Washington University School of Medicine Digital Commons@Becker

Open Access Publications

1-1-2004

Has the epidemiology of nosocomial candidemia changed?

Laura Puzniak Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis

Steven Teutsch *Merck and Co.*

William Powderly Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis

Louis Polish Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis

Follow this and additional works at: http://digitalcommons.wustl.edu/open_access_pubs Part of the <u>Medicine and Health Sciences Commons</u>

Recommended Citation

Puzniak, Laura; Teutsch, Steven; Powderly, William; and Polish, Louis, ,"Has the epidemiology of nosocomial candidemia changed?." Infection Control and Hospital Epidemiology.25,8. 628-633. (2004). http://digitalcommons.wustl.edu/open_access_pubs/882

This Open Access Publication is brought to you for free and open access by Digital Commons@Becker. It has been accepted for inclusion in Open Access Publications by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons@Becker. For more information, please contact engeszer@wustl.edu.





Has the Epidemiology of Nosocomial Candidemia Changed? • Author(s): Laura Puzniak , PhD, Steven Teutsch , MD, William Powderly , MD, Louis Polish , MD Reviewed work(s): Source: Infection Control and Hospital Epidemiology, Vol. 25, No. 8 (August 2004), pp. 628-633 Published by: The University of Chicago Press on behalf of The Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America Stable URL: <u>http://www.jstor.org/stable/10.1086/502452</u> Accessed: 12/04/2012 22:51

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of the Terms & Conditions of Use, available at http://www.jstor.org/page/info/about/policies/terms.jsp

JSTOR is a not-for-profit service that helps scholars, researchers, and students discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content in a trusted digital archive. We use information technology and tools to increase productivity and facilitate new forms of scholarship. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.



The University of Chicago Press and The Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America are collaborating with JSTOR to digitize, preserve and extend access to Infection Control and Hospital Epidemiology.

HAS THE EPIDEMIOLOGY OF NOSOCOMIAL CANDIDEMIA CHANGED?

Laura Puzniak, PhD; Steven Teutsch, MD; William Powderly, MD; Louis Polish, MD

ABSTRACT

OBJECTIVE: To assess changes in the epidemiology of nosocomial candidemia in the post-fluconazole era among hospitalized patients using a case–control study design.

DESIGN: Candidemia case-patients were matched 1:1 on diagnosis, age, and length of stay with control-patients. Conditional logistic regression was used to determine predictors and outcomes of candidemia. Treatment regimens and compliance with national practice guidelines were compared among case-patients.

SETTING: Barnes–Jewish Hospital, a 1,278-bed, tertiarycare center affiliated with Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, Missouri.

PARTICIPANTS: Patients admitted from January 1 to December 31, 2000. Case-patients were identified through the hospital microbiological surveillance system and matched with control-patients.

Since early reports documenting the importance of yeast as a cause of nosocomial infections, the role of fungal organisms as a cause of infections among hospitalized patients has increased substantially. Data from the National Nosocomial Infections Surveillance System for the 10-year period from 1980 to 1990 documented an increase in the incidence of all nosocomial fungal infections from 2.0 to 3.8 infections per 1,000 patients discharged, with the incidence of nosocomial fungemia increasing from 1.0 to 4.9 infections per 10,000 discharges.¹ Candida species accounted for approximately 85% of the nosocomial fungal infections.¹ Recent data from the Surveillance and Control of Pathogens of Epidemiologic Importance (SCOPE) project showed that Candida species were the fourth most common cause of nosocomial bloodstream infections, accounting for approximately 8% of the total.² C. albicans has historically been the most frequently isolated species, accounting for approximately 60% to 70% of the infections; however, recent studies have documented an increase in the proportion of infections due to non-albicans species.¹⁻¹¹ Previous studies have suggested several risk factors for the acquisition of a nosocomial candidal bloodstream

RESULTS: Predictors of candidemia included Hickman catheters (odds ratio [OR], 9.53; 95% confidence interval $[CI_{95}]$, 1.34 to 68.01), gastric acid suppressants (OR, 6.38; CI_{95} , 2.33 to 17.43), nasogastric tubes (OR, 3.69; CI_{95} , 1.27 to 10.78), antibiotics (OR, 1.46; CI_{95} , 1.15 to 1.86), and admission to the intensive care unit (OR, 6.40; CI_{95} , 2.12 to 19.31). The crude case-fatality rate was 40%. Seventeen (15%) of the case-patients received the recommended treatment regimen according to recently published practice guidelines.

CONCLUSIONS: The epidemiology of candidemia has changed little at our hospital during the past decade and remains a significant cause of mortality. Further studies on the benefits of preventive therapy will be essential to improve the outcome of this infection (*Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2004;25:628-633).

infection.² These data are somewhat limited by the fact that many of the epidemiologic studies focused on specific patient populations, were observational in nature, and were conducted prior to the era of increased use of azoles.^{2,12-18}

A retrospective case series of 106 patients with candidemia performed at our institution from September 1, 1988, to September 1, 1989, documented similar risk factors.¹⁹ Although much is known about the epidemiology of candidemia, few recent case–control studies have been performed among a general hospitalized patient population. To assess changes in the epidemiology of candidemia since azoles have become widely used, a case–control study was performed among all hospitalized patients with candidemia during 2000.

METHODS

Participants

Barnes–Jewish Hospital is a 1,287-bed, tertiary-care center affiliated with Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, Missouri. All patients admitted to Barnes–Jewish Hospital between January 1 and December 31, 2000, with at least one blood culture positive for

Drs. Puzniak, Powderly, and Polish are from the Division of Infectious Diseases, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, Missouri. Dr. Teutsch is from Merck & Co., Inc., West Point, Pennsylvania. Dr. Puzniak is also from the St. Louis County Department of Health, St. Louis, Missouri.

Address reprint requests to Laura Puzniak, PhD, St. Louis County Department of Health, 111 South Meramec St., Clayton, MO 63105. Supported by Merck & Co., Inc.

Candida species were included in the study. We defined a patient with nosocomial candidemia as any patient with at least one blood culture positive for *Candida* species that was performed at least 48 hours after admission. Casepatients were identified through our microbiological surveillance mechanism. Control-patients were selected from all admissions to Barnes–Jewish Hospital during this same period. This study was approved by the Human Studies Committee at Washington University School of Medicine.

Design

A 1:1 matched case-control study design was used to determine the risk factors associated with Candida bloodstream infections. A control-patient could not have any evidence of Candida infection during the hospitalization period studied. Matching of case-patients and controlpatients was based on the following criteria: the principal diagnosis of the case as identified by the International Classification of Diseases, 9th revision, Clinical Modification code; age within 10 years; and length of hospitalization. The length of stay in the hospital was calculated from the date of hospital admission until the date of discharge for control-patients and from the date of hospital admission until the date of the first blood culture positive for Candida for case-patients. If a control-patient could not be found to match the case-patient on principal diagnosis, secondary diagnoses were considered. The secondary diagnoses were used only if the primary diagnosis was extremely rare and the secondary diagnoses were clinically similar to the principal diagnosis.

Control-patients meeting the matching criteria were identified from hospital admissions from January 1 to December 31, 2000. Matching control-patients were randomly selected for case-patients using the random digit generator (Rand Corp., Santa Monica, CA).

Risk Factors

Data for case-patients and control-patients were abstracted from electronic hospital records, the medical informatics system, and medical chart review. Demographic data included age, race (white, black, or other), and gender. Data were collected regarding the patient's hospital stay including length of stay, intensive care unit (ICU) admission, unit of admission, and previous admissions.

Severity of illness was assessed using the Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation (APACHE) II score.²⁰ APACHE II scores were calculated for casepatients using the worst values obtained 24 hours prior to the identification of a culture positive for *Candida*. APACHE II scores were calculated for control-patients using the worst values obtained within the first 48 hours of hospital admission. If a patient had missing APACHE values, the mean value from the cases was used for casepatients and the mean value from the controls was used for control-patients.

Various underlying medical illnesses were assessed as dichotomous variables including diabetes mellitus, insulin dependence, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, solid organ tumor, hematologic malignancy, coronary artery disease, congestive heart failure, asthma, end-stage liver disease, cirrhosis and pancreatitis, human immunodeficiency virus, acquired immunodeficiency syndrome, and cytomegalovirus. In addition, data were collected regarding the receipt of a bone marrow or stem cell transplant including the type of transplant, receipt of total body irradiation, receipt of cyclophosphamide, and prophylaxis for veno-occlusive disease of the liver. Data regarding previous surgeries within a 6-month period of hospital admission were collected including type of surgery, surgical complications, and returns to surgery.

Nosocomial manipulations included type of central venous catheter (eg, Hohn, Hickman, Quentin [Kendall, Mansfield, MA], peripherally inserted central catheters, or Swan-Ganz), nasogastric feeding tube, gastrostomy tube, nasogastric tube, endotracheal tube, tracheostomy, Foley catheter, chest tube, and surgical drains. Dichotomous variables were created regarding hemodialysis and the receipt of a transfusion of red blood cells, whole blood, or platelets. Pharmacologic data were collected including the receipt and duration of antibiotics, adrenocortical steroids, immunosuppressants, chemotherapy, and gastric acid suppressants. Compliance with the practice guidelines of the Infectious Diseases Society of America for the treatment of candidemia was assessed among the case-patients.

The cost of hospitalization for each patient was obtained from the cost accounting database of Barnes– Jewish Hospital. Mean costs of hospitalization and ranges were compared between case-patients and control-patients.

Statistical Analyses

Demographics, nosocomial exposures, severity of illness, and underlying medical illness variables were compared for case-patients and matched control-patients using the chi-square test for categorical variables and the *t* test for continuous variables. Conditional logistic regression for matched data was performed for multivariate analyses with SPSS software (version 10.0; SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL). Significant risk factors (P < .05) were considered in the multivariate analyses. Interactions between all main effects were assessed in each model. Regression diagnostics were used to determine any outlying or influential cases. Log-likelihood was used to assess the fit of the model.

RESULTS

Case-Patients

During the study period, 113 patients with candidemia were identified. *C. albicans* was the most common isolate and accounted for 64 (56%) of the infections. *C. parapsilosis* (18; 16%), *C. glabrata* (17; 15%), *C. tropicalis* (14; 12%), and *C. krusei* (2; 2%) were identified among the remainder of case-patients.

Twenty-six (23%) of the patients with a blood culture positive for *Candida* on admission were excluded from the matched case–control analysis of patients with nosocomial candidemia. There were 57 patients with can-

TABLE 1Risk Factors for Candidemia

	All Species				
Factor	No. of Case- Patients (%)*	No. of Control- Patients (%)*	OR	CI ₉₅	
			-		
Previous admission APACHE II score	35 (46.7)	31 (41.3)	1.24	0.65–2.37	
	27 (40.2)	F0 (78 7)	1.00		
0% to 25% mortality	37 (49.3)	59 (78.7)	1.00	0.02.4.00	
26% to 55% mortality	21 (28.0)	14 (18.7)	2.08	0.92-4.66	
\geq 56% mortality	7 (9.3)	2 (2.7)	3.73	0.72-19.27	
Concomitant bloodstream infection	35 (46.7)	31 (41.3)	1.24	0.65-2.37	
Medical condition	15 (00.0)	21 (22.0)	0.64	0.00 1.07	
Diabetes mellitus	15 (20.0)	21 (28.0)	0.64	0.30-1.37	
Insulin dependent	7 (9.3)	17 (22.7)	0.35	0.14-0.91	
COPD	8 (10.7)	8 (10.7)	1.00	0.36-2.82	
Asthma	3 (4.0)	3 (4.0)	1.00	0.20-5.12	
ESLD	3 (4.0)	1 (1.3)	3.08	0.31-30.34	
Cirrhosis	1 (1.3)	4 (5.3)	0.24	0.03-2.20	
Pancreatitis	4 (5.3)	3 (4.0)	1.35	0.29-6.26	
CAD	21 (28.0)	8 (10.7)	3.26	1.34-7.93†	
CHF	19 (25.3)	8 (10.7)	2.84	$1.16-6.98^{\dagger}$	
Hematologic malignancy	14 (18.7)	14 (18.7)	1.00	0.44-2.27	
Nosocomial manipulation					
ICU admission	41 (54.7)	8 (10.7)	10.10	4.26-23.93*	
Neutropenia	6 (8.0)	4 (5.3)	1.54	0.42-5.7	
Central venous catheter	55 (73.3)	34 (45.3)	3.32	$1.67-6.58^{\dagger}$	
Hohn	28 (37.3)	22 (29.3)	1.44	0.73-2.84	
Hickman	13 (17.3)	2 (2.7)	7.65	1.66-35.23	
Quentin [‡]	4 (5.3)	3 (4.0)	1.35	0.29-6.26	
PICC	3 (4.0)	8 (10.7)	0.35	0.09-1.37	
Swan-Ganz	14 (18.7)	6 (8.0)	2.64	0.96-7.29	
Feeding tube	34 (45.3)	14 (18.7)	3.61	$1.73-7.56^{\dagger}$	
Nasogastric tube	43 (57.3)	12 (16.0)	7.06	3.27-15.21	
Gastrostomy	10 (13.3)	11 (14.7)	0.90	0.36 - 2.25	
Endotracheal tube	43 (57.3)	17 (22.7)	4.56	$2.26 - 9.31^{\dagger}$	
Foley catheter	55 (73.3)	35 (46.7)	3.14	$1.59-6.23^{\dagger}$	
RBC transfusion	42 (56.0)	38 (50.7)	1.24	0.65-2.36	
Platelet transfusion	20 (26.7)	9 (12.0)	2.67	$1.12-6.33^{\dagger}$	
Drug therapy					
Adrenal corticosteroids	37 (49.3)	11 (14.7)	5.67	2.59-12.40	
Antibiotics					
None	5 (6.7)	30 (40.0)	1.00		
1 to 3	29 (38.7)	30 (40.0)	5.80	1.98-16.99	
4 or more	41 (54.7)	15 (20.0)	6.81	3.06-15.14	
Immunosuppressants	17 (22.7)	8 (10.7)	2.46	0.99-6.10	
Gastric suppressants	37 (49.3)	13 (17.3)	4.64	$2.19 - 9.83^{\dagger}$	
Surgery					
Previous surgery	40 (53.3)	32 (42.7)	1.54	0.81-2.93	
Neurosurgery	2 (2.7)	0	1.54	0.60-3.98	
Cardiac	10 (13.3)	6 (8.0)	1.77	0.61-5.15	
Thoracic	10 (13.3)	5 (6.7)	2.15	0.70-6.64	
Gastrointestinal	11 (14.7)	7 (9.3)	1.67	0.61-4.57	
Obstetrics/gynecology	3 (4.0)	4 (5.3)	0.74	0.16-3.42	
Urology	3 (4.0)	4 (5.5) 0	TIN	0.10-0.42	
Orthopedic	4 (5.3)	8 (10.7)	0.47	0.14-1.64	
ENT	1 (1.3)	2 (2.7)	0.49	0.04-5.56	

TABLE 1 (cont'd)

D	-		0
RISK	FACTORS	FOR	CANDIDEMIA

	All Species				
	No. of Case-	No. of Control-			
Factor	Patients (%)	Patients (%)	OR	Cl ₉₅	
Outcome					
Mortality	29 (38.7)	3 (4.0)	15.8	$4.55 - 55.02^{\dagger}$	

OR = odds ratio; Cl₉₅ = 95% confidence interval; APACHE = Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation; COPD = chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; ESLD = end-stage liver disease; CAD = coronary artery disease; CHF = congestive heart failure; ICU = intensive care unit; PICC = peripherally inserted central catheter; RBC = red blood cell; ENT = ear, nose, and throat. *Number of case-patients = 75; number of control-patients = 75. 'Significant at *P* < .05.

[‡]Kendall, Mansfield, MA

didemia (66%) who had another site positive for a *Candida* species or yeast (not further identified).

Control-Patients

There was a match on principal or secondary diagnosis, age, and length of stay for 75 (86%) of 87 casepatients. Control-patients were randomly matched on all criteria (principal diagnosis, age, and length of stay) with 64 (74%) of 87 case-patients. The secondary diagnosis was used for patients who had disseminated candidemia as a principal diagnosis or whose principal diagnoses were rare.

Analysis: Match Criteria = Principal Diagnosis + Age + Length of Stay

Demographic characteristics were not significantly different between case-patients and control-patients. However, there were slight differences in underlying medical histories between case-patients and controlpatients. Case-patients were more likely than controlpatients to have coronary artery disease (21 [28.0%] vs 8 [10.7%], respectively; P < .01) and congestive heart failure (19 [25.3%] vs 8 [10.7%], respectively; P < .01). Surgical histories within the past 6 months were not significantly different between case-patients and control-patients. Case-patients had more severe illness using the APACHE II score compared with control-patients (19.28 vs 15.60; P < .01) and the percentage of case-patients with ICU admissions was significantly higher than the percentage of control-patients (41 [54.7%] vs 8 [10.7%]; P < .01). There were 29 (38.7%) deaths among case-patients compared with 3 (4.0%) deaths among control-patients (P < .01) (Table 1). The average cost of hospitalization for casepatients was \$77,434 compared with \$33,383 for controlpatients (range, \$2,212 to \$197,184; P < .01).

Nosocomial risk factors significantly more common in case-patients are listed in Table 1 and included the presence of a central venous catheter (particularly a Hickman catheter), nasogastric tube, endotracheal tube, and Foley catheter. Other risk factors identified in univariate analysis to be significantly more common among case-patients included receipt of a platelet transfusion, corticosteroids,

TABLE 2

MATCHED (IE, PRINCIPAL DIAGNOSIS, AGE, AND LENGTH OF STAY) CASE–CONTROL MULTIVARIATE ANALYSIS FOR PREDICTORS OF CANDIDEMIA

Variable	Unit	Adjusted OR	Cl ₉₅		
Hickman catheter	Yes/no	9.53	1.34-68.01		
Gastric acid suppressants	Yes/no	6.38	2.33-17.43		
ICU admission	Yes/no	6.40	2.12-19.31		
Nasogastric tube	Yes/no	3.69	1.27-10.78		
No. of antibiotics	Continuous	1.46	1.15–1.86		

 $\rm OR$ = odds ratio; $\rm CI_{95}$ = 95% confidence interval; $\rm ICU$ = intensive care unit.

gastric acid suppressants, and antibiotics. Most (93.4%) of the case-patients received at least one antibiotic compared with 60.0% of the control-patients. Case-patients were also more likely to receive more than 4 antibiotics (54.7%) compared with control-patients (20.0%).

With the use of multivariate analyses, risk factors identified as predictors of candidemia included presence of a Hickman catheter (adjusted odds ratio, 9.53; 95% confidence interval $[CI_{95}]$, 1.34 to 68.01), receipt of a gastric acid suppressant (adjusted OR, 6.38; CI_{95} , 2.33 to 17.43), ICU admission (adjusted OR, 6.40; CI_{95} , 2.12 to 19.31), presence of a nasogastric tube (adjusted OR, 3.69; CI_{95} , 1.27 to 10.78), and the number of antibiotics received (adjusted OR, 1.46; CI_{95} , 1.15 to 1.86) (Table 2).

Treatment

Of the 113 patients identified with candidemia, 32 (28%) did not have a follow-up blood culture. Thirteen (28%) of 47 patients surviving 14 days or longer received less than 14 days of antifungal therapy. Of the 34 patients receiving at least 14 days of therapy, only 17 (50%) received 2 weeks of treatment beyond the last positive blood culture. Of those receiving less than 14 days of treatment, approximately half had only 1 positive blood culture. Eight (10%) of the patients with at least 7 days in the hospital received no antifungal treatment.

Amphotericin B was the initial antifungal agent used

in 32 (28%) of the case-patients. This was subsequently switched to fluconazole in 18 (56%) of those patients. Conversely, fluconazole was the initial antifungal in 61 (54%) of the 113 case-patients but was subsequently switched to amphotericin in 24 (39%). Of the patients with *C. albicans*, 8 (13%) received only amphotericin and 4 (50%) of these patients died, whereas 24 (38%) of the patients received only fluconazole and 5 (21%) of these patients died. Of the patients with *C. glabrata*, 2 (12%) received only amphotericin and 1 (50%) patient died, whereas 3 patients (18%) received only fluconazole and 2 (67%) of these patients died.

DISCUSSION

Over the years, many studies evaluating risk factors for the development of nosocomial candidemia have been conducted; however, most have been in specific patient populations, have been generally retrospective, and have not used a case-control methodology.¹²⁻¹⁸ Studies that employed a case-control design were conducted prior to the widespread use of azole antifungal agents. Using a case-control design, we identified several independent risk factors for the development of nosocomial candidemia including the presence of a Hickman catheter, the presence of a nasogastric tube, ICU admission, and receipt of multiple antibiotics. The results of this matched case-control study confirm risk factors cited in other studies, which, despite the widespread use of azole antifungals, have not changed substantially over the years. Interestingly, we also identified the use of gastric acid suppressants as an independent risk factor. To the best of our knowledge, this risk factor has not previously been documented. In critically ill patients, gastric alkalinization has been associated with an increase in gastric bacterial and fungal colonization.²¹⁻²³ Several reports have also found a role for gastric acid inhibitors as predisposing factors in the development of Candida esophagitis.24,25 Although gastric alkalinization may increase gastric bacterial and fungal colonization, there are no data to suggest that an increase in gastric colonization would lead to an increase in bloodstream infections. Numerous studies have shown that shock, parenteral nutrition, and antibiotics facilitate the translocation of enteric bacteria.²⁶⁻³¹ Gastric alkalinization, with concomitant bacterial and fungal overgrowth, in critically ill ICU patients receiving multiple antibiotics may facilitate the translocation of Candida. Further studies will be necessary to confirm this finding.

Although many studies have documented an increase in the prevalence of non-*albicans Candida* species, particularly *C. glabrata*,^{2,5,10,11,32} the number and distribution of *Candida* species recovered were similar to those found in a retrospective case study evaluating predictors of mortality¹⁹ performed at the same institution from 1988 to 1989. A greater percentage of patients had *C. parapsilosis* in 2000 (16%) compared with 1988 to 1989 (6.5%). However, the percentages of patients identified with *C. glabrata* were similar: 15% in 2000 versus 13% (14 of 106) in 1988 to 1989.

In addition, we did not find a statistically significant

difference in the percentage of isolates identified as *C. albicans, C. tropicalis,* or *C. krusei.*

C. parapsilosis, as a cause of fungemia, has been identified most recently in 7% of patients enrolled in both a statewide, longitudinal surveillance study of candidemia and the National Epidemiology of Mycoses Survey (NEMIS) study among surgical ICU patients.9,32 Other studies including the SCOPE project have identified C. *parapsilosis* in 4% to 11% of patients with fungemia.^{2,4} By contrast, C. parapsilosis was the etiologic agent of candidemia in 29% of neonatal ICU patients in the NEMIS study.⁹ Historically, C. parapsilosis has accounted for 3% to 27% of cases of fungemia in large hospital-based studies.33 During a 12-year period from 1983 to 1994, the frequency of C. parapsilosis fungemia increased from 8% to 30% among patients with hematologic malignancies.³⁴ In studies showing an increase in non-albicans candidemia over time, C. glabrata accounted for the increase. The increase in C. parapsilosis in the current study may be related to geographic variations.

Recent practice guidelines of the Infectious Diseases Society of America for the treatment of candidemia recommend the continuation of therapy for 2 weeks after the last positive blood culture and resolution of signs and symptoms of infection.³⁵ Given the design of our study, we did not find significant differences in mortality based on the duration of therapy or length of time to documented negative blood cultures. Previous studies have documented unacceptably high mortality rates in untreated patients. However, there remains a small subset of patients who rapidly clear their candidemia (within 24 hours), are less acutely ill, and have shorter hospital stays who survive inadequate antifungal therapy.¹⁹ Treating these carefully selected patients with a short course of amphotericin B has proved successful.³⁶ The substantial number of patients in our study not receiving adequate therapy based on established guidelines suggests that additional educational efforts regarding therapy should be directed toward physicians caring for these patients. In addition, further prospective studies are needed to determine the appropriate duration of therapy based on risk factors as well as to evaluate the impact on late complications.

Although there may be regional differences in percentages of Candida isolates causing disease, the major identified risk factors and crude mortality of candidemia have not significantly changed during the past several decades despite the availability of azoles. This study was performed before the availability of echinocandins that may enhance the effectiveness of candidemia therapy. It is also apparent that many patients do not receive adequate therapy based on current Infectious Diseases Society of America guidelines. Prospective, well-controlled studies among carefully selected patients are needed to evaluate the appropriate duration of therapy. Similarly, given the increased and unchanging mortality of candidemia, studies to evaluate the benefits of preventive therapy are essential if we are to have any impact on the outcome of this infection.

REFERENCES

- Beck-Sague C, Jarvis WR. Secular trends in the epidemiology of nosocomial fungal infections in the United States, 1980-1990. J Infect Dis 1993;167:1247-1251.
- Edmond MB, Wallace SE, McClish DK, Pfaller MA, Jones RN, Wenzel RP. Nosocomial bloodstream infections in United States hospitals: a three year analysis. *Clin Infect Dis* 1999;29:239-244.
- Wright WL, Wenzel RP. Nosocomial candidemia: epidemiology, transmission and prevention. *Infect Dis Clin North Am* 1997;11:411-425.
- 4. Viscoli C, Girmenia C, Marinus A, et al. Candidemia in cancer patients: a prospective, multicenter surveillance study by the Invasive Fungal Infection Group (IFIG) of the European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer (EORTC). *Clin Infect Dis* 1999;28:1071-1079.
- Abi-Said D, Anaissie E, Uzun O, Raad I, Pinzcowski H, Vartivarian S. The epidemiology of hematogenous candidiasis caused by different *Candida* species. *Clin Infect Dis* 1997;24:1122-1128.
- Pfaller MÅ. Nosocomial candidiasis: emerging species, reservoirs and modes of transmission. *Clin Infect Dis* 1996;22(suppl):S89-S94.
- Pittet D, Wenzel RP. Nosocomial bloodstream infections. Arch Intern Med 1995;155:1177-1184.
- Pfaller M, Messer SA, Houston A, et al. National Epidemiology of Mycoses Survey: a multicenter study of strain variation and antifungal susceptibility among isolates of *Candida* species. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis* 1998;31:289-296.
- Rangel-Frausto MS, Wiblin T, Blumberg HM, et al. National Epidemiology of Mycoses Survey (NEMIS): variations in rates of bloodstream infections due to *Candida* species in seven surgical intensive care units and six neonatal intensive care units. *Clin Infect Dis* 1999;28:253-258.
- Trick WE, Fridkin SK, Edwards JR, et al. Secular trend of hospitalacquired candidemia among intensive care unit patients in the United States during 1989-1999. *Clin Infect Dis* 2002;35:627-630.
- Nguyen MH, Peacock JE Jr, Morris AJ, et al. The changing face of candidemia: emergence of non-*Candida albicans* species and antifungal resistance. *Am J Med* 1996;100:617-623.
- Wey SB, Mori M, Pfaller MA, Woolson RF, Wenzel RP. Risk factors for hospital-acquired candidemia: a matched case-control study. Arch Intern Med 1989;149:2349-2353.
- Goodrich JM, Reed EC, Mori M, et al. Clinical features and analysis of risk factors for invasive candidal infection after marrow transplantation. J Infect Dis 1991;164:731-740.
- 14. Komshian S, Uwaydah AK, Sobel JD, Crane LR. Fungemia caused by *Candida* species and *Torulopsis glabrata* in the hospitalized patient: frequency, characteristics, and evaluation of factors influencing outcome. *Rev Infect Dis* 1989;11:379-390.
- Vazquez JA, Sanchez V, Dmuchowski C, Dembry LM, Sobel JD, Zervos MJ. Nosocomial acquisition of *Candida albicans*: an epidemiologic study. *J Infect Dis* 1993;168:195-201.
- Richet HM, Andremont A, Tancrede C, et al. Risk factors for candidemia in patients with acute lymphocytic leukemia. *Rev Infect Dis* 1991;13:211-215.
- Pagano L, Antinori A, Ammassari A, et al. Retrospective study of candidemia in patients with hematological malignancies: clinical features, risk factors and outcome of 76 episodes. *Eur J Haematol* 1999;63:77-85.
- Nieto-Rodriguez JA, Kusne S, Manez R, et al. Factors associated with the development of candidemia and candidemia-related death among

liver transplant recipients. Ann Surg 1996;223:70-76.

- Fraser VJ, Jones M, Dunkel J, Storfer S, Medoff G, Dunagan WC. Candidemia in a tertiary care hospital: epidemiology, risk factors and predictors of mortality. *Clin Infect Dis* 1992;15:414-421.
- Knaus WA, Draper EA, Wagner DP, et al. APACHE II: a severity of disease classification system. *Crit Care Med* 1985;13:818-829.
- Tryba M, Cook DJ. Gastric alkalinization, pneumonia, and systemic infections: the controversy. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 1995;30(suppl 210): 53-59.
- Garvey BM, McCambley JA, Tuxen DV. Effects of gastric alkalinization on bacterial colonization in critically ill patients. *Crit Care Med* 1989;17:211-216.
- Heyland DK, Cook DJ, Schoenfeld PS, Frietag A, Varon J, Wood G. The effect of acidified enteral feeds on gastric colonization in critically ill patients: results of a multicenter randomized trial. *Crit Care Med* 1999;27:2399-2406.
- Chocarro Martinez A, Galindo Tobal F, Ruiz-Irastorza G, et al. Risk factors for esophageal candidiasis. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 2000;19: 96-100.
- Larner AJ, Lendrum R. Oesophageal candidiasis after omeprazole therapy. *Gut* 1992;33:860-861.
- Deitch EA, Morrison J, Berg R, Specian RD. Effect of hemorrhagic shock on bacterial translocation, intestinal morphology and intestinal permeability in conventional and antibiotic-decontaminated rats. *Crit Care Med* 1990;18:529-536.
- Reed L, Martin M, Manglano R, et al. Bacterial translocation following abdominal trauma in humans. *Circulatory Shock* 1994;42:1-6.
- Berg RD, Womack E, Deitch EA. Immunosuppression and intestinal bacterial overgrowth synergistically promote bacterial translocation. *Arch Surg* 1988;123:1359-1364.
- Alverdi JC, Aoys E, Moss GS. Total parenteral nutrition promotes bacterial translocation from the gut. *Surgery* 1988;104:185-190.
- MacFie J, O'Boyle C, Mitchell CJ, Buckley PM, Johnstone D, Sudworth P. Gut origin of sepsis: a prospective study investigating associations between bacterial translocation, gastric microflora, and septic morbidity. *Gut* 1999;45:223-228.
- Eaves-Pyles T, Alexander JW. Comparison of translocation of different types of microorganisms from the intestinal tract of burned mice. *Shock* 2001;16:148-152.
- 32. Diekema DJ, Messer SA, Brueggemann AB, et al. Epidemiology of candidemia: 3 year results from the emerging infections and the Epidemiology of Iowa Organisms study. J Clin Microbiol 2002;40:1298-1302.
- Weems JJ. Candida parapsilosis: epidemiology, pathogenicity, clinical manifestations, and antimicrobial susceptibility. Clin Infect Dis 1992;14:756-766.
- 34. Girmenia C, Martino P, De Bernardis F, et al. Rising incidence of *Candida parapsilosis* fungemia in patients with hematologic malignancies: clinical aspects, predisposing factors, and differential pathogenicity of the causative strains. *Clin Infect Dis* 1996;23:506-514.
- Rex JH, Walsh TJ, Sobel JD, et al. Practice guidelines for the treatment of candidiasis. *Clin Infect Dis* 2000;30:662-678.
- Fichtenbaum CJ, German M, Dunagan WC, et al. A pilot study of the management of uncomplicated candidemia with a standardized protocol of amphotericin B. *Clin Infect Dis* 1999;29:1551-1556.