

Washington University School of Medicine Digital Commons@Becker

Psychiatry Faculty Publications

Department of Psychiatry

2013

Spatial reorganization of putaminal dopamine D2-like receptors in cranial and hand dystonia

Kevin J. Black Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis

Abraham Z. Snyder Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis

Jonathan W. Mink University of Rochester

Veeral N. Tolia Pediatrix Medical Group

Fredy J. Revilla University of Cincinnati - Main Campus

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: http://digitalcommons.wustl.edu/psych_facpubs

Recommended Citation

Black, Kevin J.; Snyder, Abraham Z.; Mink, Jonathan W.; Tolia, Veeral N.; Revilla, Fredy J.; Moerlein, Stephen M.; and Perlmutter, Joel S., "Spatial reorganization of putaminal dopamine D2-like receptors in cranial and hand dystonia" (2013). *Psychiatry Faculty Publications*. Paper 3.

http://digitalcommons.wustl.edu/psych_facpubs/3

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Department of Psychiatry at Digital Commons@Becker. It has been accepted for inclusion in Psychiatry Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons@Becker. For more information, please contact engeszer@wustl.edu.

Authors

Kevin J. Black, Abraham Z. Snyder, Jonathan W. Mink, Veeral N. Tolia, Fredy J. Revilla, Stephen M. Moerlein, and Joel S. Perlmutter

- 1 Title:
- 2 Spatial reorganization of putaminal dopamine D₂-like receptors in cranial and hand dystonia
- 3

4 Authors:

- 5 Kevin J. Black, M.D. (1-4)
- 6 Abraham Z. Snyder, M.D., Ph.D. (2,3)
- 7 Jonathan W. Mink, M.D., Ph.D. (7)
- 8 Veeral N. Tolia, M.D. (8)
- 9 Fredy J. Revilla, M.D. (9)
- 10 Stephen M. Moerlein, Ph.D. (3,5)
- 11 Joel S. Perlmutter, M.D. (2-4,6)

1213 Affiliations:

- 14 Departments of (1) Psychiatry, (2) Neurology, (3) Radiology, (4) Anatomy & Neurobiology,
- 15 (5) Biochemistry and Molecular Biophysics, and (6) Program in Physical Therapy, Washington
- 16 University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO 63110
- 17 (7) Departments of Neurology, Neurobiology & Anatomy, Brain & Cognitive Sciences, and
- 18 Pediatrics, University of Rochester, Rochester, NY
- 19 (8) Pediatrix Medical Group, Sunrise, FL
- 20 (9) Gardner Family Center for Parkinson's Disease and Movement Disorders, University of
- 21 Cincinnati College of Medicine, University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, OH
- 22

23 Corresponding author:

- 24 Joel S. Perlmutter, M.D.
- 25 Campus Box 8225
- 26 4525 Scott Ave.
- 27 St. Louis, MO 63110
- 28 email: joel@npg.wustl.edu
- 29

1 Abstract

2 The putamen has a somatotopic organization of neurons identified by correspondence of firing rates with selected body part movements, as well as by complex, but organized, 3 4 differential cortical projections onto putamen. In primary focal dystonia, putaminal binding of 5 dopamine D₂-like receptor radioligands is quantitatively decreased, but it has not been known 6 whether selected parts of the putamen are differentially affected depending upon the body part affected by dystonia. The radioligand $[^{18}F]$ spiperone binds predominantly to D₂-like receptors in 7 striatum. We hypothesized that the spatial location of $[^{18}F]$ spiperone binding within the putamen 8 9 would differ in patients with dystonia limited to the hand versus the face, and we tested that 10 hypothesis using positron emission tomography and magnetic resonance imaging. To address statistical and methodological concerns, we chose a straightforward but robust image analysis 11 method. An automated algorithm located the peak location of [¹⁸F]spiperone binding within the 12 striatum, relative to a brain atlas, in each of 14 patients with cranial dystonia and 8 patients with 13 hand dystonia. The mean (left and right) |x|, y, and z coordinates of peak striatal binding for each 14 patient were compared between groups by t test. The location of peak $[^{18}F]$ spiperone binding 15 within the putamen differed significantly between groups (cranial dystonia z < hand dystonia z, 16 p = 0.016). We conclude that in primary focal dystonia, dopamine D₂-like receptors are 17 18 distributed differently in the putamen depending on the body part manifesting dystonia.

19

1 Introduction

2 Dystonia is a clinical syndrome of involuntary muscle contractions producing either 3 sustained or intermittent abnormal postures of different body parts [1]. Primary dystonias are 4 distinguished from secondary dystonias by absence of identifiable insults such as stroke, birth 5 injury, or drug reaction. Dystonia restricted to a specific part of the body is called focal dystonia 6 and is usually primary. Two examples of focal dystonia are cranial dystonia, with sustained 7 involuntary eyelid closure frequently associated with lower facial grimacing, and hand cramp, with excessive co-contractions of agonist and antagonist hand or forearm muscles during specific 8 9 tasks such as writing. 10 The pathophysiology of primary focal dystonia is only partially understood [2,3,4,5,6]. However, dopamine D2-like receptor binding in the putamen is abnormally low [2,7,8,9,10,11]. 11 12 Although the neurological manifestation is focal, it is unclear whether the decrease in D2-like binding is uniform throughout the putamen or whether it is somatotopically related to the body 13 14 part affected. In other words, D2-like receptors may be more affected in a part of the putamen, corresponding to the focal change in behavior. 15 We investigated this question by comparing the spatial distribution of $[^{18}F]$ spiperone 16 binding in patients with cranial dystonia to the distribution in patients with hand cramp. We 17 18 hypothesized that if the focal distribution of clinical signs corresponded to a focal abnormality of the putamen, then the spatial distribution of $[^{18}F]$ spiperone would differ between the two types of 19 dystonia. 20

21

22 Methods

23

Ethics Statement. The study was approved by the Washington University Human Studies

Page 3

1 Committee, and all subjects gave written informed consent.

2 Patients. A movement disorders specialist examined all subjects and made the diagnosis 3 of primary cranial dystonia (with predominant involuntary eyelid squeezing and excessive blinking) or primary hand dystonia based upon typical clinical characteristics [12]. Each person 4 also completed the Edinburgh Handedness Inventory [13], Mini-Mental State Examination [14], 5 6 and Hamilton Depression Rating Scale [15]. Exclusion criteria included evidence of dementia, 7 depression, dystonia affecting any other part of the body, drug abuse, other neurologic illness or exposure to drugs known to affect dopamine receptors. There were 14 patients with cranial 8 9 dystonia (1 left-handed, 10 women, median age 54.5, range 46-79), and 8 patients with hand 10 cramp (2 left-handed, 6 women, median age also 54.5, range 25-68). In a secondary analysis, we examined data from 10 normals who were studied contemporaneously using the same methods 11 12 (1 left-handed, 5 women, median age 63.5, range 24-76). Data from some subjects were included in a prior report [7]. 13 14 *Magnetic resonance image (MRI) acquisition.* Sagittal MPRAGE (TR = 9.7ms, TE = 4ms,

and flip angle=12°) images were acquired with a 1.5T Siemens Magnetom scanner [16]. The 3D
field of view was 256×256×160 mm with voxel dimensions 1×1×1.25mm. The main field was
shimmed and the transmitter tuned before each study.

Radioligand. [¹⁸F]Spiperone was prepared using a microwave-facilitated synthetic
 pathway [17]. The radiopharmaceutical had ≥95% radiochemical purity and a specific activity ≥
 2000 Ci/mmol.

Positron emission tomography (PET) image acquisition. PET data were acquired with a
Siemens ECAT 953b camera in the 2D wobble mode. The spatial characteristics of this
instrument have been extensively documented [18,19]. In the 2D wobble mode it produces 31 2D

images with an intrinsic resolution of approximately 5.4mm (full width half maximum; FWHM)
in plane and 4.2mm axially. Three to 5 mCi of [¹⁸F]spiperone was injected intravenously, and
PET scans began immediately with tracer injection. Scan lengths began at 60 seconds and
increased to 10 minutes, for a total of 3 hours. The images were reconstructed with a ramp filter
using measured attenuation. During the scan, patients were at rest with eyes closed in a quiet,
darkened room. They were observed frequently and had essentially no dystonic movements
during the scan.

Image processing: overview. The reconstructed PET images were corrected for inter-scan 8 head movement and registered to a standard atlas [20] using the procedure described below [21]. 9 This procedure yielded, for each subject, an atlas-transformed [¹⁸F]spiperone PET image 10 weighted to reflect specific binding ("late image"). The late images then were used to examine 11 diagnosis-dependent changes in putaminal [¹⁸F]spiperone distribution. Although only the late 12 images were analyzed for group differences in $[^{18}F]$ spiperone activity, all the acquired PET data 13 were used in computing the PET-MRI image registrations, to maximize the reliability of this 14 critical step [see also ref. 22, Supplementary Information]. 15

Preliminary PET image processing. The decay-corrected, reconstructed PET data 16 acquired during each 3 hour scan were divided into 18 frames, each frame representing 10 17 18 minutes of scanning. (The first 3 such frames were created by simple addition of data originally acquired in 2- or 5-minute bins). We called the first 7 ten-minute frames "early" and the last 3 19 ten-minute frames "late", leaving 8 "middle" frames. The cutoff times dividing these three 20 21 groups were chosen to give images on which tracer distribution primarily reflected blood flow (early) or specific binding (late) [23,24; see also Discussion]. All frames within each group were 22 23 aligned to the middle frame of that group by rigid body (6 parameter affine) transformations

using difference image variance minimization as the objective function [25]. Early, middle and
 late composite images then were produced by conventional resampling and averaging using 3D
 linear interpolation.

PET-MRI alignments. The early, middle and late composite PET images and the MP-4 RAGE image were mutually coregistered. Rigid body alignments corresponding to all image 5 pairs within the group were computed using, as the objective function, a variant of the image 6 7 intensity gradient correlation method of Andersson et al. [26]. On the basis of these alignments, 8 rigid body transforms were computed bringing each of the 3 composite PET images into register 9 with the MP-RAGE. Translational and rotational alignment inconsistency was estimated by 10 comparing the transforms relating each pair of images. The estimated transform inconsistency typically was only ~ 0.3 root mean square (rms) mm total for translation and ~ 0.3 rms degree 11 12 total for rotation. In no case did these quantities exceed 0.5 rms mm or 0.5 rms degree.

Atlas transformation. Each subject's MRI was transformed to atlas space by optimizing
the linear fit to an atlas target image [20,25]. Finally, the late PET image was transformed into
atlas space by matrix multiplication and trilinear interpolation. The accuracy of this method has
been demonstrated [22,27,28].

Peak search and statistics. For each subject, the late image was smoothed to 7mm final
FWHM resolution using a Butterworth filter, and then searched with an automated algorithm
which reports the center of mass of intensity peaks in the image [29]. Center of mass was
computed over a spherical volume of interest with 6mm radius (see Figure 1 for an example).
The coordinates of peak activity in left and right putamen were averaged for each subject using
the absolute value of the *x* coordinate. Several previous studies have indicated that patients with
unilateral hand cramp have bilateral physiologic abnormalities [30,31,32], and for the cranial

Page 6

1dystonia patients no left-right difference would be predicted. The mean |x|, y, and z coordinates in2cranial vs. hand dystonia were compared using unpaired t-tests. We report uncorrected p values3since the three coordinates are not independent, but note that the conservative Bonferroni4correction would accept p < 0.017 as significant.5Results7The peak location of putaminal [18 F]spiperone binding differed significantly between8groups (see Table 1 and Figure 2). Descriptively, the cranial dystonia subjects' peaks were more

9 medial, anterior and inferior than the hand cramp patients', but only the *z* dimension difference
10 was statistically significant.

Since patients in the two dystonia groups could not be perfectly matched by age, we 11 12 examined whether a difference in mean age was likely to account for the results. This was performed by plotting peak z coordinate versus age in a separate group of normal volunteers; 13 14 images were analyzed exactly as for the dystonia patients. As shown in Figure 3, in this small sample there is no evidence for an age effect (Pearson's r = -0.1). Furthermore, from the best 15 linear fit to this data, the predicted z value for the mean age (61.3) of the cranial dystonia patients 16 is 4.3, while the predicted z value for the mean age (51.6) of the hand cramp patients is 4.4. The 17 18 observed difference between the two dystonia groups is 21 times greater than this small 19 difference attributable to age.

20

21 Discussion

The spatial distribution of [¹⁸F]spiperone binding in the putamen differs in cranial and
hand dystonia. This demonstrates differential spatial distribution of receptors within the putamen

1 corresponding to localized behavioral manifestation of dystonia.

2	We and others have shown that average D ₂ -like binding over the whole putamen is 25-30%
3	lower in focal dystonia than in normal controls [7,8,9,10,11]. (Increased binding of certain D_2 -
4	like ligands in dopa-responsive dystonia is not comparable, as these ligands are displaceable by
5	dopamine, so decreased dopamine synthesis increases their binding even if receptors are normal
6	[33,34,35] or decreased [36].) Decreased D ₂ -like receptor binding corresponds to numerous other
7	suggestions that dopaminergic dysfunction may be involved in the pathophysiology of focal
8	dystonias [2,3,4,6,37,38,39].
9	What has not been clear is whether this decrease is homogeneous throughout the putamen.
10	If so, other factors would presumably determine which body part manifests dystonic symptoms.
11	This is consistent with the notion of a "two-hit" animal model of dystonia [40,41,42].
12	Alternatively, different focal dystonias in humans might all feature an average decrease in
13	putaminal D2-like receptor binding, but changes in the distribution of D2-like receptors within
14	the putamen might dictate which body parts were affected. The present study reveals a difference
15	in the spatial distribution of D2-like receptors between patients with dystonia affecting the hand
16	or face, and we speculate that the difference may prove to be somatotopic.
17	Several lines of evidence indicate that there is a somatotopic organization in the putamen
18	[43]. Pathway anatomy in monkeys has shown that projections from the face, arm, and leg
19	representations in somatosensory and motor cortex terminate in a topographic pattern that
20	preserves the somatotopic separation of these body areas [44,45,46,47,48,49,50]. The
21	somatotopic arrangement is such that the legs are represented laterally, anteriorly and dorsally in
22	the putamen, the face is represented medially, posteriorly and ventrally, and the arm is
23	represented between these (Figure 4). The somatotopy has been confirmed by studies of neuronal

Page 8

activity related to movement of different body parts and by activation of discrete movements by
microstimulation in different areas in putamen [46]. The presence of somatotopy in the human
putamen has been shown with functional MRI blood oxygen level dependent (BOLD) activation
during self-paced flexion-extension of fingers or toes [51].

The work of Graybiel and colleagues has indicated that the anatomical relationship 5 6 between somatotopically identified regions of cerebral cortex and putamen is not simple [49]. 7 One area of cortex projects to multiple areas in putamen, and closely related cortical areas (e.g. 8 thumb and fifth finger representations) project to overlapping areas. Thus, there are convergent 9 and divergent projections in the corticostriatal projection [52]. However, projections from non-10 adjacent body part representations in cortex have little or no overlap in putamen. Thus, despite the complex pattern and multiple representations, face, arm and leg are represented separately. 11 12 These non-overlapping representations conform to the overall organization described above with leg dorsal, lateral, and anterior to face, and arm in between. 13

Delmaire and colleagues [53] demonstrated that this normal somatotopic representation in putamen was disrupted in patients with writer's cramp (task-specific focal dystonia of the hand). In healthy control subjects, repetitive movements of the toes led to BOLD activation in the superior part of the contralateral putamen, lip movements activated the inferior putamen, and finger movement activation in the putamen was between them. By contrast, writer's cramp patients had no such gradient. As in the present study, the abnormality was limited to the superior-inferior axis.

Several limitations of our work should be addressed. The precise nature of the group
difference in distribution of radiotracer binding is not revealed by the methods chosen for this
study. Since overall putaminal D2R binding is decreased in focal dystonias, one would like to

know whether focal decreases in [¹⁸F]spiperone binding exist and where they are located within 1 the putamen. Thus a search for a local minimum in $[^{18}F]$ spiperone binding in putamen might 2 seem more intuitive. However, numerical searches for local minima in a small region of high 3 signal are likely to identify the putaminal boundary. Another approach would be to compare 4 [¹⁸F]spiperone binding at each voxel. However, given the available number of subjects and the 5 6 high image variance, we did not predict adequate power to find a group difference while 7 correcting for multiple comparisons at ~1000 voxels [54]. Furthermore, the normal spatial distribution of [¹⁸F]spiperone binding within the putamen is not well characterized at the 8 9 resolution of PET. Finally, one would like to know whether receptor binding at a given voxel is 10 quantitatively lower or higher than normal. Unfortunately, the counting statistics in ¹⁸F]spiperone PET images are inadequate to confidently quantify absolute radioligand binding 11 12 on a pixel-by-pixel basis using validated tracer kinetic analysis techniques. Faced with these difficulties, we chose to characterize the spatial distribution of radiotracer using a 13 14 straightforward and reliable method, namely location of peak binding in a radiographic image. This method is robust even with low-resolution images and relatively small group sizes, and 15 provided adequate statistical power to verify our main hypothesis that putaminal D2-like 16 dopamine receptors are distributed differently in patients with dystonia in different body parts. 17 18 However, these advantages were bought at a price: this method does not permit us to identify the 19 location in putamen most pertinent to pathophysiology, or to clarify whether the binding at the 20 identified peak is abnormally high or low in either patient group compared to normal. Still, we 21 have shown that there is a difference in D2-like receptor distribution between cranial dystonia and hand cramp. 22

23

We use the "late" image alone to make inferences about the location of receptor binding,

rather than apply a full tracer analysis to quantify radioligand binding [24,55]. This is valid in the 1 2 present context. For valid comparisons of radioligand specific binding between two groups, it is 3 necessary to apply a tracer kinetic model that includes measurements of not only regional radioligand concentration but also regional blood flow, blood volume, time-dependent measures 4 of radioactivity in arterial blood and the accumulation of radiolabeled metabolites. Using these 5 6 data and parameter estimation methods, it is possible to estimate specific binding of the 7 radioligand binding [7,23,24]. However, the present analysis does not require these additional 8 steps, since the peripheral blood measurements are the same for analyzing every part of the 9 putamen, and the small differences that could exist in blood flow and blood volume in different 10 parts of the putamen would not appreciably affect the estimate of radioligand binding [55]. Therefore, identifying the location of peak radioactivity in a single subject is equivalent to 11 12 identifying the location of peak radioligand binding in that subject, but does not provide an absolute measure of specific binding that would permit quantitative comparisons across subject 13 groups. 14

15 Using the whole brain to compute atlas registration improves reliability since there is 16 more data upon which to perform the co-registration, but may be less sensitive to additional changes in receptor distribution which might be detected by a putamen-only atlas. Also, although 17 18 our results most likely reflect differences in dopamine receptors, only about 70% of $[^{18}$ F]spiperone specific binding sites in primate striatum are attributable to D₂-like dopamine 19 20 receptors with the remainder composed of serotonin S_2 receptors [24]. At the time that these studies were performed, [¹⁸F]spiperone was the only D2-like radioligand available to us; more 21 robust receptor measurements may be possible using the D_2 -specific radioligand 22 23 (N-methyl)benperidol [56,57]. An additional potential limitation in interpreting our results is that

1	the different location of peak binding could reflect either neurochemical or anatomical		
2	differences between groups. However, an MRI study in these patients showed no group		
3	difference in putamen volume [54], suggesting that the difference is likely to reflect altered		
4	receptor distribution rather than anatomical changes. In this study we cannot resolve whether the		
5	observed differences in striatal [¹⁸ F]spiperone binding are involved in the production of		
6	symptoms or whether they arise in response to the repeated dystonic movements; however,		
7	results in a rodent model and in human non-manifesting DYT1 gene carriers are more consistent		
8	with the former possibility [10,58]. Additionally, a recent study suggests that D_3 rather than D_2		
9	9 receptors may be responsible for the abnormal putaminal D_2 -like receptor binding in focal		
10	dystonia [57]. Nevertheless, all these issues are tangential to the main finding of a receptor		
11	difference in putamen that corresponds to a focal difference in behavior.		
12	In conclusion, patients with dystonia affecting different body parts have a different		
13	spatial distribution of [¹⁸ F]spiperone binding in the putamen that may reflect the previously		
14	demonstrated somatotopic organization of putamen. This demonstrates that focal behavioral		
15	manifestations of disease can correspond to focal neurotransmitter receptor changes in striatum.		
16			
17 18	Acknowledgments		
18 19	Thanks to Stephane Palfi, M.D., Ph.D., for helpful comments.		
20	Presented at the 28 th Annual Meeting of the Society for Neuroscience, Los Angeles, CA,		
21	10 November 1998 (<u>F1000Posters 2013; 4:117</u>).		
22			

1 **REFERENCES**

2	1. Albanese A, Bhatia K, Bressman SB, Delong MR, Fahn S, et al. (2013 [Epub ahead of print])
3	Phenomenology and classification of dystonia: A consensus update. Movement
4	Disorders.
5	2. Perlmutter JS, Tempel LW, Black KJ, Parkinson D, Todd RD (1997) MPTP induces dystonia
6	and parkinsonism: Clues to the pathophysiology of dystonia. Neurology 49: 1432-1438.
7	3. Todd RD, Perlmutter JS (1998) Mutational and biochemical analysis of dopamine in dystonia:
8	evidence for decreased dopamine D2 receptor inhibition. Molecular Neurobiology 16:
9	135-147.
10	4. Perlmutter JS, Mink JW (2004) Dysfunction of dopaminergic pathways in dystonia. Adv
11	Neurol 94: 163-170.
12	5. Hallett M (2006) Pathophysiology of writer's cramp. HumMov Sci 25: 454-463.
13	6. Peterson DA, Sejnowski TJ, Poizner H (2010) Convergent evidence for abnormal striatal
14	synaptic plasticity in dystonia. NeurobiolDis 37: 558-573.
15	7. Perlmutter JS, Stambuk MK, Markham J, Black KJ, McGee-Minnich L, et al. (1997)
16	Decreased [18F]spiperone binding in putamen in idiopathic focal dystonia. Journal of
17	Neuroscience 17: 843-850.
18	8. Horstink CA, Praamstra P, Horstink MW, Berger HJ, Booij J, et al. (1997) Low striatal D2
19	receptor binding as assessed by [123I]IBZM SPECT in patients with writer's cramp. J
20	Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 62: 672-673.
21	9. Naumann M, Pirker W, Reiners K, Lange KW, Becker G, et al. (1998) Imaging the pre- and
22	postsynaptic side of striatal dopaminergic synapses in idiopathic cervical dystonia: a
23	SPECT study using [123I] epidepride and [123I] beta-CIT. Movement Disorders 13: 319-
24	323.
25	10. Asanuma K, Ma Y, Okulski J, Dhawan V, Chaly T, et al. (2005) Decreased striatal D2
26	receptor binding in non-manifesting carriers of the DYT1 dystonia mutation. Neurology
27	64: 347-349.
28	11. Carbon M, Niethammer M, Peng S, Raymond D, Dhawan V, et al. (2009) Abnormal striatal
29	and thalamic dopamine neurotransmission: Genotype-related features of dystonia.
30	Neurology 72: 2097-2103.

1	12. Jankovic J, Fahn S (1993) Dystonic disorders. In: Jankovic J, Tolosa E, editors. Parkinson's
2	disease and movement disorders. Baltimore: Williams & Wilkins. pp. 337-374.
3	13. Oldfield RC (1971) The assessment and analysis of handedness: The Edinburgh inventory.
4	Neuropsychologia 9: 97-113.
5	14. Folstein MF, Folstein SE, McHugh PR (1975) "Mini-mental state": a practical method for
6	grading the cognitive state of patients for the clinician. Journal of Psychiatric Research
7	12: 189-198.
8	15. Hamilton M (1960) A rating scale for depression. Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery, and
9	Psychiatry 23: 56-62.
10	16. Mugler JP, III, Brookeman JR (1990) Three-dimensional magnetization-prepared rapid
11	gradient-echo imaging (3D MP RAGE). Magnetic Resonance in Medicine 14: 68-78.
12	17. Hwang DR, Moerlein SM, Dence CS, Welch MJ (1989) Microwave-facilitated synthesis of
13	[¹⁸ F]-spiperone. Journal of Labelled Compounds and Radiopharmaceuticals 26: 391-392.
14 18. Spinks TJ, Jones T, Bailey DL, Townsend DW, Grootoonk S, et al. (1993) Physical	
15	performance of a positron tomograph for brain imaging with retractable septa.
16	PhysMedBiol 37: 1637-1655.
17	19. Mazoyer B, Trebossen R, Deutch R, Casey M, Blohm K (1991) Physical characteristics of
18	the ECAT 953B/31: a new high resolution brain positron tomograph. IEEE Transactions
19	in Medical Imaging 10: 499-504.
20	20. Talairach J, Tournoux P (1988) Co-planar stereotaxic atlas of the human brain. New York:
21	Theime Verlag.
22	21. Perlmutter JS, Snyder AZ, Tolia VN, Revilla F, McGee-Minnich L, et al. (1998) Does the
23	spatial distribution of putaminal D_2 receptors differ in patients with blepharospasm vs.
24	hand cramp? Abstracts of the Society for Neuroscience.
25	22. Eisenstein S, Koller JM, Piccirillo M, Kim A, Antenor-Dorsey JAV, et al. (2012)
26	Characterization of extrastriatal D ₂ in vivo specific binding of [¹⁸ F](N-methyl)benperidol
27	using PET. Synapse 66: 770-780.
28	23. Perlmutter JS, Kilbourn MR, Welch MJ, Raichle ME (1989) Nonsteady-state measurement
29	of in vivo receptor binding with positron emission tomography: "dose-response" analysis.
30	Journal of Neuroscience 9: 2344-2352.

1	24. Perlmutter JS, Moerlein SM, Huang DR, Todd R (1991) Non-steady-state measurement of in		
2	vivo radioligand binding with positron emission tomography: specificity analysis and		
3	comparison with in vitro binding. Journal of Neuroscience 11: 1381-1389.		
4	25. Snyder AZ (1996) Difference image vs ratio image error function forms in PET-PET		
5	realignment. In: Myers R, Cunningham V, Bailey D, Jones T, editors. Quantification of		
6	brain function using PET. San Diego, CA: Academic Press.		
7	26. Andersson JL, Sundin A, Valind S (1995) A method for coregistration of PET and MR brain		
8	images. Journal of Nuclear Medicine 36: 1307-1315.		
9	27. Black KJ, Snyder AZ, Koller JM, Gado MH, Perlmutter JS (2001) Template images for		
10	nonhuman primate neuroimaging: 1. Baboon. Neuroimage 14: 736-743.		
11	28. Black KJ, Koller JM, Snyder AZ, Perlmutter JS (2001) Template images for nonhuman		
12	primate neuroimaging: 2. Macaque. Neuroimage 14: 744-748.		
13	29. Mintun MA, Fox PT, Raichle ME (1989) A highly accurate method of localizing regions of		
14	neuronal activation in the human brain with positron emission tomography. Journal of		
15	Cerebral Blood Flow and Metabolism 9: 96-103.		
16	30. Tempel LW, Perlmutter JS (1990) Abnormal vibration-induced cerebral blood flow		
17	responses in idiopathic dystonia. Brain 113: 691-707.		
18	31. Tempel LW, Perlmutter JS (1993) Abnormal cortical responses in patients with writer's		
19	cramp. Neurology 43: 2252-2257.		
20	32. Deuschl G, Toro C, Matsumoto J, Hallett M (1995) Movement-related cortical potentials in		
21	writer's cramp. Annals of Neurology 38: 862-868.		
22	33. Kunig G, Leenders KL, Antonini A, Vontobel P, Weindl A, et al. (1998) D2 receptor binding		
23	in dopa-responsive dystonia. Annals of Neurology 44: 758-762.		
24	34. Kishore A, Nygaard TG, de la Fuente-Fernandez R, Naini AB, Schulzer M, et al. (1998)		
25	Striatal D2 receptors in symptomatic and asymptomatic carriers of dopa-responsive		
26	dystonia measured with [11C]-raclopride and positron-emission tomography. Neurology		
27	50: 1028-1032.		
28	35. Rinne JO, Iivanainen M, Metsahonkala L, Vainionpaa L, Paakkonen L, et al. (2004) Striatal		
29	dopaminergic system in dopa-responsive dystonia: a multi-tracer PET study shows		

30 increased D2 receptors. Journal of Neural Transmission 111: 59-67.

1	36. Augood SJ, Hollingsworth Z, Albers DS, Yang L, Leung JC, et al. (2002) Dopamine
2	transmission in DYT1 dystonia: a biochemical and autoradiographical study. Neurology
3	59: 445-448.
4	37. Tabbal SD, Mink JW, Antenor JA, Carl JL, Moerlein SM, et al. (2006) 1-Methyl-4-phenyl-
5	1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridine-induced acute transient dystonia in monkeys associated with
6	low striatal dopamine. Neuroscience 141: 1281-1287.
7	38. Defazio G, Berardelli A, Hallett M (2007) Do primary adult-onset focal dystonias share
8	aetiological factors? Brain 130: 1183-1193.
9	39. Wichmann T (2008) Commentary: Dopaminergic dysfunction in DYT1 dystonia. Exp Neurol
10	212: 242-246.
11	40. Byl NN, Merzenich MM, Jenkins WM (1996) A primate genesis model of focal dystonia and
12	repetitive strain injury: I. learning-induced dedifferentiation of the representation of the
13	hand in the primary somatosensory cortex in adult monkeys. Neurology 47: 508-520.
14 41. Schicatano EJ, Basso MA, Evinger C (1997) Animal model explains the origins of the cra	
15	dystonia benign essential blepharospasm. Journal of Neurophysiology 77: 2842-2846.
16	42. Torres-Russotto D, Perlmutter JS (2008) Task-specific dystonias: A review. Annals of the
17	New York Academy of Sciences 1142: 179-199.
18	43. Nambu A (2011) Somatotopic organization of the primate basal ganglia. Frontiers in
19	neuroanatomy 5: 26.
20	44. Kunzle H (1975) Bilateral projections from precentral motor cortex to the putamen and other
21	parts of the basal ganglia. An autoradiographic study in Macaca fascicularis. Brain
22	Research 88: 195-209.
23	45. Percheron G, Yelnik J, Fran‡ois C (1984) A Golgi analysis of the primate globus pallidus.
24	III. Spatial organization of the striato-pallidal complex. Journal of Comparative
25	Neurology 227: 214-227.
26	46. Alexander GE, DeLong MR (1985) Microstimulation of the primate neostriatum. II.
27	Somatotopic organization of striatal microexcitable zones and their relation to neuronal
28	response properties. Journal of Neurophysiology 53: 1417-1430.
29	47. Alexander GE, Crutcher MD (1990) Functional architecture of basal ganglia circuits: neural
30	substrates of parallel processing. Trends in Neurosciences 13: 266-271.

1	48. Parent A (1990) Extrinsic connections of the basal ganglia. Trends in Neurosciences 13: 254-			
2	258.			
3	49. Graybiel AM, Aosaki T, Flaherty AW, Kimura M (1994) The basal ganglia and adaptive			
4	motor control. Science 265: 1826-1831.			
5	50. Palfi S, Nguyen JP, Brugieres P, Le Guerinel C, Hantraye P, et al. (1998) MRI-stereotactical			
6	approach for neural grafting in basal ganglia disorders. Experimental Neurology 150:			
7	272-281.			
8	51. Lehericy S, Gerardin E, Poline JB, Meunier S, van de Moortele PF, et al. (2004) Motor			
9	execution and imagination networks in post-stroke dystonia. Neuroreport 15: 1887-1890.			
10	52. Flaherty AW, Graybiel AM (1991) Corticostriatal transformations in the primate			
11	somatosensory system. Projections from physiologically mapped body-part			
12	representations. Journal of Neurophysiology 66: 1249-1263.			
13	53. Delmaire C, Krainik A, du Montcel ST, Gerardin E, Meunier S, et al. (2005) Disorganized			
14	somatotopy in the putamen of patients with focal hand dystonia. Neurology 64: 1391-			
15	1396.			
16	54. Black KJ, Öngür D, Perlmutter JS (1998) Putamen volume in idiopathic focal dystonia.			
17	Neurology 51: 819-824.			
18	55. Perlmutter JS, Larson KB, Raichle ME, Markham J, Mintun MA, et al. (1986) Strategies for			
19	in vivo measurement of receptor binding using positron emission tomography.			
20	JCerebBlood Flow Metab 6: 154-169.			
21	56. Moerlein SM, Perlmutter JS, Markham J, Welch MJ (1997) In vivo kinetics of [18F](N-			
22	methyl)benperidol: a novel PET tracer for assessment of dopaminergic D2-like receptor			
23	binding. Journal of Cerebral Blood Flow and Metabolism 17: 833-845.			
24	57. Karimi M, Moerlein SM, Videen TO, Luedtke RR, Taylor M, et al. (2011) Decreased striatal			
25	dopamine receptor binding in primary focal dystonia: a D2 or D3 defect? Movement			
26	disorders : official journal of the Movement Disorder Society 26: 100-106.			
27	58. Sciamanna G, Tassone A, Martella G, Mandolesi G, Puglisi F, et al. (2011) Developmental			
28	profile of the aberrant dopamine D2 receptor response in striatal cholinergic interneurons			
29	in DYT1 dystonia. PLoS ONE 6: e24261.			

1	59. Perlmutter JS, Snyder AZ, Tolia VN, Revilla F, McGee-Minnich L, et al. (1998) Does the
2	spatial distribution of putaminal D_2 receptors differ in patients with blepharospasm vs.
3	hand cramp? Society for Neuroscience Abstracts 24(Part 2): 1475.
4	
5	

1 Figure Legends

2

3 <u>Figure 1.</u>

4 Title: Matched coronal sections from the MP-RAGE (top) and late image (bottom) for one

5 subject

Legend: The lines in each image cross at the location of peak putaminal [¹⁸F]spiperone binding
in this subject.

8

9 <u>Figure 2.</u>

10 **Title:** Peak $[^{18}F]$ spiperone binding in striatum (atlas *z* coordinate) in cranial and hand dystonia

Legend: The location of peak [18 F]spiperone binding in striatum (atlas *z* coordinate) is graphed

12 for each subject according to which part of the body is affected by dystonia. On average, the

13 peak was 2.1mm more superior in the hand cramp group than in the group with cranial dystonia

14 affecting the face.

15

16 <u>Figure 3.</u>

17 **Title:** Peak putaminal [¹⁸F]spiperone binding does not vary significantly with age

Legend: The location of peak [18 F]spiperone binding in striatum (atlas *z* coordinate) is graphed

19 versus age, for a group of normal volunteers. There is no meaningful correlation of this measure

20 with age, and the line which best fits the data has a nearly flat slope.

21

22 <u>Figure 4.</u>

23 **Title:** Somatotopy represented on a coronal section of the putamen

Legend: Fig. 3A from ref. [43], used by permission.

25

2

	x	у	Z
cranial dystonia	23.9	0.4	2.8
hand cramp	24.5	-0.5	4.9
р	0.372	0.588	0.016

3