Toyama University Faculty of Economics Working Paper

No.188

December 1999

Flower Bulb Industry in Japan and Holland: Trade and Production

Yasutaka Niisato

Contents

1.Introduction

2.International trade and production

3. Production structure of bulb sector

4.Industrial structure

5.Japanese bulb market in the 80s and 90s

Acknowledgements

Notes

References

Tables

1.Introduction

In 1988, the Japanese market of flower bulbs was opened by lifting of plant quarantine measures for various items of tulip and lily bulbs. The measures were non-tariff barriers for foreign countries to export flower bulbs to Japan. Since then a huge number of flower bulbs were imported and particularly the Dutch bulbs shares two thirds of the Japanese market today.

As well known, the Netherlands is one of the dominant countries of flower bulb trade in the world and its contribution to the international trade shows significantly around 90% of the over all market. ¹⁾ Her production value is also the highest in the world, followed by Japan, whose rate is almost one tenth of the Dutch one.

In this paper I shall discuss the flower bulb industry in Japan and Holland since 1970s. Section 2 demonstrates the comparisons of the international trade and production of the flower bulb sector as well as the entire floriculture between the countries, and production structures of the flower bulb industry in both countries are shown in section 3. In section 4, I shall consider a role of the flower bulb industry in terms of national economy. Overall discussion of dynamics and changes of the Japanese bulb market in the 80s and 90s follows in section 5.

- 2. International trade and production
- (1) Export and import

(a) Holland

The total exports of floriculture in the Netherlands have increased for last thirty years as shown in Figure 1(and see Table 1-1). In 1996, its value was estimated around 462 billion yen (7.7billion guilder), evaluating one guilder as sixty yen. This figure is almost ten times bigger than the one in 1970. With a considering of inflation, it could be fare to suggest the real increase to be more than three times. The floricultural products of the Netherlands are exported to all over the world including Japan. The Dutch export rate to Japan has increased from 0.2 % in 1975 to 3.5 % in 1995. More than two thirds of the



products are flower bulbs.

Fig.1 Dutch exports 1970-96 (1guilder=60yen)

In 1996, flower bulbs shared around 15% in the total exports of the Dutch floricultural products. Despite its increase in total value throughout the years, its share has diminished from 45% in 1970 to 23% in 1980. The Dutch bulb export to Japan has increased sharply during the 90s. Its value in 1995 is twenty times more than the one in 1985.

Main countries to be exported from the Netherlands during 1997-98 are USA, Germany, Japan, UK, and Italy, The export proportion between dry bulbs for sale and forced bulbs for cut-flower production is 4:1 in UK, 2:1 in USA and Germany, and 1:4 in Japan and Italy.²⁾

Dutch imports of floricultural products are shown in Table 1-2. Its amount is about 57 billion yen (942.9 million guilder). It is clear that her exports have exceeded her imports. Particularly the exports of cutflowers and potplants have been increasing dramatically.

(b) Japan

The development of exports and imports of floriculture in Japan are shown in Figure 2 and 3 (see also Table1-3 and Table 1-4). In 1996 the export value is only 1 billion yen



while the import is 4.7 billion yen which is around 5 times of the export.

Fig.2 Japanese exports 1970-96





In 1970 Japan was one of the significant exporters in the world floriculture industry. However, Japan has changed into an importer of cutflowers in 1980. This change was mainly caused by the revaluation of Japanese yen during the 70s.

The Japanese bulb export exceeded its import in 1970. But its import exceeded its export in 1985. Since then it has increased dramatically and recently it gets to be nine times of the export, as shown in Figure 4.



Fig.4 Japanese export and import of flower bulbs 1970-95

The most important country of exporting flower bulbs to Japan is the Netherlands, sharing 90% in the Japanese bulb imports. And others are New Zealand, the USA, South Africa, Thailand, Taiwan and China.³⁾

(2) Production

(a) Sales

The Dutch production of floriculture has increased steadily as Figure 5 shows, and the Japanese production has also increased as well as Figure 6 shows. The total value of Dutch floriculture products is around 500 billion yen in 1996. That of Japanese one is around 630 billion yen, which exceeds the Dutch one by 26 %.



Fig.5 Dutch production 1970-1997 (1guilder=60yen)



Fig.6 Japanese production 1970-96

The production value of cutflowers and potplants in the Netherlands is almost the same as that in Japan. The values have been increased as a trend in both countries.

The production value of flower bulbs in the Netherlands is around 66 billion yen (1.1 billion guilders) in 1996, which is 11 times of that in Japan. The share of bulbs in Dutch floriculture is 13%. That in Japanese one is only one percent. The production value of Japanese flower bulbs had a peak in 1990. And since then it has decreased.

(b) Acreage

In respects to cultivation area, the Netherlands has 38,000 ha of floriculture in 1997 and Japan has 48,000 ha in 1996. The Dutch acreage of floriculture has increased steadily from 23,000 ha in 1975, as shown in Figure 7 (and see Table 1-7).



Fig.7 Acreage in Holland 1975-97

The Japanese acreage increased until 1975, decreased in early 80s, recovered in 1990, and recently is likely to be stable. The trough is 33,000 ha in 1980, and the peak is 48,000 ha in 1995 with an increase of 30%, as shown in Figure 8 (and see Table 1-8).



Fig.8 Acreage in Japan 1970-96

Japanese acreage of cutflowers and potplants has increased steadily. In 1995 it is 21,000 ha which is three times of the Netherlands. Their production value is almost same of the Netherlands. In the Netherlands, glasshouse production is popular. The

ratio of glasshouse acreage to total acreage in cutflower and potplant cultivation is over 70%, as Figure 9 shows (and see Table 1-7 and Table 1-8). In contract, the Japanese ratio increased to at most 50% in 1996. Therefore the cultivation method in the Netherlands is more capital-intensive than in Japan. Or the Japanese cultivation is more land-intensive than the Dutch one.



Fig.9 Glasshouse ratio in Holland and Japan 1975-96

The acreage of bulb production in the Netherlands is 19,000 ha in 1996. That of Japan is 1,200 ha, which is one-sixteenth of the Netherlands. The Dutch composition of bulb field follows: Tulips 47 %, lilies 18 %, Gladioli 10 %, Narcissus 8 %.⁴⁾ The Japanese one follows: Tulips 42 %, Lilies 24 %, Gladioli 10 %, Iris 5 %, freesia 5 %.⁵⁾ The Dutch acreage has been increasing. Japanese acreage has a log-run decline trend as a table below Figure 8 shows.

(3) Farmers and average acreage

A number of floricultural farmers in the Netherlands has been decreasing from 22,000 in 1975 to 18,000 in 1997 with a fall of 20% (see Table 1-9). That in Japan did from 162,000 to 146,000 with 10% fall (see Table 1-10). In Dutch cutflowers and potplants, the number of farmers decreased from 12000 in 1975 to 10000 in 1997. The average acreage have increased from around 30a to 80a, which is more twice than before (see Table 1-11). Japanese farmers of cutflowers and potplants have increased from 66,000

in 1975 to 93,000 in 1995. The average acreage increased from 14a to 23a by 80 %, due to an increase of cultivation area (see Table 1-12).

In respect to bulb farmers, the number in the Netherlands decreased from around 6,500 in 1975 to around 3,000 in 1997. That in Japan did from 9,800 in 1975 to 3,800 in 1996. The average acreage in the Netherlands increased from 2 ha to 6 ha by three times. That in Japan did from 16a to 30a by two times.

- 3. Production structure of bulb sector
- (1) Cultivation scale
- (a) Holland

Figure 10 shows a trend and change of number and scale distribution of Dutch bulb farmers. The number of bulb farmers decreased from 3300 in 1993 to 3000 in 1997. As Table 2-1 shows, bulb farmers cultivating land of less than 4 ha decreased from 64 % to 31%. And Bulb farmers of more than 8 ha increase from 19 % to 54 %. The number of farmers borrowing land has been increasing. In 1997 the farmers using only their own land are 23 % of total number. The farmers borrowing land of with more than 3 ha are 45 % in total farmers.



Fig.10 Farm distribution in Holland 1993-97

The Dutch specialized farm of bulb production trends to be bigger as Table 2-3 shows. In 1997 the Dutch farmers cultivate 15 ha in average. The Japanese specialized farmers have 2 ha acreage. The biggest one is at most 13 ha.

In the Netherlands half of bulb fields are of sandy soil (see Table 2-4). There is a difference of production condition between sandy and clay soil. The Dutch growers are subject to strict environmental regulation to decrease in chemicals and pesticides. ⁶⁾

(a) Japan

The Japanese data of farm distribution of bulb growers at the national level is not available. Figure 11 shows a development of number and scale distribution of bulb growers in Toyama where is one of two main tulip bulb areas. As Table 2-2 shows, the number of bulb growers in 1996 is half of that in 1980. The share of bulb growers cultivating less than 30a land decreased from 57 % in 1980 to 36 % in 1996. That of more than 1ha increased from 5 % to 19 %. Most of Japanese bulb farmers are growing rice as well as bulbs. And the soil of bulb fields is mostly clay.



Fig.11 Farm distribution in Japan(Toyama) 1980-96

(2) Labour input

(a) Holland

The Dutch growers spent 410 hours of per 10 a in 1960, 100 hours in 1980, and 75 hours in 1995 as shown in Figure 12 (see Table 2-5).



Fig.12 Labour input in Holland 1960-1995

The decline of yearly labour input has been caused by scale economy and continues technical progress. The new production methods is introduced and propagated. For recent example, net cultivation in tulip bulb on clay soil saves one third of labour input, according to my interview to a bulb grower.

(b) Japan

The Japanese growers spent 680 hours per 10a in 1958, 240 hours in 1975, and 330 hours in 1985 as shown in Figure 13 (and see Table 2-6). For recent 10 years, official data of labour input is not available. According to a personal case study, it is 240 hours in 1994.





Fig.13 Labour input of Tulip cultivation 1958-1985, Toyama, Japan

(3) Income

(a) Holland

Dutch real farm income per farmer in flower bulb sector has upward trends, as shown in Figure 14 (and Table 2-7). Especially bulb income increased sharply in the early 90s because of an increase of world demand, especially from USA and Japan.



Fig.14 Dutch real farm income 1961-95, by CIP(1980) (1guilder=60yen)

(b) Japan

Japan has no reliable data on farm management survey in floriculture. Real gross

production per farmer (deflated by CPI) is shown in Figure 15 (and Table 2-8). It shows steady growth of floricultural products during the decades of the 80s and 90s.

Although the share of farm income in gross production is not available, assuming it as 30 %, bulb growing gives bigger income per acre than rice growing does in the 80s. But recently they get lower income because bulb prices are falling.



Fig.15 Japnese real farm income 1970-96, by CPI(1980)

4. Industrial structure

Table 3 shows comparisons from the viewpoint of national wide economy, in 1970, 1985, and 1995.

In 1995, Dutch GDP in nominal term is roughly one third of the Japanese one, although its value depends on the exchange rate. Per capita GDP of the Netherlands is half of that of Japan. In terms of purchasing power parity, the difference of real income between both countries is smaller. GDPs of both countries have been growing steadily

Both countries have a small agricultural sector. Dutch share of the agriculture and fisheries in GDP is around 3%. And the Japanese share is around 2 %.

The Netherlands is a trade-oriented country. Dutch export reliance is around 50 % and its import reliance around 40 %. Japanese reliance of exports is around 9 % and its reliance of import is around 6 %.

In the Netherlands total land has been diminishing since 1985. But the share of cultivated land is growing and gets to be around 24 % in 1995. In contract, the Japanese cultivated land has been decreasing and shares around 11% in 1995.

Dutch share of floricultural acreage in cultivation land has been growing and is 4.5 % in 1995. As well as the Netherlands, the Japanese share has been growing and is today 1.2 % from 0.9 %.

In the Netherlands the share of flower bulb field in the floricultural area is decreasing but amounts to 52% in 1995. In Japan its level is lower and decreasing and gets to only 2.4 % in 1995.

Figure 16 (and Table 4) shows a trend of flower consumption per family in Japan. Flower consumption per family is growing. And expenditure for gardening goods is increasing as well. Japanese people would spend a lot of money to flowers, in nominal terms. But in real terms, they would buy less flowers than the Dutch. In Japan the price of a stem of flower is expensive and flowers are some kind of luxuries.





Fig. 16 Flower consumption per family

A development of foreign exchange rates among Japanese yen, Dutch guilder and US dollar is shown in Figure 17 (and Table 5). In the long run, both exchange rates of yen and guilder to US dollar have been raised, although in the middle of the 80s Dutch guilder to US dollar fell sharply. The exchange rate of Japanese yen to Dutch guilder has been raised in the in the long run. But in the late 80s it fell sharply. And in 1989 and 1990 it was cheep. Recently its value is around 60 yen per guilder in 1997.



Fig. 17 Exchange rates

5. Japanese bulb market in the 80s and 90s

Table 6-1 and 6-2 are a summary of Japanese bulb market. In Table 6-2 the import reliance is calculated in terms of value and quantity. The scale of market, or domestic consumption, is defined as

Consumption = domestic production + import – export.

And the reliance of import (=1-reliance of self-sufficiency) is defined as

Reliance of import = import / consumption.

Before (in the 70s) Japan was an exporter of flower bulbs. Revaluation of Japanese yen killed Japanese export competitiveness. The equilibrium of the trade balance of bulbs was in 1983, while the volume of the imports was small and the reliance of import is around 10 %. But, after 1988 when the plant quarantine measures of bulbs begun to be left, the imports increased drastically, and the import reliance is now over 60 % in terms of volume and over 75 % in terms of value in 1997.

Peaks of the acreage in the domestic production were in 1981 and 1987. Those of volume were in 1980 and 1991. Those of production value were in 1980, 1983, 1988 and 1991. As a trend, during the 80s the production was stable. And it began to increase in the early 90s. But recently it stagnates again.

The average prices of bulbs had increased until 1983. The peak years were 1993, 1988, and 1993.

To consider inflation, let us notice the relative (real) price of bulbs defined as the average price by deflating the CPI (consumer price index) based in 1995. Figure 18 shows the configuration of the relative price and quantity of flower bulbs.



Fig.18 Japanese bulb market(1975-96)

In general, consumption demand for goods depends upon its own price, the prices of its substitutes and complements, and income as well as preference. Preference means attitude of consumption and life style of the people.

In a decade of the 80s, the Japanese economy had been under fairly good business condition as a trend, although the world economy was in stagnation. Especially during the late 80s and the early 90s it was called as a 'bubble economy'. At the time, Japan had a steady growth in her consumption. In 1988, the lifting of quarantine measures for tulip and lily bulbs made a drastic increase of imports and new kind of flower bulbs came into Japan. In 1990 a world flower exhibition in Osaka was held successfully. From 1989 to 1991, the import increased sharply. But they said it was less than what they had expected. It was because of devaluation of yen to dollar and guilder. And domestic production could be increased a little bit in 1990.

After 1994, the revaluation of yen and growing demand for bulbs pushed a great increase in imports and expanding the domestic market. The prices are likely to decrease. 'Casual flowers' have got to be popular and 'gardening boom' came in Japan,

while some of domestic growers made a shift of production from bulb to cutflowers

We could identify three periods in the Japanese flower bulb market:

- (1) the late 70s and after saturation of floriculture
- (2) the late 80s and after bubble economy and opening market
- (3) the middle of the 90s gardening boom

Linear regressions of 1976-89 and 90-94 are drawn as D1 and D2 Curves in Figure 18, and D3 curve is added by rule of thumb.

Estimated lines: y=-0.0811x + 139.25 for 1976-89, R²=0.1217, and y=-0.1717x + 229.44 for 1990-94, R²=0.4667,

where y denotes the relative bulb price and x the consumption quantity.

Demand sifted from D1 to D2 during the period of bubble economy and opening market. And demand for flower bulbs is still moving right-forwards.

Acknowledgements

This paper was written during my stay at LEI (Agricultural Economics Research Institute) in the Hague in July and August 1999, sponsored by JSPS (Japanese Society for the Promotion of Science) and NWO (Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research). I would like to thank Drs. N. De Groot, Mr. J. Bremmer, and Mr. J. Hammerstein for research assistance and data collecting.

Notes

1) See De Kleijn and Heybroek (1992) for bulb sector in the world, and Haak, Tap and Heybroek (1992) and De Groot (1998) for cutflower and potplant sector. See Also Imanishi and Hosoki (1994) for Japanese bulb sector. Recent Japanese development of cutflower sector is described by Imanishi and Ogawa(1997) and JETRO(1998).

- 2) See IBC(1999).
- 3) See JFPC (1998).
- 4) See IBC (1999).
- 5) See JFPC(1998).

6) See IBC(1997) (1998), De Vroomen and De Groot et al(1992), and De Groot (1995b).

References

De Groot, Nico (1995a) "Floriculture worldwide", Lecture at Toyama Univ., February.

De Groot, Nico (1995b) "Dutch Environmental policy for the Bulb sector", Lecture at Toyama Univ., February.

De Groot, Nico (1998) "Floriculture Worldwide: Trade and Consumption Patterns", AERI (LEI-DLO).

De Kleijn, E and A. Heybroek (1992) *A View of International Competitiveness in the Flower Bulb Industry*, Rabobank and LEI-DLO, Netherlands, 45p.

De Vroomen, C.O.N. (1993) "Economics of Flower Bulb Production and Forcing, in A. De Hertogh and M. Le Nard ed., *The Physiology of Flower Bulbs*, Elsevier Press, chapter 11,pp.171-84.

De Vroomen, C.O.N. and De Groot, N.S.P. et al (1992) "Scenario Analysis for Estimating the Socio-Economic Consequences in Dutch Bulbgrowing by Restricting fertilizers and Pesticides", Research paper, AERI (LEI-DLO).

Haak, Ir.M., Ing. H. Tap, and A.M.A. Heybroek (1992) A View of International Competitiveness in the Floristry Industry, Rabobank and LEI-DLO, Netherlands, 48p.
IBC (Internationaal Bloembollen Centrum) (1997) Bulb growing in the Netherlands, 16p.

IBC (1998) The Flower Bulb Sector and the Environment, 8p.

IBC (1999) IBC Info, leaflet.

Imanishi, H. and T. Hosoki (1994), "Flower Bulbs", in Organizing Committee 24th International Horticultural Congress Publication Committee ed., *Horticulture in Japan*, Asakita Publishing Co. LTD, pp.149-154.

Imanishi, H. and K. Ogawa (1997), "Japan: Changes in production, distribution and marketing", *FloraCulture International*, Vol.7 No.3, pp.16-21, USA.

JETRO (1998) Market Survey on Cut Flowers Market in Japan, 26p.

JFPC (Japan Flower Promotion Center) (1998) *Flower Data Book 98,* Association of Agriculture and Forestry Statistics, in Japanese.

LEI (1992) Tuinbouwcijers 1992.

LEI (1998) *Land- en Tuinbouwcifers 1998* (Statistical data on agriculture and horticulture in the Netherlands).

Statistic Bureau (of Management and Coordination Agency of Japan) (1998), World Statistic 1998, in Japanese.

Tables

| Talbe 1-1 | Holland | Ez | xports | | | | (million | guilder |) |
|-----------------|---------|--------|----------|--------|--------|--------|----------|---------|-------|
| Year | 1970 | 1975 | 1980 | 1985 | 1990 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 |
| Floriculture | 868 | 1621 | 2850 | 4925 | 6710 | 7849 | 7575 | 7701 | |
| Cutflowers | 344 | 928 | 1520 | 2580 | 3530 | 3900 | 3790 | 3890 | |
| Potplants | 47 | 128 | 415 | 1030 | 1665 | 1894 | 1775 | 1841 | |
| Bulbs | 384 | 416 | 660 | 940 | 1010 | 1241 | 1205 | 1170 | |
| Trees | 94 | 149 | 245 | 370 | 515 | 659 | 607 | 636 | |
| To Japan | 1 | 3 | 5 | 15 | 128 | 273 | 272 | 259 | |
| Table 1-2 | Holland | | Imports | | | | (million | guilder |) |
| Floriculture | | | 305 | | 419.9 | | 735.6 | 942.9 | |
| Cutflowers/Potp | lants | | 239 | | 338.3 | | 672.6 | 886.4 | |
| Bulbs | | | 36 | | 60.8 | | 46.8 | 46.6 | |
| Trees | | | 30 | | 20.8 | | 16.2 | 9.9 | |
| Table 1-3 | Japan |] | Exports | | | | (million | yen) | |
| Floriculture | 1068 | 2148 | 2626 | 2673 | 1422 | 927 | 769 | 1050 | 1000 |
| Cutflowers | 60 | 20 | 92 | 72 | 31 | 9 | 6 | 1 | 5 |
| Bulbs | 580 | 809 | 957 | 743 | 454 | 256 | 238 | 205 | 230 |
| Trees | 288 | 1055 | 1423 | 1730 | 870 | 652 | 516 | 835 | 756 |
| Foliages | 139 | 264 | 154 | 128 | 68 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| Table 1-4 | Japan | | Imports | | | | (million | yen) | |
| Floriculture | 412 | 1540 | 5688 | 8514 | 27803 | 38623 | 44014 | 48597 | 47029 |
| Cutflowers | 58 | 546 | 3987 | 5312 | 16645 | 19586 | 20287 | 18589 | 17412 |
| Bulbs | 144 | 262 | 575 | 764 | 5433 | 11284 | 14657 | 18506 | 17055 |
| Trees | 157 | 630 | 802 | 1996 | 4434 | 5297 | 5910 | 7401 | 8168 |
| Foliages | 54 | 103 | 333 | 442 | 1290 | 2456 | 3159 | 4047 | 4394 |
| Table 1-5 | Holland |] | Producti | on | | | (million | guilder |) |
| Floriculture | 1320 | 2440 | 3300 | 5175 | 6791 | 7930 | 7981 | 8448 | 8960 |
| Cutflowers/ | 925 | 1915 | 2430 | 3900 | 5280 | 6050 | 5925 | 6285 | 6790 |
| Potplants | | | | | | | | | |
| Bulbs | 285 | 325 | 550 | 780 | 839 | 1080 | 1106 | 1098 | 1000 |
| Trees | 110 | 200 | 330 | 495 | 672 | 800 | 950 | 1095 | 1170 |
| Table 1-6 | Japan |] | Producti | on | | | (million | yen) | |
| Floriculture | 62090 | 137772 | 301234 | 414462 | 557273 | 614200 | 623300 | 626500 | |
| Cutflowers | 29017 | 62856 | 112941 | 157705 | 244360 | 286000 | 289400 | 291900 | |
| Potplants | 8321 | 22619 | 41637 | 61214 | 92993 | 113500 | 119400 | 124900 | |
| Bulbs | | 3996 | 7124 | 6574 | 7424 | 6800 | 6500 | 6000 | |
| Trees | 22459 | 41150 | 133000 | 175098 | 183241 | 167923 | 167871 | 160100 | |
| Seedling | 516 | 1006 | 1933 | 3567 | 7729 | 15200 | 17400 | 21500 | |
| Turfs | 1777 | 6175 | 4599 | 8090 | 17617 | 19500 | 17400 | 15800 | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

| Cover plants | | | | 2214 | 3909 | 5270 | 5315 | 6300 | |
|------------------|---------|-------|-----------|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Table 1-7 | Holland | 1 | Acreage | | | | (| (ha) | |
| Glasshouse | | | | | | | | | |
| Floriculture | | 3060 | 4040 | 4370 | 5283 | 5700 | 5715 | 5760 | 5825 |
| Cutflowers/ | | 3060 | 3975 | 4275 | 5140 | 5519 | 5518 | 5556 | 5541 |
| Potplants | | | | | | | | | |
| Trees | ĺ | | 95 | 100 | 143 | 180 | 197 | 204 | 284 |
| Outdoor | | | | | | | | | |
| Floriculture | | 20000 | 21700 | 23400 | 27163 | 29359 | 30359 | 31187 | 32765 |
| Cutflowers/ |] | 1060 | 1200 | 1690 | 2103 | 2432 | 2499 | 2448 | 2416 |
| Potplants | | | | | | | | | |
| Bulbs | ĺ | 13800 | 14300 | 15060 | 16410 | 17106 | 18086 | 18649 | 19664 |
| Trees | | 5100 | 6160 | 6640 | 8741 | 9821 | 9774 | 10090 | 10685 |
| Table 1-8 | Japan | 1 | Acreage | | | | (| (ha) | |
| Floriculture | 20583 | 36410 | 32764 | 36163 | 45658 | 47789 | 48421 | 47624 | |
| Cutflowers | 8515 | 9304 | 11317 | 13087 | 16609 | 18700 | 19000 | 19400 | |
| Potplants | 755 | 914 | 1039 | 1333 | 1707 | 1840 | 1880 | 1970 | |
| Bulbs | 1788 | 1578 | 1578 | 1522 | 1546 | 1280 | 1160 | 1160 | |
| Trees | 6380 | 16714 | 14453 | 14790 | 16140 | 15035 | 14950 | 14715 | |
| Seedling | 222 | 134 | 244 | 271 | 419 | 726 | 816 | 964 | |
| Turf | 2923 | 7766 | 4115 | 5121 | 9158 | 10088 | 10486 | 9264 | |
| Cover plants | | | | 39 | 80 | 120 | 129 | 151 | |
| Glasshouse | | | | | | | (| (ha) | |
| Cutflowers/ | 1658 | 2693 | 3737 | 5434 | 7851 | 10044 | 10424 | 10906 | |
| Potplants | | | | | | | | | |
| Outdoor | | | | | | | (| (ha) | |
| Cutflowers/ | 7834 | 7659 | 8863 | 9257 | 10883 | 11170 | 11244 | 11392 | |
| Potplants | | | | | | | | | |
| Table 1-9 | Holland | l | Number of | farmers | 5 | | | | |
| Bulbs | | 6515 | 4916 | 4128 | 3691 | 3160 | 3069 | 3040 | 2978 |
| Cutflowers/Potp | lants | | | | | | | | |
| in open | | 3378 | 3007 | 3124 | 3257 | 3129 | 3089 | 2963 | 2740 |
| Under glass | S | 8352 | 7923 | 7701 | 8004 | 7673 | 7399 | 7177 | 7002 |
| Trees | | 3165 | 3637 | 3709 | 4045 | 4110 | 4074 | 4092 | 4143 |
| Perennial plants | S | 811 | 880 | 1105 | 1106 | 1129 | 1131 | 1260 | 1285 |
| Total | | 22221 | 20363 | 19767 | 20103 | 19201 | 18762 | 18532 | 18148 |
| Table 1-10 | Japan | l | Number of | farmers | 5 | | | | |
| Cutflowers | 74175 | 66404 | 57741 | 72678 | 81382 | 85100 | 83000 | 83300 | |
| Potplants | | | 10094 | 10728 | 10940 | 11300 | 10700 | 10300 | |
| Seedling | | | | | | 5710 | 5770 | 5920 | |
| Trees | 33325 | 71123 | 54771 | 45739 | 40200 | 33283 | 32843 | 33255 | |
| Bulbs | 16161 | 9762 | 8997 | 6206 | 5492 | 4380 | 4130 | 3830 | |

| Turf | | 14275 | 7657 | 6487 | 9305 | 8226 | 8720 | 8179 | |
|-----------------|---------|--------|---------|---------|--------|--------|----------|--------|------|
| Cover plants | | | | 230 | 499 | 669 | 745 | 754 | |
| Total | 123661 | 161564 | 139260 | 142068 | 147818 | 148668 | 145908 | 145538 | |
| Table 1-11 | Holland | | Average | acreage | | | (ha/farm | er) | |
| Bulbs | | 2.00 | 2.91 | 3.65 | 4.42 | 5.41 | 5.89 | 6.13 | 6.60 |
| Cutflowers/Potp | lants | | | | | | | | |
| in open | | 0.31 | 0.40 | 0.54 | 0.65 | 0.78 | 0.81 | 0.83 | 0.88 |
| Under glas | S | 0.37 | 0.50 | 0.56 | 2.03 | 0.72 | 0.75 | 0.77 | 0.79 |
| Trees | | 1.55 | 1.62 | 1.68 | 3.15 | 2.22 | 2.23 | 2.27 | 2.36 |
| Perennial plant | S | 4.22 | 3.23 | 3.03 | 3.15 | 3.09 | 2.99 | 2.93 | 2.99 |
| Table 1-12 | Japan | | Average | acreage | | | (ha/farm | er) | |
| Bulbs | 0.11 | 0.16 | 0.18 | 0.25 | 0.28 | 0.29 | 0.28 | 0.30 | |
| Flowers | 0.11 | 0.14 | 0.20 | 0.18 | 0.20 | 0.22 | 0.23 | 0.23 | |
| Potplants | | | 0.10 | 0.12 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.18 | 0.19 | |
| Trees | 0.19 | 0.24 | 0.26 | 0.32 | 0.40 | 0.45 | 0.46 | 0.44 | |
| Turf | | 0.54 | 0.54 | 0.79 | 0.98 | 1.23 | 1.20 | 1.13 | |
| Seedling | | | | | | 0.13 | 0.14 | 0.16 | |
| Cover Plants | | | | 0.17 | 0.16 | 0.18 | 0.17 | 0.20 | |

Source: JFPC(1998), and LEI

| Table 2-1 | | | | Holland | | | | |
|---------------|-------|------------|----------|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| | Numbe | er of farm | ers 1993 | | | | share | |
| Land | 0-4ha | 4-8ha | 8ha- | Total | 0-4ha | 4-8ha | 8ha- | Total |
| Fully owned | 919 | 148 | 142 | 1209 | 76.0% | 12.2% | 11.7% | 100 |
| 0-3 ha rented | 688 | 79 | 57 | 824 | 83.5% | 9.6% | 6.9% | 100 |
| 3- ha rented | 509 | 313 | 440 | 1262 | 40.3% | 24.8% | 34.9% | 100 |
| Total | 2116 | 540 | 639 | 3295 | 64.2% | 16.4% | 19.4% | 100 |
| | Numbe | er of farm | ers 1997 | | | | share | |
| Land | 0-4ha | 4ha-8ha | 8ha- | Total | 0-4ha | 4-8ha | 8ha- | total |
| Fully owned | 348 | 102 | 224 | 674 | 51.6% | 15.1% | 33.2% | 100 |
| 0-3 ha rented | 554 | 168 | 247 | 969 | 57.2% | 17.3% | 25.5% | 100 |
| 3ha- rented | 29 | 172 | 1134 | 1335 | 2.2% | 12.9% | 84.9% | 100 |
| Total | 931 | 442 | 1605 | 2978 | 31.3% | 14.8% | 53.9% | 100 |

Table 2-2

Japan(Toyama)

100.0%

100.0%

100.0%

100.0%

100.0%

100.0%

100.0% 100.0%

| | Number of | farmers | | | | | share | |
|------|-----------|----------|-------|-------|-------|----------|-------|--------|
| | 0-30a | 30a-100a | 100a- | Total | 0-30a | 30a-100a | 100a- | total |
| 1980 | 401 | 273 | 38 | 712 | 56.3% | 38.3% | 5.3% | 100.0% |
| 1985 | 311 | 269 | 48 | 628 | 49.5% | 42.8% | 7.6% | 100.0% |

| 1989 1990 | 260 241 | 241 233 | 60 69 | 562 543 | 46.3% 44.4% | 42.9% 42.9% | 10.7% 12.7% | 100.0% 100.0% |
|--------------|------------|------------|----------|------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|------------------|
| 1993 | 157 | 223 | 73 | 453 | 34.7% | 49.2% | 16.1% | 100.0% |
| 1995 | 150 | 194 | 58 | 402 | 37.3% | 48.3% | 14.4% | 100.0% |
| 1996 | 135 | 171 | 70 | 376 | 35.9% | 45.5% | 18.6% | 100.0% |

| Table 2-3 | Holland | Fa | Farm size in specialized growers | | | | | |
|------------|---------|------|----------------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|
| | 1960 | 1970 | 1980 | 1990 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 |
| Bulbs | 2 | 4 | 6 | 9 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 15 |
| Cutflowers | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.2 |

| Table 2-4 | Holland | Bulb a | creage by | soil |
|-----------|---------|--------|-----------|-------|
| | | 1993 | | (ha) |
| | 0-4ha | 4-8ha | 8ha- | Total |
| Sandy | 1127 | 1152 | 5700 | 7979 |
| Clay | 1855 | 1852 | 5700 | 8858 |
| Total | 2982 | 2977 | 10878 | 16837 |

Table 2-5 Holland Labour input

| | Bulbs | | Tree | es | | Cutflow | vers | Potplan | nts |
|------|---------|-----|------|-----|----|---------|------|---------|-----|
| | per 10a | | per | 10a | | Per a | | per a | |
| 1955 | | 600 | | | | | 300 | | 280 |
| 1960 | | 410 | | 6 | 90 | | 270 | | 250 |
| 1970 | | 210 | | 6 | 30 | | 210 | | 220 |
| 1980 | | 85 | | 2 | 00 | | 150 | | 190 |
| 1990 | | 80 | | 1 | 75 | | 90 | | 140 |
| 1995 | | 75 | | 1 | 10 | | 90 | | 110 |
| 1997 | | 68 | | 1 | 07 | | 98 | | 120 |

Table 2-6 Japan(Toyama) Tulip bulb

Lobour input by work hours/10a

| | | aina diini | | | | nour | s/ 10a |
|------|------|------------|-------|--------|--------|-------|--------|
| Year | | Planting | Harve | esting | others | Total | |
| | 1958 | 116. | 4 | 344.1 | 224. | 0 | 684.5 |
| | 1961 | 114. | 5 | 314.1 | 84. | 4 | 513.0 |
| | 1967 | 92. | 9 | 233.2 | 63. | 3 | 389.4 |
| | 1971 | 73. | 7 | 208.1 | 63. | 6 | 345.4 |
| | 1973 | 70. | 4 | 158.7 | 61. | 3 | 290.4 |
| | 1975 | 72. | 2 | 113.7 | 51. | 8 | 237.7 |
| | 1982 | 65. | 9 | 139 | 47. | 3 | 252.2 |
| | 1983 | 65. | 9 | 154.4 | 44. | 8 | 265.1 |
| | | | | | | | |

| 1984 | 74.7 | 161.8 | 50.5 | 287.0 |
|------|------|-------|------|-------|
| 1985 | 89 | 185.7 | 59.8 | 334.5 |

| | | Speciosum li | 1y | | hours/10a |
|------|------|--------------|------------|--------|-----------|
| Year | | Planting | Harvesting | others | Total |
| | 1986 | 58.2 | 108.7 | 146.6 | 313.5 |
| | 1988 | 60.7 | 124.4 | 146.3 | 331.4 |
| | 1990 | 55.2 | 62.8 | 239.3 | 357.3 |

| Table 2-7 | Holland | | | | |
|-----------|-------------|--------|-----|-------------|-------------|
| | Real farm | income | per | r farmer, d | leflated by |
| | CPI (1980=1 | (00) | | (1000 | guilder) |
| Year | Bulbs | Trees | | Cutflowers | Potplants |
| 51-55 | 46 | | | 43 | |
| 56-60 | 55 | | 45 | 57 | |
| 61-65 | 48 | | 33 | 69 | |
| 66-70 | 44 | | 50 | 62 | |
| 71-75 | 56 | | 68 | 63 | 62 |
| 76-80 | 69 | | 57 | 30 | 61 |
| 81-85 | 75 | | 54 | 43 | 58 |
| 86-90 | 73 | | 89 | 64 | 79 |
| 91-95 | 138 | | 94 | 63 | 90 |

| Table 2-8 | Japan | Real gross | s produc | tion per | farmer, | | (million y | zen) | |
|------------------------------|-------|------------|----------|----------|---------|------|------------|------|--|
| deflated by on CPI(1980=100) | | | | | | | | | |
| | 70 | 75 | 80 | 85 | 90 | 94 | 95 | 96 | |
| Floriculture | 1.18 | 1.16 | 2.16 | 2.57 | 3.09 | 3.19 | 3.32 | 3.32 | |
| Cutflowers | 0.92 | 1. 29 | 1.95 | 1.91 | 2.46 | 2.60 | 2.71 | 2.70 | |
| Potplants | | | 4.31 | 5.33 | 7.56 | 8.91 | 6.46 | 6.94 | |
| Bulbs | | 0.56 | 0.79 | 0.93 | 1.11 | 1.20 | 1.22 | 1.21 | |
| Trees | 1.59 | 0.79 | 2.42 | 3.37 | 3.74 | 3.90 | 3.77 | 3.71 | |

Source: JFRC (1998) and LEI,

Toyama Agricultural Statistics Section for Table 2-6

| | Holland Japan | | Holland Japan | | Holland | Japan |
|---|---------------|--------|---------------|---------|---------|---------|
| | 19 | 70 | 1985 | | 1995 | |
| GDP(million dollar) | 31650 | 204610 | 128079 | 1343251 | 395279 | 5134276 |
| GDP per capita (dollar) | | | 8845 | 11116 | 25584 | 41009 |
| Per capita GDP in purchasing parity | | | 11839 | 12188 | 19782 | 21795 |
| (dollar) | | | | | | |
| Share of agriculture and fishery(%) | 6 | 8 | 3.9 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 2.1 |
| <pre>Share of mining and manufacturing(%)</pre> | 32 | 31 | 27.8 | 33.1 | 21.9 | 30.0 |
| Share of other economy(%) | 35 | 28 | 39.2 | 40 | 43.4 | 42.5 |
| Export reliance (%) | | | 60.5 | 13.0 | 45.9 | 8.6 |
| Import reliance(%) | | | 56.8 | 9.7 | 41.7 | 5.9 |
| Land total(1000ha) | 3662 | 36988 | 3729 | 37771 | 3392 | 37652 |
| Cultivated land(1000ha) | 824 | 4910 | 826 | 4209 | 811 | 3970 |
| Share of cultivated land(%) | 22.50 | 13.27 | 22.15 | 11.14 | 23.91 | 10.54 |
| Acreage for floriculture(1000ha) | | | 27.77 | 36.16 | 36.07 | 48.42 |
| Share in cultivated land(%) | | | 3.36 | 0.86 | 4.45 | 1.22 |
| Bulb growing area(1000ha) | | | 15.06 | 1.52 | 18.65 | 1.16 |
| Share of bulbs in floricultural | | | 54.23 | 4.21 | 51.70 | 2.40 |
| acreage (%) | | | | | | |
| Farmer population(1000persons) | 835 | 21329 | 720 | 9786 | 654 | 6270 |
| Agricultural workers(1000persons) | 316 | 10760 | 291 | 4935 | 302 | 3490 |
| Share (%) | 6.0 | 21.0 | 5.0 | 8.3 | 4.20 | 5.30 |
| Workers in industries(1000persons) | 4789 | 51480 | 5765 | 59630 | 6835 | 64860 |
| Agriculture and forest(1000persons) | 291 | 8140 | 268 | 5090 | 244 | 3560 |
| Share (%) | 6.08 | 15.81 | 4.65 | 8.54 | 3. 57 | 5.49 |
| Farmers in floriculture(persons) | | | 19767 | 142068 | 18532 | 145538 |
| Bulb farmers(persons) | | | 4128 | 5492 | 3040 | 3830 |

Table 3 Industrial Structure

1) share of agriculture and fishery, and mining and Manufacturing means that of their economic activity in GDP

2) Figure of share of Agriculture and Fishery, and Mining and Manufacturing in 1995 are in 1994

3) Figures of Workers in Industries in 1995 are actually in 1996

Source: Statistics Bureau (1998)

| Table 4 | | Japan | Flower c | onsumptic | on per famil | у | | |
|---------|------|-----------------|----------|-----------|--------------|-------|-------|-----|
| | | Expenditure per | family | (yen) | Consumer's | price | index | of |
| Year | | Cut-flowers | Gardenin | g goods | cut-flowers | | | |
| | 1975 | 4158 | | | | | | |
| | 1980 | 6289 | | | 67.5 | | | |
| | 1981 | 6522 | | | 70.9 | | | |
| | 1982 | 7170 | | | 70.7 | | | |
| | 1983 | 7383 | | | 76.6 | | | |
| | 1984 | 7212 | | | 77.8 | | | |
| | 1985 | 7952 | | | 81.2 | | 7 | 7.5 |
| | 1986 | 8265 | | | 81.2 | | 7 | 7.5 |
| | 1987 | 8889 | | | 81.1 | | 7 | 7.4 |
| | 1988 | 9328 | | | 89.3 | | 8 | 5.3 |
| | 1989 | 9765 | | | 92.2 | | 8 | 8.1 |
| | 1990 | 10788 | | 7143 | 100.0 | | 9 | 5.5 |
| | 1991 | 12062 | | 7218 | 110.8 | | 10 | 5.8 |
| | 1992 | 12686 | | 7770 | 107.2 | | 10 | 2.4 |
| | 1993 | 12912 | | 8273 | 110.9 | | 10 | 5.9 |
| | 1994 | 12581 | | 8527 | 108.6 | | 10 | 3.7 |
| | 1995 | 12822 | | 8938 | 104.7 | | 10 | 0.0 |
| | 1996 | 12608 | | 9939 | | | 9 | 8.0 |
| | 1997 | 13130 | | 10311 | | | 10 | 1.5 |

Source: JFPC (1998)

| Year | 100dollar = | ldollar = ven | lguilder=ven |
|------|-------------|---------------|--------------|
| icai | guilder | radiidi yon | ioarraor jon |
| 1970 | 359.70 | 357.60 | 99.42 |
| 1975 | 252.90 | 296.76 | 117.34 |
| 76 | 264.39 | 296.55 | 112.16 |
| 77 | 268.51 | 200.60 | 74.71 |
| 78 | 210.44 | 216.36 | 102.81 |
| 79 | 219.14 | 245.42 | 111.99 |
| 1980 | 198.81 | 226.74 | 114.05 |
| 81 | 249.52 | 220.54 | 88.39 |
| 82 | 267.70 | 249.08 | 93.04 |
| 83 | 285.41 | 237.51 | 83. 22 |
| 84 | 320.87 | 237.52 | 74.02 |
| 1985 | 332.14 | 238.54 | 71.82 |
| 86 | 245.00 | 168.52 | 68.78 |
| 87 | 197.66 | 144.64 | 73.18 |
| 88 | 212.07 | 128.15 | 60.43 |
| 89 | 182.09 | 144. 79 | 79.52 |
| 1990 | 182.09 | 144. 79 | 79.52 |
| 91 | 186.97 | 134.71 | 72.05 |
| 92 | 175.85 | 126.65 | 72.02 |
| 93 | 185.73 | 111.20 | 59.87 |
| 94 | 182.00 | 102.21 | 56.16 |
| 1995 | 160. 57 | 94.06 | 58.58 |
| 96 | 168.59 | 108.78 | 64.52 |
| 97 | 195.13 | 120.99 | 62.00 |

Table 5Exchange Rates(yearly average)

Source: IMF

| | Acreage | Production | Production | Import | Import | export | export |
|------|---------|------------|------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Year | | Million | million | million | million | million | million |
| | Ha | bulbs | yen | bulbs | Yen | bulbs | yen |
| 197 | 5 1529 | 456 | 3966 | 41.29 | 9 262 | 32.59 | 809 |
| 197 | 6 1370 | 451 | 5065 | 49.28 | 3 293 | 25.80 | 349 |
| 197 | 7 1427 | 523 | 5819 | 51.3 | 5 347 | 28.68 | 135 |
| 1978 | 8 1537 | 548 | 6239 | 66.40 |) 404 | 26.16 | 1002 |
| 1979 | 9 1558 | 584 | 7003 | 74.9 | 7 571 | 23.94 | 1181 |
| 198 | 0 1578 | 588 | 7124 | 74.69 | 9 575 | 23.59 | 957 |
| 198 | 1 1736 | 560 | 6927 | 60.00 |) 475 | 22.73 | 775 |
| 1983 | 2 1682 | 567 | 6996 | 67.18 | 627 | 20.31 | 727 |
| 198 | 3 1575 | 532 | 9061 | 62.08 | 3 676 | 20.79 | 623 |
| 1984 | 4 1550 | 498 | 6485 | 73.1 | 7 775 | 21.30 | 648 |
| 198 | 5 1552 | 515 | 6574 | 63.28 | 8 764 | 22.28 | 743 |
| 198 | 6 1598 | 530 | 6198 | 93.38 | 3 1004 | 20.77 | 632 |
| 198 | 7 1634 | 544 | 6704 | 65.83 | 3 1270 | 15.61 | 504 |
| 198 | 8 1565 | 490 | 7230 | 99.79 | 9 1865 | 9.06 | 322 |
| 198 | 9 1496 | 481 | 6928 | 152.58 | 3 2919 | 7.69 | 353 |
| 199 | 0 1546 | 473 | 7424 | 294.53 | 3 5433 | 7.81 | 454 |
| 199 | 1 1524 | 507 | 8287 | 254.63 | 3 7981 | 7.17 | 463 |
| 1992 | 2 1503 | 452 | 7823 | 281.40 | 5 9135 | 5.13 | 469 |
| 1993 | 3 1440 | 417 | 7987 | 329.88 | 9584 | 24.80 | 270 |
| 1994 | 4 1280 | 391 | 6800 | 399.39 | 9 11284 | 12.39 | 256 |
| 199 | 5 1160 | 368 | 6500 | 518.30 | 6 14657 | 2.63 | 238 |
| 199 | 6 1160 | 354 | 6000 | 602.23 | 3 18560 | 2.04 | 205 |
| 199 | 7 1080 | 342.8 | | 607.12 | 2 17055 | 1.97 | 230 |

Table 6-1Japanese bulb market 1

Table 6-2

Japanese bulb market 2

| | | Price | | Quantity | Value | import | reliance |) | CPI |
|------|------|-------|--------|----------|---------|--------|-----------|------|---------|
| Year | | yen | | Million | million | % | % | | 1995.4= |
| | | | | bulbs | yen | (quant | ity (valu | e) | 100 |
| | | | | | |) | | | |
| | 1975 | | 8.697 | 465 | 3419 |) 8 | . 89 | 7.67 | 56.6 |
| | 1976 | | 11.231 | 474 | 5008 | 3 10 | . 39 | 5.84 | 62.0 |
| | 1977 | | 11.126 | 546 | 603 |) 9 | .41 | 5.75 | 66.2 |
| | 1978 | | 11.385 | 588 | 5642 | 2 11 | . 29 | 7.17 | 68.7 |
| | 1979 | | 11.991 | 635 | 6394 | 1 11 | . 81 | 8.94 | 72.0 |
| | 1980 | | 12.116 | 639 | 6742 | 2 11 | . 69 | 8.53 | 77.5 |
| | 1981 | | 12.370 | 597 | 662' | 7 10 | .05 | 7.17 | 80.6 |

| 1000 | 10 220 | 614 | 6906 | 10 04 | 0.00 | 09 7 |
|------|---------|-----|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| 1962 | 12. 559 | 014 | 0090 | 10.94 | 9.09 | 02.1 |
| 1983 | 17.032 | 573 | 9114 | 10.83 | 7.42 | 84.3 |
| 1984 | 13.022 | 550 | 6612 | 13.31 | 11.73 | 86.1 |
| 1985 | 12.765 | 556 | 6595 | 11.38 | 11.59 | 87.8 |
| 1986 | 11.694 | 603 | 6570 | 15.50 | 15.28 | 87.8 |
| 1987 | 12.324 | 594 | 7470 | 11.08 | 17.00 | 88.2 |
| 1988 | 14.755 | 581 | 8774 | 17.18 | 21.26 | 88.9 |
| 1989 | 14.403 | 626 | 9495 | 24.38 | 30.75 | 91.4 |
| 1990 | 15.696 | 760 | 12403 | 38.77 | 43.81 | 94.3 |
| 1991 | 16.345 | 754 | 15805 | 33.75 | 50.50 | 96.9 |
| 1992 | 17.308 | 728 | 16489 | 38.64 | 55.40 | 98.5 |
| 1993 | 19.153 | 722 | 17301 | 45.68 | 55.40 | 99.7 |
| 1994 | 17.391 | 778 | 17828 | 51.34 | 63.29 | 100.1 |
| 1995 | 17.663 | 884 | 20919 | 58.66 | 70.07 | 99.9 |
| 1996 | 16.949 | 954 | 24355 | 63.11 | 76.21 | 100.3 |
| 1997 | | 948 | | 64.05 | | |

Source: JFPC(1998)