

Washington University School of Medicine Digital Commons@Becker

Becker Library Publications and Presentations

Becker Medical Library

2012

Assessing productivity and impact using publication data

Cathy C. Sarli

Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis

Follow this and additional works at: http://digitalcommons.wustl.edu/becker_pubs



Part of the [Medicine and Health Sciences Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Sarli, Cathy C., "Assessing productivity and impact using publication data." *Navigating Knowledge*, February 2012., 2012. Paper 23.
http://digitalcommons.wustl.edu/becker_pubs/23

This Newsletter is brought to you for free and open access by the Becker Medical Library at Digital Commons@Becker. It has been accepted for inclusion in Becker Library Publications and Presentations by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons@Becker. For more information, please contact engeszer@wustl.edu.

By Cathy C. Sarli, Bernard Becker Medical Library, Washington University in Saint Louis, School of Medicine

SAMPLE METRICS

PROS

CONS

Eigenfactor Score: formula based on citations from a journal over a five year period, with citations from highly ranked journals given more weight. Use for **source** level assessment.

- ✓ [eigenFACTOR](#) is freely available.
- ✓ Journal self-citations are not included in the scoring.

- ✓ Does not measure quality of research.
- ✓ Citation data is from Journal Citation Reports (JCR).

h-Index: formula based on the number of publications and citations. An author with an *h*-index of eight has at least eight publications that have been cited at least eight times. Use for **author** or **group** level assessment.

- ✓ Useful metric for productivity and impact.
- ✓ Automatically calculated in select resources (*h*-index will vary among resources).

- ✓ Favors authors who have published over an extended period of time.
- ✓ Does not factor in the "context" of citations.

Second Generation Citations: citations to publications that cite a specific publication. Use for **author**, **document**, or **group** level assessment.

- ✓ Useful metric for impact.
- ✓ Allows for analysis of countries, institutions, document types, languages, authors, subject areas, etc., represented by citations.

- ✓ Available only in select resources.
- ✓ Self-citations skew results.
- ✓ Exporting of data may be required for analysis.

Funding Acknowledgement Networks: analysis of grant funding acknowledgements as noted in publications and/or citations. Use for **author**, **document**, or **group** level assessment.

- ✓ Useful metric for impact.
- ✓ Allows for analysis of influence of grant funding on published research.

- ✓ Available only in select resources.
- ✓ Excludes non-grant funded publications.

STRATEGIC IMPORTANCE

CAVEATS

Evaluation of scholarly productivity to assess research impact as a result of translational research efforts is a growing trend that has gained traction since the inception of the Clinical and Translational Science Awards (CTSA). Publication data alone does not provide a full narrative of research impact, nor is it predictive of meaningful health outcomes. Unpublished research output such as gray literature, grant awards, data, intellectual property, outreach efforts, invited talks, patents, and qualitative data collected from surveys or interviews used in tandem with publication data provides a more robust overview of productivity and impact of biomedical research. Examples of analyses generated from quantitative and qualitative data include grant award activity patterns; evidence of translational research collaborations; utilization of data or intellectual property; synthesis of research outputs into clinical or public health practice applications; to name a few.

- A single metric is not sufficient for measuring productivity or impact.
- Publication data provides a limited overview of productivity and impact.
- Resources vary as to indexing from publication sources and citations, as well as date coverage.
- Some resources include non-publication data such as grant awards, academic awards, and teaching efforts.
- Some resources are narrow in focus; others cover multiple disciplines.
- Be aware of multiple name variants for authors or institutions.
- Be aware of duplicate results and/or different versions of the same publication.
- Manipulation of data may be required to assess productivity and impact.
- Some resources automatically generate visual graphics based on data; others require social network analysis (SNA) software to display data in a visual format.

RESOURCES

SUBSCRIPTION

[Academic Analytics](#)
[Essential Science Indicators](#)
[InCites](#)
[SciVerse Scopus](#)
[SciVerse Spotlight](#)
[SciVerse Strata](#)
[Web of Knowledge/Web of Science](#)

FREE

[Google Scholar](#)
[Google Scholar Citations](#)
[gopubmed](#)
[Microsoft Academic Search](#)
[Publish or Perish](#)
[PubMed](#)
[PubReminer](#)

SOFTWARE

[Network Workbench](#)
[NodeXL](#)
[Pajek](#)
[Sci2](#)

READINGS

[Zotero:](#)
[Bibliometrics_AHSL](#)
 collection of readings

For current issue see:

http://data.memberclicks.com/site/aahsl/AHSL_NavKnowledge_Feb2012.pdf



Licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 3.0 Unported License](#).