Washington University School of Medicine Digital Commons@Becker

Open Access Publications

2013

Crystal structure of the cowpox virus-encoded NKG2D ligand OMCP

Eric Lazear Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis

Lance W. Peterson Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis

Chris A. Nelson Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis

Daved H. Fremont Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis

Follow this and additional works at: http://digitalcommons.wustl.edu/open_access_pubs

Recommended Citation

Lazear, Eric; Peterson, Lance W.; Nelson, Chris A.; and Fremont, Daved H., ,"Crystal structure of the cowpox virus-encoded NKG2D ligand OMCP." The Journal of Virology.87,2. 840-50. (2013). http://digitalcommons.wustl.edu/open_access_pubs/3517

This Open Access Publication is brought to you for free and open access by Digital Commons@Becker. It has been accepted for inclusion in Open Access Publications by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons@Becker. For more information, please contact engeszer@wustl.edu.



Crystal Structure of the Cowpox Virus-Encoded NKG2D Ligand OMCP

Eric Lazear, Lance W. Peterson,* Chris A. Nelson, Daved H. Fremont

Department of Pathology and Immunology, Washington University in St. Louis, St. Louis, Missouri, USA

The NKG2D receptor is expressed on the surface of NK, T, and macrophage lineage cells and plays an important role in antiviral and antitumor immunity. To evade NKG2D recognition, herpesviruses block the expression of NKG2D ligands on the surface of infected cells using a diverse repertoire of sabotage methods. Cowpox and monkeypox viruses have taken an alternate approach by encoding a soluble NKG2D ligand, the orthopoxvirus major histocompatibility complex (MHC) class I-like protein (OMCP), which can block NKG2D-mediated cytotoxicity. This approach has the advantage of targeting a single conserved receptor instead of numerous host ligands that exhibit significant sequence diversity. Here, we show that OMCP binds the NKG2D homodimer as a monomer and competitively blocks host ligand engagement. We have also determined the 2.25-Å-resolution crystal structure of OMCP from the cowpox virus Brighton Red strain, revealing a truncated MHC class I-like platform domain consisting of a beta sheet flanked with two antiparallel alpha helices. OMCP is generally similar in structure to known host NKG2D ligands but has notable variations in regions typically used to engage NKG2D. Additionally, the determinants responsible for the 14-fold-higher affinity of OMCP for human than for murine NKG2D were mapped to a single loop in the NKG2D ligand-binding pocket.

he ability to detect and remove abnormal or infected cells is a key function of the immune system. Many receptors are used to detect and respond to pathogens, and one of the most studied is the natural killer group 2, member D receptor (NKG2D), a homodimeric type II membrane protein found on NK cells, murine macrophages, and subsets of T cells (1). NKG2D is an activating receptor on NK cells and a costimulatory receptor on T cells (2-7). Humans and mice are known to express 8 and 9 sequence-diverse NKG2D ligands (NKG2DLs), respectively (8). Engagement of NKG2D by ligands presented on the surface of target cells initiates a signaling cascade mediated by either of the membrane adaptor proteins DAP10 and DAP12 that leads to release of cytolytic factors such as perforin and granzymes. Since cytolysis is potentially damaging to host tissues, expression of NKG2DLs is tightly regulated at both the transcriptional and posttranscriptional levels (9-11). This limits NKG2DL expression on the cell surface of normal cells. However, cellular stress induces the cell surface display of NKG2DLs, which target the abnormal cell for elimination by NKG2D-bearing lymphocytes (12, 13).

The importance of NKG2D surveillance in cancer immunity is well established. Tumor cells expressing high levels of NKG2DLs are more susceptible to immune clearance, and NKG2DLs have been implicated in immunoediting of tumor cells (14, 15). To avoid recognition by NKG2D-bearing cells, some human cancer cells shed soluble NKG2DLs from their surface, preventing NKG2D-mediated recognition and elimination (16–20).

Infection with many viruses induces the surface expression of NKG2DLs (21–26). Therefore, NKG2D surveillance is a frequent target of viral immune evasion strategies, with many viruses targeting the cell surface display of NKG2DLs. Herpesviruses encode multiple microRNAs (miRNAs) and proteins that target the expression and cell surface presentation of specific NKG2DLs (27–32). However, the considerable sequence divergence and large number of NKG2DLs limit the effectiveness of this strategy. Indeed, no viral protein or miRNA that can broadly target all NKG2DLs has been identified (8). For example, human cytomegalovirus (HCMV) expresses UL16 and UL142, which both sabo-

tage NKG2DL surface expression. UL16 binds the human ligands ULBP1 to -3, ULBP6, and MICB and retains them intracellularly (9, 26, 33-38). However, despite binding MICB, UL16 does not bind the closely related ligand MICA. To compensate for this, UL142 can bind to MICA and retain it in the Golgi complex (39). Even this strategy is incomplete, because the MICA gene is extremely polymorphic and UL142 does not recognize all MICA alleles (39-41). Similarly, murine cytomegalovirus (MCMV) encodes four proteins that retain murine NKG2DLs inside the infected cell. H60 is retained by m155; MULT1 is retained by m145; MULT1, RAE-1E, and H60 are retained by m138; and members of the RAE-1 family are retained by m152 (27-30, 42-44). As with UL142 recognition of MICA polymorphisms, some RAE-1 isoforms are more resistant to m152-induced retention (43). The large number of host NKG2DLs and viral NKG2DL-evasion proteins are thought to be a result of a continual cycle of evolution and adaptation between virus and host (45).

In addition to its protective role against herpesviruses, NKG2D is known to play an important role in the protection of mice from ectromelia virus, suggesting that NKG2D may also contribute to immunity to other poxviruses (46, 47). In support of this idea, Campbell et al. discovered a protein termed OMCP, uniquely encoded by cowpox and monkeypox viruses (CPXV and MPXV, respectively), which directly binds to the ectodomain of NKG2D (48). OMCP from the Brighton Red strain (CPXV018; GenBank sequence accession no. NP_619807) is a 171-residue protein that

Received 26 July 2012 Accepted 25 October 2012 Published ahead of print 31 October 2012 Address correspondence to Daved H. Fremont, fremont@wustl.edu. * Present address: Lance J. Peterson, Department of Immunology, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA. E.L. and L.J.P. contributed equally to this work. Copyright © 2013, American Society for Microbiology. All Rights Reserved. doi:10.1128/JVI.01948-12 is abundantly secreted from infected cells and can block NKG2Dmediated target cell killing by NK cells *in vitro* (48). While other viral immune evasion strategies target the NKG2DLs, OMCP is the first viral protein shown to directly target NKG2D. This strategy has a significant advantage over targeting the NKG2DLs because while there are many NKG2DLs, the NKG2D receptor itself is monomorphic and is highly conserved across mammals.

While NKG2DLs are divergent in sequence, they are convergent in structure (49-53). The ectodomain of all known NKG2DLs contains a major histocompatibility complex class Ilike (MHCI-like) platform domain composed of an antiparallel beta sheet flanked by two antiparallel alpha helices. This conserved structure allows the sequence-diverse NKG2DLs to interact with the NKG2D receptor using a conserved binding interface (54). Because OMCP is a viral antagonist of NKG2D, we tested whether OMCP efficiently competes for NKG2D binding with host NKG2DLs and show that OMCP is the strongest competitor for NKG2D binding. Since OMCP, like NKG2DLs, binds directly to NKG2D, we sought to solve the structure of OMCP to determine whether it made use of a similar overall structure. Here, we describe the 2.25-Å-resolution structure of OMCP from the Brighton Red strain of CPXV (OMCP_{BR}) and show that it adopts a truncated MHCI-like fold related to but distinct from those of other structurally defined NKG2DLs. Evaluation of OMCP binding to NKG2D loop swap mutants, together with the competition of OMCP with host NKG2DLs for NKG2D binding, strongly suggests that OMCP binds the same groove of NKG2D used to recognize host NKG2DLs.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cloning, expression, and purification of OMCP_{BR}. The untagged, mature OMCP_{BR} coding sequence (lacking its 19-residue N-terminal signal sequence) was amplified from genomic DNA and inserted between the XhoI and NdeI sites of the bacterial expression vector pET-21a. Recombinant protein was recovered as inclusion bodies and refolded as previously described (55). Refolded protein was purified by size exclusion chromatography on a Superdex 75 column (16/60; Amersham Biosciences) and eluted as a single monodisperse peak. Protein was further purified by hydrophobic interaction chromatography using a HiTrap Phenyl HP column (GE Healthcare Life Sciences). Fractions containing pure OMCP, verified by SDS-PAGE, were pooled, buffer exchanged to 25 mM HEPES (pH 7.4), and stored at 4°C. Inclusion bodies of selenomethionine-derivative OMCP (SeMet-OMCP) were refolded and purified identically to the native protein.

Protein expression and purification of NKG2DLs and NKG2Ds. Recombinant proteins for the ectodomains of MULT1, H60-birA, RAE-1ε, RAE-1 ϵ -birA, mNKG2D-birA, mNKhL2-birA, mNKhL3-birA, and mNKHL2+3-birA were expressed in bacteria, recovered as inclusion bodies, and refolded as previously described (55). Briefly, inclusion bodies were denatured in 6 M guanidine-HCl and refolded against 400 mM arginine, 100 mM Trizma, 2 mM EDTA, 5/0.5 mM reduced/oxidized glutathione, and 0.2 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF). Refolded protein was purified by size exclusion chromatography on a Superdex 75 column (16/60; Amersham Biosciences). Recombinant hNKG2D-birA was prepared as described above with an additional purification step over a Q-Sepharose column (Pharmacia) by gradient elution in 30 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.5), 0 to 500 mM NaCl. Purified hNKG2D-birA eluted from the column at ~150 mM NaCl.

Crystallization, data collection, and processing. Native protein crystals were grown by hanging drop vapor diffusion at 20°C from a mixture of 0.5 μ l OMCP protein solution at 30 mg/ml in 25 mM HEPES, pH 7.4, with well solution containing 0.9 M ammonium phosphate, pH 5.5. Crystals were cryoprotected with well solution containing 15% ethylene glycol

and 1.5 M sodium malonate before being flash cooled directly in a liquid nitrogen bath. SeMet-OMCP crystals were obtained similarly, with a well solution containing 0.75 M ammonium phosphate (pH 5.1), 10 mM trimethylamine HCl, and 100 mM CaCl₂ and flash cooled with cryoprotectant containing 333 mM ammonium phosphate (pH 5.1) and 2.8 M sodium malonate (pH 5.5). Diffraction data were collected at the Advanced Light Source synchrotron (beamline 4.2.2). Native OMCP crystal diffraction data were collected at a single wavelength of 0.9790 Å, while SeMet-OMCP crystal diffraction was collected at wavelengths of 0.9792 Å and 0.9641 Å (Table 1). Data processing with HKL2000 showed that the crystals belonged to the primitive hexagonal space group P3₂21 (space group 154) with unit cell dimensions of a = b = 105.14 Å and c = 108.61 Å (56). These crystals have a solvent content of 62% with three OMCP monomers in the asymmetric unit.

Model building and refinement. An initial phase solution was obtained by multiwavelength anomalous dispersion (MAD) through the autoSHARP server using both wavelengths of SeMet-OMCP diffraction data (59). This initial model was used to provide a solution for the higherresolution native data set by molecular replacement through Phenix AutoMR (57). Subsequent use of Phenix AutoBuild produced a muchimproved model, suitable for manual building. Reiterative refinement and manual rebuilding were performed using phenix.refine and Coot, respectively (57, 60). Both 2Fo-Fc and Fo-Fc maps were used for manual building and to place solvent molecules. The final model yielded an R_{free} of 25.1 and an R_{work} of 21.4 with 6% of all reflections set aside for free Rfactor cross-validation. Additional refinement statistics are summarized in Table 1. The final model contains residues His1 to Arg149 (mature protein numbering, after signal sequence removal), with an additional N-terminal glycine included in all copies as part of the bacterial expression construct (Gly0). No density was observed for the last three C-terminal residues (Asn150-Thr151-Gly152). Molecular images were produced using the program PyMOL (61).

MALS. Multiple-angle light scattering (MALS) experiments with OMCP and OMCP/hNKG2D were performed as previously described (62). Briefly, 100 μ g (1 mg/ml) of OMCP or OMCP/hNKG2D was loaded into a gel filtration column in series with a Dawn Helios II multiangle light scattering detector (Wyatt), Optilab rEX (Wyatt), differential refractive index detector, and photodiode array detector 996 (Waters). The data were analyzed with the Astra V macromolecular characterization software (Wyatt).

Surface plasmon resonance (SPR). A Biacore T100 instrument (GE Health Sciences) was used to assess the competition between OMCP/ NKG2DLs and to determine the kinetics of OMCP interaction with mNKG2D/hNKG2D loop swap mutants. All experiments were carried out at a flow rate of 50 μ l/min, 25°C, and in running buffer containing 10 mM HEPES, pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 3 mM EDTA, 0.005% Triton X-100. CM5 chips with immobilized neutravidin were made as previously described (63).

For competition experiments, 10 nM mNKG2D was preincubated with a titration of concentrations of OMCP, RAE-1ɛ, or MULT1. The concentration of the three ligands was titrated in 2-fold dilutions from 1.25 to 40 nM. As a negative control for nonspecific inhibition, 10 nM mNKG2D was also preincubated with 80 nM m144, an MHCI-like protein encoded by MCMV that does not bind NKG2D (Protein Data Bank [PDB] code 1PQZ). The maximum binding response for mNKG2D at each concentration was normalized to the mNKG2D binding seen with m144 and then averaged across three separate experiments.

To assess the binding kinetics of the mNKG2D/hNKG2D loop swap mutants, each loop swap mutant was site specifically biotinylated and immobilized on a neutravidin-CM5 chip. OMCP was then injected across different flow cells containing the respective loop swap mutants. Curves were fitted with a 1:1 binding model. SPR experiments with the mNKhL2 and mNKhL2+3 variants indicate apparent mass transport limitations, and therefore, quantitative assessment of disassociation rates is potentially unreliable.

TABLE 1 Data collection, phasing, and refinement statistics for OMCP

Statistic ^d	Native	High remote	Inflection
Data collection			
Wavelength (Å)	0.9790	0.9641	0.9792
Resolution range (Å)	50-2.25 (2.33-2.25)	50-2.9 (3.00-2.90)	50-2.65 (2.74-2.65)
Space group	P3 ₂ 21	P3 ₂ 21	P3 ₂ 21
Unit cell (Å)	a = b = 105.17, c = 108.66	a = b = 105.31, c = 109.51	a = b = 105.35, c = 108.48
Total reflections	251,334	168,403	162,234
Unique reflections	32,729	29,903	39,189
Redundancy	7.7 (5.3)	5.6 (5.1)	4.1 (3.5)
Completeness (%)	97.60 (88.8)	100.00 (100.0)	99.90 (99.5)
$I/\sigma (I)^a$	30.86 (2.73)	19.76 (3.51)	19.09 (2.53)
R-merge ^a	0.050 (0.486)	0.088 (0.496)	0.069 (0.504)
Phasing			
Heavy atom sites		12	
Phasing power (ano/iso)		1.1	1.4/2.0
FOM		0.56	
Refinement			
Resolution range (Å)	47.35-2.25 (2.31-2.25)		
R-free ^b	0.251 (0.387)		
No. of atoms	3,959		
Protein residues	450		
Water molecules	164		
RMS (bonds) ^{b}	0.002		
RMS (angles) ^{b}	0.662		
Ramachandran favored (%) ^c	98		
Ramachandran outliers (%) ^c	0		
Clash score, all atoms ^c	6.78		
Average B-factor	50.2		
Wilson B-factor	40.7		
ML-est. coordinate error $(Å)^b$	0.35		

^{*a*} As defined by HKL2000 (56).

^b As defined by Phenix (57).

^c As defined by MolProbity (58).

^d Abbreviations: FOM, figure of merit; ML-est., maximum-likelihood estimated; RMS, root mean square.

Atomic coordinate accession number. The atomic coordinates (accession code 4FFE) have been deposited in the Protein Data Bank, Research Collaboratory for Structural Bioinformatics (Rutgers University, New Brunswick, NJ).

RESULTS

Competition of OMCP with host NKG2DLs. OMCP is an immunomodulatory protein expressed by CPXV and MPXV that directly binds to NKG2D (48). OMCP is highly secreted from infected cells, and previous work has shown that OMCP can inhibit the lysis of NKG2DL-expressing target cells by NK cells (48). However, OMCP also binds to at least one other cellular receptor, FcRL5, in addition to NKG2D (64). We therefore wanted to test the ability of OMCP to compete with host NKG2DLs for NKG2D binding in a cell-free system, excluding the possibility of other receptors cooperating in this interaction.

OMCP binds to both the human and murine NKG2Ds with affinities that are equivalent to or greater than those of all known host NKG2DLs. The reported affinities for hNKG2D with human NKG2DLs MICA, MICB, and ULBP3 are $\sim 1 \mu$ M, while the affinity of OMCP for hNKG2D is $\sim 0.2 \text{ nM}$, an $\sim 5,000$ -fold difference (12, 51). In contrast, murine NKG2DLs bind mNKG2D with affinities ranging from 350 to 730 nM for RAE-1 α - δ , 20 to 80 nM for RAE-1 ϵ , and 6 to 20 nM for MULT1 and H60 (12, 63, 65, 66). Like

H60 and MULT1, OMCP has an affinity in the low-nanomolar range (48, 65, 67). To stringently test the competition between host NKG2DLs and OMCP, we used high-affinity murine NKG2DLs.

The extracellular domains of birA-tagged H60 and RAE-1E were refolded from bacterial inclusion bodies. Their birA tags were then site specifically biotinylated with birA ligase. H60 and RAE-1ɛ were then immobilized on SPR chips coated with neutravidin. To test the ability of OMCP to compete with surface-immobilized NKG2DLs, a constant amount of the mNKG2D extracellular domain was injected across the surface of the SPR chip with increasing amounts of OMCP. As a positive control for NKG2D binding competition, the extracellular domains of RAE-1ɛ and MULT1 were also tested. As a negative control for NKG2D binding competition, mNKG2D was also injected with an 80 nM concentration of an irrelevant protein, m144. Each injection contained 10 nM mNKG2D with a different concentration of OMCP, MULT1, or RAE-1ɛ. The concentrations of NKG2L or OMCP ranged from 1.25 nM to 40 nM in 2-fold increments (Fig. 1).

As expected, MULT1 and RAE-1 ϵ inhibited mNKG2D binding to the surface-immobilized NKG2DLs. Between the two host ligands, MULT1 (50% inhibitory concentration [IC₅₀] of 10 nM)



FIG 1 Competition with immobilized host NKG2DLs for mNKG2D binding. Murine NKG2D preincubated with each concentration of OMCP, MULT1, or RAE-1ɛ was injected over an SPR chip containing immobilized biotinylated NKG2DLs (H60 or RAE-1ɛ). Binding was normalized to the amount of binding seen with mNKG2D in the presence of an irrelevant protein. Each data point is the average of 3 experiments, with error bars indicating the standard errors.

competed for NKG2D binding more effectively than did RAE-1ε (IC₅₀ of 20 nM), consistent with the higher affinity of MULT1. OMCP also inhibited the binding of mNKG2D to surface-immobilized NKG2DLs. OMCP and MULT1 inhibited mNKG2D with very similar dose responses (IC₅₀s of 8 nM and 10 nM, respectively), demonstrating that OMCP can effectively compete for mNKG2D with the highest-affinity host ligands in the absence of other cellular receptors.

OMCP structure and oligomeric state. The structures of several low-affinity host NKG2DLs have been solved, but no structure of a high-affinity NKG2DL has been reported. Additionally, OMCP has the unique role of being a secreted viral decoy NKG2DL. To better understand these unique properties, we determined the structure of OMCP. OMCP protein from the Brighton Red strain of CPXV was obtained by refolding bacterial inclusion bodies and crystallized. Diffraction data for native OMCP crystals were collected to 2.25-Å resolution. The phase problem was solved by multiple-wavelength anomalous dispersion (MAD) methods using selenomethionine-labeled OMCP. OMCP crystallized with three molecules in the asymmetric unit. Within the asymmetric unit, chain X contained the lowest overall B factors, and this molecule is displayed throughout this report (Fig. 2A). Continuous electron density was observed in all regions, with the exception of Gln108 in all three monomers, where the side chain protrudes into a crystal solvent channel (Fig. 3A). The final model has excellent geometry and R factors of 21.4% and 25.1% for R_{work} and R_{free} , respectively (Table 1).

The structure of OMCP consists of an MHCI-like $\alpha 1/\alpha 2$ platform domain (Fig. 2A). The helix of the OMCP $\alpha 1$ domain (H1) is continuous, while the helix of the $\alpha 2$ domain is broken into two regions (H2a and H2b). The helices flank a six-stranded beta sheet and together form the characteristic platform that defines MHC proteins. Like other NKG2DLs (Fig. 2B), the alpha helices of OMCP are close together and thus have no groove for binding peptides or other ligands like antigen-presenting MHC platform domains. OMCP contains one disulfide bond between S5 and H2b, and this disulfide bond is conserved in most NKG2DLs (Fig. 2C). OMCP has two predicted N-linked glycosylation sites, one in the S1-S2 loop and one in the S5-S6 loop (Fig. 2A and C). Both Asn side chains are exposed to solvent and are likely to be glycosylated when expressed in mammalian cells.

OMCP is significantly divergent in sequence from MHCI-like proteins, with the Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST) failing to align OMCP with any MHCI molecules (48). However, three-dimensional (3D) superimposition analysis of OMCP using the DALI server showed high similarity of OMCP with both classical and nonclassical MHCI platform domains (68). The top hit was with the human neonatal FcR (3m17), where 136/150 OMCP residues were aligned with 13% sequence identity and a root mean square deviation (RMSD) of 2.7 Å. DALI identified all host NKG2DLs as being related to OMCP, with MICB (2wy3) scoring highest with statistics very similar to those of FcRn, aligning 136/ 150 OMCP residues with 15% sequence identity and an RMSD of 3.1.

OMCP formed a trimer in the asymmetric unit of the crystal with a total buried surface area of 1,550 Å² (Fig. 3A). The beta sheet of one OMCP monomer formed the primary contact on one side of the interface, with every beta strand but strand 4 interacting with an adjacent OMCP monomer, burying 520 Å² (interface 1 in Fig. 3A). The other side of the interface consisted of strand 4 and the loop between strand 4 and H1 and buried 510 Å² (interface 2 in Fig. 3A). The largely hydrophobic interface suggested that OMCP might be secreted from infected cells as a trimer, although it is important to point out that each monomer buries more surface area in adjacent lattice contacts (856 Å² and 737 Å²) than in trimer contacts.

While multimeric OMCP could have a higher avidity for NKG2D and thus compete more effectively with host NKG2DLs for NKG2D, crystal lattice contacts provide limited support for such a hypothesis. Therefore, we assessed the solution oligomeric



FIG 2 OMCP structure. (A) Ribbon diagram of CPX V OMCP. Secondary structure elements are noted, S for beta strands and Fi for neitx. The α 1/ α 2 portions of the platform domain are indicated in cyan and magenta, respectively. (B) Ribbon diagram of the α 1/ α 2 domain of MICA (PDB identifier 1HYR), with the α 3 domain removed for clarity. Residues that contact NKG2D are colored yellow. (C) Structure alignment of OMCP with NKG2DLs. The mature sequences of OMCP_{BR} (CPXV-BR-018; GenBank accession number NP_619807; PDB identifier 4FFE) and OMCP_{MPX} (MPXV-ZAR_1979_005-198; N3R; GenBank accession number AAY97396) are aligned with the ectodomain sequences of MICA (1HYR), MICB (1JE6), ULBP3 (1KCG), and RAE-1 β (1JFM). Known NKG2D contact residues for NKG2DLs are indicated in yellow. As residues likely to be glycosylated are noted by black boxes in panel C and as black side chains in panels A and B.

state of OMCP, both alone and in complex with hNKG2D, using multiple-angle light scattering (MALS) (Fig. 3B). Both OMCP alone and OMCP/hNKG2D were resolved as monodisperse peaks, indicating that only one species exists in solution. The experimental molecular masses for OMCP and OMCP/hNKG2D were 20.5 kDa and 51.6 kDa, respectively. These experimental molecular masses are highly consistent with the calculated molecular masses of monomeric OMCP (18.3 kDa) and with a 1:1 binding between OMCP and a NKG2D homodimer (47.0 kDa). Native gel shifts with OMCP titrations also support this binding stoichiometry (data not shown). Together, these data demonstrate that OMCP is a monomer in solution and that a single OMCP binds to a single NKG2D homodimer.

Comparison of OMCP to host NKG2D ligands. The structures of four host NKG2DLs (MICA, MICB, ULBP3, and RAE-1 β) have been determined, either unligated, bound to NKG2D, or both, and OMCP differs prominently in several ways from all of these NKG2DL structures (Fig. 2C) (49–53). The beta sheet of the platform for OMCP has been truncated by two beta strands in the α 2 domain, resulting in a six-stranded beta sheet (Fig. 2B). Deviations from an eight-stranded beta sheet are rare for MHCI-like molecules, with the $\gamma\delta$ T-cell receptor ligand T22 and MCMV

protein m144 being notable examples (69–71). The alpha helices for OMCP have also been truncated compared to those for other NKG2DLs (Fig. 2). Host NKG2DLs have between 6 and 7 turns in H1, 4 and 5 turns in H2a, and 6 and 8 turns in H2b. In contrast, H1, H2a, and H2b of OMCP all have only 4 turns.

The position of the H2a helix relative to the beta sheet is also unique in OMCP. The α 2 helix of NKG2DLs is discontinuous, with H2a and H2b hinged relative to each other. For host ligands, this results in H2a being oriented away from the binding pocket of NKG2D. As a result, H2a is not a part of the binding interface. The angle between H2a and H2b in host NKG2DLs ranges from 86 to 98°. In contrast, the angle between H2a and H2b in OMCP is 108°. This orientation makes the H2a and H2b helices flatter against the beta sheet (Fig. 4A and B). The break between H2a and H2b of OMCP hinges less than other NKG2DLs and instead translates H2a closer to H1 (Fig. 4C and D). Thus, the position of H2a is significantly differently in OMCP than in host NKG2DLs. The combination of shorter helices, a translated H2a helix, and a larger hinge angle between H2a and H2b in OMCP makes the putative binding surface of OMCP for NKG2D overall more compact and flatter than those of host NKG2DLs.

The structures of MICA and ULBP3 bound to human NKG2D



FIG 3 Assessment of OMCP oligomerization and NKG2D binding stoichiometry. (A) Packing of OMCP monomers within the crystal. OMCP crystallized with three monomers related by 3-fold noncrystallographic symmetry (colored cyan, magenta, and yellow) in the asymmetric unit of the P3₂21 space group. For the displayed cyan monomer, trimer contacts bury 520 Å² and 510 Å² of surface area (interfaces labeled 1 and 2, respectively), while crystal symmetry mate contacts bury 856 Å² and 737 Å² (interface 3 and data not shown). The location of Gln108, which lacked continuous electron density, is indicated in the crystal solvent channel. (B) MALS molecular mass assessment of OMCP alone and OMCP bound to hNKG2D. OMCP or OMCP/hNKG2D was first passed through a gel filtration column before assessment by multiple-angle light scattering, which allows for unambiguous mass determination for proteins eluting as monodisperse peaks. Calc, calculated; Exp, experimental; amu, atomic mass units.

Downloaded from http://jvi.asm.org/ on December 10, 2014 by Washington University in St. Louis

and of RAE-1 β bound to murine NKG2D have been solved (50, 51, 53). Comparison of the three structures reveals a common paradigm for interaction of NKG2DLs with NKG2D (Fig. 4D). All three ligands primarily contact NKG2D using three elements: the H1 helix, the H2b helix, and the loop between S1 and S2. An alignment of OMCP secondary structure with the host NKG2DLs reveals that OMCP diverges from host ligands in all three of these NKG2D-contacting regions (Fig. 2C).

The S1-S2 loop of OMCP has been reduced to a two-residue turn, likely preventing it from being close enough to interact with NKG2D. Like the S1-S2 loop, the H1 and H2b helices of OMCP have also been truncated. Based upon the alignments, the regions of H1 and H2b in host ligands that make up the majority of NKG2D contacts are missing from OMCP. Of the remaining regions of H1 and H2b of OMCP, the only residue that appears to be similar to a host NKG2DL contact residue is Asp132. An acidic residue is found at the analogous position in the other NKG2DLs, and this residue makes a contact with Tyr199 of NKG2D, one of three critical binding residues of NKG2D (72). The high affinity of OMCP for NKG2D, despite the loss of these regions, suggests that OMCP has found a way to compensate. The reorientation of H2a into a position that could contact NKG2D suggests that H2a may have a role in interacting with NKG2D, unlike in host NKG2DLs (Fig. 4D).

Mapping NKG2D regions required for high-affinity OMCP binding. CPXV and MPXV are capable of infecting many species and are generally thought to have small-rodent reservoirs (77). Interestingly, despite the NKG2Ds being 70% identical, there is a 14-fold difference between the affinity of OMCP for human NKG2D and that for murine NKG2D (0.20 \pm 0.06 nM versus 2.87 \pm 0.88 nM). The difference in OMCP affinity between the two NKG2Ds is associated with the 2.3-fold-higher on-rate $(4.67 \times 10^6 \pm 1.7 \times 10^6 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ versus } 10.6 \times 10^6 \pm 1.7 \times 10^6$ $M^{-1} s^{-1}$) and 6.4-fold-shorter half-life (5.8 \pm 1.4 min versus 0.9 ± 0.28 min) of OMCP for hNKG2D. Previous experiments with a different Biacore instrument gave very similar results, with the only difference being a lower affinity of OMCP for mNKG2D than that in our experiments (48). To understand the region of NKG2D responsible for this difference in affinity, we designed loop swap mutants between the two NKG2Ds.

While the binding site on NKG2D for OMCP is not known, the similar structure of OMCP compared to those of other NKG2DLs and the competition for binding suggest that OMCP binds to the same surface on NKG2D as do host NKG2DLs. Therefore, we analyzed the cocrystal structures of both hNKG2D and mNKG2D bound to host NKG2DLs. NKG2DLs utilize a discrete surface of NKG2D for binding (Fig. 5A). We therefore assessed all surfaceexposed side chains of NKG2D within 4 Å of NKG2DLs and compared the sequences of hNKG2D and mNKG2D at those positions (Fig. 5B to D). NKG2D primarily contacts NKG2DLs using three loops (abbreviated L1, L2, and L3 here), and the sequences of two of the loops differed between hNKG2D and mNKG2D. L2 (183 to 188, hNKG2D numbering) differs in both sequence and position, while L3 (202 to 206) contains sequence differences but limited structural differences (Fig. 5D). To test whether L2 and L3 play a role in the affinity difference between NKG2Ds, the divergent hNKG2D residues were substituted into an mNKG2D backbone (Fig. 5C and D). We chose this combination by reasoning that a reduction in the affinity of hNKG2D could be due to unpredicted problems with the mutations, while a gain in affinity for the lower-



FIG 4 Comparison of H1, H2a, and H2b between OMCP and host NKG2DLs. (A) Side view of OMCP helices (red) overlaid with host NKG2DL helices (MICA [1HYR[, MICB [1JE6], ULBP3 [1KCG], and RAE-1 β [1JFM]) (gray). (B) Cartoon model showing the distinct helical angle for OMCP. (C) Top view of OMCP helices (red) overlaid with host NKG2DL helices (gray). (D) Cartoon model with each half of the NKG2D dimer (cyan and green) shown in the same orientation used to bind host NKG2DLs.

affinity mNKG2D would more rigorously test the role that these loops play in OMCP binding.

The affinity of OMCP for hNKG2D, mNKG2D, and the three loop swap mutants (designated mNKhL2 for L2, mNKhL3 for L3, and mNKhL2+3 for substitutions in both loops) was determined by SPR kinetic analysis. Each NKG2D contained a birA tag, which allowed for site-specific biotinylation. OMCP was then injected across each surface, and the on-rates and off-rates were compared (Fig. 6). The binding of OMCP to mNKhL3 had affinity and kinetics equivalent to those of parental mNKG2D. However, mNKhL2 and mNKhL2+3 displayed a low off-rate that is characteristic of hNKG2D, with an on-rate that was not significantly different from that of wild-type mNKG2D. Taken together, L3 does not appear to contribute significantly to the increase in OMCP affinity, while L2 alone is able to increase the off-rate of the OMCP-NKG2D interaction. Additionally, the effect of the loop swap mutations on OMCP binding implicates the NKG2D-host ligand-binding groove as the likely interface for OMCP binding.

DISCUSSION

In this study, we have demonstrated competitive binding of OMCP to NKG2D, defined receptor-ligand binding stoichiometry, and determined the structure of OMCP to 2.25-Å resolution. All known host NKG2DLs contain an MHCI-like platform domain, which is used to bind NKG2D (49–53). We show here that OMCP also is composed of an MHCI-like platform domain, despite low sequence identity with host NKG2DLs and other MHCI molecules. While the types of interactions between individual host NKG2DLs and NKG2D vary, the orientations of NKG2DL platform domains with NKG2D are similar (74). The alpha helices of

NKG2DLs are oriented diagonally across the NKG2D binding pocket, creating an asymmetric interaction with the symmetric halves of the NKG2D homodimer (54). H1 and the S1-S2 loop of host NKG2DLs contact one NKG2D monomer, while H2b contacts the second NKG2D monomer. While OMCP is similar to other NKG2DLs in overall organization of its platform domain, it diverges in the specific elements used by NKG2DLs to bind NKG2D.

Each contact site in host ligands (H1, H2b, and the S1-S2 loop) has been shortened in OMCP. Additionally, the position of the H2a helix is dramatically different than that of the H2a helix of host NKG2DLs. The larger hinge angle between H2a and H2b makes the H2a helix flatter relative to the beta sheet than that in host NKG2DLs. This orients H2a into a position that could potentially interact with NKG2D (Fig. 4D). These changes would generate a unique surface to interact with NKG2D.

The most likely interaction orientation between OMCP and NKG2D would be one similar to canonical NKG2DL-NKG2D interactions. However, the truncations in OMCP in the regions analogous to host ligand binding suggest that NKG2D engagement may involve unique determinants. The diagonal orientation of host NKG2DLs across the NKG2D binding groove is thought to be forced by the hinge angle between H2a and H2b (54). The flattening of the helices caused by the increased hinge angle between H2a and H2b in OMCP may allow OMCP to rotate to a greater extent than that of other NKG2DLs. How much OMCP may rotate is unclear, but a change in orientation could bring H2a into contact with NKG2D. This would also potentially increase the buried surface area of the OMCP-NKG2D interaction, which could explain the high affinity of OMCP for NKG2D. Interest-



FIG 5 Generation of NKG2D loop swap mutants. (A) View into the hNKG2D ligand-binding groove. NKG2D contact residues for the three NKG2DLs are colored according to frequency of use. Contacts in pale orange are used by a single NKG2DL, those in medium orange are used by two NKG2DLs, and those in dark orange are used by all NKG2DLs. (B) Conservation of NKG2D contact residues between hNKG2D and mNKG2D. Identical residues are colored magenta, and divergent residues are colored yellow. hNKG2D was used as the model. (C) Space-filling representation of NKG2D with L2 and L3 mutations colored green and cyan, respectively. To facilitate comparison, the left monomer is from hNKG2D and the right monomer is from mNKG2D. (D) Overlays of L2 and L3 between human (dark green/cyan) and murine (light green/cyan) NKG2Ds. The side chains of divergent amino acids are shown. Sequence comparison of mNKG2D and hNKG2D, with NKG2D ligand contact residues in a L2/L3 mutations noted by stars.

ingly, Campbell et al. predicted that OMCP would adopt an MHCI-like fold using hidden Markov and structure threading methods (48). While modeling accurately predicted an OMCP deletion located in the S7-S8 region of the beta sheet, the unique helical elements were missed.

In this study, we have structurally characterized OMCP from the Brighton Red strain of CPXV, which exhibits less than 60% sequence identity to the highly conserved sequences (>97% identity) found in 17 other CPXV and MPXV strains (73). Comparing the mature sequences of OMCP_{BR} and of OMCP encoded by MPXV strain Zaire_1979, which also binds NKG2D, indicates that 14/59 sequence differences are localized to the helical regions (H1, H2a, and H2b), with only 4 residues appreciably surface exposed (Ile49Leu, Met115Ser, Thr118Ile, and Met135Ile). Of these four substitutions, only Met135Ile is positioned at the structurally aligned NKG2D binding interface observed for host NKG2DLs (Fig. 2C). Thus, despite significant global sequence differences between OMCP_{BR} and other strains, the conservation of exposed helical residues supports the hypothesis that these regions serve as determinants for NKG2D binding.

The location of the N-linked glycosylation sites in OMCP also supports the helices as the likely interface with NKG2D. Asn12 in the S1-S2 loop is positioned under the platform and is distal from the helices. This glycan site appears conserved in other OMCP variants and in host NKG2DLs, with most having a glycan under the platform domain and near S1. Unlike Asn12, Asn88 does not appear to be conserved with other OMCP variants and NKG2DLs, with the exception of MICA. Asn88 is oriented such that the glycan would be pointed to the side of the platform and away from the proposed interaction site with NKG2D.

The interaction of host ligands with NKG2D is centered on three key NKG2D residues: Tyr152, Met184, and Tyr199 (hNKG2D numbering). The two tyrosine residues are conserved between human and murine NKG2Ds, but Met184 in human NKG2D is changed to Ile200 in murine NKG2D. In addition, substitutions also exist in residues 181, 182, and 185, all of which are contact residues for at least one NKG2DL. Furthermore, the position of these residues is slightly different between the NKG2D homologues, with the backbone of murine NKG2D splaying farther away from the binding groove. This region of NKG2D was abbreviated as L2 in this report, and differences in OMCP affinity between NKG2D homologues map to this region. Together, these data suggest that OMCP makes use of the same core contact residues as do host ligands and that the differences in OMCP affinity for NKG2D homologues likely are due to altered contacts in the L2 loop.

The difference in OMCP affinity for NKG2D homologues is interesting in the context of zoonotic infection. While CPXV and MPXV are thought to have small-rodent reservoirs, it is unclear if the host NKG2D targeted by OMCP is a "mouse-like" or "humanlike" NKG2D (75). However, CPXV and MPXV do infect humans, and the incidence of infection with MPXV has risen since the eradication of smallpox (75, 76). Therefore, it is important to understand what the contribution of high-affinity OMCPhNKG2D binding would be to the pathogenesis of these viruses. The effect of OMCP on human disease is unclear, because the two poxviruses most commonly associated with human infections, variola virus and vaccinia virus, do not encode an OMCP-like protein (48). The role of OMCP in modulating the immune response has yet to be assessed in any *in vivo* pathogenesis models.



FIG 6 Binding curves of OMCP for NKG2D loop swap mutants. Biotinylated human, murine, and loop swap mutant NKG2Ds were immobilized to a neutravidin-coated SPR chip. OMCP concentrations of 0.16 to 20 nM were injected across each NKG2D. Curves are shown for a representative experiment. Two different batches of OMCP and two independent SPR chip surfaces were used to determine kinetic and affinity constants. Values are the averages of three experiments. *, SPR experiments with the mNKhL2 and mNKhL2+3 variants indicate apparent mass transport limitations, and therefore, quantitative assessment of disassociation rates is potentially unreliable.

A further complication for understanding the effect of OMCP in pathogenesis arises because OMCP can bind FcRL5, which is expressed by marginal zone B cells, as well as an unidentified binding partner expressed on macrophages (64). Because of the diversity of receptors engaged by OMCP, further research will be required to identify the effect of OMCP binding on each receptor individually and in combination with each other.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Leon N. Carayannopoulos, Jessica A. Campbell, Michel Sun, Kelly Smith, and Anthony R. French for helpful discussions and experimental suggestions.

This work was supported in part by NIH/NIAID R01 AI073552 and U54 AI057160 (MRCE) and the Center for Structural Genomics of Infectious Diseases (CSGID) contract numbers HHSN272200700058C and HHSN272201200026C.

REFERENCES

- 1. Obeidy P, Sharland AF. 2009. NKG2D and its ligands. Int. J. Biochem. Cell Biol. 41:2364–2367.
- 2. Bauer S, Groh V, Wu J, Steinle A, Phillips JH, Lanier LL, Spies T. 1999. Activation of NK cells and T cells by NKG2D, a receptor for stressinducible MICA. Science 285:727–729.
- Bottino C, Castriconi R, Moretta L, Moretta A. 2005. Cellular ligands of activating NK receptors. Trends Immunol. 26:221–226.
- Coudert JD, Held W. 2006. The role of the NKG2D receptor for tumor immunity. Semin. Cancer Biol. 16:333–343.
- Groh V, Rhinehart R, Randolph-Habecker J, Topp MS, Riddell SR, Spies T. 2001. Costimulation of CD8alphabeta T cells by NKG2D via

engagement by MIC induced on virus-infected cells. Nat. Immunol. 2:255–260.

- 6. Lanier LL. 2008. Up on the tightrope: natural killer cell activation and inhibition. Nat. Immunol. 9:495–502.
- Lodoen MB, Lanier LL. 2006. Natural killer cells as an initial defense against pathogens. Curr. Opin. Immunol. 18:391–398.
- Champsaur M, Lanier LL. 2010. Effect of NKG2D ligand expression on host immune responses. Immunol. Rev. 235:267–285.
- Cosman D, Mullberg J, Sutherland CL, Chin W, Armitage R, Fanslow W, Kubin M, Chalupny NJ. 2001. ULBPs, novel MHC class I-related molecules, bind to CMV glycoprotein UL16 and stimulate NK cytotoxicity through the NKG2D receptor. Immunity 14:123–133.
- Radosavljevic M, Cuillerier B, Wilson MJ, Clement O, Wicker S, Gilfillan S, Beck S, Trowsdale J, Bahram S. 2002. A cluster of ten novel MHC class I related genes on human chromosome 6q24.2-q25.3. Genomics 79: 114–123.
- Schrambach S, Ardizzone M, Leymarie V, Sibilia J, Bahram S. 2007. In vivo expression pattern of MICA and MICB and its relevance to auto-immunity and cancer. PLoS One 2:e518. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0000518.
- Mistry AR, O'Callaghan CA. 2007. Regulation of ligands for the activating receptor NKG2D. Immunology 121:439–447.
- Raulet DH. 2003. Roles of the NKG2D immunoreceptor and its ligands. Nat. Rev. Immunol. 3:781–790.
- McGilvray RW, Eagle RA, Watson NF, Al-Attar A, Ball G, Jafferji I, Trowsdale J, Durrant LG. 2009. NKG2D ligand expression in human colorectal cancer reveals associations with prognosis and evidence for immunoediting. Clin. Cancer Res. 15:6993–7002.
- O'Sullivan T, Dunn GP, Lacoursiere DY, Schreiber RD, Bui JD. 2011. Cancer immunoediting of the NK group 2D ligand H60a. J. Immunol. 187:3538–3545.
- Fernandez-Messina L, Ashiru O, Boutet P, Aguera-Gonzalez S, Skepper JN, Reyburn HT, Vales-Gomez M. 2010. Differential mechanisms of

shedding of the glycosylphosphatidylinositol (GPI)-anchored NKG2D ligands. J. Biol. Chem. **285**:8543–8551.

- Groh V, Wu J, Yee C, Spies T. 2002. Tumour-derived soluble MIC ligands impair expression of NKG2D and T-cell activation. Nature 419: 734–738.
- Salih HR, Goehlsdorf D, Steinle A. 2006. Release of MICB molecules by tumor cells: mechanism and soluble MICB in sera of cancer patients. Hum. Immunol. 67:188–195.
- 19. Salih HR, Rammensee HG, Steinle A. 2002. Cutting edge: down-regulation of MICA on human tumors by proteolytic shedding. J. Immunol. 169:4098–4102.
- Waldhauer I, Steinle A. 2006. Proteolytic release of soluble UL16-binding protein 2 from tumor cells. Cancer Res. 66:2520–2526.
- Draghi M, Pashine A, Sanjanwala B, Gendzekhadze K, Cantoni C, Cosman D, Moretta A, Valiante NM, Parham P. 2007. NKp46 and NKG2D recognition of infected dendritic cells is necessary for NK cell activation in the human response to influenza infection. J. Immunol. 178: 2688–2698.
- 22. Dupuy S, Lambert M, Zucman D, Choukem SP, Tognarelli S, Pages C, Lebbe C, Caillat-Zucman S. 2012. Human herpesvirus 8 (HHV8) sequentially shapes the NK cell repertoire during the course of asymptomatic infection and Kaposi sarcoma. PLoS Pathog. 8:e1002486. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1002486.
- 23. Pappworth IY, Wang EC, Rowe M. 2007. The switch from latent to productive infection in Epstein-Barr virus-infected B cells is associated with sensitization to NK cell killing. J. Virol. **81**:474–482.
- Tokuyama M, Lorin C, Delebecque F, Jung H, Raulet DH, Coscoy L. 2011. Expression of the RAE-1 family of stimulatory NK-cell ligands requires activation of the PI3K pathway during viral infection and transformation. PLoS Pathog. 7:e1002265. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1002265.
- Ward J, Bonaparte M, Sacks J, Guterman J, Fogli M, Mavilio D, Barker E. 2007. HIV modulates the expression of ligands important in triggering natural killer cell cytotoxic responses on infected primary T-cell blasts. Blood 110:1207–1214.
- Welte SA, Sinzger C, Lutz SZ, Singh-Jasuja H, Sampaio KL, Eknigk U, Rammensee HG, Steinle A. 2003. Selective intracellular retention of virally induced NKG2D ligands by the human cytomegalovirus UL16 glycoprotein. Eur. J. Immunol. 33:194–203.
- 27. Krmpotic A, Hasan M, Loewendorf A, Saulig T, Halenius A, Lenac T, Polic B, Bubic I, Kriegeskorte A, Pernjak-Pugel E, Messerle M, Hengel H, Busch DH, Koszinowski UH, Jonjic S. 2005. NK cell activation through the NKG2D ligand MULT-1 is selectively prevented by the glycoprotein encoded by mouse cytomegalovirus gene m145. J. Exp. Med. 201:211–220.
- Lenac T, Budt M, Arapovic J, Hasan M, Zimmermann A, Simic H, Krmpotic A, Messerle M, Ruzsics Z, Koszinowski UH, Hengel H, Jonjic S. 2006. The herpesviral Fc receptor fcr-1 down-regulates the NKG2D ligands MULT-1 and H60. J. Exp. Med. 203:1843–1850.
- Lodoen M, Ogasawara K, Hamerman JA, Arase H, Houchins JP, Mocarski ES, Lanier LL. 2003. NKG2D-mediated natural killer cell protection against cytomegalovirus is impaired by viral gp40 modulation of retinoic acid early inducible 1 gene molecules. J. Exp. Med. 197:1245–1253.
- Lodoen MB, Abenes G, Umamoto S, Houchins JP, Liu F, Lanier LL. 2004. The cytomegalovirus m155 gene product subverts natural killer cell antiviral protection by disruption of H60-NKG2D interactions. J. Exp. Med. 200:1075–1081.
- 31. Stern-Ginossar N, Elefant N, Zimmermann A, Wolf DG, Saleh N, Biton M, Horwitz E, Prokocimer Z, Prichard M, Hahn G, Goldman-Wohl D, Greenfield C, Yagel S, Hengel H, Altuvia Y, Margalit H, Mandelboim O. 2007. Host immune system gene targeting by a viral miRNA. Science 317: 376–381.
- 32. Thomas M, Boname JM, Field S, Nejentsev S, Salio M, Cerundolo V, Wills M, Lehner PJ. 2008. Down-regulation of NKG2D and NKp80 ligands by Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus K5 protects against NK cell cytotoxicity. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 105:1656–1661.
- Byun M, Verweij MC, Pickup DJ, Wiertz EJ, Hansen TH, Yokoyama WM. 2009. Two mechanistically distinct immune evasion proteins of cowpox virus combine to avoid antiviral CD8 T cells. Cell Host Microbe 6:422–432.
- Byun M, Wang X, Pak M, Hansen TH, Yokoyama WM. 2007. Cowpox virus exploits the endoplasmic reticulum retention pathway to inhibit MHC class I transport to the cell surface. Cell Host Microbe 2:306–315.
- 35. Dunn C, Chalupny NJ, Sutherland CL, Dosch S, Sivakumar PV, John-

son DC, Cosman D. 2003. Human cytomegalovirus glycoprotein UL16 causes intracellular sequestration of NKG2D ligands, protecting against natural killer cell cytotoxicity. J. Exp. Med. **197**:1427–1439.

- 36. Rolle A, Mousavi-Jazi M, Eriksson M, Odeberg J, Soderberg-Naucler C, Cosman D, Karre K, Cerboni C. 2003. Effects of human cytomegalovirus infection on ligands for the activating NKG2D receptor of NK cells: upregulation of UL16-binding protein (ULBP)1 and ULBP2 is counteracted by the viral UL16 protein. J. Immunol. 171:902–908.
- Vales-Gomez M, Browne H, Reyburn HT. 2003. Expression of the UL16 glycoprotein of human cytomegalovirus protects the virus-infected cell from attack by natural killer cells. BMC Immunol. 4:4. doi:10.1186/1471-2172-4-4.
- Wu J, Chalupny NJ, Manley TJ, Riddell SR, Cosman D, Spies T. 2003. Intracellular retention of the MHC class I-related chain B ligand of NKG2D by the human cytomegalovirus UL16 glycoprotein. J. Immunol. 170:4196–4200.
- Chalupny NJ, Rein-Weston A, Dosch S, Cosman D. 2006. Downregulation of the NKG2D ligand MICA by the human cytomegalovirus glycoprotein UL142. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 346:175–181.
- Perez-Rodriguez M, Arguello JR, Fischer G, Corell A, Cox ST, Robinson J, Hossain E, McWhinnie A, Travers PJ, Marsh SG, Madrigal JA. 2002. Further polymorphism of the MICA gene. Eur. J. Immunogenet. 29:35–46.
- Zou Y, Bresnahan W, Taylor RT, Stastny P. 2005. Effect of human cytomegalovirus on expression of MHC class I-related chains A. J. Immunol. 174:3098–3104.
- Karre K, Ljunggren HG, Piontek G, Kiessling R. 1986. Selective rejection of H-2-deficient lymphoma variants suggests alternative immune defence strategy. Nature 319:675–678.
- Lanier LL. 2009. DAP10- and DAP12-associated receptors in innate immunity. Immunol. Rev. 227:150–160.
- 44. McFarland BJ, Kortemme T, Yu SF, Baker D, Strong RK. 2003. Symmetry recognizing asymmetry: analysis of the interactions between the C-type lectin-like immunoreceptor NKG2D and MHC class I-like ligands. Structure 11:411–422.
- 45. Eagle RA, Trowsdale J. 2007. Promiscuity and the single receptor: NKG2D. Nat. Rev. Immunol. 7:737–744.
- Fang M, Lanier LL, Sigal LJ. 2008. A role for NKG2D in NK cell-mediated resistance to poxvirus disease. PLoS Pathog. 4:e30. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat .0040030.
- Jacoby RO, Bhatt PN, Brownstein DG. 1989. Evidence that NK cells and interferon are required for genetic resistance to lethal infection with ectromelia virus. Arch. Virol. 108:49–58.
- Campbell JA, Trossman DS, Yokoyama WM, Carayannopoulos LN. 2007. Zoonotic orthopoxviruses encode a high-affinity antagonist of NKG2D. J. Exp. Med. 204:1311–1317.
- Holmes MA, Li P, Petersdorf EW, Strong RK. 2002. Structural studies of allelic diversity of the MHC class I homolog MIC-B, a stress-inducible ligand for the activating immunoreceptor NKG2D. J. Immunol. 169: 1395–1400.
- Li P, McDermott G, Strong RK. 2002. Crystal structures of RAE-1beta and its complex with the activating immunoreceptor NKG2D. Immunity 16:77–86.
- Li P, Morris DL, Willcox BE, Steinle A, Spies T, Strong RK. 2001. Complex structure of the activating immunoreceptor NKG2D and its MHC class I-like ligand MICA. Nat. Immunol. 2:443–451.
- Li P, Willie ST, Bauer S, Morris DL, Spies T, Strong RK. 1999. Crystal structure of the MHC class I homolog MIC-A, a gammadelta T cell ligand. Immunity 10:577–584.
- Radaev S, Rostro B, Brooks AG, Colonna M, Sun PD. 2001. Conformational plasticity revealed by the cocrystal structure of NKG2D and its class I MHC-like ligand ULBP3. Immunity 15:1039–1049.
- Strong RK. 2002. Asymmetric ligand recognition by the activating natural killer cell receptor NKG2D, a symmetric homodimer. Mol. Immunol. 38:1029–1037.
- Nelson CA, Fremont MD, Sedy JR, Norris PS, Ware CF, Murphy KM, Fremont DH. 2008. Structural determinants of herpesvirus entry mediator recognition by murine B and T lymphocyte attenuator. J. Immunol. 180:940–947.
- Otwinowski Z, Minor W. 1997. Processing of X-ray diffraction data collected in oscillation mode. Macromol. Crystallogr. A 276:307–326.
- Adams PD, Grosse-Kunstleve RW, Hung LW, Ioerger TR, McCoy AJ, Moriarty NW, Read RJ, Sacchettini JC, Sauter NK, Terwilliger TC. 2002. PHENIX: building new software for automated crystallographic

structure determination. Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr. 58:1948–1954.

- Chen VB, Arendall WB, III, Headd JJ, Keedy DA, Immormino RM, Kapral GJ, Murray LW, Richardson JS, Richardson DC. 2010. MolProbity: all-atom structure validation for macromolecular crystallography. Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr. 66:12–21.
- Vonrhein C, Blanc E, Roversi P, Bricogne G. 2007. Automated structure solution with autoSHARP. Methods Mol. Biol. 364:215–230.
- 60. Emsley P, Cowtan K. 2004. Coot: model-building tools for molecular graphics. Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr. 60:2126–2132.
- Schrodinger LLC. 2010. The PyMOL molecular graphics system, version 1.3r1. Schrodinger LLC, Portland, OR.
- Luca VC, AbiMansour J, Nelson CA, Fremont DH. 2012. Crystal structure of the Japanese encephalitis virus envelope protein. J. Virol. 86:2337– 2346.
- Carayannopoulos LN, Naidenko OV, Kinder J, Ho EL, Fremont DH, Yokoyama WM. 2002. Ligands for murine NKG2D display heterogeneous binding behavior. Eur. J. Immunol. 32:597–605.
- 64. Campbell JA, Davis RS, Lilly LM, Fremont DH, French AR, Carayannopoulos LN. 2010. Cutting edge: FcR-like 5 on innate B cells is targeted by a poxvirus MHC class I-like immunoevasin. J. Immunol. 185:28–32.
- 65. O'Callaghan CA, Cerwenka A, Willcox BE, Lanier LL, Bjorkman PJ. 2001. Molecular competition for NKG2D: H60 and RAE1 compete unequally for NKG2D with dominance of H60. Immunity 15:201–211.
- Schepis D, D'Amato M, Studahl M, Bergstrom T, Karre K, Berg L. 2009. Herpes simplex virus infection downmodulates NKG2D ligand expression. Scand. J. Immunol. 69:429–436.
- 67. Carayannopoulos LN, Naidenko OV, Fremont DH, Yokoyama WM. 2002. Cutting edge: murine UL16-binding protein-like transcript 1: a newly described transcript encoding a high-affinity ligand for murine NKG2D. J. Immunol. 169:4079–4083.

- Holm L, Rosenstrom P. 2010. Dali server: conservation mapping in 3D. Nucleic Acids Res. 38:W545–W549.
- Adams EJ, Chien YH, Garcia KC. 2005. Structure of a gammadelta T cell receptor in complex with the nonclassical MHC T22. Science 308:227– 231.
- Natarajan K, Hicks A, Mans J, Robinson H, Guan R, Mariuzza RA, Margulies DH. 2006. Crystal structure of the murine cytomegalovirus MHC-I homolog m144. J. Mol. Biol. 358:157–171.
- Wingren C, Crowley MP, Degano M, Chien Y, Wilson IA. 2000. Crystal structure of a gammadelta T cell receptor ligand T22: a truncated MHClike fold. Science 287:310–314.
- Hansen TH, Bouvier M. 2009. MHC class I antigen presentation: learning from viral evasion strategies. Nat. Rev. Immunol. 9:503–513.
- Lefkowitz EJ, Upton C, Changayil SS, Buck C, Traktman P, Buller RM. 2005. Poxvirus Bioinformatics Resource Center: a comprehensive Poxviridae informational and analytical resource. Nucleic Acids Res. 33: D311–D316.
- Strong RK, McFarland BJ. 2004. NKG2D and related immunoreceptors. Adv. Protein Chem. 68:281–312.
- Lewis-Jones S. 2004. Zoonotic poxvirus infections in humans. Curr. Opin. Infect. Dis. 17:81–89.
- 76. Rimoin AW, Mulembakani PM, Johnston SC, Lloyd Smith JO, Kisalu NK, Kinkela TL, Blumberg S, Thomassen HA, Pike BL, Fair JN, Wolfe ND, Shongo RL, Graham BS, Formenty P, Okitolonda E, Hensley LE, Meyer H, Wright LL, Muyembe JJ. 2010. Major increase in human monkeypox incidence 30 years after smallpox vaccination campaigns cease in the Democratic Republic of Congo. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 107:16262–16267.
- Essbauer S, Pfeffer M, Meyer H. 2010. Zoonotic poxviruses. Vet. Microbiol. 140:229–236.