

Washington University School of Medicine Digital Commons@Becker

Open Access Publications

2008

PPAR genomics and pharmacogenomics: Implications for cardiovascular disease

Sharon Cresci

Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis

Follow this and additional works at: http://digitalcommons.wustl.edu/open_access_pubs

 Part of the [Medicine and Health Sciences Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Cresci, Sharon, "PPAR genomics and pharmacogenomics: Implications for cardiovascular disease." PPAR Research.2008,. Article ID 374549. (2008).

http://digitalcommons.wustl.edu/open_access_pubs/991

This Open Access Publication is brought to you for free and open access by Digital Commons@Becker. It has been accepted for inclusion in Open Access Publications by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons@Becker. For more information, please contact engeszer@wustl.edu.

Review Article

PPAR Genomics and Pharmacogenomics: Implications for Cardiovascular Disease

Sharon Cresci

Department of Medicine, Washington University School of Medicine, Washington University, Saint Louis, MO 63110, USA

Correspondence should be addressed to Sharon Cresci, scresci@im.wustl.edu

Received 14 August 2007; Accepted 12 December 2007

Recommended by Giulia Chinetti

The peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors (PPARs) consist of three related transcription factors that serve to regulate a number of cellular processes that are central to cardiovascular health and disease. Numerous pharmacologic studies have assessed the effects of specific PPAR agonists in clinical trials and have provided insight into the clinical effects of these genes while genetic studies have demonstrated clinical associations between PPAR polymorphisms and abnormal cardiovascular phenotypes. With the abundance of data available from these studies as a background, PPAR pharmacogenetics has become a promising and rapidly advancing field. This review focuses on summarizing the current state of understanding of PPAR genetics and pharmacogenetics and the important implications for the individualization of therapy for patients with cardiovascular diseases.

Copyright © 2008 Sharon Cresci. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

1. INTRODUCTION

PPAR-alpha (PPAR α), PPAR-beta/delta (PPAR β/δ), and PPAR-gamma (PPAR γ) are nuclear hormone receptor transcription factor proteins encoded by similarly named genes (*PPARA*; *PPARD*; *PPARG*) [1, 2]. Each of the PPARs has multiple promoters and more than one isoform, resulting from alternate splicing, alternative transcription start sites or both [3–5]. The PPARs have distinct, but overlapping, tissue expression patterns and act to coordinately regulate multiple metabolic pathways [1, 2].

PPAR α is highly expressed in the heart, liver, and skeletal muscles [2]. In these tissues, PPAR α is the central regulator of genes involved in fatty acid metabolism and appears to mediate the balance between cellular fatty acid and glucose metabolism, particularly at times of metabolic or physiologic stress, such as myocardial ischemia, hypertrophy, heart failure, and insulin resistance [6–15]. In addition, PPAR α is involved in the energy substrate and fiber-type switches that occur in skeletal muscle as a result of conditioning [16] and is involved in the inflammatory response during vascular atherosclerosis [17–19].

PPAR γ is highly expressed in both white and brown adipocytes [2, 20, 21]. PPAR γ controls adipocyte lipid storage and release and is an important mediator of insulin sensi-

tivity [22, 23]. In addition, PPAR γ regulates adipocyte release of adipokines including tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF α), angiotensinogen (AGT), interleukin-6 (IL-6), and plasminogen activator inhibitor type 1 (PAI-1) [24].

PPAR β/δ , also known as nuclear hormone receptor 1 (NUC 1) or fatty acid-activated receptor (FAAR), is ubiquitously expressed but is expressed at higher levels in the brain, adipose tissue, and skin [2, 25]. PPAR β/δ is thought to be critically important in adipocyte and skeletal muscle fatty acid oxidation and is another important mediator of insulin sensitivity [26–28]. PPAR β/δ appears to also be involved in obesity [26–28] and in preventing myocardial hypertrophy via NF- κ B inhibition [29–31].

The PPARs are able to bind many different ligands including metabolic intermediates (fatty acids), pharmacologic agents (fibrates, thiazolidinediones), and natural herbs (green tea) [32–36]. In the presence of ligand, PPARs bind to their cognate regulatory elements as a heterodimer with retinoid X receptor α [37]. Ligand binding causes a conformational change that results in the recruitment of coactivators and increased transcriptional activation of target genes [34, 35, 38, 39].

There is considerable clinical association data linking polymorphisms of *PPARA*, *PPARD*, and *PPARG* with cardiovascular disease (coronary and carotid atherosclerosis, left

TABLE 1

	SNP	rs number
PPARA	Leu162Val	rs1800206
	Val227Ala	rs1800234
	IVS7 2498	rs4253778
	IVS7 1343	rs4253776
PPARG	Pro12Ala	rs1801282
	25,506 C > T	rs2028759
	54,347 C > T	rs3856806
PPARD	-87 T > C	rs9658134
	-4,401 C > T	rs2038068
	-48,444 C > T	rs6902123

ventricular hypertrophy) and cardiovascular risk factors (incidence of type 2 diabetes mellitus (DM), obesity, insulin resistance, and abnormal lipid profiles) in populations of diverse ethnicity. There is less data on PPAR pharmacogenetics, but the field is rapidly growing and of considerable interest to many investigators. PPAR pharmacogenetics of fibrates (gemfibrozil, fenofibrate, and bezafibrate), thiazolidinediones or glitazones (troglitazone, pioglitazone, and rosiglitazone), statins, and acarbose have particular relevance to cardiovascular disease.

This review will discuss several significant PPAR genetic and pharmacogenetic associations that have been observed with respect to cardiovascular disease (Table 1 provides the rs number for each SNP discussed in this review). Understanding the current state of PPAR genetics and pharmacogenetics may have important implications for the future individualization of therapy for patients with cardiovascular disease.

2. PPARA

2.1. PPARA Leu162Val genetic associations

2.1.1. Dyslipidemias

PPARA Leu162Val is a polymorphism located in the DNA binding region of PPAR α that confers differential ligand-mediated activation of PPAR α in vitro [40, 41]. Investigators from several clinical studies have observed that carriers of the PPARA Val162 allele, compared to PPARA Leu162 homozygotes, have significantly higher concentrations of serum triglycerides, total cholesterol, LDL cholesterol, and apolipoprotein (apo) B and apoC-III. However, there have been exceptions, and not all studies have found an association with all five serum lipids [41–45]. The larger trial findings, as well as the studies that have negative findings, will be discussed here.

Recently, the association of the PPARA Leu162Val polymorphism with serum lipid levels was investigated in 5799 individuals from the Inter99 cohort, a Danish cohort targeted for identifying parameters affecting participation in a diet and exercise intervention in the general population [46]. In this cohort, individuals homozygous for the PPARA Val162 allele, compared to PPARA Leu162 allele carriers, demonstrated a 70% greater mean fasting serum triglyc-

eride level (2.2 mmol/L (195 mg/dL) versus 1.3 mmol/L (115 mg/dL), resp.; $P = .007$) and a greater mean fasting serum total cholesterol levels (6.2 mmol/L (240 mg/dL) versus 5.5 mmol/L (213 mg/dL), resp.; $P = .01$) [45].

These findings confirmed previous observations in 2373 participants of the Framingham Offspring Study. When the association of the PPARA Leu162Val polymorphism with variation in lipid levels was investigated in these subjects, PPARA Val162 carriers, compared to PPARA Leu162 homozygotes, had significantly increased serum concentrations of total cholesterol in men ($P = .0012$), LDL cholesterol in men ($P = .0004$), apoC-III in men ($P = .009$), and apoB in men and women ($P = .009$ and $.03$, resp.) [44]. These same investigators went on to demonstrate that the association of the PPARA Leu 162Val polymorphism on plasma triglycerides and apoC-III concentrations was more complex and depended on the person's regular dietary polyunsaturated fatty acid intake. PPARA Val162 allele carriers that had a low polyunsaturated fatty acid intake (<6% of calories) had greater serum triglyceride and apoC-III concentrations, compared to PPARA Leu162 homozygotes, whereas PPARA Val162 allele carriers that had a high polyunsaturated fatty acid intake had lower triglyceride and apoC-III concentrations, compared to PPARA Leu162 homozygotes [47].

Other studies have also investigated the association of the PPARA Leu162Val polymorphism with serum lipid response to diets of different fat composition. Tanaka et al. studied 59 healthy male students fed a single high-fat meal (60% calories as fat (63% saturated fatty acids, 33% monounsaturated fatty acids, and 4% polyunsaturated fatty acids); 15% calories as protein; and 25% calories as carbohydrate) following a 12-hour fast [48]. PPARA Val162 allele carriers had significantly higher fasting (baseline) total cholesterol, LDL cholesterol, and apoB levels, compared to Leu162 homozygotes and this variation in serum lipids was maintained after the high-fat meal [48]. No significant association of the PPARA Leu162Val polymorphism with serum triglyceride concentrations (either fasting or postprandial) was observed (apoCIII was not measured) [48]. Paradis et al. investigated the association of the PPARA Leu162Val polymorphism with serum lipid response in ten PPARA Val162 allele carriers and ten age and body mass index-matched PPARA Leu162 homozygotes subjected to a high polyunsaturated fat followed by a low polyunsaturated fat diet [49]. At baseline, the PPARA Leu162Val polymorphism was not associated with variation in serum lipids [49]. After the high polyunsaturated fat diet, PPARA Val162 allele carriers had a significant decrease in plasma apoA-I levels, total cholesterol, and LDL cholesterol (small particles), compared to the PPARA Leu162 homozygotes (who demonstrated an increase in plasma apoA-I levels, total cholesterol, and LDL cholesterol (small particles): $P = .02$, $P = .07$ and $P = .08$, resp.) [49].

In contrast to the aforementioned studies, when the association of the PPARA Leu162Val polymorphism with variations in serum lipids was investigated in 3012 healthy middle-aged men in the second Northwick Park Health Study (NPHS2, Northwick, UK), no association of the PPARA Leu162Val polymorphism with serum lipids at

baseline, or in response to therapy, was found [50]. Although it was a smaller study, the Lipid Coronary Angiography Trial (LOCAT), a clinical trial of 395 postcoronary bypass men, with an HDL cholesterol ≤ 1.1 mmol/L and LDL cholesterol ≤ 4.5 mmol/L that investigated the progression of coronary atherosclerosis in response to lipid lowering therapy with gemfibrozil, [51, 52] also found no association between the *PPARA* Leu162Val polymorphism and serum lipids either at baseline, or in response to therapy [50].

2.1.2. Coronary atherosclerosis

As discussed above, LOCAT found no association of the *PPARA* Leu162Val polymorphism with variations in serum lipids [50]. However, this study did observe that carriers of the *PPARA* Val162 allele showed significantly less progression of atherosclerosis in both gemfibrozil-treated and untreated groups [50]. No pharmacogenetic (i.e., treatment by genotype) interaction was found [50].

2.2. *PPARA* Leu162Val pharmacogenetic associations

2.2.1. Response to gemfibrozil

The Helsinki Heart Study (Helsinki, Finland) was a primary prevention trial that demonstrated that randomization to treatment with gemfibrozil resulted in a 34% reduction in cumulative cardiac events and a 26% reduction in cardiac mortality [53, 54]. Subgroup analysis demonstrated that overweight men with body mass index between 27–40 kg/m² had the largest reduction in cardiac events in response to gemfibrozil in the Helsinki Heart study [55]. Given that the greatest response to gemfibrozil was observed in this group, the association between genetic variation in the *PPARA* Leu162Val polymorphism and the response to gemfibrozil was investigated in 63 abdominally obese men in a randomized placebo-controlled trial [56]. After 6 months of treatment, carriers of the *PPARA* Val162 allele demonstrated a 50% increase in HDL₂ cholesterol compared to *PPARA* Leu162 allele homozygotes who only had a 5.5% increase ($P = .03$) [56]. The *PPARA* Leu162Val was responsible for 7% of the variance of the change in HDL₂ cholesterol and there was a significant genotype-by-treatment interaction between the *PPARA* Leu162Val polymorphism and the increase in HDL₂ cholesterol [56].

The Veterans Affairs High-Density Lipoprotein Intervention Trial (VA-HIT) study of patients with known ischemic heart disease, selected for low levels of HDL cholesterol (mean of 32 mg/dL), demonstrated that randomization to gemfibrozil therapy resulted in a 22% reduction in relative risk of coronary events and a 31% reduction in cerebral vascular events [57–59]. In VA-HIT, the subgroup that benefited the most in reduction of cardiovascular events in response to gemfibrozil were those patients that had DM or insulin resistance [60, 61]. Given that this group had demonstrated the greatest response, the association between genetic variation in the *PPARA* Leu162Val polymorphism and the response to gemfibrozil was investigated [62]. VA-HIT patients with DM or insulin resistance treated with gemfibrozil who

were *PPARA* Leu162 homozygotes had a greater absolute reduction in cardiovascular events (12.1% reduction compared to treatment with placebo; $P = .06$) compared to carriers of the *PPARA* Val162 allele who had a nonsignificant reduction (9.9% compared to treatment with placebo; $P = .28$) [62]. Furthermore, in VA-HIT patients without DM or insulin resistance, carriers of the Val162 allele had a significant increase in cardiovascular events in response to gemfibrozil (7% increase compared to treatment with placebo; $P = .01$) [62].

2.2.2. Response to fenofibrate

The Genetics of Lipid Lowering Drugs and Diet Network (GOLDN) study investigated the response to fenofibrate (160 mg) for ≥ 21 days in 791 men and women enrolled in The Family Heart Study (FHS, a multicenter, family pedigree study aimed to identify genetic and environmental risk factors of cardiovascular disease) [63]. Overall, there was a 37 mg/dL reduction in fasting serum triglyceride levels after treatment with fenofibrate (the average of two separate measurements obtained prior to treatment and at the end of treatment were used). Although only reported in abstract form to date, variation in *PPARA* Leu162Val polymorphism was significantly associated with fasting triglyceride level response to fenofibrate treatment [64]. Individuals homozygous for the *PPARA* Val162 allele had a 73 mg/dL reduction in their fasting triglyceride response to fenofibrate compared to *PPARA* Leu162Val heterozygotes (46 mg/dL reduction) and *PPARA* 162Leu homozygotes (53 mg/dL reduction; $P < .0001$) [64].

2.3. *PPARA* Val227Ala genetic associations

2.3.1. Dyslipidemias

PPARA Val227Ala is a polymorphism located between the DNA binding and ligand binding domain of *PPAR* α . This region is thought to be important in heterodimerization but in vitro experiments confirming a functional difference in alleles have not yet been performed [65]. The association of the *PPARA* Val227Ala polymorphism with serum lipid levels was investigated in a study of 401 healthy Japanese individuals presenting to medical clinic for routine health care [65]. After adjustment for age and body mass index, female carriers of the Val227 allele had significantly lower serum total cholesterol ($P = .046$) and triglyceride levels ($P = .038$) compared to Ala227 homozygotes [65]. Male carriers of the Val227 allele also had lower serum total cholesterol and triglyceride levels compared to Ala227 homozygotes, but the differences were not significant ($P = .30$ and $.54$, resp.) [65].

Recently, the finding of this small study was confirmed in 2899 Chinese individuals from the 1998 Singapore National Health Survey (NHS98) [66]. Women *PPARA* Ala227 allele carriers had significantly lower serum total cholesterol ($P = .047$) and triglyceride levels ($P = .048$), compared to *PPARA* Val227 homozygotes, and men had lower levels that were, again, not significant ($P = .65$ and $.12$, resp.) [66]. In addition to these findings, this study also found a significant interaction between the *PPARA* Val227Ala polymorphism and

serum HDL cholesterol levels in response to dietary polyunsaturated fatty acid intake in women suggesting a gene-environment interaction (P -value for interaction = .049) [66]. Specifically, the authors found that, in women who were *PPARA* Ala227 allele carriers, increasing dietary polyunsaturated fatty acid intake resulted in lower serum HDL cholesterol levels. This result was in contrast to male *PPARA* Ala227 allele carriers, who had an increase in serum HDL cholesterol levels, and women who were *PPARA* Val227 homozygotes, who demonstrated less lowering [66].

2.4. *PPARA* IVS7 2498 G > C genetic associations

2.4.1. Coronary atherosclerosis

PPARA IVS7 2498 is a polymorphism located in intron 7 of *PPARA*. The functional significance of this polymorphism has remained elusive but significant clinical associations have been found with this polymorphism. In LOCAT, *PPARA* IVS7 2498 (designated “*PPARA* intron 7 G/C polymorphism” in the publication) C allele carriers had a significantly greater progression of coronary atherosclerosis compared with GG homozygotes [50]. No pharmacogenetic interaction was noted [50]. When the association of *PPARA* IVS7 2498 polymorphism with coronary atherosclerosis was investigated in 3,012 healthy middle-aged men in NPHS2, *PPARA* IVS7 2498 CC homozygotes showed a trend toward greater incidence of ischemic events (myocardial infarction (MI) or coronary revascularization) (HR 1.83; 95% CI 0.96–3.51; $P = .07$) compared to *PPARA* IVS7 2498 CG heterozygotes and *PPARA* IVS7 2498 GG homozygotes [50].

2.4.2. Left ventricular hypertrophy

The *PPARA* IVS7 2498 (designated “*PPARA* intron 7 G/C polymorphism” in the publication) has also been associated with physiologic left ventricular hypertrophy in 144 young male British army recruits undergoing a rigorous ten-week exercise program (mixed upper and lower body strength and endurance training) [67]. This polymorphism has also been associated with pathologic left ventricular hypertrophy in 1148 hypertensive men and women enrolled in an echocardiography substudy of the third monitoring trends and determinants in cardiovascular disease (MONICA) Augsburg study [67]. In both studies, the *PPARA* IVS7 2498 C allele was significantly associated with increased LV mass index [67].

2.5. *PPARA* IVS7 2498 G > C pharmacogenetic associations

2.5.1. Response to fenofibrate

The Diabetes Atherosclerosis Intervention Study (DAIS) was designed to investigate if fenofibrate treatment of relatively mild dyslipidemia in 418 patients with type 2DM would be associated with less progression of coronary atherosclerosis after treatment for at least 3 years with fenofibrate [68]. DAIS found that fenofibrate reduced the progression of angiographic coronary artery disease [69], the progression of

microalbuminuria (an early marker of diabetic nephropathy, and an independent risk factor for cardiovascular disease) [70]; and although not powered to examine clinical events, there were fewer in the fenofibrate group compared to the placebo group [69]. Given these findings, the association between genetic variation in the *PPARA* IVS7 2498 polymorphism (designated “*PPARA* intron 7 G/C polymorphism” in the publication) and response to fenofibrate in DAIS was investigated [71]. DAIS subjects were divided into high responders (greater than 30% reduction, chosen because 30% was the mean reduction in DAIS) and low responders (less than 30% reduction) in their plasma triglyceride levels and the prevalence of *PPARA* IVS7 2498 genotype in the two groups was assessed [71]. Of the 85 high responders (55% of population), there was a significantly different prevalence of *PPARA* IVS7 2498 GG homozygotes (84.7%) when compared to the low responders (68.6%; $P < .05$) [71]. In stepwise logistic regression analysis, the best independent predictors of response to fenofibrate treatment were baseline triglyceride level and *PPARA* IVS7 2498 genotype (*PPARA* IVS7 2498 GG versus C allele carriers response to fenofibrate: OR 3.1; 95% CI 1.28–7.52; $P = .012$) [71].

2.5.2. Response to acarbose

Investigators from the STOP-NIDDM trial were interested in whether *PPARA* polymorphisms would be associated with the conversion to type 2DM in response to acarbose in patients with impaired glucose tolerance [72, 73]. They investigated this association with 11 SNPs located from exon 1 to exon 8 of *PPARA* and found that in the acarbose-treated group, *PPARA* IVS7 2498 (designated “rs4253778” in the publication) CC homozygotes had a 2.7-fold risk of developing type 2DM (95% CI 1.14–6.79; $P = .03$) [74]. *PPARA* IVS7 1343 (designated “rs4253776” in the publication), a SNP located 1,155 nucleotides upstream of *PPARA* IVS7 2498 and in moderate LD with *PPARA* IVS7 2498 (r^2 of 0.565 in this population), also had an association with the development of type 2DM [74]. *PPARA* IVS7 1343 G allele carriers had a 1.7-fold increased risk of developing type 2DM (95% CI 1.04–2.88; $P = .04$) and a significant treatment by genotype interaction was observed [74].

3. *PPARG*

3.1. *PPARG* Pro12Ala genetic associations

3.1.1. Metabolic traits and the development of type 2DM

The *PPARG* Pro12Ala polymorphism is in exon B of *PPARG* which is specific to *PPAR* γ 2, the *PPAR* γ isoform restricted to adipose tissue [75]. In vitro experiments have demonstrated that, compared to the *PPARG* Pro12 variant, the *PPARG* Ala12 variant has lower binding affinity for a *PPAR* responsive element and decreased *PPAR* γ -activation of a reporter construct in response to ligand [75]. The *PPARG* Pro12Ala polymorphism has been the most investigated *PPAR* polymorphism.

The association of the *PPARG* Pro12Ala polymorphism with metabolic traits and the risk/development of DM has been investigated in individuals of all ages and of different ethnicities including Chinese and Japanese individuals in the Hypertension and Insulin Resistance (SAPPHIRE) study, [76], Iranian individuals [77], obese Italian children, [78] middle-aged and elderly Finns, [75] and Spanish women [79]. Although most of these studies (including the ones mentioned here) report that *PPARG* Ala12 allele carriers have increased insulin sensitivity compared to *PPARG* Pro12 homozygotes, a recent meta-analysis of 57 studies reported that this association only held for certain subgroups [80]. When *PPARG* Ala12 allele carriers were compared to *PPARG* Pro12 homozygotes, only the obese subgroup demonstrated increased insulin sensitivity [80]. However, when *PPARG* Ala12 homozygotes were compared to *PPARG* Pro12 homozygotes (full genotype information that allowed this analysis was only available in 12 of the 57 studies), the association of the *PPARG* Ala12 allele with increased insulin sensitivity was more evident in all groups [80].

More recently, the association of the *PPARG* Pro12Ala polymorphism with metabolic traits and the risk of developing hyperglycemia over 6 years was investigated in 3,914 French Caucasians in the Data From an Epidemiological Study on the Insulin Resistance Syndrome (DESIR) cohort (of note, this study was not included in the meta-analysis as it was published after the meta-analysis was submitted) [81]. At baseline, *PPARG* Ala12 allele carriers had significantly lower fasting insulin and insulin resistance as determined by homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance ($P = .001$, compared to *PPARG* Pro12 homozygotes) [81]. After 6 years of follow up, *PPARG* Ala12 allele carriers had significantly less increase in fasting insulin ($P = .007$, compared to *PPARG* Pro12 homozygotes) and insulin resistance ($P = .018$, compared to *PPARG* Pro12 homozygotes) [81]. In addition, after 6 years of follow up, *PPARG* Ala12 allele carriers who were normoglycemic at baseline ($n = 3,498$) had significantly less hyperglycemia, compared to compared to *PPARG* Pro12 homozygotes [81].

This data, as well as very recent data from 3,548 individuals in the diabetes prevention program (DPP) [82] confirmed two earlier meta-analyses (of the literature available at time of each meta-analysis publication) [83, 84]. This large study reported that *PPARG* Pro12 homozygotes had a 1.2-fold increased risk of developing type 2 DM (95% CI 0.99–1.57; $P = .07$) compared to *PPARG* Ala12 allele carriers [85]. This relative risk matched the 1.2-fold risk found in both meta-analyses ($P = .002$ in the meta-analysis performed by Altshuler et al.) [83, 84].

3.1.2. Coronary and carotid atherosclerosis

Several studies have investigated the association of the *PPARG* Pro12Ala polymorphism with coronary artery disease and/or myocardial ischemic events, however, some have yielded contradictory results [86–88]. 14,916 men enrolled in the Physicians' Health Study [89] were followed for a mean

of 13.2 years and the association between *PPARG* Pro12Ala polymorphism and MI was assessed [88]. *PPARG* Pro12Ala genotype was compared in 523 individuals who developed an MI, and 2,092 who did not show evidence of an MI [88]. Of those individuals who developed an MI, the frequency of *PPARG* Ala12 allele carriers was significantly less than in the controls, with a decreased risk of subsequent MI (hazard ratio HR = 0.77; 95% CI 0.60–0.98; $P = .034$) [88]. This relationship held even after controlling for traditional cardiac risk factors.

In contrast, a study of 2,016 patients with type 2 DM from the genetic portion of the continually updated dataset known as the Diabetes Audit and Research in Tayside Scotland database (Go-DARTS) [87], a borderline, nonsignificant association of the *PPARG* Ala12 allele carriers with nonfatal MI or revascularization (HR 0.54; 95%CI 0.27–1.08; $P = 0.08$, compared to *PPARG* Pro12 homozygotes) was observed for the entire group. Subgroup analysis demonstrated a significant association if patients younger than 70 years old at time of enrollment were assessed separately (HR 0.43; CI 0.18–0.99; $P = .05$) or if patients younger than 70 year old at time of enrollment with no prior history of stroke, MI, or revascularization were evaluated for time to first event (HR 0.21; CI 0.06–0.69; $P = .01$) [87].

When the association of *PPARG* Pro12Ala polymorphism with the risk of coronary artery disease was assessed prospectively in women enrolled in the Nurses' Health Study (8 years mean follow up) and in men (6 years mean follow up) enrolled in the Health Professionals Follow-Up Study (HPSF) [86], carriers of the *PPARG* Ala12 allele again had an increased risk of MI [86]. 249 women and 266 men with MI were compared to nested case-controls and matched for age, smoking status, and phlebotomy date [86]. Men carriers of the *PPARG* Ala12 allele had an increased risk of MI or cardiac death (RR = 1.44; CI 1.00–2.07; $P = .05$) [86]. There was no statistical difference in nonfatal MI or cardiac death in women carriers of the *PPARG* Ala12 allele (RR = 1.17; CI 0.82–1.68; $P = .39$) [86]. When data were pooled for men and women, carriers of the *PPARG* Ala12 allele had an increase risk of MI or cardiac death (RR = 1.30; CI 1.00–1.67; $P = .05$) and, when stratified by body weight, men and women with a body mass index ≥ 25 kg/m² had a 1.68-fold increase in risk (CI 1.13–2.50; $P = .01$) [86].

A study of 267 Korean individuals (158 males and 109 females) referred for coronary angiography for chest pain, found no significant association between the *PPARG* Pro12Ala polymorphism and prevalence or severity of coronary artery disease [90]. While the results from these studies may seem contradictory, there are obvious differences in study design, patient cohorts, primary end-points, and power. In addition, it is possible that geographic and ethnic differences in allele frequencies may contribute to variability in the study findings.

An association has also been observed between the *PPARG* Pro12Ala polymorphism and carotid intima media thickness [91, 92]. In two studies involving over 300 patients, carriers of the *PPARG* Ala12 allele had less carotid intima media thickness measured by B-mode ultrasound [91, 92].

3.2. *PPARG Pro12Ala pharmacogenetic associations*

3.2.1. *Response to rosiglitazone*

The *PPARG* Pro12Ala polymorphism resides in the ligand binding domain of PPAR γ and could therefore result in different affinity to bind TZDs. Variation in the *PPARG* Pro12Ala polymorphism and response to rosiglitazone was investigated in 198 men and women with type 2 DM (HbA_{1C} values between 7.5–11.5% and fasting glucoses between 140–250 mg/dL) treated with rosiglitazone for 12 weeks [93]. The decrease in fasting glucose in response to the drug was significantly greater in carriers of the *PPARG* Ala12 allele compared to *PPARG* Pro12 homozygous patients [93]. Improvement in HbA_{1C} was also significantly better in carriers of the *PPARG* Ala12 allele compared to *PPARG* Pro12 homozygous patients [93]. In addition, 86.67% of *PPARG* Ala12 allele carriers responded to rosiglitazone (defined by a greater than 15% decrease in HbA_{1C} levels and/or a greater than 20% decrease in fasting glucose level) compared to 43.72% of *PPARG* Pro12 homozygous patients ($P = .002$) [93].

3.2.2. *Response to acarbose*

Investigators from the STOP-NIDDM trial were interested in whether PPAR polymorphisms would be associated with the conversion to type 2 DM in response to acarbose in patients with impaired glucose tolerance [72, 73]. They found that women treated with acarbose homozygous for the *PPARG* Pro12 allele had increased risk of developing type 2 DM compared to *PPARG* Ala12 allele carriers treated with acarbose (OR 2.89; 95% CI 1.20–6.96; $P = .018$) but found no significant difference in the men [72]. The authors did not provide an explanation for the gender differences.

3.3. *PPARG 54,347 C > T genetic associations*

3.3.1. *Coronary atherosclerosis*

The *PPARG* 54,347 C > T polymorphism (also referred to as *PPARG* 161 C > T and *PPARG* 14,311 C > T) is a silent C > T substitution (i.e., does not cause an amino acid change in the protein) in nucleotide 161 of exon 6 [94]. No functional information on this polymorphism is available to date. The *PPARG* 54,347 C > T polymorphism has been associated with the extent of coronary artery disease by angiography [95], carotid intima media thickness [92], and incidence of MI among individuals younger than age 50 [96].

3.4. *PPARG 54,347 C > T pharmacogenetic associations*

3.4.1. *Response to fluvastatin*

The Lipoprotein and Coronary Atherosclerosis Study (LCAS) was a randomized, placebo-controlled study of 429 subjects, 35–70 years old, with at least one 30–75% diameter stenosis on coronary angiography and LDL cholesterol of 115–190 mg/dL designed to assess the regression in coronary atherosclerosis (as measured by within-patient per-

lesion change in minimal lumen diameter by quantitative coronary angiography) in response to fluvastatin [97, 98]. After 2.5 years of treatment with fluvastatin, mean LDL cholesterol was reduced by 23.9%, and change in minimal lumen diameter by quantitative coronary angiography was significantly less in the fluvastatin-treated group (0.028 mm decrease in diameter in the fluvastatin-treated group compared to 0.100 mm decrease in diameter in the placebo group; $P < .01$) [99]. Clinical event rates had a trend towards benefit in the fluvastatin-treated group but were not statistically significant [99].

Genetic variation of *PPARG* 54,347 C > T (designated “*PPARG* 161 C > T” in the publication), *PPARG* Pro12Ala, and *PPARG* 25,506 C > T as well as the association with baseline lipid parameters and response to fluvastatin was assessed in 372 individuals from LCAS [100]. *PPARG* haplotype was associated with the degree of coronary atherosclerosis (mean number of coronary lesions; $P = .026$) and changes in minimum lumen diameter ($P = .022$) in response to fluvastatin [100]. *PPARA* and *PPARD* polymorphisms were also assessed: no associations were found with *PPARA* genotype or haplotype; *PPARD* associations are discussed below [100].

3.5. *PPARG haplotype pharmacogenetic associations*

3.5.1. *Response to troglitazone*

The Troglitazone in the Prevention of Diabetes (TRIPOD) study was a placebo-controlled trial designed to test if TZD therapy could prevent the development of type 2 DM in Hispanic women with previous gestational DM [101, 102]. In this trial, the incidence of type 2 DM was decreased by 55% in the troglitazone-treated group (coincident with improvement in insulin sensitivity) compared to placebo [102]. Interestingly, 8 months after discontinuation of treatment, there remained a statistically significant difference in the development of type 2 DM between those treated with troglitazone and placebo (2.3% versus 15%; $P = .03$) [102].

In TRIPOD, 30% of women were classified as nonresponders as they were in the lowest tertile of 3 month improvement in insulin sensitivity and did not gain any protection from development of type 2 DM [102]. Although there was no association of the common, functional *PPARG* Pro12Ala polymorphism with response to troglitazone [103], there was an individual association of eight other *PPARG* polymorphisms with troglitazone response [104]. In addition, three haplotypes blocks were defined that were independently, or jointly, involved in mediating the response to troglitazone [104]. Specifically, individuals with the most common haplotype within a haplotype block starting in intron 1, containing the A2 promoter and ending within intron 2 (designated “Block 1” in the publication) had an odds ratio of 2.22 for nonresponse to troglitazone ($P = .032$), and the most common haplotype within a haplotype, located completely within intron 2 (designated “Block 2” in the publication), had an odds ratio of 4.18 for nonresponse ($P = .012$) [104]. In addition, the most common haplotype within a haplotype located in the 3' untranslated region of

PPARG (designated “Block 5” in the publication) had a borderline significant odds ratio of 0.51 for response ($P = .049$) [104].

4. *PPARD*

4.1. *PPARD* –87 T > C genetic associations

4.1.1. Dyslipidemias

The *PPARD* –87 T > C (designated “*PPARD* 294 T > C” polymorphism in the publication) was one of four polymorphisms identified by direct sequencing of the 5′ untranslated region of *PPARD* in 20 unrelated healthy subjects [105]. This polymorphism is located 87 base pairs upstream of the translation start site and 294 base pairs downstream from the transcription start site. In vitro experiments have demonstrated functional differences of the two variants and have implicated the transcriptional corepressor SP1 in contributing to the differences [106].

When the association of the *PPARD* –87 T > C polymorphism with variation in plasma lipid levels was investigated in 543 healthy men (and validated in an independent cohort of 282 healthy men), *PPARD* –87 CC homozygotes had increased plasma LDL cholesterol compared to *PPARD* –87 TT homozygotes [106].

4.1.2. Coronary atherosclerosis and cardiac events

Skogsberg et al. investigated whether the *PPARD* –87 T > C polymorphism (designated “*PPARD* 294 T > C” polymorphism in the publication) was associated with increased plasma-LDL cholesterol levels and/or increased risk of having cardiac events. In the West Of Scotland Coronary Prevention Study (WOSCOPS), a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial with the primary goal of investigating the effect of pravastatin in preventing cardiac events in patients with mild-to-moderate hypercholesterolemia (LDL cholesterol between 4.5 and 6.0 mmol/L) [107]. Although carriers of the *PPARD* –87 C allele had a significantly lower HDL cholesterol compared with the *PPARD* –87 TT homozygotes, there was no association of this polymorphism with cardiac events and no genotype-by-treatment interaction [107].

4.2. *PPARD* haplotype pharmacogenetic associations

4.2.1. Response to fluvastatin

Genetic variation of *PPARD* –87 T > C (designated “*PPARD* 294 T > C” in the publication) and *PPARD* –4401 C > T as well as the association with baseline lipid parameters and response to fluvastatin was assessed in 372 individuals from LCAS [100]. *PPARD* haplotype was associated with the degree of coronary atherosclerosis (mean number of coronary lesions) and changes in triglyceride ($P = .01$) and apoC-III ($P = .047$) levels in response to fluvastatin [100].

4.2.2. Response to acarbose

Genetic variation in six SNPs in *PPARD* in patients with impaired glucose tolerance and association with the conversion to type 2 DM in response to acarbose was investigated in the STOP-NIDDM trial [72, 73]. Women treated with acarbose carrying the C allele of *PPARD* –48,444 C > T (designated “rs6902123” in the publication) had increased risk of developing type 2 DM compared to TT homozygous women treated with acarbose (OR 2.70; 95% CI 1.44–5.30; adjusted $P = .002$) [73].

5. CONCLUSIONS

With their pleiotropic effects on lipid metabolism, glucose homeostasis, myocardial energetics, and responses to ischemia, as well as the considerable evidence linking genetic polymorphisms identified within the PPAR complex to common cardiovascular diseases, the PPAR family of transcription factors is central to the regulation of a number of key cellular pathways that impact on normal and pathologic cardiovascular physiology and thus represent very promising targets for further advances in pharmacologic intervention. Early pharmacogenetic investigations into the associations of a select few of these polymorphisms with patient responses to drug therapy have yielded important clues to commonly observed variability in both response and outcomes. Given the central role of the PPARs in critical metabolic pathways, this experience points the way to a future where knowledge of relevant PPAR genotype might be utilized to guide more appropriately tailored and individualized therapy.

REFERENCES

- [1] C. Dreyer, G. Krey, H. Keller, F. Givel, G. Helftenbein, and W. Wahli, “Control of the peroxisomal β -oxidation pathway by a novel family of nuclear hormone receptors,” *Cell*, vol. 68, no. 5, pp. 879–887, 1992.
- [2] O. Braissant, F. Fougelle, C. Scotto, M. Dauça, and W. Wahli, “Differential expression of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors (PPARs): tissue distribution of PPAR- α , - β , and - γ in the adult rat,” *Endocrinology*, vol. 137, no. 1, pp. 354–366, 1996.
- [3] C.-H. Chew, M. R. Samian, N. Najimudin, and T. S. Tengku-Muhammad, “Molecular characterisation of six alternatively spliced variants and a novel promoter in human peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor α ,” *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications*, vol. 305, no. 2, pp. 235–243, 2003.
- [4] L. Fajas, D. Auboeuf, E. Raspé, et al., “The organization, promoter analysis, and expression of the human PPAR γ gene,” *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 272, no. 30, pp. 18779–18789, 1997.
- [5] A. Fredenrich and P. A. Grimaldi, “PPAR δ : an incompletely known nuclear receptor,” *Diabetes & Metabolism*, vol. 31, no. 1, pp. 23–27, 2005.
- [6] P. M. Barger, J. M. Brandt, T. C. Leone, C. J. Weinheimer, and D. P. Kelly, “Deactivation of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor- α during cardiac hypertrophic growth,” *Journal of Clinical Investigation*, vol. 105, no. 12, pp. 1723–1730, 2000.

- [7] F. Djouadi, C. J. Weinheimer, J. E. Saffitz, et al., "A gender-related defect in lipid metabolism and glucose homeostasis in peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor α -deficient mice," *Journal of Clinical Investigation*, vol. 102, no. 6, pp. 1083–1091, 1998.
- [8] B. N. Finck, X. Han, M. Courtois, et al., "A critical role for PPAR α -mediated lipotoxicity in the pathogenesis of diabetic cardiomyopathy: modulation by dietary fat content," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 100, no. 3, pp. 1226–1231, 2003.
- [9] M. Guerre-Millo, C. Rouault, P. Poulain, et al., "PPAR- α -null mice are protected from high-fat diet-induced insulin resistance," *Diabetes*, vol. 50, no. 12, pp. 2809–2814, 2001.
- [10] J. M. Huss, F. H. Levy, and D. P. Kelly, "Hypoxia inhibits the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor α /retinoid X receptor gene regulatory pathway in cardiac myocytes: a mechanism for O₂-dependent modulation of mitochondrial fatty acid oxidation," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 276, no. 29, pp. 27605–27612, 2001.
- [11] J. M. Huss and D. P. Kelly, "Nuclear receptor signaling and cardiac energetics," *Circulation Research*, vol. 95, no. 6, pp. 568–578, 2004.
- [12] J. M. Huss and D. P. Kelly, "Mitochondrial energy metabolism in heart failure: a question of balance," *Journal of Clinical Investigation*, vol. 115, no. 3, pp. 547–555, 2005.
- [13] T. Lemberger, B. Desvergne, and W. Wahli, "Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors: a nuclear receptor signaling pathway in lipid physiology," *Annual Review of Cell and Developmental Biology*, vol. 12, pp. 335–363, 1996.
- [14] T. Lemberger, R. Saladin, M. Vázquez, et al., "Expression of the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor α gene is stimulated by stress and follows a diurnal rhythm," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 271, no. 3, pp. 1764–1769, 1996.
- [15] T. C. Leone, C. J. Weinheimer, and D. P. Kelly, "A critical role for the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor α (PPAR α) in the cellular fasting response: the PPAR α -null mouse as a model of fatty acid oxidation disorders," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 96, no. 13, pp. 7473–7478, 1999.
- [16] S. Cresci, L. D. Wright, J. A. Spratt, F. N. Briggs, and D. P. Kelly, "Activation of a novel metabolic gene regulatory pathway by chronic stimulation of skeletal muscle," *American Journal of Physiology—Cell Physiology*, vol. 270, no. 5, pp. C1413–C1420, 1996.
- [17] I. Inoue, K. Shino, S. Noji, T. Awata, and S. Katayama, "Expression of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor α (PPAR α) in primary cultures of human vascular endothelial cells," *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications*, vol. 246, no. 2, pp. 370–374, 1998.
- [18] B. Staels, W. Koenig, A. Habib, et al., "Activation of human aortic smooth-muscle cells is inhibited by PPAR α but not by PPAR γ activators," *Nature*, vol. 393, no. 6687, pp. 790–793, 1998.
- [19] D. C. Jones, X. Ding, and R. A. Daynes, "Nuclear receptor peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor α (PPAR α) is expressed in resting murine lymphocytes. The PPAR α in T and B lymphocytes is both transactivation and transrepression competent," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 277, no. 9, pp. 6838–6845, 2002.
- [20] T. Imai, R. Takakuwa, S. Marchand, et al., "Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ is required in mature white and brown adipocytes for their survival in the mouse," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 101, no. 13, pp. 4543–4547, 2004.
- [21] E. D. Rosen, P. Sarraf, A. E. Troy, et al., "PPAR γ is required for the differentiation of adipose tissue in vivo and in vitro," *Molecular Cell*, vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 611–617, 1999.
- [22] B. M. Spiegelman, "Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ : a key regulator of adipogenesis and systemic insulin sensitivity," *European Journal of Medical Research*, vol. 2, no. 11, pp. 457–464, 1997.
- [23] P. D. G. Miles, Y. Barak, W. He, R. M. Evans, and J. M. Olefsky, "Improved insulin-sensitivity in mice heterozygous for PPAR- γ deficiency," *Journal of Clinical Investigation*, vol. 105, no. 3, pp. 287–292, 2000.
- [24] R. S. Ahima and J. S. Flier, "Adipose tissue as an endocrine organ," *Trends in Endocrinology and Metabolism*, vol. 11, no. 8, pp. 327–332, 2000.
- [25] E.-Z. Amri, F. Bonino, G. Ailhaud, N. A. Abumrad, and P. A. Grimaldi, "Cloning of a protein that mediates transcriptional effects of fatty acids in preadipocytes. Homology to peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 270, no. 5, pp. 2367–2371, 1995.
- [26] J. M. Peters, S. S. T. Lee, W. Li, et al., "Growths, adipose, brain, and skin alterations resulting from targeted disruption of the mouse peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor β (δ)," *Molecular and Cellular Biology*, vol. 20, no. 14, pp. 5119–5128, 2000.
- [27] K. Matsusue, J. M. Peters, and F. J. Gonzalez, "PPAR β / δ potentiates PPAR γ -stimulated adipocyte differentiation," *The FASEB Journal*, vol. 18, no. 12, pp. 1477–1479, 2004.
- [28] T. Tanaka, J. Yamamoto, S. Iwasaki, et al., "Activation of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor δ induces fatty acid β -oxidation in skeletal muscle and attenuates metabolic syndrome," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 100, no. 26, pp. 15924–15929, 2003.
- [29] L. Cheng, G. Ding, Q. Qin, et al., "Cardiomyocyte-restricted peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor- δ deletion perturbs myocardial fatty acid oxidation and leads to cardiomyopathy," *Nature Medicine*, vol. 10, no. 11, pp. 1245–1250, 2004.
- [30] A. Planavila, J. C. Laguna, and M. Vázquez-Carrera, "Nuclear factor- κ B activation leads to down-regulation of fatty acid oxidation during cardiac hypertrophy," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 280, no. 17, pp. 17464–17471, 2005.
- [31] A. Planavila, R. Rodríguez-Calvo, M. Jové, et al., "Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor β / δ activation inhibits hypertrophy in neonatal rat cardiomyocytes," *Cardiovascular Research*, vol. 65, no. 4, pp. 832–841, 2005.
- [32] A. Berkenstam and J. Å. Gustafsson, "Nuclear receptors and their relevance to diseases related to lipid metabolism," *Current Opinion in Pharmacology*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 171–176, 2005.
- [33] G. Benoit, M. Malewicz, and T. Perlmann, "Digging deep into the pockets of orphan nuclear receptors: insights from structural studies," *Trends in Cell Biology*, vol. 14, no. 7, pp. 369–376, 2004.
- [34] Y. Zhu, L. Kan, C. Qi, et al., "Isolation and characterization of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor (PPAR) interacting protein (PRIP) as a coactivator for PPAR," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 275, no. 18, pp. 13510–13516, 2000.
- [35] R. T. Nolte, G. B. Wisely, S. Westin, et al., "Ligand binding and co-activator assembly of the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor- γ ," *Nature*, vol. 395, no. 6698, pp. 137–143, 1998.

- [36] K. Lee, "Transactivation of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor α by green tea extracts," *Journal of Veterinary Science*, vol. 5, no. 4, pp. 325–330, 2004.
- [37] S. A. Kliewer, K. Umesono, D. J. Noonan, R. A. Heyman, and R. M. Evans, "Convergence of 9-*cis* retinoic acid and peroxisome proliferator signalling pathways through heterodimer formation of their receptors," *Nature*, vol. 358, no. 6389, pp. 771–774, 1992.
- [38] C. Qi, Y. Zhu, and J. K. Reddy, "Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors, coactivators, and downstream targets," *Cell Biochemistry and Biophysics*, vol. 32, pp. 187–204, 2000.
- [39] B. M. Spiegelman, P. Puigserver, and Z. Wu, "Regulation of adipogenesis and energy balance by PPAR γ and PGC-1," *International Journal of Obesity*, vol. 24, supplement 4, pp. S8–S10, 2000.
- [40] A. Sapone, J. M. Peters, S. Sakai, et al., "The human peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor α gene: identification and functional characterization of two natural allelic variants," *Pharmacogenetics*, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 321–333, 2000.
- [41] D. M. Flavell, I. T. Pineda, Y. Jamshidi, et al., "Variation in the PPAR α gene is associated with altered function in vitro and plasma lipid concentrations in type II diabetic subjects," *Diabetologia*, vol. 43, no. 5, pp. 673–680, 2000.
- [42] C. Lacquemant, F. Lepretre, I. T. Pineda, et al., "Mutation screening of the PPAR α gene in type 2 diabetes associated with coronary heart disease," *Diabetes & Metabolism*, vol. 26, no. 5, pp. 393–401, 2000.
- [43] M.-C. Vohl, P. Lepage, D. Gaudet, et al., "Molecular scanning of the human PPAR α gene: association of the L162V mutation with hyperapobetalipoproteinemia," *Journal of Lipid Research*, vol. 41, no. 6, pp. 945–952, 2000.
- [44] E. S. Tai, S. Demissie, L. A. Cupples, et al., "Association between the PPARA L162V polymorphism and plasma lipid levels: the framingham offspring study," *Arteriosclerosis, Thrombosis, and Vascular Biology*, vol. 22, no. 5, pp. 805–810, 2002.
- [45] T. Sparsø, M. S. Hussain, G. Andersen, et al., "Relationships between the functional PPAR α Leu162Val polymorphism and obesity, type 2 diabetes, dyslipidaemia, and related quantitative traits in studies of 5799 middle-aged white people," *Molecular Genetics and Metabolism*, vol. 90, no. 2, pp. 205–209, 2007.
- [46] T. Jørgensen, K. Borch-Johnsen, T. F. Thomsen, H. Ibsen, C. Glümer, and C. Pisinger, "A randomized non-pharmacological intervention study for prevention of ischaemic heart disease: baseline results Inter99 (1)," *European Journal of Cardiovascular Prevention and Rehabilitation*, vol. 10, no. 5, pp. 377–386, 2003.
- [47] E. S. Tai, D. Corella, S. Demissie, et al., "Polyunsaturated fatty acids interact with the PPARA-L162V polymorphism to affect plasma triglyceride and apolipoprotein C-III concentrations in the framingham heart study," *Journal of Nutrition*, vol. 135, no. 3, pp. 397–403, 2005.
- [48] T. Tanaka, J. M. Ordovas, J. Delgado-Lista, et al., "Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor α polymorphisms and postprandial lipemia in healthy men," *Journal of Lipid Research*, vol. 48, no. 6, pp. 1402–1408, 2007.
- [49] A.-M. Paradis, B. Fontaine-Bisson, Y. Bossé, et al., "The peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor α Leu162Val polymorphism influences the metabolic response to a dietary intervention altering fatty acid proportions in healthy men," *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, vol. 81, no. 2, pp. 523–530, 2005.
- [50] D. M. Flavell, Y. Jamshidi, E. Hawe, et al., "Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor α gene variants influence progression of coronary atherosclerosis and risk of coronary artery disease," *Circulation*, vol. 105, no. 12, pp. 1440–1445, 2002.
- [51] M. H. Frick, M. Syväne, M. S. Nieminen, et al., "Prevention of the angiographic progression of coronary and vein-graft atherosclerosis by gemfibrozil after coronary bypass surgery in men with low levels of HDL cholesterol. Lipid Coronary Angiography Trial (LOCAT) Study Group," *Circulation*, vol. 96, no. 7, pp. 2137–2143, 1997.
- [52] M. Syväne, M.-R. Taskinen, M. S. Nieminen, et al., "A study to determine the response of coronary atherosclerosis to raising low high density lipoprotein cholesterol with a fibric-acid derivative in men after coronary bypass surgery. The rationale, design, and baseline characteristics of the LOCAT study. Lipid Coronary Angiography Trial," *Controlled Clinical Trials*, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 93–119, 1997.
- [53] M. H. Frick, O. Elo, and K. Haapa, "Helsinki heart study: primary-prevention trial with gemfibrozil in middle-aged men with dyslipidemia. Safety of treatment, changes in risk factors, and incidence of coronary heart disease," *New England Journal of Medicine*, vol. 317, no. 20, pp. 1237–1245, 1987.
- [54] V. Manninen, L. Tenkanen, P. Koskinen, et al., "Joint effects of serum triglyceride and LDL cholesterol and HDL cholesterol concentrations on coronary heart disease risk in the Helsinki heart study. Implications for treatment," *Circulation*, vol. 85, no. 1, pp. 37–45, 1992.
- [55] L. Tenkanen, M. Mänttari, and V. Manninen, "Some coronary risk factors related to the insulin resistance syndrome and treatment with gemfibrozil: experience from the Helsinki heart study," *Circulation*, vol. 92, no. 7, pp. 1779–1785, 1995.
- [56] Y. Bossé, A. Pascot, M. Dumont, et al., "Influences of the PPAR α -L162V polymorphism on plasma HDL₂-cholesterol response of abdominally obese men treated with gemfibrozil," *Genetics in Medicine*, vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 311–315, 2002.
- [57] S. J. Robins, D. Collins, J. T. Wittes, et al., "Relation of gemfibrozil treatment and lipid levels with major coronary events. VA-HIT: a randomized controlled trial," *Journal of the American Medical Association*, vol. 285, no. 12, pp. 1585–1591, 2001.
- [58] H. B. Rubins, S. J. Robins, D. Collins, et al., "Gemfibrozil for the secondary prevention of coronary heart disease in men with low levels of high-density lipoprotein cholesterol. Veterans Affairs High-Density Lipoprotein Cholesterol Intervention Trial Study Group," *New England Journal of Medicine*, vol. 341, no. 6, pp. 410–418, 1999.
- [59] H. B. Rubins, J. Davenport, V. Babikian, et al., "Reduction in stroke with gemfibrozil in men with coronary heart disease and low HDL cholesterol the Veterans Affairs HDL Intervention Trial (VA-HIT)," *Circulation*, vol. 103, no. 23, pp. 2828–2833, 2001.
- [60] S. J. Robins, H. B. Rubins, F. H. Faas, et al., "Insulin resistance and cardiovascular events with low HDL cholesterol: the Veterans Affairs HDL Intervention Trial (VA-HIT)," *Diabetes Care*, vol. 26, no. 5, pp. 1513–1517, 2003.
- [61] H. B. Rubins, S. J. Robins, D. Collins, et al., "Diabetes, plasma insulin, and cardiovascular disease: subgroup analysis from the Department of Veterans Affairs high-density lipoprotein intervention trial (VA-HIT)," *Archives of Internal Medicine*, vol. 162, no. 22, pp. 2597–2604, 2002.

- [62] E. S. Tai, D. Collins, S. J. Robins, et al., "The L162V polymorphism at the peroxisome proliferator activated receptor α locus modulates the risk of cardiovascular events associated with insulin resistance and diabetes mellitus: the Veterans Affairs HDL Intervention Trial (VA-HIT)," *Atherosclerosis*, vol. 187, no. 1, pp. 153–160, 2006.
- [63] C.-Q. Lai, D. K. Arnett, D. Corella, et al., "Fenofibrate effect on triglyceride and postprandial response of apolipoprotein A5 variants: the GOLDN study," *Arteriosclerosis, Thrombosis, and Vascular Biology*, vol. 27, no. 6, pp. 1417–1425, 2007.
- [64] D. K. Arnett, M. A. Province, I. B. Borecki, et al., "The PPAR α L162V polymorphism predicts triglyceride lowering response to fenofibrate: the GOLDN study," *Circulation*, vol. 112, no. 17, p. II-509, 2005.
- [65] K. Yamakawa-Kobayashi, H. Ishiguro, T. Arinami, R. Miyazaki, and H. Hamaguchi, "A Val227 ala polymorphism in the peroxisome proliferator activated receptor α (PPAR α) gene is associated with variations in serum lipid levels," *Journal of Medical Genetics*, vol. 39, no. 3, pp. 189–191, 2002.
- [66] E. Chan, C. S. Tan, M. Deurenberg-Yap, K. S. Chia, S. K. Chew, and E. S. Tai, "The V227A polymorphism at the PPARA locus is associated with serum lipid concentrations and modulates the association between dietary polyunsaturated fatty acid intake and serum high density lipoprotein concentrations in Chinese women," *Atherosclerosis*, vol. 187, no. 2, pp. 309–315, 2006.
- [67] Y. Jamshidi, H. E. Montgomery, H.-W. Hense, et al., "Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor α gene regulates left ventricular growth in response to exercise and hypertension," *Circulation*, vol. 105, no. 8, pp. 950–955, 2002.
- [68] G. Steiner, D. Stewart, and J. D. Hosking, "Baseline characteristics of the study population in the Diabetes Atherosclerosis Intervention Study (DAIS). World Health Organization Collaborating Centre for the Study of Atherosclerosis in Diabetes," *American Journal of Cardiology*, vol. 84, no. 9, pp. 1004–1010, 1999.
- [69] "Effect of fenofibrate on progression of coronary-artery disease in type 2 diabetes: the diabetes atherosclerosis intervention study, a randomised study," *The Lancet*, vol. 357, no. 9260, pp. 905–910, 2001.
- [70] J.-C. Ansquer, C. Foucher, S. Rattier, M.-R. Taskinen, and G. Steiner, "Fenofibrate reduces progression to microalbuminuria over 3 years in a placebo-controlled study in type 2 diabetes: results from the Diabetes Atherosclerosis Intervention Study (DAIS)," *American Journal of Kidney Diseases*, vol. 45, no. 3, pp. 485–493, 2005.
- [71] C. Foucher, S. Rattier, D. M. Flavell, et al., "Response to micronized fenofibrate treatment is associated with the peroxisome-proliferator-activated receptors α G/C intron7 polymorphism in subjects with type 2 diabetes," *Pharmacogenetics*, vol. 14, no. 12, pp. 823–829, 2004.
- [72] L. Andrulionyte, J. Zacharova, J.-L. Chiasson, and M. Laakso, "Common polymorphisms of the PPAR- γ 2 (*Pro12Ala*) and PGC-1 α (*Gly482Ser*) genes are associated with the conversion from impaired glucose tolerance to type 2 diabetes in the STOP-NIDDM trial," *Diabetologia*, vol. 47, no. 12, pp. 2176–2184, 2004.
- [73] L. Andrulionyte, P. Peltola, J.-L. Chiasson, and M. Laakso, "Single nucleotide polymorphisms of PPAR α in combination with the *Gly482Ser* substitution of PGC-1A and the *Pro12Ala* substitution of PPAR γ 2 predict the conversion from impaired glucose tolerance to type 2 diabetes: the STOP-NIDDM trial," *Diabetes*, vol. 55, no. 7, pp. 2148–2152, 2006.
- [74] L. Andrulionyte, T. Kuulasmaa, J.-L. Chiasson, and M. Laakso, "Single nucleotide polymorphisms of the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor- α gene (PPARA) influence the conversion from impaired glucose tolerance to type 2 diabetes: the STOP-NIDDM trial," *Diabetes*, vol. 56, no. 4, pp. 1181–1186, 2007.
- [75] S. S. Deeb, L. Fajas, M. Nemoto, et al., "A *Pro12Ala* substitution in PPAR γ 2 associated with decreased receptor activity, lower body mass index and improved insulin sensitivity," *Nature Genetics*, vol. 20, no. 3, pp. 284–287, 1998.
- [76] L.-M. Chuang, C. Hsiung, Y.-D. Chen, et al., "Sibling-based association study of the PPAR γ 2 *Pro12Ala* polymorphism and metabolic variables in Chinese and Japanese hypertension families: a SAPPPIRe study. Stanford Asian-Pacific Program in Hypertension and Insulin Resistance," *Journal of Molecular Medicine*, vol. 79, no. 11, pp. 656–664, 2001.
- [77] R. Meshkani, M. Taghikhani, B. Larijani, et al., "*Pro12Ala* polymorphism of the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor- γ 2 PPAR- γ 2 gene is associated with greater insulin sensitivity and decreased risk of type 2 diabetes in an Iranian population," *Clinical Chemistry and Laboratory Medicine*, vol. 45, no. 4, pp. 477–482, 2007.
- [78] R. Buzzetti, A. Petrone, A. M. Caiazzo, et al., "PPAR- γ 2 *Pro12Ala* variant is associated with greater insulin sensitivity in childhood obesity," *Pediatric Research*, vol. 57, no. 1, pp. 138–140, 2005.
- [79] J. L. González Sánchez, M. Serrano Ríos, C. Fernández Pérez, M. Laakso, and M. T. Martínez Larrad, "Effect of the *Pro12Ala* polymorphism of the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ -2 gene on adiposity, insulin sensitivity and lipid profile in the Spanish population," *European Journal of Endocrinology*, vol. 147, no. 4, pp. 495–501, 2002.
- [80] A. Tönjes, M. Scholz, M. Loeffler, and M. Stumvoll, "Association of *Pro12Ala* polymorphism in peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ with pre-diabetic phenotypes: meta-analysis of 57 studies on nondiabetic individuals," *Diabetes Care*, vol. 29, no. 11, pp. 2489–2497, 2006.
- [81] R. Jaziri, S. Lobbens, R. Aubert, et al., "The PPAR γ *Pro12Ala* polymorphism is associated with a decreased risk of developing hyperglycemia over 6 years and combines with the effect of the *APM1* G-11391A single nucleotide polymorphism: the Data from an Epidemiological Study on the Insulin Resistance Syndrome (DESIR) study," *Diabetes*, vol. 55, no. 4, pp. 1157–1162, 2006.
- [82] "The diabetes prevention program: design and methods for a clinical trial in the prevention of type 2 diabetes," *Diabetes Care*, vol. 22, no. 4, pp. 623–634, 1999.
- [83] D. Altshuler, J. N. Hirschhorn, M. Klannemark, et al., "The common PPAR γ *Pro12Ala* polymorphism is associated with decreased risk of type 2 diabetes," *Nature Genetics*, vol. 26, no. 1, pp. 76–80, 2000.
- [84] K. E. Lohmueller, C. L. Pearce, M. Pike, E. S. Lander, and J. N. Hirschhorn, "Meta-analysis of genetic association studies supports a contribution of common variants to susceptibility to common disease," *Nature Genetics*, vol. 33, no. 2, pp. 177–182, 2003.
- [85] J. C. Florez, K. A. Jablonski, M. W. Sun, et al., "Effects of the type 2 diabetes-associated PPAR γ P12A polymorphism on progression to diabetes and response to troglitazone," *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism*, vol. 92, no. 4, pp. 1502–1509, 2007.
- [86] T. Pischon, J. K. Pai, J. E. Manson, et al., "Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor- γ 2 P12A polymorphism and

- risk of coronary heart disease in US men and women," *Arteriosclerosis, Thrombosis, and Vascular Biology*, vol. 25, no. 8, pp. 1654–1658, 2005.
- [87] A. S. F. Doney, B. Fischer, G. Leese, A. D. Morris, and C. N. A. Palmer, "Cardiovascular risk in type 2 diabetes is associated with variation at the *PPARG* locus: a go-DARTS study," *Arteriosclerosis, Thrombosis, and Vascular Biology*, vol. 24, no. 12, pp. 2403–2407, 2004.
- [88] P. M. Ridker, N. R. Cook, S. Cheng, et al., "Alanine for proline substitution in the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ -2 (*PPARG2*) gene and the risk of incident myocardial infarction," *Arteriosclerosis, Thrombosis, and Vascular Biology*, vol. 23, no. 5, pp. 859–863, 2003.
- [89] "Final report on the aspirin component of the ongoing physicians' health study," *New England Journal of Medicine*, vol. 321, no. 3, pp. 129–135, 1989.
- [90] E. J. Rhee, C. H. Kwon, W. Y. Lee, et al., "No association of *Pro12Ala* polymorphism of *PPAR- γ gene with coronary artery disease in Korean subjects," *Circulation Journal*, vol. 71, no. 3, pp. 338–342, 2007.*
- [91] E. Iwata, I. Yamamoto, T. Motomura, et al., "The association of *Pro12Ala* polymorphism in *PPAR γ 2 with lower carotid artery IMT in Japanese," *Diabetes Research and Clinical Practice*, vol. 62, no. 1, pp. 55–59, 2003.*
- [92] K. Z. Al-Shali, A. A. House, A. J. G. Hanley, et al., "Genetic variation in *PPARG* encoding peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ associated with carotid atherosclerosis," *Stroke*, vol. 35, no. 9, pp. 2036–2040, 2004.
- [93] E. S. Kang, S. Y. Park, H. J. Kim, et al., "Effects of *Pro12Ala* polymorphism of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ 2 gene on rosiglitazone response in type 2 diabetes," *Clinical Pharmacology and Therapeutics*, vol. 78, no. 2, pp. 202–208, 2005.
- [94] A. Meirhaeghe, L. Fajas, N. Helbecque, et al., "A genetic polymorphism of the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ gene influences plasma leptin levels in obese humans," *Human Molecular Genetics*, vol. 7, no. 3, pp. 435–440, 1998.
- [95] X. L. Wang, J. Oosterhof, and N. Duarte, "Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ C161 \rightarrow T polymorphism and coronary artery disease," *Cardiovascular Research*, vol. 44, no. 3, pp. 588–594, 1999.
- [96] T.-H. Chao, Y.-H. Li, J.-H. Chen, et al., "The 161TT genotype in the exon 6 of the peroxisome-proliferator-activated receptor γ gene is associated with premature acute myocardial infarction and increased lipid peroxidation in habitual heavy smokers," *Clinical Science*, vol. 107, no. 5, pp. 461–466, 2004.
- [97] J. A. Herd, M. S. West, C. Ballantyne, J. Farmer, and A. M. Gotto Jr., "Baseline characteristics of subjects in the Lipoprotein and Coronary Atherosclerosis Study (LCAS) with *fluvastatin*," *American Journal of Cardiology*, vol. 73, no. 14, pp. D42–D49, 1994.
- [98] M. S. West, J. A. Herd, C. M. Ballantyne, et al., "The Lipoprotein and Coronary Atherosclerosis Study (LCAS): design, methods, and baseline data of a trial of *fluvastatin* in patients without severe hypercholesterolemia," *Controlled Clinical Trials*, vol. 17, no. 6, pp. 550–583, 1996.
- [99] J. A. Herd, C. M. Ballantyne, J. A. Farmer, et al., "Effects of *fluvastatin* on coronary atherosclerosis in patients with mild to moderate cholesterol elevations (Lipoprotein and Coronary Atherosclerosis Study [LCAS])," *American Journal of Cardiology*, vol. 80, no. 3, pp. 278–286, 1997.
- [100] S. Chen, N. Tsybouleva, C. M. Ballantyne, A. M. Gotto Jr., and A. J. Marian, "Effects of *PPAR α , γ and δ haplotypes on plasma levels of lipids, severity and progression of coronary atherosclerosis and response to statin therapy in the lipoprotein coronary atherosclerosis study," *Pharmacogenetics*, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 61–71, 2004.*
- [101] S. P. Azen, R. K. Peters, K. Berkowitz, S. Kjos, A. Xiang, and T. A. Buchanan, "TRIPOD (TROglitazone In the Prevention Of Diabetes): a randomized, placebo-controlled trial of troglitazone in women with prior gestational diabetes mellitus," *Controlled Clinical Trials*, vol. 19, no. 2, pp. 217–231, 1998.
- [102] T. A. Buchanan, A. H. Xiang, R. K. Peters, et al., "Preservation of pancreatic β -cell function and prevention of type 2 diabetes by pharmacological treatment of insulin resistance in high-risk Hispanic women," *Diabetes*, vol. 51, no. 9, pp. 2796–2803, 2002.
- [103] S. Snitker, R. M. Watanabe, I. Ani, et al., "Changes in insulin sensitivity in response to troglitazone do not differ between subjects with and without the common, functional *Pro12Ala* peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor- γ 2 gene variant: results from the troglitazone in prevention of diabetes (TRIPOD) study," *Diabetes Care*, vol. 27, no. 6, pp. 1365–1368, 2004.
- [104] J. K. Wolford, K. A. Yeatts, S. K. Dhanjal, et al., "Sequence variation in *PPARG* may underlie differential response to troglitazone," *Diabetes*, vol. 54, no. 11, pp. 3319–3325, 2005.
- [105] J. Skogsberg, K. Kannisto, L. Roshani, et al., "Characterization of the human peroxisome proliferator activated receptor δ gene and its expression," *International Journal of Molecular Medicine*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 73–81, 2000.
- [106] J. Skogsberg, K. Kannisto, T. N. Cassel, A. Hamsten, P. Eriksson, and E. Ehrenborg, "Evidence that peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor δ influences cholesterol metabolism in men," *Arteriosclerosis, Thrombosis, and Vascular Biology*, vol. 23, no. 4, pp. 637–643, 2003.
- [107] J. Skogsberg, A. D. McMahon, F. Karpe, A. Hamsten, C. J. Packard, and E. Ehrenborg, "Peroxisome proliferator activated receptor δ genotype in relation to cardiovascular risk factors and risk of coronary heart disease in hypercholesterolaemic men," *Journal of Internal Medicine*, vol. 254, no. 6, pp. 597–604, 2003.