Washington University School of Medicine Digital Commons@Becker

Open Access Publications

1-1-2012

Altered networks in bothersome tinnitus: A functional connectivity study

Harold Burton Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis

Andre Wineland Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis

Mousumi Bhattacharya Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis

Joyce Nicklaus Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis

Keith S. Garcia University of Texas at Austin

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: http://digitalcommons.wustl.edu/open_access_pubs Part of the <u>Medicine and Health Sciences Commons</u>

Recommended Citation

Burton, Harold; Wineland, Andre; Bhattacharya, Mousumi; Nicklaus, Joyce; Garcia, Keith S.; and Piccirillo, Jay F., ,"Altered networks in bothersome tinnitus: A functional connectivity study." BMC Neuroscience.13,. 3. (2012). http://digitalcommons.wustl.edu/open_access_pubs/780

This Open Access Publication is brought to you for free and open access by Digital Commons@Becker. It has been accepted for inclusion in Open Access Publications by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons@Becker. For more information, please contact engeszer@wustl.edu.

Authors

Harold Burton, Andre Wineland, Mousumi Bhattacharya, Joyce Nicklaus, Keith S. Garcia, and Jay F. Piccirillo

RESEARCH ARTICLE



Open Access

Altered networks in bothersome tinnitus: a functional connectivity study

Harold Burton^{1*}, Andre Wineland², Mousumi Bhattacharya³, Joyce Nicklaus⁴, Keith S Garcia⁵ and Jay F Piccirillo⁶

Abstract

Background: The objective was to examine functional connectivity linked to the auditory system in patients with bothersome tinnitus. Activity was low frequency (< 0.1 Hz), spontaneous blood oxygenation level-dependent (BOLD) responses at rest. The question was whether the experience of chronic bothersome tinnitus induced changes in synaptic efficacy between co-activated components. Functional connectivity for seed regions in auditory, visual, attention, and control networks was computed across all 2 mm³ brain volumes in 17 patients with moderate-severe bothersome tinnitus (*Tinnitus Handicap Index: average* 53.5 \pm 3.6 (range 38-76)) and 17 agematched controls.

Results: In bothersome tinnitus, negative correlations reciprocally characterized functional connectivity between auditory and occipital/visual cortex. Negative correlations indicate that when BOLD response magnitudes increased in auditory or visual cortex they decreased in the linked visual or auditory cortex, suggesting reciprocally phase reversed activity between functionally connected locations in tinnitus. Both groups showed similar connectivity with positive correlations within the auditory network. Connectivity for primary visual cortex in tinnitus included extensive negative correlations in the ventral attention temporoparietal junction and in the inferior frontal gyrus and rostral insula - executive control network components. Rostral insula and inferior frontal gyrus connectivity in tinnitus also showed greater negative correlations in occipital cortex.

Conclusions: These results imply that in bothersome tinnitus there is dissociation between activity in auditory cortex and visual, attention and control networks. The reciprocal negative correlations in connectivity between these networks might be maladaptive or reflect adaptations to reduce phantom noise salience and conflict with attention to non-auditory tasks.

Keywords: tinnitus, human, MRI, connectivity

Background

The objective of the current study was to examine cortical functional connectivity associated with auditory cortex in patients with moderate-severe tinnitus. Networks associated with the auditory cortex were examined because idiopathic, non-pulsatile subjective tinnitus involves hearing noise in the absence of acoustic stimulation [1,2] and particularly affects central auditory processing [3-5]. Damage to primary auditory inputs often precedes tinnitus and possibly precipitates changes in the firing patterns of neurons in the auditory system [4,6-9] comparable to abnormal activity and altered sensory maps noted following deafferentation [10,11]. A better understanding of functional connectivity in tinnitus is important because millions experience chronic tinnitus [1] and the persistent noise disturbs the qualities of life in ~20% with bothersome tinnitus [7,12,13]. A hypothesized contributory mechanism is that chronic tinnitus might alter synaptic efficacy [14] leading to reorganization in co-activity between cortical auditory and other sensory networks. Additionally, dealing with the cognitive distractions caused by phantom noises might conflict with networks that enable focusing of attention and prevent involuntary switching to salient yet phantom noises. Thus, tinnitus might deplete cognitive resources [15] and compromise attending to visual tasks such as reading. A consequence of a dual-task situation involving thoughts related to tinnitus and performing other demanding tasks



© 2012 Burton et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

^{*} Correspondence: harold@pcg.wustl.edu

¹Department of Anatomy & Neurobiology and Department of Radiology, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, Missouri 63110, USA Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

might alter functional connectivity between cortex for auditory, visual and attention processes. Furthermore, tinnitus might affect the default mode network (DMN), which is especially active at rest [16-19] and shows reduced activity during sensory tasks [20,21]. Tinnitus as a condition involving sensations of persistent phantom auditory might then act similarly in reducing DMN activity.

Prior behavioral evidence indicates that tinnitus disrupts the allocation of attention to non-auditory stimuli [22]. Thus, phantom noises, like chronic pain, involuntarily compete for attention resources [15,22,23]. Demonstrations of a role for attention in tinnitus include reductions in experienced tinnitus by training to habituate tinnitus salience and to focus on other sensations [24]. Cognitive distraction also diminishes tinnitus and lowers auditory cortex activity [25]. There is additional evidence that tinnitus sufficiently conflicts with nonauditory sensory processes to alter concentration and focus [26-28], thereby lowering accuracy on attention demanding tasks [15,22,29,30].

Neural evidence supporting the notion of changes in non-auditory cortex was transient diminution of tinnitus in some patients following global reductions in cortical activity after intravenous lidocaine. In the affected cases, positron emission tomography showed lower regional cerebral blood flow (rCBF) in the left middle and inferior temporal cortex [31] and right middle frontal gyrus (rMFG), parietal cortex, and right temporal-parietal junction (rTPJ) [32]. The latter three regions are of interest because they include areas involved in attention. The dorsal parietal intraparietal sulcus in parietal cortex serves voluntary focusing of attention; the ventral rTPJ responds when attention involuntarily shifts to a salient, unexpected stimulus; and the posterior aspect of rMFG links activity in dorsal and ventral attention networks [33,34]. Thus, finding that lidocaine induced rCBF reductions in these regions support the hypothesis that some tinnitus abnormalities might involve components of the attention network.

Previously, interregional temporal correlations of resting state, low frequency (< 0.1 Hz) blood oxygenation level-dependent (BOLD) activity have revealed widely distributed, coherent activity in normal individuals [16,35,36] and those with neurological pathologies [37-40]. Utilizing comparable imaging and analysis techniques in tinnitus and age-matched individuals provided a means of assessing potential differences in cortical networks in these two groups.

Temporal correlations between a seed region of interest and the rest of the brain can show positive or negative correlations when analyzed in reference to a global brain signal [41]. Such correlated intrinsic activity can indicate directly or indirectly connected distant regions [42]. Consequently, possible differences in functional connectivity between tinnitus and controls might include changes between widely separated cortical areas without direct structural connections. In the present study, we found negative correlations reciprocally characterized functional connectivity between auditory and occipital/visual cortex in the tinnitus group. Negative correlations indicate that when BOLD response magnitudes increased in auditory or visual cortex they decreased in the linked visual or auditory cortex, suggesting reciprocally phase reversed activity between functionally connected locations in tinnitus. The results implied that in tinnitus activity suppression might reflect adaptations to reduce phantom noise salience and aid directing attention to non-auditory events.

Methods

Participants

Seventeen patients (mean age 53.5 SEM ± 3.6 years, 5 female), who participated in a randomized clinical trial of repetitive transcranial magnitude stimulation (rTMS) for tinnitus (ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT00567892), had tinnitus for an average of 8.3 years (± 1.9 years, range 0.5-30 years), with an average loudness of 7.6 \pm 0.3 (on a 1-10 scale), and an average severity of 53.5 ± 3.6 (range 38-76) based on the Tinnitus Handicap Index (THI) [43]. Based on the THI score and previously proposed guidelines [44], 10 patients had Moderate (38-56) and 7 Severe (58-76) tinnitus. Tinnitus was bilateral in 11 and unilateral in 5 patients (4 on the right and 1 on the left). Hearing loss was minimal for 1-3 kHz tones and > 40 dB for 8 kHz in 12/17 patients (Table 1). No patients had hyperacusis. Post hoc t-tests of binaural pure tone average thresholds (PTA) found no significant differences between ears (left ear: mean 17.5, SEM ± 1.9 dB, range 0-65dB; right ear: mean 35.5 ± 3.1 dB, range 0-75 dB). No included patients had a Beck Depression Inventory-II > 18 [45] or other psychiatric or neurologic disorders. Seventeen individuals without tinnitus were age-matched to the tinnitus patients (mean age 50.6 \pm 2.5 years, 10 female) and had pure tone average thresholds of ≤ 25 dB HL. All participants provided informed consent in compliance with the Code of Ethics of the World Medical Association (Declaration of Helsinki) and guidelines approved by the Human Studies Committee of Washington University.

Participants with tinnitus had been in a study that evaluated the treatment efficacy of rTMS to the left temporoparietal junction [46]. We obtained baseline resting state imaging data for the current study prior to any rTMS treatments.

Image Acquisition

Acquisition of magnetic resonance images was with a Siemens 3 Tesla TRIO scanner (Erlangen, Germany) and standard 12 element RF head coil. MRI headphones

Table T Tinnitus participant demographic	Table '
--	---------

						1 kHz		2 kHz		3 kHz		8 kHz		
Tinnitus	Age	Sex	Ear	THI	Loud	yrs	R	L	R	L	R	L	R	L
1	54	F	В	70	7	2	5	5	0	10	10	10	60	65
2	48	Μ	В	76	8	1	5	5	5	0	0	5	15	15
3	47	Μ	В	38	8	8	10	5	5	0	25	10	55	65
6	53	Μ	R	68	5	3	25	15	30	20	60	45	80	55
7	59	Μ	В	40	7	1	22	18	20	20	25	25	45	50
10	48	Μ	R	40	7	2.5	10	10	5	0	35	25	55	40
11	57	Μ	L	72	7	10	20	25	20	20	15	30	40	40
15	53	Μ	В	40	8	5	30	25	60	45	75	65	50	35
16	58	Μ	L	70	9	0.5	10	5	10	15	45	30	65	75
17	59	Μ	В	72	8	9	0	5	15	20	45	55	85	85
19	52	F	В	40	9	2.5	15	10	10	10	20	35	30	35
20	52	F	R	52	9	9	70	20	70	15	75	15	105	40
21	42	Μ	В	40	7	10	0	0	0	0	10	10	10	10
24	59	Μ	В	52	6	30	25	30	70	65	55	65	45	50
27	58	Μ	R	42	8	20	15	5	55	15	75	55	50	65
37	58	F	В	60	8	11	20	15	25	25	25	40	70	85
38	52	F	В	38	8	16	5	10	5	5	5	0	15	15
Mean	53.5	5 F/12 M		53.5	7.6	8.3	17.6	12.7	22.9	17.1	35.4	30.4	52.9	47.1
SEM	1.2			3.6	.3	1.9	4.3	2.3	6.1	4.5	5.9	4.9	6.3	5.1

and ear plugs dampened sequence noises. A gradient recalled echo-planar sequence (EPI) captured images of blood oxygenation level-dependent (BOLD) contrast responses (Repetition time [TR] = 2200 ms, echo time [TE] = 27 ms, flip angle = 90°, $4 \times 4 \times 4 \text{ mm voxels}$). EPI images of the whole brain involved volume acquisitions across 36 odd-even, contiguously interleaved, axial slices aligned to the anterior and posterior commissures. Structural images included a T1-weighted magnetization prepared rapid gradient echo (MP-RAGE) sequence acquired across 176 sagittal slices (TR = 2100 ms; TE = 3.93 ms; flip angle = 7° ; inversion time [TI] = 1000 ms; $1 \times 1 \times 1.25$ mm voxels). An additional T2-weighted structural image across 36 axial slices (TR = 8430 ms, TE = 98 ms, $1.33 \times 1.33 \times 3$ mm voxels)was in-register with the EPI and aided alignment between axial EPI and sagittal MP-RAGE image slices [47].

Three 164 frame EPI runs recorded spontaneous brain activity while participants were awake, performed no task, and kept their eyes closed in a darkened room. We spoke to participants during ~ 2 minutes between EPI runs to make certain they remained awake.

Image Processing

EPI image corrections involved processing to compensate for systematic time and intensity slice-dependent differences from interleaved odd-even slice acquisition, to realign slices into atlas space, to band-pass filter for low frequencies, and to remove nuisance variables. Processing started with aligning the time for each slice to the beginning of each volume acquisition using sinc interpolation. Next, corrections for intensity differences between slices utilized a whole brain mean signal intensity normalized to mode 1000 across EPI runs. These time and intensity adjusted slices were realigned within and across runs using rigid body correction for inter-frame head motion [36,48-50]. The across-run-realigned slices were resampled to 2 mm³ volumes (voxels) and registered to an atlas template by computing 12 parameter affine transforms between an average from the first frames of each EPI run and the atlas template [47]. An atlas template, created using MP-RAGE structural images from 12 normal middle-age individuals (mean 48 yrs., SD \pm 10.7), conformed to Talairach atlas space [51] based on spatial normalization methods [52].

Atlas registered EPI images were spatially smoothed with a 6 mm full width at half-maximum Gaussian kernel and temporally band-pass filtered to remove frequencies > 0.1 Hz. BOLD signal modifications per voxel removed, through linear regression, 9 sources of nuisance variance and their associated temporal derivatives. These variables included previously computed six linear corrections for head movement, a global whole-brain signal averaged over all voxels in a fixed region of atlas space, and signals in the ventricles and white matter. Ventricles and white matter were identified across successive structural image slices in each participant using Analyze (Mayo Research Foundation, Rochester, MN). Individualized identification of ventricle and white matter structure improved isolation of signals in these regions and improved regression of spurious influences [36] from respiration [53] and non-neuronal effects [49,54].

Correlation Computation

Temporal correlation computations for each participant per group utilized the time series of spontaneous BOLD fluctuations across 3 EPI runs (a 17.5 minute time series after concatenating the runs). Temporal correlations determined the probability that two seed regions were active at the same time, (e.g., the time series in the voxels in each seed region correlated with one another). We excluded the first 15 volume acquisitions from each run to assure magnetization equalization and to avoid artifacts associated with the start of scanner noises.

A first stage exploratory analysis considered temporal correlations between paired spherical seed regions centered on coordinates from parts of the brain that tinnitus might alter (Table 2). These included regions in auditory cortex and in visual and somatosensory cortex to determine whether changes in auditory cortex affected other sensory systems. We additionally selected seed regions in the attention network [33,34], because behavioral evidence indicated tinnitus disrupts attention [15,22,24]. These regions included components of the parieto-frontal dorsal network for selective, voluntary attention (bilateral posterior intraparietal sulcus, bilateral frontal eye fields, and right ventral intraparietal sulcus). Two selected ventral attention regions show activation when focus involuntarily shifts to salient, but unexpected events (right temporoparietal junction and right superior temporal sulcus). Four chosen fronto-insula cortex regions (right middle frontal gyrus, right anterior insula, and bilateral inferior frontal gyrus) included those involved in switching between the dorsal and ventral attention networks [33,34] or generally between control networks [55,56].

The time series from each seed region was the average across all voxels within the $\sim 1 \text{ cm}^3$ spheres. A Fischer's computation converted correlation coefficients to Z-transforms [57]. Post hoc t-tests evaluated group differences in the strength of temporal correlations between paired seed regions using the Z-transforms from each group of participants.

Group Contrast Analyses of Functional Connectivity Maps

In a second stage analysis, we computed functional connectivity maps for each of those seed regions whose paired temporal correlations had group differences with probabilities < .05. In the functional connectivity maps computed in each participant, computed correlations were between the time series averaged across all voxels in a selected seed region and the time series in each 2 mm³ volume (voxel) in the brain [36,49,50,58].

All evaluations of the functional connectivity map for a particular seed region utilized voxel level Fisher's Z-transforms in each participant registered to a standard, population-average, cortical surface-based atlas (PALS-B12) [59]. The registration process involved creating participant-specific surfaces using FreeSurfer. Participant surfaces were then deformed to the distribution of nodes in the PALS-B12 atlas using an automated procedure to align nine anatomical landmarks [60], individually identified in a participant hemisphere and registered to the same landmarks in the average PALS-B12 atlas sphere. The deformation matrices obtained from landmark-based

NETWORK	SEED REGION NAME	TALAIRACH COOR		
		Х	Y	Z
AUDITORY	Right Primary Auditory (RAud)	51	-21	9
	Left Primary Auditory Cortex (LAud)	-41	-26	7
VISION	Right Primary Visual (RV1)	11	-81	5
	Left Cuneus (LV2d)	-4	-85	19
SOMATOSENSORY	Right Postcentral Gyrus (RS1)	51	-18	44
	Left Parietal Operculum (LS2)	-35	-27	17
DORSAL ATTENTION	Left Posterior Intraparietal Sulcus (LpIPS)	-23	-66	46
(DAN)	Right Posterior Intraparietal Sulcus (RpIPS)	26	-58	52
	Left Frontal Eye Fields (LFEF)	-25	-8	50
	Right Frontal Eye Fields (RFEF)	27	-8	50
	Right Ventral Intraparietal Sulcus (RvIPS)	30	-80	16
VENTRAL ATTENTION	Right Temporoparietal Junction (rTPJ)	49	-50	28
(VAN)	Right Superior Temporal Sulcus (RSTS)	55	-50	11
ATTENTION CONTROL	Right Middle Frontal Gyrus (rMFG)	39	12	34
	Right Anterior Insula (RAI)	36	3	6
	Left Inferior Frontal Gyrus (LIFG)	-41	6	9
	Right Inferior Frontal Gyrus (RIFG)	45	-3	12

Table 2 Talairach atlas coordinates for selected seed regions

alignments created for each participant guided registration of Fisher Z-transform-scores from the nodes of each participant-specific surface to the PALS-B12 atlas nodes. Data registration between volume and surface space involved aligning the atlas coordinates of voxels to corresponding nodes with nearest neighbor coordinates in the PALS-B12 atlas [61]. Separately for each group, determination of the connectivity map for a seed region utilized computed means of surface registered Fisher transform Z-scores. A random effects Student's t-test [62] evaluated the null hypothesis of no significant distribution of Ztransforms across the group connectivity map. Displayed maps utilized two-tailed t-test results with probabilities of 0.05-0.005 (t = \pm 2.1 and 3.3, 16df).

Next, we computed a *t* statistic at each surface node to assess the null hypothesis that the Fisher transform Z-scores for a seed region were comparable between the control and tinnitus groups. The *t* statistic was computed as the mean difference (control group Z-transform score) divided by the SEM difference. Results were visualized using probability thresholds of 0.05-0.002 (t = \pm 2.1-3.3 for 32df). Positive t-test results occurred at nodes where control group connectivity had greater positive correlations. Negative t-test results occurred where control group connectivity had larger negative correlations or the tinnitus group had larger positive correlations.

We assessed the significance of clusters observed in the group contrast *t*-statistic maps with a threshold-free cluster enhancement (TFCE) method. The analysis evaluated whether a cluster in the *t* statistic map was large enough to be statistically significant without needing to specify a cluster size threshold semi-arbitrarily. The original implementation of the TFCE method was for volumetric data [63] and was recently adapted to data registered to surface-nodes [60].

For the current analysis, we first computed 5000 t-maps with each generated after randomly combining all participants and then equally dividing them into groups of 17. After minimal spatial smoothing of the t-maps across neighboring nodes, a transformation of the t statistic at each surface node produced a TFCE map for each t-map. Documentation is available at the following website: http:///brainvis.wustl.edu/wiki/index.php/Caret:Documentation:Statistics:TFCE_Implementation. The transformation included information about t map signal intensity, h, and extent (the number of contiguous nodes (p) with h at or above threshold). In the computation of a TFCE score at a node, the weight given to signal intensity and extent value was fixed using H = 2.0 and E = 1.0. The maximum TFCE score from each randomized t-map contributed to a distribution of TFCE scores, each representing a cluster of contiguous nodes. Clusters in the original *t* statistic map were judged significant at p = 0.05 where they corresponded to the 95^{th} percentile of the TFCE maximum score distribution.

Evaluation of Data Quality

Spurious factors potentially affecting group functional connectivity results include excessive head movements and spurious magnetic signals. However, Figure 1 shows the tinnitus and control groups had comparable head movements (root mean square, RMS) and whole-brain variance in MR signal magnitudes. Two-tailed t-tests found no significant group differences in RMS or standard deviation of average brain magnetic signal amplitude (SD) (RMS: p = 0.23, SD: p = 0.84 df = 96).

Results

Temporal Correlation Matrix

Table 3 presents t-test probabilities for the differences between tinnitus and control groups in correlation magnitudes. The results were from 136 pairings of 17 different seed regions. Notably, tinnitus and controls had similar temporal correlations for nearly all seed pairings made with components of the dorsal attention network. One exception was for RvIPS vs. RTPJ; the tinnitus group had a greater negative correlation of -0.1 compared to a -0.01 correlation in controls. Only 10 seed region pairings (Table 3, underlined cells) had p < .05 suggesting possible group differences. We assessed functional connectivity differences between groups only for the 12 different seed regions making up these temporal correlation pairs. This second stage analysis included family-wide error corrections [63]. Significant clusters based on group differences occurred in 7/12 of these seed regions: LAud, RAud, RSTS, RV1, RAI, LIFG, and RIFG. Significant group



		DAN					VAN	Contro	l				Senso	ry				
		LpIPS	RpIPS	LFEF	RFEF	RvIPS	RTPJ	RMFG	RSTS	LIFG	RIFG	RAI	LAud	RAud	RV1	LV2d	RS1	LS2
DAN	LpIPS		0.34	0.99	0.97	0.48	0.69	0.31	0.33	0.64	0.77	0.68	0.62	0.62	0.81	0.66	0.82	0.15
	RpIPS			0.52	0.90	0.51	0.31	0.45	0.74	0.72	0.47	0.17	0.12	0.44	0.87	0.69	0.21	0.29
	LFEF				0.25	0.88	0.40	0.09	0.46	0.39	0.16	0.66	0.26	0.57	0.71	0.63	0.18	0.15
	RFEF					0.89	0.49	0.85	0.49	0.59	0.50	0.77	0.50	0.89	0.44	0.36	0.88	0.72
	RvIPS						0.04	0.44	0.59	0.71	0.97	0.26	1.00	0.51	0.23	0.03	0.82	0.57
VAN	RTPJ							0.07	0.83	0.05	0.46	0.06	0.51	0.87	0.28	0.28	0.83	0.95
Control	RMFG								0.81	0.17	0.28	0.09	0.70	0.44	0.10	0.09	0.01	0.09
	RSTS									0.07	0.01	0.29	0.43	0.40	0.73	0.42	0.44	0.84
	LIFG										0.02	0.02	0.06	0.08	0.12	0.27	0.89	0.07
	RIFG											0.20	0.91	0.77	0.86	0.05	0.27	0.10
	RAI												0.02	0.09	0.59	0.03	0.87	0.03
Sensory	LAud													0.40	0.54	0.51	0.70	0.55
	RAud														0.02	0.31	0.92	0.70
	RV1															0.21	0.60	0.28
	LV2d																0.64	0.87
	RS1																	0.77
	LS2																	

Table 3 Temporal correlation matrix^a

^aSee Table 2 for identification of abbreviations

differences in the functional connectivity analysis were absent for the remaining 5/11 including seed regions from the attention network: RvIPS and RTPJ, somatosensory system: RS1 and LS2 and visual system: LV2d. In each of the latter, the observed clusters found in the group contrast between connectivity maps were too small to pass the stringent error correction requirements of the TFCE permutation analysis.

Functional Connectivity for Auditory Network Seeds

Functional connectivity based on auditory seed regions revealed differences between the groups in the network between auditory and occipital/visual cortex. In the tinnitus group, functional connectivity for a left primary auditory cortex seed (LAud) involved significant negative correlations throughout the medial aspect of bilateral occipital cortex (Figure 2, row 2, columns 3, 4). In the control group, the functional connectivity map for the LAud seed contained a few patches of positive correlations in medial occipital cortex (Figure 2, row 1, columns 3, 4). The TFCE permutation analysis of the t-test group contrast between controls compared to tinnitus showed a significant cluster whose borders extended from the occipital pole to the parietal occipital sulcus, with a slightly greater extent in the left hemisphere (Figure 2, row 3, columns 3, 4). The cluster centered across the calcarine sulcus, covering upper and lower banks and the adjoining cuneus and lingual gyri. The values were positive because of subtracting the negative correlations in tinnitus in the t-test, leading to positive values that added to the few positive correlations in controls. Group functional connectivity differences were similar for a right primary auditory cortex seed with the TFCE analysis of the group contrast similarly revealing a significant bilateral cluster covering the same portion of medial occipital cortex except for being slightly more extensive in the right hemisphere (Figure 2, row 4).

The functional connectivity maps also showed significant positive correlations between primary auditory cortex and the superior temporal plane, insula, inferior frontal gyrus, and cingulate cortex (Figure 2, rows 1, 2, columns 1, 2). The magnitudes and extent of these positive correlations was greater in tinnitus compared to controls resulting in negative value clusters in the t-test group contrast in the inferior frontal gyrus and rostral insula (Figure 2, rows 3, 4, columns 1, 2). However, these clusters were not large enough to pass the familywide error corrections in the TFCE analysis.

Both groups had functional connectivity based on negative correlations between auditory seed regions and components of the default mode system (posterior cingulate, superior frontal, medial prefrontal, and lateral inferior parietal) and dorsolateral prefrontal. None of the clusters was significant in the TFCE analysis.

In summary, auditory cortex in participants with tinnitus had significant functional connectivity with occipital/visual cortex in which the correlations were negative. Controls mainly had connectivity based on positive correlations.

Functional Connectivity for a Primary Visual Cortex Seed Region

Functional connectivity for a right primary visual area seed (RV1) revealed differences between the groups that



reciprocated the connectivity distinctions noted for seeds in auditory cortex. The RV1 seed was located in calcarine sulcal cortex, within the region of the significant clusters discovered with the auditory cortex seed regions. In the control group, functional connections included positive correlations in auditory cortex and a less extensive distribution of negative correlations in the temporoparietal junction, inferior frontal gyrus, and components of the default system (Figure 3, row 1). In the tinnitus group, functional connectivity for the RV1 seed involved significant negative correlations bilaterally in auditory cortex, temporoparietal junction, inferior frontal gyrus, and components of the default system (Figure 3, row 2). Both groups also showed significant positive correlations throughout occipital/parietal-occipital cortex and sparser connectivity with pericentral gyral cortex. The TFCE permutation analysis of the t-test group contrast between controls compared to tinnitus showed several significant clusters with borders in left hemisphere superior temporal gyral and sulcal auditory cortex, rostral insula, and adjoining inferior frontal gyrus (Figure 3, row 3, columns 1, 3, and 4).



In summary, negative correlations with auditory cortex characterized the connectivity associated with visual cortex seed regions in participants with tinnitus. These functional connectivity differences again indicated a phase reversal in the resting state activity between the visual and auditory systems in tinnitus. Additionally, this phase reversal extended to parts of the attention and default mode networks.

Functional Connectivity for Seed Regions Involved in Executive Control of Attention

Right Anterior Insula

The network for the right anterior insula (RAI) seed in both groups involved significant positive correlations throughout adjoining parts of the auditory cortex along the superior temporal plane (Figure 4, row 1, 2, columns 1, 2). The RAI functional connectivity maps included group distinctions in occipital cortex. In the control group, functional connectivity in occipital cortex was scarce (Figure 4, row 1). In the tinnitus group, functional connectivity involved significant negative correlations bilaterally in medial and lateral occipital cortex (Figure 4, row 2). The TFCE permutation analysis of the t-test group contrast showed a significant cluster whose borders extended medially and laterally over the whole of occipital cortex (Figure 4). Additionally, functional connectivity with positive correlations in the left inferior frontal gyrus was greater in the tinnitus group, leading to a cluster with a negative t-test value (Figure 4, row 3, column 1). This cluster, however, did not pass the TCFE significance threshold.

Left Inferior Frontal Gyrus Seed

The functional connectivity maps for the left inferior frontal gyrus seed (LIFG) partially resembled those for RAI. Thus, both groups had significant positive correlations in auditory cortex along the superior temporal



plane (Figure 5, row 1, 2, columns 1, 2). Furthermore, the LIFG network included group connectivity distinctions in occipital cortex. In the control group, functional connections in occipital cortex were scarce (Figure 5, row 1). In the tinnitus group, functional connectivity involved significant negative correlations bilaterally in medial occipital cortex (Figure 5, row 2). The TFCE permutation analysis of the t-test group contrast showed a significant cluster with borders located in medial occipital cortex (Figure 5). Both groups also showed significant positive correlations in the rostral insula cortex. These had greater correlation magnitudes and spatial extents in the tinnitus group. The TFCE analysis identified this difference as a significant cluster in the right anterior insula cortex (Figure 5, row 3, column 2), thus, reciprocating the connectivity in the left inferior frontal gyrus observed for the RAI seed (Figure 4).

In summary, seed regions in the right anterior insula and left inferior frontal gyrus of the tinnitus group had significantly greater functional connectivity that positively correlated with resting state activity in auditory cortex and negatively correlated with parts of occipital cortex.

Discussion

The participants with tinnitus also were in a study that evaluated staged periods of treatment with rTMS [46]. However, the current functional connectivity analysis focused exclusively on pre-treatment, baseline activity.

Chronic Tinnitus, a Possible Unique Usage Factor

A hypothesized mechanism for changes in functional connectivity is that altered usage induces changes in synaptic efficacy [14] that possibly contribute to neural plasticity in tinnitus [5]. If the underlying cause of tinnitus was hearing loss that then leads to persistent bothersome phantom sounds, the latter might be a usage factor consistent with connectivity differences in tinnitus. The



usage factor might be adaptations to living with persistent endogenous noise that involve attempts to dampdown or redirect attention away from the salience of these sounds. This would be a usage factor that could lead to re-organization of affected synapses connected through structural or indirect linkages between network components [42]. Such re-organized synaptic efficacy whose purpose is to ward-off the distractions from phantom sounds possibly underlies the observed connectivity differences in participants with tinnitus.

A consideration from the observed different connectivity patterns was that they arose as a consequence of living with tinnitus rather than as its cause. Thus, the functional connectivity differences in tinnitus possibly were in the affected regions rather than the instigators of phantom noises. Hypothetically, adaptive compensations to tinnitus altered functional connectivity. Alternatively (or additionally), the observed functional connectivity differences in tinnitus were maladaptive manifestations that perpetuated some associated neuropsychiatric sequelae, if not the ringing itself.

Peripheral auditory deafferentation effects in tinnitus patients [4,6-9] might be an alternative explanation for the observed connectivity changes as opposed to cognitive induced usage factors. Some peripheral damage was probable in the studied tinnitus group because they had moderate to severe hearing loss for the 8 kHz tones. Such a loss possibly reflected profound peripheral deafferentation that could have resulted in altered maps in auditory cortex [64]. Total deafferentation in individuals with complete blindness show functional connectivity changes [65] and that also lead to cross-modal activation of visual cortex by non-visual inputs [66]. These changes, however, occur where blindness is congenital or present before age 3. Cross-modal changes are less prevalent in adults with adventitious, late-onset blindness [66]. Tinnitus is an adult onset disease and the studied population still had relatively normal audiograms for lower frequencies. Although deafferentation induced plasticity occurs in adults, the changes frequently result in expanded cortical representation for the surviving modal specific inputs [10,11] even in tinnitus patients [64]. Consequently, the suggestion that the observed functional connectivity differences in tinnitus directly arose from deafferentation induced changes for high frequency sounds seems like a less parsimonious explanation. This speculation particularly does not reflect that sensory loss in adults leads to more limited, local changes in modal specific cortex whereas the observed functional connectivity alterations involved widespread consequences in several cortical regions. However, partial hearing loss might be contributory to changes in synaptic efficacy that then more prominently change from cognitive factors.

The network changes in the auditory, visual, attention, and control cortices observed with tinnitus reflected behavioral disruptions previously noted in patients with a bothersome tinnitus history. Patients with other chronic neuropathology also have altered functional connectivity [37-40]. In each instance, connectivity distinctions were unique. Thus, the current findings in tinnitus were also probably unique and distinguishable from connectivity distinctions in other chronic clinical conditions, like pain or traumatic stress disorders.

Connectivity Differences based on Positive and Negative Correlations

Major group differences in functional connectivity involved the negative correlations in the linkages between auditory and visual networks. Negative correlations indicate that when resting-state spontaneous BOLD response magnitudes increased in one location they decreased in the linked location. In tinnitus, activity in a primary auditory cortex seed region negatively correlated with activity in occipital/visual regions. Reciprocally in the tinnitus group, connectivity for the right primary visual cortex negatively correlated with activity in auditory cortex. These results imply that increases in activity in auditory and visual networks reciprocally caused a decrease of BOLD response magnitudes in each other.

Prior examples of blood flow decreases in sensory systems occurred in the cortex that normally processed the modality that was irrelevant to the engaged task [18,67,68]. These negative responses might reflect activation of inhibitory circuits through functional connectivity from the task-relevant modality to the cortical representation of the non-relevant sensory system [18]. In tinnitus, the phantom sounds might act to decrease activity in visual cortex because the visual system is "irrelevant" to processing the apparition of sounds in tinnitus. However, the distribution of negative correlations associated with the auditory or visual cortex seed regions included nonsensory cortex. Consequently, the observed differences between groups might reflect extensive changes in network activity additional to neural processing in an appropriate sensory cortex.

Prior functional connectivity studies showed systemwide differences in the distribution of negative/positive correlations when analyzing resting-state activity with a global brain signal regressed out of the computations [41]. Attention and default mode networks showed divergent fluctuations in spontaneous resting state activities characterized by negative temporal correlations [49]. These phase reversed BOLD response magnitudes between attention and default mode networks were labeled "anticorrelated" [41,49], suggesting that activity dedicated to events in the outside world (attention) necessarily differed from endogenous autobiographical references (DMN). In tinnitus, reciprocal connectivity based on negative correlations between activities in visual and auditory sensory networks possibly reflected comparable changes in brain functions leading to dissociation between the auditory and visual systems. Thus, a person with tinnitus might need to dissociate or suppress involuntary attention to the auditory system when processing visual inputs [26-28].

Observations of blood flow decreases or negative BOLD signals during tasks initially aided discovery of a default mode network (DMN) [20,69,70]. DMN is active at rest [16,19], particularly during self-referential behavior [71], but shows decreased activity during any task. In the current study, DMN was comparable between groups, indicating preservation of normal autobiographical reveries, recollections, and planning in tinnitus patients despite the presence of persistent phantom auditory sensations.

Phantom Noises as a Salience or Conflict Feature

Connectivity differences between groups also included cortex regions important for switching between conditions that conflict or have different salience [34,55,56]. Thus, the differences in tinnitus connectivity between the RV1 seed region and fronto-insular and attention network components might reflect an adaptation to reduce the salience of phantom noises in tinnitus and maintain attention on non-auditory events. Endogenous ringing sounds involuntarily capture attention in those with bothersome tinnitus. The hypothesized consequence of these effects is depletion in cognitive resources [15]. These sounds are not cued and do not require any specific goal-directed response. The exception is the goal of not allowing tinnitus to interfere with normal stimulus and cognitive processing. However, there is an on-going conflict between the tinnitus and other processing that partially relates to the salience of the two conditions.

These factors of conflict and salience might underlie the connectivity differences noted in the inferior frontal gyrus and rostral insular cortex [55,56].

The functional connectivity in inferior frontal and right anterior insula cortex might potentially regulate cognitive switching in conflict situations [56] or influence switching on the basis of salience for conditions that capture attention selectively compared to involuntarily [33,34,55]. Connectivity with fronto-insular cortex involved negative and positive correlations, respectively, from visual and auditory cortex. In one hypothetical model, the frontoinsular cortex is part of a salience network that drives switching by a central executive control network important to maintaining and adjusting attention [55]. In reference to tinnitus, the issue concerns the salience of phantom noise in contrast with some task-based condition. In this context, fronto-insular cortex might initiate resolution of conflicts between the salience of phantom noises and the more important non-tinnitus conditions that involve task specific, possibly visual processing. Consistent with the latter notion is evidence of activation of inferior frontal gyral and anterior insular regions during visual Stroop tasks with conflicts between congruent vs. incongruent or neutral conditions [56]. Phantom tinnitus noises might then represent the incongruent condition that conflict with processing visual inputs that represent congruent conditions. However, how fronto-insular cortex acts through phase-reversed resting-state visual cortex activity in tinnitus is unknown. In participants with tinnitus, negative correlations dominated the functional connectivity with the visual system for RAI and IFG. RAI and IFG had stronger positively correlated connectivity with primary auditory cortex, suggestive of a reinforced suppression of visual processes in tinnitus, which is an opposite effect from resolving conflicts that arise from tinnitus.

In another model, the rostral insula, inferior frontal, and posterior middle frontal cortex on the right act as executive control components in the attention system that regulate dorsal and ventral attention networks, which lack direct interconnections [33,34,72]. Activity in these control components might affect connectivity with the dorsal and ventral attention networks in the tinnitus group. However, the current results only showed connectivity distinctions between RV1 and rTPJ.

The right TPJ is a component of the salience, stimulusdriven ventral attention network [33,34]. Prior studies reported activation of rTPJ when attention reoriented to unexpected yet behaviorally noticeable stimulation [73-75]. However, suppression of rTPJ happened during stimulation that was not relevant to the goals of a task [76,77] or was a cued reorientation of attention [72]. In the current study, rTPJ showed a greater spatial extent of negative correlations in the tinnitus compared to the control group with the RV1 seed. Tinnitus is definitely irrelevant to all behavioral and cognitive searches. Goaldirected cognitive effort to examine some visual input and ignore tinnitus might thus necessitate spatially more extensive phase-reversed activity in rTPJ to prevent reorienting of attention to the tinnitus percept. This hypothesis was supported by previous work showing that goaldirected behavior, such as participating in visual search [76,77], suppressed rTPJ activity. Functional connectivity through negative correlations between RV1 and rTPJ might instantiate deactivation in tinnitus to prevent reorientation to distracting endogenous phantom sounds, which are not behaviorally relevant.

Connectivity for components of the dorsal attention system was similar in tinnitus and control groups, indicating that the presence of tinnitus did not affect the ability to voluntarily focus attention, a factor critical to behavioral treatment strategies for tinnitus [2,24,25].

Technical Factors

Two reviews of the neurobiology of tinnitus expressed concerns that divergent results amongst prior neuroimaging studies possibly reflected varied demography of tinnitus patients including differences in age and/or hearing loss, case studies or sample sizes of < 10 and only fixed as opposed to random effect statistics, non-equivalent data that also lacked spatial resolution, lack of data from control groups, and theoretical comparisons between microscopic measurements in animals and macroscopic imaging data from humans [3,7]. Many of these issues were not present in the current study. The sample was 34, equally divided into participants with and without bothersome tinnitus. Although the tinnitus group contained more males than the control group, this difference was not great. Furthermore, gender differences are not known to influence tinnitus symptoms or be a factor in the cortical regions found to show connectivity differences, although gender differences possibly can influence processing noise stimuli in primary auditory cortex [7]. Age, which influences hearing thresholds, closely matched between the two groups. The pure tone average threshold for both groups was ~25 dB HL for frequencies between 1 and 3 kHz. The tinnitus group, however, had hearing deficits for tones > 8 kHz, but hearing loss is a known precipitating factor leading to tinnitus, and having tinnitus was an inclusion factor in the current study. Because hearing loss in the studied tinnitus group was not extreme, we suggest that the most parsimonious basis for connectivity differences was the experienced persistent phantom noises as opposed to hearing deficit differences between the groups. Participants with tinnitus were similar in having bothersome symptoms with moderate to severe THI scores and loudness that varied from 5 to 9, all lacked hyperacusis, and they were free of severe depression.

Within and between group comparisons benefited from optimized spatial resolution of the imaging data. Surface rendering of the cortical surfaces respected the fiduciary anatomy of each hemisphere. Additionally, identification of standard anatomical landmarks in each hemisphere enabled spatial registration of data within and across groups with < 3% distortion to a common atlas space wherein statistical comparisons were executed [59]. Our analyses also included assessment of each case followed by random effect statistics of group data and family-wide error corrected statistics of group contrasts. The stringency of the TFCE permutation analysis might have been prone to Type II errors [63], suggested by evidence of clusters in the default mode system and temporoparietal junction that did not pass the cluster size significance threshold.

Conclusions

Tinnitus patients showed altered functional connectivity for auditory and visual networks compared to agematched controls. The connectivity differences between tinnitus and controls concerned negative correlations in tinnitus, indicating that when resting-state spontaneous BOLD response magnitudes increased in auditory cortex they decreased in visual cortex. Reciprocally in the tinnitus group, connectivity for the right primary visual cortex negatively correlated with activity in auditory cortex. These results imply that increases in activity in auditory and visual networks reciprocally decreased BOLD response magnitudes in each other. The functional connectivity in inferior frontal and right anterior insula cortex negatively correlated with the right primary visual cortex and positively correlated with auditory cortex. The frontoinsular cortex potentially provides executive control over switching attention between conflicting salient phantom noises and other conditions [33,34,55,56]. The differences in tinnitus connectivity might reflect an adaptation to reduce the salience of phantom noises in tinnitus and maintain attention on non-auditory events. Thus, goaldirected cognitive efforts to examine visual inputs and ignore tinnitus might necessitate phase-reversed activity to block reorienting of attention to the salient, but irrelevant tinnitus percept. These differences in tinnitus were consistent with the hypothesis that chronically accommodating to persistent bothersome phantom sounds induced changes in synaptic efficacy between functionally connected network components.

Acknowledgements

A grant from the National Institutes of Deafness and Other Communication Disorders (R01 DC009095 and R01 DC009095-02S1 for MB) supported this research. Support for AW was from a Training Grant (5T32DC000022-2) and a Translational Science Award (UL1RR024992). The National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke provided support for HB (R01 NS37237). The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the agencies of the National Institutes of Health.

The authors acknowledge the assistance of Edward L. Spitznagel, Jr. PhD and Dorina Kallogjeri, MD, MPH (P30DC004665) with suggested analysis of the data.

Author details

¹Department of Anatomy & Neurobiology and Department of Radiology, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, Missouri 63110, USA. ²Department of Otolaryngology - Head & Neck Surgery, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, Missouri 63110, USA. ³Department of Anatomy & Neurobiology, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, Missouri 63110, USA. ⁴Department of Otolaryngology - Head & Neck Surgery, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, Department of Psychiatry, University of Texas-Southwestern, Austin, Texas, 75390, USA. ⁶Department of Otolaryngology - Head & Neck Surgery, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, Missouri 63110, USA.

Authors' contributions

HB wrote the paper, conceived of the study design and data analysis, and interpreted the findings. AW contributed to the acquisition, analysis, and interpretation of the data. MB contributed to the analysis and interpretation of the data. JN contributed to the conception of the study and acquisition of the data. KSG conceived of the study. JFP contributed to the conception and design of the study.

All authors read and approved the manuscript.

Received: 4 November 2011 Accepted: 4 January 2012 Published: 4 January 2012

References

- Hoffman H, Reed G: Epidemiology of tinnitus. In *Tinnitus Theory and Management*. Edited by: Snow JB, Hamilton J. Ont.: B.C. Decker, Inc; 2004:16-41.
- Jastreboff P: Phantom auditory perception (tinnitus): mechanisms of generation and perception. Neurosci Res - Suppl 1990, 8(221-254).
- Adjamian P, Sereda M, Hall DA: The mechanisms of tinnitus: Perspectives from human functional neuroimaging. *Hear Res* 2009, 253:15-31.
- Eggermont JJ, Roberts LE: The neuroscience of tinnitus. Trends Neurosci 2004, 27:676-682.
- Møller AR: The role of neural plasticity in tinnitus. Prog Brain Res 2007, 166:37-45.
- Lanting CP, De Kleine E, Bartels H, Van Dijk P: Functional imaging of unilateral tinnitus using fMRI. Acta Otolaryngol 2008, 128(4):415-421.
- Lanting CP, de Kleine E, van Dijk P: Neural activity underlying tinnitus generation: results from PET and fMRI. Hear Res 2009, 255(1-2):1-13.
- Melcher JR, Sigalovsky IS, Guinan JJ, Levine RA: Lateralized tinnitus studied with functional magnetic resonance imaging: abnormal inferior colliculus activation. J Neurophysiol 2000, 83(2):1058-1072.
- Melcher J, Levine R, Bergevin C, B N: The auditory midbrain of people with tinnitus: abnormal sound-evoked activity revisited. *Hear Res* 2009, 2009(257):263-274.
- Bavelier D, Neville HJ: Cross-modal plasticity: where and how? Nat Rev Neurosci 2002, 3(6):443-452.
- 11. Buonomano DV, Merzenich MM: Cortical plasticity: From synapses to maps. *Annu Rev Neurosci* 1998, **21**:149-186.
- Dobie RA: Depression and tinnitus. Otolaryngol Clin North Am 2003, 36(2):383-388.
- Henry JA, Dennis KC, Schechter MA: General review of tinnitus: prevalence, mechanisms, effects, and management. J Speech Lang Hear Res 2005, 48(5):1204-1235.
- Dosenbach NU, Fair DA, Miezin FM, Cohen AL, Wenger KK, Dosenbach RA, Fox MD, Snyder AZ, Vincent JL, Raichle ME, et al: Distinct brain networks for adaptive and stable task control in humans. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2007, 104(26):11073-11078.
- 15. Stevens C, Walker G, Boyer M, Gallagher M: Severe tinnitus and its effect on selective and divided attention. *Int J Audiol* 2007, **46(5)**:208-216.
- Buckner RL, Andrews-Hanna JR, Schacter DL: The Brain's Default Network: Anatomy, Function, and Relevance to Disease. Ann NY Acad Sci 2008, 1124(1):1-38.

- Greicius MD, Menon V: Default-mode activity during a passive sensory task: uncoupled from deactivation but impacting activation. J Cogn Neurosci 2004, 16(9):1484-1492.
- 18. Gusnard DA, Raichle ME: Searching for a baseline: functional imaging and the resting human brain. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 2001, 2:685-694.
- Raichle ME, MacLeod AM, Snyder AZ, Powers WJ, Gusnard DA, Shulman GL: A default mode of brain function. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2001, 98(2):676-682.
- Shulman GL, Fiez JA, Corbetta M, Buckner RL, Miezin FM, Raichle ME, Petersen SE: Common blood flow changes across visual tasks: II. Decreases in cerebral cortex. J Cogn Neurosci 1997, 9:648-663.
- 21. Burton H, Snyder A, Raichle M: Default brain functionality in blind people. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2004.
- 22. Hallam RS, McKenna L, Shurlock L: Tinnitus impairs cognitive efficiency. Int J Audiol 2004, 43(4):218-226.
- 23. Møller AR: Similarities between severe tinnitus and chronic pain. *Journal* of the American Academy of Audioliology 2000, **11(3)**:115-124.
- 24. Searchfield GD, Morrison-Low J, Wise K: Object identification and attention training for treating tinnitus. *Prog Brain Res* 2007, **166**:441-460.
- Andersson G, Juris L, Classon E, Fredrikson M, Furmark T: Consequences of suppressing thoughts about tinnitus and the effects of cognitive distraction on brain activity in tinnitus patients. *Audiol Neurootol* 2006, 11(5):301-309.
- Tyler RS, Baker LJ: Difficulties experienced by tinnitus sufferers. J Speech Hear Disord 1983, 48(2):150-154.
- 27. Wilson PH, Henry J, Bowen M, Haralambous G: Tinnitus reaction questionnaire: psychometric properties of a measure of distress associated with tinnitus. *J Speech Hear Res* 1991, **34(1)**:197-201.
- Andersson G, Lyttkens L, Larsen HC: Distinguishing levels of tinnitus distress. Clin Otolaryngol Allied Sci 1999, 24(5):404-410.
- 29. Rossiter S, Stevens C, Walker G: Tinnitus and its effect on working memory and attention. J Speech Lang Hear Res 2006, 49(1):150-160.
- Cuny C, Norena A, El Massioui F, Chery-Croze S: Reduced attention shift in response to auditory changes in subjects with tinnitus. *Audiol Neurootol* 2004, 9(5):294-302.
- Plewnia C, Reimold M, Najib A, Brehm B, Reischl G, Plontke SK, Gerloff C: Dose-dependent attenuation of auditory phantom perception (tinnitus) by PET-guided repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation. *Hum Brain Mapp* 2007, 28(3):238-246.
- Mirz F, Pedersen B, Ishizu K, Johannsen P, Ovesen T, Stodkilde-Jorgensen H, Gjedde A: Positron emission tomography of cortical centers of tinnitus. *Hear Res* 1999, 134(1-2):133-144.
- 33. Corbetta M, Patel G, Shulman GL: The reorienting system of the human brain: from environment to theory of mind. *Neuron* 2008, 58(3):306-324.
- 34. Corbetta M, Shulman GL: Control of goal-directed and stimulus-driven attention in the brain. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 2002, **3(3)**:201-215.
- Fox MD, Corbetta M, Snyder AZ, Vincent JL, Raichle ME: Spontaneous neuronal activity distinguishes human dorsal and ventral attention systems. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2006, 103(26):10046-10051.
- Vincent JL, Kahn I, Snyder AZ, Raichle ME, Buckner RL: Evidence for a frontoparietal control system revealed by intrinsic functional connectivity. J Neurophysiol 2008, 100(6):3328-3342.
- He BJ, Shulman GL, Snyder AZ, Corbetta M: The role of impaired neuronal communication in neurological disorders. *Curr Opin Neurol* 2007, 20(6):655-660.
- He BJ, Snyder AZ, Vincent JL, Epstein A, Shulman GL, Corbetta M: Breakdown of functional connectivity in frontoparietal networks underlies behavioral deficits in spatial neglect. *Neuron* 2007, 53(6):905-918.
- Greicius MD, Srivastava G, Reiss AL, Menon V: Default-mode network activity distinguishes Alzheimer's disease from healthy aging: evidence from functional MRI. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2004, 101(13):4637-4642.
- Sheline YI, Barch DM, Price JL, Rundle MM, Vaishnavi SN, Snyder AZ, Mintun MA, Wang S, Coalson RS, Raichle ME: The default mode network and self-referential processes in depression. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2009, 106(6):1942-1947.
- Fox MD, Zhang D, Snyder AZ, Raichle ME: The global signal and observed anticorrelated resting state brain networks. J Neurophysiol 2009, 101(6):3270-3283.

- Honey CJ, Sporns O, Cammoun L, Gigandet X, Thiran JP, Meuli R, Hagmann P: Predicting human resting-state functional connectivity from structural connectivity. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2009, 106(6):2035-2040.
- Newman CW, Jacobson GP, Spitzer JB: Development of the Tinnitus Handicap Inventory. Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 1996, 122(2):143-148.
- McCombe A, Baguley D, Coles R, McKenna L, McKinney C, Windle-Taylor P: Guidelines for the grading of tinnitus severity: the results of a working group commissioned by the British Association of Otolaryngologists, Head and Neck Surgeons, 1999. *Clin Otolaryngol Allied Sci* 2001, 26:388-393.
- Beck AT, Ward CH, Mendelson M, Mock J, Erbaugh J: An inventory for measuring depression. Arch Gen Psychiatry 1961, 4:561-571.
- 46. Piccirillo J, Garcia K, Nicklaus J, Pierce K, Burton H, Viassenko A, Mintun M, Duddy D, Kallogjeri D, Spitznagel JE: Low-frequency repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation to the temporoparietal junction for tinnitus. Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2011, 137:221-228.
- Ojemann JG, Akbudak E, Snyder AZ, McKinstry RC, Raichle ME, Conturo TE: Anatomic localization and quantitative analysis of gradient refocused echo-planar fMRI susceptibility artifacts. *Neuroimage* 1997, 6:156-167.
- Fox MD, Snyder AZ, Zacks JM, Raichle ME: Coherent spontaneous activity accounts for trial-to-trial variability in human evoked brain responses. *Nat Neurosci* 2006, 9(1):23-25.
- Fox MD, Snyder AZ, Vincent JL, Corbetta M, Van Essen DC, Raichle ME: The human brain is intrinsically organized into dynamic, anticorrelated functional networks. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2005, 102(27):9673-9678.
- Vincent JL, Snyder AZ, Fox MD, Shannon BJ, Andrews JR, Raichle ME, Buckner RL: Coherent spontaneous activity identifies a hippocampalparietal memory network. J Neurophysiol 2006, 96(6):3517-3531.
- 51. Talairach J, Tournoux P: Coplanar Stereotaxic Atlas of the Human Brain New York: Thieme Medical; 1988.
- Lancaster JL, Glass TG, Lankipalli BR, Downs H, Mayberg H, Fox PT: A modality-independent approach to spatial normalization of tomographic images of the human brain. *Hum Brain Mapp* 1995, 3:209-223.
- Birn RM, Diamond JB, Smith MA, Bandettini PA: Separating respiratoryvariation-related fluctuations from neuronal-activity-related fluctuations in fMRI. *Neuroimage* 2006, 31(4):1536-1548.
- Cordes D, Haughton VM, Arfanakis K, Carew JD, Turski PA, Moritz CH, Quigley MA, Meyerand ME: Frequencies contributing to functional connectivity in the cerebral cortex in "resting-state" data. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2001, 22(7):1326-1333.
- Sridharan D, Levitin DJ, Menon V: A critical role for the right fronto-insular cortex in switching between central-executive and default-mode networks. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2008, 105(34):12569-12574.
- Roberts KL, Hall DA: Examining a supramodal network for conflict processing: A systematic review and novel functional magnetic resonance imaging data for related visual and auditory stroop tasks. J Cogn Neurosci 2008, 20(6):1063-1078.
- 57. Jenkins GM, Watts DG: Spectral Analysis and its Applications Boca Raton: Emerson-Adams Press; 1968.
- Burton H, Dixit S, Litkowski P, Wingert JR: Functional connectivity for somatosensory and motor cortex in spastic diplegia. *Somatosens Mot Res* 2009, 26(4):90-104.
- 59. Van Essen DC: A population-average, landmark- and surface-based (PALS) atlas of human cerebral cortex. *NeuroImage* 2005, 28:635-662.
- Hill J, Dierker D, Neil J, Inder T, Knutsen A, Harwell J, Coalson T, Van Essen D: A surface-based analysis of hemispheric asymmetries and folding of cerebral cortex in term-born human iinfants. *J Neurosci* 2010, 30(6):2268-2276.
- Nordahl CW, Dierker D, Mostafavi I, Schumann CM, Rivera SM, Amaral DG, Van Essen DC: Cortical folding abnormalities in autism revealed by surface-based morphometry. J Neurosci 2007, 27(43):11725-11735.
- 62. Holmes AP, Friston KJ: Generalisability, random effects and population inference. *Neuroimage* 1998, 7:S754.
- Smith SM, Nichols TE: Threshold-free cluster enhancement: Addressing problems of smoothing, threshold dependence and localisation in cluster inference. *NeuroImage* 2009, 44(1):83-98.
- 64. Muhlnickel W, Elbert T, Taub E, Flor H: Reorganization of auditory cortex in tinnitus. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1998, **95(17)**:10340-10343.

- Yu C, Liu Y, Li J, Zhou Y, Wang K, Tian L, Qin W, Jiang T, Li K: Altered functional connectivity of primary visual cortex in early blindness. *Hum Brain Mapp* 2008, 29(5):533-543.
- Burton H: Visual cortex activity in early and late blind people. J Neurosci 2003, 23:405-411.
- Drevets WC, Burton H, Videen TO, Snyder AZ, Simpson JR, Raichle ME: Blood flow changes in human somatosensory cortex during anticipated stimulation. *Nature* 1995, 373(6511):249-252.
- Haxby JV, Horwitz B, Ungerleider LG, Maisog JM, Pietrini P, Grady CL: The functional organization of human extrastriate cortex: a PET-rCBF study of selective attention to faces and locations. J Neurosci 1994, 14(11 Pt 1):6336-6353.
- Binder JR, Frost JA, Hammeke TA, Bellgowan PS, Rao SM, Cox RW: Conceptual processing during the conscious resting state. A functional MRI study. J Cogn Neurosci 1999, 11(1):80-95.
- Mazoyer B, Zago L, Mellet E, Bricogne S, Etard O, Houde O, Crivello F, Joliot M, Petit L, Tzourio-Mazoyer N: Cortical networks for working memory and executive functions sustain the conscious resting state in man. *Brain Res Bull* 2001, 54(3):287-298.
- 71. Buckner RL, Carroll DC: Self-projection and the brain. *Trends Cogn Sci* 2007, 11(2):49-57.
- Shulman GL, Astafiev SV, Franke D, Pope DL, Snyder AZ, McAvoy MP, Corbetta M: Interaction of stimulus-driven reorienting and expectation in ventral and dorsal frontoparietal and basal ganglia-cortical networks. J Neurosci 2009, 29(14):4392-4407.
- Corbetta M, Kincade JM, Ollinger JM, McAvoy MP, Shulman GL: Voluntary orienting is dissociated from target detection in human posterior parietal cortex. Nat Neurosci 2000, 3(3):292-297.
- Downar J, Crawley AP, Mikulis DJ, Davis KD: A multimodal cortical network for the detection of changes in the sensory environment. *Nat Neurosci* 2000, 3(3):277-283.
- Downar J, Crawley AP, Mikulis DJ, Davis KD: A cortical network sensitive to stimulus salience in a neutral behavioral context across multiple sensory modalities. J Neurophysiol 2002, 87(1):615-620.
- Shulman GL, Astafiev SV, McAvoy MP, d'Avossa G, Corbetta M: Right TPJ deactivation during visual search: functional significance and support for a filter hypothesis. *Cereb Cortex* 2007, 17(11):2625-2633.
- Shulman GL, McAvoy MP, Cowan MC, Astafiev SV, Tansy AP, d'Avossa G, Corbetta M: Quantitative analysis of attention and detection signals during visual search. J Neurophysiol 2003, 90(5):3384-3397.

doi:10.1186/1471-2202-13-3

Cite this article as: Burton *et al.*: Altered networks in bothersome tinnitus: a functional connectivity study. *BMC Neuroscience* 2012 13:3.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

BioMed Central

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit