A Fuzzy Approach to Building Thermal Systems Optimization

Plamen Angelov

Civil and Building Engineering Department, Loughborough University Ashby Road, Loughborough LE11 3TU, Leicestershire, UK tel: +44 (1509) 222 609 fax: +44 (1509) 223 981 e-mail: P.P.Angelov@Lboro.ac.UK

Abstract

Optimization of building thermal systems is treated in the paper in the framework of fuzzy mathematical programming. This new approach allows to formulate more precisely the problem which compromises energy saving and thermal comfort satisfaction under given

1. Introduction

In the last two decades there are an increasing interest in the optimum design and control of thermal building systems [4]-[17]. Besides of economical efficiency it has ecological aspects lowering the "green house" effect, fuel consumption and hence reducing emission of pollutants while keeping thermal comfort of the occupants [4]-[7]. The objective is to design and control building thermal system such that to minimize the capital as well as operational costs (mainly energy consumption) while satisfying all constraints as well as thermal comfort requirements. Solution of this problem is usually based on random search or gradient-based techniques. In last few years successful application of GA to this problem has been reported [5].

From the other hand, fuzzy sets have been intensively applied to building thermal behavior modeling and control [8]-[17] as more robust and easy designed. Optimization of such fuzzy models and controllers, however, has not been reported.

A general formulation of the optimization problem of a thermal plant design and control is proposed in present paper. The objective is twofold: minimization of energy consumption and maximization of the comfort. Due to the symmetrical treatment of objectives and constraints in fuzzy optimization this multiobjective problem could be transformed to non-fuzzy (crisp, conventional) optimization problem [1-2], that in constraints. Fuzzy optimization problem is solved analytically under some assumptions.

An example illustrates the viability of the approach proposed. A solution which significantly (with 38%) improves comfort is found which is more energetically expensive with only 0.6%.

some cases could be, solved analytically [3]. The building thermal model and plant model are not considered in order to simplify the problem.

A solution of this multiobjective fuzzy optimization problem is proposed by transformation to a conventional (crisp) one.

2. Thermal system optimization as a fuzzy problem

In general, the problem of thermal building system optimization is formulated as a multiobjective optimization, which aims to minimize costs (capital and running - mainly energy) and to maximize the comfort subject to number of constraints. Building and thermal plant models are considered as constraints as well as different physical and technical limitations over different components of the thermal system (fans, coils, heaters, air-handling units etc.):

$$J_1 = \text{Costs (variables)} \rightarrow \min$$
(1)
$$J_2 = \text{Comfort (variables)} \rightarrow \max$$

s.t. Constraints (variables) = 0

Formulation of some of constraints with fuzzy sets seems more realistic and allows a higher flexibility to describe better the really existing uncertainty. For example, fans are used with a part of their design load range: the loads lower than given thresholds are not so effective. A parameter (partial load ratio, PLR) represents the load used as a ratio to the designed load. Practically, loads lower than a given threshold (let say, $PLR^{critical} =$ 0.2DL; where DL denotes the design load) are not used (line 1 on the Fig.1). A fuzzy constraint over the fan's load is more realistic (line 2 on Fig.1). According to this fuzzy constraint, PLR in a range of [0.15; 0.25] are acceptable, but with degree less than 1.



Fig.1 Fan's partial load ratio constraint

1-conventional case 2-fuzzy (flexible) constraints

Practically, the optimization problem is solved as an uniobjective one where comfort requirements are considered as a constraint [4-7]

 $J = Costs (variables) \rightarrow min$ (2)

s.t. $| PPD(variables) | \le 10\%$ Constraints (variables)=0

where PPD denotes predicted percentage of dissatisfied people

This simplification makes the problem a rough approximation of the real intention of the designer. It is so, if conventional technique is applied, because it simplifies the solution of the problem. Fuzzy sets offer a tool for coming to grip with this problem. Comfort requirements could be formulated with an appropriate, subjectively specified membership function (Fig.2).

The problem of thermal building system became fuzzy multiobjective optimization one:

Costs (variables)	\rightarrow min~	$:\mu_{costs}$	(3)
Comfort (variables)	→max~	: $\mu_{comfort}$	

s.t. Constraints (variables) =~ 0
$$:\mu_{constraints}$$

where ~ denotes fuzzy

Part of constraints could be fuzzy and other one - crisp. Building model (which could be of the fuzzy rule-base type) as well as models of components of the thermal system are not considered due to simplicity.



Fig.2 Fuzzy comfort membership functions

- 1 conventional case
- 2 very strong; preference of slightly cool environment
- 3 slight violation
- 4 if possible in the range [-10; 10] %

3 Optimization problem solving

Solution of the optimization problem (3) could be found numerically [1-2]. For some special cases it could be found analytically [3]. We consider a simple example of a thermal building system optimization in order to illustrate the approach proposed.

Suppose that cumulative costs (capital and running) depends on PPD as follows (Fig.3):

$$Costs = 9.9 + 1/|PPD|$$
(4)

and suppose for simplicity that constraints are satisfied for all variables considered, the following optimal solution is achieved:

$$Costs^0 = 10 \text{ k} \pounds$$

PPD⁰ = ±10%

Practically, the dependence of Costs on PPD is more complex and includes building and plant models often it is dynamic problem [4-7]. Variables are usually control parameters of the plant (supply air temperature, mass flow rate etc.). However, the nature of the problem is the same. The only difference is that instead to be a fuzzy mathematical problem it became fuzzy optimal control problem or fuzzy optimization problem in general.

If apply conventional optimization problem (1) comfort characteristics will be in the required range, but on one of its marginal values (+ or - 10% dissatisfied).

Suppose that comfort is described with relatively strong membership function:











Fig.4 'Strong' comfort membership function

Suppose that costs are given with the following membership function:

$$\mu_{\text{costs}} = \begin{cases} 1; & \text{Costs} < 10 \text{ k} \pounds & (6) \\ 0 \ 1/(9 \ 9 \text{-} \text{Costs}): & \text{Costs} > 10 \text{ k} \pounds \end{cases}$$

Costs=9.9+1/|PPD|



Fig.5 Fuzzy costs function

Then the following fuzzy multiobjective optimization problem arise:

$$\mu_{\text{result}} \rightarrow \max$$
 (7)

s.t. $\mu_{result} = \mu_{costs} \text{ AND } \mu_{comfort} \text{ AND } \mu_{constraints}$

Its solution could be easily found analytically [3] from:

$$\mu_{\text{costs}} (\text{PPD}) = \mu_{\text{comfort}} (\text{PPD})$$
(8a)

$$PPD^2 + 10 |PPD| - 100 = 0$$
 (8b)

It is supposed for simplicity that constraints are satisfied for all values of variables considered. The fuzzy optimal solution is:

Costs* = 10.06 k£ (-0.6%) PPD* = ±6.2% (+38%)

It is seen that significant improvement of the comfort requirements (with 38%) could be achieved with slightly higher costs (higher with only 0.6%). For the more complex (and realistic) fuzzy optimization problems the solution could be found numerically [18].

4 Conclusions

Optimization of building thermal systems is treated in the paper in the framework of fuzzy mathematical programming. This new approach allows to formulate more precisely the problem which compromises energy saving and thermal comfort satisfaction under given constraints. This fuzzy optimization problem is solved analytically under some assumptions.

Fuzzy approach to optimization of building thermal systems allow us to formulate problem more flexibly, user-friendly and to analyze more precisely and deeply the whole range of possible solutions. It help us to avoid necessity of scaling and normalization applied in other approaches (when penalty functions are used) as well as infeasible solutions (infeasible solutions in fuzzy optimization problem has degree of acceptance 0 and are not considered). The problem is formulated in more natural multiobjective fashion and is solved efficiently either numerically either analytically in some cases.

An example illustrates the viability of the approach proposed. A solution which significantly (with 38%) improves comfort is found which is more energetically expensive with only 0.6%.

5 References

- [1] Angelov P., Approximate Reasoning Based Optimization, *Yugoslav Journal on Operations Research*, 4 (1) (1994) 11-17
- [2] Angelov P., A Generalized Approach to Fuzzy Optimization, *International Journal of Intelligent Systems*, **9** (4) (1994) 261-268
- [3] Angelov P., An Analytical Method for Solving a Type of Fuzzy Optimization Problems, Control and Cybernetics, **24** (3) (1995) 363-373
- [4] M.J.Ren, J.A.Wright, HVAC Optimal Control of Fabric Thermal Storage System, *CLIMA 2000 Conference*, Belgium, September (1997)
- [5] J.A.Wright, HVAC Optimisation Studies: Sizing by GA, Building Systems Engineering Research and Technology, **17** (1) (1996) 7-14
- [6] D.Parent, S.Sticker, D.Fugler, Optimum Ventilation and air-flow Control in Buildings, *HVAC and R Research*, **28** (1998) 25-32
- [7] M.J.Ren, Optimal Predictive Control of Thermal Storage in Hollow Core Ventilated Slab Systems, *Ph.D.Thesis*, Loughborough University (1997)
- [8] M. Kummert, Ph. Andre, J. Guiot, J.Nicolas, Shortterm weather forecasting for solar buildings optimal control: an application of neural networks, *Proc. of the* 6th European Congress on Intelligent Techniques EUFIT'98, Aachen, Germany, 2 (1998) 868-872

- [9]I.Bellas-Velidis,A.Argiriou,C.Balaras,S.Kontoyanidis, Predicting Energy Demand of Single Solar Houses using ANN, Proc. of the 6th European Congress on Intelligent Techniques EUFIT'98, Aachen, Germany, 2 (1998) 873-877
- [10] G.Sutherland, G.Eftaxias, M.Santamuris, M.Asimakopulou, Development of intelligent algorithm for visual comfort control trough selective solar control strategies, *Proc. of the 6th European Congress on Intelligent Techniques EUFIT'98*, Aachen, Germany 2 (1998) 863-867
- [11] S.Kajl, P.Malinowski, E.Czogala, M.Blazinski, Fuzzy logic and NN approach to thermal description of buildings, *Proc. of the 3th European Congress on Fuzzy and Intelligent Techniques EUFIT'95*, Aachen, Germany v.1 (1995) 299-303
- [12] A.H.Boussabaine, T.M.S.Elhag, A Neuro-Fuzzy Model for Predicting Construction Cost, 6th European Congress on Fuzzy and Intelligent Techniques EUFIT'98, Aachen, Germany (1998) 2, pp. 1970-1974
- [13] C.v.ALtrock, H.-O. Arend, B. Krause, C.Steffens, E.Behreus-Roemmler, , *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 61 (1994) 29-35
- [14] P. Hofbauer, H.-O.Arend, D. Pfannastiel, New heating system controls based on the use of fuzzy logic, *Proc. of the 1st European Congress on Fuzzy* and Intelligent Techniques EUFIT'93, Aachen, Germany 3 (1993) 1036-1042
- [15] I.Skrjanc et.al., Fuzzy Modeling of Thermal Behavior in Building, Proc. of the 6th European Congress on Intelligent Techniques EUFIT'98, Aachen, Germany, 2 (1998) 878-882
- [16] J.L.Gibson, A Supervisory Controller for Optimization of Building Central Cooling Systems, ASHRAE Transactions, 103 (1) (1997) 486-493
- [17] A.T.P. So, T.T. Chow, W.L.Chan, W.L.Tse, Fuzzy Air Handling system controller, *Building Services Engineering Research and Technology*, **15** (2) (1994) 95-105
- [18] Filev D., Angelov P., Fuzzy Optimal Control, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 47 (2) (1992) 147-151