## Washington University School of Medicine Digital Commons@Becker

## **Open Access Publications**

2013

# Multicenter study evaluating the Vitek MS system for identification of medically important yeasts

Lars F. Westblade Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis

Rebecca Jennemann Barnes Jewish Hospital

John A. Branda Harvard Medical School

Maureen Bythrow North Shore- LIJ Health System Labroatories

Mary Jane Ferraro Harvard Medical School

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: http://digitalcommons.wustl.edu/open access pubs

## Recommended Citation

Westblade, Lars F.; Jennemann, Rebecca; Branda, John A.; Bythrow, Maureen; Ferraro, Mary Jane; Garner, Omai B.; Ginocchio, Christine C.; Lewinski, Michael A.; Manji, Ryhana; Mochon, A. Brian; Procop, Gary W.; Richter, Sandra S.; Rychert, Jenna A.; Sercia, Linda; and Burnham, Carey-Ann D., ,"Multicenter study evaluating the Vitek MS system for identification of medically important yeasts." Journal of Clinical Microbiology.51,7. 2267-2272. (2013). http://digitalcommons.wustl.edu/open\_access\_pubs/2324

This Open Access Publication is brought to you for free and open access by Digital Commons@Becker. It has been accepted for inclusion in Open Access Publications by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons@Becker. For more information, please contact engeszer@wustl.edu.

Authors Lars F. Westblade, Rebecca Jennemann, John A. Branda, Maureen Bythrow, Mary Jane Ferraro, Omai B. Garner, Christine C. Ginocchio, Michael A. Lewinski, Ryhana Manji, A. Brian Mochon, Gary W. Procop, Sandra S. Richter, Jenna A. Rychert, Linda Sercia, and Carey-Ann D. Burnham						

## Journal of Clinical Microbiology

## Multicenter Study Evaluating the Vitek MS System for Identification of Medically Important Yeasts

Lars F. Westblade, Rebecca Jennemann, John A. Branda, Maureen Bythrow, Mary Jane Ferraro, Omai B. Garner, Christine C. Ginocchio, Michael A. Lewinski, Ryhana Manji, A. Brian Mochon, Gary W. Procop, Sandra S. Richter, Jenna A. Rychert, Linda Sercia and Carey-Ann D. Burnham *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 2013, 51(7):2267. DOI: 10.1128/JCM.00680-13. Published Ahead of Print 8 May 2013.

Updated information and services can be found at:

http://jcm.asm.org/content/51/7/2267

These include:

**REFERENCES** This article cites 35 articles, 18 of which can be accessed free

at: http://jcm.asm.org/content/51/7/2267#ref-list-1

CONTENT ALERTS Receive: RSS Feeds, eTOCs, free email alerts (when new

articles cite this article), more»

Information about commercial reprint orders: http://journals.asm.org/site/misc/reprints.xhtml To subscribe to to another ASM Journal go to: http://journals.asm.org/site/subscriptions/



## Multicenter Study Evaluating the Vitek MS System for Identification of Medically Important Yeasts

Lars F. Westblade, a,b Rebecca Jennemann, John A. Branda, Maureen Bythrow, Mary Jane Ferraro, Momai B. Garner, f Christine C. Ginocchio, b,e Michael A. Lewinski, Ryhana Manji, A. Brian Mochon, Gary W. Procop, Sandra S. Richter, Jenna A. Rychert, d Linda Sercia, G Carey-Ann D. Burnhama

Department of Pathology and Immunology, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, Missouri, USA<sup>a</sup>: Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, Hofstra North Shore-LIJ School of Medicine, Hempstead, New York, USAb; Barnes-Jewish Hospital, St. Louis, Missouri, USAc; Department of Pathology, Massachusetts General Hospital and Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA<sup>d</sup>; Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, North Shore-LIJ Health System Laboratories, Lake Success, New York, USAe; Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, David Geffen School of Medicine at UCLA, Los Angeles, California, USAf; Department of Clinical Pathology, Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland, Ohio, USA9

The optimal management of fungal infections is correlated with timely organism identification. Matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight (MALDI-TOF) mass spectrometry (MS) is revolutionizing the identification of yeasts isolated from clinical specimens. We present a multicenter study assessing the performance of the Vitek MS system (bioMérieux) in identifying medically important yeasts. A collection of 852 isolates was tested, including 20 Candida species (626 isolates, including 58 C. albicans, 62 C. glabrata, and 53 C. krusei isolates), 35 Cryptococcus neoformans isolates, and 191 other clinically relevant yeast isolates; in total, 31 different species were evaluated. Isolates were directly applied to a target plate, followed by a formic acid overlay. Mass spectra were acquired using the Vitek MS system and were analyzed using the Vitek MS v2.0 database. The gold standard for identification was sequence analysis of the D2 region of the 26S rRNA gene. In total, 823 isolates (96.6%) were identified to the genus level and 819 isolates (96.1%) were identified to the species level. Twenty-four isolates (2.8%) were not identified, and five isolates (0.6%) were misidentified. Misidentified isolates included one isolate of C. albicans (n = 58) identified as Candida dubliniensis, one isolate of Candida parapsilosis (n = 73) identified as Candida pelliculosa, and three isolates of Geotrichum klebahnii (n = 6) identified as Geotrichum candidum. The identification of clinically relevant yeasts using MS is superior to the phenotypic identification systems currently employed in clinical microbiology laboratories.

s the number of patients with profound immunosuppression (such as those with solid-organ and hematopoietic stem cell transplants) continues to rise, the morbidity and mortality burdens attributed to invasive fungal infections are increasing (1-6). In the case of invasive fungal infections, expedient identification of the offending organism is essential for optimal patient management and the best clinical outcomes. As the antifungal susceptibility profiles for many fungi (both yeasts and molds) are predictable, organism identification frequently is sufficient to expedite appropriate empirical antifungal therapy. This has been demonstrated both to reduce the overall length of hospitalization and to maximize favorable clinical outcomes (7–10). Conversely, the rapid exclusion of overt pathogenic or intrinsically resistant species can be used to narrow therapy and/or to prevent treatment with potentially toxic antifungal agents, thereby reducing negative clinical outcomes and costs.

The methods for identification of yeasts in the diagnostic clinical microbiology laboratory have improved significantly over the past several decades (11, 12), with methods ranging from simple manual biochemical assays to automated biochemical methods to sophisticated nucleic acid-based assays (11, 12). While these advancements in methodology have greatly enhanced our ability to identify yeasts, the limitations of these methods include cost, turnaround time, and, in some instances, the need for considerable expertise. Additionally, the accuracy of identification for some less-common species is not optimal for some of the methods (13-17).

A technology that is poised to revolutionize the rapid identification of yeasts isolated in the clinical microbiology laboratory is matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight (MALDI-TOF) mass spectrometry (MS). MALDI-TOF MS-based microbial identification relies on the generation of an organismspecific mass spectrum or "protein fingerprint" that is examined against a reference database to provide an organism identification (18). The objective of this multicenter study was to assess the performance of the Vitek MS MALDI-TOF mass spectrometer (bioMérieux) in conjunction with the Vitek MS v2.0 database for the identification of yeasts isolated in diagnostic clinical microbiology laboratories.

(This work was presented in part as an abstract at the 113th General Meeting of the American Society for Microbiology, Denver, CO, 18 to 21 May 2013.)

### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Isolates used in this study. Yeasts isolated and identified from clinical specimens obtained from five diagnostic clinical microbiology laboratories, located at geographically distinct sites in North America, were included in the study. The study sites were Barnes-Jewish Hospital (St. Louis, MO), the Cleveland Clinic (Cleveland, OH), the UCLA Health System (Los Angeles, CA), the North Shore LIJ Core Laboratory (Lake

Received 11 March 2013 Returned for modification 26 March 2013 Accepted 2 May 2013

Published ahead of print 8 May 2013

Address correspondence to Carey-Ann D. Burnham, cburnham@path.wustl.edu. Copyright © 2013, American Society for Microbiology. All Rights Reserved. doi:10.1128/JCM.00680-13

TABLE 1 Performance characteristics of the Vitek MS system in identifying clinically relevant Candida species

Organism	No. (%) of isolates					
	Total	Identified correctly to genus	Identified correctly to species	Unidentified	Misidentified	
Candida albicans	58	57 (98.3)	57 (98.3)	0 (0)	1 (1.7) <sup>a</sup>	
Candida dubliniensis	34	34 (100)	34 (100)	0 (0)	0 (0)	
Candida famata	29	29 (100)	28 (96.6)	0 (0)	0 (0)	
Candida glabrata	62	62 (100)	62 (100)	0 (0)	0 (0)	
Candida guilliermondii	36	35 (97.2)	35 (97.2)	1 (2.8)	0 (0)	
Candida haemulonii	12	12 (100)	12 (100)	0 (0)	0 (0)	
Candida inconspicua	23	23 (100)	23 (100)	0 (0)	0 (0)	
Candida intermedia	7	7 (100)	7 (100)	0 (0)	0 (0)	
Candida kefyr	30	30 (100)	30 (100)	0 (0)	0 (0)	
Candida krusei	53	53 (100)	53 (100)	0 (0)	0 (0)	
Candida lambica	9	9 (100)	9 (100)	0 (0)	0 (0)	
Candida lipolytica	28	28 (100)	28 (100)	0 (0)	0 (0)	
Candida lusitaniae	33	30 (90.9)	29 (87.9)	3 (9.1)	0 (0)	
Candida norvegensis	30	29 (96.7)	29 (96.7)	1 (3.3)	0 (0)	
Candida parapsilosis	73	72 (98.6)	72 (98.6)	0 (0)	$1(1.4)^{b}$	
Candida pelliculosa	33	33 (100)	33 (100)	0 (0)	0 (0)	
Candida rugosa	6	6 (100)	6 (100)	0 (0)	0 (0)	
Candida tropicalis	54	51 (94.4)	49 (90.7)	3 (5.6)	0 (0)	
Candida utilis	8	8 (100)	8 (100)	0 (0)	0 (0)	
Candida zeylanoides	8	8 (100)	8 (100)	0 (0)	0 (0)	
Total	626	616 (98.4)	612 (97.8)	8 (1.3)	2 (0.3)	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Isolate misidentified as C. dubliniensis.

Success, NY), and the Massachusetts General Hospital (Boston, MA). In total, the collection tested was composed of 852 yeast isolates obtained from the five trial sites (508 isolates) and the bioMérieux stock collection (344 isolates). The collection included 20 *Candida* species (Table 1), *Cryptococcus neoformans*, and 10 species in the genera *Geotrichum*, *Kodamaea*, *Malassezia*, *Rhodotorula*, *Saccharomyces*, and *Trichosporon* (Table 2).

Of the 344 isolates from the bioMérieux stock collection, 96 were used in the development of the database. These isolates represent rare taxa, such that it would not have been possible to evaluate them exclusively via prospective collection.

Cultivation of yeast isolates. The isolates were obtained from frozen stocks or were tested fresh from clinical cultures. Strains that were stored frozen were subcultured on Sabouraud dextrose agar (SDA; Remel, Le-

nexa, KS) twice before mass spectrometric analysis. Freshly collected isolates were subcultured on SDA to assess purity before testing, or, if a pure culture was observed on the primary SDA plate, it was tested directly. All isolates were analyzed within 72 h after visible growth at 35°C. In only four instances, isolates were taken from media other than SDA, including one isolate taken from CHROMagar Candida (Becton, Dickinson, Sparks, MD), one isolate taken from Mueller-Hinton II agar (Becton, Dickinson), and two isolates taken from tryptic soy agar with sheep's blood (Remel). In the four instances where SDA was not used to cultivate the strain for MS analysis, the MS identification matched the reference identification method.

Sample preparation. The yeast isolates were prepared for mass spectrometric analysis using a direct, on-target, extraction method (19).

TABLE 2 Performance characteristics of the Vitek MS system in identifying clinically relevant non-Candida yeast species

Organism	No. (%) of isolates					
	Total	Identified correctly to genus	Identified correctly to species	Unidentified	Misidentified	
Cryptococcus neoformans	35	35 (100)	35 (100)	0 (0)	0 (0)	
Geotrichum capitatum	32	30 (93.8)	30 (93.8)	2 (6.3)	0 (0)	
Geotrichum klebahnii	6	0 (0)	0 (0)	3 (50)	$3(50)^a$	
Kodamaea ohmeri	11	10 (90.9)	10 (90.9)	1 (9.1)	0 (0)	
Malassezia furfur	7	6 (85.7)	6 (85.7)	1 (14.3)	0 (0)	
Malassezia pachydermatis	8	3 (37.5)	3 (37.5)	5 (62.5)	0 (0)	
Rhodotorula mucilaginosa	35	35 (100)	35 (100)	0 (0)	0 (0)	
Saccharomyces cerevisiae	42	41 (97.6)	41 (97.6)	1 (2.4)	0 (0)	
Trichosporon asahii	32	30 (93.8)	30 (93.8)	2 (6.3)	0 (0)	
Trichosporon inkin	9	9 (100)	9 (100)	0 (0)	0 (0)	
Trichosporon mucoides	9	8 (88.9)	8 (88.9)	1 (11.1)	0 (0)	
Total	226	207 (91.6)	207 (91.6)	16 (7.1)	3 (1.3)	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Isolates were misidentified as G. candidum.

2268 jcm.asm.org Journal of Clinical Microbiology

 $<sup>^</sup>b$  Isolate misidentified as  $\it C. pelliculosa.$ 

Briefly, a portion of a single colony was applied directly to a disposable target slide (product no. 410893; bioMérieux, Marcy l'Etoile, France) composed of a polypropylene carrier with a stainless steel layer, using a 1- $\mu$ l loop (product no. 861567010; Sarstedt, Newton, NC), and was lysed by direct application of 0.5  $\mu$ l formic acid (25% [vol/vol], product no. 411072; bioMérieux) to the isolate immediately after application on the target plate. Immediately after the formic acid overlay was allowed to dry at room temperature, 1  $\mu$ l of matrix solution (3.1% [wt/vol]  $\alpha$ -cyano-4-hydroxycinnamic acid, product no. 411071; bioMérieux) was applied and allowed to dry at room temperature prior to mass spectrometric analysis. Isolates were prepared for mass spectrometric analysis at the Vitek MS preparation station, and the isolate information was transferred to the Vitek MS acquisition station using Myla v2.4 middleware. The total sample preparation time was approximately 1 min per isolate.

MALDI-TOF MS. Following sample preparation, samples were analyzed with the Vitek MS MALDI-TOF mass spectrometer in linear positive-ion mode, across the mass-to-charge ratio range of 2,000 to 20,000 Da. Each spot was irradiated with 500 laser shots at 50 Hz. Target plates were calibrated and quality controlled both before and after data acquisition by using *Escherichia coli* ATCC 8739. Additionally, a *Candida glabrata* isolate (*C. glabrata* ATCC MYA-2950) and a sample containing matrix only (negative control) were assayed for quality control purposes. After the acquisition of spectra, data were transferred from the Vitek MS acquisition station to the Vitek MS analysis server, and identification results were displayed using Myla v2.4 middleware. The total processing and data analysis time was approximately 20 min for a single isolate; this time increased by approximately 1 min for each subsequent sample. Each operator participating in the study was required to analyze a proficiency panel successfully prior to beginning to test isolates for this investigation.

Data analysis. The Vitek MS identification system is based on comparison of the characteristics of the spectra obtained with the Vitek MS v2.0 database. This database was built using spectra for known strains for each claimed species. Based on this representative data collection, a weight is assigned to each peak for each species according to its specificity. As part of the identification process, the software compares the spectrum obtained with peak weights defined for each claimed species. The resulting quantitative value, the confidence value, is calculated and expresses the similarity between the unknown organism and every organism or organism group in the database. A single identification is displayed, with a confidence value from 60.0 to 99.9, when one significant organism or organism group is retained. "Low-discrimination" identifications are displayed when more than one but not more than four significant organisms or organism groups are retained. In this case, the sum of confidence values is equal to 100. When more than four organisms or organism groups are found, or when no match is found, the organism is considered unidenti-

Molecular identification of yeast isolates. The molecular identification of all isolates in the test collection was performed by MIDI Labs (Newark, DE). The isolates were identified by sequencing the D2 region of the 26S rRNA gene (12) using the MicroSeq D2 LSU rDNA fungal identification kit (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) (20). Briefly, yeast genomic DNA was extracted and the D2 region was amplified by PCR; the resultant PCR product was sequenced and compared with fungal sequences in the MicroSeq D2 fungal library and other public databases, including GenBank (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/GenBank).

#### **RESULTS**

Overall performance of the Vitek MS system. A collection of 852 yeast isolates, comprising 31 different species obtained primarily from clinical microbiology laboratories located in five different geographical regions in North America, was used to challenge the Vitek MS v2.0 database (bioMérieux). Of the 852 isolates included in the collection, 823 (96.6%) were identified to the genus level, while 819 (96.1%) were identified to the species level. In total, 24

isolates (2.8%) were not identified and five isolates (0.6%) were misidentified.

Performance of the Vitek MS system in identifying Candida species. A total of 626 Candida isolates representing 20 different species, including 58 Candida albicans, 62 C. glabrata, and 53 Candida krusei isolates, were analyzed (Table 1). Of the 626 isolates, 616 (98.4%) were identified to the genus level and 612 (97.8%) were identified to the species level. Only eight isolates (1.3%) were unidentified and two isolates (0.3%) were misidentified. The isolates that were misidentified included one isolate of C. albicans that was misidentified as Candida dubliniensis and one isolate of Candida parapsilosis that was misidentified as Candida pelliculosa.

When the isolates from the bioMérieux stock collection were excluded, 16 species of *Candida* were represented. Of these 404 isolates, 396 (98.0%) were identified correctly to the genus level and 393 (97.3%) to the species level (Table 3).

Performance of the Vitek MS system in identifying non-Candida yeast isolates. A total of 226 isolates representing 11 different species, including 35 *C. neoformans* isolates, 50 *Trichosporon* isolates, and 35 *Rhodotorula mucilaginosa* isolates, were analyzed (Table 2). The number of isolates identified to both the genus and species levels was 207 (91.6%), with all 35 (100%) *C. neoformans* isolates correctly identified to the species level. The number of isolates that were misidentified (three isolates [1.3%]) was low. The three misidentified isolates were *Geotrichum klebahnii* isolates that were identified as *Geotrichum candidum*. The proportion of isolates that were not identified in this group (16 isolates [7.1%]) was greater than the proportion of isolates that were not identified in the *Candida* species group.

When the isolates from the bioMérieux stock collection were excluded from this group of organisms, nine species of non-*Candida* yeast isolates remained. Of the 104 isolates, 99 (95.2%) were correctly identified to both the genus and species levels (Table 4).

Quality control. The *C. glabrata* quality control organism and the negative control sample (matrix only) were tested by the Vitek MS every day that yeast isolates were assayed and with every new lot of target slides, formic acid, and matrix. During the trial, the quality control organism was tested 141 times and acceptable results were obtained 139 times (98.6%). Two quality control tests yielded no identification upon initial testing. In both instances, however, the correct identification was obtained upon repeat testing on the same day. In all instances, the negative control yielded no identification.

### DISCUSSION

Although the identification of yeast isolates has greatly improved over the past several decades, the manual and automated biochemical methods commonly used to identify contemporary yeast isolates are time-consuming and may result in low-discrimination identifications that require additional testing (12, 21). Nucleic acid-based identification techniques, such as DNA sequencing of yeast, have high accuracy but are expensive, might have prolonged turnaround times, and require technical expertise and equipment that may not be available to all laboratories. MALDI-TOF MS offers a balance between speed and highly accurate yeast identifications.

While fewer studies evaluating MALDI-TOF MS identification of yeasts than bacteria have been published to date, the theme of the existing literature is that the performance of MALDI-TOF MS in identifying fungi, both yeasts and molds, is comparable or su-

TABLE 3 Performance characteristics of the Vitek MS system in identifying Candida species recovered from clinical specimens

Organism	No. (%) of isolates					
	Total	Identified correctly to genus	Identified correctly to species	Unidentified	Misidentified	
Candida albicans	58	57 (98.3)	57 (98.3)	0 (0)	1 (1.7) <sup>a</sup>	
Candida dubliniensis	24	24 (100)	24 (100)	0 (0)	0 (0)	
Candida famata	2	2 (100)	2 (100)	0 (0)	0 (0)	
Candida glabrata	62	62 (100)	62 (100)	0 (0)	0 (0)	
Candida guilliermondii	25	24 (96)	24 (96)	1 (4)	0 (0)	
Candida haemulonii	4	4 (100)	4 (100)	0 (0)	0 (0)	
Candida inconspicua	1	1 (100)	1 (100)	0 (0)	0 (0)	
Candida kefyr	15	15 (100)	15 (100)	0 (0)	0 (0)	
Candida krusei	46	46 (100)	46 (100)	0 (0)	0 (0)	
Candida lambica	1	1 (100)	1 (100)	0 (0)	0 (0)	
Candida lipolytica	4	4 (100)	4 (100)	0 (0)	0 (0)	
Candida lusitaniae	30	27 (90)	26 (87)	3 (0.1)	0 (0)	
Candida parapsilosis	72	72 (100)	72 (100)	0 (0)	0 (0)	
Candida pelliculosa	5	5 (100)	5 (100)	0 (0)	0 (0)	
Candida rugosa	2	2 (100)	2 (100)	0 (0)	0 (0)	
Candida tropicalis	53	50 (94)	48 (91)	3 (6)	0 (0)	
Total	404	396 (98.0)	393 (97.3)	7 (1.7)	1 (0.2)	

a Isolate misidentified as C. duhliniensis

perior to that of conventional and nucleic acid-based identification methods (11, 12, 19, 22–29). The major advantages of MALDI-TOF MS identification of yeasts, compared with conventional methods, are the marked decreases in cost and time to identification (30). Antifungal susceptibility profiles generally are predictable from the species identification (8) and, of note, the four species of yeast that account for the vast majority of infections, i.e., *C. albicans*, *C. glabrata*, *C. krusei*, and *C. parapsilosis*, have distinct susceptibility profiles (8). Therefore, rapid, highly accurate identification of yeast isolates using MALDI-TOF MS is poised to enhance patient care drastically and to reduce hospital-associated costs due to fungal infections.

In this study, we evaluated the performance characteristics of the Vitek MS with the v2.0 database for identification of medically important yeast species. This study has a number of strengths. The first is that this was a multicenter evaluation; therefore, a large number of independent operators were able to demonstrate the interlaboratory accuracy of this method. Isolates were recovered from geographically distinct areas across North America, enriching the collection for strain heterogeneity. In addition, this study included a large number of isolates, and the identification of all isolates was verified using sequence analysis as a gold standard. Finally, this is the first study to date to evaluate the performance characteristics of the Vitek MS v2.0 database for identification of clinically relevant yeast species.

The results of the multicenter study indicate that, independent of the laboratory and the geographical origin of the isolates, the Vitek MS demonstrated an overall species identification rate comparable or superior to those for both traditional biochemical and nucleic acid-based yeast identification systems (11, 12) but with a significant reduction in the time to identification. This method is technically facile and, once the laboratory has recovered the capital investment for the instrument purchase, the ongoing cost of consumables is low.

In our study, 24 (2.8%) and 5 (0.6%) isolates were not identified and were misidentified, respectively. Overall, we identified >96% of the 852 isolates in this study to the species level. This is comparable to the findings of other studies evaluating MALDI-

TABLE 4 Performance characteristics of the Vitek MS system in identifying non-Candida yeast isolates recovered from clinical specimens

Organism	No. (%) of isolates					
	Total	Identified correctly to genus	Identified correctly to species	Unidentified	Misidentified	
Cryptococcus neoformans	29	29 (100)	29 (100)	0 (0)	0 (0)	
Geotrichum capitatum	3	3 (100)	3 (100)	0 (0)	0 (0)	
Kodamaea ohmeri	1	1 (100)	1 (100)	0 (0)	0 (0)	
Malassezia furfur	1	0 (0)	0 (0)	1 (100)	0 (0)	
Malassezia pachydermatis	1	0 (0)	0 (0)	1 (100)	0 (0)	
Rhodotorula mucilaginosa	26	26 (100)	26 (100)	0 (0)	0 (0)	
Saccharomyces cerevisiae	28	27 (96)	27 (96)	1 (4)	0 (0)	
Trichosporon asahii	11	9 (82)	9 (82)	2 (18)	0 (0)	
Trichosporon mucoides	4	4 (100)	4 (100)	0 (0)	0 (0)	
Total	104	99 (95.2)	99 (95.2)	5 (4.8)	0 (0)	

2270 jcm.asm.org Journal of Clinical Microbiology

TOF MS identification of yeasts using other instrumentation platforms or spectral databases; Yaman and coworkers identified 94% of 265 yeast isolates correctly using the Bruker Biotyper (29), Bader and colleagues identified >95% of 1,192 isolates correctly using both the Bruker Biotyper and the Saramis instruments (26), Dhiman and colleagues identified >96% of 138 "common" yeasts and 84.5% of 103 "uncommon" yeasts to the species level using the Bruker Biotyper (27), and Iriart et al. identified 184 of 188 yeast isolates (97.9%) tested using the Vitek MS (19). In contrast to the current study, the study by Iriart et al. (19) evaluated the Vitek MS v1.0 database and included primarily *Candida* isolates from a medical center in France, and sequencing was not the reference method for the study.

For the isolates that were misidentified in the current study, the incorrect identifications would be unlikely to lead to adverse clinical outcomes. Two of the five incorrectly identified isolates were Candida species, including an isolate of C. albicans misidentified as C. dubliniensis and an isolate of Candida parapsilosis misidentified as C. pelliculosa. The clinical impact of misidentifying C. albicans as C. dubliniensis is likely to be minimal, although it has been suggested that the development of fluconazole resistance is more likely for C. dubliniensis than for C. albicans (31). C. parapsilosis exhibits higher MICs for the echinocandins than do most other Candida species (8, 32); therefore, misidentification might be clinically significant. However, data on the susceptibility profile of C. pelliculosa are sparse, and it is not obvious what empirical therapy might be initiated based on this identification. Although few isolates were not identified in this study, three (9.1%) of the Candida lusitaniae isolates tested were not identified. This is of minor importance, compared with the overall performance characteristics of this method, but this finding is of note in light of the fact that this species can be resistant to amphotericin B, a trait unusual for Candida species (8).

The three other misidentified isolates were *Geotrichum klebahnii* identified as *G. candidum*. *G. klebahnii* is in the current database. While this error is unlikely to be clinically significant, bio-Mérieux indicated that future database and software updates will result in reporting of these two species as *G. candidum/klebahnii* rather than specific species-level identification, to circumvent this misidentification event (bioMérieux, personal communication).

In contrast to the "direct colony" methods typically used for MALDI-TOF MS identification of bacterial isolates, the majority of studies to date evaluating MALDI-TOF MS methods for identification of yeasts have suggested the use of a more labor-intensive formic acid/organic solvent extraction method. This method involves a series of centrifugation steps and is thought to be necessary for reliable identification of these organisms, because of the thick, chitin-containing cell walls of yeasts (26, 27, 29, 33, 34). These additional steps significantly increase the hands-on time required for analysis and negatively affect turnaround times. For example, using the full extraction method for sample preparation, one study reported an average of 5.1 min of hands-on time and a total turnaround time of 38.4 min per isolate (27). A recent study conducted by Theel and coworkers evaluated a direct on-plate extraction preparation method using 70% formic acid, and 73 of 90 isolates (81.1%) were identified to the species level using this method (35). The performance of the on-plate direct extraction method demonstrated in this study and by Theel et al. (35) represents improvements in both turnaround times and workflow for MALDI-TOF MS identification of yeasts. However, one point of caution when using a direct plate extraction preparation method is that the early growth of some thermally dimorphic fungi, such as *Histoplasma capsulatum* and *Coccidioides immitis/posadasii*, might resemble yeast-like colonies. Therefore, clinical laboratories should be mindful of growth rates and colony morphology when using this method for yeast identification.

Despite the promising results reported in this study, there are some limitations to our data. All except four of the isolates were grown on SDA for MALDI-TOF MS analysis; therefore, the performance characteristics of this methodology for yeast grown on other types of media are unknown. For the 852 yeast isolates tested in this study, all of the species identified are included in the Vitek MS v2.0 database. It is not known if unusual taxa not represented in the database would be misidentified or simply not identified if tested with this system. Finally, no isolates of Cryptococcus gattii, an emerging fungal pathogen (36), were included in the study. Thus, the ability of the Vitek MS to differentiate C. neoformans from C. gattii, which might be of epidemiological and clinical importance, is not known. Previous studies using other platforms suggest that MALDI-TOF MS methods do have the potential for species resolution of Cryptococcus species by permitting the addition of mass spectra to the reference database (28). The Vitek MS IVD system evaluated in this study does not permit user modifications, such as the addition of spectra to the database.

In conclusion, we present the results of a multicenter study evaluating the Vitek MS system for identification of clinically relevant yeasts. Identification of yeasts using the Vitek MS is faster and more accurate than phenotypic identification systems currently employed in clinical microbiology laboratories and affords accuracy comparable to that of more laborious and costly molecular methods. Implementation of this methodology should streamline yeast identification in the laboratory, positively affect patient care, and reduce health care-associated costs.

## **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

This study was funded by bioMérieux.

We thank Connie Bradford for her assistance with this study. We also thank W. Michael Dunne, Jr., and Dave Pincus for their thoughtful reviews of the manuscript.

J. A. Branda, J. A. Rychert, and M. J. Ferraro have received research funding from bioMérieux and Becton, Dickinson and Co. C. C. Ginocchio has received research funding and consulting fees from bioMérieux and Becton, Dickinson. G. W. Procop has received research funding from bioMérieux, Bruker, the CDC, and Luminex. S. S. Richter has received research funding from bioMérieux, Nanosphere, and Forest Laboratories. C.-A. D. Burnham has received research funding from bioMérieux, Acclerate, Cepheid, and T2 Biosystems. The other authors have no conflicts to disclose.

#### REFERENCES

- Arendrup MC, Fisher BT, Zaoutis TE. 2009. Invasive fungal infections in the paediatric and neonatal population: diagnostics and management issues. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. 15:613–624.
- 2. Muskett H, Shahin J, Eyres G, Harvey S, Rowan K, Harrison D. 2011. Risk factors for invasive fungal disease in critically ill adult patients: a systematic review. Crit. Care 15:R287.
- 3. Parize P, Rammaert B, Lortholary O. 2012. Emerging invasive fungal diseases in transplantation. Curr. Infect. Dis. Rep. 14:668–675.
- 4. Shoham S, Marr KA. 2012. Invasive fungal infections in solid organ transplant recipients. Future Microbiol. 7:639–655.
- Gratwohl A, Baldomero H, Aljurf M, Pasquini MC, Bouzas LF, Yoshimi A, Szer J, Lipton J, Schwendener A, Gratwohl M, Frauendorfer K, Niederwieser D, Horowitz M, Kodera Y. 2010. Hematopoietic stem cell transplantation: a global perspective. JAMA 303:1617–1624.

- US Department of Health and Human Services. 2013. Statistics and facts for people over 50. http://www.organdonor.gov/about/statistics.html. Accessed 12 February 2013.
- 7. Garey KW, Rege M, Pai MP, Mingo DE, Suda KJ, Turpin RS, Bearden DT. 2006. Time to initiation of fluconazole therapy impacts mortality in patients with candidemia: a multi-institutional study. Clin. Infect. Dis. 43:25–31.
- 8. Pappas PG, Kauffman CA, Andes D, Benjamin DK, Jr, Calandra TF, Edwards JE, Jr, Filler SG, Fisher JF, Kullberg BJ, Ostrosky-Zeichner L, Reboli AC, Rex JH, Walsh TJ, Sobel JD. 2009. Clinical practice guidelines for the management of candidiasis: 2009 update by the Infectious Diseases Society of America. Clin. Infect. Dis. 48:503–535.
- 9. Echeverria PM, Kett DH, Azoulay E. 2011. *Candida* prophylaxis and therapy in the ICU. Semin. Respir. Crit. Care Med. 32:159–173.
- Andes DR, Safdar N, Baddley JW, Playford G, Reboli AC, Rex JH, Sobel JD, Pappas PG, Kullberg BJ. 2012. Impact of treatment strategy on outcomes in patients with candidemia and other forms of invasive candidiasis: a patient-level quantitative review of randomized trials. Clin. Infect. Dis. 54:1110–1122.
- Marcos JY, Pincus DH. 2013. Fungal diagnostics: review of commercially available methods. Methods Mol. Biol. 968:25–54.
- Pincus DH, Orenga S, Chatellier S. 2007. Yeast identification: past, present, and future methods. Med. Mycol. 45:97–121.
- Freydiere AM, Odds FC. 2001. Commercial kits for yeast identification: concerns for standardisation. Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. Dis. 20:366–367.
- Freydiere AM, Guinet R, Boiron P. 2001. Yeast identification in the clinical microbiology laboratory: phenotypical methods. Med. Mycol. 39: 9–33.
- Verweij PE, Breuker IM, Rijs AJ, Meis JF. 1999. Comparative study of seven commercial yeast identification systems. J. Clin. Pathol. 52:271–273.
- Walsh TJ, Groll A, Hiemenz J, Fleming R, Roilides E, Anaissie E. 2004. Infections due to emerging and uncommon medically important fungal pathogens. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. 10(Suppl 1):48–66.
- 17. Sanguinetti M, Porta R, Sali M, La Sorda M, Pecorini G, Fadda G, Posteraro B. 2007. Evaluation of VITEK 2 and RapID yeast plus systems for yeast species identification: experience at a large clinical microbiology laboratory. J. Clin. Microbiol. 45:1343–1346.
- Fenselau C, Demirev PA. 2001. Characterization of intact microorganisms by MALDI mass spectrometry. Mass Spectrom. Rev. 20:157–171.
- 19. Iriart X, Lavergne RA, Fillaux J, Valentin A, Magnaval JF, Berry A, Cassaing S. 2012. Routine identification of medical fungi by the new Vitek MS matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight system with a new time-effective strategy. J. Clin. Microbiol. 50:2107–2110.
- Hall L, Wohlfiel S, Roberts GD. 2003. Experience with the MicroSeq D2 large-subunit ribosomal DNA sequencing kit for identification of commonly encountered, clinically important yeast species. J. Clin. Microbiol. 41:5099–5102.
- Hata DJ, Hall L, Fothergill AW, Larone DH, Wengenack NL. 2007. Multicenter evaluation of the new VITEK 2 advanced colorimetric yeast identification card. J. Clin. Microbiol. 45:1087–1092.
- Erhard M, Hipler UC, Burmester A, Brakhage AA, Wostemeyer J. 2008. Identification of dermatophyte species causing onychomycosis and tinea pedis by MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry. Exp. Dermatol. 17:356–361.
- 23. Marinach-Patrice C, Lethuillier A, Marly A, Brossas JY, Gene J, Symoens F, Datry A, Guarro J, Mazier D, Hennequin C. 2009. Use of mass spectrometry to identify clinical *Fusarium* isolates. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. 15:634–642.

- 24. Marklein G, Josten M, Klanke U, Muller E, Horre R, Maier T, Wenzel T, Kostrzewa M, Bierbaum G, Hoerauf A, Sahl HG. 2009. Matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry for fast and reliable identification of clinical yeast isolates. J. Clin. Microbiol. 47:2912–2917.
- Stevenson LG, Drake SK, Shea YR, Zelazny AM, Murray PR. 2010. Evaluation of matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry for identification of clinically important yeast species. J. Clin. Microbiol. 48:3482–3486.
- Bader O, Weig M, Taverne-Ghadwal L, Lugert R, Gross U, Kuhns M. 2011. Improved clinical laboratory identification of human pathogenic yeasts by matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. 17:1359–1365.
- Dhiman N, Hall L, Wohlfiel SL, Buckwalter SP, Wengenack NL. 2011. Performance and cost analysis of matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry for routine identification of yeast. J. Clin. Microbiol. 49:1614–1616.
- Posteraro B, Vella A, Cogliati M, De Carolis E, Florio AR, Posteraro P, Sanguinetti M, Tortorano AM. 2012. Matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry-based method for discrimination between molecular types of *Cryptococcus neoformans* and *Cryptococcus gattii*. J. Clin. Microbiol. 50:2472–2476.
- 29. Yaman G, Akyar I, Can S. 2012. Evaluation of the MALDI TOF-MS method for identification of *Candida* strains isolated from blood cultures. Diagn. Microbiol. Infect. Dis. 73:65–67.
- 30. Tan KE, Ellis BC, Lee R, Stamper PD, Zhang SX, Carroll KC. 2012. Prospective evaluation of a matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry system in a hospital clinical microbiology laboratory for identification of bacteria and yeasts: a bench-by-bench study for assessing the impact on time to identification and cost-effectiveness. J. Clin. Microbiol. 50:3301–3308.
- 31. Moran GP, Sullivan DJ, Henman MC, McCreary CE, Harrington BJ, Shanley DB, Coleman DC. 1997. Antifungal drug susceptibilities of oral Candida dubliniensis isolates from human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)-infected and non-HIV-infected subjects and generation of stable fluconazole-resistant derivatives in vitro. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 41:617–623.
- Beyda ND, Lewis RE, Garey KW. 2012. Echinocandin resistance in Candida species: mechanisms of reduced susceptibility and therapeutic approaches. Ann. Pharmacother. 46:1086–1096.
- 33. Goyer M, Lucchi G, Ducoroy P, Vagner O, Bonnin A, Dalle F. 2012. Optimization of the preanalytical steps of matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry identification provides a flexible and efficient tool for identification of clinical yeast isolates in medical laboratories. J. Clin. Microbiol. 50:3066–3068.
- Cassagne C, Cella AL, Suchon P, Normand AC, Ranque S, Piarroux R. 2013. Evaluation of four pretreatment procedures for MALDI-TOF MS yeast identification in the routine clinical laboratory. Med. Mycol. 51:371– 377.
- Theel ES, Schmitt BH, Hall L, Cunningham SA, Walchak RC, Patel R, Wengenack NL. 2012. Formic acid-based direct, on-plate testing of yeast and *Corynebacterium* species by Bruker Biotyper matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry. J. Clin. Microbiol. 50:3093–3095
- Byrnes EJ, III, Bartlett KH, Perfect JR, Heitman J. 2011. *Cryptococcus gattii*: an emerging fungal pathogen infecting humans and animals. Microbes Infect. 13:895–907.

2272 jcm.asm.org Journal of Clinical Microbiology