

# Further contributions towards the molecular analysis of NIPBL and SMC1A genes in a cohort of patients with Cornelia de Lange Syndrome



brought to you by

Centro de Genética Médica Dr. Jacinto Magalhães

E. Pinto da Costa<sup>1</sup>, J. Oliveira<sup>1</sup>, J. Silva<sup>2</sup>, R. Santos<sup>1</sup>


<sup>1</sup> Unidade de Investigação e desenvolvimento, Centro de Genética Médica Dr. Jacinto Magalhães - INSA, Porto, Portugal, <sup>2</sup> Unidade de Consulta, Centro de Genética Médica Dr. Jacinto Magalhães - INSA, Porto, Porto, Portugal.

# Background

**Cornelia de Lange Syndrome** [CdLS (MIM#122470)] is a rare multisystemic developmental disorder with an estimated incidence of 1/10,000 - 30,000 births. It is characterized by a typical phenotype that includes distinctive facial dysmorphism, hirsutism, growth and psychomotor developmental delay, limb abnormalities, and relatively frequent gastrointestinal and congenital heart defects [1,2].

Mutations in the NIPBL gene [5p13.1 (MIM\*608667)] have been identified in ~50% of patients, while mutations in SMC1A gene [Xp11.2 (MIM\*300040)] account for ~5% of individuals with CdLS. Mutations have also been described in SMC3 [10q25 (MIM\*606062)] [3,4]. More recently, individuals with alterations in the RAD21 gene [8q24.11 (MIM\*606462)] were also found to develop a cohesinopathy, with a milder cognitive impairment. These genes encode proteins linked to the cohesin complex that mediates sister-chromatid cohesion. This complex is involved in global transcriptional regulation and repair of DNA double strand breaks [5].

# **Patients and Methods**




The molecular and clinical characterization of Portuguese CdLS patients has been previously described, as well as the development of a Locus Specific Database for NIPBL [6]. This presentation is an update on the molecular findings of our patient cohort; in particular, new NIPBL mutations which include large deletions, and screening for mutations in SMC1A by high resolution melting curve analysis (HRM).

## Results

#### **Table 1:** Mutations found in a subset of our patient cohort

14	c.(5710-?)_(*927+?)del <i>MC1A</i> Accession number o	Exon 31 to 47	p.(?)	unknown	MLPA	large deletion	severe	Novel
13	c.(?481)_(*927_?)del	Exon 1 to 47	p.(?)	de novo	MLPA	large deletion	severe	Novel
12	c.7307C>T	Exon 43	p.(Ala2436Val)	de novo	SEQ.	missense	n.a.	Novel
11	c.7168G>A	Exon 42	p.(Ala2390Thr)	de novo	SEQ.	missense	moderate	Gillis 2004 [10]
10	c.6983C>G	Exon 41	p.(Thr2328Arg)	unknown	SEQ.	missense	n.a.	Novel
9	c.6763+5G>T	Intron 39	p.(?)	unknown	SEQ.	splicing	mild	Krantz et al 2004
8	c.6653_6655deIATA	Exon 39	p.(Asn2218del)	de novo	SEQ.	deletion	moderate	Borck et al 2004
7	c.5471C>T	Exon 29	p.(Ser1824Leu)	unknown	SEQ.	missense	mild	Oliveira et al 2010
6	c.4422G>T	Exon 21	p.(Arg1474Ser)	de novo	SEQ.	missense	mild	Oliveira et al 2010
5	c.3316C>T	Exon 12	p.(Arg1106*)	de novo	SEQ.	nonsense	mild	Miyake et al 2005
4	c.1885C>T	Exon 10	p.(Arg629*)	unknown	SEQ.	nonsense mosaicism?	moderate	Myake et al 2005 [9
3	c.86del	Exon 3	p.Pro29Hisfs*18	unknown	SEQ.	frameshift	severe	Novel
2	c.64+1G>A	Exon 2	p.(?)	de novo	SEQ.	splicing	mild	Borck et al 2004 [8
				NIPBL gene	b			
1	c.1487G>A	Exon 9	p.(Arg496His)	de novo	HRM/SEQ	missense	n.a.	Deardorff et al 2007
				SMC1A gene	а			
atient	Mutations	Location	Predicted polypeptide change	Variant/Origin	Detection	Туре	Clinical Phenotype [7]	References

#### **SMC1A** mutation




#### SMC1A mutation

We identified a previously described mutation in SMC1A c.1487G>A (p.Arg496His) in patient 1 (Fig. 1). The HRM technique was used for mutation scanning, 3 replicates of each sample were used to prevent false positives/negative results. Samples with consistent abnormal melting curves were sequenced.

#### **NIPBL** mutations

11 point mutations were identified upon sequencing including 3 novel mutations: c.68delC (causing a frame-shift), missense mutations c.6983C>G (p.Thr2328Arg) and c.7307C>T (p.Ala2436Val) (Fig. 2, 4 and 5). We suspect the existence of somatic mosaicism in case 4, since the c.1885C>T mutation is underrepresented as compared with the WT allele (Fig. 3). Two novel gross rearrangements were found by MLPA (Fig. 7 and 8): one deletion covering the complete NIPBL gene in patient 13; and the other deletion spanning exons 31 to 47 of in case 14.



13 ⇒ c.(?\_-481)\_(\*927\_?)del


### **Concluding remarks**


The mutation detection rates in our cohort correspond to those cited in the literature (Fig. 9 and 10). Our results also corroborate the importance of using different techniques (especially MLPA for NIPBL) to attain higher mutation detection rates.


The relatively small size of SMC1A exons and the limited number of SNPs allowed the use of HRM as a preliminary mutation screening method. This approach is more cost effective and time saving as compared to sequencing, especially considering the reduced number of cases with SMC1A mutations reported.

Somatic mosaicism is probably underestimated in the literature and might explain some degree of phenotypic variability in some patients. This holds true for the c.1885C>T mutation that was originally reported in a Japanese CdLS patient with a severe phenotype - our case (with suspected somatic mosaicism) was classified as moderate.

Routine Sanger sequencing may not be sufficiently sensitive to detect low levels (<20%) of mutated alleles, leading to false negative results. We have shown that the use of HRM might be helpful to detect mutations in cases with somatic mosaicism.







REFERENCES							
<ol> <li>Krantz <i>et al.</i> 2004. Nat Genet; 36:631-5;</li> <li>Tonkin <i>et al.</i> 2004. Nat Genet; 36:636-41;</li> <li>Musio <i>et al.</i> 2006 . Nat Genet 38:528–30;</li> <li>Kline <i>et al.</i> 2007. Am J Hum Genet. 143:1287-96;</li> <li>Miyake <i>et al.</i> 2005. Am J Med Genet. 135:103–105;</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>4 - Deardorff <i>et al.</i> 2007. Am J Hum Genet 80: 485–494;</li> <li>5 - Deardorff <i>et al</i> 2012 Am J Hum Genet 90(6):1014-27</li> <li>6 - Oliveira et al 2010 Hum Mutat.31(11):1216-2;</li> <li>8 - Borck et al 2004 Am J Med Genet 41(12):e128.</li> <li>10- Gillis <i>et al.</i> 2004. Am J Hum Genet. 75: 610–23;</li> </ul>						