Washington University School of Medicine Digital Commons@Becker

Posters

2010: Disentangling the Genetics of Alcoholism: Understanding Pathophysiology and Improving Treatment

2010

College students' drinking patterns, impulsivity, and estimation of peers' drinking patterns

Rachelle L. Yankelevitz Oregon Health & Science University

Suzanne H. Mitchell Oregon Health & Science University

Yueping Zhang Lewis & Clark College

Follow this and additional works at: http://digitalcommons.wustl.edu/guzeposter2010 Part of the <u>Medicine and Health Sciences Commons</u>

Recommended Citation

Yankelevitz, Rachelle L.; Mitchell, Suzanne H.; and Zhang, Yueping, "College students' drinking patterns, impulsivity, and estimation of peers' drinking patterns" (2010). *Posters*. Paper 24 Samuel B. Guze Symposium on Alcoholism. http://digitalcommons.wustl.edu/guzeposter2010/24

This Poster is brought to you for free and open access by the 2010: Disentangling the Genetics of Alcoholism: Understanding Pathophysiology and Improving Treatment at Digital Commons@Becker. It has been accepted for inclusion in Posters by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons@Becker. For more information, please contact engeszer@wustl.edu.



College students' drinking patterns, impulsivity, and estimation of peers' drinking patterns

Rachelle L. Yankelevitz¹, Suzanne H. Mitchell¹, & Yueping Zhang² ¹Oregon Health & Science University; ²Lewis & Clark College

Abstract

The current study investigated how college students' drinking patterns were associated with performance on tasks assessing impulsive choice for two different hypothetical commodities: money and alcohol. Participants made a series of choices between gaining a smaller amount of money immediately, or gaining \$100 after a delay of 7, 30, or 60 days. In a second task, participants chose between gaining a smaller quantity of alcohol immediately, or gaining a larger amount (10 bottles of wine or 10 six-packs of beer, depending on the participant's preference for beer or wine, established before the task) after the above delays. As expected, increasing the delay to receipt of the delayed reward (\$100 or alcohol) systematically decreased the subjective value of that outcome. Wine drinkers discounted monetary and alcohol rewards slightly less than did beer drinkers. Compared to those who drank fewer drinks per sitting, students who drank more showed an increased sensitivity to delay to monetary outcomes, but not to alcohol outcomes, and were less accurate at estimating their peers' number of drinks per sitting. Future directions include refinement of procedures used to compare impulsive choice between commodities, such as using individually-determined alcohol-unit values in formulating alcohol-discounting questions.

Delay Discounting continued

To assess the rate at which the delayed outcome was discounted, we fitted a hyperbolic equation to each participant's indifference points (V):

 $1 + k \cdot Delay _ to _ delayed _ reward$ • **k**: Fitted parameter; represents the steepness of the discounting function.

Larger values indicate lower indifference points, a greater preference for

Introduction

College students' heavy drinking is related to a variety of negative outcomes (Jennison, K.M., 2004. Amer J Drug Alcohol Abuse 30, 659-684.).

- Heavy alcohol use is associated with more-steeply discounting the value of delayed monetary and alcohol rewards relative to that of light-drinking controls (Petry, N.M., 2001. Psychopharm 154, 243–250.).
- Alcohol use among college students is linked to overestimating the number of drinks peers consume (Perkins, H.W., 2002. J Stud Alcohol, Supp. No. 14, 164–172.).

the immediate alternative, and are interpreted as indicating greater impulsivity.

Results

Participants discounted alcohol more than they did monetary rewards (Task: F[1, 50] = 11.20, p = .002)

Wine-preferring participants discounted money and alcohol rewards less than did beer-preferring participants (F[1, 50] = 4.19, p = .046)

(* p < 0.05 for paired t-tests comparing indifference points at each delay)



Wine preferring (N=15)



We asked whether college students' heavy drinking correlated with higher impulsivity, and we sought to replicate the peer-drinking overestimation effect.

Participants

36 female and 24 male students attending Lewis & Clark College, Portland OR

Dependent Variables

Estimates of peers' drinking patterns – College Behavioral Norm Questionnaire

- Descriptions of own drinking patterns College Drinking Survey
- Delay discounting task performance (see description) Delay Discounting Task

Choice questions were presented one at a time, in random order, on the computer screen. For each question, participants selected which of two hypothetical alternatives they preferred. They completed the task once for monetary rewards and once for alcohol rewards.

- Number of drinks consumed/sitting was positively correlated with $\ln(k)_{\$}$ (r=.34, n=56, p = .012) but not associated with $ln(k)_{alc}$
- Number of drinks consumed/sitting was positively correlated with the difference between estimated and actual drinks consumed per sitting for the average student (r=.53, n=60, p=.00)



Monetary rewards:



Alcohol rewards (participant indicated beer or wine preference before task):

OR

Small Alcohol Now: OR Variable number of 6-packs of beer or bottles of wine (0 - 10) available now

Large Alcohol Later: 10 6-packs of beer or 10 bottles of wine in 7, 30, or 60 days

Large \$\$ Later:

\$100 available in 7, 30, or 60 days

Assessed the amount of money or alcohol at which each participant was indifferent between the amount available immediately and the amount available later (indifference point).

- College students' alcohol preferences and consumption levels are positively related to discounting delayed monetary rewards and hence their impulsivity.
- Future studies could include pre-experimental rapid determination of individual demand curves for alcohol types to better-equate compared commodities.

The authors thank Vanessa Wilson, Sarah Tappon, Theresa Hennings, Iris Kemp, and Hillary Galloway-Long for their valuable input. Support for data collection at Lewis & Clark University was provided by the John S. Rogers Research Program and the Sherman Fairchild Foundation, and the presenting author is supported by NIAAA T32 AA007468.