

#### **Georgetown University Law Center** Scholarship @ GEORGETOWN LAW

2000

## Supplemental Brief of Respondents Al Gore Jr. and Florida Democratic Party, Bush v. Palm Beach County Canvassing Bd., No. 00-836 (U.S. Nov. 30, 2000)

Neal K. Katyal Georgetown University Law Center

Peter J. Rubin Georgetown University Law Center

Docket No. 00-836

This paper can be downloaded free of charge from: http://scholarship.law.georgetown.edu/scb/12

This open-access article is brought to you by the Georgetown Law Library. Posted with permission of the author. Follow this and additional works at: http://scholarship.law.georgetown.edu/scb



IN THE

### **Supreme Court of the United States**

George W. Bush, *Petitioner*, v.

Palm Beach County Canvassing Board, et al., Respondents.

On Writ of Certiorari to the Supreme Court of Florida

#### SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF OF RESPONDENTS AL GORE, JR., AND FLORIDA DEMOCRATIC PARTY

(Additional Counsel Listed In Signature Block)

Laurence H. Tribe (Counsel of Record) Hauser Hall 420

1575 Massachusetts Ave. Cambridge, MA 02138

November 30, 2000

## I. PETITIONER'S DUE PROCESS CLAIM WAS NOT PROPERLY RAISED AND HAS NO MERIT.

Petitioner's opening brief contained no separate due process argument and mentioned the phrases "due process" and "fundamental fairness" only in passing, as part of a discussion of *Roe* v. *Alabama*, 43 F.3d 474 (CA 11 1995) (per curiam). See Pet.Br. 28. Accordingly, Respondents had no occasion to respond to any due process issue in their reply brief. However, Petitioner's reply brief now seeks to resurrect a due process claim. Pet. Reply Br.18-19. That attempt is improper, both because the claim was not raised adequately in the opening brief and because it seeks to smuggle in questions relating to the conduct of manual recounts on which this Court has denied certiorari. In any event the claim has no merit. The Florida Supreme Court's decision did not constitute a retroactive change in the law at all. Even if it had constituted such a change, it would not have deprived Petitioner of any protected liberty or property interest or worked any unfairness, for the reasons we have already stated in our opening brief, at pp. 44-49.

# II. THE QUESTION WHETHER THE FLORIDA LEGISLATURE CAN AT THIS TIME APPOINT ITS OWN ELECTORS BY STATUTE IS NOT BEFORE THIS COURT FOR DECISION.

Petitioner's Reply Brief continues to press arguments that simply are not encompassed by the questions that this Court agreed to decide. Thus, Petitioner and his *amici* have continually raised the possibility that the Florida Legislature might convene to enact legislation intended to appoint Florida's electors if the State's courts fail conclusively to decide the disputes pending before them by December 12th. Pet. Reply Br. at 19-20. The validity of speculative

future conduct by the Florida Legislature is not before this Court. It is quite clear in particular that the question whether such state legislation in the wake of an election is authorized by 3 U.S.C. § 2 is not before this Court for decision. The questions presented by petitioners and the additional question suggested by this Court are directed entirely at the validity of the Florida Supreme Court's action as measured against 3 U.S.C. § 5 and Article II, § 1, cl. 2 of the United States Constitution. Webster v. Doe, 486 U.S. 592 (1988) (question not presented in petition for certiorari will not be considered); General Talking Pictures Corp. v. Western Electric Co., 304 U.S. 175, 177-78 (1938).

#### **CONCLUSION**

The judgment should be affirmed.

Respectfully submitted,

Teresa Wynn Roseborough David I. Adelman James A. Orr John H. Fleming 999 Peachtree St, NE Atlanta, GA 30309

Ronald A. Klain Andrew J. Pincus c/o Gore/Lieberman Recount 430 S. Capitol St. Washington, DC 20003

Kendall Coffey Coffey Diaz & O'Naghten 2665 South Bayshore Dr. Miami, FL 33133 Laurence H. Tribe (Counsel of Record) Hauser Hall 420 1575 Massachusetts Ave. Cambridge, MA 02138

David Boies Boies, Schiller & Flexner 80 Business Park Dr., Ste. 110 Armonk, NY 10504

Kathleen M. Sullivan 559 Nathan Abbott Way Stanford, CA 94305

Thomas C. Goldstein Amy Howe 4607 Asbury Pl., NW Washington, DC 20016 Jonathan S. Massey 3920 Northampton St., NW

Washington, DC 20015

November 30, 2000

Peter J. Rubin Neal K. Katyal

Georgetown Univ. Law Ctr. 600 New Jersey Ave., NW Washington, DC 20001