brought to you by CORE

In Silico Scrutiny of Genes Revealing Phylogenetic Congruence with Clinical Prevalence or Tropism Properties of *Chlamydia trachomatis* Strains

Rita Ferreira,^{*,1} Minia Antelo,^{*,1} Alexandra Nunes,^{*,†} Vítor Borges,^{*,†} Vera Damião,^{*} Maria José Borrego,^{*} and João Paulo Gomes^{*,†,2}

Reference Laboratory of Sexually Transmitted Bacterial Infections and +Bioinformatics Unit, Department of Infectious Diseases, National Institute of Health, Av. Padre Cruz, 1649-016 Lisbon, Portugal

ABSTRACT Microbes possess a multiplicity of virulence factors that confer them the ability to specifically infect distinct biological niches. Contrary to what is known for other bacteria, for the obligate intracellular human pathogen Chlamydia trachomatis, the knowledge of the molecular basis underlying serovars' tissue specificity is scarce. We examined all ~900 genes to evaluate the association between individual phylogenies and cell-appetence or ecological success of C. trachomatis strains. Only ~1% of the genes presented a tree topology showing the segregation of all three disease groups (ocular, urogenital, and lymphatic) into three wellsupported clades. Approximately 28% of the genes, which include the majority of the genes encoding putative type III secretion system effectors and Inc proteins, present a phylogenetic tree where only lymphogranuloma venereum strains form a clade. Similarly, an exclusive phylogenetic segregation of the most prevalent genital serovars was observed for 61 proteins. Curiously, these serovars are phylogenetically cosegregated with the lymphogranuloma venereum serovars for ~20% of the genes. Some clade-specific pseudogenes were identified (novel findings include the conserved hypothetical protein CT037 and the predicted α -hemolysin CT473), suggesting their putative expendability for the infection of particular niches. Approximately 3.5% of the genes revealed a significant overrepresentation of nonsynonymous mutations, and the majority encode proteins that directly interact with the host. Overall, this in silico scrutiny of genes whose phylogeny is congruent with clinical prevalence or tissue specificity of C. trachomatis strains may constitute an important database of putative targets for future functional studies to evaluate their biological role in chlamydial infections.

KEYWORDS

Chlamydia trachomatis genomics clinical prevalence tropism loci

The observation that there are pathogenic and nonpathogenic microbes has compelled investigators to search for traits underlying their phenotypic differences. This search for the so called "virulence factors" has greatly contributed to the understanding of pathogenicity and to the elucidation of the genetic mechanisms underlying microbes' capability to infect different cell types or organs. The notion that microbial pathogenicity relies on the interaction between a pathogen and its host (or a specific tissue), and that a virulence factor is either a microbial product or a strategy capable of causing damage to a susceptible host, can be broadly applied (Casadevall and Pirofski 2009). In this perspective, virulence factors may involve an endless list of products and mechanisms, such as toxins, adhesins, motility structures like flagella and pili, immune evasion determinants, capsules, biofilms, secretion systems, and signal transduction mechanisms (reviewed in Casadevall and Pirofski 2009). Usually, microbes carry several of these virulence factors, which work together in the process of host invasion and microbe survival.

Among pathogenic agents, several bacteria present intracellular lifestyles (obligatory or facultative). Their host-cell targets range from epithelial cells to phagocytes, like macrophages and neutrophils (Wilson *et al.* 2002), which implies that these pathogens have been developing specialized strategies that allow them, for instance, to survive within or avoid the adverse environment of the macrophage phagosome (membrane-bound vacuole) (Garcia-Del Portillo and Finlay

Copyright © 2015 Ferreira et al.

doi: 10.1534/g3.114.015354

Manuscript received August 25, 2014; accepted for publication November 2, 2014; published Early Online November 5, 2014.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Unported License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/ by/3.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Supporting information is available online at http://www.g3journal.org/lookup/ suppl/doi:10.1534/g3.114.015354/-/DC1

¹These authors contributed equally to this work.

²Corresponding author: Department of Infectious Diseases, National Institute of Health, Av. Padre Cruz, 1649-016 Lisbon, Portugal.

E-mail: j.paulo.gomes@insa.min-saude.pt

1995; Pizarro-Cerdá *et al.* 1997). Whereas some bacteria (*e.g., Salmo-nella* spp, *Coxiella burnetii*, and *Cryptococcus neoformans*) are able to reside within the lysosomal vacuole, others (*e.g., Chlamydia trachomatis* and *Mycobacterium* spp) need to "remodel" it to allow their survival, whereas others (*e.g., Listeria monocytogenes* and *Shigella* spp) degrade the vacuole membrane to gain access to the host-cell cytosol, where they may complete their developmental cycle (Pizarro-Cerdá *et al.* 1997; Ernst *et al.* 1999). Moreover, some pathogenic bacteria are also able to infect different cell types or organs of a given host. For example, *L. monocytogenes* can cross the intestinal epithelium, the blood–brain, and fetoplacental barriers (Cossart 2011) and may cause severe septicemia and meningoencephalitis (Allerberger and Wagner 2010), whereas *Streptococcus pneumoniae* is capable of infecting the lung, the blood, and the nasopharynx (Hava and Camilli 2002).

Another example of bacteria capable of infecting different cell types is C. trachomatis, an obligate intracellular human pathogen that can be classified into 15 main serovars, according to the polymorphism of the gene (ompA) encoding the major outer membrane protein. Serovars A-C cause ocular infections that can progress to trachoma, the leading cause of preventable blindness worldwide (Burton 2007; Wright et al. 2008), whereas serovars D-K cause ano-urogenital infections that can evolve to cervicitis, urethritis, epididymitis (men), or pelvic inflammatory disease (women), the latter of which can lead to significant long term sequelae such as infertility and ectopic pregnancy (Peipert 2003). Finally, serovars L1-L3 are responsible for an invasive disease, the lymphogranuloma venereum (LGV), through the infection of macrophages and dissemination to regional draining lymph nodes (Schachter 1978). Despite the huge phenotypic differences among C. trachomatis serovars regarding tissue tropism, virulence and ecological success, little is known about the molecular factors underlying serovars' biological uniqueness. This is mostly due to the lack of suitable animal models that mirror the human chlamydial infection in vivo and because C. trachomatis has been genetically intractable until very recently (Kari et al. 2011; Wang et al. 2011; Mishra et al. 2012; Nguyen and Valdivia 2012). Probably the only unequivocal demonstration of the association of a virulence factor with tropism was provided by Caldwell et al. (2003), who showed that an active tryptophan operon (trpRBA) is mandatory for any C. trachomatis strain to infect the genitalia. This observation also was valid for genital strains harboring an "ocular" ompA gene (likely inherited by recombination), excluding the serovar status as a possible tropism determinant. Nevertheless, a revision concerning the genetics beyond tropism was recently published (Nunes et al. 2013).

Recent phylogenetic analysis (Harris et al. 2012) using the complete genome of several C. trachomatis strains found: i) the segregation of strains by their cell-appetence, suggesting a coevolution with the infected tissue; ii) the separation of the LGV strains before the separation of the ocular and the epithelial-genital strains; iii) that the most prevalent serovars (E and F), which account for ~50% of all chlamydial genital infections among the heterosexual population (Nunes et al. 2010), clearly segregate apart from the remainder epithelial-genital strains; and iv) that the ocular strains probably derived from a nonprevalent genital serovar. On the other hand, the small genome (~1 Mb) of C. trachomatis reveals a high degree of conservation among serovars (>98%), with nearly identical pan- and core-genomes, a high coding density, and no evidence of recent horizontal gene transfer besides allelic recombination, which suggests a likely complete genetic reduction process as a result of a long-term intracellular niche adaptation process (Horn et al. 2004; Read et al. 2013). Considering this, one may speculate that the phenotypic disparities (tissue tropism, virulence and ecological success) among strains are encoded in a small number of variable genes

along the *C. trachomatis* genome. Thus, given the recent availability of dozens of *C. trachomatis* fully sequenced genomes, our main goal was to scrutinize all the ~900 genes at the phylogenetic and evolutionary level in order to better understand the relationship between strains' genetic diversity and phenotypic disparities. In this regard, after analyzing the global trends of polymorphism, we performed a detailed analysis of each gene tree topology to assess the degree of concordance between strains' segregation and their clinical outcome and prevalence. This approach intends to identify the genes that phylogenetically contribute for the main branches (LGV, prevalent genital, nonprevalent genital, and ocular serovars) of the species tree (Harris *et al.* 2012).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Alignments generation

For the polymorphism and evolutionary analyses, different alignment strategies were conducted. First, the whole-genome sequences of the 53 studied C. trachomatis strains were retrieved from the GenBank (Supporting Information, Table S1) and aligned using progressiveMauve from Mauve software, version 2.3.1 (Darling et al. 2010). Orthologous genes were identified by Mauve and individual alignments of each one of the 896 genes (considering the total number of annotated genes on the available D/UW-3/CX sequence) were extracted from the whole-genome alignment. These alignments were subsequently uploaded into the Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis software, version 5 (MEGA 5; http://www.megasoftware.net) (Tamura et al. 2011) and visually inspected for further correction (whenever needed) prior to evolutionary and genetic diversity analyses. A core-alignment was also extracted by keeping regions where the 53 genomes aligned over at least 500 bp (corresponding of ~97% of the C. trachomatis chromosome), and aligned segments were concatenated into a single-core genome alignment to be further used in the construction of the species phylogenetic tree. This alignment was then exported and directly uploaded into MEGA 5 for whole-genome analyses purposes.

Exclusion criteria

Among all the 53 strains, variability in start codon predictions of homologous genes was removed by trimming each start site prediction to the innermost common start codon. This was not applied when an upstream codon was annotated as a consequence of a mutation in the codon correspondent to the translation initiation codon of the other sequences. We also observed that, for some other genes, there were strains that had more than one coding sequence annotated at the same region. These cases were treated as pseudogenes and the respective strains were removed from the analysis. There were also genes for which a single frameshift yielded a biased polymorphism, and for this reason they were not considered has truly polymorphic. Nevertheless, some of them (CT120, CT160, CT162, CT172, CT172.1, CT358, CT480.1, CT793, and CT852) constitute interesting cases as the frameshift occurred solely for the strains of the same disease group. Moreover, for 22 chromosomal genes, it was not possible to obtain an accurate alignment (Table S2) mainly because of accentuated gene size differences, hampering the analyses.

Polymorphism and evolutionary analyses

Each alignment (core-genome and individual genes) was analyzed according to previously described methods (Nunes *et al.* 2008; Almeida *et al.* 2012). Concerning the individual alignments of all homologous genes, we first removed from each analysis the strains' sequences that were considered as putative pseudogenes or had annotation issues (see the section *Exclusion criteria*). By using the algorithms available in MEGA 5, we determined the overall mean distances (number of differences and *p*-distance) and matrices of pairwise comparisons at both nucleotide and amino acid level, along with the respective standard error estimates (bootstrap = 1000). Then, for each gene, the number of synonymous substitutions per synonymous site (dS) as well as the number of nonsynonymous substitutions per nonsynonymous site (dN) were determined by using the Kumar model (Nei and Kumar 2000) and the standard error estimates were obtained by a bootstrap procedure of 1000 replicates. dN/dS ratios were determined and the Z-test of positive selection was applied for the genes revealing dN/dS > 1. The probability of rejecting the null hypothesis of strict-neutrality (dN = dS)in favor of the alternative hypothesis of positive selection (dN > dS) was considered significant when P < 0.05 (bootstrap = 1000) (Nei and Kumar 2000). We also assessed the existence of correlation between p-distance and dN, dS, or dN/dS by using the Pearson's Product Moment Correlation coefficient (R), which measures the strength and direction of a linear relationship between two variables (Rodgers and Nicewander 1988).

Phylogenetic trees for both the whole-genome and individual genes sequences were inferred by using the Neighbor-Joining method (bootstrap = 1000) (Felsenstein 1985; Saitou and Nei 1987). For the nucleotide sequences, the evolutionary distances were computed using the Kimura 2-parameter method (K2P) (Kimura 1980), whereas for the amino acid sequences (for individual genes solely), the evolutionary distances were computed based on the number of differences (Nei and Kumar 2000). A gene was considered to segregate a specific group of strains (ocular, genital and LGV serovars) by taking into account both the tree topology and the number of differences between sequences of different taxa. Additionally, phylogenies were also inspected for the segregation of the strains from the most prevalent genital serovars.

Characterization of the mosaic structure of the strains from the most prevalent serovars

We started by comparing the genome sequences of both D(s)/2923 and D/SotonD1 with that of the F/SW5 strain (because this strain was found to be the most closely related to both - see Results section) using the DNA polymorphism tool of the DnaSP software, version 5 (Librado and Rozas 2009), with a window size and step size of 1000 each. Chromosomal regions with high SNP density, which may indicate the occurrence of recombination events, were further analyzed by SimPlot/BootScan (http://sray.med.som.jhmi.edu/SCRoftware/simplot/) (Salminen et al. 1995;Lole et al. 1999) for a precise determination of potential mosaic structures. These analyses were performed as previously described (Gomes et al. 2007), using a sliding window size of 200 bp moved across the alignment in a step size of 30 bp for estimating pairwise genetic distances with Neighbor-Joining method (Kimura 2-parameter method; Bootstrap = 500; gaps strip off; ts/tv of 2.0). For BootScan analyses, the likelihood that the observed distribution of informative sites (Robertson et al. 1995) favoring specific phylogenetic groupings might occur randomly was assessed using the maximum χ^2 test. A P-value for any specified breakpoint was determined by the Fisher's exact test (twotailed). A Bonferroni multiple correction testing was applied to evaluate the significance of the P-values at 95% confidence.

RESULTS

Polymorphism and molecular evolution analysis

Overall, we were able to analyze ~97.5% (874/896) of all the *C. trachomatis* chromosomal genes. The 22 genes excluded from the analysis (see the section *Exclusion criteria*) comprise five housekeeping genes, the cytotoxin locus, genes encoding 13 hypothetical proteins, two of the phospholipase

D endonuclease superfamily gene members (PLDs), and CT081 (Table S2).

Besides well-known polymorphic genes (CT870/*pmpF*, CT872/ *pmpH*, CT681/*ompA*, CT049-CT051), the polymorphism analyses highlighted CT619 (Table 1 and Table S2) [coding for a putative type III secretion system (T3SS) secreted protein with unknown function] that, to our knowledge, had never been considered before as polymorphic.

To understand the underlying evolutionary pressures that drove amino acid changes of all 874 analyzed chromosomal proteins, we evaluated their molecular evolution by determining the dN/dS values of the respective genes. We verified that 150 genes (~17%) revealed a dN/dS > 1, but only 31 (3.5%) showed a significant Z-test of positive selection (Table S2) and were thus considered as putative targets of positive selection. Twenty-three of the latter encode 11 Inclusion Membrane Proteins (Incs), 10 T3SS effectors, and two putative membrane proteins, which are proteins expectedly involved in interactions with the host. We also found three hypothetical proteins encoding genes, one PLD encoding gene, and four housekeeping genes that are likely under positive selection. We have no reasonable explanation for the latter finding, as housekeeping genes are usually highly conserved and expected to be under purifying selection.

Furthermore, we evaluated the correlation between nucleotide polymorphism and evolutionary parameters, such as dN, dS, and dN/ dS, for all 874 chromosomal genes. From the inspection of the genomic distribution of *p*-distance and dN/dS (Figure 1, A and B) and by determining the Pearson's product moment correlation coefficient, we observed no correlation between them (R = 0.02), besides minor coincident peaks. Figure 1C highlights the 25 top ranked loci for both parameters. On the other hand, a strong positive linear correlation between *p*-distance and both dN (R = 0.92) and dS (R = 0.9) was found (Fig. 1D).

Species polymorphism vs. number of taxa

Considering that the genetic diversity among same-serovar or samedisease group strains was recently pointed out to be higher than expected (Harris et al. 2012), we wonder whether both the polymorphism and selective pressure results are impacted by the number of sequences used. Thus, besides using all 53 strains, we also selected a group of 17 strains representative of the major branches of the phylogenetic tree constructed with the whole-genome sequences (Figure 2). Both groups of strains (17 vs. 53) encompass the same set of 13 C. trachomatis serovars. We then used the 100 most polymorphic genes (as they provide the vast majority of informative sites) and compared the distribution of polymorphism and dN/dS obtained from the analysis of the two groups (Figure 3). The P-values (paired two-tailed t-test) calculated for the *p*-distance and the dN/dS results were 0.91 and 0.13, respectively, which indicates that these parameters do not depend on the number of same-serovar sequences that are used. Although the validity of the traditional CT681/ompA typing has been strongly questioned (as its tree does not segregate strains by tissue tropism properties and disease outcomes) (Harris et al. 2012), it is worth noting that a small group of strains encompassing the majority of the C. trachomatis serovars represent the main genetic variability of this bacterium.

Gene-based phylogenetic analysis

To evaluate the concordance between strains' segregation and their clinical outcome and prevalence, we first performed a detailed analysis of the recombination phenomena involving the two D strains (D(s)/2923 and D/SotonD1) that phylogenetically cluster with the most prevalent serovars (E and F) and apart from the other D strains (Jeffrey *et al.*

Table 1 Top five ranking of the most polymorphic C. trachomatis chromosomal genes

	Nucle	eotide	Amin	o Acid
Rank	No. Differences	<i>p</i> -distance	No. Differences	<i>p</i> -distance
1	CT870/pmpF (217.3)	CT681/ompA (0.121)	CT870/pmpF (72.4)	CT681/ompA (0.107)
2	CT681/ompA (143.7)	CT051 (0.07)	CT619 (48.4)	CT051 (0.093)
3	CT619 (124.2)	CT870/pmpF (0.069)	CT051 (46.6)	CT049 (0.08)
4	CT872/pmpH (109)	CT049 (0.048)	CT681/ompA (42.1)	CT870/pmpF (0.071)
5	CT050 (104.9)	CT619 (0.047)	CT049 (38.9)	CT050 (0.058)

The numbers in parenthesis refer to the respective number of differences and p-distance value.

2010; Harris *et al.* 2012), in order to define their true genomic backbone. We verified that those D strains differ from the same serovar prototype strain (D/UW-3/CX) by ~5500 nucleotides, but differ from a serovar F strain (F/SW5) by only ~300 nucleotides, with ~50% of these mutations concentrated at the CT681/*ompA* region (Figure 4A). SimPlot and BootScan analyses identified the exact location of the two breakpoints underlying the recombination event (identical for both strains) (Figure S1). One breakpoint is located at the beginning of CT680/*rpsB* ($P = 9.28 \times 10^{-44}$) (Figure 4B), whereas the other is located at the beginning of CT681/*ompA* ($P = 6.65 \times 10^{-19}$) (Figure 4C). These results

clearly indicate that both recombinant D strains have a genome backbone of a serovar F strain, whereas solely the region spanning between the two recombination breakpoints was inherited from a serovar D strain. Therefore, from now on these two D serovar strains will be included into the cluster of the most clinically prevalent serovars.

To identify loci that phylogenetically contribute for the main branches of the species tree (Harris *et al.* 2012), we performed a detailed analysis of each gene phylogenetic tree. For clarification purposes, a gene/protein was considered to segregate a group of strains sharing a specific phenotype (ocular, prevalent genital, non-prevalent genital



Figure 1 Evaluation of the association between polymorphism and dN, dS and dN/dS. (A and B) Distribution of dN/dS and p-distance values, respectively, obtained from the analyses of all the 874 genes from the 53 strains. The horizontal axis represents the C. trachomatis chromosomal positions where genes are placed in their chromosomal order, from the CT001 to the CT875 (genes names and positions according to D/UW-3/CX strain annotation). (C) 25 genes (ordered by their relative chromosomal position) that display the greater values for both analyses, which are representative of the lack of correlation between dN/dS and polymorphism. (D) Scatter plots of p-distance vs. dN, dS, and dN/dS, on a log-scale for clarity. The Pearson's product moment correlation coefficient for p-distance vs. dN, dS, and dN/dS are R =0.92, R = 0.9, and R = 0.02, respectively.



Figure 2 Phylogenetic reconstruction of *C. trachomatis* species. The tree was constructed using the whole genome of 53 strains encompassing the majority of the CT681/*ompA* serovars. The asterisks indicate the 17 strains representative of the major tree branches (in red) that were used to evaluate the relation between species polymorphism and the number of taxa (see the section *Results* for details).

and LGV serovars) when the genetic differences among them are lower than the differences to any other strain. Overall, we found that 136, 14, 431, and 695 genes phylogenetically segregate the ocular, genital, prevalent genital and LGV groups, respectively (Figure 5A, Table 2, and Table S2). The low number of genes segregating the group of genital serovars reflects the high heterogeneity within this group as a direct consequence of the recombination background affecting mostly these strains (Harris et al. 2012) and the existence of distinct polymorphism signatures. An example of the latter stands for the F(s)/70 strain, which was isolated from the cervix and frequently showed a rather unusual polymorphism pattern that did not resemble any of the other 52 strains. Therefore, only 11 (1.3%) of nucleotide trees and 12 (1.4%) of protein trees were found to segregate strains by full-tropism (Figure 5A and Table 2), where ocular, LGV and all genital (prevalent and nonprevalent) serovar strains are segregated into three main clusters. In silico studies have already implicated some of these genes in the different cellappetence of the strains, namely CT456/tarp, CT870/pmpF, CT872/ pmpH, CT115/incD, CT116/incE, two PLD (CT156 and CT157), and one MACPF domain family protein (CT153) (Gomes et al. 2006; Thomson et al. 2008; Borges et al. 2012; Lutter et al. 2012). The remainders include three housekeeping genes (CT106/yceC, CT110/groEL1, and CT703/engA), and genes encoding one T3SS effector (CT161) (da Cunha et al. 2014) and one putative inclusion membrane protein (Inc) (CT383) (Dehoux et al. 2011) (Table S2).

We also detected events of exclusive phylogenetic segregation, *i.e.*, the clustering of a particular group of strains sharing the same phenotype, whereas the remainder strains (regardless of their phenotype) are mixed together. For instance, the group of strains from the most prevalent genital serovars (E, F, and recombinant D strains) are exclusively segregated by 61 proteins, which may contain molecular features that contribute for their higher ecological success. We also observed that the most prevalent and the LGV serovars share hundreds of mutations, particularly in 173 genes (Table 2) revealing a major tree branch where these two groups co-segregate apart from the remaining strains. Concerning the LGV group, $\sim 28\%$ of all chromosomal genes exclusively segregate these strains (Figure 5B), conferring this group a unique genetic make-up within the species diversity.

Also, based on either the presence of nonsense mutations or the considerable differences in gene size, we scrutinized the genome for the existence of genes that are putative pseudogenes exclusively for a specific disease group (Table 3). This set includes: i) CT058 [a putative Inc (Almeida et al. 2012)], CT105 [a T3SS effector possibly involved in the cell-appetence of the genital strains (Borges et al. 2012; da Cunha et al. 2014)], trpRBA operon (Caldwell et al. 2003), and CT374/aaxC (Giles et al. 2009), which are pseudogenes for most ocular strains; ii) CT101 [Inc (Almeida et al. 2012)] is a pseudogene for the majority of the genital strains; *iii*) CT473 (predicted α -hemolysin) is a pseudogene for the prevalent genital serovar strains; iv) CT373/aaxB (Giles et al. 2009) and CT300 [putative Inc (Dehoux et al. 2011)] are pseudogenes for LGV strains (Almeida et al. 2012) (for CT300, this occurs only if one considers the same start codon as that annotated for ocular and genital strains); and ν) CT037 (conserved hypothetical protein) is a pseudogene for both prevalent genital and LGV serovars strains. This scenario suggests that these genes may be expendable for the C. trachomatis infection of specific biological niches.

DISCUSSION

Phylogenetic studies in *C. trachomatis* have been extensively performed on dozens of genes. Given the recent availability of more than 50 genomes, we sought to perform comparative genomics to examine all the ~900 *C. trachomatis* genes. We aimed to evaluate the degree of concordance between strains' segregation and their clinical outcome and prevalence. In fact, the molecular basis underlying tissue specificity in *C. trachomatis* remains to be elucidated, although it is believed that it may rely on SNPs or small *indel* events in specific genes (Nunes *et al.* 2013), given the tremendous genome similarity (> 98%) among sequenced strains. It is known that there are biases associated with



Figure 3 Differences obtained during the analyses using 53 and 17 strains. The graphs show the differences obtained between the results of the *p*-distance (A) and the dN/dS (B) analyses of all the 53 and the set of 17 strains (representative of the majority of the tree branches). Each black dot represents one of the 100 polymorphic genes selected for these comparisons. *P*-values were calculated through the paired two-tailed *t*-test.





support its similarity with F/SW5 ($P = 6.65 \times 10^{-19}$). In these defined regions there are no informative sites supporting the alternative hypotheses. The phylogenetic trees (4) were constructed with the nucleotide sequences adjacent to each estimated breakpoint region (NJ method; Kimura 2-parameter method; bootstrap = 1000) and support the recombination event.

phylogenetic-based inferences ("phylogenetic dependence"), such as the weight of neutral mutations in the tree topology. Nevertheless, there are well-built examples in the literature where tree topology of *C. trachomatis* genes seems to be associated with niche specificity. This is the case of Tarp (Translocated actin-recruiting protein), for which distinct functional domains that are variable in number across serovars from different disease groups likely lead to differences in the host-cell actin-driven uptake of *Chlamydia* and to differential activation of diverse signaling pathways (like the Rac/WAVE2/Arp2/3 cascade and the humoral and cellular immune signaling pathways)



Figure 5 Genes that segregate strains according to their biological characteristics. The outer circle in both panels represents the genome of *C. trachomatis* D/UW-3/CX strain, where each bar represents a gene at its respective genomic position (light gray bars, forward strand; dark gray bars, reverse strand). (A) The tracks' color scheme represent genes whose phylogeny segregates at least a group of strains according to their biological characteristics, *i.e.*, each color illustrates a particular segregation (that may not be exclusive): full-tropism (purple), LGV strains (orange), strains from prevalent genital serovars (green), cosegregation of LGV and prevalent genital serovar strains (blue), genital strains (prevalent and nonprevalent serovars) (black), and ocular strains (red). (B) The tracks' color scheme was maintained for the different groups of strains and represent genes that exclusively segregate a unique group of strains. For both panels, the outer and inner tracks of each color correspond to nucleotide and amino acid results, respectively.

(Clifton *et al.* 2004; Mehlitz *et al.* 2010; Carabeo 2011). Another relevant example is provided by most Incs, which may be associated with infection of mononuclear phagocytes due to the existence of specific mutational patterns leading to the phylogenetic segregation of LGV strains and to the higher expression of some Incs in these strains (Almeida *et al.* 2012; Lutter *et al.* 2012). In this regard, although our phylogenetic approach certainly carries associated biases, the identification of genes that phylogenetically contribute for the main branches (LGV, prevalent genital, non-prevalent genital and ocular serovars) of the species tree may be highly relevant for future functional studies.

Overall, only ~1.4% (12/874) of the proteins was found to present a plain segregation of strains according to their tissue tropism (ocular conjunctiva, genital epithelium, and lymph nodes). This low number is probably due to the existence of intra- and intergenic recombination events that take place during mixed infections [believed to occur at a frequency of approximately 1% (Clarke 2011)], essentially involving the genital strains (Harris et al. 2012). Although C. trachomatis is known to have a low population-level recombination rate based on the frequency and relative weight of recombination and mutation events (Joseph et al. 2011; Ferreira et al. 2012; Joseph et al. 2012), recombination has been detected, even among different disease-causing strains, and hotspots have been identified (Gomes et al. 2007; Harris et al. 2012). The biological role of some of these proteins has already been assessed (Nelson et al. 2006; Taylor et al. 2010; Derré et al. 2011), but with exception of the above cited Tarp, only a single serovar was tested, hampering any implication in tropism. On the other hand, it is possible that each of the corresponding genes is simply evolving more quickly than the genome average (quite likely due to host pressures).

A radically different scenario is found for the lymph nodes niche, as the majority of the genes (~80%) segregate the LGV strains and 28% (245/874) segregate them in an exclusive manner (Figure 5 and Table 2). This corroborates the early divergence of these strains (Stephens et al. 2009) and/or their fastest evolutionary nature. The latter hypothesis may rely on the fact that the LGV strains must be capable of establishing a wider set of molecular interactions and be subject to additional selective pressures, given their ability of infecting two distinct cell-types (epithelial and mononuclear phagocytes). It is worth noting that the majority of the genes encoding T3SS effectors and Incs (known and putative) segregate the LGV strains. One interesting example is CT144 that codes for a putative substrate of the T3SS (da Cunha et al. 2014) and is likely involved in the "men who have sex with men" epidemiological sexual network (Christerson et al. 2012), for which most of LGV-specific polymorphisms are concentrated in ~150 bp on the first half of the gene (Nunes et al. 2008), highlighting this specific region as the one hypothetically involved in the interaction with the host cell. Another example comes from the well-studied T3SS effector Tarp for which the enhanced phosphorylation found in LGV strains was shown to additionally promote high affinity interactions with proteins associated with the immune signaling pathways (Mehlitz et al. 2010), likely explaining the capacity of these strains to cross the mucosa epithelium and to infect mononuclear phagocytes.

We also observed that half of the *C. trachomatis* genes segregate strains of the most prevalent genital serovars, where 61 encode proteins displaying a mutational pattern that is exclusive of these strains. The majority of these genes (33/61) encode proteins that mediate basic cellular functions, like some redox reactions (CT078/*folD*, CT278/*nqrB*, CT539/ *trxA*, and CT745/*hemG*), structural ribosomal proteins (CT125/*rplM*, CT506/*rplQ*, CT511, CT523/*rplV*, CT525/*rplB*, and CT787/*rpsN*) and proteins intervenient in the translation process (CT193/*tgt*, CT437/*fusA*,

			Segi	regation by Phenotyp	0e ^a		ш	xclusive Seg	regation by Phenoty	oe ^b
	Full-tropism [€]	Ocular	Genital ^d	Prevalent Genital	Prevalent Genital + LGV ^e	LGV	Ocular	Genital ^d	Prevalent Genital	LGV
Nucleotide	11 (1.3%)	136 (15.6%)	14 (1.6%)	431 (49.3%)	173 (19.8%)	695 (79.5%)	7 (0.8%)	(%0) 0	47 (5.4%)	245 (28%)
Amino acid	12 (1.4%)	105 (12%)	15 (1.7%)	302 (34.6%)	146 (16.7%)	531 (60.8%)	21 (2.4%)	1 (0.1%)	61 (7%)	240 (27.5%)
The numbers in	parenthesis refer	to the proportion	of genes/protei	ns, found in each categ	ory, relative to the 874 analyzed	genes/proteins. L(3V, lymphograr	nuloma venere	eum.	
a Genes/protei	ns for which the pl	hylogenetic tree a	lifferentiates at	east one group of strair	in a nonexclusive manner.	-	-			
Genes/protei	ns for which the pl	hylogenetic tree a	lifferentiates on	y one particular group	of strains whereas the remainder	are mixed.				
ر Genes/protei	ns for which the pl	hylogenetic tree s.	hows three clad	es (ocular, genital, and	LGV serovars).					

from prevalent genital and LGV serovars in the same clade

Genes/proteins for which the phylogenetic tree clusters the strains genital strains (prevalent plus non-prevalent serovars)

Refers to all

σ

and CT851/map). However, given the high representation of these functional categories in C. trachomatis genome, we can hardly assume that specific metabolic functions underlie the higher clinical prevalence of strains from serovars E and F. Nevertheless, it seems clear that these serovars share a singular genomic makeup. In fact, two recombinant strains classified as serovar D that cluster in the same branch as E and F are actually F-like strains, and so, the branch of the most ecological succeeded serovars involve exclusively taxa with E or F backbone.

Curiously, we also found that 173 genes (19.8%) cosegregated the strains from the most prevalent genital serovars and the LGV strains. Some relevant examples refer to CT651, a possible virulence factor since it is under the regulation of C. trachomatis plasmid (Song et al. 2013), and CT338 and CT619, two T3SS substrates (Muschiol et al. 2011; da Cunha et al. 2014). Possible explanations for the existence of hundreds of shared polymorphisms between these two groups include: i) incomplete lineage sorting, where several unresolved polymorphisms would have been accumulated in the common ancestral before the clades' separation of the current species tree (Galtier and Daubin 2008); ii) recombination, although it cannot fully explain this scenario as the genetic exchange between these two groups has been recently demonstrated to be restricted to limited genomic regions (Harris et al. 2012); and iii) short coevolutionary process between E/F and LGV strains before the separation of the latter. One may speculate that some of the shared polymorphisms could endow "invasive" properties to the most prevalent serovars strains. If that would be the case, it would mirror for instance the infection scenario of L. monocytogenes, which is capable of surviving within macrophages and also replicating in a variety of nonphagocytic cells (Pizarro-Cerdá et al. 2012). Therefore, one could hypothetically identify E or F strains during recent LGV outbreaks in Europe and USA. However, full-genome sequencing was not performed for all strains identified in those outbreaks and, to our knowledge, no E and F strains were identified so far. Thus, no immediate assumption can be made concerning specific phenotypes conferred by the related mutational pattern in those 173 genes between E/F and invasive strains.

We also identified several putative pseudogenes occurring in different strains (Table 3). The most interesting cases were the genes that were truncated only for strains of the same disease group, as it may be an indication of their expendability for the infection of a specific niche. We highlight the CT473, a lipid droplet-associated protein (Lda3) found to be translocated into the host cell cytoplasm and capture lipid droplets (Kumar et al. 2006), which is likely being lost on the course of the evolutionary process of the strains from prevalent genital serovars, and the CT037 (conserved hypothetical protein), which is a pseudogene in both the prevalent genital and LGV serovar strains. Although we have no clues about the importance of maintaining a functional protein in the clades where these genes are not pseudogenes, it was already demonstrated for example that a functional trpRBA operon is mandatory for any strain to infect the genitalia (Caldwell et al. 2003). Also, we have previously shown that the positively selected gene CT105 (a pseudogene for ocular strains) has a significant overrepresentation of nonsynonymous mutations when comparing sequences between urogenital and LGV strains (Borges et al. 2012), indicating that it has been evolutionarily diverging toward niche-specific adaptation. The identification of niche-specific pseudogenes may be indicative that further genome reduction may still be ongoing in C. trachomatis, leading to the future disappearance of those sequences from the chromosome. We also identified genes with differences in sequence length according to strains phenotype. For instance, both CT833

Gate Functional Category Could Networks Could Network Could Network	groups							
GetTurctound CategoyOutsNotionedTopologicalColorNotionReferenceCT01HP-R-HReferenceCT012HPReferenceCT013HPCT013FilterionFilterionCT013Filterion<				Strains Gro	dnc			
	Gene	Functional Category	Ocular	Nonprevalent Genital	Prevalent Genital	LGV	Observations	Reference
CT002Componentiation methodes>>Attendy AttendyAttendy AttendyAttendy AttendyAttendy AttendyAttendy AttendyAttendy AttendyAttendy 	СТ037	HP	II	Я	Ф	Φ	A/2497, A/363, A/5291, and A/7249 are smaller than the nonprevalent genital	This study
	CT052	Coproporphyrinogen III oxidase	٨	٨	II	R		This study
CTI01Inclusion membrane protein= Ψ Ψ $E Bour, EV11023, and D/JMG are not \Psi.Mine due d. 2012, The studyCTI05Packined perudurine synthese=>>>B Boold are d. 2012, The studyB Boold are d. 2012, The studyCT106Packined perudurine synthese (alpha chain)>>>>B Boold are d. 2012, The studyCT101Packined perudurine synthese (alpha chain)>>>>B Boold d' Condition synthese (alpha chain)CT112Packined perudurine synthese (alpha chain)>>>B Boold d' Condition synthese (alpha chain)CT121Packine Inclusion synthese (alpha chain)<$	CT058	Putative inclusion membrane protein	≁	II	II	۲	A/Har13 and C/TW-3 are not \screws.	Almeida <i>et al.</i> 2012
	CT101	Inclusion membrane protein	II	Ψ	Ψ	R	E/Bour, E/11023, and D/UW3 are not Ψ s.	Almeida <i>et al.</i> 2012; This study
	CT105	T3S effector	Þ	II	II	ß		Inis study Borges et al 2012
CT100FinanceFinanceFinanceCT160HP </td <td>CT106</td> <td>Prodictod scoudouridise sustance</td> <td>• 1</td> <td>/</td> <td>/</td> <td>: D</td> <td></td> <td></td>	CT106	Prodictod scoudouridise sustance	• 1	/	/	: D		
		fredicted pseudodinance syntheticase	I	١	١	<		
	CT160	HP	Λ	Λ	Λ	۲	B/Jali20 is a Ψ , and F(s)/70 is smaller.	This study
	CT161	HP	II	V	V	£	B/Jali20 and E/SotonE8 are Ψ s.	This study
CT171Typeophen synthase (a)pha chain) Ψ $=$ K <td>CT162</td> <td>HP</td> <td>V</td> <td>V</td> <td>V</td> <td>22</td> <td>E/SotonE8, F(s)/70, J/6276, la/Sotonla1, and la/Sotonla3 are \verts.</td> <td>This study</td>	CT162	HP	V	V	V	22	E/SotonE8, F(s)/70, J/6276, la/Sotonla1, and la/Sotonla3 are \verts.	This study
CT172HPCCCRThis studyCT34Membrare transmoting $=$ $<$ $<$ RRThis studyCT33HPMembrare proteinR $=$ $<$ $<$ RRJJal2O is smallet.Thies studyCT33HPR $=$ $<$ $<$ $<$ RRJJal2O is smallet.Thies studyCT33HPR $=$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ CT34Aginne/onnthine antiporter $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ CT392HPR $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ CT393HPR $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ CT391HPR $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ CT391HPR $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ CT491HPR $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ <	CT171	Tryptophan synthase (alpha chain)	Ą	II	II	۲	B/TZ is not a Ψ .	Caldwell <i>et al.</i> 2003
CT234Membrane transport protein from the major facilitator superfamily $=$ $=$ $=$ Ψ This studyCT330HPmajor facilitator superfamilyR $=$ $=$ Ψ Ameida et al. 2012.CT333HPRe indusion membrane poteinR $=$ $=$ Ψ Ameida et al. 2012.CT333HPRe indusion membrane poteinR $=$ $=$ Ψ Ameida et al. 2003.CT333HPRe indusion membrane poteinV $=$ $=$ Ψ Thins sudyCT344Aginine/omithine antiporterV $=$ $=$ Ψ Thins studyCT334HPRe industrial seconds and Jo2216Thins studyStudyCT341Tail specific protease $>$ $>$ R Is/Stoonla1, Ia/Stoonla3, and Jo22/6This studyCT470HP $>$ $>$ R R Is/Stoonla1, Ia/Stoonla3, and Jo22/6This studyCT471HP $>$ $>$ R R Is/Stoonla1, Ia/Stoonla3, and Jo22/6This studyCT473HP $>$ $>$ R R Is/Stoonla1, Ia/Stoonla3, and Jo22/6This studyCT470HP $>$ $>$ R R R R R CT471HP R R R R R R R CT473HP R R R R R R R CT470HP R R R R R R R <t< td=""><td>CT172</td><td>H</td><td>V</td><td>V V</td><td>V V</td><td>۲</td><td></td><td>This study</td></t<>	CT172	H	V	V V	V V	۲		This study
CT300Puration membrane protein in the protein operating c 1338HHAlmeida et al. 2012. Thomson articulus operating the contraction articulus operating the contraction membrane proteinAlmeida et al. 2012. Thomson articulus operating the contraction articulus operating the contraction membrane proteinAlmeida et al. 2012. Thomson articulus operation.CT373HPR $=$ Ψ $=$ Ψ Thomson articulus of the contraction arti	CT234	Membrane transport protein from the	II	II	V	R		This study
CT338HP>>>Amerida et al. 2012.C1373HPR= Ψ Merida et al. 2009. ThisC1373HPR= Ψ Glase et al. 2009. ThisC1374Arginine/onnithine antiporter Ψ = P Glase et al. 2009. ThisC1392HPNepecific protease>>RAmerida et al. 2009. ThisC1392HPNepecific protease>>Nepecific proteaseRC1392HPNepecific protease>>RNepecific proteaseRC1392HPNepecific protease>>RNepecific proteaseRC1392HPNepecific protease>>RNepecific proteaseRC1392HPNepecific protease>>RNepecific proteaseThis studyC1393HPNepecific proteaseNepecific protease	CT300	Putative inclusion membrane protein	¥	II	II	¥		Almeida et al. 2012
The constraint of the constrain	CT358	HP	Λ	\wedge	Λ	2	B/Jali20 is smaller.	Almeida et <i>al.</i> 2012;
CT333HPR= Ψ Giles et al. 2009, This cutorCT344Arginine/contitine antiporter Ψ = H Giles et al. 2009, This studyCT332HP>>Giles et al. 2009, This studyCT332HP>>Giles et al. 2009, This studyCT332HP>>Giles et al. 2009, This studyCT332HP>>> </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Thomson <i>et al.</i> 2008</td>								Thomson <i>et al.</i> 2008
CT374Arginine/onithine antipoter Ψ $=$ R $stodyCT322HP>>>Raloconla3, and J/6276This studyCT411Tail-specific protease<>Rla/6276This studyCT413HP<>Rla/6276This studyCT410HP<Rla/6201a1, la/500nla3, and J/6276This studyCT410HP<Rla/69768, G/11074, J/6276, la/7This studyCT433HP==\PsiRgenital serovars sequences.This studyCT430.1HP>>RG/9301, G/9768, G/11074, J/6276, la/7This studyCT433HP>>RRG/9301, G/9768, G/11074, J/6276, la/7This studyCT630.1HP>RG/9301, G/9768, G/11074, J/6276, la/7This studyCT632S3 ribosomal protein==RRRCT633HP>RR/9301, G/1074, and R/9768 are \Psis.This studyCT633Infairon factor 3<<RRRCT633Infairon factor 3<<RR/9301, G/11074, and G/9768 are \Psis.This studyCT833Infairon factor 3<<<RRRRCT833Infairon factor 3<<RR<$	CT373	HP	22	II	II	¥		Giles <i>et al.</i> 2009; This
CT332HPstudyCT411Tail-specific protease<	CT374	Arginine/ornithine antiporter	÷	II	II	£		study Giles <i>et al.</i> 2009; This
C1.392HFC1.392HFInstandyC1411Tail-specific protease<	00010					ſ		study
CT41Tail-specific protease<Ia/Sotonla1, Ia/Sotonla1, Ia/Soto	C1392	HP	Λ	^	Λ	Y		I his study
CT470HP==>HPThis studyCT473HP= Ψ RC1470HPThis studyCT473HP>>>YRThis studyCT480.1HP>>>Sotonla1, and Ia/Sotonla3 are smaller tThis studyCT522S3 ribosomal protein== Ψ RSotonla1, and Ia/Sotonla3 are smaller tThis studyCT522S3 ribosomal protein==RSotonla1, and Ia/Sotonla3 are smaller tThis studyCT522S3 ribosomal protein==RSotonla1, and Ia/Sotonla3 are smaller tThis studyCT522S3 ribosomal protein==RSotonla1, and Ia/Sotonla3 are smaller tThis studyCT503HPThis studyCT605HPRG/9301, G/11074, and G/9768 are Ψ s.This studyCT803HP </td <td>CT441</td> <td>Tail-specific protease</td> <td>V</td> <td>V</td> <td>II</td> <td>R</td> <td>la/Sotonla1, la/Sotonla3, and J/6276 have the size of the LGV and prevalent genital serovars sequences.</td> <td>This study</td>	CT441	Tail-specific protease	V	V	II	R	la/Sotonla1, la/Sotonla3, and J/6276 have the size of the LGV and prevalent genital serovars sequences.	This study
CT433HPPRC/9768, G/11074, J/6276, la/This studyCT480.1HP>>>YeRCT480.1HP>>Sotonla1, and la/Sotonla3 are smallerThis studyCT480.1HPSotonla1, and la/Sotonla3 are smallerThis studyCT522S3 ribosomal protein==YeRSotonla1, and la/Sotonla3 are smallerThis studyCT522S3 ribosomal protein==RSotonla1, and la/Sotonla3 are smallerThis studyCT522S3 ribosomal protein==This studyCT522S3 ribosomal protein==RThis studyCT523HP>>>This studyCT793HP>This studyCT793HP>This studyCT807Glycerol-3-P acyltransferase<	CT470	НР	II	II	Λ	2		This study
CT480.1HP>>>>>>This studyCT480.1HPSotonla1, and la/Sotonla3 are smaller tSotonla1, and la/Sotonla3 are smaller tThis studyCT522S3 ribosomal protein==<	CT473	HP	II	II	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$	2		This study
CT522S3 ribosomal protein==Sotonla1, and la/Sotonla3 are smaller han the remainder strains' sequences.CT605HP>>>>This studyCT793HP>>>>This studyCT793HP>>>>This studyCT807Glycerol-3-P acyltransferase<	CT480.1	HP	Λ	\wedge	\wedge	£	G/9301, G/9768, G/11074, J/6276, la/	This study
CT522S3 ribosomal protein==<RThis studyCT605HP>>>>This studyCT793HP>>>>This studyCT793HP>>>>This studyCT807Glycerol-3-P acyltransferase<							Sotonla1, and la/Sotonla3 are smaller t han the remainder strains' sequences.	`
CT605HP>>>This studyCT793HP>>>>This studyCT793HP>>>>This studyCT807Glycerol-3-P acyltransferase<	CT522	S3 ribosomal protein	II	II	V	۲	-	This study
CT793HP>>>This studyCT807Glycerol-3-P acyltransferase<	CT605	HP	\wedge	\wedge	Ш	۲		This study
CT807Glycerol-3-P acyltransferase<CT809HPThis studyCT803Initiation factor 3<	CT793	HP	Λ	\wedge	\wedge	R	G/9301, G/11074, and G/9768 are Ψ s.	This study
CT809 HP CT813 Initiation factor 3 < < = R This study CT833 Initiation factor 3 < < < R This study CT852 YhgN family < < < < R This study CT868 Membrane thiol protease (predicted) > > > R R This study	CT807	Glycerol-3-P acyltransferase	V	V	II	Ъ		This study
CT833 Initiation factor 3 < < < R This study CT852 YhgN family < < < R This study CT868 Membrane thiol protease (predicted) > > > R R This study	CT809	HP	V	V	II	Ъ		This study
CT852 YhgN family < < < R This study CT868 Membrane thiol protease (predicted) > > > R This study	CT833	Initiation factor 3	V	V	V	Ъ		This study
CT868 Membrane thiol protease (predicted) > > > R This study	CT852	YhgN family	V	V	V	Ъ		This study
	CT868	Membrane thiol protease (predicted)	Λ	Λ	Λ	۲		This study
and of the series of the retrievent is retrieved of the retrievent / new the retrievent is here and the 1177 developments are income and the retrievent is development of the development of	gene of the	same size as the reterence; >, gene larger than the r	sterence; <, c	tene smaller than the re	terence; < <, gen	e with the	smallest size. I hree sequence sizes were observed for CI1/2,	depending on the disease group.

Table 3 C. trachomatis known and putative pseudogenes for a particular disease group and genes that present differences in gene length among strains from different disease

(translation initiation factor) and CT852 (integral membrane component) have longer sequences for all LGV strains, making them interesting targets for future evaluation, as the gene length may have a differential impact on the protein functionality. Additional analyses are now being performed at our lab in order to better characterize this complete set of genes (Table 3).

The analysis of polymorphism and dN/dS revealed no correlation between the two parameters, indicating that positive selection is highly targeted on specific genes or gene regions, or acts on strains with specific cell-appetence (Borges *et al.* 2012). Although our analysis was focused on whole genes (leading to an underestimation of positive selection), it is notable that the genes with significant dN/dS > 1 were mainly *incs* and T3SS effectors encoding genes, whereas the most polymorphic ones code essentially for membrane and hypothetical proteins. This seems to corroborate the assumption that proteins involved in strict pathogen-host interactions during the infection process are more prone to fix non-synonymous mutations, as previously reported in smaller scale studies (Almeida *et al.* 2012; Borges *et al.* 2012). On the other hand, polymorphism seems to be more pronounced in genes of other functional categories and may be due to discrete genetic drift, as most of the polymorphism is given by dS.

Finally, despite the controversial use of the traditional *ompA*-based typing method, it is worth noting that the main genetic variability within the *C. trachomatis* species is given by the different serovars, where additional strains from the same serovar contribute with few novel polymorphisms (driven either by drift or positive selection) that may impact the individual gene phylogenies (Figure 3).

As concluding remarks, our approach allowed the identification of genes that phylogenetically segregate strains according to specific phenotypes, namely the infection of the ocular tissue, the genitalia, the lymph nodes, as well as their clinical prevalence. It will certainly constitute an important database for prioritizing the targets for functional studies that are mandatory to clarify both their biological role and their involvement in tissue tropism, virulence and ecological success.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by a grant, ERA-PTG/0004/2010, from Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia (FCT) (to J.P.G.), in the frame of ERA-NET PathoGenoMics. A.N. is recipient of a FCT post-doctoral fellowship (SFRH/BPD/75295/2010), V.B. and R.F. are recipients of Ph.D. fellowships (SFRH/BD/68527/2010 and SFRH/BD/68532/2010, respectively) from FCT, and V.D. is a recipient of fellowship on behalf of the grant ERA-PTG/0004/2010.

LITERATURE CITED

- Allerberger, F., and M. Wagner, 2010 Listeriosis: a resurgent foodborne infection. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. 16: 16–23.
- Almeida, F., V. Borges, R. Ferreira, M. J. Borrego, J. P. Gomes *et al.*, 2012 Polymorphisms in Inc proteins and differential expression of *inc* genes among *Chlamydia trachomatis* strains correlate with invasiveness and tropism of lymphogranuloma venereum isolates. J. Bacteriol. 194: 6574–6585.

Borges, V., A. Nunes, R. Ferreira, M. J. Borrego, and J. P. Gomes, 2012 Directional evolution of *Chlamydia trachomatis* towards niche-specific adaptation. J. Bacteriol. 194: 6143–6153.

- Burton, M. J., 2007 Trachoma: an overview. Br. Med. Bull. 84: 99–116. Caldwell, H. D., H. Wood, D. Crane, R. Bailey, R. B. Jones *et al.*,
- 2003 Polymorphisms in *Chlamydia trachomatis* tryptophan synthase genes differentiate between genital and ocular isolates. J. Clin. Invest. 111: 1757–1769.
- Carabeo, R., 2011 Bacterial subversion of host actin dynamics at the plasma membrane. Cell. Microbiol. 13: 1460–1469.

Casadevall, A., and L. A. Pirofski, 2009 Virulence factos and their mechanism of action: the view from a damage-response framework. J. Water Health 7(Suppl 1): S2–S18.

Christerson, L., R. J. Bom, S. M. Bruisten, R. Yass, J. Hardick *et al.*, 2012 Chlamydia trachomatis strains show specific clustering for men who have sex with men compared to heterosexual populations in Sweden, the Netherlands, and the United States. J. Clin. Microbiol. 50: 3548–3555.

- Clarke, I. N., 2011 Evolution of *Chlamydia trachomatis*. Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. 1230: E11–E18.
- Clifton, D. R., K. A. Fields, S. S. Grieshaber, C. A. Dooley, E. R. Fischer *et al.*, 2004 A chlamydial type III translocated protein is tyrosine-phosphorylated at the site of entry and associated with recruitment of actin. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 101: 10166–10171.
- Cossart, P., 2011 Illuminating the landscape of host-pathogen interactions with the bacterium *Listeria monocytogenes*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 108: 19484–19491.
- da Cunha, M., C. Milho, F. Almeida, S. V. Pais, V. Borges et al., 2014 Identification of type III secretion substracts of *Chlamydia* trachomatis using Yersinia enterocolitica as a heterologous system. BMC Microbiol. 14: 40.
- Darling, A. E., B. Mau, and N. T. Perna, 2010 progressiveMauve: Multiple genome alignment with gene gain, loss, and rearrangement. PLoS One 5: e11147.
- Dehoux, P., R. Flores, C. Dauga, G. Zhong, and A. Subtil, 2011 Multigenome identification and characterization of chlamydiae-specific type III secretion substrates: the Inc proteins. BMC Genomics 12: 109.
- Derré, I., R. Swiss, and H. Agaisse, 2011 The lipid transfer protein CERT interacts with the *Chlamydia* inclusion protein IncD and participates to ER-*Chlamydia* inclusion membrane contact sites. PLoS Pathog. 7: e1002092.
- Ernst, R. K., T. Guina, and S. I. Miller, 1999 How intracellular bacteria survive: surface modifications that promote resistance to host innate immune responses. J. Infect. Dis. 179(Suppl 2): S326–S330.
- Felsenstein, J., 1985 Confidence limits on phylogenies: an approach using the bootstrap. Evolution 39: 783–791.
- Ferreira, R., V. Borges, A. Nunes, P. J. Nogueira, M. J. Borrego et al., 2012 Impact of genes nature on estimating recombination and mutation rates in *Chlamydia trachomatis*. G3 (Bethesda) 2: 761–768.
- Galtier, N., and V. Daubin, 2008 Dealing with incongruence in phylogenomic analyses. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci. 363: 4023–4029.
- Garcia-del Portillo, F., and B. B. Finlay, 1995 The varied lifestyles of intracellular pathogens within eukaryotic vacuolar compartments. Trends Microbiol. 3: 373–380.
- Giles, T. N., D. J. Fisher, and D. E. Graham, 2009 Independent inactivation of arginine decarboxylase genes by nonsense and missense mutations led to pseudogene formation in *Chlamydia trachomatis* serovar L2 and D strains. BMC Evol. Biol. 9: 166.
- Gomes, J. P., A. Nunes, W. J. Bruno, M. J. Borrego, C. Florindo *et al.*, 2006 Polymorphisms in the nine polymorphic membrane proteins of *Chlamydia trachomatis* across all serovars: evidence for serovar Da recombination and correlation with tissue tropism. J. Bacteriol. 188: 275–286.

Gomes, J. P., W. J. Bruno, A. Nunes, N. Santos, C. Florindo *et al.*,
 2007 Evolution of *Chlamydia trachomatis* diversity occurs by widespread interstrain recombination involving hotspots. Genome Res. 17: 50–60.

- Harris, S. R., I. N. Clarke, H. M. Seth-Smith, A. W. Solomon, L. T. Cutcliffe et al., 2012 Whole-genome analysis of diverse *Chlamydia trachomatis* strains identifies phylogenetic relationships masked by current clinical typing. Nat. Genet. 44: 413–419.
- Hava, D. L., and A. Camilli, 2002 Large-scale identification of serotype 4 Streptococcus pneumoniae virulence factors. Mol. Microbiol. 45: 1389–1406.
- Horn, M., A. Collingro, S. Schmitz-Esser, C. L. Beier, U. Purkhold *et al.*, 2004 Illuminating the evolutionary history of chlamydiae. Science 304: 728–730.
- Jeffrey, B. M., R. J. Suchland, K. L. Quinn, J. R. Davidson, W. E. Stamm et al., 2010 Genome sequencing of recent clinical *Chlamydia trachomatis* strains identifies genes associated with tissue tropism and regions of apparent recombination. Infect. Immun. 78: 2544–2553.

Joseph, S. J., X. Didelot, K. Gandhi, D. Dean, and T. D. Read, 2011 Interplay of recombination and selection in the genomes of *Chlamydia trachomatis*. Biol. Direct 6: 28.

Joseph, S. J., X. Didelot, J. Rothschild, H. J. de Vries, S. A. Morre *et al.*, 2012 Population genomics of *Chlamydia trachomatis*: insights on drift, selection, recombination, and population structure. Mol. Biol. Evol. 29: 3933–3946.

Kari, L., M. M. Goheen, L. B. Randall, L. D. Taylor, J. H. Carlson *et al.*,
2011 Generation of targeted *Chlamydia trachomatis* null mutants. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 108: 7189–7193.

Kimura, M., 1980 A simple method for estimating evolutionary rate of base substitutions through comparative studies of nucleotide sequences.J. Mol. Evol. 16: 111–120.

Kumar, Y., J. Cocchiaro, and R. H. Valdivia, 2006 The obligate intracellular pathogen *Chlamydia trachomatis* targets host lipid droplets. Curr. Biol. 16: 1646–1651.

Librado, P., and J. Rozas, 2009 DnaSP v5: a software for comprehensive analysis of DNA polymorphism data. Bioinformatics 25: 1451–1452.

Lole, K. S., R. C. Bollinger, R. S. Paranjape, D. Gadkari, S. S. Kulkarni *et al.*, 1999 Full-length human immunodeficiency virus type 1 genomes from subtype C-infected seroconverters in India, with evidence of intersubtype recombination. J. Virol. 73: 152–160.

Lutter, E. I., C. Martens, and T. Hackstadt, 2012 Evolution and conservation of predicted inclusion membrane proteins in *Chlamydiae*. Comp. Funct. Genomics 2012: 362104.

Mehlitz, A., S. Banhart, A. P. Maurer, A. Kaushansky, A. G. Gordus *et al.*, 2010 Tarp regulates early *Chlamydia*-induced host cell survival through interactions with the human adaptor protein SHC1. J. Cell Biol. 190: 143–157.

Mishra, M. K., H. C. Gerard, J. A. Whittum-Hudson, A. P. Hudson, and R. M. Kannan, 2012 Dendrimer-enabled modulation of gene expression in *Chlamydia trachomatis*. Mol. Pharm. 9: 413–421.

Muschiol, S., G. Boncompain, F. Vromman, P. Dehoux, S. Normark *et al.*, 2011 Identification of a family of effectors secreted by the type III secretion system that are conserved in pathogenic Chlamydiae. Infect. Immun. 79: 571–580.

Nei, M., and S. Kumar, 2000 Molecular Evolution and Phylogenetics. Oxford University Press, New York, NY.

Nelson, D. E., D. D. Crane, L. D. Taylor, D. W. Dorward, M. M. Goheen et al., 2006 Inhibition of Chlamydiae by primary alcohols correlates with the strainspecific complement of plasticity zone phospholipase D genes. Infect. Immun. 74: 73–80.

Nguyen, B. D., and R. H. Valdivia, 2012 Virulence determinants in the obligate intracellular pathogen *Chlamydia trachomatis* revealed by forward genetic approaches. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 109: 1263–1268.

Nunes, A., P. J. Nogueira, M. J. Borrego, and J. P. Gomes, 2008 *Chlamydia trachomatis* diversity viewed as a tissue-specific coevolutionary arms race. Genome Biol. 9: R153.

Nunes, A., P. J. Nogueira, M. J. Borrego, and J. P. Gomes, 2010 Adaptive evolution of the *Chlamydia trachomatis* dominant antigen reveals distinct evolutionary scenarios for B- and T-cell epitopes: worldwide survey. PLoS One 5: e13171.

Nunes, A., M. J. Borrego, and J. P. Gomes, 2013 Genomic features beyond *Chlamydia trachomatis* phenotypes: what do we think we know? Infect. Genet. Evol. 16: 392–400. Peipert, J. F., 2003 Clinical practice. Genital chlamydial infections. N. Engl. J. Med. 349: 2424–2430.

Pizarro-Cerdá, J., E. Moreno, M. Desjardins, and J. P. Gorvel, 1997 When intracellular pathogens invade the frontiers of cell biology and immunology. Histol. Histopathol. 12: 1027–1038.

Pizarro-Cerdá, J., A. Kühbacher, and P. Cossart, 2012 Entry of *Listeria monocytogenes* in mammalian epithelial cells: an updated view. Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Med. 2: a010009.

Read, T. D., S. J. Joseph, X. Didelot, B. Liang, L. Patel *et al.*, 2013 Comparative analysis of *Chlamydia psittaci* genomes reveals the recent emergence of a pathogenic lineage with a broad host range. MBio 4: e00604–e00612.

Robertson, D. L., B. H. Hahn, and P. M. Sharp, 1995 Recombination in AIDS viruses. J. Mol. Evol. 40: 249–259.

Rodgers, J. L., and W. A. Nicewander, 1988 Thirteen ways to look at the correlation coefficient. Am. Stat. 42: 59–66.

Saitou, N., and M. Nei, 1987 The neighbor-joining method: a new method for reconstructing phylogenetic trees. Mol. Biol. Evol. 4: 406– 425.

Salminen, M. O., J. K. Carr, D. S. Burke, and F. E. McCutchan, 1995 Identification of breakpoints in intergenotypic recombinants of HIV type 1 by bootscanning. AIDS Res. Hum. Retroviruses 11: 1423– 1425.

Schachter, J., 1978 Chlamydial infections (first of three parts). N. Engl. J. Med. 298: 428–435.

Song, L., J. H. Carlson, W. M. Whitmire, L. Kari, K. Virtaneva *et al.*, 2013 Chlamydia trachomatis plasmid-encoded Pgp4 is a transcriptional regulator of virulence-associated genes. Infect. Immun. 81: 636.

Stephens, R. S., G. Myers, M. Eppinger, and P. M. Bavoil, 2009 Divergence without difference. phylogenetics and taxonomy of *Chlamydia* resolved. FEMS Immunol. Med. Microbiol. 55: 115–119.

Tamura, K., D. Peterson, N. Peterson, G. Stecher, M. Nei et al., 2011 MEGA5: Molecular evolutionary genetics analysis using maximum likelihood, evolutionary distance, and maximum parsimony methods. Mol. Biol. Evol. 28: 2731–2739.

Taylor, L. D., D. E. Nelson, D. W. Dorward, W. M. Whitmire, and H. D. Caldwell, 2010 Biological characterization of *Chlamydia trachomatis* plasticity zone MACPF domain family protein CT153. Infect. Immun. 78: 2691–2699.

Thomson, N. R., M. T. Holden, C. Carder, N. Lennard, S. J. Lockey *et al.*, 2008 Chlamydia trachomatis: genome sequence analysis of lymphogranuloma venereum isolates. Genome Res. 18: 161–171.

Wang, Y., S. Kahane, L. T. Cutcliffe, R. J. Skilton, P. R. Lambden et al., 2011 Development of a transformation system for Chlamydia trachomatis: restoration of glycogen biosynthesis by acquisition of a plasmid shuttle vector. PLoS Pathog. 7: e1002258 .10.1371/journal. ppat.1002258

Wilson, J. W., M. J. Schurr, C. L. LeBlanc, R. Ramamurthy, K. L. Buchanan et al., 2002 Mechanisms of bacterial pathogenicity. Postgrad. Med. J. 78: 216–224.

Wright, H. R., A. Turner, and H. R. Taylor, 2008 Trachoma. Lancet 371: 1945–1954.

Communicating editor: I. M. Hall