FTUV/93-28

# Dynamical zeros in neutrino-electron elastic scattering at leading order

### J. Segura, J. Bernabéu, F.J. Botella and J. Peñarrocha

Departament de Física Teórica Universitat de València

and

IFIC, Centre Mixte Univ. Valencia-CSIC E-46100 Burjassot, Spain

PACS: 13.15.-f;13.10.+q;11.80.Cr;13.40.Fn

#### Abstract

We show the existence of dynamical zeros in the helicity amplitudes for neutrinoelectron elastic scattering at lowest order in the standard theory. In particular, the  $\lambda = 1/2$  non-flip electron helicity amplitude in the electron antineutrino process vanishes for an incident neutrino energy  $E_{\nu} = m_e/(4sin^2\theta_W)$  and forward electrons (maximum recoil energy). The rest of helicity amplitudes show kinematical zeros in this configuration and therefore the cross section vanishes. Prospects to search for neutrino magnetic moment are discussed The first  $\nu_i(\bar{\nu}_i)e^- \rightarrow \nu_i(\bar{\nu}_i)e^-$  collision was observed in 1973 at Gargamelle [1].In particular the observation of the process  $\bar{\nu}_{\mu}e^- \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_{\mu}e^-$  represented the discovery of neutral currents, a milestone in the history of the standard model of electroweak interactions. In the year 1976 the group of Reines [2] got the first signal of the  $\bar{\nu}_e e^- \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_e e^-$  process, using neutrinos from a nuclear reactor. Nowadays, there are also data from  $\nu_{\mu}e^- \rightarrow \nu_{\mu}e^-$  and  $\nu_e e^- \rightarrow \nu_e e^-$  reactions.

Needless to say, neutrino physics in general and these leptonic processes in particular play a crucial role in the study of the standard model of electroweak interactions, as well as in searching for effects beyond the standard model: Charm II collaboration has given values [3] of the electroweak mixing angle at a level of accuracy comparable with LEP data, the ( destructive ) interference among charged and neutral currents has been measured [4] in the reaction  $\nu_e e^- \rightarrow \nu_e e^-$ , the laboratory bound on the neutrino magnetic moment ( $\mu_{\nu} < 2.4x10^{-10}$ ) has been set with  $\bar{\nu}_e e^- \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_e e^-$  [2, 5, 6] in reactor experiments. Besides, several new proposals plan to reach a 1% accuracy in the value of  $sin^2\theta_W$  [7], to study the  $\bar{\nu}_e$  magnetic moment at the level of  $2x10^{-11}$ Bohr magnetons [8] or even to search for flavour changing neutral currents.

Let us concentrate in the neutrino magnetic moment experiments. The differential cross section for  $\bar{\nu}_e e^- \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_e e^-$  including the neutrino magnetic moment contribution [5] and neglecting neutrino mass is given by

$$\frac{d\sigma_{\bar{\nu}_e}}{dT} = \frac{2G^2m_e}{\pi} \left[ g_R^2 + g_L^2 \left( 1 - \frac{T}{E_\nu} \right)^2 - g_L g_R \frac{m_e T}{E_\nu^2} \right] + \frac{\pi\alpha^2 \mu_\nu^2}{m_e^2} \frac{(1 - T/E_\nu)}{T}$$
(1)

where G is the Fermi coupling constant,  $\alpha$  the fine structure constant,  $\mu_{\nu}$  the neutrino magnetic moment in Bohr magnetons,  $m_e$  the electron mass, T the recoil kinetic energy of the electron and  $E_{\nu}$  the antineutrino incident energy. In terms of  $sin^2\theta_W$ the chiral couplings  $g_L$  and  $g_R$  can be written as

$$g_L = \frac{1}{2} + \sin^2 \theta_W; \quad g_R = \sin^2 \theta_W . \tag{2}$$

The first piece in the r.h.s of eq. (1) is the standard charged and neutral current contribution ( for neutrinos one should exchange  $g_L$  by  $g_R$  ), the second one is due to the neutrino magnetic moment, whose contribution adds incoherently. The magnetic moment contribution is enhanced with respect to the conventional contribution for low values of T. Then, to extract information about the neutrino magnetic moment term, it is extremely important to minimize the experimental threshold on the electron recoil energy, which is a difficult task. But from eq. (1), due to the presence of the  $g_Lg_R$  term, we observe that a different strategy can be used , namely, to look for kinematical configurations, if any, where the standard model contribution vanishes. Instead of looking for regions where the new physics becomes large enough to be comparable to the standard contribution we will look for configurations were the standard contribution becomes small enough.

With this motivation, we study in this paper the dynamical zeros of the helicity amplitudes for neutrino and antineutrino scattering with electrons at lowest order in the standard model. By dynamical zeros we mean the ones which appear inside the physical region of the kinematical variables describing the scattering [9]. The location of these dynamical zeros depends on the values of the fundamental parameters of the electroweak theory, namely,  $g_L$  and  $g_R$  in our case. Besides dynamical zeros, the helicity amplitudes are constrained by the kinematical zeros [10] which appear at the boundary of the physical region and do not depend on dynamical parameters.

On searching for dynamical zeros in neutrino and antineutrino electron scattering one can make a first attempt by selecting a kinematical configuration where only one spin amplitude contributes to the standard model cross section in eq. (1). In particular, in a general collinear frame the helicity amplitude  $M_{\lambda'\lambda}^{\nu_i}$  for  $\nu_i e^- \rightarrow \nu_i e^-$ ,  $i = e, \mu, \tau$ , with  $\lambda = \lambda' = -1/2$  is the only one that contributes for backward outgoing neutrino ( forward electron ), being  $\lambda$  and  $\lambda'$  the initial and final electron helicities respectively. This follows from angular momentum conservation arguments. For  $\bar{\nu}_i e^- \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_i e^-$  the helicity amplitude  $M_{1/2,1/2}^{\bar{\nu}_i}$  is the only one that contributes for backward neutrino.

In the LAB frame, the backward cross section for  $\nu_i e^- \rightarrow \nu_i e^-$  can be written as follows

$$\left(\frac{d\sigma_{\nu_i}}{dT}\right)_{back} = \frac{2G^2m_e}{\pi} \left[g_L^i - g_R^i \frac{m_e}{2E_\nu + m_e}\right]^2 \tag{3}$$

which is proportional to  $|M_{-1/2,-1/2}^{\nu_i}(back)|^2$ . Eq. (3) is easily obtained from the

standard piece of eq. (1) considering that the value of T in the backward configuration is

$$T_{max} = \frac{2E_{\nu}^2}{2E_{\nu} + m_e}.$$
 (4)

In eq. (3) there are no dynamical zeros for this backward cross section with  $g_L^e$ and  $g_R^e$  satisfying  $g_L^e > g_R^e > 0$ , which is the case for  $\nu_e$  as seen from equation (2). On the other hand, for  $\bar{\nu}_i e^-$  backward elastic scattering we have

$$\left(\frac{d\sigma_{\bar{\nu}_i}}{dT}\right)_{back} = \frac{2G^2m_e}{\pi} \left[g_R^i - g_L^i \frac{m_e}{2E_\nu + m_e}\right]^2 \tag{5}$$

which is proportional to  $|M_{1/2,1/2}^{\bar{\nu}_i}(back)|^2$  and vanishes for  $\bar{\nu}_e$  at

$$E_{\nu} = \frac{m_e}{4sin^2\theta_W} \ . \tag{6}$$

Therefore we have found that for the antineutrino energy  $E_{\nu}$  given by equation (6) and the corresponding maximum electron recoil energy given by equation (4) the differential cross section for  $\bar{\nu}_e e^- \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_e e^-$  vanishes exactly at leading order. It is worthwhile to emphasize that  $E_{\nu} \simeq m_e$  lies inside the range of reactor antineutrino spectra and  $T = T_{max} \simeq \frac{2}{3}m_e$  is in the range of the proposed experiments to detect recoil electrons [8].

For  $\nu_{\mu}$  and  $\bar{\nu}_{\mu}$  elastic scattering ( or  $\nu_{\tau}$  and  $\bar{\nu}_{\tau}$  ) the corresponding  $g_L^{\mu}$ ,  $g_R^{\mu}$  parameters are

$$g_L^{\mu} = -\frac{1}{2} + \sin^2 \theta_W; \quad g_R^{\mu} = \sin^2 \theta_W \tag{7}$$

These values prevent the corresponding cross sections from dynamical zeros for backward neutrinos. It is therefore evident that the contribution of charged currents to the values of  $g_L^i$  and  $g_R^i$  is essential in the existence of the dynamical zeros given by eqs. (4) and (6) in  $\bar{\nu}_e e^-$  scattering.

In what follows we will present a systematic analysis of the dynamical zeros of the helicity amplitudes for neutrino and antineutrino - electron scattering at lowest order in electroweak interactions. As a consequence of this analysis one obtains all the information about dynamical zeros for polarized and unpolarized differential cross sections.

For  $\nu_i e^- \rightarrow \nu_i e^-$  the helicity amplitudes are

$$M^{\nu_i}_{\lambda',\lambda} = \frac{G}{\sqrt{2}} (g^i_L M^{\nu_i}_L + g^i_R M^{\nu_i}_R) \tag{8}$$

where

$$M_L^{\nu_i} = [\bar{u}'_e(\lambda')\gamma_\alpha(1-\gamma_5)u_e(\lambda)][\bar{u}'_{\nu_i}\gamma^\alpha(1-\gamma_5)u_{\nu_i}]$$
  

$$M_R^{\nu_i} = [\bar{u}'_e(\lambda')\gamma_\alpha(1+\gamma_5)u_e(\lambda)][\bar{u}'_{\nu_i}\gamma^\alpha(1-\gamma_5)u_{\nu_i}]$$
(9)

We carry out the explicit calculation in the LAB frame, by using a standard representation of the Dirac matrices and spinors [11]. The final result for the helicity amplitudes reads as follows

$$\begin{split} M_{+,+}^{\nu_{i}} &= Ng_{R}^{i}\left(1 + \frac{T}{|\vec{p'}|}\right)\sin\frac{\theta}{2}\cos\frac{\beta}{2} \\ M_{-,-}^{\nu_{i}} &= N\left[g_{L}^{i}\left(1 + \frac{T}{|\vec{p'}|}\right)\sin\frac{\theta - \beta}{2} + g_{R}^{i}\left(1 - \frac{T}{|\vec{p'}|}\right)\cos\frac{\theta}{2}\sin\frac{\beta}{2}\right] \\ M_{+,-}^{\nu_{i}} &= N\left[-g_{L}^{i}\left(1 - \frac{T}{|\vec{p'}|}\right)\cos\frac{\theta - \beta}{2} + g_{R}^{i}\left(1 + \frac{T}{|\vec{p'}|}\right)\sin\frac{\theta}{2}\sin\frac{\beta}{2}\right] \\ M_{-,+}^{\nu_{i}} &= Ng_{R}^{i}\left(1 - \frac{T}{|\vec{p'}|}\right)\cos\frac{\theta}{2}\cos\frac{\beta}{2} \end{split}$$
(10)

where  $N = 8G\sqrt{E_{\nu}E'_{\nu}m_e(T+2m_e)}$ ,  $|\vec{p'}|$  is the outgoing electron momentum and  $\theta$ and  $\beta$  are the counterclockwise angles in the scattering plane of the final electron and neutrino with respect to the incoming neutrino direction. We note that the helicity of the target electron ( at rest ) is referred to the backward direction. In eq. (10) we have used the helicity signs instead of its values.

We have the following relation between neutrino and antineutrino helicity amplitudes

$$M_{\lambda',\lambda}^{\bar{\nu}_i}(g_L^i, g_R^i) = (-)^{\lambda'-\lambda} M_{-\lambda',-\lambda}^{\nu_i}(g_R^i, g_L^i)$$
(11)

i.e., apart from the phase factor  $(-)^{\lambda'-\lambda}$ , one has to replace  $g_R^i \leftrightarrow g_L^i$  and change the sign of helicities going from neutrino to antineutrino amplitudes.

From eqs. (10) and (11) it is clear that the amplitudes  $M_{\pm,-}^{\nu_i}$  and  $M_{\pm,+}^{\bar{\nu}_i}$  are the only ones that get contribution from both  $M_L$  and  $M_R$ . Therefore, these are the only amplitudes which may have dynamical zeros, while the others will not exhibit any unless  $g_R^i$  or  $g_L^i$  vanish. The conditions that define the dynamical zeros for the helicity amplitudes are the following:

$$M_{\pm,-}^{\nu_i} = 0 \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \cos\theta = \mp \frac{m_e + E_\nu}{m_e \frac{g_R^i}{g_L^i} - E_\nu} \tag{12}$$

and

$$M_{\pm,+}^{\bar{\nu}_i} = 0 \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \cos\theta = \pm \frac{m_e + E_\nu}{m_e \frac{g_L^i}{g_R^i} - E_\nu} \tag{13}$$

Taking into account that the physical region is restricted by  $0 \leq \cos\theta \leq 1$ , and the  $g_L^i$  and  $g_R^i$  values it is straightforward to arrive to the following conclusions:

i)  $M_{++}^{\bar{\nu}_e}$  shows dynamical zeros given by eq. (13) in the energy range  $0 \leq E_{\nu} \leq m_e/4sin^2\theta_W$ . The upper value corresponds to the phase space point  $\cos\theta = 1$ . At this end point the other three helicity amplitudes have kinematical zeros as can be explicitly seen from eq. (10). This is the reason why this dynamical zero shows up in the unpolarized cross section in the backward configuration as we already pointed out in eqs. (5) and (6).

ii)  $M_{-+}^{\bar{\nu}_{\mu},\bar{\nu}_{\tau}}$  show dynamical zeros given by eq. (13) in the whole range of energies  $0 \leq E_{\nu} < \infty$ . In this case the helicity amplitudes never vanish simultaneously. Then, the dynamical zeros will only show up in polarized cross sections.

iii) There are no more solutions of eqs. (12) and (13) in the physical region.

These results are summarized in figure 1, where the dynamical zeros are plotted in the plane  $(E_{\nu}, \cos\theta)$ , together with the kinematical zeros.

It seems difficult to design a  $\bar{\nu_e}e^-$  experiment where electron polarizations are involved. So we shall concentrate in the dynamical zero defined by eqs. (4) and (6); the only one we consider relevant for realistic experimental proposals.

The fact that the weak backward cross section for  $\bar{\nu}_e e^- \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_e e^-$  vanishes at leading order for  $E_{\nu} = m_e/(4sin^2\theta_W)$  clearly points out that this kinematical configuration must be a good place to study new physics or even higher order electroweak radiative corrections [12]. It is worthwhile to emphasize once again that backward neutrinos correspond to forward electrons with maximum recoil kinetic energy which is a very interesting situation from the experimental point of view. This configuration, showing the dynamical zero, is of particular interest to look for those contributions which add incoherently to the standard amplitude.

To illustrate the interest of this dynamical zero we shall concentrate in the possibility of searching for neutrino magnetic moment . In Figure 2 we denote by  $(d\sigma_W/dT)_{back}$  the standard contribution in the r.h.s. of eq. (1) and by  $(d\sigma_M/dT)_{back}$ the magnetic moment contribution , both for  $T = T_{max}$ . The solid line represents the boundary where  $(d\sigma_W/dT)_{back} = (d\sigma_M/dT)_{back}$  for  $\bar{\nu}_e e^- \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_e e^-$ . The regions below the other lines are those for which  $(d\sigma_M/dT)_{back} > (d\sigma_W/dT)_{back}$  for the rest of neutrino species. It is quite apparent from this figure that electron antineutrinos with energies around 0.5 MeV give the possibility of studying low values for neutrino magnetic moment. With other kind of neutrinos this is only possible by going to much lower values of neutrino energy.

In conclusion we have discussed all the dynamical zeros in the helicity amplitudes for neutrino (antineutrino)-electron scattering. Particularly interesting is the electron antineutrino backward configuration, where the only allowed helicity amplitude has a dynamical zero for  $E_{\nu} = m_e/(4sin^2\theta_W)$ , so the backward unpolarized cross section vanishes at lowest order in the standard theory. This result clearly points out a favourable kinematical configuration to look for new physics in  $\bar{\nu}_e e^- \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_e e^-$ . Results have been presented for the expectations to search for neutrino magnetic moment.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This paper has been supported by CICYT under Grant AEN 90-0040 . J.S. thanks the Spanish Ministry of Education for his fellowship. We are indebted to Prof. S. Bilenky and Prof. F. Halzen for discussions about the topic of this paper.

## References

- F.J Hasert et al., Phys. Lett. <u>46B</u> (1973) 121.
   J.B. Lietschau et al, Nucl. Phys. <u>B114</u> (1976) 189.
- [2] F. Reines et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>37</u> (1976) 3151.
- [3] P. Vilain, Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.) <u>19</u> (1991) 306.
- [4] R.C. Allen et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>64</u> (1990) 1330, Phys. Rev. <u>D47</u> (1993) 11.
- [5] P. Vogel and J. Engel, Phys. Rev. <u>D39</u> (1989) 3378.
- [6] Gurevitch et al., preprint I.V, Kurchatov Institute of Atomic Energy, Moscow (1991) and JETP Lett 49 (1989) 740.
- [7] Proprosal LA-11300-P, Los Alamos.
- [8] C. Broggini et al, "A detector for the study of  $\bar{\nu}_e e^-$  scattering at a nuclear reactor". Preprint LN GS 92/47, Laboratory Nazionali del Gran Sasso (1992).
- [9] Dynamical zeros in the electroweak gauge theory were found before in the radiative decay of the W-boson and crossed processes. See R.W. Brown, D. Sahdev and K.O. Mikaelian, Phys. Rev. <u>D20</u> (1979) 1164; K.O. Mikaelian, M.A. Samuel and D. Sahdev, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>43</u> (1979) 746; C.J. Goebel, F. Halzen and J.P. Leveille, Phys. Rev. <u>D23</u> (1981) 2682.
- [10] Y. Hara, Phys. Rev. <u>136</u> (1964) B507.
- [11] H.W. Fearing and R. Silbar, Phys. Rev. <u>D6</u> (1972) 471.
- [12] To enhance the interference of the one-loop radiative corrections it could be interesting to move inside the physical region around the zero, in order to have two comparable amplitudes. J. Segura et al., work in progress.

## Figure Captions.

- Fig. 1) Kinematical and dynamical zeros for the helicity amplitudes in the plane (E<sub>ν</sub>, cosθ).
- Fig. 2) Regions of dominance of weak or magnetic backward differential cross sections in the plane (μ<sub>ν</sub>, E<sub>ν</sub>) for ν
  <sub>e</sub>; there are three different zones divided by the solid line. For the rest of (anti-)neutrinos there are only two regions, being the magnetic backward cross section dominant above the corresponding line (long-dashed for ν<sub>e</sub>, dashed-dotted for ν
  <sub>μ</sub> and short-dashed for ν<sub>μ</sub>) and the opposite below the line.