### Aliso: A Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Botany

Volume 19 | Issue 1

Article 2

2000

# Map-Making of Plant Biomass and Leaf Area Index for Management of Protected Areas

Loretta Gratani University of Rome "La Sapienza"

Maria Fiore Crescente University of Rome "La Sapienza"

Follow this and additional works at: http://scholarship.claremont.edu/aliso Part of the <u>Botany Commons</u>

#### **Recommended** Citation

Gratani, Loretta and Crescente, Maria Fiore (2000) "Map-Making of Plant Biomass and Leaf Area Index for Management of Protected Areas," *Aliso: A Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Botany*: Vol. 19: Iss. 1, Article 2. Available at: http://scholarship.claremont.edu/aliso/vol19/iss1/2

## MAP-MAKING OF PLANT BIOMASS AND LEAF AREA INDEX FOR MANAGEMENT OF PROTECTED AREAS

LORETTA GRATANI AND MARIA FIORE CRESCENTE

Department of Plant Biology University of Rome "La Sapienza" Square Aldo Moro 5 00185 Rome, Italy e-mail: gratani@axrma.uniroma1.it

#### ABSTRACT

An inventory of the vegetation types of Castelporziano Estate (Rome), including examples of Mediterranean ecosystems in excellent preserved condition, was compiled. Because Leaf Area Index (LAI) changed with forest structure and developmental stages, maximum LAI provided a good estimate of maximum biomass accumulation. Plant biomass estimation, ranging from 61 tons ha<sup>-1</sup> to 360 tons ha<sup>-1</sup>, fitted well into 14 biomass classes; the highest values (from 301 tons ha<sup>-1</sup> to 360 tons ha<sup>-1</sup>) were related to stratified forested vegetation types, including the more mature *Pinus pinea* plantations, *Quercus ilex* evergreen forests and broadleaf mixed forests. LAI ranged from 0.5 to 4.5, and changed with forest structure, increasing with the increase of plant biomass. Leaf area index measurements fitted well into nine LAI classes, and the highest values were related to the stratified vegetation types. Biomass and LAI maps might be employed as a computerised mapped information system for natural resource policy, regional planning, and landscape management. Long-term monitoring may easily be achieved by LAI measurements which can be converted to biomass values by the identified relationship between plant biomass and LAI.

Key words: LAI, landscape management, map-making, plant biomass.

#### INTRODUCTION

New vision of landscape ecology requires long-term research to understand the dynamic of ecosystems, and management can be defined as an activity for achieving specific conservation goals according to natural, seminatural, or cultivated resources. In order to achieve this it is necessary to survey the current and the potential inventories of species and ecosystems (Safriel et al. 1997) that provide information on the status of ecosystems giving a sense of the resources dynamics (Halvorson and Maender 1994; Halvorson 1998).

Landscape can be thought as a mosaic in different stages of recovery from natural disturbances. Changes in plant and stand processes are in fact mediated by the local state of disturbance and one would expect that variation in structure could have the effect of altering processes in terrestrial ecosystems (Shugart et al. 1997). For instance, canopy structure changes influence both absolute stem growth and the efficiency of this growth (Jack and Long 1991). Several reports (Wittwer 1983; Botkin 1986; Pierce and Running 1988; Gratani 1997; Gratani and Foti 1998) identify leaf area index (LAI) as the most important variable for characterising vegetation structure and functioning for global researches, including estimation of plant productivity and determination of canopy cover densities (Kaufmann and Troendle 1981). Since LAI changes with forest structure and developmental stages, maximum LAI at forest maturity is a good estimator of maximum biomass accumulation (Waring 1983; Gratani and Fiorentino 1988; Shao et al. 1995).

New sensitivity tests carried out on vegetation indicate that climate-induced increases in disturbance could significantly alter plant species composition of forests and plant biomass (Overpeck et al. 1990). Long-term monitoring of ecosystems is important in order to establish the tolerance threshold to perturbations. One basic type of quality evaluation procedure is the use of potential productivity based on the present state of the resources (Naveh and Lieberman 1990). Landscape units could be expressed as functional parameters of production, regulation, protection, and information functions (Naveh 1991).

The main objective of this research was to effect map-making of natural, seminatural, and cultivated units inside a protected Estate, including examples of Mediterranean ecosystems which have been preserved in excellent condition. This required long-term monitoring programs aimed at determining community composition, structure, and biomass changes over time. Landscape management ideally must start with inventories and maps based on research data giving information on status and trends (Halvorson 1998; Halvorson and Maender 1994). According to Shao et al. (1995) we constructed these maps considering that: 1) plant biomass is related to plant size and density; 2) leaf area index for a stand is the summation of LAI of all the species in the stand and it is related to species composition and stand density; and 3) plant height is a better indicator of site conditions.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Study Area and Climate

The study was carried out in the presidential Estate of Castelporziano (SSW of Rome, Italy; lat 41°45'N, long 12°26'E). It covers ca. 4700 ha, including different vegetation types (Fig. 1): psammophilous vegetation developed along the coast (20 ha), low maquis (54 ha), high maquis (580 ha), *Quercus ilex* L. evergreen forests (255 ha), *Quercus suber* L. evergreen forests (460 ha), *Pinus pinea* L. plantations (678 ha), broadleaf mixed forests (2132 ha), *Eucalyptus globulus* Labill. plantations (73 ha), grassland (225 ha) and agricultural areas (175 ha).

Climatic data were provided by the Meteorological Station inside the Estate (average for the years 1985– 1997). Total rainfall was 775 mm, most of it falling from January to April and from October to December. Maximum and minimum annual air temperatures averaged 21 C and 10 C, respectively.

#### Stand Structure, Plant Biomass and LAI

Field measurements were carried out during 1995– 1997. Measurements of structure and plant biomass included: plant height, plant stem diameter at the base and diameter at breast height, stand density and leaf area index (LAI). Twenty sample areas, 200 m<sup>2</sup> each, were established, respectively, in the low maquis and in the high maquis; twenty, 400 m<sup>2</sup> each, in the *Eucalyptus globulus* plantations, *Quercus ilex* and *Quercus suber* evergreen forests, *Pinus pinea* plantations, and broadleaf mixed forests, according to Newbould (1967) and Aber (1979). Twenty-five areas, 1 m<sup>2</sup> each, were established, respectively, in psammophilous vegetation and in the grassland (Singh et al. 1975).

Nondestructive measurements were carried out in

the sample areas. All plants in each area were measured and divided into diameter classes. Destructive measurements were carried out in three subsample areas for vegetation type (25 m<sup>2</sup> each in the maquis, and 100 m<sup>2</sup> each in the forests and plantations). Three representative plants of each species in each class were cut at random inside the destructive areas and subdivided into stem, branches, and leaves. They were weighed in the field for total fresh weights and subsampled to enable conversion to a dry-weight basis. Subsamples were oven-dried at 105 C to constant weight, and the conversion of field fresh weight to dry weight was carried out by the ratio of dry weight to fresh weight in the subsamples, according to Bunce (1968) and Stewart et al. (1979). Dry weights of the harvested plants for each diameter class were multiplied for the total number of individuals in each class to obtain stand biomass, according to Ovington and Pearsall (1956) and Whittaker and Marks (1975).

Grassland and psammophilous vegetation sampling was carried out by harvesting all plant material at soil level. Collections were oven dried and weighed according to Singh et al. (1975).

Leaf area index was estimated using the "LAI 2000 Plant Canopy Analyzer" (LI-COR Inc., Lincon, Nebrasca, USA), according to Waring (1985), Gower and Norman (1991), Welles and Norman (1991), Stenberg et al. (1994), Brenner et al. (1995) and Welles and Cohen (1996). We applied the correction factor (R =0.57) proposed by Stenberg et al. (1994) for conifer canopies.

#### Thematic Maps Elaboration

Thematic maps were produced using these basic key words: 1) physical space, i.e., the space in which there is a concrete ecosystem; 2) time, i.e., the particular instant at which the ecosystem exists; and 3) dry matter, i.e., the mass occupying the physical space, according to Miller (1975), Naveh and Lieberman (1990). Excel for Windows program and Adobe Illustrator for Mac Intosh program were used for constructing the maps.

Fig. 1. Distribution of vegetation types in the Castelporziano Estate (Rome).

7 = Eucalpytus globulus plantations.

<sup>1 =</sup> Psammophilous vegetation.

<sup>2 =</sup> Low maquis with local dominance of Quercus ilex, Pistacia lentiscus, Erica arborea, E. multiflora, Phillyrea latifolia, Arbutus unedo, Cistus incanus, Smilax aspera.

<sup>3 =</sup> High maquis dominated by Quercus ilex. Pistacia lentiscus, Erica arborea, Phillyrea latifolia, Arbutus unedo, Myrtus communis, Smilax aspera.

 $<sup>4 =</sup> Quercus \ ilex \ evergreen \ forests.$ 

<sup>5 =</sup> Quercus suber evergreen forests.

<sup>6 =</sup> Pinus pinea plantations.

<sup>8 =</sup> Broadleaf mixed forests dominated by Quercus frainetto, Q. cerris, Q. pubescens, Q. suber.

<sup>9 =</sup> Grassland dominated by Verbascum sinuatum, Daucus carota, Ranunculus bulbosus, Dasypyrum villosum, Avena barbata, Dactylis glomerata, Asphodelus microcarpus.

<sup>10 =</sup> Agricultural areas.



 $\rightarrow$ 

#### **Regression Analysis**

Excel for Windows program was used for regression analysis.

#### RESULTS

#### Plant Biomass Map and LAI Map

Plant biomass estimates were used to compile the "Plant Biomass Map of Castelporziano" (Fig. 2). The data were classified into 14 biomass classes which were coded accordingly. This Map showed the distribution of quantitative data (dry weight/soil surface area) on the Estate. The first class showed biomass values lower than 1 ton ha<sup>-1</sup>; the second class 1–5 tons ha<sup>-1</sup>; the third 6–30 tons ha<sup>-1</sup>; the fourth 31–60 tons ha<sup>-1</sup>; the fifth 61–90 tons ha<sup>-1</sup>; the sixth 91–120 tons ha<sup>-1</sup>; the seventh 121–150 tons ha<sup>-1</sup>, the eighth 151–180 tons ha<sup>-1</sup>, the ninth 181–210 tons ha<sup>-1</sup>, the tenth

211-240 tons ha<sup>-1</sup>, the eleventh 241-270 tons ha<sup>-1</sup>, the twelfth 271-300 tons ha<sup>-1</sup>, the thirteenth 301-330 tons ha<sup>-1</sup>, and the fourteenth 331-360 tons ha<sup>-1</sup>.

The LAI data were grouped into nine classes, which were coded (Fig. 3) as follows: the first class showed LAI values less than 0.5; the second class varied from 0.6 to 1.0, the third 1.1-1.5, the fourth 1.6-2.0, the fifth 2.1-2.5, the sixth 2.6-3.0, the seventh 3.1-3.5, the eighth 3.6-4.0, and the ninth 4.1-4.5.

#### Psammophilous Vegetation

After the aphytoic area, the first community consisted mainly of therophytes (*Cakile maritima* Scop., *Euphorbia peplis* L. and *Salsola kali* L.). The second community was the *Agropyretum mediterraneum* (Kuhnh.- Lord.) Braun-Blanq. 1933 composed by *Agropyron junceum* (L.) P. Beauv. ssp. *mediterraneum* Simonet et Guin., *Sporobolus pungens* (Schreb.)

Fig. 2. Plant biomass map of Castelporziano Estate (Rome). Plant biomass classes (tons ha<sup>-1</sup>) are shown, each class is coded.

<1 =	Psammophilous vegetation.
1-5 =	Grassland dominated by Verbascum sinuatum, Daucus carota, Ranunculus bulbosus, Dasypyrum villosum, Avena barbata,
	Dactylis glomerata, Asphodelus microcarpus.
6 - 30 =	Pinus pinea plantations.
	Low maquis with local dominance of Quercus ilex, Pistacia lentiscus, Erica arborea, E. multiflora, Phillyrea latifolia,
	Arbutus unedo, Cistus incanus, Smilax aspera.
31 - 60 =	Pinus pinea plantations.
	Eucalyptus globulus plantations.
61 - 90 =	Pinus pinea plantations.
	Eucalyptus globulus plantations.
	High maquis dominated by Quercus ilex, Pistacia lentiscus, Erica arborea, Phillyrea latifolia, Arbutus unedo, Myrtus
	communis, Smilax aspera.
	Quercus suber evergreen forests.
91 - 120 =	Pinus pinea plantations.
	Eucalyptus globulus plantations.
	Quercus suber evergreen forests.
	Broadleaf mixed forests dominated by Quercus frainetto, Q. cerris, Q. pubescens, Q. suber.
121 - 150 =	Pinus pinea plantations.
	Eucalyptus globulus plantations.
	Quercus suber evergreen forests.
	Broadleaf mixed forests dominated by Quercus frainetto, Q. cerris, Q. pubescens, Q. suber.
	Quercus ilex evergreen forests.
151 - 180 =	Pinus pinea plantations.
	Eucalyptus globulus plantations.
	Quercus suber evergreen forests.
	Broadleat mixed forests dominated by Quercus frainetto, Q. cerris, Q. pubescens, Q. suber.
101 210	Quercus ilex evergreen forests.
181 - 210 =	Pinus pinea plantations.
	Eucalypius globulus plantations.
	Quercus suber evergreen lorests.
	Bioaciear mixed rolesis dominated by Quercus frameno, Q. cerris, Q. pubescens, Q. suber.
211 - 240 =	Quercus nex evergeen lotests.
211 240	Funds price plantations.
	Broadleaf mixed forests dominated by <i>Quercus frainetta Q</i> cerris <i>Q</i> pubescens <i>Q</i> suber
	Ouercus ilex evergreen forests.
241 - 270 =	Pinus pinea plantations.
	Broadleaf mixed forests dominated by <i>Quercus frainetto, O. cerris, O. pubescens, O. suber</i> ,
271 - 300 =	Pinus pinea plantations.
	Broadleaf mixed forests dominated by Quercus frainetto, Q. cerris, Q. pubescens, Q. suber.
301 - 330 =	Pinus pinea plantations.
	Broadleaf mixed forests dominated by Quercus frainetto, Q. cerris, Q. pubescens, Q. suber.
	Quercus ilex evergreen forests.
301-330 =	Broadleaf mixed forests dominated by Quercus frainetto, Q. cerris, Q. pubescens, Q. suber L.
	Not monitored.



 $\rightarrow$ 

Kunth, and Cyperus kalli (Forssk.) Murb. The third community was the Ammophiletum arundinaceae Braun-Blang. 1933 in which the process of dunes consolidation was marked essentially by Ammophila littoralis (P. Beauv.) Rothm., Echinophora spinosa L., Ononis variegata L., and Medicago marina L. The Crucianelletum maritimae Braun-Blanq. 1933 was the inland community on the dunes of Castelporziano. Crucianella maritima L. and Pancratium maritimum L., characteristic species of this association, were distributed in small discontinuous groups. The alliances and the higher hierarchical orders and classes were represented by Anthemis maritima L., Cutandia maritima (L.) Richt., Vulpia membranacea (L.) Link, Calystegia soldanella (L.) R. Br., and Eryngium maritimum L. Plant height ranged from 5 to 50 cm; the lowest values typical of the creeping and branched species (Cakile maritima and Medicago marina), while the highest Ammophila littoralis, Eringium maritimum, and Anthemis maritima made up the largest fraction of the total plant biomass (30.2 g m<sup>-2</sup>) 7%, 41 %, and 20%, respectively.

#### Low and High Mediterranean Maguis

Low maquis was  $1.0 \pm 0.5$  m high and characterized by 2.5 LAI (Tab. 1), with dominance of Quercus ilex, Pistacia lentiscus L., Erica arborea L., Erica multiflora L., Phillyrea latifolia L., Arbutus unedo L., Cistus incanus L., and Smilax aspera L. A large part of the total biomass was accounted for by Quercus ilex, Phillyrea latifolia, and Arbutus unedo, 27%, 14% and 15%, respectively. Leaf biomass was 24% of total biomass (19 tons ha<sup>-1</sup>). The above-ground biomass estimates were comparable to those of other Mediterranean shrub communities reported by Lossaint (1973), Margaris (1976), Mooney and Rundel (1979), and Merino and Vicente (1981).

High maquis was characterised by  $3.0 \pm 1.5$  m high shrubs (Tab. 1), and it was dominated by Quercus ilex, Pistacia lentiscus, Erica arborea, Phillyrea latifolia, Arbutus unedo, Myrtus communis L. and Smilax aspera. Erica arborea accounted for most of the total biomass (55%). Leaf biomass was 10% of the total biomass. Plant biomass and LAI increased from low to high maquis (2.5 and 4.3, respectively).

#### Quercus ilex Evergreen Forests

Quercus ilex evergreen forests were grouped into five biomass classes (Fig. 2). The above-ground biomass estimates were within the range of values of other holm-oak forests described by Lossaint and Rapp (1971), Bruno et al. (1976), Leonardi and Rapp (1982), and Lledo et al. (1992): the lowest biomass class (121-150 tons ha-1) grouped forests characterised by a monostratified woody layer and the highest biomass class (301-330 tons ha<sup>-1</sup>) were multistatified communities (Tab. 2). These communities had a tree layer composed of Quercus ilex,  $16.2 \pm 2$  m high, and a shrub layer,  $3.7 \pm 0.8$  m high, with an abundance or Quercus ilex, Phillyrea latifolia, and Pistacia lentis-

Q			· · · · ·	
≤0.5 =	= Pinus	ninea	plantations	

Fig. 3. L	eaf area index (LAI) map of Castelporziano Estate (Rome). LAI classes are shown, each class is coded.
≤0.5 =	Pinus pinea plantations.
0.6 - 1.0 =	Pinus pinea plantations.
	Grassland dominated by Verbascum sinuatum, Daucus carota, Ranunculus bulbosus, Dasypyrum villosum, Avena barbata,
	Dactylis glomerata, Asphodelus microcarpus.
1.1 - 1.5 =	Pinus pinea plantations.
	Grassland dominated by Verbascum sinuatum, Daucus carota, Ranunculus bulbosus, Dasypyrum villosum, Avena barbata,
	Dactylis glomerata, Asphodelus microcarpus.
	Eucalyptus globulus plantations.
1.6 - 2.0 =	Pinus pinea plantations.
	Grassland dominated by Verbascum sinuatum, Daucus carota, Ranunculus bulbosus, Dasypyrum villosum, Avena barbata,
	Dactylis glomerata, Asphodelus microcarpus.
	Quercus suber evergreen forests.
2.1 - 2.5 =	Pinus pinea plantations.
	Quercus suber evergreen forests.
	Low maquis with local dominance of Quercus ilex. Pistacia lentiscus, Erica arborea, E. multiflora, Phillyrea latifolia, Arbutus
	unedo, Cistus incanus, Smilax aspera.
2.6 - 3.0 =	Pinus pinea plantations.
	Broadleat mixed forests dominated by Quercus frainetto, Q. cerris, Q. pubescens, Q. suber.
3.1 - 3.5 =	Broadleat mixed forests dominated by Quercus frametio, Q. cerris, Q. pubescens, Q. suber.
	High maquis dominated by Quercus liex, Pistacia lentiscus, Erica arborea, Phillyrea latifolia, Arbutus unedo, Myrtus com-
26 40 -	munis, smilax aspera.
3.0-4.0 =	broadiear mixed forests dominated by Quercus frainetto, Q. cerris, Q. pubescens, Q. suber.

Quercus ilex evergreen forests. 4.1-4.5 = High maquis dominated by Quercus ilex, Pistacia lentiscus, Erica arborea, Phillyrea latifolia, Arbutus unedo, Myrtus communis. Smilax aspera.

Quercus ilex evergreen forests.

= Not monitored.



ALISO

Table 1. Structural characteristics of low maquis and high maquis and biomass classes. Means of plant height  $\pm$  standard deviations are shown.

	Low maquis	High maquis
Plant height m	$1.0 \pm 0.5$	$3.0 \pm 1.5$
LAI classes	2.1-2.5	3.1-4.5
Biomass classes tons ha-1	6–30	61-90

cus. The shrub layer accounted for 83% of the total plant density. The herb layer was poorly developed and included Cyclamen repandum Sibth. et Sm., Alliaria petiolata (M. Bieb.) Cavara et Grande, Brachypodium sylvaticum (Huds.) P. Beauv., and Asperula laevigata L. This stratified system provided a good example of dense canopies (Gratani 1997). LAI ranged from 3.6 to 4.5 and the highest values were found in the most complex multistratified stands. LAI of mature Quercus ilex forests could reach values above 4 in more mesic stands (Eckardt et al. 1977; Joffre et al. 1996).

#### Quercus suber Forests

These forests were examples of seminatural systems in the Estate, where the species was naturally present but the density of the stands was controlled by periodic cuttings. They were grouped into five biomass classes, according to stand density (Tab. 3). The lower corkoaks ( $4.8 \pm 0.7$  m in height,  $7.8 \pm 0.8$  m<sup>2</sup> ha<sup>-1</sup> total basal area) belong to the 61–90 tons ha<sup>-1</sup> biomass class, similar to that studied by Leonardi et al. (1992) for a natural *Quercus suber* forest in Sicily. The forest LAI ranged from 1.6 to 2.5.

#### Pinus pinea Plantations

A large part of the Estate was occupied by *Pinus* pinea plantations of different density and age, most of them 31-120 years old. Plant height ranged from  $1.9 \pm 0.6$  m (corresponding to an 11-year-old stand) to  $22.6 \pm 0.3$  m (corresponding to an over 100-year-old stand) (Tab. 4). Total biomass, distributed in 11 classes

Table 3. Structural characteristics of *Quercus suber* evergreen forests and biomass classes. Means of plant density, plant height, and total basal area  $\pm$  standard deviations are shown.

Biomass classes tons ha <sup>-</sup>	Plant density plant ha <sup>-1</sup>	Height m	Total basal area m² ha <sup>-1</sup>
61-90	375 ± 23	4.8 ± 0.7	$7.8 \pm 0.8$
91-120	$550 \pm 63$	$5.5 \pm 0.3$	$23.2 \pm 0.9$
121-150	$750 \pm 73$	$6.1 \pm 1.3$	$29.6 \pm 2.6$
151-180	$575 \pm 57$	$6.6 \pm 0.6$	$34.9 \pm 1.6$
181-210	88 ± 12	$12.4 \pm 0.8$	$37.9 \pm 0.6$

varied from 6 to 30 tons ha<sup>-1</sup> (11-year-old stand) to 301-330 tons ha<sup>-1</sup> (60-100-year-old stand). Young *Pinus pinea* plantations were comparable to those studied by Cabanettes and Rapp (1978). The highest plant density was in the biomass class 6-30 tons ha<sup>-1</sup>. LAI had the highest value (2.6-3.0) in the 301-330 tons ha<sup>-1</sup> biomass class.

#### Broadleaf Mixed Forests

Broadleaf mixed forests were the most extensive vegetation type in the Estate. Stand biomass was in the biomass range of other broadleaf mixed forests in Italy described by Corona et al., (1986) and Gratani and Foti (1998). The values were subdivided into nine biomass classes, according to plant density and species composition (Tab. 5). The dominant tree layer was characterised by *Quercus frainetto* Ten., *Quercus cerris* L., *Quercus pubescens* Willd., and *Quercus suber*.

Local variation of species composition in the shrubby layer of the *Quercus suber* forests contributed to the high variation of plant biomass: the highest biomass values were related to *Carpinus orientalis* Mill.  $(331-360 \text{ tons ha}^{-1})$  and the lowest to Mediterranean maquis shrubs (91–120 tons ha<sup>-1</sup>). LAI ranged from 2.6 to 4.0 and it was in the range measured in Italy by Schirone et al. (1985), Piccoli and Borelli (1988), and Gratani and Foti (1998).

Table 2. Structural characteristics of *Quercus ilex* evergreen forests and biomass classes. Means of plant density, plant height, and total basal area  $\pm$  standard deviations are shown.

Biomass classes tons ha <sup>-1</sup>		Plant density plant ha <sup>-1</sup>	Height m	Total basal area m² ha <sup>-1</sup>
121-150	Dominant layer	$1675 \pm 45$	$4.5 \pm 0.7$	$23.6 \pm 5.7$
151-180	Dominant layer	$1500 \pm 32$	$4.7 \pm 0.9$	$21.1 \pm 3.9$
	Dominated layer	3862 ± 97	$3.1 \pm 1.1$	$2.5 \pm 0.3$
181-210	Dominant layer	$1100 \pm 40$	$5.0 \pm 0.3$	$31.0 \pm 4.1$
211–240	Dominant layer	$1050 \pm 25$	$5.5 \pm 0.6$	$34.6 \pm 3.4$
	Dominated layer	$1931 \pm 57$	$2.9 \pm 1.0$	$1.3 \pm 0.5$
301-330	Dominant layer	$325 \pm 37$	$16.2 \pm 2.0$	$35.9 \pm 2.7$
	Dominated layer	1622 ± 75	3.7 $\pm 0.8$	$3.4 \pm 0.7$

Table 4. Structural characteristics of *Pinus pinea* plantations and biomass classes. Means of plant density, plant height, and total basal area  $\pm$  standard deviations are shown.

Biomass classes tons ha <sup>-1</sup>	Plant density plant ha <sup>-1</sup>	Height m	Total basal area m² ha⁻¹
6–30	$1600 \pm 250$	$1.9 \pm 0.6$	$8.4 \pm 0.06$
31-60	$66.9 \pm 3.4$	$14.7 \pm 0.5$	$9.0 \pm 0.09$
61–90	$137.1 \pm 16.3$	$12.8 \pm 0.8$	$14.5 \pm 0.05$
91-120	186.1 ± 23.6	$13.1 \pm 1.3$	$20.6 \pm 0.20$
121-150	$150.0 \pm 20.3$	$15.8 \pm 0.3$	$23.4 \pm 0.06$
151-180	$152.7 \pm 25.2$	$19.5 \pm 1.7$	$35.1 \pm 1.10$
181-210	$85.4 \pm 3.1$	$22.6 \pm 0.3$	$26.1 \pm 0.07$
211-240	$116.6 \pm 16.2$	$21.6 \pm 1.1$	$32.5 \pm 0.06$
241-270	$153.3 \pm 21.1$	$21.3 \pm 1.6$	$41.9 \pm 0.20$
271-300	$137.0 \pm 2.2$	$22.4 \pm 0.1$	$41.1 \pm 0.03$
301-330	$188.4 \pm 5.9$	$20.2 \pm 1.5$	$46.4 \pm 0.90$

Table 6. Structural characteristics of *Eucalyptus globulus* plantations and biomass classes. Means of plant density, plant height, and total basal area  $\pm$  standard deviations are shown.

Biomass classes tons ha <sup>-1</sup>	Plant density plant ha <sup>-1</sup>	Height m	Total basal area m² ha <sup>-1</sup>
31-60	$2800 \pm 112$	$11.3 \pm 1.2$	$19.3 \pm 2.1$
61-90	$2700 \pm 97$	$13.0 \pm 0.7$	$27.1 \pm 1.6$
91-120	$1900 \pm 56$	$15.0 \pm 0.5$	$29.6 \pm 1.2$
121-150	$1600 \pm 45$	$18.0 \pm 0.9$	$40.7 \pm 1.7$
181-210	$1900 \pm 73$	$17.0 \pm 0.1$	$55.1 \pm 2.1$
211-240	2000 ± 127	$16.0 \pm 0.5$	62.8 ± 1.5

Salzm. et Viv. The average plant height was  $45 \pm 6$  cm; total biomass ranged from 1.0 to 5.0 tons ha<sup>-1</sup> and LAI from 0.6 to 2.0 (Fig. 2, 3).

#### Agricultural Areas

The crop productivity changed according to the cultivated species ranging from 2.4 tons  $ha^{-1}$  (oats) to 4.0 tons  $ha^{-1}$  (wheat).

#### **Regression Analysis**

Plant above-ground biomass, height, and LAI were significantly correlated: the regression analysis of LAI versus height and biomass versus LAI (Fig. 4) were highly significant (r = 0.65, P = 0.01 and r = 0.87, P = 0.01, respectively) (Fig. 4), emphasizing the interaction between the variables.

#### DISCUSSION

Plant structure plays a fundamental role in a number of plant processes; it represents an important piece of

Table 5. Structural characteristics of broadleaf mixed forests and biomass classes. Means of plant density, plant height, and total basal area  $\pm$  standard deviations are shown.

Biomass classes tons ha <sup>-1</sup>		Plant density plant ha <sup>-1</sup>	Height	Total basal area m² ha <sup>-1</sup>
91-120	Dominant layer	$42.5 \pm 7.5$	$14.7 \pm 1.9$	$5.6 \pm 0.7$
	Dominated layer	11,051 ± 349	$3.1 \pm 0.8$	$5.5 \pm 0.2$
121-150	Dominant layer	$54.0 \pm 5.8$	$15.6 \pm 1.9$	$11.3 \pm 2.5$
	Dominated layer	7886 $\pm 90$	$3.9 \pm 0.7$	$15.2 \pm 1.7$
151-180	Dominant layer	$49.4 \pm 4.6$	$17.9 \pm 0.4$	$15.1 \pm 2.1$
	Dominated layer	9270 ± 215	$4.2 \pm 1.1$	17.8 ± 2.3
181-210	Dominant layer	$37.5 \pm 7.5$	$19.4 \pm 0.9$	$18.6 \pm 3.5$
	Dominated layer	$5863 \pm 63$	$4.6 \pm 1.5$	$15.6 \pm 2.7$
211–240	Dominant layer	$66.7 \pm 11.6$	$18.5 \pm 1.0$	$25.5 \pm 4.3$
	Dominated layer	$4680 \pm 75$	$5.2 \pm 1.2$	$10.4 \pm 2.9$
241–270	Dominant layer	$70.0 \pm 8.0$	$18.8 \pm 0.5$	$28.8 \pm 4.9$
	Dominated layer	$3200 \pm 29$	$8.1 \pm 0.9$	$10.8 \pm 2.6$
271-300	Dominant layer	$52.4 \pm 5.5$	$18.9 \pm 1.4$	$23.1 \pm 6.3$
	Dominated layer	$6850 \pm 87$	$8.6 \pm 0.9$	$28.6 \pm 5.1$
301-330	Dominant layer	$88.0 \pm 14$	$19.1 \pm 0.7$	$38.2 \pm 3.2$
	Dominated layer	$3863 \pm 75$	$2.9 \pm 0.8$	$2.6 \pm 0.7$
331-360	Dominant layer Dominated layer	$50.0 \pm 7.5$ $6150 \pm 72$	$20.2 \pm 0.3 \\ 8.1 \pm 0.9$	$30.6 \pm 4.9$ 27.2 ± 5.8

Eucalyptus globulus Plantations

This was an example of a cultivated system; plant density was controlled and silvicultural pratices were effectuated periodically. This species was recently introduced in the Estate. The average height ranged from 11.3  $\pm$  1.2 to 18.0  $\pm$  0.9 m (Tab. 6). According to plant density (range 1600–2800 plants ha<sup>-1</sup>) and plant diameter (range 9.4–20 cm) biomass classes varied from 31 to 240 tons ha<sup>-1</sup>. LAI ranged from 1.1 to 1.5.

#### Grassland

Grassland was dominated by Verbascum sinuatum L., Daucus carota L., Ranunculus bulbosus L., Dasypyrum villosum (L.) Borbás, Avena barbata Potter, Dactylis glomerata L., and Asphodelus microcarpus



Fig. 4. Regression analyses of LAI versus height (P = 0.01), above, and biomass versus LAI (P = 0.01), below.

information for describing interactions between vegetation and its environment (Brenner et al. 1995). Plant biomass and structure result from the interactions of several variables acting on vegetation at different levels (Gratani and Amadori 1991; Gratani et al. 1999) and leaf area index, vertical and horizontal denseness are important structural parameters of vegetation (Barkman 1988).

Many predictions of future climate include an expectation that changes in average values of climatic variables may modify the intensity and the interaction of environmental stresses on plants (Naveh and Lieberman 1990); besides, considerable attention has been given to plant response to increased anthropogenic pressure (Oliveira et al. 1994). Consequently, properties of vegetation, including species composition, plant structure, plant biomass, and canopy profile may be used to realize ecosystem inventories giving information on the status and the impact of interactive multistress on natural resources.

Our results on the whole show that plant biomass, height, and LAI are significantly correlated. The "Plant Biomass Map" allows us to delimit: high biomass systems (from 301 tons ha<sup>-1</sup> to 360 tons ha<sup>-1</sup>), corresponding to the more mature Pinus pinea plantations, Quercus ilex evergreen forests, and broadleaf mixed forests; average biomass systems (from 61 tons ha<sup>-1</sup> to 90 tons ha<sup>-1</sup>), corresponding to Mediterranean maquis, Pinus pinea plantations, (the youngest), Eucalyptus globulus plantations and Quercus suber evergreen forests; low biomass systems (lower than 5 tons ha-1), corresponding to grassland and psammophilous vegetation. The "Leaf Area Index Map" shows the distribution of LAI classes in the district, in which the highest values identify the most stratified forested systems. Anthropogenic perturbation (fire, pasture, cutting, and reforestation) or natural disturbances may change forest structure, forest biomass, LAI, and probably forest type. Experiments designed to compare tree growth efficiency over a range of canopy leaf area provide means of assessing the relative importance of various factors upon productivity at a given reference point (Waring 1983). Shao et al. (1995) suggest that the decrease of forest LAI in response to climatic changes might be an important mean to survive in warmer and drier environments.

The results underline that changes in species biomass and species structure appear at ecosystem level: on the average plant biomass, plant height, and LAI rise according to increasing vegetation type complexity and the highest values are related to the stratified forested vegetation types. Stand density influences the distribution of leaf area index and plant growth (Gratani 1996; Gratani and Foti 1998). Few comparisons of methods for measuring leaf area index have been published for individual bushes and forests (Brenner et al. 1995); because direct estimation of LAI in forests is very laborious, the development of theory to rapidly estimate LAI has received a great deal of attention in recent years (Norman and Campbell 1989; Rich 1990; Gower and Norman 1991; Shao et al. 1995). Ford and Newbould (1971) studied a model to determine production of Castanea sativa forests by structural parameters, biomass, and LAI. Specht and Specht (1989) emphasized the relationship between LAI and the evaporative water flux through the canopy to describe plant production of Eucalyptus-dominated communities; total leaf area is, in fact, the most important factor influencing carbon assimilation and water loss in plant communities. Morales et al. (1996) analyzed relationships between height and leaf area, LAI and height, and height and leaf area density of Laurel forests in Tenerife (Canary Islands) emphasizing the importance of stand structure to forecast development and productivity trends. Parker et al. (1989) developed an indirect LAI measurement by litterfall observations in deciduous species. Shao et al. (1995) realized a model to assess climatic change effects on forest landscapes at a regional scale by using the following key words: LAI, biomass, and tree height. Our results underline that ecosystem structure may be monitored long-time by means of the realized correlation between LAI and biomass. The identified biomass and LAI classes are important to establish the range of the existence of each of the communities; changes of physionomy have been observed outside the range (classes). The longterm monitoring to verify if the biomass and LAI values are in the identified ranges may be easily achieved by LAI measurements, which can be converted into biomass values by their relationship. LAI is regarded as one of the most important characteristics of canopy structure (Morales et al. 1996) and estimation of LAI is a prerequisite for any productivity study (Assmann 1970; Ford 1982; Katsuno and Hozumi 1990; Oliver and Larson 1990). Waring (1985) and Gratani et al. (1994) suggest that LAI may also be a useful characteristic to monitor early symptoms of natural and anthropogenic stresses on forests.

#### CONCLUSIONS

The Maps realized in this study enable us to give quantitative information of natural, seminatural and cultivated resources of a large district in excellently preserved conditions, which require long-term monitoring programs aimed at determining community composition, structure, and biomass changing over time. Estate management decisions could be made more easily by these inventories of data which incorporate useful information on the status of ecosystems and trends. Biomass and LAI Maps could be employed as a computerised mapped information system for natural resource policy, regional planning, and landscape management. These data could be set up in a model and used to assess the potential effect of perturbation on this area, constituting a database of regional agencies for any management project. These inventories of land units provide a critical basis for: 1) further studies on ecosystem functioning; 2) the effects of adding or removing species from a system; 3) the identification of high biodiversity areas; and 4) the evaluation of threatened and priority areas for conservation. Based on such mapped information land-use decisions could easily be made because they incorporate all available information.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

LAI Map was supported by grant from CNR A.S.I. and Biomass Map by the grant CNR 95.00010.ST75 and by research program "Monitoraggio Ambientale della Tenuta di Castelporziano."

#### LITERATURE CITED

ABER, J. D. 1979. A method for estimating foliage-height profiles in broad-leaved forests. J. Ecol. 67: 35–40.

- Assmann, E. 1970. The principles of forest yield study. Pergamon Press, Oxford. 506 p.
- BARKMAN, J. J. 1988. A new method to determine some characters of vegetation structure. *Vegetatio* **78**: 81–90.
- BOTKIN, D. B. 1986. Remote sensing of the biosphere. National Academy of Sciences, Report of the Committee on Planetary Biology. National Research Council, Washington, D.C., USA.
- BRENNER, A. J., M. CUETO ROMERO, J. GARCIA HARO, M. A. GILA-BERT, L. D. INCOLL, J. MARTINEZ FERNANDEZ, E. PORTER, F. I. PUG-NAIRE, AND M. T. YOUNIS. 1995. A comparison of direct and indirect methods for measuring leaf and surface area of individual bushes. *Pl. Cell Environm.* 18: 1332–1340.
- BRUNO F., L. GRATANI, AND F. MANES. 1976. Primi dati sulla biomassa e produttività della lecceta di Castelporziano (Roma). Biomassa e produttività di *Quercus ilex* L. Ann. Bot. (Rome) **35-36**: 109-118.
- BUNCE, R. G. H. 1968. Biomass and production of trees in a mixed deciduous woodland. I. Girth and height as parameters for the estimation of tree dry weight. J. Ecol. 56: 759-775.
- CABANETTES, A., AND M. RAPP. 1978. Biomasse, minéralomasse et productivité d'un écosystème à Pins pignons (*Pinus pinea* L.) du littoral méditerranéen. *Oecol. Pl.* **13**: 271–286.
- CORONA, P., O. LA MARCA, AND B. SCHIRONE. 1986. Ricerche sull'ottimizzazione dell'intensità della matricinatura nei cedui di cerro. I. Il ceduo composto a maturità. *Ann. Accad. Ital. Sci. Forest.* 35: 123–158.
- ECKARDT, F. E., A. BERGER, M. MÉTHY, G. HEIM, AND R. SAUVEZON. 1977. Interception de l'énergie rayonnante, échanges de CO<sub>2</sub>, régime hydrique et production chez différents types de végétation sous climat méditerranéen, pp. 1–75. *In* A. Moyse [ed.], Les processus de la production végétale primaire. Gauthier-Villars, Paris.
- FORD, E. D. 1982. High productivity in a polestage Sitka spruce stand and its relation to canopy structure. *Forestry* (Oxford) **55**: 1-17.
- ——, AND P. J. NEWBOULD. 1971. The leaf canopy of a coppiced deciduous woodland. J. Ecol. **59**: 843–862.
- GOWER, S. T., AND J. M. NORMAN. 1991. Rapid estimation of leaf area index in conifer and broad-leaf plantations. *Ecology* 72: 1896–1900.
- GRATANI, L. 1996. Leaf and shoot growth dynamics of *Quercus ilex* L. Acta Oecol. 17: 17–27.
- . 1997. Canopy structure, vertical radiation profile and photosynthetic function in a *Quercus ilex* evergreen forest. *Photosynthetica* **33**: 139–149.
- ——, AND E. FIORENTINO. 1988. Leaf area index for *Quercus ilex* L. high maquis. *Photosynthetica* **22**: 458.
- , AND M. AMADORI. 1991. Post-fire resprouting of shrubby species in Mediterranean maquis. *Vegetatio* **96**: 137–143.
- , A. R. FRATTAROLI, AND C. CONSOLE. 1994. Regeneration of the undergrowth in reafforested areas with *Pinus nigra*, in the High Aterno Valley (Italy). *Belg. J. Bot.* **127**: 61–66.
- , AND I. FOTI. 1998. Estimating forest structure and shade tolerance of the species in a mixed deciduous broad-leaved forest in Abruzzo. *Ann. Bot. Fenn.* **35**: 75–83.
- , A. ROSSI, M. F. CRESCENTE, AND A. R. FRATTAROLI. 1999. Ecologia dei pascoli di Campo Imperatore. *Braun-Blanquetia* 16: 227–247
- HALVORSON, W. L. 1998. Landscape management challenges on the California Channel Islands. *Aliso* 16: 113–119.
- , AND G. L. MAENDER. 1994. Fourth California Islands symposium: Update on the status of resources. Santa Barbara Museum of Natural History, Santa Barbara, California.
- JACK, S. B., AND J. N. LONG. 1991. Structure, production and leaf area dynamics: a comparison of lodgepole pine and sunflower. *Ann. Bot.* (London) **68**: 247–252.
- JOFFRE, R., S. RAMBAL, AND F. ROMANE. 1996. Local variations of

ecosystem functions in Mediterranean evergreen oak woodland. Ann. Sci. Forest. 53: 561-570.

- KATSUNO, M., AND K. HOZUMI. 1990. Estimation of leaf area at the level of branch, tree and stand in *Cryptomeria japonica*. *Ecol. Res.* (Tokyo) 5: 93–109.
- KAUFMANN, M. R., AND C. A. TROENDLE. 1981. The relationship of leaf area and foliage biomass to sapwood conducting area in four subalpine forest tree species. *Forest Sci.* 27: 477–482.
- LEONARDI, S., AND M. RAPP. 1982. Phytomasse et minéralomasse d'un taillis de chêne vert du massif de l'Etna. *Ecol. Medit.* 8: 125-138.
- , M. RAPP, M. FAILLA, AND E. KOMAROMY. 1992. Biomasse, minéralomasse, productivité et gestion de certains éléments biogènes dans une forêt de *Quercus suber* L. en Sicile (Italie). *Ecol. Medit.* 18: 89–98.
- LLEDÓ, M. J., J. R. SÁNCHEZ, J. BELLOT, J. BORONAT, J. J. IBAÑEZ, AND A. ESCARRÉ. 1992. Structure, biomass and production of a resprouted holm-oak (*Quercus ilex* L.) forest in NE Spain, pp. 51– 59. *In* F. Romane and J. Terradas [eds.], *Quercus ilex* L. ecosystems: function, dynamics and management. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht.
- Lossaint, P. 1973. Soil-vegetation relationships in mediterranean ecosystems of southern France, pp. 199–210. *In* F. Di Castri and H. A. Mooney [eds.], Mediterranean type ecosystems. Origin and structure. Springer-Verlag, New York.
- , AND M. RAPP. 1971. Répartition de la matière organique, productivité et cycles des éléments minéraux dans des écosystèmes de climat méditerranéen. Unesco, Productivité des écosystèmes forestiers, Actes Coll. Bruxelles.
- MARGARIS, N. S. 1976. Structure and dynamics in a phryganic (est Mediterranean) ecosystem. J. Biogeography 3: 249-259.
- MERINO, J., AND A. M. VICENTE. 1981. Biomass, productivity and succession in the scrub of the doñana biological reserve in southwest Spain, pp. 197–203. *In N. S. Margaris and H. A. Mooney* [eds.], Components of productivity of mediterranean-climate regions. Basic and applied aspects. Dr W. Junk Publishers, The Hague.
- MILLER, J.G., 1975. The nature of living systems. Behav. Sci. 20: 343-365.
- MOONEY, H. A., AND P. W. RUNDEL. 1979. Nutrient relations of the evergreen shrub Adenostoma fasciculatum in the California chaparral. *Bot. Gaz.* (Crawfordsville) **140**: 109–113.
- MORALES, D., M. S. JIMÉNEZ, A. M. GONZÁLES-RODRIGUEZ, AND J. CERMÁK. 1996. Laurel forests in Tenerife, Canary Islands. I. The site, stand structure and stand leaf area distribution. *Trees* **11**: 34–40.
- NAVEH, Z. 1991. Mediterranean uplands as anthropogenic perturbation-dependent systems and their dynamic conservation management, pp. 545–556. *In* O. Ravera [ed.], Terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems, perturbation and recovery. Ellis Hordwood Limited, England.
- , AND A. S. LIEBERMAN. 1990. Landscape ecology. Theory and application. Springer-Verlag, New York. 356 p.
- NEWBOULD, P. J. 1967. Primary production of forests. Blackwell Scientific Publications, Oxford and Edinburgh. 62 p.
- NORMAN, J. M., AND G. S. CAMPBELL. 1989. Canopy structure, pp. 301–325. In R. W. Pearcy, J. Ehleringer, H. A. Mooney and P. W. Rundel [Eds.], Plant physiological ecology: field methods and instrumentation. Chapman and Hall, London, New York.
- OLIVEIRA, G., O. CORREIA, A. MARTINS-LOUÇÃO, AND F. M. CATAR-INO. 1994. Phenological and growth patterns of the Mediterranean oak *Quercus suber* L. *Trees* **9**: 41–46.
- OLIVER, C. D., AND B. C. LARSON. 1990. Forest stand dynamics. McGraw-Hill Inc., New York. 467 p.

- OVERPECK, J. T., D. RIND, AND R. GOLDBERG. 1990. Climate-induced changes in forest disturbance and vegetation. *Nature* 343: 51-53.
- OVINGTON, J. D., AND W. H. PEARSALL. 1956. Production ecology 2. Estimates of average production by trees. *Oikos* 7: 202.
- PARKER, G. G., J. P. O'NEILL, AND D. HIGMAN. 1989. Vertical profile and canopy organization in a mixed deciduous forest. *Vegetatio* 85: 1-11.
- PICCOLI, D., AND S. BORELLI. 1988. Introduzione agli studi fitometrici sulla cerreta di Roccarespampani (VT). *Inform. Bot. Ital.* 20: 810– 815.
- PIERCE, L. L., AND S. W. RUNNING. 1988. Rapid estimation of coniferous forest leaf area index using a portable integrating radiometer. *Ecology* 69: 1762–1767.
- RICH, P. M. 1990. Characterizing plant canopies with hemispherical photographs. *Remote Sensing Rev.* 5: 13–29.
- SAFRIEL, U. N., Y. ANIKSTER, AND M. VALDMAN. 1997. Management of nature reserves for conservation of wild relatives and the significance of marginal populations. *Bocconea* 7: 233-239.
- SCHIRONE, B., G. SCARASCIA MUGNOZZA, AND R. VALENTINI. 1985. Osservazioni preliminari sull'indice di area fogliare di Quercus cerris L. Monti e Boschi 5: 47–51.
- SHAO, G. 1991. Moisture-therm indices and optimum-growth modelling for the main species in Korean pine-deciduous mixed forests. Sci. Silvae Sin. 21: 21–27.
- —, H. H. SHUGART, AND T. M. SMITH. 1995. A role-type model (rope) and its application in assessing climate change impacts on forest landscapes. *Vegetatio* 121: 135–146.
- SHUGART, H. H., G. SHAO, W. R. EMANUEL, AND T. M. SMITH. 1997. Modelling the structural response of vegetation to climate change, pp. 265–272. *In* B. Huntley, W. Cramer, A. V. Morgan, H. C. Prentice, J. R. M. Allen [eds.], Past and future rapid environmental changes: the spatial and evolutionary responses of terrestrial biota. NATO ASI Series I, Global environmental changes **47.** Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg.
- SINGH, J. S., W. K. LAUENROTH, AND R. K. STEINHORST. 1975. Review and assessment of various techniques for estimating net aerial primary production in grasslands from harvest data. *Bot. Rev.* (Lancaster) 41: 181–232.
- SPECHT, R. L., AND A. SPECTH. 1989. Canopy structure in *Eucalyptus*-dominated communities in Australia along climatic gradients. *Acta Oecol.* **10**: 191–213.
- STENBERG, P., S. LINDER, H. SMOLANDER, AND J. FLOWER-ELLIS. 1994. Performance of the LAI-2000 plant canopy analyzer in estimating leaf area index of some Scots pine stands. *Tree Physiol.* 14: 981– 995.
- STEWART, H. T. L., D. W. FLINN, AND B. C. AEBERLI. 1979. Aboveground biomass of a mixed eucalyptus forest in Eastern Victoria. *Austral. J. Bot.* 27: 725-740.
- WARING, R. H. 1983. Estimating forest growth and efficiency in relation to canopy leaf area. *Advances Ecol. Res.* 13: 327-354.
- . 1985. Imbalanced forest ecosystems: assessments and consequences. Forest Ecol. Managem. 12: 93–112.
- WELLES, J. M., AND J. M. NORMAN. 1991. Instrument for indirect measurement of canopy architecture. Agron. J. 83: 818–825.
- , AND S. COHEN. 1996. Canopy structure measurement by gap fraction analysis using commercial instrumentation. J. Exp. Bot. 47: 1335–1342.
- WHITTAKER, R. H., AND P. L. MARKS. 1975. Methods of assessing terrestrial productivity, pp. 55–103. *In* H. Lieth and R. H. Whittaker [eds.], Primary Productivity of the Biosphere. Springer-Verlag, New York.
- WITTWER, S. 1983. Land related global habitability science issues. NASA Technical Memorandum Number 85841. National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Washington, D.C., USA.