



Archive-It @ Georgetown Law

What We're Doing & What We *Wish* We Were Doing

Archive-It Partner Meeting
Washington, D.C.
Nov. 4, 2009



Overview

- Archive-It at the Georgetown Law Library
- How Archive-It fits within our existing digital preservation activities
- Future library Archive-It collections
- A wish list of feature enhancements



Archive-It @ the Georgetown Law Library



- Archive-It account activated March 2009
- Our priority: to preserve
Georgetown Law Center Web content

You are viewing an archived web page, collected at the request of Georgetown Law Library using [Archive-It](#). This page was captured on 20:50:26 Mar 16, 2009, and is part of the [Georgetown Law Center](#) collection. The information on this web page may be out of date. See [All versions](#) of this archived page.

[[hide](#)]



[Academic Programs](#)

[Admissions & Financial Aid](#)

[Student Services](#)

[Library](#)

[Workshops & Institutes](#)

[Alumni](#)



10.2.08 | Interview with Sandra Day O'Connor

Georgetown Law Dean T. Alexander Aleinikoff speaks with the retired associate Supreme Court justice about her efforts to promote discussions on the topic of judicial independence.

[Entire interview](#)

BY TOPIC

- [Attacks on judicial independence](#)
- [Need for education](#)
- [Our Courts project](#)
- [Selection process for state judges](#)
- [Current trends](#)

10.2.08 | Interview with Stephen Breyer

Georgetown Law Dean T. Alexander Aleinikoff speaks with the Supreme Court associate justice about judicial independence.

[Entire interview](#)

BY TOPIC

- [Attacks on judicial independence](#)
- [Public perception of judiciary](#)
- [Judicial pay](#)
- [Judicial elections](#)
- [Nomination process](#)



A Secondary Preservation Priority

To support the library's existing digital preservation activities, which have been focused on the preservation of Web-published law- and policy-related materials



Digital Preservation @ the Georgetown Law Library

- The Chesapeake Project Legal Information Archive (www.legalinfoarchive.org)
- A collaborative digital preservation project
- OCLC tools: CONTENTdm, Digital Archive, Connexion Digital Import, Web Harvester
- “Discrete information packages” archived



Search for print and online versions of treatises, form books, law reviews, task force reports, and government publications available at the Library.

Start
Over

Save To
List

MARC
Display

(Search History)

RECORD #

b1098637

Search

TITLE **Reforming redistricting [electronic resource] : why popular initiatives to establish redistricting commissions succeed or fail / by Nicholas Stephanopoulos.**

AUTHOR Stephanopoulos, Nicholas.

PUBLISHER [Washington, D.C.] : American Constitution Society for Law and Policy, 2007.

AVAILABLE ONLINE:

[Connect to original electronic version](#)

[Connect to archived electronic version](#)

Create a permanent link to this item

DESCRIPTION 31 p. : ill., tables, digital, PDF file.

NOTE Title from title screen (viewed Oct. 29, 2007).
"March 2007."
Also available in the OCLC Digital Archive. Harvested from <http://www.acslaw.org/files/Stephanopoulos%20-%20Redistricting%20Initiatives%20March%202007.pdf> on 2007-04-16.

SYSTEM DET Mode of access: World Wide Web.
System requirements: Adobe Acrobat Reader.


SUBJECT Apportionment (Election law) -- United States.
Gerrymandering -- United States.
Election districts -- United States.






Reforming redistricting why popular initiatives to establish redistricting commissions succeed or fail

Open PDF in new window | Go to PDF description





**AMERICAN
CONSTITUTION
SOCIETY FOR
LAW AND POLICY**

Reforming Redistricting: Why Popular Initiatives To Establish Redistricting Commissions Succeed or Fail

Title	Reforming redistricting why popular initiatives to establish redistricting commissions succeed or fail
PDI Title	Reforming redistricting : why popular initiatives to establish redistricting commissions succeed or fail
Creator	Stephanopoulos, Nicholas.
Rights	This work may be protected by copyright. It was originally published on the free Web and has been harvested for preservation purposes under a claim of fair use. Please consult the work itself for additional statements regarding copyright ownership and permissions.
Date Issued	2007
Subject	Apportionment (Election law)--United States.; Gerrymandering--United States.; Election districts--United States.
Description	Title from title screen (viewed Oct. 29, 2007).; "March 2007.;" Also available in the OCLC Digital Archive. Harvested from http://www.acslaw.org/files/Stephanopoulos%20-%20Redistricting%20Initiatives%20March%202007.pdf on 2007-04-16.; Harvested from the web on 4/16/07
Publisher	American Constitution Society for Law and Policy

Search for items:

 [Advanced Search](#)[<< Return to Search Results](#)

Reforming redistricting why popular initiatives to establish redistricting commissions succeed or fail

by [Nicholas Stephanopoulos](#); [American Constitution Society](#).☆☆☆☆☆
(not yet rated)Type:  eBook : Document, English

Publisher: [Washington, D.C.] : American Constitution Society for Law and Policy, 2007.

OCLC: 179690412

Related Subjects: [Apportionment \(Election law\) -- United States](#) | [Gerrymandering -- United States](#) | [Election districts -- United States](#).Citations: [Cite this item](#) | [Export to EndNote](#) | [Export to RefWorks](#)Additional Info: [Connect to electronic version](#) | [Online version](#)[Web](#) [Images](#) [Maps](#) [News](#) [Video](#) [Gmail](#) [more](#) ▼[Sign in](#)[Advanced Search](#)
[Preferences](#)Web Results 1 - 10 of about 423 for **Reforming redistricting why popular initiatives to establish redistricting commissions succeed or f**

[Votelaw, Edward Still's blog on law and politics](#)

"Reforming Redistricting: Why Popular Initiatives To Establish Redistricting Commissions Succeed or Fail. decreasing the black population of the adjacent 4th District, encompassing **Chesapeake**, from 39.4 percent to 33.6 percent. ...

www.votelaw.com/blog/archives/redistricting/ - 977k - [Cached](#) - [Similar pages](#)

[The Chesapeake Project : Search Results](#)

Reforming redistricting why popular initiatives to establish redistricting commissions succeed or fail, Apportionment (Election law)--United States: ...

cdm266901.cdmhost.com/cdm4/results.php?CISOBBOX1=apart&CISOFIELD1=CISOSEARCHALL&CISOOP1=any... - 91k - [Cached](#) - [Similar pages](#)





Digital Preservation @ the Georgetown Law Library

- Bibliographic treatment of archived content central to OCLC system
- Upload/ingest of individual files using CDI
- Web sites harvested using Web Harvester
- IA's Heritrix Web crawler used by OCLC

CONTENTdm Collection : Item Vie... Welcome! | The Schott 50 State Report

Legal Information Archive

THE CHESAPEAKE


home : browse : advanced search

add to favorites : reference url

Title Given hal
PDI.Title Given hal
Title-Alternative Schott 50
Subject African Ar
 American schools--I
Rights This work
 claim of fa
 provided
 believe th
 be remov
Date-Issued 2004-
Description Title from
 Report or
 and anyo
 states." -
 access: V
Publisher Schott Fo
Contributors Schott Fo
Type Text
Format HTML
URL-Identifier http://ww
Language eng
Relation http://wo
Format-Extent digital, PE
Relation-Requires Mode of access: Internet. Address as of 9/4/2008: http://www.blackboysreport.org/
PDI Date 2008

The Schott 50 State Report
Black Male Data Portal

The Schott Foundation for Public Education



- Home
- The Schott 50 State Report
- Executive Summary
- Schott Education Inequity

Done zotero

Stand-alone Web site publication



Library Catalog at GEORGETOWN LAW

Call # K3 .A8 Electronic
 Title **Cato Supreme Court review**
 Imprint Washington, D.C. : Center for

[Connect to elec](#)

BOOKMARK

Copy Status Contents Find

Identity
 Location
 Library Has

[Permalink](#)

Georgetown University Law

OCLC - Mozilla Firefox

File Edit View History Bookmarks Tools Help

http://worldcat.org/odc/51638781/viewonline

Georgetown Law Library

OCLC

Items 1-7 of 7

	Object	Titles
1.		Cato Supreme Court review. 2007-2008 2008
2.		Cato Supreme Court review. 2006-2007 2007
3.		Cato Supreme Court review. 2005-2006 2006

Done






zotero

Done


zotero

Annual/serial Web publication

Items 1-6 of 6

	Object	Titles
1.		Housing in the nation's capital ... 2007 2007
2.		Housing in the nation's capital ... 2006 2006
3.		Housing in the nation's capital ... 2005 2005
4.		Housing in the nation's capital ... 2004 2004
5.		Housing in the nation's capital ... 2003 2003

Hybrid Web site/PDF serial publication



PDF report with companion Web site



The Chesapeake Project: Benefits

- Discovery and access by users, treatment/display of serials and stand-alone content
- Interface customization
- Ability to harvest Web site “companions” to online publications
- Collaborative licensing with partner libraries



The Chesapeake Project: Disadvantages

- Time-consuming archiving process
- Cost per GB can add up when Web archiving
- Collaborative structure = collection limitations based on project priorities, shared copyright policies must be considered



Future Archive-It Collections @ the Georgetown Law Library

- Curated content for legal subjects and government information
 - Example ideas:
 - Online content cited in Journals
 - Supreme Court tracking
 - Government agency websites
 - International organizations
 - Course content

A Wish List of Archive-It Enhancements

- Display and access flexibility
 - Branding, Chronological navigation
 - Style/display customization





A Wish List of Archive-It Enhancements

- Integration and annotation options
 - Embedding archived content
 - Annotation and context features
 - Collaboration and timeline tracking
- Examples and Inspiration
 - Google Side Wiki
 - Google Wave
 - Fedthread



A Wish List of Archive-It Enhancements

- Access-controlled browse options
 - Allow collection access to authorized users (or to on-site users)
 - Offline browsing to ensure data preservation and meaningful ownership
- Better search and visualization options
 - Post-search filtering (e.g. date, domain)
 - Chronological display or walk-through



Questions & Dialogue

- We'd love to hear what others are doing
- Partnering & dialogue on:
 - content selection
 - interface enhancements



Thank You

Roger Skalbeck
Associate Law Librarian, Electronic
Resources & Services
Georgetown Law Library
rvs5@law.georgetown.edu

Sarah Rhodes
Digital Collections Librarian
Georgetown Law Library
sjr36@law.georgetown.edu