www.rsc.org/chemcomm

ChemComm

Self-assembly and magnetic properties of a double-propeller octanuclear copper(II) complex with a *meso*-helicate-type metallacryptand core

Emilio Pardo,^{*a*} Kevin Bernot,^{*a*} Miguel Julve,^{*a*} Francesc Lloret,^{**a*} Joan Cano,^{*a*} Rafael Ruiz-García,^{*b*} Jorge Pasán,^{*c*} Catalina Ruiz-Pérez,^{*c*} Xavier Ottenwaelder^{*d*} and Yves Journaux^{**d*}

^a Departament de Química Inorgànica, Instituto de Ciencia Molecular, Universitat de València, 46100 Burjassot, València, Spain. E-mail: francisco.lloret@uv.es; Fax: +34 963544328

^b Departament de Química Orgànica, Universitat de València, 46100 Burjassot, València, Spain

^c Laboratorio de Rayos X y Materiales Moleculares, Departamento de Física Fundamental II, Universidad de La Laguna, 38204 La Laguna, Tenerife, Spain

^d Laboratoire de Chimie Inorganique, Université Paris-Sud, 91405 Orsay, France. E-mail: jour@icmo.u-psud.fr; Fax: +33 169154754

Received (in Cambridge, UK) 9th December 2003, Accepted 20th February 2004 First published as an Advance Article on the web 12th March 2004

An octanuclear copper(II) complex possessing a dimer-oftetramers structure self-assembles from a binuclear oxamatocopper(II) metallacryptand of the *meso*-helicate type; its magnetic behaviour is consistent with its unique double-propeller molecular topology.

The self-assembly of large, well-defined metal cages is one of the foremost topics in modern coordination chemistry.¹ Apart from their fascinating metallo-supramolecular structures and relevant insights into metal-directed self-assembly processes,² these polymetallic species can display unique magnetic properties which result from the assembling topology of the paramagnetic metal ions by the bridging ligands.^{3–6}

In the search for rationally designed polynuclear coordination compounds with predetermined spin topologies using oxamatebased ligands, we recently prepared the double-stranded binuclear copper(II) complex 1 from the self-assembly of two Cu^{II} ions and two mpba ligands [mpba = N, N'-1, 3-phenylenebis(oxamate)].⁷ Complex 1 has been further used as a building-block for extended two-dimensional bimetallic networks when coordinating four other metal ions at the free carbonyl oxygen atoms of the bidentate oxamate groups.8 Here, we examine the related triple-stranded binuclear copper(II) complex 2 that results from the self-assembly of two Cu^{II} ions and three mpba ligands. Similarly to 1, complex 2 can coordinate six metal ions with partially blocked coordination sites precluding polymerisation, thus yielding discrete highnuclearity metal cages such as the octanuclear copper(II) complex $Na_{2}{[Cu_{2}(mpba)_{3}][Cu(pmdien)]_{6}}(ClO_{4})_{6}\cdot 12H_{2}O$ 3 (pmdien = N, N, N', N'', N''-pentamethyldiethylenetriamine).



Compound **3** was synthesised in an one-pot reaction from the hexakis-bidentate anionic complex **2** and coordinatively unsaturated [Cu(pmdien)]²⁺ cationic complexes.[†] This is a strict self-assembly process since these precursors were not isolated; each was formed *in situ* from a mixture of Cu²⁺ and the corresponding ligand in the appropriate stoichiometry. As a matter of fact, all our attempts to isolate **2** have failed, yielding **1** instead.

The structure of **3** consists of octanuclear copper(π) cations with C_{3h} molecular symmetry, {[Cu₂(mpba)₃][Cu(pmdien)]₆}⁴⁺, together with sodium cations, perchlorate anions, and both coordinated and noncoordinated water molecules.‡ Interestingly, the octacopper cation is bound to two sodium cations through the carboxylate oxygen atoms of the oxamate groups in a *fac* arrangement [Na–O = 2.376(12) Å] (Fig. 1a). These bonding interactions are likely to stabilise the motif **2** during the metaldirected self-assembly process leading to **3**.

The octacopper cation contains two chiral, oxamato-bridged, propeller-like tetranuclear units that are symmetry-related (Δ and Λ enantiomers) (Fig. 1b). Three *m*-phenylenediamide moieties bridge the central Cu(1) and Cu(1¹) atoms of the tetranuclear units, forming a binuclear metallamacrobicyclic core of the cryptand type (Fig. 1c).⁹ Because of the presence of a mirror plane perpendicular to the molecular threefold axis, this achiral metallacryptand is a *meso*-helicate with a strictly zero helicoidal twist angle of the aromatic spacers around the Cu(1)–Cu(1¹) vector.¹⁰ Within the metallacyclic core unit of **3**, the Cu(1) atom has a six-coordinate trigonally distorted octahedral environment [trigonal twist angle of 11.9(7)°]. The rather long metal–ligand bond lengths [Cu–N =



Fig. 1 (a) Perspective view of the cationic octacopper unit of **3** with the numbering scheme for metal atoms. Selected intermetallic distances (Å) with standard deviations in parentheses: Cu(1)–Cu(2) 5.440(13), Cu(1)–Cu(1) 6.612(7), Cu(2)–Cu(2^{II}) 9.307(2) (symmetry codes: I = x, y, 1/2 - z; II = -y, x - y, z; III = -x + y, -x, z). (b) Side and (c) top views of the octacopper skeleton of **3**.

10.1039/b316081

920

2.079(12) and Cu–O 2.123(9) Å] compared to those of fourcoordinate square-planar metal environment in **1** [average values of 1.95 and 1.96 Å, respectively]⁷ suggest a dynamic Jahn–Teller effect whereby the elongation spreads randomly over the three axes.¹¹ Moreover, unlike those in metallacyclophane **1**, the aromatic groups in **3** are not stacked parallel but disposed edge-toface [dihedral angle of 60°] with a weak C–H···π interaction between neighbouring benzene rings [centroid–centroid distance of 5.366(9) Å].

The magnetic properties of 3 are consistent with its dimer-oftetramers structure.§ Notably, the $\chi_M T$ versus T plot, χ_M being the molar magnetic susceptibility per octanuclear unit and T the temperature, presents a minimum at ca. 30 K (Fig. 2). This behaviour is characteristic of antiferromagnetically coupled tetranuclear complexes having a propeller-type topology whereby the spin topology dictates the non-compensation of the spins in the ground state.12 Accordingly, the model of two magnetically isolated Cu_4^{Π} molecules with an antiferromagnetic coupling (J = -57.0 cm⁻¹) between the Cu(1) and Cu(2) ions through the oxamate bridges fits the experimental data for 3 in the high temperature region (dashed line in Fig. 2). This model predicts a plateau at the lowest temperatures, where only the triplet ground states of the two tetranuclear units ($S_A = S_B = 1$) are thermally populated. However, complex 3 exhibits a different behaviour in the low temperature region, as the $\chi_{\rm M}T$ product decreases abruptly below 5 K. This is interpreted as the signature of an antiferromagnetic coupling ($j = -28.0 \text{ cm}^{-1}$) between the Cu(1) and Cu(1^I) ions of each tetranuclear unit (solid line in Fig. 2), thus leading to a singlet ground state for **3** ($S = S_A - S_B = 0$). This moderate antiferromagnetic coupling is mediated by the triple mphenylenediamide bridge between the Jahn-Teller distorted octahedral Cu^{II} ions within the core 2. This situation differs dramatically from that of complex 1 which exhibits a ferromagnetic coupling between its square-planar Cu^{II} ions through the double *m*phenylenediamide bridge.7

In summary, the binuclear metallacryptand of the *meso*-helicate type **2** can be self-assembled in solution from three aromatic bis(oxamate) ligands and two Cu^{II} ions, and then serve as a growing center for high-nuclearity metal cages such as the octanuclear copper(π) complex **3**. We are currently searching for three-dimensional bimetallic networks constructed from the binuclear



Fig. 2 Temperature dependence of $\chi_M T$ for 3: (o) experimental data; (--) best fit for two isolated Cu₄ molecules, and (—) best fit for a Cu₈ molecule.

precursor 2 and its analogues with paramagnetic first row transition metal ions other than Cu^{II} in order to elaborate new supramolecular magnetic materials.

This work was supported by the Ministerio de Ciencia y Tecnología (Spain) through the Plan Nacional de Investigación Científica, Desarrollo e Innovación Tecnológica (Projects BQU2001–2928 and BQU2001–3794). E. P. thanks the Ministerio de Educación, Cultura y Deporte (Spain) for a grant.

Notes and references

† Synthesis and selected data: To a stirred suspension of H_4mpba^7 (0.38 g, 1.0 mmol) in 5 mL of water was added a solution of NaOH (0.16 g, 4.0 mmol) in 5 mL of water. After complete dissolution, $Cu(ClO_4)_2$ · $6H_2O$ (0.25 g, 0.67 mmol) dissolved in 5 mL of water was added dropwise under stirring. The resulting deep green solution was then added dropwise to a solution of $Cu(ClO_4)_2$ · $6H_2O$ (0.74 g, 2.0 mmol) and pmdien (0.42 mL, 2.0 mmol) in 10 mL of water under stirring. Blue hexagonal prisms of **3** suitable for single crystal X-ray diffraction were obtained after several hours of standing at room temperature. They were filtered on paper and air-dried (60%). $v(KBr)/cm^{-1}$ 3427vs (O–H) from H₂O, 3001w and 2971w (C–H) from mpba, 2930w (C–H) from pmdien, 1623vs and 1603 (sh) (C=O) from mpba, 1144 (sh), 1107 (sh) and 1091vs (Cl–O) from ClO₄.

‡ Crystal data: $C_{84}H_{174}Cl_6Cu_8N_{24}Na_2O_{54}$, M = 3151.47, hexagonal, a = 17.4169(11), b = 17.4169(11), c = 31.739(2) Å, U = 8338.1(9) Å³, T = 293(2) K, space group $P\overline{6}c2$, Z = 2, μ (Mo–K α) = 1.179 mm⁻¹, 5026 reflections measured, 2684 assumed as observed with $I > 2\sigma(I)$. Hydrogen atoms were calculated and refined with an overall isotropic thermal parameter (except for the water hydrogen atoms which were nor found neither calculated). Refinement on F^2 of 271 variables with anisotropic thermal parameters for all non-hydrogen atoms gave R = 0.089 and wR = 0.1473 with S = 1.108 (observed data). CCDC 227333. See http:// www.rsc.org/suppdata/cc/b3/b316081e/ for crystallographic data in .cif format.

§ Variable-temperature (1.8–300 K) magnetic measurements under a magnetic field of 1.0 T were carried out on powdered samples of **3** with a SQUID magnetometer. Least-squares fitting of the magnetic susceptibility data of **3** were performed by full matrix diagonalisation of the isotropic spin Hamiltonian $H = -J (S_{A1}S_{A2} + S_{A1}S_{A3} + S_{A1}S_{A4} + S_{B1}S_{B2} + S_{B1}S_{B3} + S_{B1}S_{B4}) - j S_{A1}S_{B1} - g\beta(S_{A1} + S_{A2} + S_{A3} + S_{A4} + S_{B1} + S_{B2} + S_{B3} + S_{B4})B$ (with $S_{A1} = S_{A2} = S_{A3} = S_{A4} = S_{B1} = S_{B2} = S_{B3} = S_{B4} = 1/2$) with the VPMAG program.¹³

- 1 R. E. P. Winpenny, Adv. Inorg. Chem., 2001, 52, 1.
- 2 G. F. Swiegers and T. J. Malefetse, Chem. Rev., 2000, 100, 3483.
- 3 R. Sessoli, D. Gatteschi, A. Caneschi and M. A. Novak, *Nature*, 1993, 365, 141.
- 4 K. L. Taft, C. D. Delfs, G. C. Papaefthymiou, S. Forner, D. Gatteschi and S. J. Lippard, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1994, 116, 823.
- 5 J. R. Friedman, M. P. Sarachik, J. Tejada and R. Ziolo, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 1996, **76**, 3830.
- 6 O. Waldmann, J. Hassmann, P. Müller, G. S. Hanan, D. Volkmer, U. S. Schubert and J. M. Lehn, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 1997, 78, 3390.
- 7 I. Fernández, R. Ruiz, J. Faus, M. Julve, F. Lloret, J. Cano, X. Ottenwaelder, Y. Journaux and M. C. Muñoz, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2001, 40, 3039.
- 8 C. L. M. Pereira, E. F. Pedroso, H. O. Stumpf, M. A. Novak, L. Ricard, R. Ruiz-García, E. Rivière and Y. Journaux, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2004, 43, 955.
- 9 R. W. Saalfrank, V. Seitz, D. L. Caulder, K. N. Raymond, M. Teichert and D. Stalke, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 1998, 1313.
- 10 M. Albrecht and S. Kotila, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1995, 34, 2134; M. Albrecht and S. Kotila, Chem. Commun., 1996, 2309.
- 11 C. R. Rice, S. Worl, J. C. Jeffery, R. L. Paul and M. D. Ward, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 2001, 550; C. J. Matthews, S. T. Onions, G. Morata, L. J. Davis, S. L. Heath and D. J. Price, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2003, 42, 3166.
- 12 A. L. Barra, A. Caneschi, A. Cornia, F. Fabrizi de Biani, D. Gatteschi, C. Sangregorio, R. Sessoli and L. Sorace, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1999, 121, 5302.
- 13 J. Cano, VPMAG, University of Valencia, Spain, 2001.