Washington University School of Medicine Digital Commons@Becker

Open Access Publications

2015

IRF-5-dependent signaling restricts Orthobunyavirus dissemination to the central nervous system

Jose Luiz Proenca-Modena Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis

Jennifer L. Hyde *Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis*

Renata Sesti-Costa Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis

Tiffany Lucas Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis

Amelia K. Pinto Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: http://digitalcommons.wustl.edu/open_access_pubs

Recommended Citation

Proenca-Modena, Jose Luiz; Hyde, Jennifer L.; Sesti-Costa, Renata; Lucas, Tiffany; Pinto, Amelia K.; Richner, Justin M.; Gorman, Matthew J.; Lazear, Helen M.; and Diamond, Michael S., "IRF-5-dependent signaling restricts Orthobunyavirus dissemination to the central nervous system." The Journal of Virology., 1-46. (2015). http://digitalcommons.wustl.edu/open_access_pubs/4370

This Open Access Publication is brought to you for free and open access by Digital Commons@Becker. It has been accepted for inclusion in Open Access Publications by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons@Becker. For more information, please contact engeszer@wustl.edu.

Authors

Jose Luiz Proenca-Modena, Jennifer L. Hyde, Renata Sesti-Costa, Tiffany Lucas, Amelia K. Pinto, Justin M. Richner, Matthew J. Gorman, Helen M. Lazear, and Michael S. Diamond

This open access publication is available at Digital Commons@Becker: http://digitalcommons.wustl.edu/open_access_pubs/4370

JVI Accepted Manuscript Posted Online 14 October 2015 J. Virol. doi:10.1128/JVI.02276-15 Copyright © 2015, American Society for Microbiology. All Rights Reserved.

1 IRF-5-dependent signaling restricts Orthobunyavirus dissemination to the central

2 nervous system

3

Jose Luiz Proenca-Modena^{a,e}, Jennifer L. Hyde^a, Renata Sesti-Costa^{b,f}, Tiffany Lucas^a,
Amelia K. Pinto^a, Justin M. Richner^a, Matthew J. Gorman^b, Helen M. Lazear^{a,g}, and Michael
S. Diamond^{a,b,c,d}

7

Departments of Medicine^a, Pathology & Immunology^b, and Molecular Microbiology^c, Center
for Human Immunology and Immunotherapy Programs^d, Washington University School of
Medicine, St Louis, MO, USA. Department of Genetics, Evolution and Bioagents^e, Institute of
Biology, University of Campinas (UNICAMP), Campinas, SP, Brazil. Department of
Biochemistry and Immunology^f, University of São Paulo (USP), Ribeirão Preto School of
Medicine, SP, Brazil. Department of Microbiology and Immunology, University of North
Carolina School of Medicine, Chapel Hill, NC, USA^g

15

Corresponding author: Michael Diamond, MD, PhD. Departments of Medicine, Molecular
 Microbiology, Pathology & Immunology. Center for Human Immunology & Immunotherapy
 Programs Washington University School of Medicine. 660 South Euclid Ave, St Louis MO
 63110. Phone: +1-314-362-2842, e-mail: diamond@wusm.wustl.edu

20

21 Short Title: Neuropathogenesis of OROV is restricted by IRF-5

- 22 Figures: 12; Tables: 2
- 23
- 24

25 ABSTRACT

26 Interferon (IFN)-regulatory factor 5 (IRF-5) is a transcription factor that induces 27 inflammatory responses after engagement and signaling by pattern recognition receptors. To 28 define the role of IRF-5 during bunyavirus infection, we evaluated Oropouche virus (OROV) 29 and La Crosse virus (LACV) pathogenesis and immune responses in primary cells and in 30 mice with gene deletions in Irf3, Irf5, and Irf7 or Irf5 alone. Deletion of Irf3, Irf5, and Irf7 31 together resulted in uncontrolled viral replication in the liver and spleen, hypercytokinemia, 32 extensive liver injury, and an early death phenotype. Remarkably, deletion of Irf5 alone 33 resulted in meningoencephalitis and death on a more protracted timeline, one to two weeks 34 after initial OROV or LACV infection. The clinical signs in OROV-infected Irf5¹⁻ mice were 35 associated with abundant viral antigen and TUNEL-positive cells in several regions of the 36 brain. Circulating dendritic cell (DC) subsets in Irf5^{-/-} mice had higher levels of OROV RNA in 37 vivo yet produced lower levels of type I IFN compared to WT cells. This result was supported 38 by data obtained in vitro, since a deficiency of IRF-5 resulted in enhanced OROV infection 39 and diminished type I IFN production in bone marrow-derived DCs. Collectively, these 40 results indicate a key role for IRF-5 in modulating the host antiviral response in peripheral 41 organs that controls bunyavirus neuroinvasion in mice.

42

43

44 IMPORTANCE

45 Oropouche virus (OROV) and La Crosse virus (LACV) are orthobunyaviruses that 46 are transmitted by insects and cause meningitis and encephalitis in subsets of individuals in 47 the Americas. Recently, we demonstrated that components of the type I interferon (IFN) 48 induction pathway, particularly the regulatory transcription factors IRF-3 and IRF-7, have key 49 protective roles during OROV infection. However, the lethality in Irf3^{-/-} Irf7^{-/-} (DKO) mice infected with OROV was not as rapid or complete as observed in *lfnar^{/-}* mice, indicating that 50 51 other transcriptional factors associated with an IFN response contribute to antiviral immunity 52 against OROV. Here, we evaluated bunyavirus replication, tissue tropism, and cytokine 53 production in primary cells and mice lacking IRF-5. We demonstrate an important role for 54 IRF-5 in preventing neuroinvasion and the ensuing encephalitis caused by OROV and 55 LACV.

56

57

 \geq

58 INTRODUCTION

59 The interferon (IFN) regulatory factor (IRF) family of transcription factors has a 60 central role in regulating innate immune cell development and responses (1). Several IRF 61 family members (e.g., IRF-1, IRF-3, IRF-5, and IRF-7) are present in the cytoplasm in an 62 inactive form and then phosphorylated after pattern recognition receptor engagement and 63 signaling. These events facilitate nuclear translocation, binding to DNA promoter elements, 64 and induction of antiviral and proinflammatory genes that modulate immunity (2). Canonical 65 IFN induction pathways that are regulated by Toll-like (TLR) and RIG-I-like (RLR) receptors 66 converge on activation of IRF-3 and IRF-7 (3).

67 IRF-5 acts downstream of the TLR-MyD88 and RLR-MAVS signaling pathways, via 68 a TRAF6- and IRAK1-dependent mechanism, to induce expression of proinflammatory 69 cytokines, including interleukin-6 (IL-6), IL-12, and TNF- α (4). IRF-5 is expressed 70 constitutively in several hematopoietic cells, including B cells, monocytes, macrophages 71 (Mo), and dendritic cell (DC) subsets (5, 6). IRF-5 regulates differentiation of lymphoid cells 72 and innate immune responses, but also is implicated in oncogenesis and apoptosis (7, 8). 73 During B cell development, IRF-5 regulates expression of Blimp-1, a protein required for the 74 formation of immunoglobulin-secreting plasma cells. As a consequence, Irf5^{/-} mice have 75 increased numbers of CD19⁺B220⁻ cells and reduced plasma cell expansion and isotype 76 switching in response to antigens or pathogens (9-11). A deficiency of IRF-5 also resulted in 77 reduced IFN- α , IFN- β , and IL-6 production by TLR-7- and TLR-9-stimulated DCs or IL-6 78 production by B cells (9, 12), and this was associated with resistance to shock syndrome 79 induced by unmethylated CpG DNA and LPS (4). Irf5 alleles with enhanced promoter activity 80 are linked to autoimmune disorders in humans, including systemic lupus erythematosus, 81 rheumatoid arthritis, Sjögren's syndrome, multiple sclerosis, and inflammatory bowel disease 82 (13-16).

Recent studies have illustrated the importance of IRF-5 function in antiviral immunity
(17). After MAVS signaling, IRF-5 acts coordinately with IRF-3 and IRF-7 to regulate type I
IFN responses in myeloid DCs after West Nile virus (WNV) infection (18). In addition, IRF-5

shapes the early innate immune response against WNV in the draining lymph node (11). An IRF-5 deficiency was associated with lower levels of proinflammatory cytokines, chemokines, and activated immune cells in lymphoid tissues within two days of WNV infection (11). *Irf5^{-/-}* mice also had a mildly blunted WNV-specific antibody response, with fewer antigen-specific memory B cells and long-lived plasma cells (11).

91 Oropouche virus (OROV) is an arthropod-transmitted, enveloped, negative-sense 92 orthobunyavirus of the family Bunyaviridae that has caused periodic outbreaks of a 93 debilitating febrile illness in South America (19). Oropouche fever is the second most 94 frequent arthropod-transmitted viral disease in Brazil, and more than 500,000 cases have 95 been confirmed in Brazil, Peru, Trinidad, Panama, and Suriname (19-21). OROV infection 96 can progress to meningitis and/or encephalitis in some patients (22-24). Despite its clinical 97 importance, little is known about the factors that determine OROV dissemination into the 98 central nervous system (CNS).

99 A recent study in mice with targeted gene deletions provided insight into mechanisms 100 of innate immune restriction of OROV infection (25). The host type I IFN antiviral response is 101 essential for controlling OROV infection, as mice lacking interferon- α/β receptor (*Ifnar^{-/-}*) or 102 signaling molecules and transcription factors involved in IFN production (e.g. Mavs^{-/-}, Irf3^{-/-}, or Irf7^{/-}) sustained high levels of virus replication in the liver and spleen (25). However, Irf3^{-/-} 103 Irf7^{/-} double knockout (DKO) mice were not as vulnerable to OROV or La Crosse virus 104 105 (LACV, a second orthobunyavirus) infection compared to Ifnar^{-/-} mice (25), suggesting that 106 additional transcriptional factors regulated IFN-dependent antiviral immunity.

107 To define the role of IRF-5 to restrict OROV infection, we infected $Irf5^{-/}$, $Irf3^{-/}$ $Irf7^{-/}$ 108 DKO, or $Irf3^{-/}$ $Irf5^{-/}$ $Irf7^{-/}$ triple knockout (TKO) mice with OROV. Whereas the combined loss 109 of *Irf3* and *Irf7* or *Irf3*, *Irf5*, and *Irf7* expression resulted in rapid systemic disease with high 110 lethality rates and extensive virus replication in the liver, the deletion of *Irf5* alone yielded a 111 distinct phenotype. OROV infection in *Irf5*^{-/-} mice was associated with a protracted disease 112 that recapitulated features of human infection, with signs of neurological involvement and 113 high levels of virus accumulating in the brain and spinal cord.

114 MATERIALS AND METHODS

115 Ethics statement. This study was carried out in accordance with the 116 recommendations in the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of the National 117 Institutes of Health. The protocols were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use 118 Committee at the Washington University School of Medicine (Assurance Number: A3381-119 01). Inoculations were performed under anesthesia that was induced and maintained with 120 ketamine hydrochloride and xylazine, and all efforts were made to minimize animal suffering. 121 Viruses. OROV (strain BeAn 19991) and LACV (original strain) were provided by E. 122 Arruda (São Paulo University, Ribeirão Preto, Brazil) and A. Pekosz (Johns Hopkins 123 University, Baltimore, Maryland, USA), respectively. OROV and LACV stocks were produced 124 in Vero cells. Studies with OROV were conducted under enhanced BSL-3 and A-BSL3 125 (Animal BSL-3) containment at Washington University School of Medicine with appropriate 126 personal protective equipment (respirators) and approval from the United States Department 127 of Agriculture. Experiments with LACV were performed under BSL-2 and A-BSL2 conditions. 128 Mouse experiments. WT C57BL/6 mice were purchased from Jackson Laboratories. Congenic Ifnar¹⁻, Irf5¹⁻, Irf3¹⁻ Irf7¹⁻ DKO, and Irf3¹⁻ Irf5¹⁻ Irf7¹⁻ TKO mice have 129 been described previously (4, 18, 26, 27). Irf5^{/-} mice were the gift of T. Taniguchi (Tokyo, 130 131 Japan), obtained from I. Rifkin (Boston, MA), and had been backcrossed for eight 132 generations. After detection of a homozygous Dock2 mutation in this line, we backcrossed 133 Irf5⁻⁻ mice for five additional generations and selected animals that were Dock2^{wt/wt} using 134 PCR-based genotyping (11). All mice were bred in a specific pathogen-free facility at 135 Washington University. Subcutaneous inoculations were performed by injection in the 136 footpad with 10⁶ FFU of OROV and 10⁵ FFU of LACV in a volume of 50 µl. Intracranial 137 injections were performed with 10² FFU of OROV in a volume of 10 µl. Infection experiments 138 were designed with 5 to 6 and 8 week-old mice for OROV and LACV, respectively. The 8 139 week-old mice were used with LACV because younger mice had a higher mortality rate in 140 WT mice, which limited our ability to detect differences in the KO mice. Survival and weight 141 loss monitored for 21 days.

142 Measurement of viral burden. OROV-infected mice were euthanized at days 4, 6, 9 143 and 12 post-infection. LACV-infected mice were euthanized at days 4, 8 and 12 post-144 infection. Animals were perfused extensively with 20 ml of PBS at the time of euthanasia. 145 Liver, spleen, kidney, lung, heart, brain and spinal cord were harvested, weighed and 146 homogenized with zirconia beads in MagNA Lyser instrument (Roche Life Science) in 1 ml 147 of Minimal Essential Medium (MEM) supplemented with 2% heat-inactivated fetal bovine 148 serum (FBS). All homogenized tissues and approximately 200 µl of serum from infected 149 animals were stored at -80°C until virus titration.

150 Viral burden was determined by focus-forming assay on Vero cells. Samples were 151 thawed, clarified by centrifugation (2,000 × g at 4°C for 10 min), and then diluted serially 152 prior to infection of Vero cells in 96-well plates. Infected cell foci were detected 22 to 24 h 153 later, following overnight fixation with 1% paraformaldehyde and incubation with a 1:1,000 154 dilution of polyclonal mouse anti-OROV ascites fluid (ATCC, VR1228AF) or a 1:100 dilution 155 of hybridoma cell supernatants containing the anti-LACV monoclonal antibodies (MAbs) 807-156 31 and 807-33 (provided by A. Pekosz), all in a volume of 50 µl for 2 h at room temperature. 157 After incubation for 1 h with 50 µl of a 1:2,000 dilution of horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-158 conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG (Sigma), foci were detected by addition of TrueBlue 159 detection reagent (KPL). The spots were analyzed with a CTL Immunospot instrument.

160 Measurement of viral RNA. Tissue samples from WT and Irf5^{-/-} mice were extracted with the RNeasy kit (Qiagen). OROV RNA levels in serum, liver, spleen, lung, kidney, brain 161 162 and spinal cord were determined by Taqman one-step qRT-PCR and expressed on a log10 163 scale as viral RNA equivalents per gram or per ml after comparison with a standard curve 164 produced using serial ten-fold dilutions of OROV RNA. The amplification of Gapdh (IdT cat 165 no: Mm.PT.39a.1) was used as a control for normalization. All reactions were performed 166 using 300 ng of RNA, 2.5 µl of 10X PrimeTime solution (IdT, OROV-F: 5'-167 TACCCAGATGCGATCACCAA-3'; OROV-R: 5'- TTGCGTCACCATCATTCCAA -3'; OROV-168 Probe: 5'-/56-FAM/ TGCCTTTGGCTGAGGTAAAGGGCTG/36-TAMSp/-3'), 12.5 µl of

TaqMan master mix (Applied Biosystems) and 0.625 µl of reverse transcriptase (Applied
Biosystems) in a final volume of 25 µl. The cycling algorithm was: 48°C for 30 min, 95°C for
10 min and 45 cycles of 95°C for 15 s and 60°C for 1 min.

172 **Blood chemistry analysis.** Serum from WT, *Irf5^L*, or TKO mice was isolated on 173 days 4, 6, 9 and 12 after OROV infection. Chemistry analyses were performed using a 174 Catalyst Dx Chemistry Analyzer (IDEX Laboratories) after treatment with β-propiolactone 175 (BPL, Sigma) for 30 min at 37°C to inactivate infectious virus. Treatment with BPL did not 176 impact chemistry results.

Cytokine bioplex assay. At days 4, 6, 9 and 12 after OROV infection of WT, *Irf5^L*,
and TKO mice, serum was collected and cytokine levels were measured using the Bioplex
Pro Mouse Cytokine Assay (BioRad). The levels of the following cytokines and chemokines
were determined: IL-1α, IL-1β, IL-2, IL-3, IL-4, IL-6, IL-9, IL-10, IL-12p40, IL-12p70, IL-13, IL17, Eotaxin (CCL11), G-CSF, GM-CSF, IFN-γ, KC (CXCL1), MCP-1 (CCL-2), MIP-1α
(CCL3), MIP-1β (CCL4), RANTES (CCL5) and TNF-α.

Quantification of type I IFN activity. Levels of type I IFN in the serum of WT and *Irf5^{-/-}* mice at 1, 2, or 3 days after OROV were determined by an encephalomyocarditis virus cytopathic effect bioassay in L929 cells as described previously (28). Briefly, all samples were treated with citrate buffer (40 mM citric acid, 10 mM KCl, 135 mM NaCl [pH 3.0]) for 10 minutes and neutralized with Minimal Essential Medium buffered with 45 mM HEPES pH 8.0. The amount of type I IFN per ml of serum was calculated and compared to a standard curve using recombinant IFN-α (PBL Assay Science).

Histology, TUNEL staining, and immunohistochemistry. Liver and spleen tissues were obtained from WT, *Irf5^{-/-}*, and TKO mice at day 4 after OROV infection. Brain tissue from WT and *Irf5^{-/-}* KO mice was harvested at day 12 after virus inoculation. All samples were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (in PBS) for 24 h at 4°C, dehydrated in increasing ethanol concentrations and embedded in paraffin. Hematoxylin/eosin staining of paraffinembedded tissues was performed by the Digestive Diseases Research Core Center Morphology Core of the Washington University. Tdt-mediated dUTP nick end labeling 197 (TUNEL) staining from liver and spleen sections was performed using an In Situ Cell Death 198 Detection Kit POD (Roche) as described by the manufacturer. TUNEL staining of brain 199 sections was performed after permeabilization with proteinase K (Roche) for 30 minutes 200 using the Cell Death Detection KIT, TMR Red (Roche), followed by counter-staining with 201 DAPI (Invitrogen) for five minutes. As a positive control, tissue sections were treated with 202 DNase (Sigma) for 10 minutes to introduce nicks into DNA. Slides were visualized using an 203 Axioscope (Zeiss) microscope and images were captured using AxioCam HRm (Zeiss) and 204 Axiovision Rel4.8 (Zeiss) software.

205 For OROV antigen detection, tissues sections were deparaffinized, rehydrated, and 206 treated with citrate buffer (pH 6.0) for antigen retrieval. Endogenous peroxidases were 207 quenched by incubation with 4% H₂O₂ for 30 min and the antigen detection was performed 208 using the "Mouse on Mouse" (M.O.M.) immunodetection kit according to the manufacturer's 209 protocol (Vector Laboratories). All sections were incubated with an avidin/biotin blocking 210 solution and M.O.M. mouse immunoglobulin-blocking reagent for 15 min at room 211 temperature and then incubated with mouse polyclonal anti-OROV ascetic fluid (1:100) for 2 212 h at room temperature. Sections were then incubated with a M.O.M. biotinylated anti-mouse 213 IgG antibody for 1 hour and with a streptavidin-peroxidase Ultrasensitive Polimer (Sigma) for 214 15 min at room temperature. Antigen-positive cells were visualized at 20x and 40X 215 magnification in a Zeiss Axioskop microscope, and images were captured using a Axiocam 216 MRC digital color camera after incubation with DAB (3, 3'-diaminobenzidine) HRP substrate 217 (Vector) and counter-staining with hematoxylin.

OROV replication in primary cells. Macrophage (M ϕ) and DC cultures were derived from bone marrow isolated from WT, *Irf5^{-/-}*, and TKO mice and cultured for seven days in medium supplemented with 40 ng/ml M-CSF (PeproTech) or 20 ng/ml of both GM-CSF and IL-4 (PeproTech), respectively. Multi-step virus growth curves were performed using a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 0.001. The viral titer in the cell-free supernatant was determined by FFU assay on Vero cells at the following time points after infection: 0, 1, 4, 12, 24, 36, 48, and 60 h. 225 Isolation of OROV-Infected cells. Whole blood was collected in tubes containing 226 EDTA. Erythrocytes were removed after 10 min of incubation in Red Blood Cell Lysis 227 Solution Buffer (Miltenyi Biotech). Non-specific antibody binding was inhibited after 228 incubation with Fc block (BD Bioscience) for 15 min. Cell suspensions from six different WT 229 and Irf5^{-/-} mice were purified sequentially by positive selection with CD19, CD3 and CD11b 230 microbeads (Miltenyi Biotech), following the manufacturer's protocol. A separate experiment 231 was conducted to purify pDCs using B220 or CD11c microbeads (Miltenyi Biotech). All cell 232 populations were tested by qRT-PCR for OROV and Gapdh RNA levels and in parallel 233 analyzed by flow cytometry by staining with antibodies to CD3, CD19, CD11b, and CD11c.

234 Quantification of Ifna and Ifnß RNA. The levels of Ifna and Ifnb mRNA were 235 determined by gRT-PCR in DCs, Mo, and sorted cells from WT and Irf5^{-/-} mice, following 236 previously published procedures (25). Briefly, RNA from target cells was extracted using the 237 RNeasy kit (Qiagen) and treated with TURBO DNase (Life Technologies) for 2 h at 37°C. 238 gRT-PCR was performed by one-step reaction with previously indicated primers and probes 239 (25). All reactions were assembled in a final volume of 25 μ l with 300 ng of RNA, 1X 240 PrimeTime mix (Integrated DNA Technologies) and 12.5 µl of TaqMan master mix (Applied 241 Biosystems) by using the previously indicated cycling algorithm. All reactions were 242 normalized to Gapdh RNA using primers and probe previously published (25) and expressed 243 on a log₂ scale as fold increase over mock according to the threshold cycle ($\Delta\Delta$ CT) method 244 (29).

Quantitation of antibodies. The titer of neutralizing antibodies was determined on serum obtained at day 7 after OROV infection of WT and *Irf5^{-/-}* mice by a standard plaque reduction neutralization assay (30). Plaques were scored visually after incubation with serial dilutions of the mice serum and the 50% neutralization titer (PRNT₅₀) was determined.

Data analysis. All data were analyzed with Prism software (GraphPad Software).
 Kaplan-Meier survival curves were analyzed by the log rank test and weight loss curve was
 compared using 2-way ANOVA. For viral burden analysis, the log titers were analyzed by

- 252 the Mann-Whitney test. qRT-PCR results also were compared using 2-way ANOVA. A P
- 253 value of < 0.05 indicated statistically significant differences.

254

255

256 **RESULTS**

257 Irf3¹⁻ Irf5¹⁻ Irf7¹⁻ TKO mice are highly vulnerable to OROV infection. Because the lethality in Irf3"- Irf7'- DKO mice after OROV infection was not as rapid nor complete as 258 259 observed in Ifnar^{/-} mice (25) (Fig 1A, C, and E), we hypothesized that other transcription 260 factors implicated in the induction of antiviral immunity restricted OROV pathogenesis. 261 Accordingly, we evaluated OROV pathogenesis in 5 to 6 week-old Irf3^{-/-} Irf5^{-/-} Irf7^{-/-} TKO mice 262 after injection with 10⁶ FFU of OROV by a subcutaneous route. Analogous to results with 263 Ifnar^{-/-} mice, OROV infection of TKO mice caused rapid weight loss and resulted in 100% 264 mortality, with a mean of survival time of 4 days (Fig 1A, C, and F). OROV-infected Irf3'-265 Irf5⁻⁻ Irf7⁻⁻ TKO mice exhibited lethargy and decreased body temperature, especially at day 266 4 after infection, but did not show signs of neuroinvasive disease, such as ataxia, seizures, 267 tremors, or paralysis.

Vulnerability of Irf5^{-/-} mice to OROV infection. To assess the role of IRF-5 in 268 restricting OROV infection more directly, we inoculated 5 to 6 week-old Irf5^{-/-} and congenic 269 270 wild-type (WT) mice with OROV. Whereas 39% of Irf5^{-/-} mice succumbed to OROV infection, WT mice had no mortality or signs of morbidity (Fig 1A and B). Irf5⁻⁻ mice exhibited a 271 protracted course of OROV disease compared to Irf3^{-/-} Irf5^{-/-} Irf7^{-/-} TKO mice with signs of 272 273 neurological involvement and lethality starting at days 7 and 9 after infection, respectively (Fig 1A, D, and F). Almost 50% of Irf5^{-/-} OROV-infected mice developed signs of severe 274 275 disease after day 7, including lethargy, shivering, ataxia, limb paralysis, dyskinesia, 276 hypothermia, and weight loss.

Viral burden studies. To determine the basis for their susceptibility to OROV infection, we inoculated WT, $Irf5^{-/-}$, $Irf3^{-/-}$ $Irf7^{-/-}$ DKO, and $Irf3^{-/-}$ $Irf5^{-/-}$ $Irf7^{-/-}$ TKO mice and measured viral burden in the serum, liver, spleen, kidney, lung, heart, brain and spinal cord at days 4, 6, 9 and 12 after infection. As reported previously, infectious OROV was not recovered from any site in WT mice at any of the days analyzed (25). In comparison, all $Irf3^{-/-}$ $Irf5^{-/-}$ $Irf7^{-/-}$ TKO mice developed viremia (3.4 x 10³ to 1.3 x 10⁸ FFU/ml), and infectious OROV was recovered from the liver (4.2 x 10⁶ to 1.3 x 10⁹ FFU/g) and spleen (5.2 x 10⁶ to

1.9 x 10¹⁰ FFU/g) at day 4 after infection (Fig 2A-C). Infectious OROV also was present in 284 285 the kidneys (5.2 x 10^3 to 5.9 x 10^7 FFU/g) and lungs (1.3 x 10^3 to 4.8 x 10^7 FFU/g) of approximately 50% of Irf3^{/-} Irf5^{/-} Irf7^{/-} TKO mice on day 4 (Fig 2D and E). However, OROV 286 was not recovered from heart, brain, or spinal cord of Irf3^{-/-} Irf5^{-/-} Irf7^{-/-} TKO mice at this time 287 288 point (Fig 2F-H). The levels of OROV in the serum. liver and spleen of Irf3⁻⁻ Irf5⁻⁻ Irf7⁻⁻ TKO mice were similar to those reported for Ifnar¹⁻ mice (post-hoc comparison with Ifnar¹⁻ mice 289 290 (25): P = 0.38, 0.16, and 0.14, respectively) but greater those observed in $Irf3^{-1}$ $Irf7^{-1}$ DKO 291 mice (P = 0.005, 0.013, and 0.003, respectively).

292 In contrast to Irf3'- Irf5'- Irf7'- TKO mice, infectious OROV was detected only in the 293 brain and spinal cord at 9 days or after in Irf5^{-/-} mice (Fig 2G and H), and not in any of the 294 peripheral organs. At day 12, 9 of 13 (69%) Irf5^{-/-} mice had measurable OROV in the brain or spinal cord, with high titers recovered (5.1 x 10^4 to 4.8 x 10^7 FFU/g and 5 x 10^5 to 8 x 10^7 295 FFU/q, respectively). In comparison, only 1 of 11 Irf3^{-/-} Irf7^{-/-} DKO mice had measurable 296 297 infectious OROV in the brain and spinal cord at days 9 after inoculation, and no virus was 298 measured at day 12 (Fig 2G and H). These results suggest that IRF-5, rather than IRF-3 or 299 IRF-7, preferentially regulates a stage of OROV control, which is essential for restricting 300 dissemination to or infection within the CNS.

To evaluate further whether IRF-5 limits OROV earlier in the course of infection, we evaluated the viral burden levels by a more sensitive quantitative reverse transcriptase PCR (qRT-PCR) assay. At day 6 after infection, higher levels of OROV RNA were observed in the serum (188-fold, P = 0.0001), liver (248-fold, P = 0.02), spleen (55-fold, P = 0.03) and kidneys (204-fold, P = 0.0001) of *Irf5^{-/-}* than WT mice (**Fig 2I-O**).

Blood chemistry analysis reveals transient liver damage in OROV-infected *Irf5^{-/-}* mice. Our prior study showed that deficiencies of type I IFN signaling (*Ifnar^{-/-}*), RIG-I-like receptor signaling (*Mavs^{-/-}*), or downstream transcription factors (*Irf3^{-/-}* and *Irf7^{-/-}*) resulted in uncontrolled replication of OROV in peripheral organs that was associated with extensive liver damage (25). To assess whether visceral organ damage occurred in *Irf5^{-/-}* mice after OROV infection, we measured the levels of alanine aminotransferase (ALT), aspartate

312 aminotransferase (AST), glucose (GLU), blood urea nitrogen (BUN), creatinine (CRE), 313 alkaline phosphatase (ALKP) and creatine kinase (CK) in the serum of WT, Irf5^{/-}, and Irf3^{/-} 314 Irf5⁻⁻ Irf7⁻⁻ TKO mice. Although BUN, ALKP, and CK levels were similar between infected 315 WT and KO animals (Table 1), higher levels of ALT (1,243 U/l and 969 U/l) and AST (1,097 316 U/I and 965U/I) and lower levels of GLU (262 mg/dl and 106 mg/dl) were detected in the serum of Irf3¹⁻ Irf5¹⁻ Irf7¹⁻ TKO and Irf5¹⁻ mice at days 4 and 6 after OROV infection, 317 318 respectively compared to that observed in WT animals (Fig 3A-C). In contrast to that observed in Irf3^{/-} Irf5^{/-} Irf7^{/-} TKO and Ifnar^{-/-} mice (25), the lethality observed in Irf5^{-/-} mice 319 320 was not associated with massive hepatic injury, since the levels of ALT, AST and GLU 321 normalized at later time points, even in the subset of animals that became ill.

322 Analysis of serum cytokine levels in Irf5-/ mice infected with OROV. IRF-5 has 323 been reported to regulate serum cytokine accumulation in the context of arthropod-borne 324 virus infections in vivo (11). We measured the levels of 23 cytokines and chemokines in serum from WT, Irf5^{-/-}, and Irf3^{/-} Irf5^{/-} Irf7^{/-} TKO mice on days 4, 6, 9 and 12 after OROV 325 infection. Similar to published data (25) in Ifnar^{-/-} and Irf3^{-/-} Irf7^{/-} DKO mice, we did not 326 327 observe elevated levels of vasoactive (e.g., TNF- α) or inflammasome-generated (e.g., IL-1 β) 328 cytokines in the OROV-infected Irf3^{-/-} Irf5^{-/-} Irf7^{-/-} TKO or Irf5^{-/-} mice (**Table 2**). However, the 329 levels of other proinflammatory cytokines and chemokine were increased at day 4 after OROV infection in Irf3^{-/-} Irf5^{-/-} Irf7^{-/-} TKO mice (e.g. IL-6, IL-12p40, G-CSF, KC and MIP-1α, 330 331 Fig 4A-E). Because the levels of all analyzed cytokines were similar between Irf5^{-/-} and WT mice after OROV infection, the mild liver damage observed in Irf5^{-/-} mice is unlikely to be due 332 333 to a generalized cytokine storm.

To assess whether a deficiency of IRF-5 affected systemic type I IFN responses, we measured type I IFN antiviral activity in serum from WT and $Irf5^{-/-}$ animals at days 1, 2, and 3 after OROV infection using an established bioassay (28). At day 1 after OROV infection, $Irf5^{-/-}$ and WT mice both had slightly higher levels of type I IFN than mock-infected mice (**Fig 4F**). Type I IFN activity in serum waned in WT animals after 2 days of infection. However, significant increases in type I IFN were apparent (~44-fold, P < 0.0001) at day 3 after OROV injection in *Irf5^{-/-}* mice. These high levels of type I IFN in *Irf5^{-/-}* mice suggest an ongoing
OROV infection and a relatively intact systemic IFN response.

OROV-induced disease in Irf3^{-/-} Irf5^{-/-} Irf7^{-/-} TKO mice is associated with viral 342 343 replication and hepatocyte death. To characterize the basis of the liver injury observed in 344 Irf3^{-/-} Irf5^{-/-} Irf7^{-/-} TKO infected mice, we performed pathological analysis on hepatic tissue isolated from WT, Irf5¹⁻, and Irf3¹⁻ Irf5¹⁻ Irf7¹⁻ TKO mice at day 4 after OROV infection. While 345 346 hematoxylin and eosin staining revealed areas of edema and focal cellular necrosis in liver sections of Irf3^{-/-} Irf5^{-/-} Irf7^{-/-} TKO mice, these changes were not apparent in samples from 347 348 WT and Irf5^{-/-} infected mice (Fig 5A). The liver damage in Irf3^{-/-} Irf5^{-/-} Irf7^{-/-} TKO mice was 349 associated with greater numbers of Tdt-mediated dUTP nick end labeling (TUNEL)-positive 350 (Fig 5B) and OROV antigen-positive hepatocytes (Fig 5C) at day 4 after infection. In 351 comparison, a smaller number of TUNEL and OROV positive cells were observed in the 352 livers of $Irf5^{/-}$ mice, and none were detected in WT mice.

353 The effect IRF-5 on OROV-induced neuropathology. Given the presence of OROV in the brain and spinal cord of some Irf5^{-/-} mice (see Fig 2), we performed histological 354 analysis on brain sections from WT and Irf5^{-/-} mice to define why some animals succumbed 355 356 to infection. TUNEL-positive cells were detected in different regions of the brain, including 357 the cerebral cortex, hippocampus, midbrain, and cerebellum from OROV-infected Irf5^{-/-}mice 358 (Fig 6A-D). Moreover, abundant staining for viral antigen was detected in several regions of 359 the brain of OROV-infected Irf5^{-/-}mice but not in infected WT or uninfected Irf5^{-/-}mice (Fig 360 7A-D). In agreement with a published study that reported co-staining for viral antigen with a 361 neuron marker (NeuN) in newborn mice infected with OROV (31), the morphology of viral 362 antigen-positive cells in Irf5^{-/}mice was consistent with neurons being targeted for infection 363 (Fig 7B and C), particularly in the hippocampus.

WT and *Irf5^{-/-}* mice are equally vulnerable to OROV infection when inoculated by an intracranial route. To define whether the enhanced replication of OROV in the brains of *Irf5^{-/-}* mice was due to an intrinsic inhibitory effect of IRF-5 in the CNS, we infected WT and *Irf5^{-/-}* mice with OROV by an intracranial route and monitored morbidity, mortality, and viral burden. We observed no differences in survival rates, weight loss, and viral load in the brain WT and *Irf5^{-/-}* mice after intracranial infection (data not shown); 100% of WT and *Irf5^{-/-}* mice succumbed to infection by day 7 after intracranial inoculation with no difference in mean time to death (data not shown). These results suggest that IRF-5 does not have a direct antiviral effect against OROV within the brain but rather is likely important for restricting spread to the brain.

OROV RNA persists in cells from *Irf5^{-/-}* **mice.** To begin to define why a deficiency 374 375 of IRF-5 resulted in disseminated OROV infection in the CNS at such a relatively late time 376 point, we tested tissue samples for virus by gRT-PCR at days 9 and 12 after infection, which 377 immediately precedes the onset of lethality in $Irf5^{-}$ mice (see **Fig 1**). Although samples from 378 all WT mice tested were negative for OROV RNA at day 12, indicating successful clearance, 379 the serum, liver, spleen, kidney, lung, brain, and spinal cord from more than 50% of $Irf5^{-1}$ 380 mice were positive for OROV RNA at this late time point (Fig 8A-G). Analogously, at day 9 381 after infection, 3 of 8 (37.5%) and 5 of 8 (62.5%) spinal cord and brain samples, 382 respectively, from Irf5^{-/-} mice were positive for OROV RNA, whereas none were positive in 383 infected WT mice (Fig 8F and G). The persistence of OROV in different peripheral organs in 384 Irf5^{-/-} mice could lead to the selection of an encephalitic variant of OROV with greater 385 neuroinvasive potential, as seen with the JC polyomavirus (32, 33). To test this idea, we 386 homogenized brain tissue from OROV-infected Irf5-/ mice and used this to infect WT and 387 Irf5^{-/-} mice. These isolates were not more virulent or neuroinvasive than the parental OROV 388 used in this study in either WT or *Irf5^{-/-}* mice (data not shown).

As an alternative hypothesis, we speculated that persistently infected *Irf5^{-/-}* cells might deliver OROV into the CNS. To determine which cells in *Irf5^{-/-}* mice harbored OROV RNA during late stages of this disease, WT and *Irf5^{-/-}* mice were infected with OROV. As we did not have a fluorescence activated cell sorter in our enhanced BSL3 suite, at day 8 after viral infection, different cell populations were purified from blood by positive selection with anti-CD19, -CD3, -CD11b, -B220 or -CD11c magnetic beads (**Fig 9A-B**) and tested by qRT-PCR for the presence of OROV RNA. Populations of CD11c⁺ and B220⁺ cells from *Irf5^{-/-}* 396 mice were frequently (~50%) positive for OROV (**Fig 9C**) suggesting these cells might 397 transport virus into the brain directly or propagate virus sufficiently to allow crossing of the 398 blood-brain barrier (BBB) in the fluid phase.

399 To evaluate whether a deficiency of IRF-5 could affect the expression of type I IFN in 400 DCs in vivo, we measured the levels of Ifnb mRNA in CD11c⁺, B220⁺, CD11c⁻ and B220⁻ cell 401 populations from WT and Irf5^{-/-} mice obtained 8 days after OROV infection. The levels of Ifnb 402 mRNA were lower in circulating cells ((including CD11c⁺ (18-fold, P < 0.05) and B220⁺ cells 403 (23-fold, P < 0.05)) from Irf5^{-/-} infected mice in comparison to WT mice (**Fig 9D**) despite the 404 increased levels of OROV infection. This data suggests that IRF-5 signaling is essential to 405 induce optimal type I IFN responses in peripheral blood mononuclear cells after OROV 406 infection.

407 OROV replication is enhanced and the production of type I IFN is diminished in DCs from Irf5^{-/-} mice. As DCs from Irf5^{-/-} mice produced less Ifnb and appeared to support 408 409 greater OROV replication in blood, to corroborate these findings, we evaluated viral growth 410 kinetics and type I IFN mRNA expression in bone marrow-derived DCs and Mo from WT, Irf5^{-/-}, and Irf3^{-/-} Irf5^{-/-} TKO mice. In DCs, OROV infection was greater in cells derived 411 412 from $Irf3^{-1}$ $Irf5^{-1}$ $Irf7^{-1}$ TKO cells (> 10,000-fold (P < 0.01)) at 36 to 60 hours compared to WT 413 cells, which failed to support productive infection (Fig 10A). Higher levels (130 to 1,750-fold 414 higher, P < 0.05) of viral replication also were observed in DCs from Irf5^{-/-} compared to WT 415 mice. In comparison, in Mo, productive OROV infection was detected only in cells derived 416 from Irf3'- Irf5'- Irf7'- TKO and not WT or Irf5'- mice (Fig 10B). Thus, in cell culture a 417 deficiency of IRF5 resulted in enhanced OROV infection specifically in DCs, which supports 418 our ex vivo and in vivo findings of elevated OROV RNA in Irf5^{-/-} CD11c⁺ cells and sustained viremia and spread to the CNS in Irf5" mice. Moreover, and despite the higher levels of 419 420 OROV infection, lower levels Ifna and Ifnb mRNA were observed in bone marrow-derived 421 DCs from Irf5^{/-} compared to WT mice (Fig 10C and D). A lesser impact of IRF-5 on Ifna but 424 Effect of IRF-5 on antibody responses after OROV infection. WT mice efficiently 425 cleared OROV infection from all tissue compartments whereas infection persisted in mice 426 lacking IRF-5. Given prior studies demonstrating skewed antibody isotype responses, 427 deficient B cell maturation, and low levels of antigen-specific memory B cells in Irf5^{-/-} mice (9, 428 11, 34), we hypothesized that IRF-5 might be required for optimal adaptive immunity against 429 OROV. To evaluate the role of IRF-5 in the humoral response against OROV, we 430 determined the titers of neutralizing antibody at day 7 and 12 after infection in WT and Irf5^{-/-} mice (Figure 11A and B). Inf5⁻⁻ mice had only slightly lower neutralizing titers at day 8 (2.1-431 432 fold, P < 0.005) and 12 (1.5-fold, P < 0.01) than WT mice. To assess the importance of IRF-433 5 on B cell response against OROV, we generated bone marrow chimeric mice that differed 434 only in the expression of IRF-5 in B cells. We adoptively transferred WT + µMT (lacking all mature B cells) or Irf5^{-/-} + μ MT bone marrow cells from CD45.2 mice into sublethally 435 436 irradiated 4-week-old WT CD45.1 recipient mice. Eight weeks later, the reconstitution of 437 donor immune cell populations in blood was confirmed by flow cytometry and all recipient 438 animals were infected with 10⁶ FFU of OROV (data not shown). However, no difference in 439 weight loss or viral load in the brain at day 12 was observed between the two groups (data 440 not shown). Thus, we did not observe a B cell-intrinsic role for IRF-5 in protection against 441 OROV infection in the CNS.

IRF-5 restricts the neuropathogenesis of other orthobunyavirus. To evaluate whether IRF-5 also restricts infection of other orthobunyaviruses *in vivo*, we infected 8 week old WT, *Irf5^{-/-}*, *Irf3^{-/-} Irf7^{-/-}* DKO, and *Irf3^{-/-} Irf5^{-/-} Irf7^{-/-}* TKO mice with LACV (10⁵ FFU), a related encephalitic orthobunyavirus. Similar to our results with OROV, rapid lethality was observed after LACV infection of *Irf3^{-/-} Irf7^{-/-}* DKO and *Irf3^{-/-} Irf5^{-/-} Irf7^{-/-}* TKO mice (Figure 12A). Remarkably, *Irf5^{-/-}* mice infected with LACV, failed to gain weight, showed clinical signs of neuroinvasive disease and succumbed to infection after day 9 (Figure 12B-E). 449 Consistent with this, higher titers of LACV were observed in the brain and spinal cord (290 to 450 50,000-fold, P < 0.05) of $Irf5^{-/-}$ mice at days 8 and 12 after infection compared to WT mice 451 (**Figure 12F-G**). These results establish that IRF-5 restricts infection and neuropathogenesis 452 of two different orthobunyaviruses.

453

 $\overline{\leq}$

454 **DISCUSSION**

455 Our study describes an innate immune mechanism for restricting neuroinvasion of 456 two different orthobunyaviruses that cause meningitis and encephalitis. IRF-5, a transcription 457 factor that is activated after recognition of pathogen-associated molecular patterns (4), 458 orchestrates a host response that controls bunyavirus neuroinvasion in infected mice. Irf5/-459 mice died beginning at 12 days after OROV infection, a time when high levels of infectious 460 virus were detected exclusively in the brain and spinal cord. The relative importance of IRF-5 to the antiviral response against OROV is highlighted by comparisons with Irf3^{-/-} or Irf7^{-/-} 461 462 single KO mice, which sustained no or substantially lower levels of mortality after infection 463 (25). Moreover, significant levels of OROV infection were observed in the CNS of Irf5^{-/-} but not in Irf3^{-/-} Irf7^{-/-} DKO mice. Thus, IRF-5, rather than IRF-3 and/or IRF-7, regulates a stage 464 465 in the control of OROV pathogenesis that prevents dissemination to the brain and spinal 466 cord.

467 Apart from its effect on neuroinvasion, IRF-5 also restricted OROV replication in the 468 liver, spleen and blood at earlier stages of infection. The evidence for this comes from 469 studies with Irf5^{-/-} mice and also by comparing OROV pathogenesis between Irf3^{-/-} Irf7^{-/-} DKO and Irf3"- Irf5'- Irf7'- TKO mice. The Irf3'- Irf5'- Irf7'- TKO mice were highly vulnerable to 470 471 OROV infection and succumbed with similar kinetics compared to Ifnar^{-/-} mice (25), whereas 472 DKO mice were less susceptible. Accordingly, the levels of infectious virus in the liver, spleen and blood were higher in Irf3^{-/-} Irf5^{-/-} Irf7^{-/-} TKO mice compared to Irf3^{-/-} Irf7^{-/-} DKO 473 474 mice (25). Consistent with a role for IRF-5 in restricting OROV replication in peripheral 475 organs, higher levels of viral RNA were detected in the serum, liver, spleen and kidneys of 476 $Irf5^{-/-}$ compared to WT mice at day 6 after infection.

477 OROV infection of 5 to 6 week-old *Irf5^{-/-}* mice caused encephalitis after subcutaneous 478 inoculation. The morphology of the antigen-positive cells observed in the brain of *Irf5^{-/-}* mice 479 was consistent with neurons as a primary target of infection. Previously, OROV was reported 480 to cause encephalitis in newborn inbred WT mice, and was associated with paralysis and 481 mortality (31). OROV was recovered from the brain and spinal cord at days 4 and 5 days 482 after infection of newborns, and was detected almost exclusively in neurons (31). OROV 483 also induced meningoencephalitis in adult golden hamsters, with viral antigen present in 484 brain cells that morphologically resembled neurons (35). We also observed that ~40% of 8 485 week-old *Irf5^{-/-}* mice infected with LACV developed signs of neurological involvement, 486 including dyskinesia and paresis. In all symptomatic animals, we recovered infectious LACV.

487 How does IRF-5 control bunyavirus neuropathogenesis? We initially hypothesized 488 that IRF-5 could affect viral replication directly in neurons. However, and as seen with the 489 unrelated encephalitic flavivirus, WNV (11), no major differences in viral burden in the brain 490 were observed between WT and Irf5^{/-} mice after intracranial inoculation of OROV. This 491 result suggests that the protective effect of IRF-5 against OROV or LACV is not due to a 492 CNS-intrinsic antiviral mechanism. Given these findings, we evaluated whether a deficiency 493 of IRF-5 affected OROV replication in circulating PBMCs, which could contribute to viremia 494 or possibly crossing of virus into the brain via a "Trojan horse" mechanism (36). Analysis of 495 viral RNA levels obtained from purified PBMC subsets of OROV-infected mice revealed that B220⁺ and CD11c⁺ cell subsets from *Irf5^{-/-}* mice supported higher levels of OROV infection. 496 497 However, these OROV-expressing cells were not sufficient to infect the CNS, as adoptive transfer of PBMCs or DCs from OROV-infected Irf5^{-/-} mice did not induce disease in naïve 498 499 WT or $Irf5^{-}$ mice (data not shown). This result was not entirely unexpected since infection of 500 leukocytes alone does not disrupt the BBB in other viral models (37, 38). Although further 501 experiments are warranted, IRF-5 could regulate expression of molecules that sustain the 502 BBB integrity. An absence of IRF-5 then would result in increased viremia, infection of 503 PBMCs, and BBB permeability, all of which together could promote virus neuroinvasion.

Because both B220⁺ and CD11c⁺ purified cells were positive for OROV RNA at later time points (day 8) after viral infection, we speculated that the plasmacytoid DC (pDC) subset might be a primary target. IRF-5 regulates both IL-6 and IFN-β gene expression in pDCs (12), and these cells are a primary source of type I IFNs and proinflammatory cytokines after virus infection (17, 39). Although a definitive identification of pDCs as the key DC subset regulating CNS infection of OROV and LACV in *Irf5^{/-}* mice warrants further study,

510 we observed lower expression levels of Ifna and Ifnb mRNA after OROV infection in DCs in 511 vivo and in cell culture. Thus, infection of specific subsets of DCs, including possibly pDCs, 512 could modulate the production of antiviral cytokines and control of viral infection in blood. For 513 bunyaviruses, this process appears to require an optimal IRF-5 signaling pathway, as in its 514 absence viral persistence in serum occurs, and this is associated with neuroinvasion. This 515 process appears to be cell type-dependent, as in Mo an absence of IRF-5 did not impact 516 OROV infection or IFN- β induction, and we observed no decrease in overall type I IFN levels 517 in the serum of Irf5^{-/-} mice at days 1, 2, or 3 infection. Rather, type I IFN levels were higher in 518 the serum of *Irf5^{-/-}* mice at day 3 after infection; although the mechanism remains uncertain, 519 this phenotype could reflect greater levels of OROV replication in another cell type or tissue. 520 IRF-5 is essential to B cell differentiation and modulates the expression of the 521 plasma B cell maturation factor, Blimp-1 (9). Irf5^{-/-} mice have fewer T cells, B cells, NK cells, 522 Mo, and DCs in the draining lymph node at day 2 after WNV infection than WT mice, and 523 these mice have defects in generating an optimal acute and memory B cell response (11). 524 Although Irf5^{-/-} had slightly lower levels of neutralizing antibody against OROV at day 7 after 525 infection, it is unclear if these small differences contributed to neuroinvasion. Bone marrow 526 chimera reconstitution studies failed to show a protective role for IRF-5 in the B cell 527 compartment.

528 In summary, our study shows that IRF-5 is a key component of the immune response 529 against orthobunyaviruses, and contributes to restricting neuroinvasion. This phenotype was 530 associated with the defects in the control of replication and clearance of virus from 531 circulating PBMCs. The persistent circulation of virus in the blood of Irf5^{-/-} mice correlated 532 with neuroinvasion and viral burden in the brain and spinal cord. Future studies with 533 conditionally targeted IRF-5-deficent mice are planned to define the role of IRF-5 in specific cell types on neuroinvasion. The animal model described here may be useful for 534 535 understanding the basic biology of the encephalitis induced by OROV, as well as testing 536 candidate therapeutics and vaccines. Finally, the antiviral activity of IRF-5 against different families of viruses capable of causing lethal CNS infection could explain the selection and
 perpetuation of gain-of-function *IRF5* alleles in the human population.

539

540 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The National Institutes of Health (R01 Al104972 and U19 Al083019 to M.S.D and P30 DK52574 to Digestive Diseases Research Core Center Morphology Core), Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq)–Science Without Borders (246513/2012-8 to J.L.P.-M), and a University Research Committee Award. We gratefully acknowledge the technical assistance of Jennifer Govero, Michelle Noll, and Soila Sukupolvi-Petty.

547

548

Accepted Manuscript Posted Online

549 **REFERENCES**

550 Taniguchi T, Ogasawara K, Takaoka A, Tanaka N. 2001. IRF family of 1. 551 transcription factors as regulators of host defense. Annual review of immunology 552 19:623-655. 553 2. Paun A, Pitha PM. 2007. The IRF family, revisited. Biochimie 89:744-753. 554 Akira S, Uematsu S, Takeuchi O. 2006. Pathogen recognition and innate immunity. 3. 555 Cell 124:783-801. Takaoka A, Yanai H, Kondo S, Duncan G, Negishi H, Mizutani T, Kano S, Honda 556 4. 557 K, Ohba Y, Mak TW, Taniguchi T. 2005. Integral role of IRF-5 in the gene induction 558 programme activated by Toll-like receptors. Nature 434:243-249. 559 5. Izaguirre A, Barnes BJ, Amrute S, Yeow WS, Megjugorac N, Dai J, Feng D, 560 Chung E, Pitha PM, Fitzgerald-Bocarsly P. 2003. Comparative analysis of IRF and 561 IFN-alpha expression in human plasmacytoid and monocyte-derived dendritic cells. Journal of leukocyte biology 74:1125-1138. 562 Barnes BJ, Richards J, Mancl M, Hanash S, Beretta L, Pitha PM. 2004. Global 563 6. 564 and distinct targets of IRF-5 and IRF-7 during innate response to viral infection. The 565 Journal of biological chemistry 279:45194-45207. Mori T, Anazawa Y, liizumi M, Fukuda S, Nakamura Y, Arakawa H. 2002. 566 7. Identification of the interferon regulatory factor 5 gene (IRF-5) as a direct target for 567 568 p53. Oncogene 21:2914-2918. Barnes BJ, Kellum MJ, Pinder KE, Frisancho JA, Pitha PM. 2003. Interferon 569 8. 570 regulatory factor 5, a novel mediator of cell cycle arrest and cell death. Cancer 571 research 63:6424-6431. 572 9. Lien C, Fang CM, Huso D, Livak F, Lu R, Pitha PM. 2010. Critical role of IRF-5 in 573 regulation of B-cell differentiation. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 574 of the United States of America 107:4664-4668. 575 10. Panchanathan R, Liu H, Liu H, Fang CM, Erickson LD, Pitha PM, Choubey D. 576 2012. Distinct regulation of murine lupus susceptibility genes by the IRF5/Blimp-1 577 axis. J Immunol 188:270-278. 578 11. Thackray LB, Shrestha B, Richner JM, Miner JJ, Pinto AK, Lazear HM, Gale M, 579 Jr., Diamond MS. 2014. Interferon regulatory factor 5-dependent immune responses 580 in the draining lymph node protect against West Nile virus infection. Journal of 581 virology 88:11007-11021. 582 Steinhagen F, McFarland AP, Rodriguez LG, Tewary P, Jarret A, Savan R, 12. 583 Klinman DM. 2013. IRF-5 and NF-kappaB p50 co-regulate IFN-beta and IL-6 584 expression in TLR9-stimulated human plasmacytoid dendritic cells. European journal 585 of immunology 43:1896-1906. 586 13. Graham RR, Kozyrev SV, Baechler EC, Reddy MV, Plenge RM, Bauer JW, Ortmann WA, Koeuth T, Gonzalez Escribano MF, Argentine, Spanish 587 588 Collaborative G, Pons-Estel B, Petri M, Daly M, Gregersen PK, Martin J, Altshuler D, Behrens TW, Alarcon-Riquelme ME. 2006. A common haplotype of 589 590 interferon regulatory factor 5 (IRF5) regulates splicing and expression and is 591 associated with increased risk of systemic lupus erythematosus. Nature genetics 592 38:550-555. 593 14. Miceli-Richard C, Comets E, Loiseau P, Puechal X, Hachulla E, Mariette X. 2007. 594 Association of an IRF5 gene functional polymorphism with Sjogren's syndrome. 595 Arthritis and rheumatism 56:3989-3994. 596 15. Kristjansdottir G, Sandling JK, Bonetti A, Roos IM, Milani L, Wang C, 597 Gustafsdottir SM, Sigurdsson S, Lundmark A, Tienari PJ, Koivisto K, Elovaara I, Pirttila T, Reunanen M, Peltonen L, Saarela J, Hillert J, Olsson T, Landegren 598 599 U, Alcina A, Fernandez O, Leyva L, Guerrero M, Lucas M, Izquierdo G, 600 Matesanz F, Syvanen AC. 2008. Interferon regulatory factor 5 (IRF5) gene variants 601 are associated with multiple sclerosis in three distinct populations. Journal of medical 602 genetics 45:362-369.

603 604 605 606	16.	Dideberg V, Kristjansdottir G, Milani L, Libioulle C, Sigurdsson S, Louis E, Wiman AC, Vermeire S, Rutgeerts P, Belaiche J, Franchimont D, Van Gossum A, Bours V, Syvanen AC. 2007. An insertion-deletion polymorphism in the interferon regulatory Factor 5 (IRF5) gene confers risk of inflammatory bowel diseases. Human
607 608 609 610	17.	molecular genetics 16 :3008-3016. Paun A, Reinert JT, Jiang Z, Medin C, Balkhi MY, Fitzgerald KA, Pitha PM. 2008. Functional characterization of murine interferon regulatory factor 5 (IRF-5) and its relation the instance antiviral response. The Journal of biological characterization (IRF-5) and its
611		14308.
612	18.	Lazear HM, Lancaster A, Wilkins C, Suthar MS, Huang A, Vick SC, Clepper L,
613		Thackray L, Brassil MM, Virgin HW, Nikolich-Zugich J, Moses AV, Gale M, Jr.,
614		Fruh K, Diamond MS. 2013. IRF-3, IRF-5, and IRF-7 coordinately regulate the type I
615		IFN response in myeloid dendritic cells downstream of MAVS signaling. PLoS
616	40	pathogens 9:e1003118.
617	19.	Vasconcelos HB, Nunes MR, Casseb LM, Carvalho VL, Pinto da Silva EV, Silva
618		wirus Prazil Emerging infectious diagona 47,200 806
620	20	Vilus, Diazii. Einerging intectious diseases 17.000-000. Forshov BM, Guovara C, Laguna, Torros VA, Cospodos M, Vargas, L, Gianolla A
621	20.	Valleio F Madrid C. Aquavo N. Gotuzzo F. Suarez V. Morales AM. Beingolea I.
622		Reves N. Perez J. Negrete M. Rocha C. Morrison AC. Russell KL. Blair PJ.
623		Olson JG. Kochel TJ. Group NFSW. 2010. Arboviral etiologies of acute febrile
624		illnesses in Western South America, 2000-2007. PLoS neglected tropical diseases
625		4: e787
626	21.	Watts DM, Lavera V, Callahan J, Rossi C, Oberste MS, Roehrig JT, Cropp CB,
627		Karabatsos N, Smith JF, Gubler DJ, Wooster MT, Nelson WM, Hayes CG. 1997.
628		Venezuelan equine encephalitis and Oropouche virus infections among Peruvian
629		army troops in the Amazon region of Peru. The American journal of tropical medicine
630	00	and hygiene 56:661-667.
631	22.	Bastos Mde S, Figueiredo LI, Naveca FG, Monte KL, Lessa N, Pinto de
622		Identification of Oronoucho Orthobunyavirus in the corobrospinal fluid of three
634		national of oropodule orthoburyavirus in the celebrospinal huld of three and
635		hydiene 86 :732-735.
636	23.	Bastos MS, Lessa N, Naveca FG, Monte RL, Braga WS, Figueiredo LT,
637		Ramasawmy R, Mourao MP. 2014. Detection of Herpesvirus, Enterovirus, and
638		Arbovirus infection in patients with suspected central nervous system viral infection in
639		the Western Brazilian Amazon. Journal of medical virology 86:1522-1527.
640	24.	Pinheiro FP, Rocha AG, Freitas RB, Ohana BA, Travassos da Rosa AP, Rogerio
641		JS, Linhares AC. 1982. [Meningitis associated with Oropouche virus infections].
642	05	Revista do Instituto de Medicina Tropical de Sao Paulo 24:246-251.
643	25.	Proenca-modena JL, Sesti-Costa R, Pinto AK, Richner JM, Lazear HM, Lucas I,
645		restricted by MAVS IRE-3 IRE-7 and type I IEN signaling nathways in non-myeloid
646		cells .lournal of virology
647	26	Daffis S. Suthar MS. Szretter KJ. Gale M. Jr., Diamond MS. 2009. Induction of
648		IFN-beta and the innate antiviral response in myeloid cells occurs through an IPS-1-
649		dependent signal that does not require IRF-3 and IRF-7. PLoS pathogens
650		5:e1000607.
651	27.	Takaoka A, Mitani Y, Suemori H, Sato M, Yokochi T, Noguchi S, Tanaka N,
652		Taniguchi T. 2000. Cross talk between interferon-gamma and -alpha/beta signaling
653		components in caveolar membrane domains. Science 288 :2357-2360.
654 655	28.	I nackray LB, Duan E, Lazear HM, Kambal A, Schreiber RD, Diamond MS, Virgin
055 656		nw. 2012. Unitical role for interferon regulatory factor 3 (IRF-3) and IRF-7 in type I interferon mediated control of murine, percuirus, replication, lournel of vireless.
657		86-13515-13523
037		

658 659 660	29.	Livak KJ, Schmittgen TD. 2001. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2(-Delta Delta C(T)) Method. Methods 25 :402-408.
661	30.	Diamond MS, Sitati EM, Friend LD, Higgs S, Shrestha B, Engle M. 2003. A critical
662		role for induced IgM in the protection against West Nile virus infection. The Journal of
663	04	experimental medicine 198:1853-1862.
664 665	31.	Santos RI, Almeida MF, Paula FE, Rodrigues AH, Saranzo AM, Paula AE, Silva
666		will, Correa vin, Acrani GO, Neuer L, Arruda E. 2012. Experimental intection of
667		170. 25 22
669	22	Forenezy MW/ Marchall I. I. Nalson CD. Atwood W/I. Nath A. Khalili K. Major EO.
660	52.	2012 Molecular biology enidemiology and nathogenesis of progressive multifocal
670		leukoencenhalonathy, the IC virus induced demyelinating disease of the human
671		brain Clinical microbiology reviews 25:471-506
672	33	Maginnis MS Strob I I Geo GV O'Hara BA Derdowski A Steble T Atwood
673	55.	W.I 2013 Progressive multifocal leukoencenhalonathy-associated mutations in the
674		IC polyomavirus cansid disrupt lactoseries tetrasaccharide c binding mBio
675		
676	34	Yasuda K. Nundel K. Watkins AA. Dhawan T. Bonegio RG. Ubellacker JM.
677	•	Marshak-Rothstein A. Rifkin IR. 2013. Phenotype and function of B cells and
678		dendritic cells from interferon regulatory factor 5-deficient mice with and without a
679		mutation in DOCK2. International immunology 25: 295-306.
680	35.	Rodrigues AH, Santos RI, Arisi GM, Bernardes ES, Silva ML, Rossi MA, Lopes
681		MB, Arruda E. 2011. Oropouche virus experimental infection in the golden hamster
682		(Mesocrisetus auratus). Virus research 155: 35-41.
683	36.	Gras G, Kaul M. 2010. Molecular mechanisms of neuroinvasion by monocytes-
684		macrophages in HIV-1 infection. Retrovirology 7:30.
685	37.	Roe K, Kumar M, Lum S, Orillo B, Nerurkar VR, Verma S. 2012. West Nile virus-
686		induced disruption of the blood-brain barrier in mice is characterized by the
687		degradation of the junctional complex proteins and increase in multiple matrix
688		metalloproteinases. The Journal of general virology 93:1193-1203.
689	38.	Roe K, Orillo B, Verma S. 2014. West Nile virus-induced cell adhesion molecules
690		on human brain microvascular endothelial cells regulate leukocyte adhesion and
691		modulate permeability of the in vitro blood-brain barrier model. PloS one 9:e102598.
692	39.	Siegal FP, Kadowaki N, Shodell M, Fitzgerald-Bocarsly PA, Shah K, Ho S,
693		Antonenko S, Liu YJ. 1999. The nature of the principal type 1 interferon-producing
694		cells in human blood. Science 284:1835-1837.
695		

696

Σ

Journal of Virology

697 FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. Irf3^{-/-} Irf5^{-/-} Irf7^{/-} TKO mice are vulnerable to OROV infection, whereas 698 699 deletion of IRF-5 alone induces a disease with a protracted course. A. Survival analysis of 6 week-old mice after inoculation with 10⁶ PFU of OROV by subcutaneous inoculation in 700 the footpad; WT (n = 33), $I_{fnar}^{I_{c}}$ (n = 25), $I_{rf5}^{I_{c}}$ (n = 33), $I_{rf3}^{I_{c}}$ $I_{rf7}^{I_{c}}$ DKO (n = 39), and $I_{rf3}^{I_{c}}$ 701 702 Irf5^{-/-} Irf7^{-/-} TKO (n = 17) mice were used. Data are pooled from at least three independent 703 experiments. Asterisks indicate differences that were statistically significant compared to WT 704 mice by the log rank test (****, P < 0.0001). **B-F.** Weight loss of infected (dead or surviving 705 animals considered separately) and non-infected WT (B) [n = 33 and n = 10 for infected and706 non-infected mice, respectively), Ifnar^{-/-} (C) (n = 25 infected and n = 3 non-infected), Irf5^{-/-} (D) 707 (n = 20 dead, n = 13 survivors, and n = 4 non-infected), $Irf3^{-1}$ $Irf7^{-1}$ DKO (E) (n = 19 dead, n = 708 20 survivors, and n = 13 non-infected), and $Irf3^{-1}$ $Irf5^{-1}$ $Irf7^{-1}$ TKO (**F**) (n = 17 infected, n = 3 709 non-infected) mice. The weight loss curves were compared using 2-way ANOVA. Asterisks 710 indicate differences that were statistically significant compared to non-infected mice (*, P < 711 0.05; **, *P* < 0.01; ***, *P* < 0.001).

712 Figure 2. Viral burden in mice infected with OROV. A-H. Viral burden after OROV infection of WT, Irf5^{-/-}, Irf3^{-/-} Irf7^{-/-} DKO and Irf3^{-/-} Irf5^{-/-} Irf7^{-/-} TKO mice was measured by 713 714 focus-forming assay in samples from serum (A), liver (B), spleen (C), kidney (D), lung (E), 715 heart (F), brain (G), and spinal cord (H). I-O. Viral burden after OROV infection of WT and 716 $Irf5^{-1}$ mice was determined by qRT-PCR in samples from serum (I), liver (J), spleen (K), 717 kidney (L), lung (M), brain (N), and spinal cord (O). Data points represent individual mice. 718 Bars indicate median values and were obtained from 6 to 15 mice per time point. Dashed 719 lines represent the limit of sensitivity of the assay. Asterisks indicate statistical significance 720 as judged by the Mann-Whitney test with a comparison to WT mice (*, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01; 721 ***, P < 0.001, ****, P < 0.0001). A blue cross indicates that all OROV-infected TKO animals were dead at the indicated time point. The viral burden data from Irf3^{-/-} Irf7^{-/-} DKO mice at 722 723 days 4 and 6 after OROV infection was published previously (25), and is provided as a 724 comparison to the $Irf3^{-1}$ $Irf5^{-1}$ $Irf7^{-1}$ TKO mice.

725 Figure 3. Blood chemistry reveals liver injury after OROV infection in Irf5^{-/-} and 726 Irf3^{/-} Irf5^{/-} Irf7^{/-} TKO mice. A-C. Alanine aminotransferase (ALT, A), aspartate 727 aminotransferase (AST, B) and glucose (GLU, C) levels were measured from serum samples of WT, $Irf5^{-1}$ and $Irf3^{-1}$ $Irf5^{-1}$ $Irf7^{-1}$ TKO mice (n= 4 to 13 for each group) obtained 4, 728 729 6. 9 and 12 days after infection with 10⁶ FFU of OROV. Data points represent individual mice 730 and were pooled from two independent experiments. Asterisks indicate statistical 731 significance compared to WT mice as judged by the Mann-Whitney test (*, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01; ***, P < 0.001, ****, P < 0.0001). Dashed lines represent the mean values obtained 732 733 from three mock-infected animals.

734 Figure 4. Serum cytokine levels in OROV-infected Irf5^{-/-} and Irf3^{-/-} Irf5^{-/-} Irf7^{-/-} TKO mice. A-E. WT, Irf5^{/-}, and Irf3^{/-} Irf5^{/-} Irf7^{/-} TKO mice were infected with 10⁶ FFU of OROV. 735 736 Four, 6, 9 or 12 days later, serum was collected and the levels of the indicated cytokines 737 were determined. Data points represent individual mice, and the bars indicate the mean 738 values ± standard deviations (SD). A blue cross indicates that all OROV-infected Irf3^{/-} Irf5^{/-} 739 Irf7' TKO animals were dead at the indicated time point. The data corresponds to 4 to 13 740 mice per each group from two independent experiments. Asterisks indicate statistical 741 significance compared to serum from OROV-infected WT mice as judged by the Mann-742 Whitney test (***, P < 0.001, ****, P < 0.0001). Dashed lines represent the mean values from 743 three mock-infected animals. F. WT and Irf5^{/-} mice were infected with OROV and 1, 2, and 3 744 days later, the type I IFN activity in serum was measured by an EMCV inhibition bioassay. 745 Data are shown as the mean of 5 to 10 mice per group from two independent experiments 746 and asterisks represent statistical significance as determined by 2-way ANOVA (****, P < 747 0.0001).

Figure 5. Analysis of liver tissue from OROV-infected WT, *Irf5^{-/-}*, and *Irf3^{-/-} Irf5^{-/-}* **Irf7^{-/-} TKO mice. A.** Histological (hematoxylin and eosin staining) analysis of the livers of infected mice harvested 4 days after OROV infection **B.** Representative images of TUNEL staining of livers of infected mice taken 4 days after OROV infection. **C.** Detection of OROV antigen in liver of infected mice 4 days after virus infection. Images (20x magnification) were obtained from one representative animal from groups of three. Inset images show a higher
magnification image (40x) and correspond to the region marked by the arrow. Scale bar =
100 μm.

Figure 6. Dying cells are present in the brains of OROV-infected *Irf5^{-/-}* mice.
TUNEL analysis of the cerebral cortex (A), cerebellum (B), hippocampus (C) and midbrain
(D) from mice harvested 12 days after OROV infection. Representative images (10x
magnification) were obtained from three mice of each group. Inset images show a magnified
image and correspond to the region marked by the arrow. Scale bar = 100 μm.

761 Figure 7. OROV detection in the brains of Irf5^{/-} mice after 12 days of infection. 762 Immunohistochemistical analysis after staining with polyclonal anti-OROV ascites fluid of the 763 cerebral cortex (A), cerebellum (B), hippocampus (C) and midbrain (D) from uninfected, WT, 764 and Irf5^{-/-} infected mice. Images are derived from one representative animal obtained from a 765 group of three animals. All sections were taken at 12 days of infection and the images were 766 obtained using a magnification of 20x. A higher resolution of infected cells is shown in the 767 inset with a higher magnification image (40x), corresponding to the region marked by the 768 arrow. Scale bar = 100 µm.

Figure 8. OROV RNA is detected in *Irf5^{-/-}* mice at later time points. Viral burden after OROV infection of WT and *Irf5^{-/-}* mice was determined by qRT-PCR in samples from serum (**A**), liver (**B**), spleen (**C**), kidney (**D**), lung (**E**), brain (**F**), and spinal cord (**G**). Data points represent individual mice. Bars indicate median values and were obtained from 6 to 13 mice per time point. Dashed lines represent the limit of sensitivity of the assay. Asterisks indicate statistical significance as judged by the Mann-Whitney test (*, *P* < 0.05; **, *P* < 0.01; *****, *P* < 0.0001).

Figure 9. OROV induces less type I IFN production in circulating DC populations from *Irf5^{-/-}* mice at late time points after OROV infection. A. Cell isolation scheme: CD3, CD19⁺, CD11b⁺, CD11c⁺ and B220⁺ cells were purified at day 8 after OROV infection from WT and *Irf5^{-/-}* mice by positive selection using antibody-coated magnetic 780 beads. CD19⁺ cells were purified from the whole blood of 6 WT and Irf5^{-/-} mice and the CD3⁺ 781 and CD11b⁺ were sequentially purified using the flow-through from CD19⁺ and CD3⁺ cells, 782 respectively. As CD19, CD3 and CD11b negative cells had detectable OROV RNA only in 783 Irf5^{-/-} mice, CD11c⁺ cells and B220⁺ cells subsequently were purified directly from whole 784 blood pooled of 5 WT and Irf5^{-/-} mice. **B.** Flow cytometry of the different cell populations 785 purified by positive selection showing the forward scatter (FSC) versus staining with the 786 specific antibody conjugated with FITC. The percentage of specific positive cells is showed 787 in the gate. C. Number of copies of OROV RNA per cell in the different cells populations as 788 determined by gRT-PCR after normalization to Gapdh. Each symbol indicates results from 789 pools of mice, following the scheme described above. D. Relative expression levels of Ifnb 790 mRNA as determined by qRT-PCR. Gene expression was normalized to Gapdh mRNA and 791 is shown as the fold increase compared to the cells from mock-infected mice on a log₂ scale. 792 The basal level of Ifnb mRNA was barely detectable and was similar in cells from WT and 793 Irf5⁻⁻ mice. Data represent the averages from three independent experiments performed in 794 triplicate and are expressed as the means ± SD and asterisks indicate statistical significance 795 (*, P < 0.05) as determined by 2-way ANOVA. The dotted line represents the limit of 796 detection.

797 798 of OROV replication in bone marrow-derived DCs (A) and M ϕ (B) from WT, Irf5^{/-}, and Irf3^{/-} 799 $Irf5^{-1}$ $Irf7^{-1}$ TKO mice after infection at an MOI of 0.001. The data represent the mean ± SD of 800 three independent experiments performed in triplicate. All KO cell groups were compared to 801 WT by a two-way ANOVA, and asterisks indicate statistical significance (*, P < 0.05; **, P < 802 0.01; ***, P < 0.001). The dotted line represents the limit of detection of the assay. C-F. 803 Kinetics of Ifna (C and E) and Ifnb (D and F) expression in bone marrow-derived DCs (C-D) 804 and M((E-F) from WT, Irf5^{-/-}, and Irf3^{-/-} Irf5^{-/-} TrKO mice after infection with OROV using 805 an MOI of 0.001. The relative levels of Ifna and Ifnb mRNA were determined by gRT-PCR 806 after normalization to Gapdh mRNA, and are displayed as $\Delta\Delta$ Ct values compared to the

807 mock-infected cells on a \log_2 scale. The basal level of *lfna* and *lfnb* mRNA was barely 808 detectable and was similar in cells from WT and *lrf5^{-/-}* mice. Data represent the averages 809 from three independent experiments performed in triplicate and are expressed as the means 810 ± SD. The asterisks indicate statistical significance by two-way ANOVA (*, *P* < 0.05; **, *P* < 811 0.01) and the dotted line represents the limit of detection.

812 Figure 11. The effect of IRF-5 on early antibody response in OROV-infected 813 mice. A-B. Presence of neutralizing antibody in the serum from WT and $lrf5^{-/-}$ mice at 8 (A) 814 and 12 (B) days after OROV infection as judged by a plaque reduction assay in Vero cells 815 after incubation with 100 PFU of OROV and log₂ dilutions of the tested serum. Data in this 816 Figure represent the mean of 8 mice per group, from two independent experiments 817 performed in duplicate. All error bars represent the SD. The PRNT₅₀ represents the dilution 818 that showed 50% reduction in the number of plaque formation in comparison with a control 819 without serum after linear regression analysis.

820 Figure 12. IRF-5 controls the neuropathogenesis of infection by LACV, a 821 related orthobunyavirus. A. Survival analysis of eight week-old mice after inoculation with 10^5 FFU (n = 23 for WT, n = 17 for $Irf5^{-/}$, n = 16 for $Irf3^{-/}$ DKO, n = 5 for $Irf3^{-/}$ $Irf5^{-/}$ $Irf7^{-/}$ 822 823 TKO) Asterisks indicate differences that were statistically significant compared to WT mice 824 by the log rank test (*, P < 0.05; ****, P < 0.0001). B-D. Weight loss analysis of eight week-825 old mice after inoculation with 10⁵ FFU of LACV by footpad inoculation in the same mice. 826 Data are pooled from at least two independent experiments. Survival curves were analyzed 827 by the log-rank test and weight loss was compared by 2-way ANOVA. Asterisks indicate 828 differences that were statistically significant compared to WT animals with the same viral 829 dose (*, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01; ***, P < 0.001, ****, P < 0.0001). F-G. Viral burden after LACV 830 infection of WT or Inf5[/] mice was determined by qRT-PCR in samples from brain (F) and 831 spinal cord (G). Data points represent individual mice. Bars indicate median values and were 832 obtained from 8 to 12 mice per time point. Dashed lines represent the limit of sensitivity of 833 the assay. Asterisks indicate statistical significance as judged by the Mann-Whitney test (*, P 834 < 0.05; **, *P* < 0.01).

835 Table 1. Blood urea nitrogen (BUN), alkaline phosphatase (ALKP) and creatine kinase

836 (CK) levels after OROV infection.

837

Test	Genotype	4 dpi		6 dpi		9 dpi		12 dpi	
		U/L	Р	U/L	Ρ	U/L	Р	U/L	Р
	WT	22.5 ± 3.02	-	22.0 ± 3.4	-	19.0 ± 2.42	-	19.5 ± 3.88	-
BUN*	Irf5′-	19.5 ± 7.27	0.10	14.0 ± 3.5	0.07	17.0 ± 3.3	0.34	21.5 ± 6.8	0.99
	ТКО	19.0 ± 5.5	0.25	DEAD	-	DEAD	-	DEAD	-
	WT	144 ± 34.6	-	161 ± 41.0	-	177 ± 10.9	-	126 ± 30.3	-
ALKP	Irf5′-	125 ± 31.3	0.26	134 ± 25.7	0.27	123 ± 21.6	0.09	151 ± 64.4	0.71
	ТКО	103 ± 56.8	0.14	DEAD	-	DEAD	-	DEAD	-
	WT	5066 ± 1761	-	6657 ± 888	-	379.5 ± 171	-	551 ± 161	-
ск	Irf5′-	6215 ± 585	0.46	6666 ± 999	0.26	3088 ± 820	0.05	3133 ± 998	0.05
	ТКО	6478 ± 1315	0.92	DEAD	-	DEAD	-	DEAD	-

838

WT, $Irf5^{-}$ or $Irf3^{-}$ $Irf5^{-}$ $Irf7^{-}$ (TKO) indicated mice were inoculated with OROV. Serum was collected at 4, 6, 9 and 12 days after infection, and blood chemistry were measured by Bio-Plex array. Data represent the median ± SD in U/L of 5 to 11 mice per group. Statistical significance was determined using the Mann-Whitney test and *P* values were obtained after comparison to WT mice infected in parallel. * BUN and was quantified in mg/dl.

844

M

Cytokine	Genotype	4 dpi		6 dpi		9 dpi		12 dpi	
Cytokine	Genotype	pg/ml	Р	pg/ml	Р	pg/ml	Р	pg/ml	Р
IL-1α	WI	1.0 ± 1.58	-	3.6 ± 2.3	-	1.2 ± 2.1	-	0.8 ± 1.8	-
	Irf5′	2.5 ± 4.3	056	2.5 ± 7.9	0.84	3.4 ± 4.1	0.69	2.1 ± 5.1	0.51
	тко	0.0 ± 12.8	0.57	DEAD	-	DEAD	-	DEAD	-
	WT	199 ± 44	-	200 ± 53	-	192 ± 24	-	180 ± 18	-
IL-1β	Irf5 ⁷⁻	260 ± 156	0.22	145 ± 294	0.71	188 ± 74	0.89	225 ± 123	0.64
	тко	175 ± 138	0.53	DEAD	-	DEAD	-	DEAD	-
	WT	18.3 ± 14.6	-	17.5 ± 21.9	-	16.7 ± 11.4	-	17.8 ± 9.0	1
IL-2	Irf5′-	50.1 ± 39.1	0.32	43.1 ± 77.3	0.26	22.8 ± 11.5	0.78	11.5 ± 11.2	0.41
	тко	7.0 ± 19.3	0.02	DEAD	-	DEAD	-	DEAD	-
	WT	7.5 ± 11.9	-	16.4 ± 13.2	-	21.5 ± 6.1	-	26.1 ± 8.9	-
IL-3	Irf5 ^{/-}	7.8 ± 4.3	0.50	6.9 ± 7.1	0.29	39.9 ± 21.8	0.38	36.2 ± 19.2	0.52
	ТКО	6.9 ± 19.2	0.82	DEAD	-	DEAD	-	DEAD	-
	WT	0.0 ± 8.3	-	7.5 ± 9.0	-	14.2 ± 5.8	-	15.9 ± 6.5	-
IL-4	Irf5′-	0.0 ± 4.8	0.42	0.0 ± 2.6	0.17	24.0 ± 12.6	0.29	22.7 ± 11.3	0.45
	ТКО	0.0 ± 1.3	0.41	DEAD	-	DEAD	-	DEAD	-
	WT	15.0 ± 7.5	-	17.4 ± 7.1	-	22.9 ± 5.1	-	21.6 ± 4.9	-
IL-5	Irf5′*	20.0 ± 10.0	0.75	19.2 ± 19.8	0.76	25.3 ± 13.1	0.41	11.3 ± 10.5	0.62
	ТКО	8.7 ± 4.3	0.06	DEAD	-	DEAD	-	DEAD	-
	WT	4.5 ± 1.5	-	5.0 ± 2.9	-	4.2 ± 0.9	-	4.7 ± 1.3	-
IL-6	Irf5′-	8.9 ± 6.0	0.17	4.5 ± 7.8	0.55	6.9 ± 2.9	0.07	6.1 ± 3.9	0.9
	ТКО	100 ± 301	<0.0001	DEAD	-	DEAD	-	DEAD	-
	WT	117 ± 115	-	68 ± 131	-	0.0 ± 7.9	-	0.0 ± 16.9	-
IL-9	Irf5′-	213 ± 237	0.35	0.0 ± 143	0.49	0.0 ± 0.0	0.99	0.0 ± 0.0	0.99
	ТКО	0.0 ± 96	0.24	DEAD	-	DEAD	-	DEAD	-
	WT	20.8 ± 16.3	-	24.5 ± 14.4	-	20.1 ± 11.7	-	21.7 ± 7.0	-
IL-10	Irf5′-	53.5 ± 27.7	0.17	57.0 ± 44.6	0.30	34.3 ± 17.6	0.78	28.1 ± 16.9	0.9
	ТКО	10.0 ± 27.9	0.58	DEAD	-	DEAD	-	DEAD	-
IL-12 (p40)	WT	29.3 ± 30.9	-	29.8 ± 17.6	-	19.5 ± 1.5	-	22.2 ± 7.5	-
	Irf5′-	27.8 ± 5.9	0.51	32.0 ± 16.5	0.71	31.0 ± 11.5	0.06	22.6 ± 10.0	0.87
	ТКО	191 ± 125	<0.0001	DEAD	-	DEAD	-	DEAD	-
	WT	23.9 ± 12.2	-	24.8 ± 8.8	-	22.8 ± 2.2	-	23.6 ± 4.3	-
IL-12	Irf5'-	33.8 ± 17.9	0.23	26.8 ± 25.6	0.85	53.6 ± 28.5	0.38	49.5 ± 27.5	0.64
(p70)	ТКО	22.6 ± 13.9	0.98	DEAD	-	DEAD	-	DEAD	-
	WT	28.8 ± 26.2	-	45.8 ± 52.1	-	26.5 ± 38.2	-	35.4 ± 11.5	-
IL-13	Irf5'-	89.8 ± 281.4	0.054	216 ± 330	0.19	25.2 ± 23.8	0.66	11.5 ± 26.4	0.62
	ТКО	13.4 ± 62.0	0.30	DEAD	-	DEAD	-	DEAD	-
IL-17	WT	5.6 ± 5.1	-	8.7 ± 6.6	-	12.8 ± 3.2	-	13.2 ± 4.1	-
	Irf5′-	7.6 ± 4.0	0.87	5.8 ± 5.4	0.53	23.1 ± 14.8	0.37	20.4 ± 11.8	0.64
	тко	7.5 ± 5.3	0.91	DEAD	-	DEAD	-	DEAD	-
	WT	455 ± 287	-	502 ± 230	-	513 ± 135	-	454 ± 221	-
Eotaxin	Irf5'-	527 ± 413	0.87	512 ± 796	0.94	559 ± 517	0.89	281 ± 490	0.62
	тко	30.9 ± 221	0.02	DEAD	-	DEAD	-	DEAD	-
	WT	15.9 ± 5.2	-	14.8 ± 5.5	-	15.0± 1.8	-	18.1 ± 4.2	-
G-CSF	Irf5'-	15.9 ± 30.0	0.94	9.6 ± 13.6	0.47	29.9 ± 22.5	0.38	19.3 ± 14.0	0.77
	ТКО	1499 ± 2899	<0.0001	DEAD	-	DEAD	-	DEAD	-
	1			1	1		1	1	

845 846 Table 2. Serum cytokine and chemokine levels after OROV infection

Accepted Manuscript Posted Online

Journal of Virology

Z

33

		-	
	F	2	ŝ
	s	2	
	C	Э	
	2	-	
	C	Э	
	2		
e	Ξ		
	2	2	
	H		
1	2	₹	
	٩	,	
	7	7	
	P	-	
	h	-	
	Е	2	
		2	
	C	Э	
		5	

GM-CSF	WT	64.4 ± 26.6	-	75.9 ± 29.3	-	94.9 ± 15.7	-	93.8 ± 24.0	-
	Irf5′-	60.6 ± 37.9	0.47	35.5 ± 61.3	0.34	109.9 ± 66.4	0.77	106.8 ± 62	0.64
	ТКО	39.8 ± 30.3	0.16	DEAD	-	DEAD	-	DEAD	-
	WT	2.1 ± 2.1	-	2.4 ± 1.4	-	1.9 ± 0.5	-	1.6 ± 0.7	-
IFN-γ	Irf5''-	4.7 ± 2.7	0.34	3.4 ± 7.5	0.76	2.1 ± 0.8	0.29	1.6 ± 1.8	0.9
	ТКО	2.1 ± 3.1	0.97	DEAD	-	DEAD	-	DEAD	-
	WT	30.0 ± 9.6	-	31.1 ± 19.7	-	23.0 ± 2.8	-	27.3 ± 7.8	-
KC	Irf5′′-	24.4 ± 42	0.87	24.3 ± 14.5	0.24	37.7 ± 20.8	0.07	19.8 ± 9.3	0.87
	ТКО	151 ± 465	<0.0001	DEAD	-	DEAD	-	DEAD	-
	WT	226 ± 113	-	237 ± 132	-	252 ± 59	-	222 ± 61	-
MCP-1	Irf5′′-	252 ± 141	0.42	279 ± 235	0.59	300 ± 219	0.99	134 ± 230	0.62
	тко	146 ± 273	0.92	DEAD	-	DEAD	-	DEAD	-
	WT	1.8 ± 1.4	-	2.2 ± 1.8	-	2.6 ± 1.3	-	2.8 ± 1.4	-
MIP-1α	Irf5 ^{/-}	0.5 ± 0.7	0.18	2.4 ± 6.4	0.84	4.7 ± 2.6	0.3	3.2 ± 2.2	0.92
	тко	13.1 ± 9.7	0.0016	DEAD	-	DEAD	-	DEAD	-
MIP-1β	WT	38.5 ± 22.4	-	47.7 ± 20.4	-	53.1 ± 6.6	-	55.0 ± 10.0	-
	Irf5′′-	34.0 ± 20.1	0.51	44.6 ± 29.7	0.79	71.0 ± 40.2	0.36	76.9 ± 38.7	0.62
	ТКО	14.4 ± 10.6	0.02	DEAD	-	DEAD	-	DEAD	-
RANTES	WT	15.1 ± 5.8	-	14.9 ± 5.1	-	14.5 ± 1.2	-	16.1 ± 2.3	-
	Irf5′-	16.7 ± 1.7	0.27	16.6 ± 18.2	0.76	29.7 ± 16.0	0.38	25.8 ± 14.3	0.64
	ТКО	20.3 ± 15.1	0.01	DEAD	-	DEAD	-	DEAD	-
	WT	271 ± 193	-	370 ± 182	-	425 ± 65	-	451 ± 111	-
TNF-α	Irf5′-	358 ± 198	0.97	230 ± 307	0.47	621 ± 354	0.38	596 ± 347	0.64
	тко	130 ± 302	0.36	DEAD	-	DEAD	-	DEAD	-

847 848

WT, *Irf5^{-/-}* or *Irf3^{-/-} Irf7^{-/-}* (TKO) mice were inoculated with OROV. Serum was collected at days 4, 6, 9 and 12 after infection, and cytokines and chemokines were measured by Bio-Plex array. Data represent the median \pm SD in pg/ml of 5 to 11 mice per group. Statistical significance was determined using the Mann-Whitney test, and *P* values were obtained after comparison to WT mice infected in parallel.

854





Serum

в

Liver



С

Spleen

qRT-PCR

FOCUS FORMING ASSAY

2-

Day 4











Uninfected



WТ





Accepted Manuscript Posted Online

Α

Σ

Journal of Virology

Journal of Virology

Z

Uninfected



Irf5^{-/-}









 \sum

Journal of Virology



 \sum







