#### Washington University School of Medicine Digital Commons@Becker

Becker Library Publications and Presentations

**Becker Medical Library** 

2013

#### Creating and managing a systematic review service

Susan A. Fowler Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis

Lauren H. Yaeger Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis

Betsy Kelly Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis

Follow this and additional works at: http://digitalcommons.wustl.edu/becker pubs

#### Recommended Citation

Fowler, Susan A.; Yaeger, Lauren H.; and Kelly, Betsy, "Creating and managing a systematic review service." 2013 Medical Library Association Annual Meeting and Exhibition, Boston, Massachusetts. 2013. Paper 38. http://digitalcommons.wustl.edu/becker\_pubs/38

This Presentation Poster is brought to you for free and open access by the Becker Medical Library at Digital Commons@Becker. It has been accepted for inclusion in Becker Library Publications and Presentations by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons@Becker. For more information, please contact engeszer@wustl.edu.

# MEDICAL LIBRARY delivering knowledge, informing decisions

# Creating and Managing a Systematic Review Service

Susan A. Fowler, MLIS, Lauren H. Yaeger, MA, MLIS, Betsy Kelly, MLS, MBA



### Define Your Role



### Becker's Role

- Help define question via reference interview
- Create search strategy
- Guide database and grey literature resource selection
- Translate search strategy into all resources
- Remove duplicates
- Provide citation library in citation management software and excel workbook
- Write related methodology section for publication





Decide as a team what tools to use to stay organized. If your team includes people you do not have physical access to, consider using tools in the cloud. This will offer the opportunity to easily collaborate on single documents rather than emailing back and forth while tracking several versions of the same document.

## Reproducibility

The goal is to keep records in the most systematic way possible so that all of your work can be reproduced. That means you should keep detailed records of the exact search you used for each database and that all your searches should have an end date so that the results can be reproduced exactly every time.



### Keep...





I am happy to help. In preparation for our first meeting, please send me your PICO question and 2-3 articles that already fit with in your inclusion and exclusion criteria. Also, who is your PI and the other folks on your research team? I am going to email you an explanation of our services for systematic reviews. Please read it over and let me know if you have any questions.

According to the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors, authorship credit should include a substantial contribution to the conception, design, and acquisition of data. The search strategies you design and launch and the citation data you acquire and organized falls are considered a substantial contribution.

Should I ask for

authorship?

- detailed records of each search
- all your citations in a citation management program (like) EndNote) so you can easily and quickly manipulate them
- a spreadsheet organized by article and sub-organized by preliminary inclusion and exclusion criteria to track why you included and excluded articles for more in-depth review
- detailed notes of in-depth reviews for each article organized by specific criteria

