## A DOUBLE-LINE SEQUENTIAL INJECTION SYSTEM USING A LONG PATHLENGTH LIQUID WAVEGUIDE CAPILLARY FLOW CELL FOR THE SPECTROPHOTOMETRIC IRON **DETERMINATION IN WATERS**



# UNIVERSIDADE CATÓLICA PORTUGUES Escola Superior de Biotecnologia

### Ricardo N.M.J. Páscoa, Ildikó V. Tóth and António O. S. S. Rangel

Escola Superior de Biotecnologia, Universidade Católica Portuguesa Rua Dr. António Bernardino de Almeida, 4200-072 Porto, PORTUGAL

### Introduction

Iron is present at abundance in earth crust, although in waters it appears in very low concentrations. The objective of this work is to determine trace levels of iron using spectrophotometric detection, based on the reaction with ferrozine.

A long liquid waveguide capillary flow cell with 100 cm of optical path was applied to increase the sensitivity of the iron determination.

A double-line sequential injection analysis (SIA) system was developed to automate this determination.



Detection limit (ppb) Quantification limit (ppb)

Working range (ppb)

Determinatin rate/h

Reagent consumption (mmol/assay) Ferrozine

> Ammonium acetate Acetic acid

> > Ascorbic acid

Waste produced (mL/assay)

Great improvement of sensitivity (without deteriorating other analytical caracteristics)

Figures of merit

 $0.15 \pm 0.01$ 

 $0.49 \pm 0.04$ 

0.15 - 20

41

0.00025 0.080

0.080

0.0045

4.14

# RC Q w

Manifold

Fig. 1. Double line sequential injection manifold for the determination of iron in waters. SV,, SV<sub>2</sub>: selection valves; P<sub>1</sub>, P<sub>2</sub>: peristaltic pumps; HC<sub>1</sub>, HC<sub>2</sub>: holding coils (2 m); RC: reaction coil (85 cm); L<sub>1</sub>, L<sub>2</sub>: reactors (25, 14 cm); a: confluence; LWCC: liquid-core waveguide capillary flow cell (100 cm of optical path); W: waste; S: sample or standard; BFe: acetate buffer solution; RFe : color reagent (ferrozine).

### Application to water samples

| Concentration          | Recovery (%) |          |          |          |  |
|------------------------|--------------|----------|----------|----------|--|
| of Iron added<br>(ppb) | Sample 1     | Sample 2 | Sample 3 | Sample 4 |  |
| 2                      | 99 ± 9       | 91 ± 3   | 93 ± 2   | 99 ± 4   |  |
| 4                      | 99 ± 5       | 98 ± 2   | 92 ± 5   | 94 ± 2   |  |
| 10                     | 105 ± 2      | 103 ± 2  | 95 ± 1   | 97 ± 2   |  |
| 20                     | 104 ± 5      | 102 ± 2  | 93 ± 1   | 97 ± 2   |  |

Protocol sequence

| <b>6</b> 1 | Selection valves positions |                      | Operation | Flow rate<br>(mL/min) |           |   |
|------------|----------------------------|----------------------|-----------|-----------------------|-----------|---|
| Step       | Selection<br>valve 1       | Selection<br>valve 2 | time (s)  | Pump<br>1             | Pump<br>2 | Description   |
| 1          | 1                          | 1                    | 9.1       | 1.66                  | 0.56      | Aspirate sample<br>and ferrozine<br>reagent           |
| 2          | 2                          | 1                    | 3.0       | 0.77                  | 0.28      | Aspirate buffer and<br>ferrozine reagent              |
| 3          | 8                          | 6                    | 50        | 3.81                  | 1.16      | Propel towards<br>detector and signal<br>registration |

### Interference studies

| Added species                                | Tested<br>concentration*<br>(ppb) | Relative<br>deviation (%) |  |  |  |  |
|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Zinc   | 1000                              | 5.00                      |  |  |  |  |
| Aluminium                                    | 1000                              | 3.73                      |  |  |  |  |
| Cadmium                                      | 1000                              | 20.9                      |  |  |  |  |
| Manganous                                    | 1000                              | 11.7                      |  |  |  |  |
| Copper                                       | 10                                | 7.56                      |  |  |  |  |
| * Using a standard solution of 5 nnh of iron |                                   |                           |  |  |  |  |

Acknowledgements

Ricardo Páscoa and Ildikó Tóth acknowledge financial support from FCT and FSE (III Quadro Comunitário) the through grants SFRH/BD/30621/2006 and SFRH/BPD/5631/2001, respectively

mple 1- Groundwater: Sample 2- Tap water: Sample 3 and 4- Seawater: