

Washington University School of Medicine Digital Commons@Becker

Open Access Publications

11-2013

Global expression analysis of the yeast *Lachancea (saccharomyces) kluyveri* reveals new URC genes involved in pyrimidine catabolism

Anna Andersson Rasmussen
Lund University

Dineshkumar Kandasamy
Lund University

Halfdan Beck
Lund University

Seth D. Crosby
Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis

Olof Bjornberg
Lund University

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: http://digitalcommons.wustl.edu/open_access_pubs

Recommended Citation

Rasmussen, Anna Andersson; Kandasamy, Dineshkumar; Beck, Halfdan; Crosby, Seth D.; Bjornberg, Olof; Schnackerz, Klaus D.; and Piskur, Jure, "Global expression analysis of the yeast *Lachancea (saccharomyces) kluyveri* reveals new URC genes involved in pyrimidine catabolism." *Eukaryotic Cell*.13,1. 31-42. (2013).
http://digitalcommons.wustl.edu/open_access_pubs/2116

This Open Access Publication is brought to you for free and open access by Digital Commons@Becker. It has been accepted for inclusion in Open Access Publications by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons@Becker. For more information, please contact engeszer@wustl.edu.

Authors

Anna Andersson Rasmussen, Dineshkumar Kandasamy, Halfdan Beck, Seth D. Crosby, Olof Bjornberg, Klaus D. Schnackerz, and Jure Piskur

Global Expression Analysis of the Yeast *Lachancea (Saccharomyces) kluyveri* Reveals New *URC* Genes Involved in Pyrimidine Catabolism

Anna Andersson Rasmussen, Dineshkumar Kandasamy,
Halfdan Beck, Seth D. Crosby, Olof Björnberg, Klaus D.
Schnackerz and Jure Piskur
Eukaryotic Cell 2014, 13(1):31. DOI: 10.1128/EC.00202-13.
Published Ahead of Print 1 November 2013.

Updated information and services can be found at:
<http://ec.asm.org/content/13/1/31>

SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL	<i>These include:</i> Supplemental material
REFERENCES	This article cites 33 articles, 13 of which can be accessed free at: http://ec.asm.org/content/13/1/31#ref-list-1
CONTENT ALERTS	Receive: RSS Feeds, eTOCs, free email alerts (when new articles cite this article), more»

Information about commercial reprint orders: <http://journals.asm.org/site/misc/reprints.xhtml>
To subscribe to to another ASM Journal go to: <http://journals.asm.org/site/subscriptions/>

Global Expression Analysis of the Yeast *Lachancea (Saccharomyces) kluyveri* Reveals New URC Genes Involved in Pyrimidine Catabolism

Anna Andersson Rasmussen,^a Dineshkumar Kandasamy,^a Halfdan Beck,^a Seth D. Crosby,^b Olof Björnberg,^a Klaus D. Schnackerz,^a Jure Piškur^a

Department of Biology, Lund University, Lund, Sweden^a; Washington University, St. Louis, Missouri, USA^b

Pyrimidines are important nucleic acid precursors which are constantly synthesized, degraded, and rebuilt in the cell. Four degradation pathways, two of which are found in eukaryotes, have been described. One of them, the URC pathway, has been initially discovered in our laboratory in the yeast *Lachancea kluyveri*. Here, we present the global changes in gene expression in *L. kluyveri* in response to different nitrogen sources, including uracil, uridine, dihydrouracil, and ammonia. The expression pattern of the known URC genes, *URC1-6*, helped to identify nine putative novel URC genes with a similar expression pattern. The microarray analysis provided evidence that both the URC and *PYD* genes are under nitrogen catabolite repression in *L. kluyveri* and are induced by uracil or dihydrouracil, respectively. We determined the function of *URC8*, which was found to catalyze the reduction of malonate semialdehyde to 3-hydroxypropionate, the final degradation product of the pathway. The other eight genes studied were all putative permeases. Our analysis of double deletion strains showed that the *L. kluyveri* *Fui1p* protein transported uridine, just like its homolog in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, but we demonstrated that it was not the only uridine transporter in *L. kluyveri*. We also showed that the *L. kluyveri* homologs of *DUR3* and *FUR4* do not have the same function that they have in *S. cerevisiae*, where they transport urea and uracil, respectively. In *L. kluyveri*, both of these deletion strains grew normally on uracil and urea.

Pyrimidines, together with purines, are important precursors for many macromolecules in the cell, such as nucleic acids, and their internal pools are strictly regulated to provide the cell with a balanced supply of nucleotides. The main metabolic pathways affecting the size of the intracellular nucleotide pools are *de novo*, salvage, and catabolic pathways. In addition, degradation of pyrimidines and other macromolecules is a way for many microorganisms to obtain nitrogen when more preferable, easily accessible sources are scarce (1).

As a model to study pyrimidine catabolism, we have previously developed the yeast *Lachancea kluyveri* (2, 3) (formerly *Saccharomyces kluyveri* [4]), which, unlike its distant relative *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, is able to degrade and utilize the nitrogen from most known intermediates in nucleotide metabolism (5).

Four different pyrimidine degradation pathways have been described so far, of which the reductive pathway is the most common, being found among most eukaryotes and some bacteria. The URC pathway is found in several fungi and bacteria, while the oxidative and Rut pathways have been found in only a few bacteria (6–9). The reductive pathway starts with the reduction of uracil to dihydrouracil by a dihydropyrimidine dehydrogenase (EC 1.3.1.2), an enzyme which has not been found in any fungi. The pyrimidine ring is then opened by dihydropyrimidinase (EC 3.5.2.2) to create β -ureidopropionate, which becomes hydrolyzed to β -alanine by a β -alanine synthase (EC 3.5.1.6). The β -alanine can then be further degraded by a β -alanine aminotransferase (EC 2.6.1.2), yielding malonate semialdehyde (10). In *L. kluyveri* the enzymes required for the second, third, and fourth steps, encoded by *PYD2*, *PYD3*, and *PYD4*, respectively, have been identified and characterized (11–15), while *S. cerevisiae* is not able to perform any of these steps. Many other yeasts, however, also have the ability to degrade one or more of the reductive pathway intermediates (16). Despite the complete lack of dihydropyrimidine

dehydrogenase-encoding genes among fungi, many yeasts are able to degrade uracil (16).

We have previously discovered in *L. kluyveri* a novel pyrimidine catabolic pathway, the URC pathway, found in many yeasts, fungi, and bacteria, that represents the only known way for yeast to degrade uracil (17). Six loci, *URC1-6*, have been found to be necessary for the degradation of uracil (Fig. 1). *URC2* encodes a Zn₂Cys₆ zinc finger transcription factor, *URC3* and *URC5* together encode a urea amidolyase (EC 6.3.4.6 and EC 3.5.1.54), and *URC6* encodes a uracil phosphoribosyltransferase (EC 2.4.2.9). *URC1* and *URC4* are conserved genes, encoding proteins of unknown function. The outcomes of the pathway are 3-hydroxypropionate, which is excreted, and urea, which is further hydrolyzed to yield ammonia and carbon dioxide (17). Ribosyl-urea or phosphoribosyl-urea has been proposed to be an intermediate of the pathway (18), but other intermediates, as well as functions of some of the involved enzymes, remain largely unknown.

It is apparent that the four pyrimidine degradation pathways do not share many intermediates and enzymatic activities, but 3-hydroxypropionate is the final product in both the URC and Rut pathways. In *Escherichia coli*, 3-hydroxypropionate is pro-

Received 7 August 2013 Accepted 19 October 2013

Published ahead of print 1 November 2013

Address correspondence to Anna Andersson Rasmussen, anna.rasmussen@biol.lu.se.

Supplemental material for this article may be found at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/EC.00202-13>.

Copyright © 2014, American Society for Microbiology. All Rights Reserved.
doi:10.1128/EC.00202-13

The authors have paid a fee to allow immediate free access to this article.




FIG 1 Overview of the known parts of the URC pathway. Uracil is transformed by the action of the *URC1* and *URC6* gene products and possibly one or several other enzymes. This results in the formation of 3-hydroxypropionate, which is excreted, and (phospho)ribosyl urea, which is somehow degraded to urea (17, 18). *Urc4p* has been proposed to catalyze the degradation of the (phospho)ribosyl urea. The nitrogen is then released in the form of ammonia by the action of *Urc3,5* enzyme. *URC2* encodes a transcription factor which is likely required for a functional URC pathway (17).

duced by the action of *RutE* or *YdfG* through the reduction of malonate semialdehyde (19).

In this report, we performed a global expression analysis in *L. kluuyveri* and screened for additional *URC* genes. Microarrays were used to study changes in gene expression of all 5,321 predicted genes in response to different pyrimidines and other nitrogen-containing metabolites present as the sole nitrogen source. Here, we can show that the known *URC* genes are all upregulated by uracil, uridine, and, to some extent, dihydrouracil but are downregulated by ammonia, which means they are under nitrogen catabolite repression (1). Nine putative *URC* genes were further studied through deletion analysis, and subsequently the function of a new *URC* gene, *URC8*, encoding a short-chain dehydrogenase, was determined through an enzyme assay.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Strains, media, and growth conditions. The *L. kluuyveri* strains used and developed in this study can be found in Table 1. The yeast strains were grown in rich yeast extract-peptone-dextrose (YPD) media unless stated differently. For selection, 100 μ g/ml G418 was used.

For the microarray experiment and the growth test, N-minimal medium was made from defined minimal medium complemented with various nitrogen sources at 0.1% (wt/vol), including uracil, dihydrouracil, uridine, urea, allantoin, proline, or ammonium sulfate, as described previously (13).

For selection of transformants with the *URA3* gene marker, SC *URA* dropout medium was used (1% succinic acid, 0.6% NaOH, 2% glucose, 0.19% yeast nitrogen base without amino acids and ammonium sulfate, 0.5% ammonium sulfate, 0.1926% synthetic complete mixture [Kaiser], and dropout mixture lacking uracil [Formedium]).

Escherichia coli, which was used for protein production, was grown in LB medium (1% NaCl, 0.5% yeast extract, 1% peptone, pH 7).

Cell cultivation for microarray experiment. All cultivations of *L. kluuyveri* were performed at 25°C, and *E. coli* was grown at 37°C. An overnight culture of the diploid sequenced strain Y057 (NRRL strain Y12651) in YPD was used to inoculate flasks with N-minimal medium with uracil, dihydrouracil, uridine, proline, or ammonia as the nitrogen source. Four parallel cultures (biological replicates) were made for each condition. The cells were grown to an optical density at 600 nm (OD₆₀₀) of between 0.3 and 0.5 and then harvested by transferring the culture to small centrifugation tubes filled with crushed ice. The cells were collected by centrifugation at 4°C at 3,000 rpm for 10 min.

RNA extraction and cDNA synthesis and labeling. The yeast cells were immediately resuspended in 1 ml ice-cold TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen) and then disrupted using a mini-beadbeater (6 m/s; five times for 25 s each) using 0.5- μ m glass beads. The samples were kept on ice, and total RNA was extracted according to the provided protocol, except that the RNA was precipitated at -20°C for 30 min. Cleanup was performed by running the RNA on RNeasy spin columns (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's specifications. The RNA was treated on column with RNase-free DNase (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's protocol, and the integrity of extracted total RNA was analyzed by capillary electrophoresis (2100 Bioanalyzer; Agilent).

For cDNA synthesis, SuperScript III reverse transcriptase (RT; Invitrogen) was used, with 5 μ g of total RNA as the template and the oligo(dT)₁₂₋₁₈ primers (Invitrogen). The cDNA was labeled using a Pronto! plus labeling kit (Promega) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Test samples were labeled with Cy3-dCTP (Amersham), and the reference was labeled with Cy5-dCTP. The protocols provided by the manufacturer were followed.

Microarray hybridization and analysis. Equimolar amounts of labeled test and reference cDNA were hybridized onto *L. kluuyveri* microarray slides using the MAUI hybridization system. The microarrays, which were made on Corning epoxy slides, were provided by Mark Johnston, St. Louis, MO. Each spot contains a 65-base-long oligonucleotide representing one gene or open reading frame out of the total 5,353 included and is present in triplicates on each slide. In total, *L. kluuyveri* has 5,321 predicted genes, but on the array some are represented by two different oligonucleotides; hence, 5,353 oligonucleotide-containing spots. The oligonucleotide sequences were based on the genome sequence determined by the Washington University School of Medicine (20), which was the only one available for *L. kluuyveri* when the arrays were printed. Details of the platform can be found in the GEO database (platform no. GPL17318; <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/>). The slides were scanned and resulting images were analyzed in the GenePix Pro 4.1 software package (Molecular Devices, LLC).

Data analysis was conducted in R using the Bioconductor package. The raw data were normalized by within-print-tip-group local regression (LOESS) location normalization. An average of the signal from the three replicates on each array was then compared to the corresponding average of the control from the same array, and the log₂ value of the sample/reference ratio was calculated. A standard *t* test was applied to assess the significance of the changes in expression, and the obtained *P* values were corrected for false discovery rates (FDR) due to the large sample size. The median of the log₂ ratios from the four

TABLE 1 *L. kluyveri* strains used and developed during this research project

No.	Strain	Genotype ^a	Originating strain
1	Y057	Diploid, prototroph	NRRL Y-12651
2	Y156	<i>MATα ura3</i>	GRY1175 (J. Strathern)
3	Y1392	<i>MATα</i> prototroph	Y057
4	Y1161	<i>MATα ura3 urc2::KANMX3</i>	Y156
5	Y1616	<i>MATα urc8::KANMX3</i>	Y1392
6	Y1694	<i>MATα Lkdur3::KANMX3</i>	Y1392
7	Y1700	<i>MATα ura3 Lkfu1::KANMX3</i>	Y156
8	Y1701	<i>MATα ura3 Lkfu4::KANMX3</i>	Y156
9	Y1702	<i>MATα ura3 Lkdal5::KANMX3</i>	Y156
10	Y1703	<i>MATα ura3 Lkdal4::KANMX3</i>	Y156
11	Y1705	<i>MATα ura3 Lkdur3::KANMX3</i>	Y156
12	Y1704	<i>MATα ura3 SAKL0A07480::KANMX3</i>	Y156
13	Y1773	<i>MATα ura3 Lkfu1::KANMX3 Lkfu1 hom::URA3</i>	Y156
14	Y1774	<i>MATα ura3 Lkfu1::KANMX3 Lkdal4::URA3</i>	Y156
15	Y1775	<i>MATα ura3 Lkfu1::KANMX3 Lkfu4::URA3</i>	Y156
16	Y1776	<i>MATα ura3 Lkfu1::KANMX3 Lkdal5::URA3</i>	Y156
17	Y1777	<i>MATα ura3 Lkfu1::KANMX3 Lkdal5 hom::URA3</i>	Y156
18	Y1778	<i>MATα ura3 Lkfu1::KANMX3 SAKL0A07480::URA3</i>	Y156
19	Y1779	<i>MATα ura3 Lkfu1::KANMX3 Lkdur3::URA3</i>	Y156
20	Y1780	<i>MATα ura3 Lkfu1 hom::KANMX3</i>	Y156
21	Y1781	<i>MATα ura3 Lkdal5 hom::KANMX3</i>	Y156
22	Y1782	<i>MATα ura3 Lkdur3::KANMX3 SAKL0A07480::URA3</i>	Y156
23	Y1783	<i>MATα ura3 Lkfu1 hom::KANMX3 Lkdal4::URA3</i>	Y156
24	Y1784	<i>MATα ura3 Lkfu1 hom::KANMX3 Lkfu4::URA3</i>	Y156
25	Y1785	<i>MATα ura3 Lkfu1 hom::KANMX3 Lkdal5::URA3</i>	Y156
26	Y1786	<i>MATα ura3 Lkfu1 hom::KANMX3 Lkdal5 hom::URA3</i>	Y156
27	Y1787	<i>MATα ura3 Lkfu1 hom::KANMX3 SAKL0A07480::URA3</i>	Y156
28	Y1788	<i>MATα ura3 Lkfu1 hom::KANMX3 Lkdur3::URA3</i>	Y156
29	Y1789	<i>MATα ura3 Lkdal5::KANMX3 Lkdal5 hom::URA3</i>	Y156
30	Y1790	<i>MATα ura3 Lkdal5::KANMX3 Lkdal4::URA3</i>	Y156
31	Y1791	<i>MATα ura3 Lkdal5::KANMX3 Lkfu4::URA3</i>	Y156
32	Y1792	<i>MATα ura3 Lkdal5::KANMX3 Lkdur3::URA3</i>	Y156
33	Y1793	<i>MATα ura3 Lkdal5::KANMX3 SAKL0A07480::URA3</i>	Y156
34	Y1794	<i>MATα ura3 Lkdal5 hom::KANMX3 Lkdal4::URA3</i>	Y156
35	Y1795	<i>MATα ura3 Lkdal5 hom::KANMX3 Lkfu4::URA3</i>	Y156
36	Y1796	<i>MATα ura3 Lkdal5 hom::KANMX3 Lkdur3::URA3</i>	Y156
37	Y1797	<i>MATα ura3 Lkdal5 hom::KANMX3 SAKL0A07480::URA3</i>	Y156
38	Y1798	<i>MATα ura3 Lkdal4::KANMX3 Lkfu4::URA3</i>	Y156
39	Y1799	<i>MATα ura3 Lkdal4::KANMX3 Lkdur3::URA3</i>	Y156
40	Y1800	<i>MATα ura3 Lkdal4::KANMX3 SAKL0A07480::URA3</i>	Y156
41	Y1801	<i>MATα ura3 Lkfu4::KANMX3 Lkdur3::URA3</i>	Y156
42	Y1802	<i>MATα ura3 Lkfu4::KANMX3 SAKL0A07480::URA3</i>	Y156

^a hom, homolog.

biological replicates was used instead of the mean, since, in several cases, one out of the four replicates had large deviations from the other three. More details on the microarray experiment can be found in the GEO database (accession no. [GSE48135](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE48135)).

Seven genes regulated in a manner similar to that of the known *URC1-6* genes, i.e., upregulated by uracil and uridine and repressed by ammonia, were selected for further phenotypic studies. In addition, two other genes, both paralogs of genes selected from the microarray analysis, were included in the study. All nine genes can be found in [Table 2](#).

Cassettes for gene replacement. Gene replacement cassettes were made for each of the nine genes and were used to create the knockout strains. The cassettes consisted of a marker gene flanked by regions of 470 to 520 bases homologous to the upstream and downstream sequence of the target gene (17). All sequences used, both coding and noncoding, were retrieved from the Génolevures database (<http://www.genolevures.org/>) (21). The constructs for the cassettes were amplified separately by PCR, purified, and then assembled in a special primer-free assembly PCR, where about 48 ng marker gene and 20 ng flanking region were assembled

with the help of overhangs on the flanking regions designed to be complementary to the marker gene. A second reaction with primers was run to amplify the correct product, using part of the assembly reaction as the template (~5 μl for a 30-μl reaction). All primers used are specified in [Table S1](#) in the supplemental material. For all PCRs, the Phusion polymerase (Finnzymes/Thermo Scientific) was used and its recommended protocol was followed, using 65°C as annealing temperature, or, in difficult cases, a touchdown protocol from 70 or 72 to 65°C. The assembly reaction was run for 15 cycles, while the second reaction was run, as normal, for 30 cycles.

Creation of knockout strains. Transformation of the gene replacement cassettes to create the knockout strains was performed by electroporation into competent *L. kluyveri* cells as described previously (13), with some minor adjustments. The cells were grown to an OD₆₀₀ of 1 to 1.5, and the amount of cells used for each transformation corresponded to 2 to 3 ml of cells. For all transformations where minimal media was used for selection, an extra step of two washes with 2 ml of sterile water or minimal media was included after the incubation in YPD before spreading

TABLE 2 *L. kluyveri* genes selected for knockout analysis and the name and function of their homologs in *S. cerevisiae*^a

<i>L. kluyveri</i> name		<i>S. cerevisiae</i> name		
Common	Systematic	Common	Systematic	Function in <i>S. cerevisiae</i>
Lk <i>FUI1</i>	SAKL0H03476g	<i>FUI1</i>	YBL042C	Uridine transporter
Lk <i>FUI1</i> hom	SAKL0H03498g		None	Not found in <i>S. cerevisiae</i> ; unknown
Lk <i>DAL5</i>	SAKL0C00352g	<i>DAL5</i>	YJR152W	Ureidosuccinate/allantoate transporter
Lk <i>DAL5</i> hom	SAKL0G16456g		None	Not found in <i>S. cerevisiae</i> ; unknown
Lk <i>DAL4</i>	SAKL0G04532g	<i>DAL4</i>	YIR028W	Allantoin permease
Lk <i>FUR4</i>	SAKL0C11594g	<i>FUR4</i>	YBR021W	Uracil transporter
Lk <i>DUR3</i>	SAKL0A10010g SAKL0A07480	<i>DUR3</i>	YHL016C None ^b	Urea/polyamine permease Transport of basic amino acids
<i>URC8</i>	SAKL0H04730g		YMR226C	3-Hydroxy acid reductase

^a All of these genes, except the Lk*FUI1* homolog (hom) and Lk*DAL5* homolog, were highly expressed on uracil but not on ammonia.

^b Weakly similar to *VBA2* (YBR293W).

the cells on the selective media to avoid transfer of the rich media to the selective minimal media.

Single deletions of all transporter-like genes were made by transformation of the cassettes with *KANMX3* as the marker into the *ura3* strain Y156. For the reductase gene, *URC8*, the same procedure was used but employing the prototrophic strain Y1392 instead of Y156, since no double deletion was planned for this gene and the *URA3* marker was not needed. Transformants were selected on YPD with G418. Double knockouts were then created for all putative transporter genes by transforming the single knockout strains with deletion cassettes, now using *URA3* as the marker gene, which allowed selection on SD media.

The correct insertion of the deletion cassette was confirmed by colony PCR in all deletion strains created. Cells were dissolved in 5 μ l 0.02 M NaOH, incubated for 10 min at 95°C, and then spun down. Three μ l of the supernatant was used as the template in a regular PCR using DreamTaq DNA polymerase (Fermentas).

All of the resulting strains, both single and double knockouts, were tested for growth on minimal media with various sources of nitrogen (described above). The sequenced strain Y057 and the parent strain were used as positive controls, and the Δ *urc2* strain Y1161, which has a known URC phenotype, was used as the negative control. The strains were grown overnight in YPD and then harvested and washed in sterile water. They were diluted to ODs of 0.1 and 0.01 and spotted onto solid sole-nitrogen-source media. Analysis of the growth was done after 3 and 6 days.

The knockout strains created in this study are represented under the numbers 6 to 42 in Table 1.

Urc8p overexpression and assay. The *URC8* gene from *L. kluyveri* was cloned and inserted into the pET151/D-TOPO vector (Invitrogen), which adds an N-terminal His tag to the protein product. The construct (P1027) was transformed into *Escherichia coli* strain BL21 (Invitrogen) for expression. A culture was grown at 37°C to an OD₆₀₀ of 0.6, and then expression was induced with 0.1 mM IPTG (isopropyl β -D-thiogalactopyranoside) followed by overnight incubation at 16°C. The cells were harvested and homogenized by French press two times at 16,000 and 18,000 lb/in², and the protein was purified using nickel-nitrilotriacetic acid (Ni-NTA) chromatography. The storage buffer was subsequently changed to 10 μ M 3-[(3-cholamidopropyl)-dimethylammonio]-1-propanesulfonate (CHAPS), 0.1 M KP buffer, pH 7.4 using PD-10 columns (GE Healthcare).

The *L. kluyveri* β -alanine aminotransferase, Pvd4p, was purified according to a previous description (15) and then used for production of malonate semialdehyde under the following conditions: 83 mM KP buffer, pH 7.4, 80.8 mM β -alanine, 2.6 mM α -ketoglutarate, 0.3 μ g/ μ l Pvd4p, 30°C, 5 min. NADPH and Urc8p were added (0.13 μ g/ μ l and 0.42 ng/ μ l, respectively) to assay the Urc8p activity. Under these conditions, neither the Pvd4p concentration nor the produced malonate semialde-

hyde should be limiting. The reaction was monitored by the decrease in absorbance at 340 nm, where NADPH has its absorption maximum.

Microarray data accession numbers. The microarray data determined in the course of this work have been deposited in the GEO database under accession no. GSE48135 and the microarray platform is found under accession no. GPL17318.

RESULTS

Global expression analysis. Global expression analysis was performed on *L. kluyveri* by specifically designed microarrays (GEO accession no. GSE48135; <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE48135>), based on the first available genome sequence (20), in order to study the pyrimidine catabolism and its relationship to general nitrogen metabolism. To cover the different aspects of pyrimidine and nitrogen metabolism, we chose four growth conditions, where uracil, uridine, dihydrouracil, or ammonia was used as the only source of nitrogen. For each experiment, cells grown with proline as the nitrogen source were used as the control, since proline, like uracil, uridine, and dihydrouracil, is a poor nitrogen source and because its metabolism is not related to that of the other sources used. The top 20 upregulated genes under each condition are shown in Table 3. The highest number of upregulated genes was seen under the uridine condition, with 250 genes being at least 2-fold upregulated. On dihydrouracil at least 122 genes were 2-fold or more upregulated, on uracil 91 genes, and on ammonia 57 genes. The complete lists of genes which are at least 2-fold upregulated are found in Table S2 in the supplemental material.

Through this analysis, we show that all of the five already known URC genes (17) were upregulated in the presence of uracil and uridine, while no induction was observed on ammonia. For *URC2*, which encodes a transcription factor, the expression was comparatively constitutive, with only a 3-fold induction on uracil. An important observation was that *URC1* is the most upregulated gene on both uracil and uridine, being 800 to 1,000 times induced, closely followed by *URC4*, which was increased 250 to 350 times. Surprisingly, we found that most of the URC genes were also induced by dihydrouracil, even though its metabolism is not related to that of uracil and uridine in yeast, in contrast to mammals and other organisms which possess the full reductive pathway. In addition, *PYD2* and *PYD3* of the reductive pathway also were upregulated on uracil, but the induction by dihydrouracil was stron-

TABLE 3 Top 20 upregulated genes under the four conditions analyzed: uracil, dihydrouracil, uridine, and ammonia media

Condition and no.	ID	Systematic name	Common name	<i>S. cerevisiae</i> homolog	Log ₂ fold induction	P value
Uracil						
1	Contig145.1.A:821	SAKLOH14498g	<i>URC1</i>	None	9.703	0.00141
2	Contig31.2.A:628	SAKLOA09988g	<i>URC4</i>	None	8.410	0.00104
3	Skly_39.4.2:2	SAKLOC00352g		<i>DAL5</i>	5.951	0.00107
4	Skly_32.2.16:16	SAKLOH03476g		<i>FUI1</i>	5.728	0.00069
5	Skly_1.3.12:12	SAKLOE11792g	<i>URC6</i>	<i>FUR1</i>	5.465	0.00061
6	Skly_36.1.5:5	SAKLOH10560g	<i>URC3,5</i>	<i>DUR1,2</i>	5.264	0.00110
7	Skly_116.1.12:12	SAKLOD01386g		<i>ATR1</i>	4.976	0.00073
8	Skly_11.1.2:2	SAKLOH23210g		<i>YGR015C</i>	4.668	0.00175
9	Skly_104.1.4:4	SAKLOC02178g		None ^a	4.626	0.00458
10	Skly_104.1.5:5	SAKLOC02200g		<i>PRM1</i>	4.550	0.00828
11	Skly_31.2.8:8	SAKLOA10010g		<i>DUR3</i>	4.483	0.00116
12	Skly_54.3.14:14	SAKLOG04532g		<i>DAL4</i>	4.280	0.00155
13	Skly_182.1.2:2	SAKLOC11594g		<i>FUR4</i>	3.890	0.00140
14	Skly_76.1.28:28	SAKLOH04730g	<i>URC8</i>	<i>YMR226C</i>	3.819	0.00206
15	Contig29.2.E:116	SAKLOH20526g		None	3.804	0.00515
16	Skly_13.2.3:3	SAKLOB05588g	<i>PYD2</i>	None	3.721	0.00337
17	Skly_61.2.32:32	SAKLOB10054g		<i>FUN26</i>	3.702	0.00140
18	Contig147.1.A:1027	SAKLOC11748g	<i>PYD3</i>	None	3.590	0.00207
19	Skly_102.2.15:15	SAKLOG14762g		<i>UGA4</i>	3.475	0.00259
20	Skly_0.1.1:1	SAKLOH05412g		<i>TPO4</i>	3.400	0.00731
Dihydrouracil						
1	Contig147.1.A:1027	SAKLOC11748g	<i>PYD3</i>	None	9.015	0.00129
2	Skly_13.2.3:3	SAKLOB05588g	<i>PYD2</i>	None	6.908	0.00326
3	Skly_177.1.3:3	SAKLOB10120g		<i>DAL5</i>	6.305	0.00135
4	Skly_30.1.33:33	SAKLOB12562g		<i>UGA1</i>	6.217	0.00097
5	Skly_182.1.2:2	SAKLOC11594g		<i>FUR4</i>	5.903	0.00075
6	Skly_76.1.28:28	SAKLOH04730g	<i>URC8</i>	<i>YMR226C</i>	4.984	0.00126
7	Skly_1.3.12:12	SAKLOE11792g	<i>URC6</i>	<i>FUR1</i>	4.622	0.00083
8	Contig145.1.A:821	SAKLOH14498g	<i>URC1</i>	None	4.158	0.00122
9	Contig31.2.A:628	SAKLOA09988g	<i>URC4</i>	None	4.048	0.00190
10	Skly_30.1.35:35	SAKLOB12606g		<i>HPA2</i>	4.018	0.00103
11	Skly_23.5.2:2	SAKLOG07480g		<i>GIT1</i>	3.825	0.01224
12	Contig73.1.B:480	SAKLOA08514g		None	3.758	0.00135
13	Skly_104.1.4:4	SAKLOC02178g		None	3.581	0.00405
14	Skly_45.3.31:31	SAKLOE15290g		<i>FEN2</i>	3.469	0.00278
15	Skly_104.1.5:5	SAKLOC02200g		<i>PRM1</i>	3.244	0.00460
16	Skly_20.1.9:9	SAKLOF16632g		<i>ESBP6</i>	3.113	0.00411
17	Skly_3.3.12:12	SAKLOE05016g		<i>ARG8</i>	3.001	0.00127
18	Contig6.3.B:1543	SAKLOH11176g		None	2.960	0.00191
19	Contig20.1.B:21	SAKLOF16654g		None	2.834	0.00092
20	Skly_3.3.13:13	SAKLOE04994g		<i>HER2</i>	2.715	0.00242
Uridine						
1	Contig145.1.A:821	SAKLOH14498g	<i>URC1</i>	None	10.131	0.00138
2	Skly_33.4.3:3	SAKLOD00814g		<i>PHO84</i>	9.393	0.00161
3	Skly_23.5.2:2	SAKLOG07480g		<i>GIT1</i>	9.293	0.00146
4	Contig31.2.A:628	SAKLOA09988g	<i>URC4</i>	None	8.012	0.00137
5	Contig1.2.A:494	SAKLOE12144g		None	7.726	0.00143
6	Skly_32.2.16:16	SAKLOH03476g		<i>FUI1</i>	6.070	0.00159
7	Skly_39.4.2:2	SAKLOC00352g		<i>DAL5</i>	5.786	0.00167
8	Skly_53.2.1:1	SAKLOH13222g		<i>ENA2</i>	5.271	0.00143
9	Skly_6.8.1:1	SAKLOH13222g		<i>ENA5</i>	4.828	0.00160
10	Skly_98.1.15:15	SAKLOA00726g		<i>VBA5</i>	4.819	0.00128
11	Skly_53.1.1:1	SAKLOH13222g		<i>ENA5</i>	4.756	0.00160
12	Contig30.1.B:723	SAKLOB12232g		<i>NOHBY208</i>	4.754	0.00330
13	Skly_4.6.2:2	SAKLOE07964g		<i>XBP1</i>	4.752	0.00229
14	Skly_61.2.32:32	SAKLOB10054g		<i>FUN26</i>	4.551	0.00125
15	Skly_1.3.12:12	SAKLOE11792g	<i>URC6</i>	<i>FUR1</i>	4.502	0.00134
16	Contig11.2.B:654	SAKLOH24310g		None	4.343	0.00122

(Continued on following page)

TABLE 3 (Continued)

Condition and no.	ID	Systematic name	Common name	<i>S. cerevisiae</i> homolog	Log ₂ fold induction	<i>P</i> value
17	Skluy_36.1.5:5	SAKLOH10560g	URC3,5	DUR1,2	4.326	0.00129
18	Skluy_46.2.9:9	SAKLOB03212g		ICL2	4.315	0.00397
19	Skluy_76.1.28:28	SAKLOH04730g	URC8	YMR226C	4.161	0.00286
20	Skluy_0.4.5:5	SAKLOH08580g		YPL110C	4.123	0.00126
Ammonia						
1	Skluy_88.1.2:2	SAKLOD02948g		AGP1	3.515	0.019478
2	Skluy_140.1.3:3	SAKLOD09152g		CIT1	2.660	0.009231
3	Skluy_2.1.29:29	SAKLOF04356g		TTR3	2.417	0.026387
4	Skluy_95.2.7:7	SAKLOB02090g		HSP12	2.190	0.05253
5	Skluy_80.1.22:22	SAKLOF13310g		ITR2	2.123	0.03577
6	Skluy_27.1.38:38	Sequence on chromosome F, no matching genes in Génolevures			2.089	0.027403
7	Skluy_22.1.1:1	Sequence on chromosome G, no matching genes in Génolevures			2.054	0.042043
8	Skluy_34.1.4:4	SAKLOD04510g		JEN1	2.024	0.013497
9	Contig113.1.A:1140	SAKLOB01672g		NOHBY648	1.961	0.007016
10	Skluy_22.1.46:46	SAKLOG10164g		PDC1	1.924	0.021277
11	Skluy_112.3.8:8	SAKLOC11528g		GAL7	1.906	0.040539
12	Skluy_375.1.1:1	Matches 10 retrotransposon Gag genes		YHR214C-C	1.882	0.201569
13	Contig573.1.A:203	SAKLOF13310g			1.831	0.050309
14	Skluy_105.1.10:10	SAKLOA09394g		OPT1	1.712	0.022063
15	Skluy_40.1.1:1	SAKLOG16038g		CTR3	1.689	0.022914
16	Skluy_12.2.10:10	SAKLOG19140g		MDH1	1.671	0.013244
17	Skluy_2.1.30:30	SAKLOF04334g		PDC1	1.616	0.02575
18	Skluy_25.3.2:2	SAKLOG14014g		HIP1	1.540	0.029876
19	Skluy_15.3.12:12	SAKLOD11946g		SUC2	1.497	0.03344
20	Skluy_161.1.5:5	SAKLOG08052g		YHR087W	1.490	0.036698

^a Weakly similar to VBA2 (YBR293W).

ger. A similar but much weaker effect was seen for the last gene of the pathway, *PYD4*. The genes of the reductive pathway also were downregulated by ammonia. These results show that both the *PYD* and the *URC* genes in *L. kluyveri* are subjected to the nitrogen

catabolite repression. The change in expression is represented in Table 4 and graphically visualized in Fig. 2.

Deletion studies revealed novel URC genes. From the microarray results, seven potential new *URC* genes were selected for

TABLE 4 Log₂ fold regulation obtained from the microarray experiment of *L. kluyveri* genes related to pyrimidine metabolism in response to different sole nitrogen sources^a

<i>L. kluyveri</i> name	<i>S. cerevisiae</i> homolog	Sequence ID	Log ₂ fold regulation in response to:			
			Uracil	Dihydrouracil	Uridine	Ammonia
<i>URC1</i>	None	Contig145.1.A:821	9.703	4.158	10.131	-2.016
<i>URC2</i>	YDR520C	Skluy_39.6.2:2	1.715	0.726	0.939	-0.418
<i>URC3,5</i>	<i>DUR1,2</i>	Skluy_36.1.5:5	5.264	1.554	4.326	-0.406
<i>URC4</i>	None	Contig31.2.A:628	8.410	4.048	8.012	-0.501
<i>URC6</i>	<i>FUR1</i>	Skluy_1.3.12:12	5.465	4.622	4.502	0.080
<i>PYD2</i>	None	Skluy_13.2.3:3	3.721	6.908	0.839	-0.078
<i>PYD3</i>	None	Contig147.1.A:1027	3.590	9.015	2.798	-0.106
<i>PYD4</i>	<i>UGA1</i>	Skluy_29.2.17:17	0.585	1.213	-0.441	-0.941
<i>URC8</i>	YMR226C	Skluy_76.1.28:28	3.819	4.984	4.161	-0.201
<i>DAL4</i>	<i>DAL4</i>	Skluy_54.3.14:14	4.280	0.986	3.090	0.107
<i>DAL5</i>	<i>DAL5</i>	Skluy_39.4.2:2	5.951	1.801	5.786	-0.121
<i>DAL5</i> homolog	<i>DAL5</i>	Skluy_40.3.7:7	-0.513	1.105	-1.614	-1.609
<i>FUI1</i>	<i>FUI1</i>	Skluy_32.2.16:16	5.728	2.406	6.070	-1.632
<i>FUI1</i> homolog	<i>FUI1</i>	SAKLOH03498g ^b	NA	NA	NA	NA
<i>FUR4</i>	<i>FUR4</i>	Skluy_182.1.2:2	3.890	5.903	2.406	-0.211
SAKLOA07480	None ^c	Skluy_104.1.4:4	4.626	3.581	3.061	-1.632
<i>DUR3</i>	<i>DUR3</i>	Skluy_31.2.8:8	4.483	1.749	3.580	-0.351

^a Cells grown on single-nitrogen-source media were used, and the expression under the four conditions was compared to that in cells grown on media with proline as the nitrogen source. The log₂ fold regulation was calculated by logarithmically normalizing the sample/reference ratio of the values recovered from the scanned arrays. NA, not applicable.

^b The oligonucleotide matching this gene could not be identified; therefore, its systematic name found in the Génolevures database was used.

^c Weakly similar to VBA2 (YBR293W).




FIG 2 Expression analysis of *L. kluyveri* grown on different nitrogen sources, as analyzed by microarrays. The oligonucleotides representing genes known to be involved in the URC and reductive pyrimidine catabolic pathways are marked in red. All of the genes selected for further analysis, which also are marked in the figure, were previously unknown but have expression patterns similar to those of the known *URC* genes or are homologous to a gene with a similar expression pattern. The exception is the *FUI1* homolog *SAKL0H03498g*, which could not be identified among the oligonucleotides represented on the array. The y axis shows the sample/reference signal log₂ ratio, and the x axis is the average intensity of the total signal for each oligonucleotide (average of the technical and biological replicates).

further studies (Table 2). The selection was made on the basis (i) that their expression profile was similar to that of the known *URC* genes, i.e., upregulation on uracil and uridine and no change or downregulation on ammonia (Table 4), and (ii) that the function in *L. kluyveri* was unknown. Two additional genes (*SAKL0H03498g* and *SAKL0G16456g*), whose function in *L. kluyveri* were also unknown,

were included as well. These two genes had different expression patterns but were included since they are paralogs of the *FUI1* and *DAL5* genes selected from the microarray study. An extensive collection of knockout strains, including 9 single and 26 double knockout strains (Table 1), was prepared through gene replacement for the selected genes. All of the created strains were found to be viable and were

TABLE 5 Growth phenotype analysis of single and double deletion strains

Deleted gene(s) ^a	Growth ^b on:					
	Ammonia	Uracil	Uridine	DHU	Allantoin	Urea
Single deletion						
LkFUI1	+++	+++	+	+++	+++	+++
LkFUI1 hom	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++
LkDAL5	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++
LkDAL5 hom	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++
LkDAL4	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++
LkFUR4	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++
LkDUR3	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++
SAKL0A07480	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++
URC8	+++	+ / ++	+	+ / -	+++	+++
Double deletion						
ΔLkfur4 ΔLkdal4	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++
ΔLkfui1 ΔLkfur4	+++	+++	-	++	+++	+++
ΔLkfui1 Δfui1 hom	+++	+++	-	+++	+++	+++
ΔLkfui1 ΔLkdur3	+++	+++	+	++	+++	+++
ΔLkfui1 ΔLkdal4	+++	+++	+	+++	+++	+++
ΔLkfui1 ΔLkdal5	+++	+++	+	+++	+++	+++
ΔLkfui1 dal5 hom	+++	+++	+	+++	+++	+++
ΔLkfui1 ΔSAKL0A07480	+++	+++	++	+++	+++	+++
ΔLkdur3 ΔSAKL0A07480	+++	++	++	+++	+++	+++
ΔLkdur3 ΔLkdal5	+++	++	++	+++	+++	+++
ΔLkdur3 ΔLkdal4	+++	++	++	+++	+++	+++
Δfui1 hom ΔLkdur3	+++	+++	+++	++	+++	+++
Δfui1 hom ΔLkdal4	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++
Δfui1 hom ΔLkdal5	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++
Δfui1 hom ΔLkfur4	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++
Δfui1 hom ΔSAKL0A07480	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++
Δdal5 hom ΔLkdal5	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++
ΔLkdal4 ΔLkdal5	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++
ΔLkfur4 ΔLkdal5	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++
ΔSAKL0A07480 ΔLkdal5	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++
Δdal5 hom ΔLkdal4	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++
Δdal5 hom ΔLkfur4	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++
Δdal5 hom ΔLkdur3	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++
Δdal5 hom ΔSAKL0A07480	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++
ΔLkfur4 ΔSAKL0A07480	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++
ΔLkdal4 ΔSAKL0A07480	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++	+++

^a hom, homolog.

^b Shading highlights the results which differed from those for the wild-type strain. +++, wild-type-like growth; ++, growth, but less than that of the wild type; +, very limited and slow growth; -, no growth/equal to negative control; NA, not assayed. DHU, dihydrouracil. Growth was inspected after 3 and 6 days.

tested for growth on media with different nitrogen sources (Table 5) using the parental strain as a positive control and the Δurc2 strain as the negative control.

A majority of the genes selected for deletion analysis encode putative permeases. So far, no permeases have been characterized in *L. kluyveri*. Uptake of nutrients is crucial for the cell, so often there is more than one transporter for each nutrient, although their affinity for that nutrient may differ. Therefore, it is not very surprising that most of the permease gene deletions did not show any deviating phenotype; however, a few strains grew slower than their parental strain. The strain with the deletion of the *L. kluyveri* FUI1 (SAKL0H03476g) gene showed a decrease in growth on uridine. Several of the double-knockout strains where this gene was missing had a changed phenotype on uridine, and in a few cases a slight decrease in growth was seen on dihydrouracil as well. Two strains, in which LkFUI1 was disrupted together with the LkFUI1 paralog or LkFUR4, only had a little background growth, similar

to the negative control, thereby showing a stronger growth phenotype than the single deletion of LkFUI1.

A slight but still clear decrease in growth on both uracil and uridine was observed for several double knockout combinations involving the LkDUR3 gene. No effect, however, was seen on urea, although this is the substrate of DUR3 in *S. cerevisiae* (22).

A newly characterized URC gene encodes a C₃-modifying enzyme. The only non-permease-like gene selected for further analysis was SAKL0H04730g, which was later named URC8 (see Fig. S3 in the supplemental material). The deletion strain showed significantly reduced growth on uracil and uridine, indicating involvement of this gene in the URC pathway. In addition, it also showed less growth on dihydrouracil, which is degraded by the reductive pathway and would be expected to be unrelated to the URC pathway. The Urc8p homologs in *S. cerevisiae* (YMR226C) and *E. coli* (YdfG) have been characterized previously (23). They belong to the NADP⁺/NADPH-dependent short-chain dehydrogenase/reductase family




FIG 3 Purified His-tagged Urc8 protein from *L. kluyveri* run on SDS-PAGE.

(23, 24), and YdfG is known to reduce malonate semialdehyde to 3-hydroxypropionate in the Rut pathway in *E. coli* (19), which is also the outcome of the URC pathway (17). We hypothesize that the role of *URC8* is similar to that of YdfG.

Overexpression and purification of Urc8p. We successfully subcloned the *URC8* gene of *L. kluyveri* and produced the protein in *E. coli*, using a pET/TOPO vector in order to add a His tag to facilitate purification. The purified protein was analyzed by SDS-PAGE (Fig. 3), and a band just below 35 kDa was observed, which should be compared to the predicted size of the monomer of the native protein, 29.2 kDa, and the 4 kDa added by the vector. After confirmation of the protein size, the concentration was determined by Bradford assay and the yield was estimated to be about 10 mg per liter of culture. The fractions containing the highest protein concentration were pooled, desalted, and diluted for activity assays.

Urc8p catalyzes the reduction of malonate semialdehyde to 3-hydroxypropionate. To test our hypothesis that Urc8p catalyzes the same reaction as YdfG in the Rut pathway (19), i.e., converts malonate semialdehyde to 3-hydroxypropionate, we used the purified protein in a coupled assay with the β -alanine amino-transferase from *L. kluyveri*, Pyd4p, to make the proposed substrate. β -Alanine amino-transferase catalyzes the fourth step of the common reductive pyrimidine degradation pathway, where β -alanine is converted to malonate semialdehyde (15). The purified Pyd4p enzyme was incubated with β -alanine and α -ketoglutarate (as an acceptor of the ammonia moiety) at 30°C for 5 min to produce malonate semialdehyde before addition of NADPH and the Urc8 protein. The conditions were optimized, so the concentrations of Pyd4p and its substrates should not be limiting.

The reaction was monitored by the absorbance change of NADPH at 340 nm. A linear decrease from 0.825 to 0.494 was observed during a 10-min period (Fig. 4), indicating that NADPH is the reducing agent in the reaction. A negligible decrease in absorbance was seen without Urc8p added or when NADH was used instead of NADPH. This confirms that Urc8p catalyzes the reduction of malonate semialdehyde to 3-hydroxypropionate, which is a waste product of the URC pathway (Fig. 4).




FIG 4 Assay for the new URC enzyme, Urc8p. For the Urc8p assay, the absorbance was monitored at 340 nm for 10 min, corresponding to the decrease of NADPH. In the inset in the lower left corner, the Urc8p reaction, where malonic semialdehyde is converted into 3-hydroxypropionate with the use of NADPH as the reductant, is presented. No change in absorbance was observed when NADH was used instead of NADPH in a parallel sample.

DISCUSSION

We designed a microarray to deepen our knowledge on the URC pathway. *L. kluyveri* had already been studied by microarray analysis (25, 26), but only with cells grown in rich medium and not minimal media with different nitrogen sources. Our transcription analysis of *L. kluyveri* clearly shows that all genes known to be involved in the pyrimidine catabolism are also under nitrogen catabolite repression (Table 4). The nitrogen catabolite repression has been well studied in *S. cerevisiae* and in some filamentous fungi (1, 27), and we confirmed here that this regulatory circuit also exists in *L. kluyveri*, making the nitrogen metabolism more energy efficient by using the best source available. All *URC* genes were indeed induced when uracil or uridine, but not ammonia, was used as the source of nitrogen (Table 4 and Fig. 2). However, the fact that a quite substantial induction of most *URC* genes is also observed in the dihydrouracil condition is more surprising, considering that the catabolism of uracil and dihydrouracil occurs by two separate pathways in fungi, although they are both degraded by the same reductive pathway in most other organisms. The fact that the *URC* genes were strongly upregulated in response to uracil and uridine implies that these compounds also can be utilized as a source of nitrogen in nature. This would give *L. kluyveri* an advantage in niches where preferred nitrogen sources, such as ammonia and glutamate, are absent but uracil or uridine is present.

In contrast to mammals, insects, plants, and many bacteria, which have a complete reductive pathway in which degradation of uracil proceeds through reduction to dihydrouracil, yeasts that are able to degrade pyrimidines possess two separate pathways, one for uracil and one for dihydrouracil catabolism (17). The dihydrouracil is degraded by the same reductive pathway as that in other organisms, but the enzyme performing the first step, namely, the reduction of uracil to dihydrouracil, has not been found in any yeast. Therefore, yeast must use the URC pathway for degradation of uracil and uridine. Surprisingly, we found that the

genes involved in the reductive pathway, namely, *PYD2* (13) and *PYD3* (14), were significantly upregulated by uracil, although the induction by dihydrouracil was higher. Similarly, the *URC* genes were found to be upregulated not only by uracil but also by dihydrouracil. In short, all *URC* and *PYD* genes were strongly regulated by their expected inducers. The observed induction by uracil and dihydrouracil could be a leftover process from the complete reductive pathway in early unicellular eukaryotes. It could also be explained by the fact that the two molecules only differ by one double bond in the pyrimidine ring and might be similar enough to activate the specific regulator proteins for each other's pathways. A similar overlap could be seen in the transport, where the same transporter carries both uracil and dihydrouracil (28). Lastly, one could also speculate that the two molecules get slowly interconverted in the yeast cell.

The high induction by uracil observed for *URC1* and *URC4* (about 830 and 350 times, respectively) can be compared to a previous study of uracil induction in *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*; however, that study was performed in the presence of ammonia. Here, the *URC1* homolog *urg1* was upregulated 100-fold. As in *L. kluyveri*, the *S. pombe* homologs of *URC1*, *URC4*, and *URC6* were the three most strongly regulated genes in response to uracil, although the *URC6* homolog *urg2* was stronger than the *URC4* homolog *urg3* (29).

After our microarray analysis, we developed a large collection of strains with single and double gene disruptions for the eight putative transporters included in this study (Table 1). The single deletion strains were all viable, meaning that none of the genes was essential. Likewise, all of the double deletions created were found to be viable.

All deletion strains of *FUI1* showed restricted growth on the minimal plate containing uridine as a sole nitrogen source (Table 5). Together, these results suggest that *FUI1*, which is a high-affinity uridine permease in *S. cerevisiae* (30), also is responsible for uridine transport in *L. kluyveri*. However, in *L. kluyveri*, there are additional permeases whose functions overlap this function. The double deletions where *FUI1* was disrupted together with *FUR4* or the Lk*FUI1* paralog had a null phenotype, indicating that these two genes function as weak uridine transporters. In *S. cerevisiae*, *FUR4* is known to be the only uracil transporter (31), which is clearly not the case with the *L. kluyveri* homolog, since no effect at all was seen on uracil for any of its deletions.

The existence of several transporters for uridine explains why strains with the single deletion of *FUI1* still grow slightly on uridine. The function of the Lk*Fui1p* paralog (SAKL0H03498g) has not been characterized before, but it is 70% identical to Lk*Fui1p* and 69% identical to *S. cerevisiae* *Fui1p*. We speculate that the function of the three proteins is similar. The main *FUR4* function in *S. cerevisiae* is transport of uracil. Interestingly, no deviating phenotype was observed for any other double deletion strain where *FUR4* was disrupted; instead, they all grew like the parental strain, even on uracil (Table 5). However, we cannot rule out uracil transport as a function of *FUR4* in *L. kluyveri*, since other transporters might have overlapping functions, but it is clear that the uracil transport in *L. kluyveri* is different from that in *S. cerevisiae*, where *FUR4* is the only uracil transporter (31, 32).

DUR3 also seems to have some role in the transport of both uracil and uridine, since several of its double knockout combinations showed some deviation in phenotype on these media, although no change was seen for the single knockout strain

(Table 5). The other genes deleted in these double combinations apart from *FUI1*, namely, SAKL0A07480, *DAL4*, and *DAL5*, might also play a small role in uracil and uridine transport. The slight phenotype observed for the *dur3* double knockouts, with *fui1* and the Lk*fui1* paralog, indicates that it also is involved in the transport of dihydrouracil. In *S. cerevisiae*, *DUR3* is known to be a permease for polyamines and urea (22, 33), but no deviating phenotype was observed on urea for any *dur3* strain in our growth tests, so it seems that the function of *DUR3* in *L. kluyveri* is different.

The new *URC* gene presented in this paper, *URC8*, had a similar expression level under dihydrouracil, uridine, and uracil conditions (Table 4), and the corresponding knockout grew weakly on uracil, uridine, and dihydrouracil. We successfully overproduced and purified the encoded protein, Urc8p, and showed that it can catalyze the reduction of a 3-carbon molecule just like its homologs in *S. cerevisiae* and *E. coli*, YMR226c and YdfG. The confirmed reaction, i.e., reduction of malonate semialdehyde to 3-hydroxypropionate, is the same reaction catalyzed by RutE and YdfG in *E. coli* (19). However, the Urc8p homologs YMR226c and YdfG are known multisubstrate enzymes, meaning that Urc8p is likely to be one too. We speculate that the now confirmed reaction is the one which is required for the *URC* pathway, since 3-hydroxypropionate has already been confirmed as a waste product of this pathway (17).

Now that the function of *URC8* is clear, its regulation by uracil and dihydrouracil also makes sense, as this gene apparently provides a link between the reductive and *URC* pathways (Fig. 5). Malonate semialdehyde, the substrate of Urc8p, is formed in both pathways and then processed by Urc8p. In the *URC* pathway, it is likely formed directly or spontaneously from the three-carbon part when the pyrimidine ring is opened and in the reductive pathway from beta-alanine by the action of Pvd4 (10). The reactions needed for extracting the two nitrogens from the pyrimidine ring are still unrelated in the two pathways, as Urc8p is only involved in taking care of the carbon waste product. The Urc8p homolog in *E. coli*, YdfG, degrades the malonate semialdehyde formed in the Rut pathway, producing 3-hydroxypropionate, thereby overlapping in function with RutE, which is a malonate semialdehyde reductase not homologous to YdfG or Urc8p. The known catalytic amino acids (34) are conserved in the *E. coli* and *S. cerevisiae* homologs of Urc8p. They are present also in the *L. kluyveri* protein (see Fig. S2 in the supplemental material), suggesting a similar function. In addition, the *E. coli* homologs produce the same product as that formed in the *URC* pathway. These facts together led us to hypothesize that Urc8p performed the same reaction as YdfG. In *E. coli*, the reaction is proposed to have a detoxifying role, helping to speed up the removal of toxic intermediates formed during the degradation (19).

The fact that the Δ *urc8* strain is still able to grow on both uracil and dihydrouracil, but much slower than the parent strain, also points to a detoxifying role of *URC8*. This is the first report on functional homology among the four pyrimidine degradation pathways.

In conclusion, we have now provided proof of nitrogen catabolite repression in *L. kluyveri* and provided a deeper knowledge of the regulation of genes involved in general nitrogen metabolism and pyrimidine degradation from the microarrays. A new *URC* gene, *URC8*, was found necessary for full growth of *L. kluyveri* on




FIG 5 Overview of pyrimidine degradation in *L. kluyveri*, showing a link between the reductive and the URC pathways. At the top is the reductive pathway, which degrades dihydrouracil to β -alanine via ureidopropionate using the *PYD2* and *PYD3* gene products. In yeast, the gene encoding the dihydropyrimidine dehydrogenase, which catalyzes the reduction of uracil to dihydrouracil, does not exist, but other species, like mammals, plants, and insects, possess the complete reductive pathway. In yeast, uracil is degraded by the URC pathway (bottom). Malonate semialdehyde is produced both in the reductive pathway when *Pyd4p* deaminates β -alanine and in the URC pathway after the pyrimidine ring opening, presumably by the action of *Urc1p*. The malonate semialdehyde is degraded by *Urc8p* to the end product 3-hydroxypropionate.

uracil, and its function was determined. Our growth test analysis of the large single and double knockout collection for putative transporters brought new insight into the complex transport of pyrimidines and other metabolically related compounds. *LkFui1p* was found to be involved in the uptake of uridine, but unlike its homolog in *S. cerevisiae*, it is not the only uridine transporter in *L. kluyveri*.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Mark Johnston and Christopher S. Sawyer at the Genome Technology Access Center (GTAC; Washington University, St. Louis, MO) for designing and printing the *L. kluyveri* DNA microarrays. GTAC is supported by NCI Cancer Center support grant P30 CA91842 to the Siteman Cancer Center and by ICTS/CTSA grant UL1RR024992 from the National Center for Research Resources (NCRR), a component of the National Institutes of Health (NIH), and the NIH Roadmap for Medical Research.

Many thanks to Jeanette Valchich and Johan Staaf at SCIBLU Genomics at Lund University for patience with helping us perform the cDNA labeling, hybridization, and analysis of the microarray slides. We are grateful to Jessica Abbott at the Department of Biology at Lund University, who helped us to extract the microarray data from R files. We also thank Hans-Erik Åkerlund from the Department of Biochemistry and Structural Biology at Lund University for technical assistance, Mingming Lai for making the *URC8* knockout strain, and You Lv for purifying the *Urc8p* and *Pyd4p* enzymes.

This work was supported by the Swedish Research Council, the Nilsson-Ehle foundation at The Royal Physiographic Society in Lund, the Jörgen Lindström foundation, and the Sven and Lilly Lawski Foundation for Scientific Studies.

REFERENCES

1. ter Schure EG, van Riel NAW, Verrips CT. 2000. The role of ammonia metabolism in nitrogen catabolite repression in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* 24:67–83.
2. Beck H, Dobritzsch D, Piskur J. 2008. *Saccharomyces kluyveri* as a model organism to study pyrimidine degradation. *FEMS Yeast Res.* 8:1209–1213. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1567-1364.2008.00442.x>.
3. Gojkovic Z, Paracchini S, Piskur J. 1998. A new model organism for

studying the catabolism of pyrimidines and purines. *Adv. Exp. Med. Biol.* 431:475–479. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4615-5381-6_94.

4. Kurtzman CP. 2003. Phylogenetic circumscription of *Saccharomyces*, *Kluyveromyces* and other members of the *Saccharomycetaceae*, and the proposal of the new genera *Lachancea*, *Nakaseomyces*, *Naumovia*, *Vanderwaltozyma* and *Zygotorulaspora*. *FEMS Yeast Res.* 4:233–245. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1567-1356\(03\)00175-2](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1567-1356(03)00175-2).
5. Larue TA, Spencer JFT. 1968. Utilization of purines and pyrimidines by yeast. *Can. J. Microbiol.* 14:79–86. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1139/m68-012>.
6. Loh KD, Gyaneshwar P, Papadimitriou EM, Fong R, Kim KS, Parales R, Zhou ZR, Inwood W, Kustu S. 2006. A previously undescribed pathway for pyrimidine catabolism. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 103:5114–5119. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0600521103>.
7. Hayaishi O, Kornberg A. 1952. Metabolism of cytosine, thymine, uracil, and barbituric acid by bacterial enzymes. *J. Biol. Chem.* 197:717–732.
8. Soong CL, Ogawa J, Sakuradani E, Shimizu S. 2002. Barbiturase, a novel zinc-containing amidohydrolase involved in oxidative pyrimidine metabolism. *J. Biol. Chem.* 277:7051–7058. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M110784200>.
9. Soong CL, Ogawa J, Shimizu S. 2001. Novel amidohydrolytic reactions in oxidative pyrimidine metabolism: analysis of the barbiturase reaction and discovery of a novel enzyme, ureidomalonase. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 286:222–226. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/bbrc.2001.5356>.
10. Andersen G, Andersen B, Dobritzsch D, Schnackerz KD, Piskur J. 2007. A gene duplication led to specialized gamma-aminobutyrate and beta-alanine aminotransferase in yeast. *FEBS J.* 274:1804–1817. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1742-4658.2007.05729.x>.
11. Dobritzsch D, Andersen B, Piskur J. 2005. Crystallization and X-ray diffraction analysis of dihydropyrimidinase from *Saccharomyces kluyveri*. *Acta Crystallogr. Sect. F Struct. Biol. Cryst. Commun.* 61:359–362. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1107/S174430910500610X>.
12. Dobritzsch D, Gojkovic Z, Andersen B, Piskur J. 2003. Crystallization and preliminary X-ray analysis of beta-alanine synthase from the yeast *Saccharomyces kluyveri*. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.* 59:1267–1269. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1107/S0907444903009120>.
13. Gojkovic Z, Jahnke K, Schnackerz KD, Piskur J. 2000. *PYD2* encodes 5,6-dihydropyrimidine amidohydrolase, which participates in a novel fungal catabolic pathway. *J. Mol. Biol.* 295:1073–1087. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/jmbi.1999.3393>.
14. Gojkovic Z, Sandrini MPB, Piskur J. 2001. Eukaryotic beta-alanine synthases are functionally related but have a high degree of structural diversity. *Genetics* 158:999–1011.
15. Schnackerz KD, Andersen G, Dobritzsch D, Piskur J. 2008. Degradation

- of pyrimidines in *Saccharomyces kluyveri*: transamination of beta-alanine. *Nucleosides Nucleotides Nucleic Acids* 27:794–799. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/15257770802145983>.
16. Andersen G, Merico A, Bjornberg O, Andersen B, Schnackerz KD, Dobritzsch D, Piskur J, Compagno C. 2006. Catabolism of pyrimidines in yeast: a tool to understand degradation of anticancer drugs. *Nucleosides Nucleotides Nucleic Acids* 25:991–996. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/15257770600889386>.
 17. Andersen G, Bjornberg O, Polakova S, Pynyaha Y, Rasmussen A, Moller K, Hofer A, Moritz T, Sandrini MPB, Merico AM, Compagno C, Akerlund HE, Gojkovic Z, Piskur J. 2008. A second pathway to degrade pyrimidine nucleic acid precursors in eukaryotes. *J. Mol. Biol.* 380:656–666. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2008.05.029>.
 18. Bjornberg O, Vodnala M, Domkin V, Hofer A, Rasmussen A, Andersen G, Piskur J. 2010. Ribosylurea accumulates in yeast *urc4* mutants. *Nucleosides Nucleotides Nucleic Acids* 29:433–437. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/15257771003741265>.
 19. Kim KS, Pelton JG, Inwood WB, Andersen U, Kustu S, Wemmer DE. 2010. The Rut pathway for pyrimidine degradation: novel chemical features and toxicity problems. *J. Bacteriol.* 192:4089–4102. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JB.00201-10>.
 20. Cliften P, Sudarsanam P, Desikan A, Fulton L, Fulton B, Majors J, Waterston R, Cohen BA, Johnston M. 2003. Finding functional features in *Saccharomyces* genomes by phylogenetic footprinting. *Science* 301:71–76. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1084337>.
 21. Genolevures C, Souciet JL, Dujon B, Gaillardin C, Johnston M, Baret PV, Cliften P, Sherman DJ, Weissenbach J, Westhof E, Wincker P, Jubin C, Poulain J, Barbe V, Segurens B, Artiguenave F, Anthouard V, Vacherie B, Val ME, Fulton RS, Minx P, Wilson R, Durrens P, Jean G, Marck C, Martin T, Nikolski M, Rolland T, Seret ML, Casaregola S, Despons L, Fairhead C, Fischer G, Lafontaine I, Leh V, Lemaire M, de Montigny J, Neuveglise C, Thierry A, Blanc-Lenfle I, Bleykasten C, Diffels J, Fritsch E, Frangeul L, Goeffon A, Jauniaux N, Kachouri-Lafond R, Payen C, Potier S, Pribylova L, Ozanne C, Richard GF, Sacerdot C, Straub ML, Talla E. 2009. Comparative genomics of protoplid *Saccharomycetaceae*. *Genome Res.* 19:1696–1709. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/gr.091546.109>.
 22. Elberry HM, Majumdar ML, Cunningham TS, Sumrada RA, Cooper TG. 1993. Regulation of the urea active transporter gene (*DUR3*) in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *J. Bacteriol.* 175:4688–4698.
 23. Fujisawa H, Nagata S, Misono H. 2003. Characterization of short-chain dehydrogenase/reductase homologues of *Escherichia coli* (YdfG) and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (YMR226C). *Biochim. Biophys. Acta Proteins Proteomics* 1645:89–94. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1570-9639\(02\)00533-2](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1570-9639(02)00533-2).
 24. Yang Y, Zhu D, Piegat TJ, Hua L. 2007. Enzymatic ketone reduction: mapping the substrate profile of a short-chain alcohol dehydrogenase (YMR226c) from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Tetrahedron Asymmetry* 18:1799–1803. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tetasy.2007.08.008>.
 25. Agier N, Romano OM, Touzain F, Cosentino Lagomarsino M, Fischer G. 2013. The spatiotemporal program of replication in the genome of *Lachancea kluyveri*. *Genome Biol. Evol.* 5:370–388. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/gbe/evt014>.
 26. Tsankov AM, Thompson DA, Socha A, Regev A, Rando OJ. 2010. The role of nucleosome positioning in the evolution of gene regulation. *PLoS Biol.* 8:e1000414. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1000414>.
 27. Marzluf GA. 1997. Genetic regulation of nitrogen metabolism in the fungi. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* 61:17–32.
 28. Gojković Z. 1999. Degradation of pyrimidines in yeast *Saccharomyces kluyveri*: genetic and molecular characterization of a novel fungal catabolic pathway. Ph.D. dissertation. University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark.
 29. Watt S, Mata J, Lopez-Maury L, Marguerat S, Burns G, Bahler J. 2008. *urg1*: a uracil-regulatable promoter system for fission yeast with short induction and repression times. *PLoS One* 3:e1428. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0001428>.
 30. Vickers MF, Yao SYM, Baldwin SA, Young JD, Cass CE. 2000. Nucleoside transporter proteins of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Demonstration of a transporter (*FUI1*) with high uridine selectivity in plasma membranes and a transporter (*FUN26*) with broad nucleoside selectivity in intracellular membranes. *J. Biol. Chem.* 275:25931–25938. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M000239200>.
 31. Jund R, Chevallier MR, Lacroute F. 1977. Uracil transport in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *J. Membr. Biol.* 36:233–235. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01868153>.
 32. Grenson M. 1969. The utilization of exogenous pyrimidines and the recycling of uridine-5'-phosphate derivatives in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, as studied by means of mutants affected in pyrimidine uptake and metabolism. *Eur. J. Biochem.* 11:249–260. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1432-1033.1969.tb00767.x>.
 33. Uemura T, Kashiwagi K, Igarashi K. 2007. Polyamine uptake by *DUR3* and *SAM3* in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *J. Biol. Chem.* 282:7733–7741. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M611105200>.
 34. Filling C, Berndt KD, Benach J, Knapp S, Prozorovski T, Nordling E, Ladenstein R, Jornvall H, Oppermann U. 2002. Critical residues for structure and catalysis in short-chain dehydrogenases/reductases. *J. Biol. Chem.* 277:25677–25684. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M202160200>.