Washington University School of Medicine Digital Commons@Becker

Open Access Publications

2013

Introduced amino terminal epitopes can reduce surface expression of neuronal nicotinic receptors

John R. Bracamontes Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis

Gustav Akk Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis

Joe Henry Steinbach Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis

Follow this and additional works at: http://digitalcommons.wustl.edu/open_access_pubs

Recommended Citation

Bracamontes, John R.; Akk, Gustav; and Steinbach, Joe Henry, ,"Introduced amino terminal epitopes can reduce surface expression of neuronal nicotinic receptors." PLoS One.11,3. e0151071. (2013). http://digitalcommons.wustl.edu/open_access_pubs/4694

This Open Access Publication is brought to you for free and open access by Digital Commons@Becker. It has been accepted for inclusion in Open Access Publications by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons@Becker. For more information, please contact engeszer@wustl.edu.



GOPEN ACCESS

Citation: Bracamontes JR, Akk G, Steinbach JH (2016) Introduced Amino Terminal Epitopes Can Reduce Surface Expression of Neuronal Nicotinic Receptors. PLoS ONE 11(3): e0151071. doi:10.1371/ journal.pone.0151071

Editor: Israel Silman, Weizmann Institute of Science, ISRAEL

Received: December 10, 2015

Accepted: February 23, 2016

Published: March 10, 2016

Copyright: © 2016 Bracamontes et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution License</u>, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the paper and its Supporting Information files.

Funding: This work was supported by the National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke (grant NS22356). The funder had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Introduced Amino Terminal Epitopes Can Reduce Surface Expression of Neuronal Nicotinic Receptors

John R. Bracamontes¹, Gustav Akk^{1,2}, Joe Henry Steinbach^{1,2}*

1 Department of Anesthesiology, Washington University School of Medicine, Saint Louis, MO, United States of America, 2 Taylor Family Institute for Innovative Psychiatric Research, Washington University School of Medicine, Saint Louis, MO, United States of America

* jhs@morpheus.wustl.edu

Abstract

Epitopes accessible on the surface of intact cells are extremely valuable in studies of membrane proteins, allowing quantification and determination of the distribution of proteins as well as identification of cells expressing large numbers of proteins. However for many membrane proteins there are no suitable antibodies to native sequences, due to lack of availability, low affinity or lack of specificity. In these cases the use of an introduced epitope at specific sites in the protein of interest can often provide a suitable tool for studies. However, the introduction of the epitope sequence has the potential to affect protein expression, the assembly of multisubunit proteins or transport to the surface membrane. We find that surface expression of heteromeric neuronal nicotinic receptors containing the α 4 and β 4 subunits can be affected by introduced epitopes when inserted near the amino terminus of a subunit. The FLAG epitope greatly reduces surface expression when introduced into either α 4 or β 4 subunits, the V5 epitope has little effect when placed in either, while the Myc epitope reduces expression more when inserted into β 4 than α 4. These results indicate that the extreme amino terminal region is important for assembly of these receptors, and demonstrate that some widely used introduced epitopes may severely reduce surface expression.

Introduction

Receptors for neurotransmitters mediate cellular responses to extracellular ligands and their known physiological role requires that they be expressed on the surface membrane of cells, often in particular regions (e.g. subsynaptic membrane). For this reason it is valuable to have probes for the presence of these receptors that recognize them in intact cells, in normal conditions. Some receptors have small molecule or toxin probes that associate with extracellular regions and can be used for this purpose, but antibodies to either native or introduced epitopes in the extracellular domain are the most widely used reagents. Introduced epitopes are commonly used when antibodies to native epitopes are unavailable, of low affinity on intact receptors, or demonstrate too high a level of cross-reactivity. There are a number of specific epitope sequences available, with well-characterized and relatively low-cost antibodies. However, the use of introduced sequences raises the possibility that expression of the mature receptor on the

surface may be changed as a result of altered synthesis or folding of individual subunits, assembly of subunits or transport of the mature receptor to the cell surface.

Transmitter-gated membrane channels in the pentameric ligand-gated ion channel (PLGIC) family are multimeric proteins whose subunits must assemble in intracellular compartments and then the assembled receptors must be transported to the surface membrane to serve their physiological function. The subunits in this gene family share a common overall structure, with a large extracellular amino-terminal domain followed by three transmembrane domains. A relatively large intracellular loop occurs between the third and fourth transmembrane domains, and a short extracellular domain occurs at the carboxy-terminal. A series of experiments have demonstrated that successful surface expression can be disrupted by alterations in each of these regions [1], indicating the multiplicity of the interactions involved.

We have been studying members of this gene family, and use both native and introduced epitopes to quantitate the numbers of receptors on the cell surface. We and others have inserted epitopes into the amino-terminal region of several subunits of the GABA_A receptor, without significant effects on surface expression of receptors (HA [2], FLAG [3, 4], Myc [3, 4] epitopes, an α -bungarotoxin-binding motif [5] and even fluorescent proteins [5, 6] However, when we extended this work to the α 4 neuronal nicotinic subunit we found that surface expression was reduced by insertion of the FLAG epitope. Insertion of a series of epitopes demonstrates that some epitopes greatly reduce surface expression while others have no significant effect. Studies of the β 4 and β 2 subunits indicate that this sensitivity to introduced sequences occurs in other neuronal nicotinic receptor subunits. Overall the data suggest that extended α -helical content at the extreme amino-terminus of these subunits may reduce their ability to assemble.

Materials and Methods

cDNA constructs and mutagenesis

Human α 4, β 2, and β 4 cDNAs were obtained from Dr. Lindstrom (University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA). Each of these cDNAs was transferred to the pcDNA3 expression vector (Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY), and various epitope tags and sequences were introduced through mutagenesis. Each of the three cDNA constructs was mutated to include the tags or sequences near the N terminus of the mature peptide. The QuikChange (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA) mutagenesis method was used to introduce the indicated modifications. Full-length sequencing of the coding region was done to validate the mutations and to show that no other changes were made.

The constructs, from the predicted amino terminus, had the sequences (inserted sequence underlined):

Human α4:

FLAG4:	HVET <u>DYKDDDDK</u> RAH
FLAG9:	HVETRAHAE <u>DYKDDDDK</u> ERLL
Myc:	HVET <u>EQKLISEEDL</u> RAH
HA:	HVET <u>YPYDVPDYA</u> RAH
V5:	HVET <u>GKPIPNPLLGSLDT</u> RAH
PolyA:	HVET <u>AAAAAAAA</u> RAH
RC:	HVET <u>YKNWSPIP</u> RAH
RC2:	HVETRAHAEERLLKKLFS <u>YKNLSPIP</u> GYN
Human	84:
FLAG4:	RVAN <u>DYKDDDDK</u> AEE
Myc:	RVAN <u>EQKLISEEDL</u> AEE
V5:	RVAN <u>GKPIPNPLLGSLDT</u> AEE

RC:	RVAN <u>YKNWSPIP</u> AEE
RC2:	RVANAEEKLMDDLLN <u>YKNLSPIP</u> KTF
Myc+T	RVAN <u>EQKLISEEDLT</u> AEE
RC+T:	RVAN <u>YKNWSPIPT</u> AEE
Human β	2:
FLAG4:	GTDT <u>DYKDDDDK</u> EER
V5:	GTDTGKPIPNPLLGSLDTEER

Cell culture, transfection, and enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay

Cell culture conditions, using human embryonic kidney (HEK) 293 cells, have been described in detail previously [7, 8]. Transfections were done using the Effectene transfection reagent (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) and cDNAs at a ratio of 1:1 α 4: β 2 or α 4: β 4. HEK 293 cells (ATCC, Gaithersburg, MD) were plated at a density of about 50,000 cells/well in 24-well dishes treated with poly-d-lysine (Biocoat, Horsham, PA). Transfections were done 1 day after plating, and enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISA) were performed 2 days after transfection.

The QT6 quail fibroblast cell line was cultured and transfected as described previously [9]. Cells were plated at a density of about 50,000 cells/well in 24-well dishes treated with poly-d-lysine (Biocoat, Horsham, PA). Transfections were done using the Effectene transfection reagent (Qiagen) at a ratio of 1:1 α 4: β 4. Transfections were done 1 day after plating, and enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISA) were performed 2 days after transfection.

ELISA assays were performed as previously reported [10]. In each experiment, for each set of subunits transfected, three wells were used for ELISA assays and two wells for a protein assay using a bicinchoninic acid method (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA).

The surface expression of the α 4 subunit was assayed by the binding of a monoclonal antibody recognizing an extracellular epitope (mAb 299; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO), and a horseradish peroxidase–coupled IgG anti-rat antibody (GE Healthcare Bio-Sciences, Piscataway, NJ). Surface expression of the FLAG epitope was assayed by the binding of the anti-FLAG monoclonal antibody (m2; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO), and a horseradish peroxidase IgG anti-mouse antibody (GE Healthcare Bio-Sciences). Cells were washed in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) (137 mM NaCl, 2.7 mM KCl, 4.3 mM Na₂HPO4, and 1.4 mM KH₂PO4, pH 7.3), blocked with 4% (w/v) powdered milk in PBS for 30 minutes at room temperature, and then incubated with primary antibody for 60 minutes at room temperature. After a wash with milk-PBS, the cells were incubated with horseradish peroxidase conjugated secondary antibody (60 minutes), washed again with milk-PBS four times then washed with PBS four times, and finally incubated with the horseradish peroxidase substrate 1-Step Ultra TMB (3,3',5,5' tetramethylbenzidine; Thermo Fisher Scientific). The absorbance of the supernatant was measured with a plate reader (iMark; Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA

ELISA results were analyzed by subtracting machine background, then normalizing the ELISA optical density signal to the cell protein. We then subtracted the mean normalized signal from the negative control from all values. To control for variability in transfection, all the data were then normalized to the relative ELISA for the positive control obtained for that transfection. The end result is a number giving the expression relative to that for wild-type receptors, where 1 means equivalent expression and 0 expression equivalent to the negative control.

Western blotting

Western blotting was performed on extracts from transiently transfected HEK cells. A 150mm cell culture dish was seeded with cells at about 50% confluency. Transfection was done the following day using Effectene. Cells were harvested the second day after transfection. Cells were

washed 1x with 20 ml ice cold PBS followed by a second wash with 10 ml ice cold PBS. A third wash with 5 ml of PBS with protease inhibitors (P8465; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) was done before scraping of cells off the dish. Cells were scraped from the dish after adding 2 ml of PBS + inhibitors, and cells were subsequently transferred to a 15 ml centrifuge tube. The plate was rinsed with another 2 ml of PBS + inhibitors and added to the centrifuge tube. Cells were centrifuged at 800 rpm for 5 min., 4°C. Supernatant was removed and 1ml PBS(300mM NaCl)/ inhibitors was added to cells which were then transferred to a 1.5ml microcentrifuge tube. Cells were lysed with two freeze thaw cycles in liquid nitrogen. Lysate was centrifuged and supernatant was discarded, retaining the pellet. The membrane pellet was resuspended with PBS + inhibitors + 2% triton X-100 and extracted at 4°C 1 hour. Extracted lysate was centrifuged 5 min at 1000 rpm to remove cell debris. Supernatant was retained for PAGE and Western blot.

An equal volume of 2x Laemmli buffer was added to the protein extracts and incubated at room temperature for one hour. Fifty microliters (25 µg total protein) of each sample were then loaded onto a precast 4 to 15% gradient Tris-glycine polyacrylamide gel (Bio-Rad) and electrophoresed. The gel was then transferred to a nitrocellulose Hybond-ECL membrane (GE Healthcare, Chalfont St. Giles, Buckinghamshire, UK). The membrane was preblocked in 100% Odyssey block solution (LI-COR Biosciences, Lincoln, NE) at room temperature for 1 h, followed by overnight incubation in a solution of 50% Odyssey block solution: 50% phosphate-buffered saline (PBS; 137 mM NaCl, 2.7 mM KCl, 4.3 mM Na2HPO4, and 1.4 mM KH2PO4, pH 7.3) containing 0.2% Tween 20 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) with primary antibody. The primary antibody was raised to the cytoplasmic loop of the α 4 subunit (H-133, 1:500 dilution; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA). The membrane was washed with PBS + 0.2% Tween 20, nine times, then incubated with goat anti-rabbit IRDye 680 (LI-COR Biosciences) at a 1:2000 dilution in a solution of 50% blocking buffer and 50% PBS with 0.2% Tween 20 at room temperature for 30 min. The membrane was washed as before followed by a rinse in PBS. Bands were visualized using the Odyssey system (LI-COR Biosciences).

Electrophysiology

Voltage-clamp recordings were made from transfected HEK cells 2 to 3 days after transfection, as described previously [11]. Cells expressing a high density of surface receptors were identified with a bead-labeling technique [9], using mAb299 to provide a qualitative measure of the surface level of the α 4 subunit. In previous work we have found that many cells show no response to acetylcholine, presumably because of an absence of successful transfection or expression [12, 13]. The response to a high concentration of acetylcholine (1 mM) was used to indicate the functional status of the receptors and the response to a lower concentration (10 μ M) was used to determine whether large differences in the potency of acetylcholine were present.

Predictions of secondary structure

We used programs available on the World Wide Web to predict changes in secondary structure as a result of the inserted sequences, in all cases using the default parameters. The region analyzed was the initial amino-terminal portion of the predicted mature subunit (see <u>Results</u>). We used GORV (<u>http://gor.bb.iastate.edu/</u>) and Jpred4 (<u>http://www.compbio.dundee.ac.uk/jpred/</u>) as well as the consensus prediction program Sympred (<u>http://www.ibi.vu.nl/programs/</u> <u>sympredwww/</u>). In the case of Sympred, the default prediction programs used to generate the consensus were PROFsec, SSPro 2.01, YASPIN and PSIPred. As noted in Results, the predictions were relatively similar for some sequences (e.g. for wild-type α 4 and β 4 subunits) but diverged for some inserted sequences.

Data analysis

Results are presented as mean \pm SE (number of experiments). Statistical tests on surface ELISA results relative to wild type subunits were performed by ANOVA with Dunnett's correction for multiple comparisons (STATA, StataCorp, College Station, TX); the tests were performed separately for comparing the α 4 and β 4 subunits. In some cases t-tests (unpaired, unequal variances) were performed to compare two sets of data (e.g. α 4 β 2 vs. α 4 β 4) (Excel, Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, WA). Correlation between surface ELISA and predicted structural features was examined using Excel.

Results

Epitope insertion into the $\alpha 4$ subunit can reduce surface expression of $\alpha 4\beta 4$ receptors

We and others have inserted the FLAG epitope (DYKDDDDK) near the amino-terminal end of GABA_A receptor subunits without reducing surface expression [3, 4]. Accordingly, we constructed a nicotinic α 4 subunit with FLAG inserted at a homologous position between residues 4 and 5 of the mature subunit (FLAG4; see Fig 1). We were surprised when initial results showed low binding of a monoclonal antibody to the FLAG epitope to cells expressing nicotinic receptors containing this subunit coexpressed with the nicotinic β 4 subunit. We then used a monoclonal antibody to the α 4 subunit that recognizes an extracellular epitope (mAb 299; [14]) to examine the level of surface expression in ELISA assays on intact cells. The data shown in Fig 2 and Table 1 demonstrate that the presence of the FLAG epitope significantly reduces the amount of α 4 subunit that reaches the cell surface.

The first column identifies the construct in terms of the inserted sequence. The relative surface epitope expression when the insertion is made in the α 4 subunit is shown in the second column, and expression when the insertion is made in the β 4 subunit in the third column. Data are given as mean ± SE (number of transfections). Results of statistical tests are shown in the square brackets as the probability that the difference to the wild-type subunit would arise by chance (both columns; t-test with Dunnett's correction for multiple comparisons) followed by the probability that the difference between results when the sequence is inserted in the β 4 subunit versus the α 4 subunit would arise by chance (only in β 4 column; unpaired t-test with unequal variance). "nd" indicates that the construct was not studied.

To explore this further, we inserted the Myc (EQKLISEEDL), HA (YPYDVPDYA) and V5 (GKPIPNPLLGLDST) epitope tags at the same position and assayed surface levels of α 4 using mAb 299. As shown in Fig 2 and Table 1 the different epitopes had differential effects on surface expression: FLAG4 produced the greatest reduction (to 19% of wild type), HA and Myc produced partial reduction (to 49% and 34%, respectively) while V5 had no significant effect. Clearly the nature of the epitope can influence the effect on expression.

In the absence of a β subunit, surface expression of $\alpha 4$ was not significantly different from the negative control (empty pcDNA3): for $\alpha 4$ subunits expressed in the absence of any β

α4	ΗV	Е	Т	R	А	Н	А	Ε	Ε	R	L	L	Κ	Κ	L	F	S	G	Y	Ν	Κ	W	S	R	Ρ	٧	А	Ν	L	S
β4	R	V	А	Ν	Α	E	Е	Κ	L	М	D	D	L	L	Ν	Κ	Т	R	Y	Ν	Ν	L	L	R	Ρ	А	Т	S	S	S

Fig 1. Sequences of the amino-terminal regions of the subunits studied. The sequences of the mature subunit from the predicted amino-termini are shown for the human nicotinic $\alpha 4$ and $\beta 4$ subunits are shown. The locations of insertions are shown by the boxed residues (e.g. insertions were made between $\alpha 4$ T4 and R5). The region indicated by gray shading is likely to be α -helical based on alignments to related subunits of known structure (see Text for details). The two leucine residues shown in *italics* are residues identified as important for expression in the nicotinic $\alpha 7$ subunit (see Text).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0151071.g001



Fig 2. Comparison of relative surface expression for different constructs. The same sequence was inserted into the $\alpha 4$ and $\beta 4$ subunits, with generally similar effects on surface epitope expression. The only pair that differed significantly in relative surface expression was when the Myc epitope was inserted (P = 0.005 that the difference would have arisen by chance). Data shown are mean ± SE; for numbers of transfections see <u>Table 1</u>. The dashed vertical line shows a value of 1, corresponding to the expression seen for wild-type $\alpha 4$ and $\beta 4$ subunits, and the thin vertical line shows a value of 0, corresponding to the expression seen in the negative control (pcDNA3 vector). "nd" indicates that the construct was not studied.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0151071.g002

subunit the binding of mAb299 was 0.010 \pm 0.007 (N = 2) relative to binding when $\alpha 4$ was expressed with $\beta 4.$

To determine whether insertion of the FLAG epitope affected the total level of synthesized subunits, we performed Western blots of total protein using an antibody to an epitope in the major cytoplasmic loop of the α 4 subunit (Fig 3). In two separate experiments the total level of α 4FLAG4 was reduced to 53% and 74% of the wild-type level. This reduction is less than the reduction in surface protein (to 19%) suggesting that the major effect on surface expression is not reduced synthesis or accumulation of α 4 protein, but instead reflects deficits in maturation or assembly of receptors, or transport to the surface.

Epitope insertion into a β subunit can reduce surface expression of α 4-containing receptors

We then made analogous insertions in the β 4 subunit (<u>Fig 1</u>). The FLAG epitope again reduced surface expression to 20% of wild type, while Myc reduced expression to a greater extent and

Insert	insertion in α4	insertion in β4
wt	1.00 (21)	1.00 (21)
V5	1.06 ± 0.07 (5) [0.81]	0.89 ± 0.18 (5) [0.96, 0.42]
RC	0.80 ± 0.13 (3) [0.013]	0.59 ± 0.04 (3) [0.15, 0.24]
HA	0.49 ± 0.06 (4) [<0.001]	nd
Мус	0.34 ± 0.04 (4) [<0.001]	0.09 ± 0.01 (3) [<0.001, 0.005]
FLAG9	0.33 ± 0.04 (4) [<0.001]	nd
PolyA	0.22 ± 0.05 (2) [<0.001]	nd
FLAG4	0.19 ± 0.04 (11) [<0.001]	0.19 ± 0.06 (5) [<0.001, 0.98]
RC2	0.00 ± 0.00 (3) [<0.001]	0.01 ± 0.01 (4) [<0.001, 0.41]
Myc+T	nd	1.20 ± 0.24 (6) [0.098,—]
RC+T	nd	1.45 ± 0.33 (6) [<0.001,—]
1 : 40 4074/	0454074 (004	

Table 1. Summary of expression data.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0151071.t001



Fig 3. Immunoblot comparing total expression of α 4 immunoreactive material between α 4 β 4 receptors and α 4FLAG4 β 4 receptors. The figure shows a molecular weight ladder (1st lane), then protein from untransfected HEK cells ("HEK"), from cells transfected with α 4 and β 4 subunits (" α 4") and from cells transfected with α 4 FLAG4 and β 4 subunits (" α 4"). The α 4 subunit was detected using an antibody against the cytoplasmic loop. Quantitation of the bands at about 70 kD reveals a modest decrease in the α 4 FLAG β 4 receptor compared to wild type (to 74% of wild-type). The α 4 subunit is expected to migrate with an apparent molecular weight of about 67 kD. The more slowly migrating, likely aggregated, material showed a similar reduction, to 73% of wild type.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0151071.g003

V5 did not significantly affect expression (Fig 2, Table 1). In comparing the extent of surface expression when an epitope was placed in α 4 versus β 4, FLAG4 and V5 had similar effects in either subunit (P = 0.97 for FLAG4 and 0.58 for V5 that the differences between the levels when the insertion was made in the α 4 versus β 4 subunit were due only to chance; unpaired t-test). For Myc the level of expression when the epitope was placed in the β 4 subunit was lower than when in α 4 (P = 0.005).

Clearly, insertion of an epitope into either the $\alpha 4$ or $\beta 4$ subunit can reduce expression, although there may be some difference in the extent of expression depending on the subunit and introduced sequence.

The α 4 subunit is often expressed in receptors that also contain the β 2 subunit, so we tested whether expression of receptors containing the β 2 subunit was also sensitive to insertion of an epitope. Surface expression of the receptors when the α 4 subunit was expressed with the wild-type β 2 subunit was reduced compared to expression with the β 4 subunit (relative expression 0.33 ± 0.03, N = 3; P = 0.002), as also noted earlier [15]). Insertion of FLAG into the β 2 subunit between the fourth and fifth residues reduced mAb 299 binding to background levels (relative expression 0.000 ± 0.002, N = 3) while insertion of V5 reduced expression to 0.44 ± 0.07 (N = 3) of the level seen with wild type β 2 (in both cases P < 0.001 for difference to wild-type β 2). Accordingly, the β 2 subunit shows qualitatively comparable effects of epitope insertion as the α 4 and β 4 subunit.

Expression of β 4V5 alone was tested using antibody to V5; surface expression of β 4V5 alone was 0.012 ± 0.007 (N = 3) relative to β 4V5 expressed with α 4. Accordingly, the vast majority of surface receptors contain both α 4 and β subunits.

Receptors with V5 epitope insertions are functional

We recorded whole-cell currents evoked by acetylcholine from receptors composed of wildtype $\alpha 4$ with $\beta 4$ subunits, $\alpha 4V5$ with $\beta 4$, and $\alpha 4$ with $\beta 4V5$. As shown in Fig.4 all 3 types of receptors resulted in robust evoked responses. Cells were selected for study using beads and monoclonal antibody 299 (see Methods) to identify cells that expressed surface receptors. The amplitudes of responses to 1 mM acetylcholine were: $\alpha 4\beta 4$ 1468 ± 640 nA (6 cells; mean ± SE), $\alpha 4V5\beta 4$ 608 ± 92 nA (6) and $\alpha 4\beta 4V5$ 2650 ± 740 nA (5), which did not differ significantly (P > 0.06 for any pairwise comparison, one way ANOVA with Bonferroni correction). The relative responses to 10 μ M acetylcholine were: $\alpha 4\beta 4$ 0.38 ± 0.09 (3), $\alpha 4V5\beta 4$ 0.23 ± 0.02 (4) and $\alpha 4\beta 4V5$ 0.24 ± 0.02 (4), which also did not differ significantly (P > 0.2). Responses showed some decrease during the 5 sec application of 1 mM acetylcholine, likely due to receptor desensitization, but the amount varied between cells and did not differ significantly between receptors of different composition (P > 0.1). We did not characterize the functional properties further.



Fig 4. Receptors containing subunits with the V5 epitope are functional. The figure shows responses of cells to applications of acetylcholine (left column 1 mM, right column 10 μ M). Each row shows responses from a single cell to the application of different concentrations of acetylcholine (top row wild-type subunits, middle row α 4V5 β 4, bottom row α 4 β 4V5). The horizontal scale bar shows 4 sec for all panels, the vertical scale bar in the left column shows the current calibration for both panels in that row.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0151071.g004

Insertion of epitopes has similar effects on expression in quail fibroblasts

We expressed some combinations of subunits in the QT6 quail fibroblast cell line [9], to determine whether the inserted epitopes had different consequences in other expression systems. When compared to wild-type subunits, expression was reduced when α 4FLAG4 was expressed with β 4 (the mean relative expression was 0.20; 2 independent values 0.09 & 0.32) but not when α 4V5 was (1.15; 1.25 & 1.04). Similarly, β 4FLAG reduced surface expression (0.24; 0.24 & 0.24) to a lesser extent than β 4V5 (0.66; 0.73 & 0.59). Accordingly the effects of the insertions are not restricted to the HEK expression system.

Effects of other insertions

We tested two additional inserted sequences that are not epitope tags (Figs 5 and 6). One is a sequence of 8 alanines ("PolyA") which is likely to enhance an α -helical structure. The second is the sequence YKNWSPIP ("RC"), predicted to adopt a random coil structure. As shown in <u>Table 1</u>, insertion of PolyA into α 4 reduced surface expression to about 20%, whereas insertion of RC reduced it to only 80%. Insertion of RC into β 4 produced a comparable reduction in insertion (<u>Table 1</u>).

Finally, we fortuitously tested two epitope tags with an additional threonine residue added at the carboxyl terminal end of the tag, Myc+T and RC+T, each inserted between residues 4

α4													н	۷	E	т	R H	A H	H H	A H	E	E H	R H	L H	L H	K H	K H	L H	F	S H	G	Y	N	K	W	S	R	Ρ	1.0	00
α.4V5	ΗV	ΕT	G	ĸ	P	I	P	N	P	L	L	G	L	D	s	т	R	A H	H H	A H	E H	E	R H	L H	L H	к н	к н	L H	F H	S H	G	Y	N	K	W	S	R	Ρ	1.0	06
α.4RC					н	V	E	т	Y	ĸ	N	w	s	P	I	P	R	A H	H H	A H	E	E H	R H	L H	L H	к н	K H	L H	F	S H	G H	Y	N	K	W	S	R	Ρ	0.8	80
α.4HA				н	V	E	т	Y	P	Y	D	v	P	D	Y	A	R H	A H	H H	A H	E	E H	R H	L H	L H	K H	K H	L H	F	S H	G	Y	N	K	W	S	R	Ρ	0./	49
α.4Myc			н	v	Е	т	E	Q	ĸ	L	I	s	E	E	D	L	R	A H	H H	A H	E	E H	R H	L H	L H	к н	к н	L H	F H	S H	G	Y	N	K	W	S	R	Ρ	0.:	34
α4FLAG9					н	۷	E	т	R	A	н	A	E	D	Y	ĸ	D H	D H	D H	D H	к н	E	R H	L H	L H	к н	к н	L H	F	S H	G H	Y	N	K	W	S	R	Ρ	0.:	33
α.4PolyA					н	۷	E H	T H	A H	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	R	A H	H H	A H	E	E H	R H	L H	L H	к н	к н	L H	F	S H	G	Y	N	K	W	S	R	Ρ	0.:	22
α4FLAG4					н	v	E	т	D	Y	ĸ	D	D	D	D	ĸ	R	A H	H H	A H	E H	E H	R H	L H	L H	к н	к н	L H	F H	S H	G	Y	N	K	W	S	R	Ρ	0.4	19
α.4RC2					н	۷	E	т	R	A H	H H	A H	E	E	R	L H	L H	к н	к н	L H	F	S H	Y	ĸ	N	L	s	P	I	P	G	Y	N	K	W	S	R	Ρ	0.0	00

Fig 5. Sequences and predicted structures of the a4 constructs studied. The first column identifies the construct. Then the N-terminal amino acid sequence is shown aligned at the conserved RP motif, with the insert shown in bold letters. Immediately below the sequence is the secondary structure predicted by Sympred (see <u>Methods</u>). The last column shows the mean relative ELISA signal. The boxed region is likely to be helical based on alignments to related subunits of known structure.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0151071.g005

construct

ELISA

construct																																						ELISA	
β4													R	V	A	N H	A H	E H	E H	K H	L H	M H	D H	D H	L H	L	N	K	Т	R	Y	N	N	L	I	R	Ρ	1.00	
β4V5	RVA	N	G	ĸ	P	I	P	N	Ρ	L	L	G	L	D	s	т	A H	E H	E H	K H	L H	M H	D H	D H	L H	L	N	K	Т	R	Y	N	N	L	I	R	Ρ	0.89	
β4RC					R	V	A	N	Y	K	N	W	S	Ρ	ľ	P	A H	E H	E H	K H	L H	M H	D H	D H	L H	L H	N	K	Т	R	Y	N	N	L	I	R	Ρ	0.59	
β4Myc			R	V	A	N	E H	Q H	к Н	L	I H	S H	E H	E H	D H	L H	A H	E H	E H	K H	L H	M H	D H	D H	L H	L	N	K	Т	R	Y	N	N	L	I	R	Ρ	0.09	
β4FLAG4					R	۷	A	N	D	Y	ĸ	D	D	D H	D H	к Н	A H	E H	E H	K H	L H	M H	D H	D H	L H	L	N	K	Т	R	Y	N	N	L	I	R	Ρ	0.19	
β4RC2					R	۷	A	N	A H	E	E H	K H	L H	M H	D H	D H	L H	L	N	Y	ĸ	N	L	s	Ρ	I	Ρ	K	Т	R	Y	N	N	L	T	R	Ρ	0.01	
β4Myc+T		R	v	A	N	E	Q	ĸ	L	I	S	E	E	D	L	т	Ан	E	E	K	L H	M H	D	D	L H	L	N	K	Т	R	Y	N	N	L	I	R	Ρ	1.20	
β4RC+T				R	۷	A	N	Y	K	N	W	S	Ρ	I	Ρ	т	Ан	EH	E	К	L	М	DH	D	L	L	N	K	Т	R	Y	N	N	L	I	R	Ρ	1.45	

Fig 6. Sequences and predicted structures of the β4 constructs studied. The amino acid sequences are shown as in Fig 5.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0151071.g006

and 5 of the β 4 subunit (sequences shown in Fig.6). Both of these constructs expressed at higher levels than the tag without the additional T (<u>Table 1</u>). In the case of Myc+T the difference was significant (P = 0.006) while with RC+T the difference was marginal (P = 0.048).

Structural studies of muscle nicotinic receptors (Torpedo, [16, 17]; mouse α 1 subunit [18]) and related receptors and proteins (glutamate activated chloride channel (GluCl; [19]), GABA_A β 3 subunit [20], acetylcholine binding protein (AChBP [21]), serotonin type3 α subunit [22]) show an α helix near the amino terminal end (termed the " α 1 helix"), and prediction programs also predict that the nicotinic α 4 and β 4 subunits contain such a region (Figs 5 and 6). Previous studies of the nicotinic α 7 subunit have concluded that the α 1 helix plays an important role in assembly of this subunit into pentameric receptors [23]. All of the insertions we have described so far were placed before the start of the α 1 helix, based on alignments with the sequences for which there is structural information (Figs 1, 5 and 6). We also made 3 insertions into the predicted helix. We placed the FLAG epitope into the amino terminal helix of α 4 (between residues 9 and 10 of the mature subunit; FLAG9), which resulted in expression at 33% of wild type, slightly more than seen when FLAG was placed between residues 4 and 5. We also placed a sequence predicted to form a random coil (RC2, YKNLSPIP) into the helix, between residues 18 and 19 in α 4 and residues 15 and 16 in β 4. In both cases surface expression was reduced to background levels.

Effects of insertions on predicted subunit structure

From these results it can be seen that insertion of various sequences in this region can result in a variety of effects on surface expression, from complete suppression to no effect or even an increase of surface levels. Inspection of the introduced sequences (Figs 5 and 6) suggests that

the specific amino acids are not the critical factor. For example, in the α 4 subunit the FLAG4 and PolyA sequences had similar effects on surface expression but differ greatly in the nature of the introduced residues. On the other hand, the RC and RC+T insertions into the β 4 subunit are very similar in amino acid sequence, yet had very different consequences for surface expression.

Accordingly, we examined the predicted changes in secondary structure. Because the studies of the α 7 subunit showed major consequences for mutations in the α 1 helix itself, we analyzed the predicted changes in structure for the region starting at the predicted amino terminus and extending to the conserved arginine-proline (RP) pair (see Figs 5 and 6). We used a subset of programs available on the World Wide Web and analyzed a "consensus" prediction produced by Sympred (a total of 6 programs, see <u>Methods</u>). The programs agreed fairly well in predicting the structure of wild-type α 4 and β 4, but some of the predictions for subunits with introduced sequences diverged between programs (<u>S1 Fig</u>). Accordingly, the structure in this region may not be well defined.

We extracted several relatively crude parameters from the predictions, and then examined correlation between the relative surface ELISA signal and the parameter. There were no significant correlations between the relative ELISA signal and the total length of the sequence preceding the α 1 helix, the number of residues predicted to be helical in the putative α 1 helix or the total number of residues predicted to be in helical regions for the entire sequence analyzed, for either subunit (Pearson's product moment correlation r values between -0.50 and 0.54; P > 0.16 the value for r was indistinguishable from 0 for all correlations). The only parameter that correlated with surface ELISA was the number of residues predicted to be in an α helix in the sequence preceding the α 1 helix, for which an increased number of helical residues was correlated with a decreased ELISA (Fig 7). The association was relatively weak (r = -0.56, P = 0.12 for α 4, -0.81, P = 0.01 for β 4) given the number of correlations examined. This observation might suggest that increased α -helical content before the α 1 helix resulted in a negative effect on assembly.



Fig 7. Relationship between relative ELISA signal and predicted structural features in the β 4 subunit. The mean ELISA signal is plotted against the total number of residues in the N-terminal region preceding the predicted α 1 helix (hollow circles) and the number of residues in this region predicted to be in an α helix (solid circles). The lines show linear regressions (dashed-total number, slope = -0.001, probability it differs from a slope of zero P = 0.43; solid-helical number, -0.12 P = 0.01). Points show mean ± SE (for values see Table 1); wild-type subunits have a relative ELISA value of 1.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0151071.g007

This suggestion receives support from a comparison of the effects of β 4Myc to β 4Myc+T, or between the effects of Myc when introduced in the β 4 and the α 4 subunit (Figs <u>5</u> and <u>6</u>). In each pair an increase in the predicted extent of the N-terminal helical region is associated with reduced surface expression.

Discussion

These results demonstrate that inserted epitope sequences can have major effects on the expression of neuronal nicotinic receptors that depend on the nature of the sequence inserted. This is perhaps not surprising, although it clearly demonstrates the difference between these nicotinic subunits and related GABA_A receptor subunits including α , β and γ subunits. Using a number of different GABA_A receptor subunits, a number of experimenters have inserted HA [2], FLAG [3, 4], Myc [3, 4] epitopes, an α -bungarotoxin-binding motif [5] and even fluorescent proteins [5, 6] in this region of the protein with minimal consequences for expression. Among the epitopes we tested, the V5 sequence appeared to be the best tolerated by neuronal nicotinic subunits.

The α 4 and β 4 subunits can assemble in (at least) 2 stoichiometries: 3 copies of α 4 and 2 of β 4, or 2 of α 4 and 3 of β 4 [24], as is true for other combinations of neuronal nicotinic α and β subunits [25, 26]. This could potentially affect the present results: if the stoichiometry were changed from 100% of the type containing 3 α 4 to 100% of the type containing 2 α 4 there would be a 33% reduction in surface expression of $\alpha 4$ even with no change in surface receptor number, while the converse change would result in a 50% increase. We did not directly examine the subunit stoichiometry of the surface receptors. However, one assay is the concentration of acetylcholine producing a half-maximal effect (the EC_{50}) that is typically lower for receptors containing 3 copies of a β subunit [24–26]. We did not measure the EC_{50} , but found that the relative response to 10 μ M acetylcholine did not differ between receptors containing wild-type subunits or subunits with the V5 epitope inserted. This suggests that the subunit composition did not differ, at least among these receptors. In addition, most of the statistically significant effects shown in Table 1 indicate larger reductions than 33%. It is also notable that the insertions in α 4 and β 4 have parallel effects on surface levels of α 4. This would be unlikely if the insertions had similar consequences for assembly of the two subunits, as a reduction in β 4 incorporation into a constant number of surface receptors might be expected to enhance the surface exposure of $\alpha 4$ and vice versa. Accordingly, we cannot rule out the possibility that changes in subunit stoichiometry contribute to the results we obtained, but they seem unlikely to account for the major effects seen. It would be very interesting if there were systematic changes in stoichiometry and the possibility clearly deserves further study.

Much of the previous study of the role of the amino-terminal regions in surface expression has involved deletion of regions. In the case of the $\rho 1$ GABA_C subunit, deletion of the aminoterminal extension preceding the $\alpha 1$ helix reduced surface expression, and deletion of both the extension and the $\alpha 1$ helix abolished surface expression [27]. Similarly, a study of the GABA_A $\alpha 1$, $\beta 2$ and $\gamma 2$ subunits found that removal of the N-terminal extension greatly reduced assembly of receptors when the deletion was made the in $\alpha 1$ subunit but not in the $\beta 2$ or $\gamma 2$ subunits [28]. Further deletion of the $\alpha 1$ helices in $\beta 2$ or $\gamma 2$ did decrease surface expression. These studies demonstrate that major changes in the amino-terminal regions can strongly affect assembly of subunits, and that the effects are dependent on the particular subunit studied. The authors emphasize that the N-terminal extension can play an essential role in promoting assembly of pentameric receptors in the PLGIC gene family. These studies, particularly of the GABA_A $\beta 2$ and $\gamma 2$ subunits, suggest that the region is relatively insensitive to the nature of the sequence located in the N-terminal extension, but successful assembly appears to require some (perhaps unstructured) peptide sequence.

In contrast, our constructs involved insertions of sequences into the extreme N-terminal regions of the subunits. Our experiments did not resolve a structural basis for the effects, although there was a suggestion that increased extension of the α 1 helix towards the amino-terminus is associated with lower expression. There was not any correlation with other predicted structural changes in the structure of the region, and no clear association with particular amino acid residues.

It has been proposed that residues in the α 1 helix of the nicotinic α 7 subunit interact specifically with residues in other portions of the extracellular domain [29]). An extensive series of chimeric constructs involving replacement of the α 1 helix with homologous helices from other subunits in the PLGIC family demonstrated that helices from the nicotinic α 3, α 5 and β 3 subunits reduced surface expression. The effect on surface expression was, in turn, reduced when sequence of another region of the relevant subunit was also transferred to the α 7 extracellular domain (e.g. both the α 1 helix and the β 2- β 3 loop regions from the α 3 subunit). These results provide strong evidence that it is not simply the presence of any α 1 helix that is important, but the presence of a particular helix that is likely to interact with other regions of the subunit. In the case of the GABA_A β 3 subunit a mutation of a residue immediately before the predicted α 1 helix [30] reduces surface expression, and based on position in a homology model it was suggested that the mutation affected interactions with an adjacent subunit. Both of these studies concerned the properties of the α 1 helix rather than the N-terminal extension, but do indicate that some portions of the extreme N-terminal region form specific interactions with other portions of the receptor.

In our studies the only insertion that significantly affected the structure of the α 1 helix was the RC2 construct, which abolished surface expression. We note that previous work [29] had found that mutation to proline of either conserved leucine in the predicted α 1 helix of the nicotinic β 4 subunit (sequences in S2 Fig) essentially abolished surface expression of receptors containing the α 3 and β 4 subunits with little change in the predicted α 1 helix (S2 Fig). Our RC2 constructs changed the position of these residues in the assembled receptor and so might have disrupted interactions. In addition, this insertion moved the predicted helical region towards the amino-terminus.

It should be noted that studies of the α 7 homopentameric receptor may be complicated by changes in the affinity of the probe (in this case α -bungarotoxin). A study of a chimeric subunit comprising the chicken α 7 extracellular domain (from the N-terminus to the first membrane-spanning helix) joined to the membrane spanning and major intracellular portions (the start of the first membrane-spanning helix to the C-terminus) of the *C. elegans* GluCl receptor provided some additional information [31]. It was found that deletion of the region from the N-terminus through the α 1 helix did indeed reduce surface binding of α -bungarotoxin to background levels and no response to acetylcholine or nicotine was recorded from transfected cells. However, when an HA tag was incorporated at the amino-terminal end of the subunit surface expression of the HA epitope was detected and Western blots indicated that the subunit could assemble into high molecular weight forms even after deletion of the α 1 helix. These latter observations suggest that assembly and transport of receptors occurred, but the receptors were compromised both functionally and in terms of probe affinity. Accordingly, the overall interpretation of the studies of the α 7 subunit is not completely settled.

One difficulty in identifying the relationship between structure in this region and receptor expression is that the amino-terminal sequence preceding the α 1 helix is not resolved in most of the structures determined so far. Several proteins have a minimal N-terminal sequence preceding the α 1 helix (the nicotinic α 1 subunit and AChBP), while the sequence has been deleted

or shortened in other proteins used for crystallization (GluCl and 5HT3). The amino-terminal sequence could be resolved in only one subunit in a pentamer of $GABA_A \beta 3$ subunits [20]; in this case it appears to bend back towards the main part of the extracellular domain of the same subunit.

In sum, the present results confirm that the first 20 or so residues of neuronal nicotinic receptor subunits can have a major effect on surface expression of receptors. Furthermore, they demonstrate that inserted sequences as well as deletions, point mutations or chimeric constructs may greatly reduce surface expression. Our results indicate that the effects are qualitatively similar for 3 subunits (α 4, β 2 and β 4), so this may be a general property of neuronal nicotinic subunits. The α and β subunits occupy different positions in the assembled pentameric receptor, suggesting that the reduction is not the result of altered specific interactions between residues in adjacent subunits. It seems possible that specific interactions among amino acid residues in a subunit or a steric effect on intersubunit packing underlie the effects. Inspection of the introduced sequences does not immediately suggest a pattern of the specific residues introduced, suggesting that a steric effect might be more likely. Finally, the results demonstrate that some widely used introduced epitopes may severely reduce surface expression of some neuronal nicotinic receptors, indicating that appropriate selection of an introduced epitope is critical.

Supporting Information

S1 Fig. Sequences and predicted secondary structures for selected constructs. (PDF)

S2 Fig. Sequences and predicted secondary structures for 2 mutations in the α1 helix mentioned in the Discussion. (PDF)

Acknowledgments

JHS is the Russell and Mary Shelden Professor of Anesthesiology.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: JRB GA JHS. Performed the experiments: JRB GA. Analyzed the data: JRB GA JHS. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: JRB. Wrote the paper: JRB GA JHS.

References

- 1. Tsetlin V, Kuzmin D, Kasheverov I (2011) Assembly of nicotinic and other Cys-loop receptors. J Neurochem 116:734–741. doi: 10.1111/j.1471-4159.2010.07060.x PMID: 21214570
- Tretter V, Jacob TC, Mukherjee J, Fritschy JM, Pangalos MN, Moss SJ (2008) The clustering of GABA_A receptor subtypes at inhibitory synapses is facilitated via the direct binding of receptor α2 subunits to gephyrin. J Neurosci 28:1356–1365. doi: <u>10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5050-07.2008</u> PMID: <u>18256255</u>
- Bracamontes JR, Steinbach JH (2008) Multiple modes for conferring surface expression of homomeric β1 GABA_A receptors. J Biol Chem 283:26128–26136. doi: <u>10.1074/jbc.M801292200</u> PMID: <u>18650446</u>
- Connolly CN, Krishek BJ, McDonald BJ, Smart TG, Moss SJ (1996) Assembly and cell surface expression of heteromeric and homomeric γ-aminobutyric acid type A receptors. J Biol Chem 271:89–96. PMID: 8550630
- Wagoner KR, Czajkowski C (2010) Stoichiometry of expressed α4β2δγ γ-aminobutyric acid type A receptors depends on the ratio of subunit cDNA transfected. J Biol Chem 285:14187–14194. doi: <u>10.</u> <u>1074/jbc.M110.104257</u> PMID: <u>20233712</u>

- Kittler JT, Wang J, Connolly CN, Vicini S, Smart TG, Moss SJ (2000) Analysis of GABA_A receptor assembly in mammalian cell lines and hippocampal neurons using γ2 subunit green fluorescent protein chimeras. Mol Cell Neurosci 16:440–452. PMID: <u>11085880</u>
- Steinbach JH, Akk G (2001) Modulation of GABA_A receptor channel gating by pentobarbital. J Physiol 537:715–733 PMID: <u>11744750</u>
- Akk G, Li P, Bracamontes J, Reichert DE, Covey DF, Steinbach JH (2008) Mutations of the GABA_A receptor α1 subunit M1 domain reveal unexpected complexity for modulation by neuroactive steroids. Mol Pharmacol 74:614–627. doi: <u>10.1124/mol.108.048520</u> PMID: <u>18544665</u>
- Ueno S, Zorumski C, Bracamontes J, Steinbach JH (1996) Endogenous subunits can cause ambiguities in the pharmacology of exogenous γ-aminobutyric acidA receptors expressed in human embryonic kidney 293 cells. Mol Pharmacol 50:931–8. PMID: <u>8863839</u>
- Bracamontes JR, Li P, Akk G, Steinbach JH (2014) Mutations in the main cytoplasmic loop of the GABA_A receptor α4 and δ subunits have opposite effects on surface expression. Mol Pharmacol 86:20–27. doi: 10.1124/mol.114.092791 PMID: 24723490
- Li P, Steinbach JH (2010) The neuronal nicotinic α4β2 receptor has a high maximal probability of being open. Brit J Pharmacol 160:1906–15.
- Li P, McCollum M, Bracamontes J, Steinbach JH, Akk G (2011) Functional characterization of the α5 (Asn398) variant associated with risk for nicotine dependence in the α3β4α5 nicotinic receptor. Mol Pharmacol 80:818–27. doi: 10.1124/mol.111.073841 PMID: 21856741
- Kopta C, Steinbach JH (1994) Comparison of mammalian adult and fetal nicotinic acetylcholine receptors stably expressed in fibroblasts. J Neurosci 14:3922–33. PMID: <u>8207496</u>
- Peng X, Gerzanich V, Anand R, Whiting PJ, Lindstrom J (1994) Nicotine-induced increase in neuronal nicotinic receptors results from a decrease in the rate of receptor turnover. Mol Pharmacol 46:523– 530. PMID: <u>7935334</u>
- Srinivasan R, Pantoja R, Moss FJ, Mackey ED, Son CD, Miwa J, Lester HA (2011) Nicotine up-regulates α4β2 nicotinic receptors and ER exit sites via stoichiometry-dependent chaperoning. J Gen Physiol 137:59–79. doi: 10.1085/jgp.201010532 PMID: 21187334
- Unwin N (1995) Acetylcholine receptor channel imaged in the open state. Nature 373:37–43. PMID: 7800037
- 17. Miyazawa A, Fujiyoshi Y, Stowell M, Unwin N (1999) Nicotinic acetylcholine receptor at 4.6 Angstrom resolution: Transverse tunnels in the channel wall. J Mol Biol 288:765–786. PMID: <u>10329178</u>
- Dellisanti CD, Yao Y, Stroud JC, Wang ZZ, Chen L (2007) Crystal structure of the extracellular domain of nAChR α1 bound to α-bungarotoxin at 1.94 A resolution. Nat Neurosci 10:953–962. PMID: <u>17643119</u>
- Hibbs RE, Gouaux E (2011) Principles of activation and permeation in an anion-selective Cys-loop receptor. Nature 474:54–60. doi: <u>10.1038/nature10139</u> PMID: <u>21572436</u>
- Miller PS, Aricescu AR (2014) Crystal structure of a human GABA_A receptor. Nature 512:270–275. doi: 10.1038/nature13293 PMID: 24909990
- Brejc K, van Dijk WJ, Klaassen RV, Schuurmans M, van Der Oost J, Smit AB, Sixma TK (2001) Crystal structure of an ACh-binding protein reveals the ligand-binding domain of nicotinic receptors. Nature 411:269–276. PMID: <u>11357122</u>
- Hassaine G, Deluz C, Grasso L, Wyss R, Tol MB, Hovius R, Graff A, Stahlberg H, Tomizaki T, Desmyter A, Moreau C, Li XD, Poitevin F, Vogel H, Nury H (2014) X-ray structure of the mouse serotonin 5-HT3 receptor. Nature 512:276–281. doi: 10.1038/nature13552 PMID: 25119048
- Castillo M, Mulet J, Aldea M, Gerber S, Sala S, Sala F, Criado M (2009) Role of the N-terminal α-helix in biogenesis of α7 nicotinic receptors. J Neurochem 108:1399–1409. doi: <u>10.1111/j.1471-4159.2009</u>. <u>05924.x</u> PMID: <u>19166504</u>
- 24. Jin X, Steinbach JH (2015) Potentiation of neuronal nicotinic receptors by 17β-estradiol: roles of the carboxy-terminal and the amino-terminal extracellular domains. PLoS One. 2015; 10:e0144631. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0144631 PMID: 26684647
- Zwart R, Vijverberg HPM (1998) Four pharmacologically distinct subtypes of α4β2 nicotinic acetylcholine receptor expressed in Xenopus laevis oocytes. Mol Pharmacol 54:1124–31. PMID: <u>9855643</u>
- 26. Moroni M, Zwart R, Sher E, Cassels BK, Bermudez I (2006) α4β2 nicotinic receptors with high and low acetylcholine sensitivity: pharmacology, stoichiometry, and sensitivity to long-term exposure to nicotine. Mol Pharmacol 70:755–68. PMID: <u>16720757</u>
- Wong LW, Tae HS, Cromer BA (2014) Role of the p1 GABA_C receptor N-terminus in assembly, trafficking and function. ACS Chem Neurosci 5:1266–1277. doi: <u>10.1021/cn500220t</u> PMID: <u>25347026</u>

- 28. Wong LW, Tae HS, Cromer BA (2015) Assembly, trafficking and function of α1β2γ2 GABA_A receptors are regulated by N-terminal regions, in a subunit-specific manner. J Neurochem 134:819–832. doi: <u>10.1111/jnc.13175</u> PMID: <u>26016529</u>
- 29. Criado M, Mulet J, Castillo M, Gerber S, Sala S, Sala F (2010) The loop between β-strands β2 and β3 and its interaction with the N-terminal α-helix is essential for biogenesis of α7 nicotinic receptors. J Neurochem 112:103–111. doi: 10.1111/j.1471-4159.2009.06439.x PMID: 19840217
- 30. Gurba KN, Hernandez CC, Hu N, Macdonald RL (2012) GABRB3 mutation, G32R, associated with childhood absence epilepsy alters α1β3γ2L γ-aminobutyric acid type A (GABA_A) receptor expression and channel gating. J Biol Chem 287:12083–12097. doi: <u>10.1074/jbc.M111.332528</u> PMID: <u>22303015</u>
- Bar-Lev DD, Degani-Katzav N, Perelman A, Paas Y (2011) Molecular dissection of CI⁻-selective Cysloop receptor points to components that are dispensable or essential for channel activity. J Biol Chem 286:43830–43841. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M111.282715 PMID: 21987577