

## Interpolation sequence for the spaces $H_+^q(\phi)$ ( $q \geq 1$ )

Maher M. H. MARZUQ

**Abstract.** Let  $\phi$  be a subadditive increasing real valued function defined on  $[0, \infty)$  and which satisfies  $\phi(x) = 0$  if and only if  $x = 0$ . For  $q \geq 1$  we define  $H^q(\phi)$  to be the set of all functions  $f$  which are analytic in the open unit disc and satisfy

$$\sup_{0 \leq r < 1} \int_0^{2\pi} [\phi(|f(re^{i\theta})|)]^q d\theta < \infty.$$

And  $H_+^q(\phi)$  to be the subspace of  $H^q(\phi)$  of functions which satisfy

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \int_0^{2\pi} [\phi(|f(re^{i\theta})|)]^q d\theta = \int_0^{2\pi} [\phi(|f(e^{i\theta})|)]^q d\theta.$$

In this paper we prove some interpolation theorems for  $H_+^q(\phi)$

### 1. Introduction

Let us recall some definitions. We call a real-valued function  $\phi$  defined on  $[0, \infty)$  a modulus function, if  $\phi$  is an increasing continuous subadditive function that satisfies the condition that  $\phi(x) = 0$  if and only if  $x = 0$ .

Let  $q \geq 1$ . By the class  $H^q(\phi)$  we mean the collection of all analytic functions  $f$  defined in the open unit disc  $\Delta$  which satisfy

$$\sup_{0 \leq r < 1} \int_0^{2\pi} [\phi(|f(re^{i\theta})|)]^q d\theta < \infty.$$

For  $q = 1$  the spaces were studied in details in [2, 3]. If  $\phi(x) = x$ , then  $H^q(\phi)$  becomes the usual Banach space  $H^q$ . For  $\phi(x) = x^p$ ,  $0 < p \leq 1$

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46B45, 46A45.

and  $q = 1$ , then  $H^q(\phi)$  becomes the usual  $F$ -spaces  $H^q$ . If  $q = 1$  and  $\phi(x) = \log(1+x^p)$ , then  $H^q(\phi)$  becomes  $N_p$ . If  $q = 1$  and  $\phi(x) = \log(1+x)$ , then  $H^q(\phi)$  becomes the class  $N$  of functions of bounded characteristic. For  $N_p = N_+$  for  $0 < p \leq 1$  [8], where

$$\begin{aligned} N_p &= \{f \in H^+(D) \cap H^p(\phi) : \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \int_0^{2\pi} \log(1 + |f(re^{i\theta})|^p) d\theta \\ &= \int_0^{2\pi} \log(1 + |f(e^{i\theta})|^p) d\theta\}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$N^+ = \{f \in N : \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \int_0^{2\pi} \log^+(|f(re^{it})|) dt = \int_0^{2\pi} \log^+(|f(e^{it})|) dt\}.$$

In general the spaces  $H^q(\phi)$  are not  $F$ -Spaces; see [13, p. 453] for an example. We shall assume that  $\phi$  satisfies the additional condition that  $\phi(e^t)$  is a convex function of  $t$ , and consequently  $H^q(\phi) \subseteq N$ .

A function  $f \in H^q(\phi)$  is said to belong to the class  $H_+^q(\phi)$  if

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \int_0^{2\pi} [\varphi(|f(re^{i\theta})|)]^q d\theta = \int_0^{2\pi} [\varphi(|f(e^{i\theta})|)]^q d\theta.$$

For the class  $H_+^q(\phi)$ , which is a vector space, we define a distance function by

$$\rho(f, 0) = \left[ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} [\varphi(|f(e^{i\theta})|)]^q d\theta \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \quad (1.1)$$

For the spaces  $H^q(p \geq 1)$ ,  $H^q(0 < p \leq 1)$ , [5],  $N_+$  [13]  $N_p^+(p > 0)$  and  $(\log^+ H)^\alpha(a > 1)$ , [12] become special cases of  $H_+^q(\phi)$ .

Let  $\alpha = (\alpha_n) = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \dots)$ , be a sequence of real numbers such that  $\alpha_n \rightarrow 0$ .

Let

$$l^q(\phi, \alpha) = \{(c_n) : c_n \in C \text{ and } d((c_n), 0) = \left[ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n [\varphi(|c_n|)]^q \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} < \infty\}$$

Let  $X$  be the class of analytic functions in  $\Delta$  and  $\{z_n\}$  is a given sequence in  $\Delta$ . When a complex sequence  $\{c_n\}$  is given, the interpolation problem asks if a function  $f \in X$  exists such that  $f\{z_n\} = c_n$  for all  $n$ .

Let  $Y$  be a class of complex sequences. If for every sequence  $(c_n) \in Y$  there is an  $f \in X$  such that  $f\{z_n\} = c_n$ , then the sequence  $\{z_n\}$  is called a universal interpolation sequence for the pair  $(X, Y)$ , simply written  $z_n$  is u. i. s. for  $(X, Y)$ . We are interested in the pair  $H_+^q(\phi), l^q(\phi, \alpha)$  where  $\alpha = (1 - |z_n|^2)$ .

A sequence  $\{z_n\}$  in  $\Delta$  is called uniformly separated sequence (u. s. s.) if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - |z_n|) < \infty, \text{ and } \prod_{\substack{n=1 \\ m \neq n}}^{\infty} \left| \frac{z_n - z_m}{1 - \bar{z}_m z_n} \right| \geq \delta > 0 \quad (m = 1, 2, \dots).$$

L. Carleson [1] showed that  $\{z_n\}$  is u. i. s. for  $(H^\infty, l^\infty)$  if and only if  $\{z_n\}$  is u. s. s. For the pair  $(H_+^q(\phi), l^q(\phi, \alpha))$  we have the following results: for  $q \geq 1, \phi(x) = x$ , [11] proved that  $\{z_n\}$  is u. i. s. for  $(H_+^q(\phi), l^q(\phi, \alpha))$  if and only if  $\{z_n\}$  is u. s. s. The same result was proved for  $q = 1$  and  $\phi(x) = x^p, 0 < p \leq 1$  by [9]. For  $q = 1, \phi(x) = \log(1 + x)$ , [14] showed that  $\{z_n\}$  is u. s. s., then  $\{z_n\}$  is u. i. s. and if  $\{z_n\}$  is u. i. s., then

$$(1 - |z_n|^2) \log \frac{1}{|B_n(z_n)|} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

where

$$B_n(z) = \prod_{\substack{m=1 \\ m \neq n}}^{\infty} \frac{|z_m|}{z_m} \frac{z_m - z}{1 - \bar{z}_m z}.$$

In this paper we obtain results which generalize the above mentioned results.

In section 2 we will prove that  $H_+^q(\phi)$  and  $l^q(\phi, \alpha)$  are  $F$ -spaces in the sense of Banach, [4].

In section 3 we prove that if  $\{z_n\}$  is u. s. s. then  $\{z_n\}$  is u. i. s. for  $(H_+^q(\phi), l^q(\phi, \alpha))$ , we also proved that if  $\phi$  satisfies  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \phi^{-1}(ax)\phi^{-1}(\frac{1}{x}) < \infty$  for all real  $a$  and  $T_{\phi, q}(H_+^q(\phi)) = l^q(\phi, \alpha)$  then  $\{z_n\}$  is u. s. s. where

$$T_{\phi, q}(f(z)) = \left( (\phi^{-1}(1 - |z_n|^2))^{\frac{1}{q}-1} f(z_n) \right).$$

## 2. The spaces $H_+^q(\phi), l^q(\phi, \alpha)$ .

In this section we will show that the spaces  $H_+^q(\phi)$  and  $l^q(\phi, \alpha)$  are  $F$ -spaces.

**Theorem 2.1.**  $H_+^q(\phi)$  is an  $F$ -space in the sense of Banach,[4]. That is

- i. Let  $f_n$  be functions in  $H_+^q(\phi)$  such that  $\rho(f_n, 0) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Then for any  $\alpha \in C$ ,  $\rho(\alpha f_n, 0) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .
- ii. Let  $\alpha_n \in C$  be such that  $\alpha_n \rightarrow 0$ . Then for each  $f \in H_+^q(\phi)$ ,  $\rho(\alpha_n f, 0) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .
- iii.  $H_+^q(\phi)$  is complete with respect to the metric (1.1).

*Proof.* i. Suppose  $\{f_n\}$  is a sequence in  $H_+^q(\phi)$ ,  $\rho(f_n, 0) \rightarrow 0$  and  $\beta \in C$ . Now

$$\rho(\beta f_n, 0) = \left( \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} [\varphi(|\beta f_n(\theta)|)^q d\theta] \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq [|\beta| + 1] \rho(f_n, 0) \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $[|\beta|]$  is the greatest integer in  $|\beta|$ .

- ii. Suppose  $\beta_n \in C$ ,  $\beta_n \rightarrow 0$  and  $f \in H_+^q(\phi)$ , without loss of generality we may assume  $|\beta_n| \leq 1$ , so

$$[\varphi(|\beta_n f|)]^q \leq [\varphi(|f|)]^q \text{ and } \varphi(|\beta_n f(\theta)|) \rightarrow 0 \text{ a. e.}$$

Hence by Lebesgue convergence theorem we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} [\varphi(|\beta_n f(\theta)|)]^q d\theta = 0.$$

Thus  $\rho(\beta_n f, 0) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

- iii. Suppose  $\{f_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $H_+^q(\phi)$ . By Lemma 3 in [2] applied to  $[\varphi(|f|)]^q$  which is subharmonic for  $q \geq 1$ , from [6, Lemma 5.1], we get

$$|f(z)| \leq \varphi^{-1} \left( \frac{c\rho(f, 0)}{\left( (1 - |z|)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right)} \right) \text{ for } z \in \Delta.$$

Therefore

$$|f_n(z) - f_m(z)| \leq \varphi^{-1} \left( \frac{c\rho(f_n, f_m)}{\left( (1 - r)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right)} \right) < \varepsilon$$

for  $n, m > N(\varepsilon)$  and for all  $z \in \{w : |w| \leq r < 1\}$ . Hence  $\{f_n(z)\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $C$ . Since  $\{f_n(z)\}$  converges uniformly on compact subsets of  $\Delta$  and  $f_n(z)$  is analytic, then  $\{f_n\}$  converges to an analytic function  $f$ . Clearly,  $\{\phi(|f_n|)\}$  converges uniformly on compact subsets to  $\phi(|f|)$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{2\pi} [\varphi(|f(re^{i\theta})|)]^q d\theta &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{2\pi} [\varphi(|f_n(re^{i\theta})|)]^q d\theta \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{2\pi} [\varphi(|f_n(\theta)|)]^q d\theta \leq M, \end{aligned}$$

hence,  $f \in H^q(\phi)$ . But  $H^q(\phi) \subseteq N$ , so  $\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} f(re^{i\theta}) = f(\theta)$  a. e. and  $f_n(\theta) \rightarrow f(\theta)$  in measure. Now choose a subsequence  $f_{n_j}$  such that  $f_{n_j}(\theta) \rightarrow f(\theta)$  a. e., then

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(f, f_n) &= \left( \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} [\varphi(|f(\theta) - f_n(\theta)|)]^q d\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\leq \liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} [\varphi(|f_{n_j}(\theta) - f_n(\theta)|)]^q d\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\leq \rho(f_{n_j}, f_n) + \varepsilon \quad \text{for large } j. \end{aligned}$$

Thus if  $n_j$  and  $n$  are sufficiently large, we have

$$\rho(f, f_n) \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

It remains to show that  $f \in H_+^q(\phi)$ . Since  $f_{n_j}(\theta) \rightarrow f(\theta)$  a. e., then there exists a compact set  $E \subset [0, 2\pi]$  such that  $m(E) > 2\pi - \varepsilon$  and  $f_{n_j} \rightarrow f$  uniformly on  $E$ , hence

$$\left( \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} [\varphi(|f_{n_j}(\theta)|)]^q d\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq \left( \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_E [\phi(|f(\theta)|)]^q d\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} + \varepsilon, \text{ for large } j.$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left( \int_{E^c} [\varphi(|f_{n_j}(\theta)|)]^q d\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} &\leq \left( \int_{E^c} [\varphi(|f_{n_j}(\theta) - f(\theta)|)]^q d\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
&\quad + \left( \int_{E^c} [\varphi(|f(\theta)|)]^q d\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
&\leq \rho(f_{n_j}, f) + \left( \int_{E^c} [\varphi(|f(\theta)|)]^q d\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
&\leq \varepsilon + \left( \int_{E^c} [\varphi(|f(\theta)|)]^q d\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{q}},
\end{aligned}$$

but  $f_{n_j} \in H_+^q(\varphi)$ , so

$$\left( \int_0^{2\pi} [\varphi(|f_{n_j}(re^{i\theta})|)]^q d\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq \left( \int_0^{2\pi} [\varphi(|f(\theta)|)]^q d\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} + \varepsilon,$$

letting  $j \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\left( \int_0^{2\pi} [\varphi(|f(re^{i\theta})|)]^q d\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq \left( \int_0^{2\pi} [\varphi(|f(\theta)|)]^q d\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} + \varepsilon,$$

for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Hence

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \left( \int_0^{2\pi} [\varphi(|f(re^{i\theta})|)]^q d\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} = \left( \int_0^{2\pi} [\varphi(|f(\theta)|)]^q d\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{q}},$$

this proves that  $f \in H_+^q(\phi)$ .

□

**Theorem 2.2.**  $l^q(\varphi, \alpha)$  is an  $F$ -space.

*Proof.* Parts (i), (ii) are exactly the same as in Theorem 2.1. For completeness, suppose  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence, let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given, then there exists  $N$  such that

$$d(x_k, x_m) < \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } k, m > N(\varepsilon).$$

Hence

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n \left( \varphi(|x_n^k - x_n^m|) \right)^q < \varepsilon^q, \tag{2.1}$$

where  $x_k = (x_n^k)$ ,  $x_m = (x_n^m)$ . Therefore

$$|x_n^k - x_n^m| < \varphi^{-1} \left[ \frac{\varepsilon}{\alpha_n^q} \right].$$

Thus  $\{x_n^k\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $C$  for each  $n$ . So it must converge to some  $x_n \in C$ .

Let  $x = \{x_n\}$ , then Minkowski's inequality gives

$$\left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n (\varphi(|x_n|))^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n (\varphi(|x_n - x_n^k|))^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} + \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n (\varphi(|x_n^k|))^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}},$$

but  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence, hence the second term of the right side is bounded by  $M$  which does not depend on  $k$ , also

$$\sum \alpha_n (\varphi(|x_n - x_n^k|))^q = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum \alpha_n (\varphi(|x_n^m - x_n^k|))^q < \varepsilon^q$$

by (2.1). Thus  $x_n \rightarrow x$  and  $x \in l^q(\phi, \alpha)$ .  $\square$

### 3. Interpolation Theorems

We will assume an additional condition on  $f$ , for each  $g \in H^1$  the function  $\log[\varphi^{-1}(|g(z)|)^{\frac{1}{q}} + 1]$  is integrable on the unit circle.

Now we prove a theorem which generalizes theorem 1 in [14].

**Theorem 3.1.** *If  $\{z_n\}$  is u. s. s. then  $\{z_n\}$  is u. i. s. for  $(H_+^q(\phi), l^q(\phi\alpha))$ , where  $\alpha = (1 - |z_n|^2)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $c = (c_n) \in l^q(\phi, \alpha)$  and let

$$g(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - |z_n|^2)^2 [\varphi(|c_n|)]^q \frac{B_n(z)}{B_n(z_n)} \cdot \frac{1}{(1 - \bar{z}_n z)^2}.$$

clearly  $g$  is analytic in  $\Delta$  since  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - |z_n|^2)^2 [\varphi(|c_n|)]^q < \infty$ . Also  $g \in H^1$ , because

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |g(re^{i\theta})| d\theta &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - |z_n|^2)^2 [\varphi(|c_n|)]^q \frac{1}{|B_n(z_n)|} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\theta}{|1 - \bar{z}_n z|} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\delta} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - |z_n|^2) [\varphi(|c_n|)]^q < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\log[\varphi^{-1}(|g(z)|^{\frac{1}{q}} + 1)]$  is integrable, hence by [7, p. 53] there exists  $f_1 \in H^1$  such that  $|f_1(z)| = \phi^{-1}(|g(z)|^{\frac{1}{q}} + 1)$ . Since  $B_k(z_n) = 0$  for all  $k \neq n$ ,  $g(z_n) = [\phi(|c_n|)]^q$ . Hence,  $|f_1(z_n)| = |c_n| + 1$  and  $f_1(z_n) = (|c_n| + 1)e^{i\theta_n}$ , where  $c_n = |c_n|e^{i\alpha_n}$  and  $f_3(z_n) = e^{i\alpha_n}$ , then  $(f_1f_2 - f_3)(z_n) = c_n$ . Since  $\varphi^q(|f_1(z)|) \leq |g(z)| + \varphi^q(1)$ , we have  $f_1 \in H^q(\phi)$  and so is  $f_1f_2 - f_3$ .

By Carleson's Theorem there exists functions  $f_2, f_3$  in  $H^\infty$  such that  $f_2(z_n) = e^{i(\alpha_n - \theta_n)}$ .  $\square$

The following theorem generalizes the one given by [11].

**Theorem 3.2.** *Suppose  $\phi$  satisfies*

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \varphi^{-1}(ax)\varphi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) < \infty,$$

for all real  $a$  and  $T_{\phi,q}(H_+^q(\phi)) = l^q(\phi, \alpha)$ , then  $\{z_n\}$  is u. s. s.

We need the following lemma:

**Lemma.** *The sequence  $E = \{e_n\}$  is bounded in  $l^q(\phi, \alpha)$  in the sense of topological vector space  $W$ . Rudin [10], where  $e_n = (0, 0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots)$  and 1 appears in the  $n$ th place.*

*Proof.* Let  $s > 0$  be given and let  $B_s = \{x \in l^q(\phi, \alpha) \mid \|x\| < s\}$ . We need to show that there exists  $r_0$  such that  $E \subset rB_s$  for all  $r > r_0$ . Let  $r_0$  be such that  $(\phi^q)^{-1}(s) = \frac{1}{r_0}$ , then for  $r > r_0$

$$\left\| \frac{e_n}{r} \right\| = \varphi^q\left(\frac{1}{r}\right) < \varphi^q\left(\frac{1}{r_0}\right) = s,$$

this implies  $\frac{e_n}{r} \in B_s$ . Therefore  $E \subset rB_s$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 3.2.* Let

$$N_\varphi^q = \{f \in H_+^q(\varphi) : f(z_n) = 0 \text{ for all } n\}.$$

The quotient space  $H_+^q(\varphi)/N_\varphi^q$  is an  $F$ -space and  $T_{\phi,q}$  induces a one-to-one bounded linear functional  $\Delta_{\varphi,q}$  (since  $T_{\varphi,q}$  is bounded) from  $H_+^q(\varphi)/N_\varphi^q$  onto  $l^q(\phi, \alpha)$ . Hence, the inverse is bounded which implies that  $\Delta_{\phi,q}^{-1}(E)$



is bounded on  $H_+^q(\varphi)$ , i. e. there exists  $M > 0$  such that  $\Delta_{\phi,q}^{-1}(E) \subset B_M$ , which means that for all  $n$ , there exists  $f_n \in H_+^q(\varphi)$  such that

$$\|f_n\| \leq M, \quad (3.1)$$

and

$$f_n(z_k) = \begin{cases} \varphi^{-1}(1 - |z_k|^2)^{\left(\frac{1}{q}\right)-1} & n = k, \\ 0 & n \neq k. \end{cases}$$

For  $n > k$ , let

$$F_{n_k}(z) = f_k(z) \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq k}}^n \frac{1 - \bar{z}_j z}{z - z_j},$$

then

$$\|F_{n_k}\| \leq \|f_k\| \text{ and } F_{n_k} \in H_+^q(\varphi),$$

so by [2]

$$|F_{n_k}(z)| \leq \varphi^{-1} \left( \frac{c \|F_{n_k}\|}{(1 - |z|^2)^{\frac{1}{q}}} \right), \quad (3.2)$$

but,

$$|F_{n_k}(z)| = \left| \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq k}}^n \frac{1 - \bar{z}_j z_k}{z_k - z_j} \right| \left| f_k(z_k) \right|,$$

thus

$$|F_{n_k}(z_k)| = \left[ \varphi^{-1}(1 - |z_k|^2)^{\left(\frac{1}{q}\right)-1} \right] \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq k}}^n \left| \frac{1 - \bar{z}_j z_k}{z_k - z_j} \right|,$$

and by using (3.1) and (3.2) we get

$$\left| \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq k}}^n \frac{1 - \bar{z}_j z_k}{z_k - z_j} \right| \leq \varphi^{-1}(\alpha_k) \varphi^{-1} \left( \frac{cM}{\alpha_k} \right) = \varphi^{-1}(x_k) \varphi^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{x_k} \right) < \Delta < \infty,$$

for  $x_k$  large, where  $x_k = \frac{\alpha_k}{cM}$  and  $\Delta$  is a constant. Thus  $\{z_k\}$  is u. s. s.  $\square$

**References**

- [1] L. Carleson, An interpolation problem for bounded analytic functions, Amer. J. of Math., 80 (1958), 921–930.
- [2] W. Deeb and M. Marzuq,  $H(\phi)$  spaces, Cand. Math. Bull., Vol. 29(3), (1986), 295–301.
- [3] W. Deeb, R. Khalil and Marzuq, Isometric multiplication of Hardy-Orlicz spaces, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 34 (1986), 177–189.
- [4] N. Dunford and J. T., Shwartz Linear operators, 1: General theory, Pure and Appl. Math., Vol. 7, Interscience, N. Y. 1958.
- [5] P. L. Duren, Theory of  $H^q$  spaces, Academic Press, N. Y. 1970.
- [6] M. Hasumi and S. Kataoka, Remarks on Hardy-Orlicz classes, Arch. Math. 51(1988), 455–463.
- [7] K. Hoffman, Banach spaces of analytic functions, Prentice Hall Inc., N. J., (1962).
- [8] Z. Jianzhong, A note on Hardy-Orlicz spaces, Cand. Math. Bull. Vol. 33(1), (1990), 29–33.
- [9] V. Kabaila, Interpolation sequences for  $H^q$  classes in the case  $p < 1$ , Litov. Mat. Sb., 3 (1963), #1, 141–147 (Russian).
- [10] W. Rudin, Functional Analysis, McGraw-Hill, N. Y. (1973).
- [11] H. S. Shapiro and A. L. Shields, On some interpolation problems for analytic functions, Amer. J. Math., 83(1961), 513–532.
- [12] M. Stoll, Mean growth and Taylor coefficients of some topological algebras of analytic functions, Ann. Polon. Math. 35(1977), 139–158.
- [13] N. Yanagihara, Multipliers and linear functional for the class  $N^+$ , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 180(1973), 449–461.
- [14] N. Yanagihara, Interpolation theorems for the class  $N^+$ , III. J. Math. 18(1974), 427–435.

Maher M. H. MARZUQ  
84 Raymond Road  
Plymouth, MA 02360

(Received February 4, 2011)