, 2, 3)$  (notice that  $\langle H, g \rangle = A_4$ ). In particular,  $H$  is not S-permutable in  $G$ .

Clearly S-permutable subgroups are weakly S-permutable. Maximal subgroups, Sylow subgroups and self-normalising subgroups are weakly S-permutable, too.

The following proposition shows the relation between the above properties and the corresponding *T*-versions.

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $H$  be a subgroup of a group  $G$ . Then:*

1. *If  $H$  is weakly normal in  $G$ , then  $H$  is weakly S-permutable in  $G$ .*
2. *If  $H$  satisfies the subnormaliser condition in  $G$ , then  $H$  satisfies the S-subpermutiser condition in  $G$ .*

Obviously the next step will be to analyse the relation between weak S-permutability and S-subpermutiser condition. There exist subgroups satisfying the S-subpermutiser condition which are not weakly S-permutable (see Example 2 below). However, we prove in the following that weak S-permutability implies the S-subpermutiser condition. The strategy used is the following:

It is clear that a subgroup  $H$  of a group  $G$  is normal (respectively, permutable) in  $G$  if and only if  $H$  is normal in  $\langle H, g \rangle$  for every  $g \in G$ . Less trivial is the following result of Wielandt:

**Theorem 3.** *For a subgroup  $H$  of a group  $G$ , the following statements are equivalent:*

1.  $H$  is subnormal in  $G$ .
2.  $H$  is subnormal in  $\langle H, H^g \rangle$  for all  $g \in G$ .
3.  $H$  is subnormal in  $\langle H, g \rangle$  for all  $g \in G$ .

Example 1 shows that the equivalence between 1 and 2 does not hold neither for normality, nor permutability nor S-permutability. Nevertheless, the equivalence between 1 and 3, already noted above for normality and permutability, also holds for S-permutability, and it is a key result which helps to relate weak S-permutability and S-subpermutiser condition to S-permutability.

**Theorem A.** *A subgroup  $H$  of a group  $G$  is S-permutable in  $G$  if and only if  $H$  is S-permutable in  $\langle H, g \rangle$  for every  $g \in G$ .*

Applying Theorem A we have:

**Corollary 1.** *If  $H$  satisfies the S-subpermutiser condition in a group  $G$  and  $H$  is a subnormal subgroup of a subgroup  $K$  of  $G$ , then  $H$  is S-permutable in  $K$ .*

**Corollary 2.** *If  $H$  is weakly S-permutable in  $G$ , then  $H$  satisfies the S-subpermutiser condition in  $G$ .*

Next we deal with certain localisations of *PST*-, *PT*- and *T*-groups.

Fix a prime  $p$ . Robinson [11] introduced the class  $\mathcal{C}_p$  of all groups  $G$  such that each subgroup of every Sylow  $p$ -subgroup  $P$  of  $G$  is normal in  $N_G(P)$ . He proves that a group  $G$  is a soluble *T*-group if and only if it belongs to the class  $\mathcal{C}_p$  for all primes  $p$ . The *PT*-version of the class  $\mathcal{C}_p$  is the class  $\mathcal{X}_p$  introduced by Beidleman, Brewster and Robinson in [5]: a group  $G$  belongs to  $\mathcal{X}_p$  if and only if each subgroup of every Sylow  $p$ -subgroup  $P$  of  $G$  is permutable in  $N_G(P)$ . A group  $G$  is a soluble *PT*-group if and only if  $G$  belongs to the class  $\mathcal{X}_p$  for all primes  $p$  ([5, Theorem A]). The *PST*-version of the above classes is the class  $\mathcal{Y}_p$  introduced by the authors in [4]: a group  $G$  belongs to  $\mathcal{Y}_p$  if and only if when  $H$  and  $K$  are  $p$ -subgroups of  $G$  such that  $H \leq K$ , then  $H$  is S-permutable in  $N_G(K)$ . A group  $G$  is a soluble *PST*-group if and only if  $G$  belongs to the class  $\mathcal{Y}_p$  for all primes  $p$  ([4, Theorem 4]).

Bryce and Cossey [7] characterise in the soluble universe the groups in the class  $\mathcal{C}_p$  as the groups  $G$  in which every  $p'$ -perfect subnormal subgroup of  $G$  is normal in  $G$ . We also prove in [3] that a soluble group  $G$  belongs to the class  $\mathcal{C}_p$  if and only if every  $p'$ -perfect subgroup is weakly normal in  $G$ .

It is natural then to ask for the relation between the class  $\mathcal{Y}_p$  and weak S-permutability and S-subpermutiser condition. First of all, note that there exist groups in the class  $\mathcal{Y}_p$  with  $p'$ -perfect subnormal subgroups which are neither weakly S-permutable nor satisfy the S-subpermutiser condition (see Section 3). The best result we get is:

**Theorem B.** *Let  $G$  be a group. The following statements are equivalent:*

1.  $G$  is a  $\mathcal{Y}_p$ -group.
2. Every  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$  satisfies the S-subpermutiser condition in  $G$ .

With the above results at hand, we are able to prove the following characterisations of soluble *PST*-groups.

**Theorem C.** *Let  $G$  be a group. The following statements are equivalent:*

1.  $G$  is a soluble *PST*-group.
2. Every subgroup of  $G$  is weakly *S*-permutable in  $G$ .
3. For every prime number  $p$ , every  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$  is weakly *S*-permutable in  $G$ .
4. Every subgroup of  $G$  satisfies the *S*-subpermutiser condition in  $G$ .
5. For every prime number  $p$ , every  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$  satisfies the *S*-subpermutiser condition in  $G$ .

## 2 Proofs

*Proof of Proposition 1.* 1. Suppose that  $H$  is a weakly normal subgroup of  $G$ . Let  $g$  be an element of  $G$  such that  $H$  is *S*-permutable in  $\langle H, H^g \rangle$ . By Kegel's Theorem [10] we know that  $H$  is subnormal in  $\langle H, H^g \rangle$ . Now applying [3, Lemma 1] we have that  $H$  is normal in  $\langle H, H^g \rangle$ . The weak normality of  $H$  in  $G$  implies that  $H$  is normal in  $\langle H, g \rangle$  and, in particular,  $H$  is *S*-permutable in  $\langle H, g \rangle$ . Consequently,  $H$  is weakly *S*-permutable in  $G$ .

With the same arguments to those used in the proof of statement 1 and applying Kegel's theorem and [3, Lemma 1], we have that each subgroup satisfying the subnormaliser condition in  $G$  also satisfies the *S*-subpermutiser condition in  $G$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem A.* Suppose that  $G$  is a group of minimal order with a subgroup  $H$  such that  $H$  is *S*-permutable in  $\langle H, g \rangle$  for every  $g \in G$ , but  $H$  is not *S*-permutable in  $G$ . Since  $H$  is a subnormal subgroup of  $\langle H, g \rangle$  for every  $g \in G$ , from Theorem 3 it follows that  $H$  is a subnormal subgroup of  $G$ . Let  $M$  be a maximal normal subgroup of  $G$  containing  $H$ . Since  $H$  is not *S*-permutable in  $G$ , there exists a prime  $p$  and a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup  $P$  of  $G$  such that  $P$  does not permute with  $H$ .

Suppose that there exists a maximal subgroup  $M_1$  of  $G$  such that  $H \leq M_1$  and  $M$  is not contained in  $M_1$ . Then  $MM_1 = G$ . From the minimality of  $G$ , it follows that  $H$  is *S*-permutable in  $M$  and  $M_1$ . Moreover, there exists a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup  $Q$  of  $M$  and a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup  $Q_1$  of  $M_1$  such that their product  $QQ_1 = P_0$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ . Then  $H$  permutes with both  $Q$  and  $Q_1$ , hence  $H$  permutes with  $P_0$ . Consider a minimal normal subgroup  $N$  of  $G$  contained in  $M$ . By minimality of  $G$ ,  $HN/N$  permutes with  $PN/N$ , hence  $HN$  permutes with  $P$  and  $P(HN)$  is a subgroup of  $G$ . If  $P(HN)$  is a proper subgroup of  $G$ , then  $H$  permutes with  $P$ , a contradiction. Consequently we have that  $P(HN) = G$ . There exists an element  $x \in G$  such that  $P_0 = P^x$ , and  $x$  can be expressed as  $x = x_1x_2$ , with  $x_1 \in P$  and  $x_2 \in HN$ . Therefore  $P_0 = P^x = P^{x_2}$ . Hence  $H$  permutes with  $P^{x_2}$ , or, equivalently,  $H^{x_2^{-1}}$  permutes with  $P$ . Since  $H$  is a subnormal subgroup of  $G$ , by a theorem of Wielandt [8, A,14.3] we have that  $\text{Soc}(G)$  normalises each subnormal subgroup of  $G$ . In particular,  $H$  is a

normal subgroup of  $HN$ , and since  $x_2 \in HN$ , we have that  $H = H^{x_2^{-1}}$ . This implies that  $H$  permutes with  $P$ , a contradiction. Consequently, if  $M_1$  is a maximal subgroup of  $G$  containing  $H$ , then  $M \leq M_1$ . Since  $P(HN) = G$  and  $HN \leq M$ , it follows that  $|G : M|$  is a power of  $p$ . Hence all maximal subgroups of  $G/M$  are normal. Thus  $M$  is actually a maximal subgroup, and it is the unique maximal subgroup of  $G$  containing  $H$ . Therefore if  $x \in G \setminus M$ , we have that  $\langle H, x \rangle = G$ : otherwise there would exist another maximal subgroup of  $G$  containing  $H$ . From the hypothesis,  $H$  is S-permutable in  $\langle H, x \rangle = G$ , the final contradiction.

The converse is clear.  $\square$

Note by Theorem A that a subgroup  $H$  of a group  $G$  satisfies the S-subpermutiser condition in  $G$  if and only if  $H$  satisfies the following property:

If  $H$  is S-permutable in  $K$  and  $K$  is S-permutable in  $L$ , then  $H$  is S-permutable in  $L$ .

*Proof of Corollary 1.* Suppose that  $H$  satisfies the S-subpermutiser condition in  $G$  and that  $H$  is subnormal in a subgroup  $K$  of  $G$ . Arguing by induction we can suppose, without loss of generality, that  $H$  is S-permutable in a proper normal subgroup  $L$  of  $K$ . Consider  $g \in K$ . Since  $H$  is S-permutable in  $L$  and  $L$  is S-permutable in  $\langle L, g \rangle$ , from the S-subpermutiser condition we have that  $H$  is S-permutable in  $\langle H, g \rangle$ . Since this happens for every  $g \in K$ , from Theorem A we obtain that  $H$  is an S-permutable subgroup of  $K$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Corollary 2.* Assume that  $H$  is a weakly S-permutable subgroup of  $G$ . Let  $K$  be a subgroup of  $G$  such that  $H$  is S-permutable in  $K$ . Suppose in addition that  $x$  is an element of  $G$  such that  $K$  is S-permutable in  $\langle K, x \rangle$ . By Kegel's theorem, we have that  $H$  is subnormal in  $\langle K, x \rangle$ . By Corollary 1 we obtain that  $H$  is S-permutable in  $\langle K, x \rangle$ , as desired.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem B.* Suppose that every  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$  satisfies the S-subpermutiser condition in  $G$ . Suppose that  $H \leq L \leq P$ , where  $P$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ . Since  $H$  is a subnormal subgroup of  $N_G(L)$  and  $H$  satisfies the S-subpermutiser condition in  $G$ , we have that  $H$  is S-permutable in  $N_G(L)$  by Corollary 1. Therefore  $G$  is in the class  $\mathcal{Y}_p$ .

Now suppose that  $G$  is in the class  $\mathcal{Y}_p$ . Assume that  $H$  is an S-permutable  $p$ -subgroup of  $K$ , and  $K$  is an S-permutable subgroup of  $L$ . Arguing by induction, we can suppose that  $H \leq K \trianglelefteq L$  and that  $H$  is S-permutable in  $K$ . Since  $G$  belongs to the class  $\mathcal{Y}_p$ ,  $H$  is S-permutable in  $N_G(K)$ , which contains  $L$ . In particular,  $H$  is S-permutable in  $L$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem C.* Let us see that 1 implies 2. Suppose that  $G$  is a soluble PST-group. Applying the results of [1],  $G = AB$ , where  $A$  is the nilpotent residual of  $G$ ,  $A$  is abelian of odd order,  $|A|$  and  $|B|$  are coprime and every subgroup of  $A$  normal in  $G$ . Let  $g \in G$  and  $H \leq G$  such that  $H$  is S-permutable in  $\langle H, H^g \rangle$ . We can suppose that  $G$  is not nilpotent, and so  $A \neq 1$ . Let  $N$  be a minimal normal subgroup of  $G$  such that  $N \leq A$ . By minimality of  $G$ ,  $HN/N$  is weakly S-permutable in  $G/N$ . Hence  $HN/N$  is S-permutable in  $\langle H, g \rangle N/N$ . Consequently  $HN$  is S-permutable in  $\langle H, g \rangle N$ . If  $\langle H, g \rangle$  is a proper subgroup of  $G$ , then  $H$  is S-permutable in  $\langle H, g \rangle$ . Therefore  $G = \langle H, g \rangle$  and  $HN$  is S-permutable in  $G$ . This implies that  $HN$  is a subnormal subgroup of  $G$ .

Assume that  $H$  is not weakly S-permutable and let  $p$  be a prime number dividing  $|G|$  and  $P$  a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$  such that  $H$  does not permute with  $P$ . If  $(HN)P$  is a proper subgroup of  $G$ , then  $H$  permutes with  $P$  by induction. Consequently,  $G = (HN)P$ . Suppose that  $p$  divides  $|A|$ , then  $P \leq A$  and  $P$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$ . Hence  $H$  permutes with  $P$ , a contradiction. Therefore  $|P|$  and  $|A|$  are coprime. Moreover,  $\text{Core}_G(H) = 1$ . Thus  $H \cap A = 1$  and  $|H|$  and  $|A|$  are coprime. As a consequence, if  $\pi$  is the set of primes dividing  $|A|$  and  $n_\pi$  is the  $\pi$ -part of the number  $n$ , then

$$|G|_\pi = \frac{|HN|_\pi |P|_\pi}{|HN \cap P|_\pi} = |HN|_\pi = |N|_\pi$$

and hence  $A = N$ .

Let us denote  $T = \langle H, H^g \rangle$  and let  $q$  be the prime dividing  $|N|$ . If  $|T|_q \neq 1$ , then  $N \cap T$  is a nontrivial normal subgroup of  $G$ . Hence  $N \leq T$ . Since  $H$  is S-permutable in  $T$ , we have that  $H$  is a subnormal subgroup of  $T$  and so  $H$  is subnormal in  $HN$ . Therefore  $H$  is a subnormal subgroup of  $G$ . Since  $G$  is a  $PST$ -group, we have that  $H$  is S-permutable in  $G$ , a contradiction. Therefore  $|T|_q = 1$ . We can suppose that  $T \leq B$ . The element  $g$  can be expressed as  $g = bn$ , with  $b \in B$  and  $n \in N = \langle x \rangle$ , with  $o(x) = p$  (notice that  $G$  is supersoluble). If  $n = 1$ , then  $H$  is S-permutable in  $\langle H, b \rangle = \langle H, g \rangle$ , because  $B$  is nilpotent. Hence  $n \neq 1$  and  $N = \langle n \rangle$  and  $H^g \leq B^g = B^n$ , therefore  $H^g \leq B \cap B^n = C_B(n)$  (see [8, A,16.3]). Consequently  $H^g \leq C_G(n)$ , whence  $H^b \leq (C_G(n))^{n^{-1}} = C_G(n)$ . This implies that  $H^b \leq C_G(N)$  and so  $H^b N$  is a nilpotent group. But in this case  $H^b$  is a subnormal subgroup of  $G$ , because  $H^b N$  is a subnormal subgroup of  $G$ . Therefore  $H$  is a subnormal subgroup of  $G$ . Since  $G$  is a  $PST$ -group, we have that  $H$  is S-permutable in  $G$ , the final contradiction.

It is obvious that 2 implies 3 and that 4 implies 5. From Proposition 1, it follows that 2 implies 4 and that 3 implies 5. From Theorem B and [4, Theorem 5], it follows that 5 implies 1. This completes the proof.  $\square$

### 3 An example

*Example 2.* Consider  $P = \langle x, y \mid x^2 = y^8 = 1, y^x = y^5 \rangle$ , a modular group of order 16.  $P$  has an irreducible and faithful module over the field of 17 elements,  $V = \langle w_1, w_2 \rangle$ , such that the action of  $P$  is described by  $w_1^x = w_2$ ,  $w_2^x = w_1$ ,  $w_1^y = w_1^9$ ,  $w_2^y = w_2^8$ . We construct the semidirect product  $G = [V]P$ . We observe that  $x$  centralises the element  $w_1 w_2$ . Let  $g = w_1 w_2 y$ . Let  $H = \langle x \rangle$ . We have that  $H^g = \langle x^y \rangle = \langle x y^4 \rangle \leq P$ . Consequently the subgroup  $H = \langle x \rangle$  is S-permutable in  $\langle H, H^g \rangle$ . But  $H$  is not S-permutable in  $\langle H, g \rangle = G$ : it suffices to see that  $H$  does not permute with, e.g.,  $P^{w_1}$ .

It is clear that  $G$  is a 2-nilpotent group, and so  $G$  belongs to the class  $\mathcal{Y}_2$  by [4, Theorem 5]. Applying Theorem B, all 2-subgroups of  $G$ , in particular  $H$ , satisfy the S-subpermutiser condition in  $G$  (the reader is invited to prove directly that  $H$  satisfies the S-subpermutiser condition in  $G$ ).

Consider the subgroup  $L = \langle x, w_1 w_2^{-1} \rangle$ . Then  $L$  is a 2'-perfect subnormal subgroup of  $G$  which is not permutable with  $P$ . However,  $L$  is S-permutable in  $M = \langle x, y^2, w_1, w_2 \rangle \trianglelefteq G$  and  $M$  is S-permutable in  $G = \langle M, g \rangle$ , but  $L$  is not S-



permutable in  $G = \langle L, g \rangle$ . It follows that  $L$  does not satisfy the S-subpermutiser condition in  $G$ .

## 4 Postscript: An extension to $PT$ -groups

In this section we introduce two new embedding properties useful to give characterisations of  $PT$ -groups.

**Definition 3.** We say that a subgroup  $H$  of a group  $G$  is *weakly permutable* when the following condition holds:

If  $H$  is permutable in  $\langle H, H^g \rangle$ , then  $H$  is permutable in  $\langle H, g \rangle$ .

**Definition 4.** We say that a subgroup  $H$  of a group  $G$  satisfies the *subpermutiser condition* in  $G$  when the following condition holds:

If  $H$  is permutable in  $K$  and  $x$  is an element of  $G$  such that  $K$  is permutable in  $\langle K, x \rangle$ , then  $H$  is permutable in  $\langle H, x \rangle$ .

Weak permutability and the subpermutiser condition extend weak normality and the subnormaliser condition, respectively, to permutability. The following results hold:

**Theorem 4.** 1. *If  $H$  is a weakly normal subgroup of  $G$ , then  $H$  is a weakly permutable subgroup of  $G$ .*

2. *If  $H$  is a weakly permutable subgroup of  $G$ , then  $H$  is a weakly S-permutable subgroup of  $G$ .*

3. *If  $H$  satisfies the subnormaliser condition in  $G$ , then  $H$  satisfies the subpermutiser condition in  $G$ .*

4. *If  $H$  satisfies the subpermutiser condition in  $G$ , then  $H$  satisfies the S-subpermutiser condition in  $G$ .*

5. *If  $H$  is a weakly permutable subgroup of  $G$ , then  $H$  satisfies the subpermutiser condition in  $G$ .*

6. *If  $H$  is weakly permutable in  $G$  and  $H$  is a subnormal subgroup of a subgroup  $K$  of  $G$ , then  $H$  is permutable in  $K$ .*

7. *If  $H$  satisfies the subpermutiser condition in  $G$  and  $H$  is a subnormal subgroup of a subgroup  $K$  of  $G$ , then  $H$  is permutable in  $K$ .*

We can give now  $PT$ -versions of Theorem B and Theorem C.

**Theorem D.** *Let  $G$  be a group. The following statements are equivalent:*

1.  $G$  belongs to  $\mathcal{X}_p$ .
2. Every  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$  satisfies the subpermutiser condition.

**Theorem E.** *Let  $G$  be a group. The following statements are equivalent:*

1.  $G$  is a soluble  $PT$ -group.

2. Every subgroup of  $G$  is weakly permutable in  $G$ .
3. For every prime number  $p$ , every  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$  is weakly permutable in  $G$ .
4. Every subgroup of  $G$  satisfies the subpermutiser condition in  $G$ .
5. For every prime number  $p$ , every  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$  satisfies the subpermutiser condition in  $G$ .

## References

- [1] R. K. Agrawal. Finite groups whose subnormal subgroups permute with all Sylow subgroups. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **47** (1975), 77–83.
- [2] M. J. Alejandro, A. Ballester-Bolinches, and M. C. Pedraza-Aguilera. Finite soluble groups with permutable subnormal subgroups. *J. Algebra*, **240** (2001), 705–721.
- [3] A. Ballester-Bolinches and R. Esteban-Romero. On finite  $\mathcal{T}$ -groups. To appear in *J. Austral. Math. Soc.*
- [4] A. Ballester-Bolinches and R. Esteban-Romero. Sylow permutable subnormal subgroups of finite groups. *J. Algebra*, **251** (2002), 727–738.
- [5] J. C. Beidleman, B. Brewster, and D. J. S. Robinson. Criteria for permutability to be transitive in finite groups. *J. Algebra*, **222** (1999), 400–412.
- [6] M. Bianchi, A. Gillio Berta Mauri, M. Herzog, and L. Verardi. On finite solvable groups in which normality is a transitive relations. *J. Group Theory*, **3** (2002), 147–156,.
- [7] R. A. Bryce and J. Cossey. The Wielandt subgroup of a finite soluble group. *J. London Math. Soc.*, **40** (1989), 244–256.
- [8] K. Doerk and T. Hawkes. *Finite Soluble Groups*. Number 4 in De Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics. Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, New York (1992).
- [9] W. Gaschütz. Gruppen, in denen das Normalteilersein transitiv ist. *J. reine angew. Math.*, **198** (1957), 87–92.
- [10] O. H. Kegel. Sylow-Gruppen und Subnormalteiler endlicher Gruppen. *Math. Z.*, **78** (1962), 205–221.
- [11] D. J. S. Robinson. A note on finite groups in which normality is transitive. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **19** (1968), 933–937.
- [12] G. Zacher. I gruppi risolubli in cui i sottogruppi di composizione coincidono con i sottogruppi quasi-normali. *Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur. (8)*, **37** (1964), 150–154.