Washington University School of Medicine [Digital Commons@Becker](http://digitalcommons.wustl.edu?utm_source=digitalcommons.wustl.edu%2Fopen_access_pubs%2F2336&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

[Open Access Publications](http://digitalcommons.wustl.edu/open_access_pubs?utm_source=digitalcommons.wustl.edu%2Fopen_access_pubs%2F2336&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

2010

Total raltegravir concentrations in cerebrospinal fluid exceed the 50-percent inhibitory concentration for wild-type HIV-1

David B. Clifford *Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis*

et al

Follow this and additional works at: [http://digitalcommons.wustl.edu/open_access_pubs](http://digitalcommons.wustl.edu/open_access_pubs?utm_source=digitalcommons.wustl.edu%2Fopen_access_pubs%2F2336&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

Recommended Citation

Clifford, David B. and et al, ,"Total raltegravir concentrations in cerebrospinal fluid exceed the 50-percent inhibitory concentration for wild-type HIV-1." Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy.54,12. 5156. (2010). http://digitalcommons.wustl.edu/open_access_pubs/2336

This Open Access Publication is brought to you for free and open access by Digital Commons@Becker. It has been accepted for inclusion in Open Access Publications by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons@Becker. For more information, please contact engeszer@wustl.edu.

Information about commercial reprint orders: **<http://journals.asm.org/site/misc/reprints.xhtml>** To subscribe to to another ASM Journal go to: **<http://journals.asm.org/site/subscriptions/>**

Total Raltegravir Concentrations in Cerebrospinal Fluid Exceed the 50-Percent Inhibitory Concentration for Wild-Type $HIV-1^{\nabla}$

David Croteau,¹* Scott Letendre,² Brookie M. Best,^{3,5} Ronald J. Ellis,¹ Sheila Breidinger,⁷ David Clifford,⁸ Ann Collier,⁹ Benjamin Gelman,¹⁰ Christina Marra,⁹ Gilbert Mbeo,¹¹ Allen McCutchan,² Susan Morgello,¹² David Simpson,¹² Lauren Way,⁴ Florin Vaida,⁶ Susan Ueland, 2^{2} Edmund Capparelli,³ and Igor Grant⁴ for the CHARTER Group

*Departments of Neurosciences,*¹ *Medicine,*² *Pediatrics (Rady Children's Hospital),*³ *and Psychiatry,*⁴ *Skaggs School of*

*Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences,*⁵ *and Department of Family and Preventive Medicine, Division of*

*Biostatistics and Bioinformatics,*⁶ *University of California—San Diego, San Diego, California;*

*Merck Research Laboratories, West Point, Pennsylvania*⁷ *; Washington University, St. Louis,*

*Missouri*⁸ *; University of Washington, Seattle, Washington*⁹ *; University of Texas Medical Branch,*

*Galveston, Texas*10*; Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland*11*; and*

*Mt. Sinai School of Medicine, New York, New York*¹²

Received 14 April 2010/Returned for modification 31 May 2010/Accepted 28 August 2010

HIV-associated neurocognitive disorders continue to be common. Antiretrovirals that achieve higher concentrations in cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) are associated with better control of HIV and improved cognition. The objective of this study was to measure total raltegravir (RAL) concentrations in CSF and to compare them with matched concentrations in plasma and *in vitro* **inhibitory concentrations. Eighteen subjects with HIV-1 infection were enrolled based on the use of RAL-containing regimens and the availability of CSF and matched plasma samples. RAL was measured in 21 CSF and plasma pairs by liquid chromatography–tandem mass spectrometry, and HIV RNA was detected by reverse transcription-PCR (RT-PCR). RAL concentrations were** compared to the 50% inhibitory concentration (IC_{50}) for wild-type HIV-1 (3.2 ng/ml). Volunteers were pre**dominantly middle-aged white men with AIDS and without hepatitis C virus (HCV) coinfection. The median** concurrent CD4⁺ cell count was 276/ μ l, and 28% of CD4⁺ cell counts were below 200/ μ l. HIV RNA was **detectable in 38% of plasma specimens and 4% of CSF specimens. RAL was present in all CSF specimens, with a median total concentration of 14.5 ng/ml. The median concentration in plasma was 260.9 ng/ml, with a** median CSF-to-plasma ratio of 0.058. Concentrations in CSF correlated with those in with plasma (r^2 , **0.24;** *P***, 0.02) but not with the postdose sampling time (***P***, >0.50). RAL concentrations in CSF exceeded the IC50 for wild-type HIV in all specimens by a median of 4.5-fold. RAL is present in CSF and reaches sufficiently high concentrations to inhibit wild-type HIV in all individuals. As a component of effective antiretroviral regimens or as the main antiretroviral, RAL likely contributes to the control of HIV replication in the nervous system.**

Cells in the central nervous system (CNS) are infected early in the course of HIV infection, and HIV RNA is often detected in the cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) of individuals with chronic disease. This infection can lead to HIV-associated neurocognitive disorders (HAND), which continue to be common despite potent combination antiretroviral therapy (ART): based on recent estimates, 39 to 58% of treated HIV-infected individuals have global cognitive impairment (12). HIV drives the pathogenesis of HAND, leading to structural and functional changes to dendrites and synapses (17). Supporting the importance of the virus in pathogenesis, HIV RNA concentrations in CSF have, in general, been associated with HAND in cross-sectional and longitudinal studies (9, 10, 18). A substantial proportion of people with HAND do normalize their neuropsychological performance with combination ART, but the majority do not return to normal functioning, suggesting that

* Corresponding author. Mailing address: HIV Neurobehavioral Research Center, University of California—San Diego, 220 Dickinson, Suite B, #8208, San Diego, CA 92103. Phone: (619) 543-4755. Fax:

current therapeutic practices undertreat the CNS and can be improved (5).

HIV in the CNS is thought to be a mixture from bloodderived and CNS-derived sources, and this mixture can differ based on factors including CD4⁺ cell count and CSF leukocyte count. Individuals with higher $CD4⁺$ cell counts are more likely to have blood-derived HIV in CSF through trafficking lymphocytes, while those with more advanced immune suppression, as well as those with moderate to severe HAND, are more likely to have HIV in CSF that derives from cells in the CNS, such as macrophages and microglia (8, 25). These differences are apparent when HIV RNA decay is monitored in CSF and plasma following the initiation of ART, with dissociated slopes in predominantly CNS derived sources (7, 8, 15).

Antiretrovirals differ in their distribution in—or penetration into—the CNS; some drugs penetrate at concentrations similar to those in blood, and others penetrate at less than 1% of concentrations in blood. Only antiretrovirals that penetrate into the CNS in therapeutic concentrations will be able to reduce HIV replication in glial cells and macrophages. This is reflected by the relationship between penetration estimates

 ∇ Published ahead of print on 27 September 2010.

and either the level of HIV RNA in CSF or neuropsychological performance: the higher the penetration estimates, the lower the HIV RNA levels in CSF (15, 16) and the better the neuropsychological performance (5, 14, 21, 23), although not all studies are consistent (16).

Raltegravir (RAL) is the first drug in the new class of integrase inhibitors that prevent the covalent insertion of unintegrated linear HIV DNA into the host cell genome, ultimately limiting the formation of HIV provirus. RAL has shown exceptional potency in suppressing HIV replication, with a mean plasma viral load change of $-2.2 \log_{10}$ copies/ml after 10 days of monotherapy (20). The objectives of this analysis were to measure RAL concentrations in CSF and to estimate whether they are in the therapeutic range.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Eighteen subjects enrolled in the project at the HIV Neurobehavioral Research Center (HNRC) at the University of California—San Diego (UCSD) between April 2007 and February 2009. Five subjects were coenrolled in clinical trials of the antiviral effectiveness of RAL sponsored by the manufacturer, and the remaining participants were coenrolled in observational cohort studies, including the CNS HIV Antiretroviral Therapy Effects Research (CHARTER) project. This study and all linking studies were approved by the UCSD Human Research Protections Program. Eligible subjects had HIV-1 infection, were ART naïve or experienced, were receiving RAL from either a clinical trial or their medical provider, were able to give informed consent, and were willing to undergo lumbar punctures. Subjects with contraindications to lumbar puncture, psychiatric disease potentially interfering with study participation, opportunistic infections within 30 days, or moderate to severe cognitive impairment were excluded. Blood and CSF samples were obtained after informed consent was provided. CSF was obtained by lumbar punctures performed with aseptic techniques by experienced operators using 22-gauge pencil-point needles. Blood was obtained within 1 h of CSF collection by routine phlebotomy. All specimens were stored at -80° C until analysis. The study was designed to distribute the sampling times evenly after RAL dosing. Twenty-two CSF-plasma pairs were obtained. One was obtained more than 24 h after the reported dose and was excluded from analyses. The remaining 21 specimen pairs were obtained from 17 subjects, of whom 14 provided a single pair each, 2 provided 2 pairs each, and 1 provided 3 pairs. The interval between multiple samplings varied from 2.5 weeks to 5 months, with a median of 4 weeks.

RAL concentrations were measured at Merck Research Laboratories based on a previously described method (19). In brief, RAL and the internal standard $(^{13}C_{6}$ -labeled RAL) were measured in plasma and CSF by reverse-phase highperformance liquid chromatography with tandem mass spectrometry detection employing an atmospheric-pressure chemical-ionization interface in the positive ionization mode. Sample preparation consisted of 96-well liquid-liquid extraction of 200 μ l of plasma or 250 μ l of CSF. The multiple-reaction-monitoring transitions were *m/z* 445 to 109 for the drug and *m/z* 451 to 367 for the internal standard. The lower limit of quantitation (LLOQ) was 2 ng/ml for plasma, with a linear calibration range from 2 to 1,000 ng/ml, and 0.25 ng/ml for CSF, with a linear calibration range from 0.25 to 100 ng/ml. Plasma study samples were analyzed over 2 days. The interday accuracy of the plasma quality control samples was 104.6 to 108.0%, and the interday precision was 1.3 to 5.3%. CSF study samples were analyzed in one analytical run. The intraday accuracy of the CSF quality control samples was 98.7 to 101.2%, and the intraday precision was 1.9 to 3.0%.

HIV RNA was quantified by reverse transcription-PCR (RT-PCR) with a Roche TaqMan real-time assay (Roche Diagnostics) with an assay LLOQ of 50 copies/ml. Blood CD4⁺ T-cell counts were determined by flow cytometry, and hepatitis C virus (HCV) serostatus was determined by immunoassay. The lowest past $CD4⁺$ cell count (i.e., the nadir) was obtained by a combination of selfreport and record review.

Descriptive and bivariate statistics were generated using standard methods. RAL concentrations were log transformed to improve their distribution. Concentrations of the drug in CSF were compared to concentrations of the drug in plasma and to the 50% inhibitory concentration (IC_{50}) for wild-type HIV (3.2) ng/ml) (6). Pearson's correlation method was used to assess the relationship between RAL concentrations in plasma and RAL concentrations in CSF. In addition, pharmacokinetic data were fit to a population semiphysiologic two-

FIG. 1. Raltegravir concentrations in plasma (squares) and CSF (circles). Horizontal dashed line, IC_{50} ; vertical dashed line, end of the typical dosing interval. Solid and dashed boldface lines, plasma and CSF population pharmacokinetic modeling.

compartment model (ADVAN4, TRANS1) by the NONMEM VI program (ICON) using a model approach used previously with abacavir (3). RAL concentrations in plasma alone and combined plasma-plus-CSF RAL concentrations were modeled sequentially, with CSF penetration estimated using the FOCE (first-order conditional-estimation) subroutine, with interaction and separate residual-error terms for concentrations in plasma and CSF. The covariance estimation step was required to be successful for the model to be accepted. Different initial estimates were used to ensure the avoidance of convergence at a local minimum. The half-life from each compartment was calculated as 0.693 divided by the appropriate rate constant. Given the limited data, no covariate analysis model building was attempted.

RESULTS

Subjects were predominantly middle-aged (median age, 46 years; range, 32 to 70 years) white (89%) men (94%) who had AIDS (83%). Nearly all (16/18 [88%]) were HCV seronegative. The median $CD4⁺$ cell count at the time of sampling was $276/\mu$ (range, 20 to 1,216/ μ), with 28% of values falling below $200/\mu$. The median nadir CD4⁺ cell count was $51/\mu$ (range, 0 to $323/\mu$. Disease severity was categorized as stage C for 55% , stage B for 17%, and stage A for 28% based on the CDC classification system. The median plasma HIV RNA concentration was $1.70 \log_{10}$ copies/ml (interquartile range [IQR], 1.70 to 2.25).

The median duration of RAL use was 4.2 months (IQR, 1.4 to 27.4 months). All subjects reported the use of 400 mg of RAL twice daily. Concurrent antiretrovirals included one to three nucleoside/nucleotide reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NRTIs) for all but 1 patient (94%), one non-NRTI for 6 patients (33%), one ritonavir-boosted protease inhibitor for 11 patients (61%), and one fusion inhibitor for 5 patients (28%). All subjects reported taking at least 95% of their antiretroviral doses in the 4 days preceding sampling, except for one. The last RAL dose was taken with food prior to sampling in 20 of 21 cases.

RAL concentrations in plasma and CSF are displayed in Fig. 1, and aggregate data are summarized in Table 1. RAL was present in all CSF specimens, with a median concentration of 14.5 ng/ml (IQR, 9.3 to 26.1 ng/ml). The median concentration in plasma was 260.9 ng/ml (IQR, 72.0 to 640.4 ng/ml). The median CSF-to-plasma ratio was 0.058 (IQR, 0.021 to

TABLE 1. Summary of concentration and sampling data for raltegravir*^a*

Raltegravir concn	Value (ng/ml) for:		Ratio	
	CSE	Plasma	CSF to plasma	CSF to IC_{50}
Median IOR Range	14.5 $9.3 - 26.1$ $6.0 - 94.2$	260.9 72.0–640.4 17.8-4,870	0.058 $0.021 - 0.178$ $0.01 - 0.535$	4.5 $2.7 - 7.7$ $1.8 - 27.7$

^a Experiments were performed with 21 pairs of CSF and plasma samples. CSF samples were collected at 6.1 ± 4.2 h postdose, and plasma samples were collected at 6.2 ± 4.3 h postdose; the difference between the times of blood and CSF collection was 0.10 ± 0.54 h.

0.18). The CSF-to-plasma ratio increased across the dosing interval, with higher ratios later in the dosing interval $(r^2, 0.25)$; *P*, 0.02). Sampling was moderately well balanced across the dosing interval, with 3 pairs obtained between 1 and 2 h after the dose, 7 pairs between 2 and 4 h, 5 pairs between 5 and 8 h, 5 pairs between 8 and 12 h, and 1 pair at 15 h. RAL concentrations in CSF exceeded the IC_{50} for wild-type HIV in all specimens by a median of 4.5-fold (IQR, 2.7- to 7.7-fold). HIV RNA was undetectable in 20 of 21 (95%) CSF specimens and in 13 of 21 (62%) plasma specimens. There was a statistically significant correlation between RAL concentrations in CSF and those in plasma $(r^2, 0.24; P, 0.02)$ (Fig. 2) but not between RAL concentrations and the postdose sampling time $(P, >0.50)$. Higher RAL concentrations in plasma were not associated with undetectable HIV RNA levels in plasma (*t*, 0.37; P , > 0.20). Too few CSF samples had detectable levels of HIV RNA to enable reliable statistical analyses comparing HIV RNA levels with RAL concentrations in CSF. The results were not substantially different when the analyses were performed with the inclusion of a single time point for each subject, using only the first time point for subjects with multiple CSF-plasma pairs. Population pharmacokinetic modeling estimated the typical CSF-to-plasma ratio at 0.078 and showed that the average RAL half-life for the population was longer in CSF than in plasma. Possible interactions between RAL and other selected antiretrovirals, such as atazanavir (*n*, 3), efavirenz (*n*, 1), etravirine (*n*, 6), and ritonavir (*n*, 9), were explored despite the small sample size. RAL levels in plasma or CSF did not differ between those who reported use of these drugs and those who did not, with the exception of atazanavir. RAL concentrations in plasma (*t*, 3.0; *P*, 0.008) and in CSF (*t*, 2.3; *P*, 0.03) were higher for those reporting atazanavir use than for those who used other drugs.

DISCUSSION

RAL concentrations in plasma were highly variable, a finding consistent with prior reports (24). RAL concentrations in CSF were relatively less variable and exceeded the concentration required to inhibit wild-type HIV *in vitro* in all individuals (median, 4.5-fold higher than the IC_{50} for wild-type HIV). The concentrations in CSF are consistent with the physicochemical characteristics of RAL, including its low molecular weight and its relatively low level of plasma protein binding. Although only total drug concentrations were measured, it appears unlikely that protein binding in CSF would result in unbound RAL concentrations below the IC_{50} for wild-type HIV, given the much lower concentration of binding proteins, such as albumin and alpha-1 acid glycoprotein, in CSF than in plasma (100 to 1,000-fold lower) and the findings of a recent pharmacokinetic study measuring bound and unbound indinavir in plasma and CSF (1, 11). The correlation between RAL concentrations in CSF and those in plasma, although statistically significant, demonstrated some variability, which may suggest some limitation in using plasma RAL concentrations to estimate RAL concentrations in the nervous system. RAL is 83% bound to plasma proteins, and assuming that all free drug penetrates into the CSF, one would expect the concentrations in CSF to be approximately 15 to 20% of those in plasma. The lower CSF-to-plasma ratio of 0.058 suggests that there may be other barriers to CSF penetration, but these barriers are not as great as those seen with protease inhibitors (2, 4, 13). The discordance between the CSF and plasma RAL concentration curves in the population pharmacokinetic model suggests that the influx into and efflux from the CSF are slower than elimination from plasma. The biphasic plasma pharmacokinetics of RAL, and the fact that samples were not evenly distributed during the postdosing interval corresponding to this biphasic pattern, with more samples between 0 and 4 h, may have led to some underestimation of the CSF RAL concentrations. However, population pharmacokinetic modeling partly helps compensate for the uneven sampling times of a formal intensive pharmacokinetic sampling approach.

Although 38% of the pairs had detectable plasma viral loads, HIV was undetectable in all but one CSF sample. This relatively high proportion of subjects with detectable plasma viral loads despite a median RAL use duration of 4 months is consistent with the fact that RAL is often used in highly treatment experienced patients with suspected or proven resistance to other classes of antiretrovirals. Although no pretreatment CSF viral loads were measured in this analysis, the high rate of HIV suppression in CSF supports the potency of RAL in the CNS. The single subject with persistently detectable viral loads in CSF (222 copies/ml) was reportedly receiving 400 mg of RAL twice daily for 33 months, was on a five-drug regimen,

FIG. 2. Correlation of CSF and plasma raltegravir concentrations in log-transformed values (circles). Solid line, linear fit; dashed lines, 95% confidence intervals.

had a CSF RAL concentration below the median (9.0 ng/ml) , and had the highest plasma viral load (60,100 copies/ml) of the cohort.

The 33% dissociation observed between HIV RNA suppression in plasma (62% of pairs) and in CSF (95% of pairs) suggests that RAL may have relatively greater potency in microglia and macrophages, which primarily produce HIV in the nervous system, than in lymphocytes, which primarily replicate HIV in the blood. A second explanation, not necessarily excluded by the first, is that HIV may have adapted to (or "compartmentalized" in) the nervous system, with RALsusceptible strains in the CSF and resistant strains in blood. A third explanation, which is compatible with the preceding two explanations, is that the nervous system is relatively protected by the limited immune activation that can occur with failing antiretroviral therapy (compared with no antiretroviral therapy at all) (22). Although an antiretroviral regimen with drugs with greater effectiveness at CNS penetration and/or a higher unbound fraction could cause such dissociation, the 7 pairs with plasma-CSF dissociation came from patients on various antiretroviral regimens, suggesting that this is a less likely explanation here. Prospective, controlled clinical trials are needed in order to better understand the contribution of these and other mechanisms to the control of HIV in the nervous system, but the data support the notion that RAL-containing antiretroviral regimens continue to control HIV in the nervous system even after they fail in blood.

The CSF RAL concentrations in this analysis (median, 14.5 ng/ml) are comparable to those recently reported in another small study (median, 18.4 ng/ml), by Yilmaz et al. (26). Our somewhat lower median RAL concentration in CSF may be explained by the lower plasma concentrations in our analysis (medians, 260.9 ng/ml versus 448 ng/ml). This difference between plasma concentrations likely accounts for the differences in CSF-to-plasma ratios between the studies (0.058 versus 0.03). Other potential factors responsible for the differences include specimen collection, processing, and storage; the RAL assay system; baseline subject characteristics (e.g., age, body mass index); and RAL adherence. The distribution of postdosing sampling times appears similar to ours, making this factor unlikely to explain the difference. The reports also differ in their conclusions about how well RAL may treat HIV in the nervous system: our report concludes that all CSF RAL concentrations fall in the therapeutic range, but Yilmaz et al. conclude that approximately 50% do. This apparent disagreement is due to the standard used to support the conclusion. The IC_{50} was used in this and prior reports, since it is generally less variable than the standard used by Yilmaz et al., the 95% inhibitory concentration (IC_{95}) , and is commonly referenced in clinical resistance testing reports. Each approach has its merits, and combining them in the interpretation of antiretroviral concentrations in CSF may enable additional differentiation between drugs in the future. In this instance, for example, 100% of our concentrations exceed the IC_{50} of 3.2 ng/ml, and 48% (10 of 21) exceed the upper limit of the IC_{95} range referenced by Yilmaz et al. (15 ng/ml). On the other hand, approximately 20% of the concentrations reported by Yilmaz et al. were below the IC_{50} of 3.2 ng/ml, compared to none in this study. Therefore, our data appear to indicate more consistent CSF activity than previously reported. However, the best approach

to estimating the effectiveness of antiretrovirals in the nervous system has yet to be determined and will likely require additional *in vitro* and *in vivo* work.

In summary, we conclude that RAL achieves therapeutic concentrations in CSF and, as a component of a combination antiretroviral regimen or as the main antiretroviral, likely contributes to the control of HIV replication in the nervous system. Control of HIV in the nervous system should protect individuals from HAND and support neurocognitive recovery for those who have been diagnosed with HAND previously. Additional work, such as a prospective clinical trial, will be required to definitively characterize the effectiveness and safety of RAL in the CNS.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study was supported by an investigator-initiated grant from Merck & Co., Inc., and the U.S. National Institutes of Health (MH62512 to I. Grant; NIH R01 MH58076 to R. Ellis).

REFERENCES

- 1. Adam, P., O. Sobek, L. Táborský, T. Hildebrand, O. Tutterová, and P. Zácek. 2003. CSF and serum orosomucoid (alpha-1-acid glycoprotein) in patients with multiple sclerosis: a comparison among particular subgroups of MS patients. Clin. Chim. Acta **334:**107–110.
- 2. **Best, B. M., S. L. Letendre, E. Brigid, D. B. Clifford, A. C. Collier, B. B. Gelman, J. C. McArthur, J. A. McCutchan, D. M. Simpson, R. Ellis, E. V. Capparelli, and I. Grant, for the CHARTER Group.** 2009. Low atazanavir concentrations in cerebrospinal fluid. AIDS **23:**83–87.
- 3. **Capparelli, E., S. L. Letendre, R. J. Ellis, P. Patel, D. Holland, and J. A. McCutchan.** 2005. Abacavir population pharmacokinetics in plasma and CSF. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. **49:**2504–2506.
- 4. **Capparelli, E. V., D. Holland, C. Okamoto, B. Gragg, J. Durelle, J. Marquie-Beck, G. van den Brande, R. Ellis, and S. Letendre; the HNRC Group.** 2005. Lopinavir concentrations in cerebrospinal fluid exceed the 50% inhibitory concentration for HIV. AIDS **19:**949–952.
- 5. **Cysique, L. A., F. Vaida, S. Letendre, S. Gibson, M. Cherner, S. P. Woods, J. A. McCutchan, R. K. Heaton, and R. J. Ellis.** 2009. Dynamics of cognitive change in impaired HIV-positive patients initiating antiretroviral therapy. Neurology **73:**342–348.
- 6. **Danovich, R., Y. Ke, H. Wan, B. Y. Nguyen, H. Teppler, W. Schleif, D. Hazuda, and M. Miller, for the BENCHMRK-1 and BENCHMRK-2 Study Groups.** 2008. Raltegravir has similar in vitro antiviral potency, clinical efficacy, and resistance patterns in B subtype and non-B subtype HIV-1, abstr. TUAA0302, p. 305. Abstract book, vol. 1. XVII Int. AIDS Conf., Mexico City, Mexico, 3 to 8 August 2008. http://www.aids2008-abstracts.org /aids2008_book_vol1_web.pdf.
- 7. **Ellis, R. J., M. E. Childers, J. D. Zimmerman, S. D. Frost, R. Deutsch, J. A. McCutchan, and the HIV Neurobehavioral Research Center Group.** 2003. Human immunodeficiency virus-1 RNA levels in cerebrospinal fluid exhibit a set point in clinically stable patients not receiving antiretroviral therapy. J. Infect. Dis. **187:**1818–1821.
- 8. **Ellis, R. J., A. C. Gamst, E. Capparelli, S. A. Spector, K. Hsia, T. Wolfson, I. Abramson, I. Grant, and J. A. McCutchan.** 2000. Cerebrospinal fluid HIV RNA originates from both local CNS and systemic sources. Neurology **54:** 927–936.
- 9. **Ellis, R. J., K. Hsia, S. A. Spector, J. A. Nelson, R. K. Heaton, M. R. Wallace, I. Abramson, J. H. Atkinson, I. Grant, and J. A. McCutchan.** 1997. Cerebrospinal fluid human immunodeficiency virus type 1 RNA levels are elevated in neurocognitively impaired individuals with acquired immunodeficiency syndrome. HIV Neurobehavioral Research Center Group. Ann. Neurol. **42:**679–688.
- 10. **Ellis, R. J., D. J. Moore, M. E. Childers, S. Letendre, J. A. McCutchan, T. Wolfson, S. A. Spector, K. Hsia, R. K. Heaton, and I. Grant.** 2002. Progression to neuropsychological impairment in human immunodeficiency virus infection predicted by elevated cerebrospinal fluid levels of human immunodeficiency virus RNA. Arch. Neurol. **59:**923–928.
- 11. **Haas, D. W., B. Johnson, J. Nicotera, V. L. Bailey, V. L. Harris, et al.** 2003. Effects of ritonavir on indinavir pharmacokinetics in cerebrospinal fluid and plasma. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. **47:**2131–2137.
- 12. **Letendre, S., R. Ellis, R. Heaton, I. Grant, and A. McCutchan.** 2009. Neurocognitive complications of HIV and their management, abstr. 181. 16th Conf. Retrovir. Opportunistic Infect., Montreal, Quebec, Canada, 8 to 11 February 2009.
- 13. **Letendre, S. L., E. V. Capparelli, R. J. Ellis, J. A. McCutchan, and the HIV Neurobehavioral Research Center Group.** 2000. Indinavir population phar-

macokinetics in plasma and cerebrospinal fluid. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. **44:**2173–2175.

- 14. **Letendre, S. L., J. A. McCutchan, M. E. Childers, S. P. Woods, D. Lazzaretto, R. K. Heaton, I. Grant, and R. J. Ellis; HNRC Group.** 2004. Enhancing antiretroviral therapy for human immunodeficiency virus cognitive disorders. Ann. Neurol. **56:**416–423.
- 15. **Letendre, S. L., G. van den Brande, A. Hermes, S. P. Woods, J. Durelle, J. M. Beck, J. A. McCutchan, C. Okamoto, R. J. Ellis, and the HIV Neurobehavioral Research Center Group.** 2007. Lopinavir with ritonavir reduces the HIV RNA level in cerebrospinal fluid. Clin. Infect. Dis. **54:**1511–1517.
- 16. **Marra, C. M., Y. Zhao, D. B. Clifford, S. Letendre, S. Evans, K. Henry, R. J. Ellis, B. Rodriguez, R. W. Coombs, G. Schifitto, J. C. McArthur, K. Robertson, and the AIDS Clinical Trials Group 736 Study Team.** 2009. Impact of combination antiretroviral therapy on cerebrospinal fluid HIV RNA and neurocognitive performance. AIDS **23:**1359–1366.
- 17. **Masliah, E., R. K. Heaton, T. D. Marcotte, R. J. Ellis, C. A. Wiley, M. Mallory, C. L. Achim, J. A. McCutchan, J. A. Nelson, J. H. Atkinson, and I. Grant.** 1997. Dendritic injury is a pathological substrate for human immunodeficiency virus-related cognitive disorders. HNRC Group. The HIV Neurobehavioral Research Center. Ann. Neurol. **42:**963–972.
- 18. **McArthur, J. C., D. R. McClernon, M. F. Cronin, T. E. Nance-Sproson, A. J. Saah, M. St Clair, and E. R. Lanier.** 1997. Relationship between human immunodeficiency virus-associated dementia and viral load in cerebrospinal fluid and brain. Ann. Neurol. **42:**689–698.
- 19. **Merschman, S. A., P. T. Vallano, L. A. Wenning, B. K. Matuszewski, and E. J. Woolf.** 2007. Determination of HIV integrase inhibitor, MK-0518 (raltegravir) in human plasma using 96-well liquid-liquid extraction and HPLC-MS/MS. J. Chromatogr. B Analyt. Technol. Biomed. Life Sci. **857:** 15–24.
- 20. **Murray, J. M., S. Emery, A. D. Kelleher, M. Law, J. Chen, D. J. Hazuda, B. Y. Nguyen, H. Teppler, and D. A. Cooper.** 2007. Antiretroviral therapy with the integrase inhibitor raltegravir alters decay kinetics of HIV, significantly reducing the second phase. AIDS **21:**2315–2321.
- 21. **Simpson, D. M.** 1999. Human immunodeficiency virus-associated dementia: review of pathogenesis, prophylaxis, and treatment studies of zidovudine therapy. Clin. Infect. Dis. **29:**19–34.
- 22. **Spudich, S., N. Lollo, T. Liegler, S. G. Deeks, and R. W. Price.** 2006. Treatment benefit on cerebrospinal fluid HIV-1 levels in the setting of systemic virological suppression and failure. J. Infect. Dis. **194:**1686–1696.
- 23. **Tozzi, V., P. Balestra, M. F. Salvatori, C. Vlassi, G. Liuzzi, M. L. Giancola, M. Giulianelli, P. Narciso, and A. Antinori.** 2009. Changes in cognition during antiretroviral therapy: comparison of 2 different ranking systems to measure antiretroviral drug efficacy on HIV-associated neurocognitive disorders. J. Acquir. Immune Defic. Syndr. **52:**56–63.
- 24. **Wenning, L. A., A. S. Petry, J. T. Kost, B. Jin, S. A. Breidinger, I. DeLepeleire, E. J. Carlini, S. Young, T. Rushmore, F. Wagner, N. M. Lunde, F. Bieberdorf, H. Greenberg, J. A. Stone, J. A. Wagner, and M. Iwamoto.** 2009. Pharmacokinetics of raltegravir in individuals with UGT1A1 polymorphisms. Clin. Pharmacol. Ther. **85:**623–627.
- 25. **Wiley, C., R. Schrier, J. Nelson, P. W. Lampert, and M. B. Oldstone.** 1986. Cellular localization of human immunodeficiency virus infection within the brains of acquired immune deficiency syndrome patients. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. **83:**7089–7093.
- 26. **Yilmaz, A., M. Gisslen, S. Spudich, E. Lee, A. Jayewardene, F. Aweeka, and R. W. Price.** 2009. Raltegravir cerebrospinal fluid concentrations in HIV-1 infection. PLoS One **4:**e6877.