# Aliso: A Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Botany

Volume 23 | Issue 1

Article 33

2007

# Phylogeny of Poa (Poaceae) Based on trnT–trnF Sequence Data: Major Clades and Basal Relationships

Lynn J. Gillespie Canadian Museum of Nature, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada

Annie Archambault Canadian Museum of Nature, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada

Robert J. Soreng Smithsonian Institution, Washington, D.C.

Follow this and additional works at: http://scholarship.claremont.edu/aliso Part of the <u>Botany Commons</u>, and the <u>Ecology and Evolutionary Biology Commons</u>

# **Recommended** Citation

Gillespie, Lynn J.; Archambault, Annie; and Soreng, Robert J. (2007) "Phylogeny of Poa (Poaceae) Based on trnT-trnF Sequence Data: Major Clades and Basal Relationships," *Aliso: A Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Botany*: Vol. 23: Iss. 1, Article 33. Available at: http://scholarship.claremont.edu/aliso/vol23/iss1/33

# PHYLOGENY OF *POA* (POACEAE) BASED ON *trn*T–*trn*F SEQUENCE DATA: MAJOR CLADES AND BASAL RELATIONSHIPS

LYNN J. GILLESPIE,<sup>1,4</sup> ANNIE ARCHAMBAULT,<sup>1,2</sup> AND ROBERT J. SORENG<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Research Division, Canadian Museum of Nature, PO Box 3443, Station D, Ottawa, Ontario K1P 6P4, Canada;
<sup>2</sup>(aarchambault@mus-nature.ca); <sup>3</sup>Department of Botany, U. S. National Herbarium, National Museum of Natural History, Smithsonian Institution, PO Box 370012, Washington, D.C. 20013-7012, USA (sorengr@si.edu)
<sup>4</sup>Corresponding author (lgillespie@mus-nature.ca)

#### ABSTRACT

Poa, the largest genus of grasses (Poaceae) with over 500 species, occurs throughout temperate and boreal regions in both hemispheres. A phylogenetic study of Poa based on trnT-trnF chloroplast DNA sequence data is presented focusing on basal relationships, major clades, generic boundaries, and placement of putatively closely related genera. Results support the monophyly of the main lineage of Poa if subgen. Andinae is excluded and Anthochloa, Austrofestuca, Dissanthelium (at least in part), and Eremopoa are included. The main Poa clade and subgen. Andinae resolve within a strongly supported Poinae-Alopecurinae-Miliinae clade (PAM). The subdivision of Poa into five major clades, proposed based on chloroplast restriction site data, is supported by sequence data. The basal-most clade (ArcSyl) comprises Poa subgen. Arctopoa and subgen. Poa sect. Sylvestres, two groups having disparate morphology, but similar cpDNA. The next-diverging clade (BAPO), comprising sects. Bolbophorum, Alpinae, Parodiochloa, and Ochlopoa, is strongly supported and characterized by highly divergent cpDNA. The majority of Poa species and sections form a strongly supported clade comprising major clades SPOSTA, PoM, and HAMBADD. Newly reported in this study is Eremopoa as a distinct lineage positioned between this higher Poa clade and BAPO. A revised infrageneric classification of Poa comprising five subgenera is proposed. Two new subgeneric divisions of Poa are proposed: subgen. Stenopoa for the SPOSTA clade and supersect. Homalopoa for the HAMBADD clade. The monotypic genus Anthochloa is reduced to Poa sect. Anthochloa, and its one species recognized as Poa lepidula.

Key words: Andinae, Anthochloa, chloroplast DNA, Dissanthelium, Eremopoa, Poa, Poinae, trnTtrnF.

#### INTRODUCTION

*Poa* is considered to be the largest genus of grasses (Poaceae), with over 500 species currently recognized (Gillespie and Soreng 2005). The genus is renowned to be taxonomically difficult, with hybridization, polyploidy, and apomixis common (Stebbins 1950; Clausen 1961; Tzvelev 1976; Hunziker and Stebbins 1987). Clayton and Renvoize (1986: 101) lament a "dearth of useful discriminatory characters" and comment that "*Poa* is an extremely uniform genus for which there is no satisfactory infrageneric classification." Over 200 species have never been assigned to a section or otherwise placed within a subgeneric classification. The affinities of one-half of these species are unknown, while the remaining species are considered to belong to several informal species groups.

While there has yet to be a worldwide revision of *Poa* species or a worldwide infrageneric taxonomy, major advances have been made in classifications at a regional level over the past 30 years. The classifications of Tzvelev (1976) for the former USSR and Edmondson (1978) for Europe have proved to be informative, and have been extended to other regions, including western North America (Soreng 1985). The two most recent classifications of the genus, for North America (Soreng 1998) and the New World (Soreng et al. 2003*a*), while primarily building on the classifications of Tzvelev (1976) and Edmondson (1978, 1980), have also been influenced by recent phylogenetic analyses of chloro-

plast DNA restriction site (RS) data (Soreng 1990; Gillespie and Boles 2001; Gillespie and Soreng 2005).

As currently understood, Poa is divided into two small subgenera, Arctopoa and Andinae, and one large one, subgen. Poa (Soreng 1998; Soreng et al. 2003a). Subgenus Arctopoa comprises five species in two sections found in seashore and interior wetland habitats of northern, cool temperate regions. Andinae is a recently described subgenus (Nicora 1977) including six species of coastal and interior wetlands of Patagonia, South America. All remaining species are placed in subgen. Poa, worldwide in distribution and with about 23 accepted sections and several infrasectional taxa. Additional subgenera have been named, but these are not recognized in Soreng's most recent classifications (Soreng 1998; Soreng et al. 2003a). Subgenus Dioicopoa (E. Desv.) J. R. Edm., widely recognized by South American botanists (Nicora 1977, 1978), was treated at the sectional level by Soreng (1998). Poa sect. Ochlopoa was raised to a subgenus by Hylander (1953) and even to a genus (Bohling and Scholz 2003), but no one has yet followed these treatments. Two other subgenera positioned in Poa when described actually represent other grass genera. Subgenus Psilantha K. Koch belongs to Eragrostis Wolf (Chloridoideae), and subgen. Pseudopoa is synonymous with the genus Eremopoa, a putatively close Asian relative of Poa (Tzvelev 1976; Clayton and Renvoize 1986).

Phylogenetic analyses of Poa have, until now, been based

only on cpDNA restriction site data (Soreng 1990; Gillespie et al. 1997; Gillespie and Boles 2001; Gillespie and Soreng 2005); no analysis has yet been published based on morphological or other molecular data. Results of these RS studies have been largely congruent, and the groups identified are in substantial agreement with recent classifications based on morphology. The most recent and broadest phylogenetic study is that of Gillespie and Soreng (2005) based on RS analysis of five PCR-amplified cpDNA regions. In that study, Poa was determined to be largely monophyletic and to comprise five major clades: ArcSyl (subgen. Arctopoa and subgen. Poa sect. Sylvestres), BAPO (subgen. Poa sects. Bolbophorum, Alpinae, Parodiochloa, and Ochlopoa), SPOSTA (subgen. Poa sects. Secundae, Pandemos, Oreinos, Stenopoa, Tichopoa, and Abbreviatae), PoM (subgen. Poa sects. Poa and Macropoa), and HAMBADD (subgen. Poa sects. Homalopoa, Acutifoliae, Madropoa, Brizoides, Austrofestuca, Dasypoa, Dioicopoa, plus informal groups "Punapoa" and "Australopoa"). These clades showed the following branching order: ArcSyl(BAPO(SPOSTA((PoM) (HAM-BADD)))). Two segregate genera, Arctopoa and Parodiochloa, sometimes included within Poa, and the genus Austrofestuca were confirmed as members of Poa (in the major clades ArcSyl, BAPO, and HAMBADD, respectively), as was P. atropidiformis (in HAMBADD), a species recently treated in Dissanthelium. On the other hand, Poa subgen. Andinae was determined to be misplaced in Poa, and was suggested to be a new genus allied with Arctagrostis in subtribe Poinae.

Soreng and Davis (2000) discuss the phylogeny of tribe Poeae based on a combined cpDNA RS and morphological analysis and present a revised classification of the tribe, subsequently updated in Soreng et al. (2003b). The main feature of this classification was the merging of the two poorly delimited tribes, Poeae and Aveneae, into an enlarged Poeae, and the recognition of two main chloroplast lineages, Poeae and Aveneae chloroplast types. Soreng and Davis (2000) identified two main groups within the Poeae chloroplast-type clade: subgroup 1, comprising subtribes Alopecurinae, Dactylidinae, Miliinae, Poinae, Scolochloinae, and Sesleriinae; and subgroup 2, comprising subtribes Cynosurinae, Loliinae (Festuca L. and allies), Parapholiinae, and Puccinelliinae. Poa resolved in a clade with Bellardiochloa and Puccinellia stricta (a species they hypothesized to be an intergeneric hybrid), within a clade comprising subtribes Poinae (Arctagrostis, Dupontia), Alopecurinae (Alopecurus, Beckmannia, Phleum), and Miliinae (Milium) in subgroup 1. The classification of genera of subtribe Poinae and related subtribes as accepted here (based on Soreng et al. 2003b with minor revisions) and compared to other recent classifications (Tzvelev 1976, 1989; Clayton and Renvoize 1986) are summarized in Table 1.

In the present study we explore the intra- and intergeneric relationships of *Poa* using cpDNA sequence data. We seek to test previously developed phylogenetic hypotheses of *Poa* based on cpDNA RS data (Gillespie and Soreng 2005) and the intergeneric relationships of *Poa* based on RS data alone and combined in analyses with morphological data (Soreng and Davis 2000). Restriction site analysis provided a useful initial tool for surveying genetic variation in *Poa* since it is relatively quick, inexpensive, and easily allows for processing large sample sizes and testing for known diagnostic

markers. Using this method, Gillespie and Boles (2001) detected no or only minor variation within Poa species in the cpDNA regions sampled. Although hybridization is thought to have occurred extensively in the genus (Clausen 1961) and indeed Gillespie and Boles (2001) detected two cases of cpDNA transfer, it did not appear to complicate phylogeny reconstruction and the resulting RS phylogenies corresponded well with recent classifications based on morphology. One disadvantage of RS data is problems associated with data interpretation and homoplasy (e.g., inability to distinguish between absence of a site due to substitution vs. deletion; Dowling et al. 1996). Sequence data have an advantage over RS data in being not or less subject to these potentially serious problems. Previous RS studies of Poa focused on sampling morphological diversity and taxonomic breadth. The present sequencing study has a broader focus, aiming to examine basal relationships within Poa and affinities of the genus, while also covering as much taxonomic breadth within Poa as feasible. The trnT-trnF region was chosen for sequencing based on our RS findings of sufficient phylogenetically informative variation within Poa for this region (Gillespie and Boles 2001; Gillespie and Soreng 2005) and on the availability of internal sequencing primers (Taberlet et al. 1991).

Our main goals in estimating the phylogeny of *Poa* and related genera based on cpDNA sequences were to (1) test the phylogenetic hypothesis of *Poa* based on cpDNA restriction site data, specifically the five major clades, (2) refine the generic boundary of *Poa*, (3) determine the status and position of small allied genera, and (4) explore the affinities of *Poa*.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Taxa Sampled

Sixty-eight collections of *Poa* were sampled representing 59 species, all three currently recognized subgenera, 23 sections (including two recently described sections, *Austrofestuca* and *Parodiochloa*; Gillespie and Soreng 2005), and two informal species groups (Table 2). While fewer species were included compared with the latest RS study (Gillespie and Soreng 2005), the species sampled represent a greater taxonomic breadth and cover a broader geographical range. Three additional sections were included, sects. *Leptophyllae, Macropoa*, and *Nivicolae*, the first and last sampled for cpDNA for the first time. In addition, nine Eurasian and South American species were sampled for cpDNA for the first time. The sampling overlap between the two studies was 52 collections representing 48 species of *Poa*.

In an attempt to refine the boundary of *Poa* and determine affinities of the genus, we sampled 26 collections representing 21 allied and outgroup genera (Table 2). In addition to *Poa*, seven widely recognized genera were sampled in subtribe Poinae: *Anthochloa, Arctagrostis, Arctophila, Bellardiochloa, Dissanthelium, Dupontia,* and *Eremopoa* (Table 1, 2). Of these, cpDNA relationships of *Anthochloa, Dissanthelium,* and *Eremopoa* are determined for the first time. The closely allied subtribes Alopecurinae (*Alopecurus, Beckmannia,* and *Phleum*) and Miliinae (*Milium*) were sampled. Seven genera belonging to five additional subtribes within the Poeae chloroplast group of tribe Poeae were sampled: subtribes Airinae (*Deschampsia* P. Beauv., recently transferred here by Soreng et al. 2003c), Dactylidinae (*Dactylis*), Loliinae (*Festuca*), Puccinelliinae (*Catabrosa, Phippsia*, and *Puccinellia*), and Sesleriinae (*Sesleria* Scop.). The following three more distant subtribes of the Aveneae chloroplast group of tribe Poeae were chosen as the outgroups: subtribes Aveninae (*Helictotrichon* Besser ex Schult. & Schult. f.), Phalaridinae (*Anthoxanthum* L. [syn. *Hierochloe* R. Br.]), and Agrostidinae (*Agrostis* L.). Overlap with previous RS studies includes 11 collections of allied and outgroup genera (Soreng 1990; Soreng et al. 1990; Gillespie et al. 1997; Soreng and Davis 2000; Gillespie and Boles 2001; Gillespie and Soreng 2005).

# DNA Extraction and Amplification of the cpDNA trnT-trnF Region

DNA was extracted from silica-gel-dried leaf material of all samples new to this study. The one exception was Eremopoa songarica, for which extractions were made from live leaf material. Total DNA was isolated from individual plants using DNeasy Plant Mini kits (QIAGEN Inc., Valencia, California, USA). Double-stranded DNA of the chloroplast region between trnT and trnF (TF) was amplified using primers "a" and "f" (Taberlet et al. 1991). This region includes the trnL intron and two nontranscribed spacer regions, trnTtrnL and trnL-trnF. Amplification of the TF region for difficult samples was done as separate regions using internal primers ("b", "c", "d", or "e"; Taberlet et al. 1991). Taq DNA polymerase (from various suppliers) was used in most of the reactions, but in some recalcitrant samples, Dy-NAzyme ext DNA polymerase (MJ Research, Waltham, Massachusetts, USA) was used following the manufacturer's instructions. Reactions using Taq polymerase were mostly performed in a 50  $\mu$ l volume with final concentration of 1× buffer, 1.75 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub> (total), 0.2 mM dNTP, 0.3 µM of each primer, 1-4 units Taq, and 0.5 µl DNA. Cycling conditions varied during the course of the study, but those most efficient were inspired from "long PCR" techniques and included an initial denaturation step of 5 min at 95°C, followed by 10 cycles of 94°C for 20 sec, 55-58°C for 1 min, and 72°C for 5 min. Twenty cycles that followed were similar to the first set, with the difference that the elongation step (72°C) increased by 20 sec per cycle. A final elongation step of 10 min completed the amplification reaction. Amplification products were purified using QIAquick PCR purification kits (QIAGEN) or Montage PCR columns (Millipore, Billerica, Massachusetts, USA). Nucleotide sequences of amplification products were determined using automated cycle sequencing (Applied Biosystems 3100-Avant). BigDye vers. 3.0 (Applied Biosystems, Inc., Foster City, California, USA) was used for sequencing reactions using one-fourth of the suggested Big Dye volume in a 10 µl reaction. Internal primers (Taberlet et al. 1991) were used when required to ensure that both strands of the region were sequenced as completely as possible. Consensus sequences were assembled and edited using Sequencher vers. 4.0 and 4.1.4 (Gene Codes Corporation, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA).

#### Sequence Alignment and Phylogenetic Analyses

Sequences were aligned using CLUSTAL\_X multiple-sequence alignment program vers. 1.83 (Jeanmougin et al.

1998) and alignment was refined by eye using BioEdit vers. 5.0.9 (Hall 1999). Parsimony-informative gaps were treated as separate presence-absence characters (Simmons and Ochoterena 2000). Parsimony analyses were performed with PAUP\* vers. 4.0b10 (Swofford 2002). Heuristic searches were conducted with the tree-bisection-reconnection (TBR), Collapse, and MulTrees options. Two search strategies were used: (1) MaxTrees set at 100,000 with the default option "Collapse branches if maximum length is zero" (MaxBrlen), and (2) 1000 random taxon addition replicates with the option "Collapse branches if minimum length is zero" (MinBrlen). Analyses were performed both with and without the gap characters. Stability of clades was estimated by bootstrap analysis (Felsenstein 1985) on the complete data matrix including gap characters using the second search strategy, full bootstrap option, 1000 replicates, and 10 heuristic searches per replicate. Clades with 80% or greater bootstrap support (BS) were considered strongly supported.

#### RESULTS

#### Chloroplast DNA trnT-trnF Sequences

The final aligned data matrix comprised 94 samples and 2349 nucleotide characters, with 20% (463) of the characters variable and 10% (238) parsimony informative. GenBank accession numbers of sequences are given in Table 2. We excluded one region of 53 base pairs (bp) in the *trn*T–*trn*L spacer (positions 103–155) that could not be unambiguously aligned due to numerous insertions-deletions (indels). In addition, 45 parsimony-informative indels were coded as binary characters and included in two of four analyses performed. Missing data represented <1% of the data set, with data missing primarily from the ends of sequences and also from regions adjacent to internal primers. Only three taxa were missing over 5% of the sequence (*Phippsia algida, Poa paucispicula* sample 2, and *P. sylvestres*; 7–12%).

Among the three noncoding regions making up the TF region, the proportion of variable and parsimony-informative nucleotide characters was higher in the longer trnT-trnL spacer than in the other two regions. Considering all sequences, 28% (260/938) of the sites were variable and 14% (134/938) parsimony informative in the *trn*T-*trn*L spacer; 21% (93/453) were variable and 12% (53/453) parsimony informative in the trnL intron; and 17% (114/657) were variable and 8% (53/657) parsimony informative in the trnLtrnF spacer. Considering just the ingroup Poa (i.e., the Poa clade in our analysis), 15% (140/907) of the sites were variable and 10% (89/907) parsimony informative in the trnTtrnL spacer; 10% (46/443) were variable and 5% (23/443) parsimony informative in the trnL intron; and 9% (58/646) were variable and 5% (32/646) parsimony informative in the trnL-trnF spacer.

Numerous indels were present in the aligned data matrix, including many that were parsimony informative. These did not cause problems with alignment, except for one small region excluded from the analysis (see above). Taxa with large unique deletions include *Poa howellii* (194 bp), *Agrostis mertensii* (170 bp), and *Deschampsia brevifolia* (70 bp). *Sesleria insularis*, on the other hand, is characterized by a unique 74 bp insertion. Among the larger parsimony-informative indels, the two species of *Helictotrichon* examined

Genus	Clayton and Renvoize (1986)	Tzvelev (1976, 1989)	Proposed here and Soreng et al. $(2003b, c)$
Alopecurus L.	Aveneae–Alopecurinae	Phleeae–Alopecurinae	P*-Alopecurinae
Aniselytron Merr.	Aveneae–Alopecurinae (as syn. of <i>Calama-</i> <i>grostis</i> Adans.)	Pocae	P*-Poinae
Anthochloa Nees & Meyen	Meliceae	Poeae	P*-Poinae (as section of <i>Poa</i> )
Aphanelytrum Hack.	Poeae-Poa-Aphanelytrum	Poeae	P*-Poinae
Arctagrostis Griseb.	Poeae-Poa-Arctagrostis	Poeae-Cinninae	P*-Poinae
Arctophila (Rupr.) Anderss.	Poeae-Poa-Colpodium group	Poeae-Poinae	P*-Poinae
Arctopoa (Griseb.) Prob.	Poeae–Poa (as syn.)	Pocae-Poinae (1976: as subgenus of $Poa$ ; 1980: as connet	P*-Poinae (as subgenus of Poa)
<i>Austrofestuca</i> s.s. (Tzvelev) E. B.	Poeae–Poa–Austrofestuca	Poeae	P*-Poinae (as section of <i>Poa</i> )
Alexeev			
Beckmannia Host	Aveneae–Alopecurinae	Phleeae–Alopecurinae	P*-Alopecurinae
Bellardiochloa Chiov.	Poeae-Poa (as syn.)	Poeae-Festucinae	P*-Poinae
Calotheca Desv.	Poeae-Poa-Briza (as syn.)	Poeae	A*-Brizinae s.l.
Chascolytrum Desv.	Poeae-Poa-Briza (as syn.)	Poeae	A*-Brizinae s.l.
<i>Catabrosa</i> P. Beauv.	Poeae-Poa-Colpodium group	Poeae–Poinae	P*-Puccinelliinae
<i>Catabrosella</i> (Tzvelev) Tzvelev	Poeae-Poa-Colpodium (as syn.)	Poeae-Poinae	P-Puccinelliinae
Coleanthus Seidel	Poeae-Poa-Colpodium group	Poeae-Coleanthinae	A-Agrostidinae?
Colpodium Trin.	Poeae-Poa-Colpodium group	Poeae–Poinae	P-Millinae?
Cornucopia L.	Aveneae–Alopecurinae	Phleeae	P-Alopecurinae
Cutandia Willk.	Poeae-Poa-Desmazeria group	Poeae-Festucinae	P*–Parapholinae
Dactylis L.	Poeae-Poa-Dactylis	Poeae–Dactylidinae	P*–Dactylinideae
Dasypoa Pilg.	Poeae–Poa (as syn.)	Poeae	P*-Poinae (as section of Poa)
Desmazeria Dumort.	Poeae–Poa–Desmazeria group	Poeae	P*–Parapholinae
<i>Dissanthelium</i> Trin.	Aveneae–Aveninae	Poeae	P*–Poinae (with Poa)
<i>Oupontia</i> R. Br.	Poeae-Poa-Colpodium group	Poeae–Poinae	P*-Poinae
<i>Eremopoa</i> Rosh.	Poeae-Poa-Eremopoa group	Poeae–Poinae	P*-Poinae (as Poa subgen. Pseudopoa)
Festucella E. B. Alexeev	n/a	Poeae	P*-Poinae
<i>Gymnachne</i> Parodi	Poeae-Poa-Briza group (as syn. of Rhombol-	Poeae	A*-Brizinae s.l.
	ytrum)		
<i>Hookerochloa</i> E. B. Alexeev	n/a	Poeae	P*-Poinae
Hyalopoa (Tzvelev) Tzvelev	Poeae-Poa-Colpodium (as syn.)	Poeae-Poinae	P-Poinae
<i>Libyella</i> Pamp.	Poeae-Poa-Eremopoa group	Poeae	P-Poinae
<i>Linnas</i> Trin.	Aveneae–Alopecurinae	Phleeae–Alopecurinae	P-Alopecurinae
Lindbergella Bor	Poeae-Poa-Eremopoa group	Poeae–Poinae	P-Poinae
<i>Microbriza</i> Nicora & Rúgolo	Poeae-Poa-Briza group	Poeae	A*-Brizinae s.l. (as syn. of <i>Poidium</i> )
Milium L.	Stipeae—between Oryzopsis Michx. and Tri-	Aveneae-Miliinae	P*-Miliinae
	keraia Bor		

Since Clayton and Renvoize (1986) did not name subtribes or groups in tribe Poeae, the affinities of genera and the groups identified here are based on their Fig. 11 (p. 89), "Diagram of relationships in Poeae," and Fig. 12 (p. 117), "Diagram of relationships in Aveneae." These are balloon diagrams with many small genera appearing to arise from larger genera such as *Poa*. Thus, e.g., "Poeae-*Poa-Eremopoa* group" in this table indicates a genus in the *Eremopoa* cluster that diverges from the large *Poa* balloon. Tzvelev (1976) mostly includes only genera within the former USSR. Tzvelev (1989) was followed for genera occurring outside the former USSR. Subtribes are given in Tzvelev (1976), but not in Tzvelev (1989). A = Aveneae-type

Placements of genera belonging to subtribe Poinae and the allied subtribes Alopecurinae, Milinae, and Puccinellinae as proposed here and in Soreng et al. (2003b, 2003c), as

Table 1.

compared with their placements in Clayton and Renvoize (1986) and Tzvelev (1976, 1989). Also included are all genera considered as allied with Poa by Clayton and Renvoize (1986).

Genus	Clayton and Renvoize (1986)	Tzvelev (1976, 1989)	Proposed here and Soreng et al. $(2003b, c)$
<i>Vephelochloa</i> Boiss.	Poeae-Poa-Eremopoa group	Poeae	P-Poinae?
Neuropoa W. D. Clayton	Poeae-Poa-Eremopoa group	Poeae	P-Poinae
Paracolpodium (Tzvelev) Tzvelev	Poeae-Poa-Colpodium (as syn.)	Poeae-Poinae	P-Puccinelliinae
Parafestuca E. B. Alexeev	Poeae-Poa-Parafestuca	Poeae	A*-Aveninae (as syn. of <i>Koeleria</i> Pers.)
Paradiochloa C. E. Hubb.	Poeae-Poa (as syn.)	Poeae	P*-Poinae (as section of Poa)
Phippsia (Trin.) R. Br.	Poeae-Poa-Colpodium group	Poeae-Poinae	P*–Puccineliinae
Phleum L.	Aveneae–Alopecurinae	Phleeae–Phleinae	P*-Alopecurinae
Poa L.	Poeae-Poa	Poeae–Poinae	P*-Poinae
Poidium Nees	Poeae-Poa (as syn.)	Poeae	A*–Brizinae s.l.
Puccinellia Parl.	Poeae-Puccinellia group	Poeae-Poinae	P*–Puccinelliinae
Rhizocephalus Boiss.	Aveneae–Alopecurinae	Phleeae–Phleinae	P-Alopecurinae
Rhombolytrum E. Desv.	Poeae-Poa-Briza group	Poeae	A-Brizinae s.l.
Sclerochloa P. Beauv.	Poeae–Poa–Desmazeria group	Poeae-Poinae	P*–Puccinelliinae
Sphenopus Trin.	Poeae–Poa–Eremopoa group	Poeae-Festucinae	P*–Parapholinae
Tovarochloa T. D. Macfarl. & But	Aveneae–Aveinae	Poeae	P*-Poinae
Tzvelevia E. B. Alexeev	n/a	Poeae	P-Poinae
Zingeria Smirnov	Aveneae–Alopecurinae	Aveneae-Agrostidinae	P-Miliinae?

share a 275 bp deletion and the two samples of *Eremopoa* share a 40 bp deletion. *Poa alpina, P. bulbosa,* and *P. molinerii* share both a deletion (64 bp) and an insertion (32 bp). Taxa sharing duplications include *P. acinaciphylla, P. ar-achnifera,* and *P. stuckertii* (23 bp), *P. annua* and *P. supina* (20–22 bp), and all species in the POSTA subclade (12 bp).

### Phylogenetic Analysis

Cladistic analysis of the complete data matrix including the gap characters resulted in trees 754 steps long with a consistency index (CI) of 0.68, excluding uninformative characters, a retention index (RI) of 0.92, and a rescaled consistency index (RC) of 0.72. Both search strategies resulted in identical tree statistics and strict consensus trees, and differed only in the number of most-parsimonious trees (upper limit of 100,000 trees was reached in the first analysis using MaxBrlen option; 120 trees in the second analysis using MinBrlen option) and in the degree of resolution of trees (more unresolved nodes in the second analysis). One of the most-parsimonious trees from the second analysis is illustrated in Fig. 1 and 2. The strict consensus tree (indicated by bold lines in Fig. 1 and 2) differs only in lacking the clade comprising Poa marcida and the P. wolfii-P. alsodes clade, the P. holciformis-P. acinaciphylla clade, and the Arctagrostis-Poa subgen. Andinae clade, and in the lack of resolution among Festuca, Sesleria, and the Dactylis-Deschampsia clade.

The analyses excluding gap characters resulted in 100,000 trees (MaxBrlen option) and 72 trees (MinBrlen option), each 679 steps long (CI = 0.69, excluding uninformative characters, RI = 0.92, RC = 0.74). The strict consensus tree was identical to that of the first analysis (Fig. 1, 2; bold lines), except for the following minor differences. In the major clade ArcSyl, Poa marcida is sister to the P. wolfii-P. alsodes clade (as in Fig. 2) and this clade forms a trichotomy with the P. tibetica-P. eminens clade and the P. autumnalis-P. sylvestris clade (i.e., the last two clades do not resolve together as one clade). Within the Poinae-Alopecurinae clade, the clade comprising Alopecurus, Arctagrostis, Bellardiochloa, and Poa subgen. Andinae is not resolved. Relationships among basal members of the Poeae chloroplast group are somewhat more resolved, with Festuca diverging first as in Fig. 1.

Poa *clade*.—A main *Poa* clade (Fig. 1, 2) is strongly supported (BS = 84%). The clade resolved into five major internal clades (Fig. 2: ArcSyl, BAPO, SPOSTA, PoM, HAM-BADD) plus one distinct lineage (*Eremopoa*). The clades BAPO, SPOSTA, and PoM have strong support (BS = 90–99%), while ArcSyl and HAMBADD have weak support (BS = 64%). Relationships among major clades are strongly supported (BS = 86–100%). Several allied genera were included within the *Poa* clade: *Anthochloa, Dissanthelium* (only *D. peruvianum* and *Poa atropidiformis*, recently included in this genus, were examined), and *Eremopoa*. Also included were the genera *Austrofestuca* s.s. and *Parodiochloa*, both recently reduced to sections of *Poa* (Gillespie and Soreng 2005).

*Poinae–Alopecurinae–Miliinae (PAM) clade.*—Sequence data showed strong support (BS = 99%) for a clade com-

Table 1. Continued

Origin, voucher information, and GenBank accession number(s) are give

Table 2. Taxa of *Poa*, allied genera, and outgroups sampled. Origin, voucher information, and GenBank accession number(s) are given for each collection. Subgenus and section (if applicable) are given for *Poa* species. Voucher numbers, unless otherwise specified, refer to collections of L. J. Gillespie (deposited at CAN), R. J. Soreng (number prefixed with an "S" and specimen deposited at US unless otherwise indicated), and P. M. Peterson (number prefixed with a "P" and specimens deposited at US).

Taxon	Section	Specimen origin	Voucher	GenBank accession
Poa subgen. Andinae Nicora				
<i>P. andina</i> Trin.		Chile	<i>S7182</i>	DQ353971
P. chonotica Phil.		Chile	S7309	DQ353974
P. pungionifolia Speg.		Chile	\$7336	DO353973
P. robusta Steud.		Chile	\$7358	DQ353975
P. subenervis Hack.		Chile	<i>S7334</i>	DQ353972
Poa subgen. Arctopoa (Griseb.) Prob.				
P. eminens J. Presl	Arctopoa	USA, Alaska	S6022	DO353977
<i>P. tibetica</i> Stapf	Aphydris	China, Tibet	S5481	DQ353976
Poa subgen. Poa				
<i>P. abbreviata</i> R. Br.	Abbreviatae	Canada, Nunavut	5957	DQ353996
P. acinaciphylla E. Desv.	Acutifoliae	Chile	S7169	DQ354023
P. alpina L.	Alpinae	(1) Canada, Nunavut	6749-1	DQ353986
1	1	(2) USA, Colorado	6299	DQ353985
P. alsodes A. Gray	Sylvestres	Canada, Quebec	6467	DQ353981
P. ammophila A. E. Porsild	Secundae	Canada, Northwest Territories	5851	DQ353992
P. annua L.	Ochlopoa	Canada, Ontario	6284	DQ353983
P. arachnifera Torrey	Dioicopoa	USA, Oklahoma	S5801	DQ354021
P. arctica R. Br. subsp. arctica	Poa	Canada, Nunavut	5701	DQ354009
P. atropidiformis Hack.	<i>Homolopoa</i> s.l. or <i>Dasypoa</i> ?	Chile	S7364	DQ354020
P. autumnalis Elliot	Sylvestres	USA, Maryland	S4680	DQ353979
P. billardierei StYves (syn. Austrofestuca	Austrofestuca	Australia	P14510	DQ354048,
littoralis (Labill.) E. B. Alexeev)				DQ354049
P. bulbosa L.	Bolbophorum	(1) Spain	Catalán	DQ354034,
			13-2000 (UZ)	DQ354035
		(2) USA, Oregon	\$5958	DQ354038,
P compresse I	Tickopog	Canada Quebec	6457	DQ354039
P. curtifolia Scribn	Secundae	USA Washington	56347c 1	DQ353004
P cusickii Vasev subsp. pallida Soreng	Madropoa	USA Nevada	55829	DQ354029
P drummondiana Nees	Brizoides	Australia	P14504	DQ354013
<i>P</i> fendleriana (Steud.) Vasey	Madronoa	USA, Colorado	6292	DQ354027
<i>P. fernaldiana</i> Nannf.	Oreinos	USA. New Hampshire	7015-3	DQ353995
P. flabellata (Lam.) Raspail	Parodiochloa	Falkland Islands	No voucher <sup>a</sup>	DO353982
P. glauca Vahl	Stenopoa	Canada, Nunavut	5963	DQ354004
P. hartzii Gand. subsp. hartzii	Secundae	(1) Canada, Nunavut	5725	DQ353990
-		(2) Canada, Nunavut	6623-5	DQ353993
P. holciformis J. Presl	Dioicopoa	Chile	S7166	DQ354054,
				DQ354055,
				DQ354056
P. howellii Vasey & Scribn.	Homalopoa	USA, Oregon	S5964	DQ354015
P. interior Rydb.	Stenopoa	USA, Alaska	S6136-1	DQ354002
P. ircutica Roshev.	Nivicolae	Russia, Irkutsk	Kasanovskiy 2002-7 (CAN)	DQ354007
P. kurtzii R. E. Fr.	"Punapoa"	Chile	P15654	DQ354018
P. labillardieri Steud.	"Australopoa"	Australia	S5921	DQ354014
P. laetevirens R. E. Fr.	Dasypoa	Chile	P15641	DQ354019
P. leptocoma Trin.	Oreinos	(1) USA, Alaska	S6040-1	DQ353998
		(2) USA, Alaska	S6100	DQ353999
P. aff. lilloi Hack.	"Punapoa"	Chile	P15676	DQ354017
P. macrantha Vasey	Madropoa	USA, Oregon	S5861	DQ354028
P. macrocalyx Trautv. & C. A. Mey.	Poa	USA, Alaska	S6059-1	DQ354008
P. marcida Hitchc.	Sylvestres	USA, Alaska	<i>S5974</i>	DQ353978
P. margilicola Bernátová & Májovský	Stenopoa	Slovakia	No voucher <sup>b</sup>	DQ354001

Table 2	Continued.
---------	------------

Taxon	Section	Specimen origin	Voucher	GenBank accession
P. mariae Reverd.	Poa	Russia, Khakasia	Olonova 2002-7 (CAN)	DQ354005
P. molinerii Balb.	Alpinae	Slovakia	Stoneberg SH13	DQ354036,
			(CAN)	DQ354037
P. nervosa (Hook.) Vasey	Homalopoa	USA, Oregon	S5849	DQ354025
P. palustris L.	Stenopoa	Canada, Ontario	6461	DQ354000
P. paucispicula Scribn. & Merr.	Homalopoa	(1) USA, Alaska	S6033	DQ354050, DQ354051
		(2) USA, Alaska	S6169	DQ354016
P. prosildii Gjaerev.	Madropoa	USA, Alaska	S6147-1	DQ354024
P. pratensis L. subsp. alpigena (Lindm.) Hii- tonen	Poa	Canada, Nunavut	5801	DQ354006
P. pratensis subsp. angustifolia (L.) Lej.	Poa	Spain	Catalán s. n. (8 Jun 2003, UZ16.2003)	DQ354012
P. pratensis subsp. pratensis	Poa	(1) USA, Colorado	6291	DQ354010
		(2) USA, Colorado	6310	DQ354011
P. pseudoabbreviata Roshev.	Abbreviatae	USA, Alaska	S6032-1	DQ353997
P. riphaea (Asch. & Graebn.) Fritsch	Stenopoa (previ- ously Oreinos)	Czech Republic	No voucher <sup>c</sup>	DQ354040, DQ354041
P. secunda J. Presl. subsp. juncifolia (Scribn.) Soreng	Secundae	USA, Colorado	\$5809	DQ353989
P. secunda subsp. secunda	Secundae	USA, Nevada	S5812	DQ353991
P. sibirica Roshev.	Macropoa	Russia, Khakasia	Olonova 02-1 (CAN)	DQ354044, DQ354045
P stenantha Trin	Secundae	USA, Alaska	S6068-1	DQ354057
P. stiriaca Fritsch & Hayek	Leptophyllae	Austria	Smarda PS10 (BRNU)	DQ354042,
P stuckertii (Hack) Parodi	Dioicopoa	Chile	(BRIVO) \$7132	DQ354043
P suping Schrad	Ochlopoa	USA (cultivated)	55950-2	DQ353984
P sylvestris A Grav	Sylvestres	USA Maryland	S4678-3	DQ353980
P wheeleri Vasev	Homalonoa	USA Nevada	55825	DQ354026
P. wolfii Scribn.	Sylvestres	USA, Missouri	\$5800	DQ354032, DQ354033
Allied Genera and Outgroups				DQ351055
Agrostis mertensii Trin.		USA, New Hampshire	6802	DQ353956
Alopecurus borealis Trin.		Canada, Nunavut	6576	DQ353966
Anthochloa lepidula Nees & Meyen		Chile	P15604	DQ354046, DO354047
Anthoxanthum monticolum subsp. alpinum		Canada, Northwest	6859	DQ353953
Arctagrostis latifolia (R. Br.) Griseh		Canada Nunavut	6586	DO353060
Arctophila fulva (Trin.) Rupr.		Canada, Northwest	Aiken 99-230	DQ354058
Rechmannia mice achine (Stand) Formeld			(CAN)	DO252065
Bellardioochloa variegata (Lam.) Kerguélen		Yugoslavia	No voucher [USDA accession PI	DQ353903 DQ353970
Catabroog aquation (L) D. D		Chile	253455] <sup>de</sup>	DO252059
Catabrosa aquanca (L.) P. Beauv.		Cille Spain Tarritorias	5/130 52692d	DQ353958
Dissanthelium peruvianum (Nees & Meyen)		Chile	53082ª P15744	DQ354052,
Pilg.			(500	DQ354053
Dupontia fisheri R. Br.		(1) Canada, Nunavut	0289	DQ353967
		(2) Canada, Nunavut	0099 S4165	DQ353968
Eremopoa songarica (Schrenk) Roshev.		(1) Turkey	54105' S4165f	DQ353987
Fastura haffinancia Dolumin		(2) IUTKEY Canada Northwest	54103' 6020	DQ353988
restuca dajjinensis Poluiini		Canaua, Northwest	0920	DQ353052
Helictotrichon convolution (C Dras) Harrord		Greece	\$3803	DQ353952
mencionicnon convolutum (C. Piesi) Henrard		GIEECE	53003	40000904

Table 2. Continued.

Taxon	Section	Specimen origin	Voucher	GenBank accession
H. sempervirens (Vill.) Pilg.		USA, New York (cultivated)	S4622	DQ353955
Milium vernale M. Bieb.		Spain	<i>S3748</i> <sup>d</sup>	DQ353963
Phippsia algida (Sol.) R. Br.		Canada, Nunavut	6253	DQ353949,
				DQ353950
Phleum pratense L.		USA, New York (cultivated)	No voucher <sup>dg</sup>	DQ353964
Puccinellia glaucescens (Phil.) Parodi		Chile	<i>S</i> 7152	DQ353960
Pu. poacea T. J. Sorenson		Canada, Nunavut	5744	DQ354030,
-				DQ354031
Pu. stricta (Hook. f.) Blom		Australia	P14544	DQ353959
Sesleria insularis Sommier		Yugoslavia	S3889 (BH) (= USDA PI 253719) <sup>d</sup>	DQ353957

<sup>a</sup> Sample collected by S. Wright in 2000 from Falkland Islands, Cape Dolphin, 51°14.165'S, 58°57.882'W (sample number: 4NCD).

<sup>b</sup> Sample collected by D. Bernátová in 2001 from Slovakia, Velká Fatra Mts., highest part of the main ridge of the range, 48°56′20″N, 19°05′25″E, elev. 1509 m (sample number: DB1; no voucher collected because of rare status of species).

<sup>c</sup> Sample collected by M. Koci in 5 Sep 2001 from type locality: Czech Republic, Moravia, Hrubý Jeseník Park, Praděd Mt., Petrovy Kameny, 5.21 km WSW of the church in the village of Karlova Studánka, 50°04′08″N, 17°14′01″E, elev. 1430 m (sample number: MK1; no voucher specimen made because only known from type locality, which is well vouchered at BRNU).

<sup>d</sup> DNA from cpDNA restriction site studies of Soreng (1990), Soreng et al. (1990), and Soreng and Davis (2000).

<sup>e</sup> Distributed as *Poa araratica* Trautv., redetermined by Soreng.

<sup>f</sup> Sequences obtained for two plants grown from seed from cited herbarium specimen differing in robustness and leaf width.

<sup>g</sup> Contaminant in USDA PI 20228 seed accession.

prising Poeae subtribes Poinae, Alopecurinae, and Miliinae (Fig. 1). Within this clade, the following three clades were resolved: (1) the main Poa clade (above; Fig. 2), (2) a strongly supported clade (BS = 92%) including *Poa* subgen. Andinae, and the remaining genera examined of subtribe Poinae (Arctagrostis, Arctophila, Bellardiochloa, and Dupontia) plus two genera of subtribe Alopecurinae (Alopecurus and *Beckmannia*), and (3) a weakly supported clade (BS = 63%) comprising one genus of subtribe Alopecurinae (Phleum) and one of subtribe Miliinae (Milium). Relationships among these three clades were not resolved in the strict consensus trees, although the majority-rule consensus trees strongly favored (96% in the analysis including gaps, 93% in the analysis excluding gaps) a clade comprising the first two clades. All species examined of Poa subgen. Andinae were included within the second (Poinae-Alopecurinae) clade, but did not resolve as a clade in the strict consensus tree of either analysis. Relationships within this Poinae-Alopecurinae clade were not well resolved, with only two strongly supported internal clades present, an Arctophila-Dupontia clade (BS = 96%) and a clade comprising two species of Poa subgen. Andinae, P. chonotica, and P. ro*busta* (BS = 96%).

*Poeae chloroplast group clade.*—All members of the Poeae chloroplast group of tribe Poeae formed a strongly supported clade (BS = 100%) separated from the outgroup genera examined belonging to the Aveneae chloroplast group of tribe Poeae (Fig. 1). While basal relationships in the clade were unresolved, two major internal clades were supported. Subtribe Puccinelliinae (*Catabrosa, Phippsia,* and *Puccinellia*) and the PAM clade formed a strongly supported clade (BS = 83%). *Dactylis* (subtribe Dactylidinae) and *Deschampsia* 

(subtribe Airinae) also resolved as a strongly supported clade (BS = 92%).

#### DISCUSSION

# Poa Phylogeny

Chloroplast DNA sequence data support the five major *Poa* clades (Fig. 2) initially proposed based on RS data (Gillespie and Soreng 2005). The proposed hypothesis of relationships among the clades is also supported by sequence analysis. All five clades and relationships among the clades have higher bootstrap support in the sequence analysis compared with the RS analysis (except for the PoM clade, which is strongly supported [BS = 99–100%] in both analyses). New to this study is the recognition of *Eremopoa* as a distinct lineage within *Poa* in addition to the five major clades (discussed below).

The sequence analysis, for the most part, confirms the phylogenetic structure previously detected within each major clade based on RS analysis (Gillespie and Soreng 2005), and provides additional resolution within some clades. Species sampled for cpDNA for the first time, belonging to sections previously sampled, were all resolved, as expected, in the appropriate major clade with, or at least near, other members of the same section. These include *P. molinerii* (sect. *Alpinae*) in BAPO, *P. margilicola* (sect. *Stenopoa*) and *P. riphaea* (sect. *Oreinos* or *Stenopoa*, discussed below) in SPOSTA, and *P. mariae* (sect. *Poa*) in POM (Fig. 2). Apart from postulated cases of hybridization and introgression (Gillespie et al. 1997; Gillespie and Boles 2001; Gillespie and Soreng 2005), only one species, *P. paucispicula*, has so far not resolved as expected (discussed below).



Fig. 1.—One of 80 most-parsimonious trees resulting from analysis of the complete data set including gap characters using the MinBrlen option (754 steps, CI = 0.67), showing relationships among genera and subtribes sampled of tribe Poeae, chloroplast group Poeae. The strict consensus tree is indicated by branches in bold. Bootstrap values above 50% are given below branches. The main *Poa* clade is indicated by a triangle and is shown in Fig. 2. *Poa* species not part of the main *Poa* clade are indicated in bold.

within each major clade and taxa included were discussed in detail in the most recent RS study (Gillespie and Soreng 2005), which examined a greater number of *Poa* species. The discussion below focuses on new findings and on differences between the two studies.

ArcSyl clade.-This basal-most clade in the main Poa clade shows little genetic divergence and is not well supported, though its separation from all other Poa species and from the two other PAM clades is strongly supported (Fig. 1, 2). These results confirm those previously found based on RS analysis (Gillespie and Soreng 2005). Both data sets resulted in a similar, poorly resolved internal basal structure. Subgenus Poa sect. Sylvestres does not resolve as a clade, while the two species examined of subgen. Arctopoa (P. eminens and P. tibetica) do resolve as a strongly supported clade. The sequence analysis including gap characters differs only in the probable spurious grouping of subgen. Arctopoa with two subgen. Poa sect. Sylvestres species (P. autumnalis and P. sylvestris) based on one shared unique indel (7 bp duplication). Other sect. Sylvestres species either lack the indel (P. alsodes and P. marcida) or have a different indel (7 bp insertion, P. wolffii), neither of which is a unique state shared with other species of Poa and allied genera.

*BAPO clade.*—The next clade to diverge, BAPO, shows a structure identical to that found in the RS analysis (Gillespie

and Soreng 2005) (Fig. 2). In both studies genetic divergence is high with both the clade and its internal structure strongly supported. The two main lineages correspond to well-defined sections, sect. *Ochlopoa* and the sister sects. *Alpinae* and *Bolbophorum*, though the two lineages had not previously been suggested as related. The position of the anomalous *P*. *flabellata* of southernmost South America (sometimes recognized as the monotypic genus *Parodiochloa*) is confirmed as a member of this clade, sister to sect. *Ochlopoa*.

SPOSTA clade.—The first of three higher *Poa* clades, SPOS-TA, is strongly supported, with sect. *Secundae* sister to all other members (Fig. 2). The remaining sections, *Abbreviatae, Oreinos, Stenopoa*, and *Tichopoa*, form a strongly supported clade (POSTA) with little or no resolution of sections (sect. *Pandemos*, not examined here, was the basal-most branch in POSTA in RS studies [Soreng 1990; Gillespie and Boles 2001; Gillespie and Soreng 2005]). The main difference among studies was the status of sect. *Secundae*, which resolved as a weakly supported clade in this study, Soreng (1990), and the Dollo analysis in Gillespie and Soreng (2005), as an unresolved basal complex in the Dollo analysis in Gillespie and Boles (2001), and as a grade in all other analyses in Gillespie and Boles (2001) and Gillespie and Soreng (2005).

Poa riphaea, an alpine species known only from the type



Fig. 2.—The main *Poa* clade of the tree as represented in Fig. 1 showing subgenera, sections, and major clades. The strict consensus tree is indicated by branches in bold. Bootstrap values above 50% are given below branches. Species previously belonging to other genera and those often included in other genera are shown in bold.

locality in the Czech Republic, has, until now, been considered to belong in sect. *Oreinos*. The species was originally published as a variety of *P. laxa* Haenke, a member of sect. *Oreinos*, and was treated as a synonym of that species by Edmondson (1980). However, it appears to be morphologically most similar to the widespread circumarctic-alpine species *P. glauca* (sect. *Stenopoa*), and may represent a southern alpine conspecific population or closely related species. Sequence data support a close affinity of *P. riphaea* with *P. glauca* and its transfer to sect. *Stenopoa*.

The two cases of intersectional hybridization and introgression first detected in RS studies (Gillespie et al. 1997; Gillespie and Boles 2001; Gillespie and Soreng 2005) and confirmed here involve species in the SPOSTA clade. *Poa hartzii* (sect. *Secundae*) has hybridized and introgressed with *P. glauca* (sect. *Stenopoa*), while *P. bulbosa* (BAPO clade) may have hybridized with a species, as yet unidentified, in sect. *Secundae* in North America. Both events appear to have resulted in a unidirectional chloroplast transfer from the second species to the first.

PoM clade.-Both sequence and RS data strongly support the PoM clade (Fig. 2). The small Eurasian sect. Macropoa (P. sibirica sampled here), previously sampled in the RS study of Soreng (1990), but not in Gillespie and Soreng (2005), is confirmed as a member of this clade and sister to the clade that includes sect. Poa. Two additional sections, Leptophyllae and Nivicolae, not previously examined for cpDNA, also resolved within PoM, both forming a polytomy with members of sect. Poa. Section Nivicolae includes four species (P. ircutica examined here) of alpine meadows in far eastern Russia and Siberia. Our results support Tzvelev's (1976) treatment of the section as closely related to sect. Poa. He treated it as one of four subsections in a broadly defined sect. Poa (comprising subsects. Bulbosae Jiras. [= sect. Bolbophorum], Caespitosae Jiras. [= sect. Alpinae], Nivicolae (Roshev.) Tzvelev, and Poa). More recently, Tzvelev (2000) considered the sect. Nivicolae species occurring in central Asia (P. veresczaginii Tzvelev) to belong to a more narrowly defined sect. Poa (i.e., excluding subsects. Bulbosae and Caespitosae). The monotypic sect. Leptophyllae (P. stiriaca) of montane central Europe was described by Edmondson (1978) and placed by him between sects. Homalopoa and Oreinos (Edmondson 1980). Our results do not support this position, but instead support a close relationship with sect. Poa (Mećenovic 1939).

Relationships among species of sect. *Poa* are poorly resolved, with only two clades of two species each. The *P. arctica* complex (*P. arctica*, *P. mariae*) resolves as a weakly supported clade, but the subspecies of *P. pratensis* do not. The close relationship between *P. pratensis* subsp. *alpigena* (syn. *P. alpigena* Lindm.) and *P. macrocalyx* detected in RS analysis (Gillespie and Soreng 2005) is confirmed here. Although only weakly supported in this study (one unique shared nucleotide substitution), the two taxa were recently found to share a unique 170 bp deletion in the cpDNA *rbcL– psa1* spacer region (Archambault and Gillespie 2003). These findings do not support Probatova's (1985) placement of *P. macrocalyx* in sect. *Poa* subsect. *Malacanthae* (Roshev.) Prob. (= sect. *Cenisiae* Asch. & Graebn. of Edmondson 1980), which indicates an alliance with *P. arctica* and relatives rather than with the *P. pratensis* complex.

HAMBADD clade.—The HAMBADD clade is by far the largest, both in numbers of species and sections, and is the least resolved of the major clades (Fig. 2). Our previous RS study (Gillespie and Soreng 2005) showed no resolution of structure within this clade in the strict consensus trees, with only seemingly spurious subclades in some most-parsimonious trees. In the present sequence study, structure within the HAMBADD clade is partly resolved with internal clades mostly making sense morphologically and biogeographically. The RS study resolved the genus *Austrofestuca* within the HAMBADD clade, a position confirmed here. New to this study is the placement in this clade of two additional genera, *Anthochloa* and *Dissanthelium* (discussed below).

Five subclades (each comprising two or more species) are resolved based on sequence data, although most with weak support. Three clades comprise, respectively, two species of the South American "Punapoa" species group (clade strongly supported), two Australian species representing sects. Austrofestuca and Brizoides, and four species examined of the South American sects. Acutifoliae and Dioicopoa. Species examined of sects. Homalopoa and Madropoa, all from North America, resolve as a clade with the exception of P. howellii, which lacks the single shared nucleotide substitution due to a large deletion. The Patagonian species P. atropidiformis and the single species examined here of the South and Central American sect. Dasypoa form the fifth clade (BS <50%). The position of *P. atropidiformis* has been uncertain and these results provide weak evidence for an affinity with sect. Dasypoa. Soreng (1998) had previously transferred the species to Dissanthelium, but more recently assigned it to Poa sect. Homalopoa s.l. (Soreng et al. 2003a) based on RS results unpublished at the time (Gillespie and Soreng 2005). There are only three *Poa* species whose relationships within HAMBADD are completely unresolved in the strict consensus tree: P. howellii, P. labillardieri, and P. paucispicula. Poa labillardieri represents another distinct lineage found in Australia, the "Australopoa" species group. Because of the lack of resolution within the HAMBADD clade in RS analysis (Gillespie and Soreng 2005), fewer species were examined in this study. However, given the above encouraging results of phylogenetic structure it would be well worth examining cpDNA sequences of additional species expected to place within HAMBADD.

Only one species of *Poa* did not place as predicted in RS (Gillespie and Soreng 2005) and sequence analyses. *Poa paucispicula* is classified in sect. *Oreinos* in most recent classifications (Tzvelev 1976; Probatova 1985), but it resolved as a member of the HAMBADD clade in both studies, based on three and two samples, respectively. The species does not appear closely allied with any other HAM-BADD species examined in the sequence analysis, differing in seven or more characters from all species except *P. howellii* (which lacks many characters due to a large deletion). Although possibly representing another case of cpDNA introgression, this is thought to be a case of mistaken classification, and the species has been tentatively transferred to a broadly defined sect. *Homalopoa* (Soreng et al. 2003*a*).

# Poa Subgen. Andinae Excluded from Poa

Both RS (Gillespie and Soreng 2005) and sequence analyses place *Poa* subgen. *Andinae* in a clade outside of, but allied to, the main *Poa* clade (Fig. 1). Restriction site analysis resolved the two species examined, *P. andina* and *P. robusta*, in a strongly supported clade with *Arctagrostis*, *Arctophila*, and *Dupontia* sister to the main *Poa* clade (Gillespie and Soreng 2005). Sequence data confirm this placement, and also place three additional species of the subgenus here.

*Poa* subgen. *Andinae* of coastal and interior cold wetlands of South America was recently described by Nicora (1977, 1978) for six species from Patagonia (*P. andina, P. chonotica, P. pungionifolia,* and *P. robusta* examined here). The group has recently been expanded based on morphological criteria to include several additional species (Soreng et al. 2003*a*; R. J. Soreng unpubl. data). One of these, *P. subenervis*, is confirmed here as a member of the subgenus.

In this study, which included many additional genera of subtribe Poinae and allied subtribes beyond those in the most recent RS study (Gillespie and Soreng 2005), subgen. Andinae resolved within the strongly supported Poinae-Alopecurinae clade, one of two clades allied to the Poa clade. Relationships within this clade were mostly poorly resolved. Subgenus Andinae did not resolve as a clade, and there was minimal genetic divergence among species and few shared characters. In the analysis including gap characters (Fig. 1) its species were part of a weakly supported internal clade, which included Arctagrostis and Bellardiochloa (both in subtribe Poinae), and, curiously, Alopecurus (subtribe Alopecurinae). While subgen. Andinae unquestionably does not belong within Poa and should be recognized as a new genus in subtribe Poinae (or accommodated in an existing Poinae genus) based on both morphology (see discussion in Gillespie and Soreng 2005) and cpDNA, its precise affinities remain unclear.

# Allied Genera Included within Poa

Chloroplast DNA sequence data confirm the position of Arctopoa, Austrofestuca, and Parodiochloa in the genus Poa (Fig. 2), a result first obtained based on RS data (Gillespie and Soreng 2005). All three had previously been treated both within Poa and as distinct genera. Based on the RS results the genus Austrofestuca s.s. was reduced to Poa sect. Austrofestuca and Parodiochloa was reduced to Poa sect. Parodiochloa. A recent study by Hunter et al. (2004) based on ITS nuclear DNA and trnL-trnF cpDNA sequence data also confirms Austrofestuca s.s. as a member of the Poa clade. Although generally treated within Poa, Arctopoa was considered as a separate genus by Probatova (1974, 1985) and Tzvelev (1989). Based on a very distinct morphology and our cpDNA results (P. eminens and P. tibetica examined here), the five Arctopoa species are maintained as a distinct subgenus within Poa following Probatova (1971), Tzvelev (1976), Olonova (1990), Soreng (1991, 1998), and Soreng et al. (2003a).

New to this study are the placements of *Anthochloa, Eremopoa*, and a second species of *Dissanthelium* within *Poa*. Anthochloa.—The genus *Anthochloa* was firmly embedded

within *Poa* as a member of the HAMBADD clade in our

sequence analysis (Fig. 2). This monotypic genus of the high montane Andes in Peru, Bolivia, and northern Argentina and Chile is characterized by a dwarf perennial habit and unusual, conspicuous, broadly expanded, flabellate lemmas (Nicora and Rúgolo de Agrasar 1987). Clayton and Renvoize (1986) considered the genus to belong to the small tribe Meliceae, while Tzvelev (1989) included it in tribe Poeae (Table 1). Most recently Soreng et al. (2003b) allied the genus with Poa by treating it in subtribe Poinae of tribe Poeae. Morphologically and cladistically, the single species can easily be accommodated within Poa. Its unusual flabellate lemmas, apparently an adaptation to wind dispersal, can be considered as a character apomorphic in Poa, rather than diagnostic of a genus. We consider Anthochloa lepidula to be a derived species of Poa worthy of recognition at the sectional level. Two new combinations are proposed:

*Poa* sect. **Anthochloa** (Nees & Meyen) Soreng & L. J. Gillespie, comb. et stat. nov.

Basionym: Anthochloa Nees & Meyen, Reise um die Erde 2: 14 (1834).

**Poa lepidula** (Nees & Meyen) Soreng & L. J. Gillespie, comb. nov.

Basionym: Anthochloa lepidula Nees & Meyen, Reise um die Erde 2: 14 (1834).

Eremopoa.—The genus Eremopoa is included within Poa as a very distinct and strongly supported lineage diverging between the BAPO clade and the three higher Poa clades (SPOSTA, PoM, and HAMBADD; Fig. 2). Eremopoa appears to represent a sixth major group within Poa, in addition to the five major clades previously described. The genus comprises seven annual, rather similar species distributed from the eastern Mediterranean region to western China (Bor 1960; Tzvelev 1976; Edmondson 1980; Mill 1985). As with other annual taxa in Poa, such as P. annua, branch lengths on the phylogram (Fig. 2) are long indicating considerable genetic divergence relative to other Poa species. Although the species were originally treated as a subgenus or section under Festuca and subsequently under Poa (Hackel 1887; Stapf 1896), all modern authors have recognized them as a separate genus. Tzvelev (1976) considered Eremopoa as close to Poa in subtribe Poinae, a treatment followed by Soreng et al. (2003b). Likewise Clayton and Renvoize (1986: 105) treated it as a separate and recognizable genus allied to Poa, but also mentioned that it is "barely distinct from Poa." In contrast, sequence data support recognition of Eremopoa as a subgenus and section within Poa. The following names are available: Poa subgen. Pseudopoa (K. Koch) Stapf sect. Pseudopoa (K. Koch) Hack.

Dissanthelium.—The two species examined here were positioned firmly within the higher *Poa* clade HAMBADD. The genus *Dissanthelium* comprises 15–16 species distributed primarily in high-elevation Andean puna habitats in Peru, Bolivia, and Chile, with two disjunct species, one in central Mexico and one on islands off the coasts of southern California, USA, and Baja California, Mexico. Clayton and Renvoize (1986: 126) treated the genus in tribe Aveneae subtribe Aveninae (Table 1), but considered it of uncertain affinity and also mentioned that some species "might be mistaken as depauperate Poa." In contrast, Tzvelev (1989) treated the genus in tribe Poeae, and Soreng et al. (2003b) classified it further in subtribe Poinae. Poa atropidiformis, a species recently transferred to Dissanthelium (Soreng 1998), but then placed back into Poa (Soreng et al. 2003b) based on our RS results (Gillespie and Soreng 2005), is here reconfirmed as a member of Poa (Fig. 2). New evidence presented here suggests that D. peruvianum also belongs within Poa. Although belonging to the same large and mostly poorly resolved major clade HAMBADD, the two species examined do not resolve as a clade in our analysis. The remaining species of Dissanthelium are currently being studied by N. F. Refulio (RSA) to determine if the genus should be subsumed in total within Poa.

## Outline of Proposed Infrageneric Classification of Poa

A new infrageneric classification of Poa is proposed based on our current knowledge of the phylogenetic systematics of the genus. This preliminary classification will need to be modified and expanded as new data on the phylogeny of Poa and related genera become available. Seven small sections of uncertain affinity have not yet been examined for cpDNA and of the six informal species groups only two have so far been sampled. Over 100 species have never been assigned to a section or informal species group (Gillespie and Soreng 2005: Table 1).

We propose an expanded subgeneric classification system of five subgenera corresponding for the most part to the major clades within Poa. Poa subgen. Arctopoa is expanded to accommodate sect. Sylvestres. The Eremopoa lineage is recognized as a distinct subgenus, subgen. Pseudopoa. In order to be consistent with recognizing this subgenus it is reasonable to recognize subgen. Ochlopoa (Asch. & Graebn.) Hyl. as the oldest name available for grouping the four sections resolved in the BAPO clade. Since the SPOSTA clade is once again resolved and membership is fairly easily identified, it seems appropriate to place the sections in this clade in a separate subgenus also (subgen. Stenopoa, new combination given below). The numerous sections comprising the sister clades PoM and HAMBADD make up a now-reduced subgen. Poa, and each clade is recognized as a supersection. The monophyly of all subgenera is strongly supported, with the exception of subgen. Arctopoa. The names of two sections widely used and given in Table 2, sects. Bolbophorum and Ochlopoa, are changed here based on priority. The following new subgeneric and supersectional combinations are proposed:

POA subgen. Stenopoa (Dumort.) Soreng & L. J. Gillespie, comb. et stat. nov.

Basionym: Poa sect. Stenopoa Dumort., Observ. Gramin. Belg. 110, 112 (1823 [1824]).

POA supersect. Homalopoa (Dumort.) Soreng & L. J. Gillespie, comb. et stat. nov.

Basionym: Poa sect. Homalopoa Dumort., Observ. Gramin. Belg. 110 (1823 [1824]).

Taxonomic synopsis of POA L .:

Subgen. ARCTOPOA (Griseb.) Prob. (ArcSyl clade) Sect. APHYDRIS (Griseb.) Trin. Sect. ARCTOPOA (Griseb.) Tzvelev Sect. Sylvestres Soreng Subgen. OCHLOPOA (Asch. & Graebn.) Hyl. (BAPO clade) Sect. ALPINAE (Nyman) Stapf Sect. ARENARIAE Stapf (syn. sect. Bolbophorum Asch. & Graebn.) Sect. MICRANTHERAE Stapf (syn. sect. Ochlopoa Asch. & Graebn.) Sect. PARODIOCHLOA (C. E. Hubb.) Soreng & L. J. Gillespie Subgen. POA Supersect. Homalopoa (Dumort.) Soreng & L. J. Gillespie (HAMBADD clade) Sect. ACUTIFOLIAE Potztal Sect. Anthochloa (Nees & Meyen) Soreng & L. J. Gillespie Sect. AUSTROFESTUCA (Tzvelev) Soreng & L. J. Gillespie Sect. BRIZOIDES Potztal Sect. DASYPOA (Pilg.) Soreng Sect. DIOICOPOA E. Desv. Sect. HOMALOPOA Dumort Sect. MADROPOA Soreng "Australopoa" species group "Punapoa" species group Supersect. POA (PoM clade) Sect. LEPTOPHYLLAE J. R. Edm. Sect. MACROPOA F. Herm. ex Tzvelev Sect. NIVICOLAE (Roshev.) Tzvelev Sect. POA Subgen. PSEUDOPOA (K. Koch) Stapf (syn. Eremopoa Rosh.) Sect. PSEUDOPOA (K. Koch) Hack. Subgen. Stenopoa (Dumort.) Soreng & L. J. Gillespie (SPOSTA clade) Sect. ABBREVIATAE Tzvelev Sect. OREINOS Asch. & Graebn. Sect. PANDEMOS Asch. & Graebn. Sect. SECUNDAE Soreng

- Sect. STENOPOA Dumort.
- Sect. TICHOPOA Asch. & Graebn.

Sections of uncertain affinity:

Sect. GLARIOSAE Stapf Sect. MONANDROPOA Parodi Sect. NANOPOA J. R. Edm. Sect. PAUCIFLORAE Pilg. ex Potztal Sect. PLICATAE Pilg. ex Potztal Sect. SIPHONOCOLEUS Hitchc.

# Generic Relationships and Affinities of Poa

Sequence analysis strongly supports a clade comprising subtribes Poinae (including Poa), Alopecurinae, and Miliinae (Fig. 1, PAM clade). This clade was also supported in Davis and Soreng's (2007) analysis of subfamily Pooideae based on sequence data and in Soreng and Davis's (2000) analyses based on cpDNA RS characters alone and RS and morphological characters combined.

Sequence data presented here suggest that Poa is one of three main lineages within the PAM clade, but relationships among the lineages are unresolved (Fig. 1, 2). These lineages are: the main Poa clade, a Poinae-Alopecurinae clade comprising all other members examined of subtribe Poinae (Arctagrostis, Arctophila, Bellardiochloa, Dupontia, and Poa subgen. Andinae) plus two genera of subtribe Alopecurinae (Alopecurus and Beckmannia), and an Alopecurinae (Phleum)-Miliinae (Milium) clade. The sequence-based phylogeny of Davis and Soreng (2006) is consistent with the phylogeny presented here, although it is less resolved and includes fewer Poinae taxa. The RS study of Gillespie and Soreng (2005) also resolved a main Poa lineage sister to a clade of all other Poinae examined including Poa subgen. Andinae (Alopecurinae and Miliinae were not examined). Soreng and Davis (2000) resolved a different phylogeny based on RS and morphological data-one that has not found support in subsequent studies. In their study Poa and Bellardiochloa were resolved as sister taxa (excluding Puccinellia stricta, discussed below), this clade sister to a clade comprising Arctagrostis plus members of subtribes Alopecurinae and Miliinae, and Dupontia basal to all of the above. Although the studies differ in the order of branching within the PAM clade, all resolve a paraphyletic subtribe Poinae, with genera of Alopecurinae and sometimes Miliinae nested within. In the combined RS and morphology analysis (Soreng and Davis 2000), Alopecurinae and Miliinae resolved as a clade within Poinae, while in the sequence analyses presented here and in Davis and Soreng (2007) only Alopecurus and Beckmannia are placed within Poinae, and the position of Phleum and Milium is unresolved with respect to Poinae. The placement of Alopecurus and Beckmannia within Poinae and outside the Alopecurinae-Miliinae clade is odd, and may perhaps reflect something unusual in the evolution of this cpDNA region, rather than indicating a close relationship.

Subtribe Puccinelliinae is resolved with strong support as sister to the PAM clade in our study and in Davis and Soreng (2007), but not in Soreng and Davis (2000). Puccinellia, the largest genus in the subtribe, is generally considered close to Poa (Tzvelev 1976; Tutin et al. 1980; Clayton and Renvoize 1986), although historically placed nearer to or within Glyceria R. Br. (Bentham and Hooker 1883; Hitchcock 1935). However, analyses based on RS and morphological data resolved *Puccinellia* in the major clade including *Fes*tuca and allies with one exception (Soreng and Davis 2000). The Australian species Pu. stricta resolved as sister to Poa and was postulated to be an intergeneric hybrid (Soreng and Davis 2000). The species was resampled here and found to belong firmly in Puccinellia (the previous sample is suspected to have been a contaminant in the USDA seed source). Sequence data provide support for Puccinellia and allies as a distinct, genetically divergent lineage (subtribe Puccinelliinae) that is more closely related to Poa than the RS data suggested, with the two genera allied as members of a Puccinelliinae-PAM clade (Fig. 1).

Further insight into the affinities of *Poa* and precise relationships of Poinae genera plus allied Alopecurinae and Millinae genera await completion of broader sequence analyses focused on tribe Poeae using multiple, independently inherited genes.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We gratefully acknowledge the following people for providing samples: Susan Aiken, Pilar Catalán, Jerrold Davis, Eick Frenzel, Marina Olonova, Paul Peterson, Sierra Stoneberg, and Stony Wright. The *trnL-trn*F part of the TF sequence for *P. molinerii* was kindly provided by Sierra Stoneberg (grant 556-G4, Ministry of Education, Czech Republic). Assistance with determinations of Chinese and Russian *Poa* was kindly provided by M. Olonova. Research was funded by grants from the Canadian Museum of Nature.

#### LITERATURE CITED

- ARCHAMBAULT, A., AND L. J. GILLESPIE. 2003. Use of cpDNA sequences for studying the native and introduced taxa of the *Poa pratensis* complex (Kentucky bluegrass). Plant Canada 2003: Canadian Botanical Association Annual Meeting and 11<sup>th</sup> New Phytologist Symposium. Antigonish, Nova Scotia, Canada, 26–28 Jun 2003. Poster abstract.
- BENTHAM, G., AND J. D. HOOKER. 1883. Genera plantarum, Vol. 3 (2). L. Reeve and Company, London, UK. 1258 p.
- BOHLING, N., AND H. SCHOLZ. 2003. The Gramineae (Poaceae) flora of the southern Aegean Islands (Greece). Ber. Inst. Lanschafts-Pflanzenokologie Univ. Hohenheim 16: 1–88.
- Bor, N. L. 1960. The grasses of Burma, Ceylon, India and Pakistan, excluding Bambuseae. Pergamon Press, New York, USA. 767 p.
- CLAUSEN, J. 1961. Introgression facilitated by apomixis in polyploid poas. *Euphytica* 10: 87–94.
- CLAYTON, W. D., AND S. A. RENVOIZE. 1986. Genera graminum: grasses of the world. *Kew Bull., Addit. Ser.* 13: 1–389.
- DAVIS, J. I, AND R. J. SORENG. 2007. A preliminary phylogenetic analysis of the grass subfamily Pooideae (Poaceae), with attention to structural features of the plastid and nuclear genomes, including an intron loss in GBSSI, pp. 335–348. *In* J. T. Columbus, E. A. Friar, J. M. Porter, L. M. Prince, and M. G. Simpson [eds.], Monocots: comparative biology and evolution—Poales. Rancho Santa Ana Botanic Garden, Claremont, California, USA.
- DOWLING, T. E., C. MORITZ, J. D. PALMER, AND L. H. RIESEBERG. 1996. Nucleic acids III: analysis of fragments and restriction sites, pp. 249–320. *In* D. M. Hillis, C. Moritz, and B. K. Mable [eds.], Molecular systematics, Ed. 2. Sinauer Associates, Inc., Sunderland, Massachusetts, USA.
- EDMONDSON, J. R. 1978. Infrageneric taxa in European Poa L. J. Linn. Soc., Bot. 76: 329–334.
  - \_\_\_\_\_. 1980. Poa L., pp. 159–167. In T. G. Tutin, V. H. Heywood,
- N. A. Burges, D. M. Moore, D. H. Valentine, S. M. Walters, and D. A. Webb [eds.], Flora Europaea, Vol. 5. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.
- FELSENSTEIN, J. 1985. Confidence limits on phylogenies: an approach using bootstrap. *Evolution* **39**: 783–791.
- GILLESPIE, L. J., AND R. BOLES. 2001. Phylogenetic relationships and infraspecific variation in Canadian Arctic *Poa* based on chloroplast DNA restriction site data. *Canad. J. Bot.* **79**: 679–701.
- , AND R. J. SORENG. 2005. A phylogenetic analysis of the Bluegrass genus *Poa* based on cpDNA restriction site data. *Syst. Bot.* **30**: 84–105.
- , L. L. CONSAUL, AND S. G. AIKEN. 1997. Hybridization and the origin of the arctic grass *Poa hartzii* (Poaceae): evidence from morphology and chloroplast DNA restriction site data. *Canad. J. Bot.* **75**: 1978–1997.
- HACKEL, E. 1887. Gramineae, pp. 1-97. In H. G. A. Engler and K.

A. E. Prantl [eds.], Die natürlichen Pflanzenfamilien 2(2). W. Engelmann, Leipzig, Germany.

- HALL, T. A. 1999. BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis program for Windows 95/98/NT. *Nucleic Acids Symposium Series* 41: 95–98.
- HITCHCOCK, A. S. 1935. Manual of the grasses of the United States. USDA Misc. Pub. 200. U. S. Gov. Print. Off., Washington, D.C., USA. 1040 p.
- HUNTER, A. M., D. A. ORLOVICH, K. M. LLOYD, W. G. LEE, AND D. J. MURPHY. 2004. The generic position of *Austrofestuca littoralis* and the reinstatement of *Hookerochloa* and *Festucella* (Poaceae) based on evidence from nuclear (ITS) and chloroplast (*trnL-trnF*) DNA sequences. *New Zealand J. Bot.* 42: 253–262.
- HUNZIKER, J. H., AND G. L. STEBBINS. 1987. Chromosomal evolution in the Gramineae, pp. 179–187. *In* T. R. Soderstrom, K. W. Hilu, C. S. Campbell, and M. E. Barkworth [eds.] Grass systematics and evolution. Smithsonian Institution Press, Washington, D.C., USA.
- HYLANDER, N. 1953. Taxa et nomina nova in opere meo: Nordisk Kärlväxtflora I (1953) inclusa. *Bot. Not.* **1953**: 352–359.
- JEANMOUGIN, F., J. D. THOMPSON, M. GOUY, D. G. HIGGINS, AND T. J. GIBSON. 1998. Multiple sequence alignment with Clustal X. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* 23: 403–405.
- MECENOVIC, K. 1939. Über *Poa stiriaca* Fritsch et Hayek und andere schmalblättrige Sippen aus der Verwandtschaft von *Poa pratensis* Linné. *Oesterr. Bot. Z.* 88: 6–103.
- MILL, R. R. 1985. Eremopoa, Nephelochloa, Catabrosella, Colpodium, Hyalopoa, Catabrosa, Paracolpodium, pp. 486–501. In P. H. Davis [ed.], Flora of Turkey and the East Aegean Islands. Edinburgh University Press, Edinburgh, UK.
- NICORA, E. G. 1977. Gramineas Argentinas nuevas. *Hickenia* 1: 99– 107.
- . 1978. Poa L., pp. 1–583. In E. G. Nicora, M. E. De Paula, A. M. Faggi, M. del C. Mariano, A. M. Miante A., L. R. Parodi, C. A. Petetin, F. A. Roig, and Z. Rúgolo de Agrasar [eds.], Flora Patagónica, Vol. 3. Instituto Nacional de Tecnología Agropecuaria, Buenos Aires, Argentina.
- , AND Z. E. RÚGOLO DE AGRASAR. 1987. Los generos de gramineas de America Austral. Editorial Hemisferio Sur S. A., Buenos Aires, Argentina. 611 p.
- OLONOVA, M. V. 1990. Poa L., pp. 163–186. In L. I. Malyschev and G. A. Peschkova [eds.], Flora Siberia, Vol. 2. Poaceae (Gramineae). Nauka Publishers, Siberia Division, Novosibirsk, Russia. [English translation: 2001. Flora of Siberia, Science Publishers, Enfield, New Hampshire, USA.]
- PROBATOVA, N. S. 1971. New species of meadow grass of the genus Poa L. from Yakutia. Novosti Sist. Vyssh. Rast. 8: 25–27.
- . 1974. De genere novo Arctopoa (Griseb.) Probot. (Poaceae). Novosti Sist. Vyssh. Rast. 11: 44–54.
- . 1985. Poa, pp. 263–303. In S. S. Kharkevich [ed.], N. N. Tzvelev [vol. ed.], Sosudistyle rasteniya Sovetskogo dal'nego vostoka, Vol. 1. Nauka, Leningrad, Russia. [English translation: 2003. Vascular plants of the Russian Far East, Vol. 1. Science Publishers, Enfield, New Hampshire, USA.]

- SIMMONS, M. P., AND H. OCHOTERENA. 2000. Gaps as characters in sequence-based phylogenetic analyses. Syst. Biol. 49: 369–381.
- SORENG, R. J. 1985. Poa in New Mexico, with a key to middle and southern Rocky Mountain species (Poaceae). Great Basin Naturalist 45: 395–422.
- ———. 1990. Chloroplast-DNA phylogenetics and biogeography in a reticulating group: study in *Poa. Amer. J. Bot.* 77: 1383–1400.
- . 1991. Notes on new infraspecific taxa and hybrids in North American *Poa* (Poaceae). *Phytologia* **71**: 390–413.
- . 1998. An infrageneric classification for *Poa* in North America, and other notes on sections, species, and subspecies of *Poa*, *Puccinellia*, and *Dissanthelium* (Poaceae). *Novon* **8**: 187–202.
- , AND J. I. DAVIS. 2000. Phylogenetic structure in Poaceae subfamily Pooideae as inferred from molecular and morphological characters: misclassification versus reticulation, pp. 61–74. *In* S. W. L. Jacobs and J. Everett [eds.], Grasses: systematics and evolution. CSIRO Publishing, Melbourne, Australia.
- , —, AND J. J. DOYLE. 1990. A phylogenetic analysis of chloroplast DNA restriction site variation in Poaceae subfam. Pooideae. *Pl. Syst. Evol.* **172**: 83–97.
- , L. GIUSSANI, AND M. NEGRITTO. 2003a. Poa, pp. 505–580. In R. J. Soreng, P. M. Peterson, G. Davidse, E. J. Judziewicz, F. O. Zuloaga, T. S. Filgueiras, and O. Morrone, Catalogue of New World grasses (Poaceae): IV. Subfamily Pooideae. Contr. U.S. Natl. Herb. 48.
- , P. M. PETERSON, G. DAVIDSE, E. J. JUDZIEWICZ, F. O. ZU-LOAGA, T. S. FILGUEIRAS, AND O. MORRONE. 2003b. Catalogue of New World grasses (Poaceae): IV. Subfamily Pooideae. *Contr.* U.S. Natl. Herb. 48: 1–725.
- 2003c. Classification of New World grasses. http://mobot.mobot. org/W3T/Search/nwgclass.html (30 Aug 2005).
- STAPF, O. 1896. Poa, pp. 337–346. In J. D. Hooker [ed.], The flora of British India, Vol. 7. Reeve and Company, London, UK.
- STEBBINS, G. L. 1950. Variation and evolution in plants. Columbia University Press, New York, USA. 643 p.
- SWOFFORD, D. L. 2002. PAUP\*: phylogenetic analysis using parsimony (\*and other methods), vers. 4b10. Sinauer Associates, Inc., Sunderland, Massachusetts, USA.
- TABERLET, P., L. GIELLY, G. PAUTOU, AND J. BOUVET. 1991. Universal primers for amplification of three noncoding regions of chloroplast DNA. *Pl. Molec. Biol.* 17: 1105–1109.
- TUTIN, T. G., V. H. HEYWOOD, N. A. BURGES, D. M. MOORE, D. H. VALENTINE, S. M. WALTERS, AND D. A. WEBB [eds.]. 1980. Flora Europaea, Vol. 5. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK. 476 p.
- TZVELEV, N. N. 1976. Zlaki SSSR. Nauka Publishers, Leningrad, Russia. [English translation: 1983. Grasses of the Soviet Union, Vol. 1 and 2. Amerind Publishing Co., New Delhi, India. 1196 p.]
- . 1989. The system of grasses (Poaceae) and their evolution. *Bot. Rev. (Lancaster)* **55**: 141–204.
- 2000. Gramineae (Grasses), Vol. 4. *In* V.I. Grubov [ed.], Plants of Central Asia. Science Publishers, Enfield, New Hampshire, USA. [Revised ed. and English translation of: 1968. Rasteniia TSentral'noi Azii. Nauka Publishers, Leningrad, Russia. 315 p.]