

STIM IR subcommittee report to COLD – 2015 update

Aaron Collier, Chancellor's Office
Suzanna Conrad, Cal Poly Pomona
Carmen Mitchell, CSU San Marcos
Joan Parker, Moss Landing Marine Laboratories
Andrew Weiss, CSU Northridge

Overview

- I. Charge
- II. Recommendations
- III. What's changed? / What still stands?
- IV. Emergent issues
- V. Future developments

Charge from COLD (August 2012):

1. To compare Total Costs of Ownership (TCO) of the CSU Chancellor's Office Systemwide Digital Library Services *DSpace* implementation with a CSU system-wide implementation of bepress' *Digital Commons*;
2. To provide recommendations on *MetaArchive* & Private LOCKSS Networks for the CSU;
3. To provide a recommendation on the need for and appropriateness of a CSU systemwide IR coordinator position situated at the Chancellor's Office Systemwide Digital Library Services.

Executive Summary I

- Section I: TCO for DSpace vs. Digital Commons
 - Est. \$130,000 for DSpace; est. \$930,000 for DC (yearly)
- Section II: DSpace & DC compared
 - Open source equivalents of DC services exist & should be investigated (i.e. PKP/OJS; data visualization; CSU scholarship portals)
- Section III: CSU IR landscape investigated
 - robust use of materials regardless of platform
 - IR's success depends on the amount of work-hours dedicated to it

Executive Summary II

- Section IV: IR recommendations from group
 - Eliminate the artificial boundaries between IR platforms; develop systemwide content portal;
 - Create a CSU system-wide Faculty Open Access mandate
- Section V: Addressing Charges 2 &3
 - Digital preservation with Glacier, consider MetaArchive
 - IR Coordinator for all CSUs – revisit this idea later

Report Recommendations (April 2013):

1. Continue to offer *DSpace* as a centrally hosted service.
2. Examine open source solutions for journal publishing and other IR services.
3. Begin investigation of next-generation open source IR platforms.
4. Begin efforts for CSU collaboration across all IRs regardless of platforms, including data management planning, Open Access initiatives, and CSU-wide access portal for IRs.
5. Continue to use current Amazon Glacier system in place for digital preservation, but subsequently evaluate *MetaArchive* in more detail.
6. Do not fund an additional staff position at the Chancellor's Office at this time, but revisit staffing needs at a later date.

What's changed/What's the same?

- CSU / Chancellor's Office
- Moss Landing Marine Laboratories:
 - moved to an Islandora platform (locally hosted, supported by Discovery Garden) to have a single solution for theses, faculty publications, images, archives and data.
- CSUN:
 - Faculty Senate OA resolution
 - Preliminary OJS examinations
- Other institutions

What are the emergent issues for IRs?

- Journal publishing
- Data management
- Platform obsolescence – or, what’s next?
- Copyright/Fair Use (i.e. HathiTrust case)
- Open Access movement – esp. AB 609; open data; open IDs;
- Other?

Future Developments

- How can CSU repositories be more collaborative?
- What collaborative projects would work well (regardless of platform)?
- Should we reconsider the CSU-wide IR coordinator position?
- Does the IR subcommittee need to reconvene?
- Should there be a IR group independent of COLD?