Washington University School of Medicine Digital Commons@Becker

Open Access Publications

2016

The impact of nighttime intensivists on medical intensive care unit infection-related indicators

Abhaya Trivedi Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis

Kathleen M. McMullen Barnes-Jewish Hospital

Hilary M. Babcock Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis

Marin H. Kollef Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.wustl.edu/open_access_pubs

Recommended Citation

Trivedi, Abhaya; McMullen, Kathleen M.; Babcock, Hilary M.; and Kollef, Marin H., ,"The impact of nighttime intensivists on medical intensive care unit infection-related indicators." Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology.37,3. 352-354. (2016). https://digitalcommons.wustl.edu/open_access_pubs/4674

This Open Access Publication is brought to you for free and open access by Digital Commons@Becker. It has been accepted for inclusion in Open Access Publications by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons@Becker. For more information, please contact engeszer@wustl.edu.

Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology

http://journals.cambridge.org/ICE

Additional services for Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology:

Email alerts: <u>Click here</u> Subscriptions: <u>Click here</u> Commercial reprints: <u>Click here</u> Terms of use : <u>Click here</u>



The Impact of Nighttime Intensivists on Medical Intensive Care Unit Infection-Related Indicators

Abhaya Trivedi, Kathleen M. McMullen, Hilary M. Babcock and Marin H. Kollef

Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology / Volume 37 / Issue 03 / March 2016, pp 352 - 354 DOI: 10.1017/ice.2015.304, Published online: 14 December 2015

Link to this article: http://journals.cambridge.org/abstract_S0899823X15003049

How to cite this article:

Abhaya Trivedi, Kathleen M. McMullen, Hilary M. Babcock and Marin H. Kollef (2016). The Impact of Nighttime Intensivists on Medical Intensive Care Unit Infection-Related Indicators. Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology, 37, pp 352-354 doi:10.1017/ice.2015.304

Request Permissions : Click here

CAMBRIDGE JOURNALS

The Impact of Nighttime Intensivists on Medical Intensive Care Unit Infection-Related Indicators

Abhaya Trivedi, MD;¹ Kathleen M. McMullen, MPH, CIC;² Hilary M. Babcock, MD, MPH;³ Marin H. Kollef, MD¹

In 2013, a before-and-after intervention study was conducted to evaluate the effect 24-hour intensivist coverage on length of stay and rates of catheter-associated urinary tract infection, central-line associated blood stream infection, and ventilator-associated events. Intensivist coverage for 24 hours did not decrease length of stay or result in a decrease in any specific infection rate.

Infect. Control Hosp. Epidemiol. 2016;37(3):352-354

As the care of the critically ill patient becomes increasingly complex, many hospitals have instituted 24-hour coverage by an intensive-care attending physician. However, it is unclear whether nighttime intensivists offer an advantage in a tertiary care center when compared to the traditional structure of residents providing immediate care with intensivists available by telephone.¹ A retrospective review of 65,752 patients in 49 intensive care units throughout the United States revealed that nighttime intensivist staffing did not improve in-hospital mortality among those institutions with high-intensity daytime staffing.² A randomized trial in an academic medical intensive care unit (MICU) conducted over the course of 1 year showed similar results. At that center, nighttime intensivist staffing did not have a significant effect on mortality, length of stay, readmission to the intensive care unit, or likelihood of discharge home.³ Another large retrospective trial of more than 270,000 patients also showed no change in mortality with 24-hour intensivist coverage.⁴

To implement 24-hour coverage, a shiftwork model was created. This model can have negative implications for nursing and house staff. A study that evaluated the effects of the 24-hour intensivist presence showed that nurses reported more role conflict, and house staff stated they had less autonomy with the nighttime staffing model.⁵ The basis for this model is that an intensivist is able to provide urgent therapies with immediate bedside evaluation, which may result in improved outcomes.⁶ Availability of an intensivist throughout the day and night can promote quicker and more efficient care, as plans are completed sooner rather than delaying them until the day team arrives. From the perspective of nursing, staff are more likely to alert a physician if they are immediately available in person and communication delays (if the physician needs to be called at home) are avoided.⁷

Another benefit to nighttime critical care physician staffing may be increased adherence to evidence-based care practices. In a prospective, single-center trial, processes of care such as sepsis resuscitation, daily interruption of sedation, and protective ventilation strategy were compared before and after instituting night intensivist in-hospital coverage. There was a significant decrease in the number of omissions in processes of care per patient day.⁸

Decreasing exposure to invasive devices can lower infection rates and impact patient outcomes. Urinary catheters, central venous catheters, and mechanical ventilation are frequently required in critically ill patients. However, prolonged use of such devices can increase rates of catheter-associated urinary tract infection (CAUTI), central-line-associated blood stream infection (CLABSI), and ventilator-associated events (VAE). Daily assessment and evaluation of need for use of central venous catheters and urinary catheters along with removal prior to transfer out of the ICU represent opportunities to reduce infection rates.⁹ A multi-centered quality improvement trial that incorporated 20 ICUs showed that increases in daily spontaneous awakening trials (SATs) and spontaneous breathing trials (SBTs) were associated with a decrease in VAE rates.¹⁰ Although the SATs and SBTs were driven by nurses and respiratory therapists in that trial, 24-hour attending physician involvement could improve the likelihood of those processes occurring. The objective of this study was to evaluate the effect of nighttime intensivist staffing on length of stay, VAE, CLABSI, and CAUTI.

METHODS

We instituted a before-and-after study design to retrospectively evaluate the effect of nighttime intensivists on length of stay and specific infection rates. The analysis included 2 geographically separate adult medical intensive care units (MICUs), with a total of 29 beds in a university-affiliated tertiary care facility. The pretest period was from June 2012 to May 2013, when our 2 MICUs utilized daily structured multidisciplinary critical care team rounds with nighttime, on-call, critical care attending physician coverage. During this time period, residents provided 24-hour coverage and daily rounds were conducted with an intensivist. Critical care fellows were involved in the care of each patient and were in house until at least 2:00 a.m. Between 2:00 a.m. and 6:00 a.m., critical care fellow physicians were called by house staff and routinely returned to the hospital to evaluate a patient that needed immediate attention.

The post-test period was between June 2013 and May 2014, after we transitioned to 24-hour in-hospital intensivist coverage. Residents, fellows, and attending intensivists are now in house day and night to staff both MICUs. A convenience sample was selected based on the study years.

Variable of Comparison	Before Nighttime Intensivist (June 2012–May 2013)	After Nighttime Intensivist (June 2013–May 2014)	P Value
Unique patient admissions ^a	2,508	2,310	
Mean length of stay, d	3.90	4.28	.01
Median APACHE II Score	13	14	.83
Unique ventilation episodes ^a	1,492	1,363	
Mean length of ventilation, d	5.69	6.42	.09
Central line utilization, %	77	80	.06
CLABSIs	4	6	
Central-line days	7,337	7,631	
CLABSI rate ^b	0.5	0.8	.56
Indwelling urinary catheter utilization, %	79	78	.76
CAUTIs	28	28	
Urinary catheter days	7,531	7,470	
CAUTI rate ^b	3.7	3.7	.98
Ventilator utilization, %	47	52	.04
VAEs	39	48	
Ventilator days	4,498	4,983	
VAE rate ^b	8.7	9.6	.63

TABLE 1. Impact of Overnight Intensivist Coverage in Medical Intensive Care Units

NOTE. APACHE, Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation; CAUTIs, catheter-associated urinary tract infections; CLABSIs, central-line–associated bloodstream infections; VAEs, ventilator-associated events.

^aSingle patient hospital admissions and ventilation episodes without including duplicate episodes per patient.

^bNo. of events per 1,000 device days.

Average patient length of stay and length of ventilator duration were compared for the year before and the year after the change in attending physician staffing using *t* tests. Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation (APACHE) II scores were calculated for each group and were compared to document the severity of illness using a non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis test (SPSS 21.0, IBM SPSS, Armonk, NY). Infection rates for VAE, CLABSI, and CAUTI were calculated as number of events per 1,000 device days and were compared using the χ^2 test (Epi Info 7, CDC, Atlanta, GA).

RESULTS

Significantly more MICU admissions occurred from June 2012 to May 2013 (n = 2,508) (pre-intervention) than in the year after the intervention of night intensivist coverage was instituted (n = 2,310) (P < .001). No significant difference was detected in median APACHE II scores between the preintervention group and the post-intervention group (P = .83) (Table 1). Mean length of intensive care unit stay increased after the intervention (3.9 days pre-intervention vs 4.3 days post-intervention; P = .01). The mean length of ventilation (defined as the mean ventilation duration per ventilated patient) prior to the intervention was 5.69 days compared with 6.42 days after the implementation of 24-hour intensivist staffing (P = .09). Utilization of central lines and indwelling urinary catheters were similar in the pre- and postintervention groups. There were no significant differences in CLABSI rate (0.5 vs 0.8; P = .56), CAUTI rate (3.7 vs 3.7; P = .98), or VAE rate (8.7 vs 9.6; P = .63) between the 2 time periods (Table 1).

DISCUSSION

Specific outcomes evaluated in this retrospective study included length of stay, exposure to ventilator support, and rates of device-related infections. Exposure to mechanical ventilation, central lines, and indwelling urinary catheters remained the same after the intervention. Our results are similar to those of previous studies documenting that 24-hour intensivist care did not improve outcomes in institutions with high-intensity daytime staffing. However, it is unclear why the mean length of stay in the MICU increased after the change in staffing. Our study was different in that it also evaluated specific infection rates in MICUs. We found that implementation of a 24-hour in-house critical care attending intensivist model did not decrease rates of CLABSI, CAUTI, or VAE. These findings are limited to the facility studied and should not be generalized to other intensive care units or to those facilities without high-intensity daytime intensivist staffing. Moreover, our study is limited in that we did not assess hospital mortality or time of admission (day vs night). In addition, our numbers of hospital-associated infections were small, making it difficult to detect differences between study periods. Further studies are needed to evaluate the effect of 24-hour intensivist care on infection rates.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Financial support. No financial support was provided relevant to this article. *Potential conflicts of interest.* All authors report no conflicts of interest relevant to this article.

Affiliations: 1. Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, Missouri; 2. Division of Infection Prevention, Barnes-Jewish Hospital, St. Louis, Missouri; 3. Division of Infectious Diseases, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, Missouri.

Address correspondence to Marin Kollef, MD; Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine; Washington University School of Medicine, 4523 Clayton Avenue, Campus Box 8052, St. Louis, MO 63110 (mkollef@dom.wustl.edu).

Received September 14, 2015; accepted November 9, 2015; electronically published December 14, 2015

© 2015 by The Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America. All rights reserved. 0899-823X/2016/3703-0018. DOI: 10.1017/ice.2015.304

REFERENCES

- 1. Levy MM. Intensivists at night: putting resources in the right place. *Crit Care* 2013;17:1008.
- Wallace DJ, Angus DC, Barnato AE, Kramer AA, Kahn JM. Nighttime intensivist staffing and mortality among critically ill patients. N Engl J Med 2012;366:2093–2101.
- Kerlin MP, Small DS, Cooney E, et al. A randomized trial of nighttime physician staffing in an intensive care unit. N Engl J Med 2013;368:2201–2209.

- Kerlin MP, Harhay MO, Kahn JM, Halpern SD. Nighttime intensivist staffing, mortality, and limits on life support: a retrospective cohort study. *Chest* 2015;147:951–958.
- Garland A, Roberts D, Graff L. Twenty-four-hour intensivist presence: a pilot study of effects on intensive care unit patients, families, doctors, and nurses. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2012;185:738–743.
- Kahn JM, Hall JB. More doctors to the rescue in the intensive care unit: a cautionary note. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2010;181: 1160–1161.
- Lindell KO, Chlan LL, Hoffman LA. Nursing perspectives on 24/7 intensivist coverage. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2010;182: 1338–1340.
- Gajic O, Afessa B, Hanson AC, et al. Effect of 24-hour mandatory versus on-demand critical care specialist presence on quality of care and family and provider satisfaction in the intensive care unit of a teaching hospital. *Crit Care Med* 2008;36:36–44.
- Fakih MG, Krein SL, Edson B, Watson SR, Battles JB, Saint S. Engaging health care workers to prevent catheter-associated urinary tract infection and avert patient harm. *Am J Infect Control* 2014;42:S223–S229.
- Klompas M, Anderson D, Trick W, et al. The preventability of ventilator-associated events. The CDC Prevention Epicenters Wake Up and Breathe Collaborative. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2015;191:292–301.