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The use of simple hydrocarbons as starting materials for the synthesis of complex molecules relies on efficient methods for oxidation, functionalization or rearrangement. For example, various researchers have explored cyclopentadiene or cycloheptatriene as precursors for the preparation of a wide variety of drug candidates, natural products and synthetic products. The purpose of this research is to explore methods to transform the simple hydrocarbon, cyclooctatetraene (COT) into complex target molecules.

Tricarbonyl (cyclooctatetraene)iron, readily prepared from COT, reacts with a variety of electrophiles to form (dienyl)iron cations. These steps may be regarded as branching pathways in diversity oriented synthesis. Reaction of these cations with a variety of nucleophiles, followed by oxidative decomplexation gave the corresponding racemic polyenes. Further manipulation of the generated polyenes can be effected by cycloaddition, oxidation, oxidative cleavage, rearrangement or reduction.

Utilizing these reactions, a variety of stereochemically diverse polyhydroxy aminocycloalkanes ("aminocyclitols") have been prepared. The inhibitory activity of these aminocyclitols against $\beta$-glucosidase (from almonds) was reported. Conversely, ring-rearrangement metathesis of the polyenes containing a pendant olefin tether leads to a variety of carbocycles and heterocycles by what can be considered folding pathways in diversity oriented synthesis. This strategy has lead to a number of biologically active or natural product-line structures from the simple hydrocarbon cyclooctatetraene in a short number of steps.
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## I- INTRODUCTION

## I.1. General Introduction:

The ability of small molecules to interact with biological systems and perturb their function has emerged as a powerful tool for the study of complex biological systems. ${ }^{1,2}$ Small molecules that modulate crucial cell signaling pathways have been identified via the screening of large libraries of diverse small molecules. ${ }^{3,4}$ Traditionally, high-throughput screening (HTS) of large libraries of compounds has been employed to identify chemical probes of biological function and as a consequence, lead compounds for drug development. ${ }^{5}$ HTS can be used in a "chemical genetic" approach with phenotypic assays to identify compounds which promote a particular biological effect. ${ }^{6}$

Although current small molecule libraries can lead to identification of effective modulators of certain targets, the focus has primarily been on a relatively narrow region of chemical structure space, the so-called 'drug-like' compounds. ${ }^{7}$ To address the important need for modulation of so-called "undruggable" targets, diversity-oriented synthesis (DOS) has emerged as a promising approach to generating libraries that explore untapped or underrepresented regions of chemical structure space. ${ }^{8}$

In contrast to target-oriented synthesis, which aims to prepare a specific target compound, the goal of DOS is the facile preparation of collections of structurally complex and diverse compounds from simple starting materials. ${ }^{9}$

Structural diversity can be achieved via different ways; incorporation of diverse building blocks,,${ }^{10}$ incorporation of a variety of functional groups, ${ }^{11}$ synthesis of
stereoisomeric products ${ }^{12}$ and branching reaction pathways that result in structures with widely varying connectivity. ${ }^{13}$

## I.2. The development of DOS approach

The early examples of DOS chemistry were mainly focused on showing the ability to synthesize complex molecules within few synthetic steps. This Structural complexity can be efficiently generated in diversity-oriented synthesis through the use of tandem reactions, processes involving reactions in which the product is a substrate for the next step. ${ }^{14,15}$

A classic example of generating complex molecules was reported by Schreiber and coworkers ${ }^{16}$ where they used tandem reactions in an iterative manner is an especially powerful concept that enabled the efficient conversion of simple starting materials into complex products. For example, The Ugi four component condensation ${ }^{17,18}$ of the amine $\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{1}$ to give intermediate $\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{2}$ followed by intramolecular Diels Alder reaction ${ }^{19}$ generates complexity by formation of a alkene within a five-membered ring I-3. After addition of two allyl groups to provide I-4, Schreiber and coworkers introduced additional complexity through a subsequent ring-opening/ring closing metathesis reaction, thus forming a complex product I-5 containing two five membered and two seven-membered rings (Scheme-I-1). ${ }^{16}$


Scheme I-1. Tandem Ugi-Diels-Alder-Ring Opening/Closing Metathesis.

More recent DOS approach is directed to rapidly make complex molecules that have useful biological applications or share the structural features with other natural products. ${ }^{20,21}$ In an attempt to use DOS chemistry to make natural product like compounds, Martin and coworkers reported the synthesis of diverse heterocyclic cyclic scaffolds via sequential reactions. ${ }^{22}$ A one-pot multicomponent reaction of obromobenzaldehyde I-6 with allylamine followed by attack of allylzinc nucleophile gave allylamine derivative I-7 (Scheme I-2). Ring closing metathesis of I-7 followed by Heck cyclization reaction gave the corresponding bridged azabicyclic structure $\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{8}$ which has a framework similar to that in naturally occurring methylated morphine (also known as codeine) which has analgesic, antitussive, antidiarrheal, antihypertensive, anxiolytic, antidepressant, sedative and hypnotic properties. ${ }^{23}$


Scheme I-2. Sequential ring closing metathesis/Heck cyclization.

The same research group presented the synthesis of compounds containing isopavine skeleton which has interesting biological activities. ${ }^{24}$ Condensation reaction of methyl amine with piperonal I-9 followed by reaction with Grignard reagent I-10 then diacetoxyacetyl chloride gave amide $\mathbf{I - 1 1}$ which upon treatment with HCl and methanol afforded roelactamine $\mathbf{I}-12$ in a good yield (Scheme I-3). ${ }^{22}$


Scheme I-3. Preparation of isopavine containing structure.

A high -throughput screening campaign of about 12,000 diverse chemical compounds containing pure natural products and DOS libraries was conducted to search for antimalarial agents with potential new mechanisms using a phenotypic growth inhibition assay against the blood-stage parasite of malaria. ${ }^{25-27}$ This search led to the identification of the lead racemic spiroazepineindole ${ }^{28,29} \mathbf{I}-\mathbf{1 3}$ with moderate potency in
both wild type (NF54) and chloroquine resistant (K1) of $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ in nanomolar scale (Figure I-1).


Figure I-1. Discovery of spiroazepineindole I-13.

This discovery of I-13 initiated structure activity relationship studies to optimize its potency and in vivo activity. Yeung and coworkers ${ }^{30}$ reported the synthesis of new derivatives which are more potent than I-13. Vilsmeyer-Haack formylation ${ }^{31}$ of the indole followed by condensation with nitroethane gave the corresponding nitroalkenes I14 and I-15 (Scheme I-4). Reduction I-14 followed by condensation with 5-chloroisatin provided racemic $\mathbf{I - 1 6}$ and $\mathbf{I - 1 7}$. The $1 R, 3 S$ and $1 S, 3 R$ enantiomers were then resolved by chiral chromatography to give (+)-I-16 and (+)-I-17.


chiral chromatography

(+)-I-16 NF54 $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=\mathbf{0 . 2} \mathbf{n M}$

(+)-I-17
NF54 $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=\mathbf{0 . 0 . 9} \mathbf{n M}$

Scheme I-4. Preparation of isopavine containing structure.

## I.3. Aminocyclicpolyols

Polyhydroxyl aminocyclic compounds are important synthetic targets and attractive candidates for diversity-oriented synthesis. Polyhydroxyl aminocyclohexanes, best known as "aminocyclitols" are reported to be glycosidase inhibitors and they are also present as aglycon units of numerous aminoglycoside antibiotics, e.g., streptomycin and fortimycin, which possess inhibitory activity against various glycosidases. ${ }^{10}$

The glycosidic bond, shown in (Figure I-2), is the exocyclic ether linkage between two sugar units. This bond is known to be very stable towards hydrolysis; in particular, the linkage between two sugar residues is known to be the most stable within naturally occurring biopolymers which include polypeptides and nucleic acids.


Figure I-2. Glycosidic bond between two sugar residues.

Enzymes that cleave glycosidic bonds are called "glycoside hydrolases" or "glycosidases". The mechanisms of the glycosidic bond hydrolysis have been extensively studied. ${ }^{32-34}$ Hydrolysis can be achieved with retention of configuration at the anomeric carbon as shown in scheme I-5, where the enzyme active site involves two carboxylic acidic residues $\sim 5.5 \AA$ apart. The hydrolysis starts with one of the acidic residue which acts as a general acid catalyst that protonates the glycosidic oxygen followed by bond cleavage forming the oxocarbenium ion. Stabilization of the generated oxocarbenium ion is achieved by forming a covalent glycosyl-enzyme bond with the other acid residue in the enzyme active site. Eventually in the presence of a water molecule attack takes place at the anomeric center facilitated by the carboxylate general base. ${ }^{34}$


Scheme I-5. Mechanism of the hydrolysis of glycosidic bond with retention of configuration at anomeric carbon.

Researchers extensively studied the transition-state of glycosidase inhibitors and proposed different intermediate compounds that mimic both exo and endo positively charged oxygen intermediate in the enzyme active sites. ${ }^{34,35}$ To mimic the positive charge that developed during this mechanism, many researchers showed cycltitols containing amine group at $\mathrm{pH}<5$ will be protonated and form the analogue of the positively charged oxygen in the enzyme active site. ${ }^{36}$

Alternatively, other glycosidases proceed via a different mechanism with inversion at the anomeric center as illustrated in figure I-3. In this mechanism the hydrolysis starts with enhancing the nucleophilicity of water molecule by an enzymic general base. The hydroxyl anion attacks the anomeric center leading to departure of the aglycon and is assisted by a general-acid catalytic group on the enzyme active site. ${ }^{37}$


Figure I-3. Mechanism of the hydrolysis of glycosidic bond with inversion of configuration at the anomeric carbon.

Due to the importance of aminocyclitols, several attempts to synthesize them and their derivatives have been reported. For example synthesis of quercitols (deoxyinositols) ${ }^{38,39}$ from inositols where they start from a single optically active cyclitol and selectively converting it by deoxygenation ${ }^{40}$ to amine functionality to give two
diastereomeric aminocyclitols. Also carbohydrates have been used to synthesize aminocyclitols using Ferrier carbocyclic ring-closure, ${ }^{41,42}$ from oximes through 6-exo radical cyclization of carbohydrates, ${ }^{43}$ and from chiral 1,7-octadienes via ring closing metathesis have been reported. ${ }^{44,45}$

## I.4. Medicinal chemistry of aminocyclitols

Aminocyclitols show a variety of biological effects including antibiotic, antiviral, anticancer activities. ${ }^{46}$ The aminoglycoside antibiotics are a family of natural products in which diaminocyclitols are connected to different sugar units. ${ }^{47}$ The 1,3-diaminocyclitols are the most common scaffold in this class of compounds which possess antibacterial activity. It was found that most of the 1,3-diaminocyclitols contain 2-deoxystreptamine as part of their structures. ${ }^{48}$ Neomycin, ${ }^{49}$ kanamycin, ${ }^{50}$ gentamicin, ${ }^{51}$ tobramycin, ${ }^{52}$ sisomicin, ${ }^{53}$ verdamicine ${ }^{54}$ and lividomycin ${ }^{55}$ are representative examples of 2-deoxystreptamine-containing aminoglycosides (Figure I-4).


Figure I-4. Representative structures of 2-deoxystreptamine-containing aminoglycosides.

While 1,3-diaminocyclitols show activity against both gram positive and gram negative bacteria, 1,2-diaminocyclitols also show interesting biological activity. 1,2-The diaminocyclohexitol oseltamivir, (Tamiflu®) which is a very potent inhibitor of influenza neuraminidase. ${ }^{56}$ Llebaria's research group discovered the new 1,2-diaminocyclitol I-18, a $\beta$-glucocerebrosidase inhibitor and with potential therapeutic applications for Gaucher disease (Figure I-5). ${ }^{57}$



Figure I-5. Representative structures of 1,2-diaminocyclohexitols.

Aminocyclohexitols have been extensively studied as glycohydrolase inhibitors, due to the ability of aminocyclohexitols to mimic the protonation of the exocyclic oxygen intermediate in glycosidic bond hydrolysis. ${ }^{34}$

Similar to 2-deoxystreptamine (Figure I-4) a building unit for 1,3diaminocyclitols, valienamine (Figure I-6), was first isolated from the microbial degradation of validoxylamine A with Pseudomonas denitrificans, ${ }^{58,59}$ is an essential component in many glycosidase inhibitors. ${ }^{60}$ Valiolamine and validamine, which were isolated from the fermentation broth of Streptomyces hygroscopicus subsp, inhibit $\alpha$ glucosidases and combat bacterial diseases in rice plants. ${ }^{61}$ Hydroxyvalidamine ${ }^{62}$ also exhibits glycosidase inhibition activity while validamycin A is used to treat sheath blight disease in rice. ${ }^{63,64}$ Acarbose, which was discovered in a target-directed screening from the culture broth of Actinoplanes spec, ${ }^{65}$ is currently used for the treatment of type II insulin-independent diabetes. ${ }^{66}$


Valinamine


Valiolamine


Validamine


Hydroxyvalidamine

Validamycin A

Acarbose

Figure I-6. Representative examples of valienamine based structures.

Ogawa and coworkers synthesized dimeric compounds derived from validamine, validamine or valiolamine (Figure I-7), which exhibit trehalase inhibitory activity. ${ }^{67-69}$ The same group also reported the synthesis of new $\alpha$-glucosidase inhibitors I-19 in which valinamine is linked to a sugar moiety containing a 1,6-anhydrobridge. ${ }^{70}$


Valinamine dimer


Valiolamine dimer


Validamine dimer


Figure I-7. Trehalase inhibitors incorporating dimeric aminocyclohexitols and $\alpha$ glucosidase inhibitors.

Due to the potent inhibition that was observed for valioamine against sucrase $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=49 \mathrm{nM}\right),{ }^{61}$ several medicinal chemistry studies were carried out that led to voglibose, a therapeutically useful antidiabetic agent (Figure I-8). ${ }^{71}$ Structurally related compounds were synthesized but they showed less potency towards glycosidase inhibition. ${ }^{72}$


Figure I-8. Volibose, antidiabetic agent.

Synthesis of $\beta$-valienamine and $\beta$-validamine also led to the discovery of a new series of glygosidases inhibitors. For example, compound I-20 showed to be potent and selective GlcCer $\beta$-glucosidase inhibitors for both $E$ and $Z$ isomers with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values 0.3 $\mu \mathrm{M}$ and $0.1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ respectively. ${ }^{73}$ Modification of $N$-substituent in $\beta$-valienamine to $N$-octyl to give $N$-octyl- $\beta$-valienamine (NOV) which turned out to be the most potent inhibitor of GlcCer $\beta$-glucosidase with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=0.03 \mu \mathrm{M}^{74}$ and also as pharmacological chaperone for Gaucher disease. ${ }^{75}$ Further studies on NOV led to discovery of $N$-octyl-4-epi- $\beta$ valienamine (NOEV) which was found to be potent inhibitor for lysosomal $\beta$ galactosidase and potential pharmacological chaperone Morquio B disease (Figure I-9). ${ }^{76}$


I-20 $E$-isomer $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=\mathbf{0 . 3} \mathbf{m M}\right)$

$\mathrm{NOV}\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=\mathbf{0 . 0 3 m M}\right)$


I-20 Z-isomer $\left(\mathbf{I C}_{50}=\mathbf{0 . 1} \mathbf{m M}\right)$


NOEV

Figure I-9. Examples of GlcCer $\beta$-glucosidase and lysosomal $\beta$-galactosidase inhibitors.

## I.5. Implementation of an organoiron approach to DOS

Recently, Donaldson's group was the first to report the synthesis of a family of diverse stereoisomeric aminocyclitols using an organoiron approach. ${ }^{77}\left[\left(\eta^{5}-\right.\right.$ Cyclohexadienyl) $\left.\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\right]^{+}$cation $\mathbf{I - 2 2}$ was easily prepared from 1,3-cyclohexadiene $\mathbf{I}$ $21{ }^{78-80}$ followed by nucleophilic attack of potassium phthalimide (KNPhth) at the dienyl terminus of the symmetric cation I-22 to give ( $\mathbf{\pm} \mathbf{)} \mathbf{- I} \mathbf{- 2 3}$. Oxidative decomplexation of ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-$\mathbf{I}-23$ using CAN/MeOH gave the free diene ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-I-24 (Scheme I-6). ${ }^{77}$


Scheme I-6. Synthesis of (Cyclohexadienyl)phthalimide ( $\pm$ ) I-24.

Singlet oxygen cycloaddition of ( $\mathbf{\pm} \mathbf{)}$-I-24 resulted in a separable mixture of endoperoxides ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-I-25 and $( \pm) \mathbf{I - 2 6}$, which upon reduction with thiourea gave ( $\pm$ )-I-27 or $\mathbf{( \pm ) - I - 2 8}$ respectively. The $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$ catalyzed dihydroxylation of ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-I-28 afforded ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-I-30 while for ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-I-27 dihydroxylation gave ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-I-29. Epoxidation of ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-I-27 using metachloroperbenzoic acid produced $(\mathbf{\pm}) \mathbf{- I}-\mathbf{3 1}$ which undergoes epoxide ring opening under acidic conditions followed by acetylation to give a mixture of diastereomeric tetraacetates $\mathbf{( \pm ) - I - 3 2}$ and ( $\pm$ )-I-33 (Scheme I-7). ${ }^{77}$

 (土)-I-24


( $\pm$ )-I-31

( $\pm$ )-I-33

Scheme I-7. Synthesis of diverse stereoisomeric aminocyclitols from endopeoxide compounds.

The metal-mediated rearrangement ${ }^{81-83}$ of endoperoxide compound ( $\pm$ )-I-25 using Grubbs’ (II) catalyst through inner sphere radical mechanism yielded the corresponding bisepoxide compound ( $\pm$ )-I-34 which on hydrolysis gave ( $\pm$ )-I-30 (Scheme I-8). Kornblum DeLaMare rearrangement ${ }^{84}$ of ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-I-25 gave compound ( $\pm$ )-I-36 as the major product along with a mixture of two other rearrangement regioisomers in lesser yield. Reduction of $( \pm)-\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{3 6}$ under Luche conditions ${ }^{85}$ gave ( $\pm$ )-I- $\mathbf{3 7}$ followed by
osmium catalyzed dihydroxylation then acetylation where a mixture of two diastereomeric tetraacetates, $\left(\mathbf{\pm} \mathbf{)} \mathbf{- I} \mathbf{- 3 8}\right.$ and $(\mathbf{\pm}) \mathbf{- I} \mathbf{- 3 9}$ is obtained. ${ }^{77}$


Scheme I-8. Utilizing rearrangement reactions to generate sterochemically diverse of aminocyclitols.

Brief dihydroxylation of ( $\mathbf{\pm} \mathbf{I} \mathbf{I} \mathbf{- 2 4}$ gave the diol ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-I-40 which was again treated with osmium tetraoxide to provide $( \pm)-\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{4 1}$, while the epoxidation of $( \pm)$-I- $\mathbf{- 4 0}$ gave the monoepoxide derivative ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-I-42 (Scheme I-9). Notably, stereochemical outcome of this transformation follows Henbest's model ${ }^{86}$ in which the allylic hydroxyl group directs peroxide mediated epoxidation onto the same face of the olefin. Epoxide ring opening of $( \pm)$-I-42 followed by acetylation gave ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-I-43. ${ }^{77}$


Scheme I-9. Synthesis of aminocyclitols using dihydroxylation and epoxidation reactions.

## I.6. Exploring the expanded homologs of aminocyclitols

The interesting biological activity of aminocyclitols, motivated chemists to explore the preparation of expanded aminocyclic polyols. The synthesis of the 7membered carbocycles (I-44, I-45, I-46, I-47) shown in Figure I-10 has been reported by the groups of Casiraghi ${ }^{87}$ and Landais. ${ }^{88}$


Figure I-10. Partial list of recently synthesized seven-membered carbasugars.

Johnson et $a^{89} l$ reported the synthesis of aminoheptatriols I-54 and ent-I-54 starting from cycloheptatriene I-48 which was oxidized to tropone I-49 according to the literature ${ }^{90}$ (Scheme I-10). Reduction of tropone with sodium borohydride, diacetoxylation utilizing procedure developed by Backval1, ${ }^{91}$ protection of the alcohol as mesylate followed by displacement of mesylate using $\mathrm{NaN}_{3}$ to give azide derivative $\mathbf{I - 5 0}$. Chemoselective reduction by hydrogenolysis of $\mathbf{I}-50$ to unsaturated amine $\mathbf{I}-51$ using Lindelar catalyst ${ }^{92,93}$ then protection of the resultant amine as carbamate derivative $\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{5 2}$. Enzymatic asymmetric acetylation of I-52 with Amano P-30 lipase in presence of isopropenyl acetate gave the corresponding monoacetate derivative $\mathbf{I}-53$ in very high enantiomeric excess (>98\% ee). Conversion of I-53 to the corresponding enatiomers of protected diols followed by hydroboration-oxidation to give the desired products I-54 and ent-I-54.


Scheme I-10. Synthesis of aminoheptatriols from cycloheptatriene.

Casiraghi reported aldol-type condensation catalyzed by Lewis acid between aldehyde $\mathbf{I}-55$ (prepared from (+)-tartrate) and pyrrole derivative $\mathbf{I}-56$ afforded the unsaturated lactam I-57 as a single diastereomer (Scheme I-11). Nickel catalysed 1,4 reduction of the $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated lactam followed by protection of the hydroxyl group gave I-58. Exchange of the $N$-protecting group then selective deprotection followed by Swern oxidation afforded the aldehyde I-60. Intramolecular aldol condensation of I-60 followed by protecting the resultant hydroxyl group as a silyl ether gave compound I-61 as a single diastereoisomer. Swapping the protection of N-protecting group from a benzyl to a t-butylcarbonate group followed by reductive cleavage of the amide bond and acid hydrolysis afforded the aminocycloheptitol I-63. ${ }^{94}$




Scheme I-11. Synthesis of aminocycloheptitol I-63 from (+)-tartrate.

Casiraghi's group also reported the synthesis of densely hydroxylated cycloheptane amino acids I-71 and I-72 via succession of a vinylogous Mukaiyama aldol reaction (VMAR) ${ }^{95}$ by reaction of pyrrole-based dienoxy silane I-64 and ( $S$ )-protected glyceraldehyde ( $S$ ) I-65 using $\mathrm{SnCl}_{4}$ as Lewis acid gave I-66 as a single diastereoisomer (Scheme I-12). Installation of a second polyol appendage at the $\alpha$-position of the lactam moiety was achieved via Morita-Baylis-Hillman reaction ${ }^{96}$ upon treatment with both ( $S$ ) and $(R)$ glyceraldehyde derivatives leading to the major products I-67 and I-68 respectively. Several steps involving reduction of the double bond using hydrogenation in presence of palladium as a catalyst, protection of the hydroxyl group, exchange of the N -
protecting group to an acetyl followed by diol cleavage afforded the dialdehydes I-67 and I-70. Separate intramolecular pinacol coupling of either I-69 or I-70 followed by hydrolysis and deprotection provided amino acids polyols I-71 or I-72 respectively. ${ }^{87}$


Scheme I-12. Synthesis of I-49 and I-50 from pyrrole-based dienoxy silane.

The Landais's research group managed to desymmetrize 7-
(dimethyl)phenylsilylcycloheptatriene and through consecutive dihydroxylation and acylnitrosocycloaddition to synthesize new aminocycloheptitols. They converted tropylium fluoroborate I-73 into cycloheptatriene I-74 using a bis-silyl zinc reagent (Scheme I-13). Sharpless asymmetric dihydroxylation using a modified AD-mix containing quinuclidine as the chiral ligand followed by protection of the diol with acetyl groups to give I-76. Diels-Alder cycloaddition of the diene I-76 with an acyl-nitroso as adienophile afforded three isomers I-77, I-78 and I-79. Hydrogenation of the major cycloaddition adduct I-77 then C-Si oxidation under Felming conditions ${ }^{97}$ followed by acetylation of the resultant hydroxyl group yielded I-82. N-O reductive cleavage using $\operatorname{Mo}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}$ of $\mathbf{I - 8 2}$ followed by deprotection of the amine group gave the final product $\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{8 5} .{ }^{88}$


Scheme I-13. Synthesis of I-63 from commercially available tropylium fluoroborate.

## I.7. Eight-membered ring aminocyclitols

Andriuzzi and others ${ }^{98}$ reported the synthesis of aminocyclooctitols starting from 3,4-o-methylethylidene-L-ido-bisepoxide I-86. ${ }^{99}$ Double epoxide ring opening of I-86 using excess of lithium divinylcyanocuprate ${ }^{100}$ led to the formation of the free diol compound I-87 (Scheme I-14). Silylation of I-87 gave the corresponding protected compound I-88. Ring closing metathesis using Grubbs' I catalyst afforded the corresponding cyclooctenes I-89. Hydroboration of I-89 followed by in situ oxidation ${ }^{101}$ to give I-90. Reductive amination of I-90 followed by hydrolysis gave the aminocyclooctitol I-91.


Scheme I-14. Synthesis of aminocyclooctitol I-91 from L-ido-bisepoxide.

In the same study ${ }^{98}$ they used D-manno bisepoxide I-92 as starting material. Similarly, double epoxide ring opening, protection, ring closing metathesis, hydroboration then oxidation followed by reductive amination and finally deprotection resulted in aminocyclooctitol 1-97 (Scheme I-15).


Scheme I-15. Synthesis of aminocyclooctitol I-97 from D-manno bisepoxide.

In the same year the same research group reported the synthesis of new aminocyclooctitols. ${ }^{102}$ Catalytic dihydroxylation of compounds I-89and I-95 gave cis diol compounds I-98 and I-99 respectively (Scheme I-16). Treatment of I-98 and I-99 with thionyl chloride in the presence of triethyl amine followed by oxidation with sodium periodate in the presence of ruthenium trichloride gave the corresponding cyclic sulfates I-100 and I-101. Nucleophilic opening of these sulfates followed by acidic hydrolysis gave the corresponding azido alcohols I-102 and I-103. Reduction of the azide functionality in both $\mathbf{I - 1 0 2}$ and $\mathbf{I - 1 0 3}$, using hydrogen in the presence of palladium black generated the desired amino derivatives which upon hydrolysis provided the aminocyclooctitols I-104 and I-105.


Scheme I-16. Synthesis of aminocyclooctitol I-104 and I-105.

## I.6. Bicyclic cyclitols

A survey of the literature identified only a few examples for bicyclic cyclitols, all of which contain a 6-membered ring fused either to a 3 - or 4-membered ring. Balci's group accomplished the synthesis of a new class of bicyclic compounds named as bishomoconduritol D, E and F. ${ }^{103}$ Starting from cyclooctatetraene I-106 (Scheme I-17),
dibromide $\mathbf{I - 1 0 7}$ was prepared followed by singlet oxygen cycloaddition, endoperoxide reduction using thiourea and subsequent acetylation to give the diacetate $\mathbf{I - 1 0 7}$. Syn dihydroxylation and acetyl protection followed by Zn -reductive elimination reaction and eventually deprotection afforded bis-homoconduritol D . The bicyclic diacetate compound I-107 underwent epoxidation followed by Zn -reductive elimination of the dibromide, acetylation and finally deprotection to give bis-homoconduritol F. To obtain bishomoconduritol E , chlorination was used instead of bromination because it was presumed that the endo-oriented bromine atom in $\mathbf{I - 1 0 7}$ determines the stereoselectvity of hydroxylation and epoxidation reactions. In a similar fashion to the synthesis of bishomoconduritol D , bis-homoconduritol E was prepared in a 1:1 ratio. ${ }^{103}$


Scheme I-17. Synthesis of bis-homoconduritol D, E and F.

Bennet and coworkers ${ }^{104}$ reported the synthesis of two bicyclic aminocyclitols; one of these was a potent inhibitor of $\alpha$-galactosidase (Scheme I-18). They started the synthesis with D-galactose derivative $\mathbf{I} \mathbf{- 1 0 8}$ which can be made in two steps from methyl $\alpha$-D-galactopyranoside. Reduction of hemiacetal I-08, selective protection of the primary alcohol as the trityl ether then Swern oxidation followed by Wittig olefination gave olefin I-109. Deprotection of the primary alcohol, Swern oxidation followed by Grignard reaction with vinylmagnesium bromide gave an equimolar mixture of $R$ and $S$ diastereomers. This mixture undergoes ring closure metathesis reaction with Grubbs' II catalyst to give a mixture of cyclohexene diastereomers that were separated using radial chromatography. ${ }^{105}$ Conversion of the minor allylic alcohol $R$ I-111 into an allylazide functionality proceeded with inversion of configuration to give allylic azide I-112 along with small amount of $S_{N} 2^{\prime}$ product $\mathbf{I}-113$. Cyclopropanation of $\mathbf{I}-112$ using a Furukawa ${ }^{106}$ modification of the Simmons-Smith reaction gave a separable mixture cyclopropyl isomers I-114and I-115 with over all yield 88\%. Deprotection of the benzyl groups by hydrogenation and hydrolysis of the amide groups gave the final bicyclic aminocyclitols I-116 and I-117. ${ }^{104}$


Scheme I-18. Synthesis of bicyclic aminocyclitols I-116 and I-117.

## I.7. Cyclooctatetraene as a simple starting material

As part of our long term interest to extend the organoiron approach to the preparation of aminocycloheptitols, we considered the use of cyclooctatetraene as a starting material. A literature survey showed that many research groups have used cyclooctatetraene $\mathbf{I - 1 0 5}$, which is a simple hydrocarbon readily made from acetylene by Ni-catalyzed cyclotetramerization. ${ }^{107-109}$ Different complex molecules, for example aminocyclohexitols, ${ }^{110}$ bis-homoconduritols (vide supra), ${ }^{103}$ bis-homoinositol, ${ }^{111}$ and cyclooctitols ${ }^{112}$ (Figure I-11) have been synthesized from cyclooctatetraene I-106.

bis-homoinositol





bis-homoconduritol F
bis-homoconduritol A bis-homoconduritol D

Figure I-11. Synthesis of cyclooctatetraene and target recently prepared from this hydrocarbon.

The complexation of iron pentacarbonyl with cyclooctatetraene $\mathbf{I} \mathbf{- 1 0 6}$ gives tricarbonyl(cyclooctatetraene)iron $\mathbf{I}-118\left[(\mathrm{COT}) \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\right]$ in a quantitative yield (Scheme I-19). ${ }^{113}$ The number of publications that report on reactions of I-118 are very small. For instance, upon treatment of $\mathbf{I}-118$ with a Lewis acid $\left(\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}\right)$ it rearranges to form a $\sigma$ -alkyl- $\pi$-allyl complex $\mathbf{I}-119$ which undergoes decomplexation followed by carbonyl insertion in the presence of a high pressure of CO to give barbaralone I-120. ${ }^{114}$ Paquette and coworkers showed that reaction of I-118 with tetracyanoethylene afforded bicyclic $\sigma$-alkyl- $\pi$-allyl complex $\mathbf{I}-121$ which undergoes oxidative decomplexation using ceric ammonium nitrate (CAN) and further rearranges to form tricyclo[5.2.1.0 $\left.0^{4,10}\right]$ deca-2,5diene $\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{1 2 2}$. Hydrolysis of the tetranitrile $\mathbf{I} \mathbf{- 1 2 2}$ using conc. HCl gave the acid lactone $\mathbf{I}$ 123 followed by reaction of lead tetraacetate and iodine while irradiated with $250-\mathrm{W}$
tungsten lamp to give $\mathbf{I - 1 2 4}$. Mild hydrolysis and dehydroiodination of $\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{1 2 4}$ gave a carboxylic acid which upon reaction with diazomethane afforded the methyl ester I-125. The third double bond was introduced by dehydration of $\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{1 2 5}$ followed by saponification using KOH gave the final product (-)-triquinacene-2-carboxylic acid I126. ${ }^{115-117}$



Scheme I-19. Preparation of $(\mathrm{COT}) \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}$ and previous synthetic applications.

Interestingly enough, I-118 behaves differently with different electrophiles. The electrophilic iminium cation which is generated from the Vilsmeyer-Haack formylation reaction ${ }^{31}$ of the dimethyl formamide with phosphorus oxychloride is attacked by the electron rich complex $\mathbf{I - 1 1 8}$ to give $\mathbf{I - 1 2 7}$ (Scheme I-20). ${ }^{118}$ In a similar fashion
tropylium cation reacts with $\mathbf{I}-118$ in the presence of one equivalent of pyridine to give styrylcycloheptatriene complex I-87. Reaction of complex I-118 with $\mathrm{H}^{+}$or pnitrophenyldiazonium ion gave [5.1.0] bicyclic structures (I-129, I-130) and reaction of I-118 with cyclopropenyl cation afforded polycyclic structures (I-131 a,b) while with acylium cation electrophiles gave [3.2.1] bicyclic compounds (I-132a,b). ${ }^{119-124}$


Scheme I-20. Reactions of I-118 with electrophiles to generate cationic and neutral compounds.

A mechanistic rationale for the formation of these rearranged structures has been proposed by Connelly and co-workers. Upon the addition of an electrophile to a noncoordinated olefin of I-118 produces cation I-134 (Scheme I-21); which further
rearranges into a cyclopropylcarbinyl cation of structure $\mathbf{I}$-135. In case of $\mathrm{H}^{+}$or p nitrophenyl ${ }^{+}$, the bicyclo[5.1.0]octadienyl cation $\mathbf{I} \mathbf{- 1 3 5}$ was stable and isolable (i.e. products $\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{1 2 9 /} \mathbf{I - 1 3 0}) .{ }^{120-122}$


Scheme I-21. Generic attack of electrophile on (COT)Fe(CO) $)_{3}$.

If the electrophile is an acylium cation, the acyl group present at C7 of $\mathbf{I} \mathbf{- 1 3 5}$ weakens the adjacent cyclopropane bond leading to a $[1,4]$-shift to relieve the strain of the cyclopropane ring and to form the bicyclo[3.2.1]octadienyl cation I-132a,b (Scheme I-22). ${ }^{123,124}$ If the electrophile is the tropylium cation, I-135 undergoes a [3,3] Cope rearrangement to generate the norcaradiene intermediate $\mathbf{I} \mathbf{- 1 3 6}$; in order to re-attain the aromaticity it loses one proton to give the styrylcycloheptatriene complex I-137. ${ }^{119}$ Finally, for cyclopropenyl cation as electrophile, I-134 rearranges to a bicyclo[6.3.0]nonatetraenyl cation $\mathbf{I - 1 3 8}$ followed by transformation into a tricyclic cation I-131a,b through an intramolecular bond formation.



Scheme I-22. Proposed mechanism for the generation of the skeletal rearranged products.

Donaldson's group reported the synthesis of ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-cis-2-(2'-
carboxycyclopropyl)glycine I-142 through the nucleophilic attack of phthalimide anion on the cationic compound $\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{1 2 9 b}$ at the terminal carbon to give complex $\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{1 3 9}$, followed by oxidative decomplexation to give the free diene I-140. Catalytic Sharpless oxidation using sodium periodate as oxidant in excess amount and esterification yielded I-141.

Hydrolysis of I-141 followed by free base generation provided the desired final product ( $\pm$ )-cis-2-(2'-carboxycyclopropyl)glycine I-142 (Scheme I-23). ${ }^{125}$


Scheme I-23. Synthesis of ( $\pm$ )-cis-2-(2'-carboxycyclopropyl)glycine from I-129b.

The nucleophilic attack of triphenyl phosphine on I-132b gave the corresponding triphenylphosphonium cation $\mathbf{I}-143$ while reaction of $\mathbf{I}-132 b$ with lithium dimethylmalonate gave compound I-144 (Scheme I-24). Methyl nucleophile also attacks I-132b which undergoes oxidative decomplexation to give I-145. Nucleophilic attack of hydride anion gave the olefin I-146. In a similar fashion phthalimide anion attacks I-132b to give the corresponding phthalimide derivative $\mathbf{I}-147$ followed by decomplexation to give the free diene $\mathbf{I - 1 4 7}$. Chemoselective double bond hydrogenation then cleavage of the remaining double bond and finally Fisher esterification afforded I-149. ${ }^{126}$







Scheme I-24. Reactivity of I-132b with various nucleophiles and synthesis of protected amino acid analog I-149.

In order to create more structural diversity of cyclooctatetraene, recently
Donaldson's research group studied the reactivity of compounds I-128, I-129b and I-
132b towards allylmalonate nucleophile. Nucleophilic attack of allylmalonate on cation
I-132b followed by oxidative decomplexation afforded I-150. Ring rearrangement metathesis reaction of $\mathbf{I}-150$ with Grubbs' $1^{\text {st }}$ generation catalyst gave I-151 (Scheme I25). ${ }^{127}$


Scheme I-25. Ring rearrangement metathesis reaction of I-150.

Reaction of I-129b with allylmalonate nucleophile then decomplexation using CAN gives ( $\mathbf{\pm} \mathbf{)} \mathbf{I - 1 5 2}$. Treatment with Grubbs' $1^{\text {st }}$ generation catalyst produces ring rearrangement product ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ ) I-153 (Scheme I-26). ${ }^{128}$


Scheme I-26. Ring rearrangement metathesis reaction of ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ ) I-152.

Finally reaction of $\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{2 8}$ with tetrafluoroboric acid gave the corresponding cation
I-154 which reacts with allylmalonate nucleophile followed by oxidative decomplexation to generate free tetraene compound $\mathbf{(} \mathbf{)} \mathbf{I} \mathbf{- 1 5 5}$. Ring closure metathesis reaction with Grubbs’ I catalyst gives bicyclic triene intermediate ( $\mathbf{\pm} \mathbf{)} \mathbf{I} \mathbf{- 1 5 6}$ that undergoes olefin isomerization to produce the final compound $( \pm) \mathbf{I}-\mathbf{1 5 7}$ as shown in scheme I-27. ${ }^{129}$

(土) I-155


Scheme I-27. Ring rearrangement metathesis reaction of ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ ) I-155.

In this introduction part we showed some representative examples of the different synthetic approaches for making aminocyclitols where the major limitation in all these methods is the limited number of aminocyclitols which can be obtained using each synthetic route. Another disadvantage is the use of starting materials which in certain cases are expensive or difficult to make.

In this work we aim to further generate molecular complexity and structural diversity from cyclooctatetraene and to provide more examples of aminocycloheptitols. It is also planned to access the here-to-fore unknown bicycle[5.1.0] aminopolyols as a new class of compounds using organoiron chemistry. These latter compounds will be tested for their inhibition towards a commercially available glucosidase.

## II- RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

## II.1. Generation of diverse molecular complexity using dienyliron approach

Electrophilic addition of the phenacylium cation (generated from reaction of aluminum chloride and phenacyl chloride) to tricarbonyl(cyclooctatetraene)iron I-118 according to prior literature led to the formation of (dienyl)iron cation I-132b. ${ }^{123}$ Reaction of this cation ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-I-132b with the potassium salt of (allyl)tosylamine followed by oxidative decomplexation using cerium ammonium nitrate gave benzoylbicyclooctadienyl- $N$-tosylamine ( $\pm$ )-II-1 (Scheme II-1). The ${ }^{1}$ H NMR spectrum of the free ligand shows a signal characteristic for the methyl protons of the tosyl group at $\delta 2.43 \mathrm{ppm}(3 \mathrm{H})$ and the diastereotopic methylene protons of the N -tosyl allylamine appeared at $\delta 3.98 \mathrm{ppm}(1 \mathrm{H})$ and $4.13 \mathrm{ppm}(1 \mathrm{H})$ along with 9 aromatic protons. In the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of $(\mathbf{\pm})-\mathbf{I I}-\mathbf{1}$, characteristic peaks for the sulfonamide appear at $\delta 143.6$ ppm and for the ketone at $\delta 199.4 \mathrm{ppm}$.


Scheme II-1. Preparation of free ligand ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-1.

The reaction of ( $\mathbf{\pm} \mathbf{)} \mathbf{- I I}-\mathbf{1}$ with Grubbs' 1 st generation catalyst led to the ring rearrangement metathesis (RRM) product ( $\pm$ )-II-2 (eqn. II-1). The NMR spectral data for $\mathbf{( \pm ) - I I - 2}$ supports the proposed structure, particularly the signal at $\delta 3.39-3.46 \mathrm{ppm}$ which is characteristic for the allylic proton of the cyclopentene ring and the protons at range of $\delta 4.02-4.10 \mathrm{ppm}(2 \mathrm{H})$ which are characteristic for the dihydropyrrole ring at position $5 .{ }^{130}$


The synthesis of bicyclo-N-tosyl allylamine ( $\pm$ )-II-4 was achieved by reaction of cyclooctatetraene $\mathbf{I - 1 0 6}$ with iron pentacarbonyl to afford tricarbonyl ligated iron complex I-118 (Scheme II-2). This complex I-118 underwent ligand exchange with triphenylphosphine to give the corresponding monosubsitituted triphenylphosphine iron complex II-3 which was treated with a cold solution of aqueous tetrafluoroboric acid in acetic anhydride to afford bicyclooctadienyl iron cation I-129b. The NMR spectral data and melting points for $\mathbf{I I}-\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{1 2 9 b}$ were consistent with the literature values. ${ }^{125}$ This freshly prepared cation $\mathbf{I} \mathbf{- 1 2 9 b}$, was allowed to react with the potassium salt of N -tosyl allylamine ${ }^{131}$ in water-saturated ether; the crude product underwent oxidative decomplexation after treatment with 2,3-dichloro-5,6-dicyano-1,4-benzoquinone to give bicyclooctadienyl-N-allylamine derivative ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-4. The structural assignments for ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II4 were based on its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectral data. The characteristic signals for the
diastereotopic methylene protons of the N -tosyl allylamine appear at $\delta 3.75 \mathrm{ppm}(1 \mathrm{H})$ and $3.95 \mathrm{ppm}(1 \mathrm{H})$ and the methyl protons of the tosyl group at $\delta 2.35 \mathrm{ppm}(3 \mathrm{H})$, along with the methylene protons of the cyclopropane ring at 0.77 and 1.15 ppm ( 1 H each).


Scheme II-2. Synthesis of the bicyclic free ligand ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-4.

Reaction of the free ligand ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-4 with Grubbs’ I catalyst gave only the selfmetathesis dimer ( $\mathbf{\pm} \mathbf{) - I I}-\mathbf{5}$ as a mixture of diastereomers instead of the expected ring rearrangement product ( $\pm$ )-II-6 (Scheme II-3). The structure of the dimer ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-5 was assigned on the basis of its NMR spectral data, absence of signals corresponding to a mono-substituted olefin and the appearance of a narrow multiplet at $\delta 5.80-5.85(2 \mathrm{H})$ corresponding to the new 1,2-disubstituted double bond. In addition, the presence of a signal at $\delta 130.5 \mathrm{ppm}$ in the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of dimer ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-5 (instead of a signal at ca. $\delta 117-119 \mathrm{ppm})$ indicated the presence of the self-metathesis olefin.


Scheme II-3. Formation of dimer ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-5 via metathesis reaction of ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-4.

The difference in reactivity between ( $\pm$ )-I-152 and $( \pm)$-II-4 toward G-I catalyst may be rationalized on the basis of the allylmalonate group of $( \pm)-\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{1 5 2}$ compared to the (allyl)tosylamine group of ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-4. Two possible explanation for this different behavior; Throp-Ingold effect ${ }^{132}$ where increasing the size of the two germinal substituents on a tetrahedral center increases both the rate and equilibrium constants of cyclization reactions. In this case the rate of attaching the ruthenium metal to the allylmalonate compound is faster than it for (allyl)tosylamine derivative. The other explanation is proposed by Hoye and co-workers whom have previously noted that the allylmalonate group is particularly effective as an activator for initiating relay ring closing metathesis (RRCM) ${ }^{133}$ These authors suggested that the rate-determining step in some RRCM reactions is the decomplexation of the product olefin (i.e. a cyclopentene ring), and that this decomplexation was more rapid for a cyclopentene ring with a sterically bulky dicarboxylate substitution pattern which encounters a steric repulsion with ruthenium ligand sphere. In the present case initiation generates the Ru-carbene A (Scheme 4). Two
pathways are available to this intermediate: either reversible intramolecular equilibration to afford intermediate E, or irreversible self-metathesis dimerization. According to Hoye's proposal, the rate of decomplexation of $\mathrm{D}\left[\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right)_{2}\right]$ is rapid (i.e. $\mathrm{k}_{\text {off }}$ is fast), and thus E reacts with ( $\pm$ )-I-152 to give the ring rearranged product $\mathbf{I}-153$ and regenerate intermediate $A$. Conversely, intermediate $D[X=N T s]$ undergoes decomplexation at a slower rate leading to the eventual irreversible self metathesis and concomitant formation of ethylene via the methylene carbene complex $\left[\left(\mathrm{Cy}_{3} \mathrm{P}\right) \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{Ru}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right]$.

( $\pm$ )-I-152
$\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{CE}_{2}$ or NTs


Scheme II-4. Mechanistic rational for the reactivity difference of ( $\pm$ )-I-152and ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-4 toward G-I catalyst.

Reaction of (cyclooctatetraene) $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{3} \mathbf{I}-118$ with tropylium tetrafluoroborate in presence of pyridine as a base provided styrylcycloheptatriene complex ( $\pm$ )-I-128 (Scheme II-5). The reported yield in the literature was only modest ( $41 \%$ ). ${ }^{134}$ The yield of this product could be improved by using one equivalent of pyridine and running the reaction for 8 hours, which increased the yield to (75\%). Treatment of ( $\pm$ )-I-128 with tetrafluoroboric acid gave the corresponding cation ( $\pm$ )-II-7; the NMR spectral data for
$\mathbf{( \pm ) - I - 1 2 8}$ and ( $\pm$ )-II-7 are consistent with the literature values. ${ }^{129}$ Nucleophilic attack of the potassium salt of tosyl allylamine at this cation led to styryl-cycloheptadienyl tosyl allylamine complex ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-8; which undergoes oxidative decomplexation using cerium ammonium nitrate to give styryl cycloheptadienyl tosyl allylamine ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-9. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of the free ligand ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II- $\mathbf{9}$ contained signals characteristic for the styryl olefinic proton at $\delta 6.39(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, methyl protons of the tosyl group at $\delta 2.42 \mathrm{ppm}(3 \mathrm{H})$ and diastereotopic methylene protons of the N -tosyl allylamine at $\delta 3.73(1 \mathrm{H})$ and $\delta 3.85 \mathrm{ppm}$ (1H).


( $\pm$ )-II-9

( $\pm$ )-II-8

Scheme II-5. Preparation of styryl cycloheptadienyl tosyl allylamine (土)-II-9.

The substrate ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-9 has a number of potential sites for olefin metathesis. Exposure of free ligand ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-9 to Grubbs' first generation catalyst gave a complex mixture of products; use of Grubbs’ second generation catalyst led to a ring-closed product. This product was found to isomerizes to give 2-azabicyclo[4.4.1]undeca-5,7,9triene ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-10 (Scheme II-6). The structural assignment for this isomer is based on its NMR spectral data. In particular, the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of $( \pm)$-II-10 integrates to 19 Hs ; five of which are olefinic. Furthermore, the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-10 consisted of 15 signals with seven aryl/alkenyl methine carbons and three quaternary aryl/alkenyl carbons.


Scheme II-6. Formation of ring closure product ( $\pm$ )-II-10.

## II.2. Synthesis of racemic and optically active aminocycloheptitols

As had reported by a previous graduate student ${ }^{129}$ in our group, styrenylcycloheptadiene derivative ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-11 was prepared by nucleophilic attack of phthalimide anion at C-1 of the cation ( $\pm$ )-II-7 to afford the corresponding phthalimidocycloheptadiene complex which undergoes oxidative decomplexation to give phthalimidocycloheptadiene free ligand ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-11 (eqn. II-2).


Cycloaddition of $\mathbf{( \pm ) - I I - 1 1 ~ w i t h ~ s i n g l e t ~ o x y g e n ~ g a v e ~ ( ~} \mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-12 as a single diastereomer (Scheme II-7). Cycloaddition occurs on the diene face opposite to the syn$C^{1} / C^{6}$ substituents. Similar facial selectivity was also observed for substituted cycloheptadiene systems by the groups of Pearson and Seitz. ${ }^{54}$ Initial attempts to reduce the generated endoperoxide ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-12 were done using thiourea but the yield was low with a long reaction time. ${ }^{129}$ The yield and reproducibility of the reduction reaction was improved using activated zinc and glacial acetic acid to afford the diol derivative ( $\pm$ )-II13. Acetylation of ( $\mathbf{\pm} \mathbf{)} \mathbf{- I I}-\mathbf{1 3}$ in acetic anhydride and p-toluenesulfonyl chloride in catalytic amount provided the diacetate derivative ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-14. The relative stereochemistries of $(\mathbf{\pm}) \mathbf{- I I}-\mathbf{1 2},( \pm)$-II-13, and $(\mathbf{\pm}) \mathbf{- I I}-14$ were assigned based on their ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$

NMR spectral data. In particular the signal for H-5' of ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-12 appears at $\delta 2.11(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=$ $10.1 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm}$, while the comparable signal for $\mathrm{H}-5^{\prime}$ of $( \pm)$-II-13 and $( \pm)$-II-14 appear at $\delta$ $2.73(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=11.8,14.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$ and $2.85(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm}$ respectively. The relative upfield shift for H-5' of ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-12 (compared to H-5' of ( $\pm$ )-II-13/( $\pm$ )-II-14) may be attributed to the anisotropic effects of the proximal C6-C7 olefin. In addition, the signal for H-4 of ( $\mathbf{\pm})$-II-14 appears as a broad triplet at $\delta 4.42 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathbf{J}=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$; these two large coupling constants are due to axial-axial couplings to both $\mathrm{H}-5^{\text {, }}$ and $\mathrm{H}-3$, thus indicating that H-3 occupies an axial orientation in ( $\pm$ )-II-14.


Scheme II-7. Singlet oxygen cycloaddition of ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-11 followed by reduction.

Truncation of the styrenyl group present on ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-11 into a hydroxymethyl substituent was achieved in the following fashion (eqn. II-3). Sharpless asymmetric dihydroxylation of $( \pm)$-II- $\mathbf{- 1 1}$ with commercially available AD-mix $\beta^{135}$ gave a mixture of diastereomeric diols. The dihydroxylation exclusively took place on the styrene double bond in a stereofacial fashion leaving the diene olefins intact because it favors the reaction with trans double bonds more than cis double bonds. Notably, the "binding pocket" of the phthalazine ligands in the AD-mix is well suited to accommodate olefins
with flat, aromatic substituents. Phthalazine ligands are also recommended for the 1,1and 1,2-trans-disubstituted as well as the trisubstituted classes of olefins. ${ }^{135}$ Singlet oxygen cycloaddition to the diol mixture gave a mixture of diastereromeric endoperoxide diols, which undergo diol cleavage with lead tetraacetate to give a single racemic endoperoxide aldehyde ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-15. The presence of the aldehyde group was confirmed by a singlet in ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum for one proton at $\delta 9.65 \mathrm{ppm}$ while in the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum an aldehydic carbonyl was observed at $\delta 199.0 \mathrm{ppm}$.


Reduction of only the aldehyde functionality in the presence of the endoperoxide proved to be challenging, however this was eventually accomplished by using $\mathrm{NaBH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} / \mathrm{AcOH}$ to afford ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-16 in quantitative yield (Scheme II-8). Protection of ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-16 via reaction with t-butylchlorodiphenylsilane gave the corresponding silyl ether ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-17. Reduction of the endoperoxide moiety with zinc and glacial acetic acid gave the diol ( $\mathbf{\pm} \mathbf{)} \mathbf{- I I}-\mathbf{1 8}$. The relative stereochemistry of II-15 to II-18 were assigned by comparison of their ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectral data with that for II-12 to II-14. In particular the signals for H-3' of II-15, II-16 and II-17 appear relatively upfield at $\delta 2.11,1.84$ and 1.78 ppm respectively. The signal for $\mathrm{H}-4$ of II-18 appears as doublet of doublet of doublets at $\delta 4.14 \mathrm{ppm}(J=2.4,10.0$ and 12.4 Hz$)$; the two larger coupling constants are
due to axial-axial couplings to $\mathrm{H}-5^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{H}-3$. Dihydroxylation of ( $\pm$ )-II-18 with catalytic $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$ led to a mixture of diastereomeric tetraols ( $\pm$ )-II-19 and ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-20 (ca. 6:1). The relative stereochemistry of the major compound ( $\mathbf{\pm} \mathbf{)}$-II-19 was tentatively assigned on the basis of facial selectivity noted by Kishi, et al., , ${ }^{136,137}$ for dihydroxylation of an allylic alcohol opposite to the adjacent hydroxyl groups. In this fashion, the racemic protected cycloheptitol was prepared from (cyclooctatetraene) $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}( \pm)$-I-77 in 11 steps and $17 \%$ overall yield.

( $\pm$ )-II-20

Scheme II-8. Synthesis of final tetraols ( $\pm$ )-II-19 and ( $\pm$ )-II-20.

In Kishi's empirical model, the substrate reacts in a conformation that minimizes steric repulsion of the oxygen lone pairs with $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$ the reagent comes in from the face opposite the oxygen substituent (Figure II-1). ${ }^{136,137}$


Figure II-1. Kishi's model for osymlation reaction.

In an attempt to obtain optically active tetraols, successful separation of the diastereomeric mixture of endoperoxide diols (+)-II-21 and (+)-II-22 using column chromatography was shown to be feasible on $>1 \mathrm{~g}$ scale (Scheme II-9).

The absolute stereochemistry of (+)-II-21 and (+)-II-22 was assigned by a former graduate student in our group. ${ }^{129}$ Asymmetric dihydroxylation of ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-11 gave a mixture of diastereomers which was separable by preparative thin layer chromatography. Diels-Alder reaction of $N$-phenyl-1,3,5-triaza-2,4-dione (PTAD) with the less polar cycloheptadiene diastereomer (-)-II-23 gave adduct (+)-II-25 which undergoes protection with 3,5-dinitrobenzoyl chloride to give (+)-II-26 (Scheme II-9). The relative stereochemistry of all chiral centers of (+)-II-26 were assigned based on its single crystal X-ray diffraction analysis. ${ }^{129}$ Singlet oxygen cycloaddition of the isolated dienediol (-)-II-23 gave a compound which was identical with (+)-II-21.


Scheme II-9. Assignment of the stereocenters configurations of (-)-II-21 and (+)-II-22.

Separate diol cleavage of optically enriched endoperoxide diols (+)-II-21 and (+)-II-22 gave the aldehydes (+)-II-15 and (-)-II-15 respectively (Scheme II-10). Reduction of (+)-II-15 and (-)-II-15 to the corresponding alcohols (+)-II-16 and (-)-II-16 was achieved by activated zinc in glacial acetic acid, followed by protection using $t$ butyldiphenylsilyl chloride to give (+)-II-17 and (-)-II-17. Reduction of the endoperoxide moiety gave optically active diols (+)-II-18 and (-)-II-18. Dihydroxylation of each optically enriched diol afforded the optically enriched tetraols (+)-II-19 and (-)-II-19.

(+)-II-21 (48\%)




(-)-II-19 (88\%)

(+)-II-22 (44\%)




(+)-II-19 (88\%)

Scheme II-10. Preparation of optically active tetraols (-)-II-19 and (+)-II-19.

While asymmetric dihydroxylation of styrene is known to proceed with high enantioselectivity, ${ }^{135}$ an independent method of assaying the optical purity of the endoperoxide alcohols (+)-II-16 and (-)-II-16 was sought. To this end the (S)(-)- $\alpha-$ methoxy- $\alpha$-trifluoromethylphenylacetyl of both (+)-II-16 and (-)-II-16 were prepared (II27 and II-28 respectively, Scheme II-11). Portions of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of II-27 and

II-28 are similar (but not identical) to that obtained for racemic alcohol ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-16. The main point of differences were found to be the diastereotopic alkoxy methylene signals which appear at $\delta 3.38$-3.47 and 3.60-3.66 ppm for II-16 and are found downfield for II27 ( $\delta 4.27$ and 4.39 ppm ) as well as for II-28 ( $\delta 4.27-4.38$ ). Also, one olefinic peak for II-27 appears at $\delta 6.22 \mathrm{ppm}$ while for II-28 it shows at $\delta 6.31 \mathrm{ppm}$ (Figure II-2). The clean baseline separation of these signals allowed for establishment of a lower limit for the diastereomeric excess by integration. Using this method each was determined to be $\geq$ $\mathbf{9 4 \%}$ de and thus the enantiomeric excess of the optically active II-15 to II-19 and diastereomers (+)-II-21 and (-)-II-22 to be $\geq 94 \%$ ee.


Scheme II-11. Preparation of Mosher's esters II-27 and II-28.


Figure II-2. a) Partial ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of II-27 in $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ (scale in $\delta \mathrm{ppm}$ ). b) Partial ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of $\mathbf{I I}-28$ in $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ (scale in $\delta \mathrm{ppm}$ ).

## II.3. Preparation of bicyclo[5.1.0]octane derivatives of cyclitols

The preparation of the bicyclooctadienyl phthalimide ( $\pm$ )-II-29 was accomplished by nucleophilic attack of potassium phthalimide on the bicyclic cation I129b. The purification of the resultant complex was found to be challenging due to its relative instability in solutions and/or to exposure to typical chromatographic adsorbents $\left(\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}, \mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ which promotes the elimination reaction to go back to $( \pm)-\mathrm{I}-\mathbf{1 1 8} .{ }^{125}$ For this reason, oxidative decomplexation of the crude complex using 2,3-dichloro-5,6-dicyano-1,4-benzoquinone (instead of CAN which was reported in literature ${ }^{125}$ ) led to good isolated yield of the corresponding bicyclic phthalimide compound ( $\pm$ )-II-29 (eqn. II-4). The NMR spectral data of ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-29 was consistent with the literature values. ${ }^{125}$


With this bicyclic free ligand ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-29 in our hands, routes to a diverse series of polyols were explored. Exhaustive dihydroxylation using excess of N -methylmorpholine- N -oxide and catalytic amount of $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$ resulted in two separable diastereomers ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-30 and ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-31 respectively (eqn. II-5).


The assignment of the relative stereochemistry for ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II- $\mathbf{3 0}$ was based on its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectral data; in particular the coupling constant between $\mathrm{H}^{2}$ and $\mathrm{H}^{3}$ is large $(10.6 \mathrm{~Hz})$ indicating that those two protons exhibit a trans diaxial orientation. Protons $\mathrm{H}^{3}$ and $\mathrm{H}^{4}$ must be cis to each other because dihydroxylation takes place in a syn fashion. The coupling constant for $\mathrm{H}^{4}$ and $\mathrm{H}^{5}$ is small $(2.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$ showing that they possess cis orientation and again $\mathrm{H}^{6}$ and $\mathrm{H}^{5}$ must be same side. The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of $( \pm)-\mathbf{I I}-\mathbf{3 0}$ showed a separate signal for each of the six aromatic carbons. It is proposed that these carbons are magnetically non-equivalent due to restricted rotation of the phthalimide group as a result of the hydrogen bond formation as shown in (Figure II-3).


Figure II-3. Structural assignment of ( $\pm$ )-II-30.

This assignment was consistent with the structure obtained from single crystal X-ray diffraction analysis (Figure II-4) where all OH groups are in same side and trans to the phthalimide group.


Figure II-4. X-ray crystal structure of ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-30.

In similar fashion, the relative stereochemistry of ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II- $\mathbf{3 1}$ was assigned on the basis of its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectral data; the large coupling constant between the $\mathrm{H}^{2}$ and $\mathrm{H}^{3}$ $(11.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$ is consistent with their trans diaxial orientation. This was confirmed by single crystal X-ray diffraction analysis (Figure II-5).


Figure II-5. X-ray crystal structure of ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-31.

When ( $\pm$ )-II-29 was treated with one equivalent of methylmorpholine- $N$-oxide in the presence of a catalytic amount of $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$, two regioisomeric diols were obtained, a major product $( \pm)$-II- $\mathbf{3 2}$ and a minor one ( $\pm$ )-II- $\mathbf{3 3}$ (eqn. II-6).


The structures of the two regioisomers were assigned by comparison of their ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectral data. The major product ( $\pm$ )-II- $\mathbf{3 2}$ arises due to reaction of the more electron rich double bond remote to the phthalimide group and close to cyclopropane ring. The chemical shift for the $\mathrm{H}^{2}$ proton of $( \pm)-\mathbf{I I}-\mathbf{3 2}(\delta=5.68 \mathrm{ppm})$ is shifted more downfield than it is for $( \pm)-\mathbf{I I}-\mathbf{3 3}(\delta=5.25 \mathrm{ppm})$ indicating the proximity of this proton to
the double bond in ( $\pm$ )-II-32. The relative stereochemistry of ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II- $\mathbf{3 3}$ was assigned due to the large coupling constant $(10.6 \mathrm{~Hz})$ between $\mathrm{H}^{2}$ and $\mathrm{H}^{3}$ which indicates a transdiaxial orientation for these two protons (Figure II-6).


Figure II-6. Structural assignments of ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-33.

Epoxidation of the major regioisomer ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II- $\mathbf{3 2}$ was carried out using trifluoroperacetic acid to give the corresponding epoxydiol compound ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II- $\mathbf{3 4}$ (eqn. II7). The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum shows an absence of signals for olefinic protons and new peaks appeared at the range of at $\delta 3-5 \mathrm{ppm}$. The relative stereochemistry of ( $\pm$ )-II-34 was assigned tentatively based on the precedent ${ }^{86}$ that epoxidation is assisted by hydrogen bonding between the allylic alcohol and the peracid reagent.


Epoxide hydrolysis was carried out in a mild condition using deionized water in the presence of a catalytic amount of $\mathrm{CBr}_{4}{ }^{138}$ to afford tetraol compound ( $\pm$ )-II- $\mathbf{- 3 5}$ (eqn. II-8).


The relative stereochemistry of ( $\mathbf{\pm}$-II- $\mathbf{3 5}$ was assigned on the basis of its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectral data; a small coupling constant between $\mathrm{H}^{2}$ and $\mathrm{H}^{3}(2.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$ indicated a cis orientation of these two protons.

The stereochemical outcome of the epoxide hydrolysis is controlled by FürstPlattner rule which also known as the trans-diaxial effect ${ }^{139}$ in which epoxidation of substituted cyclohexene give the corresponding epoxy product where R substituent locks the conformation of the epoxycyclohexene in the pseudo-equatorial position (Scheme II12). Two potential sites for epoxide ring opening, position 1 where it ends up having twisted boat product and this path is disfavored because it about $5 \mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ higher than opening at position 2 which gives chair form product leading to the formation of transdiaxial products.


Scheme II-12.Mechanism and stereochemistry of epoxycyclohexene hydrolysis.

Since epoxide ring opening takes place in an anti fashion, ${ }^{139}$ the two hydroxyl group at C3 and C4 must be trans to each other (Figure II-7).


Figure II-7. Epoxide ring opening product ( $\pm$ )-II-35.

The reaction of ( $\mathbf{\pm} \mathbf{)}$-II-29 with one equivalent of meta-chloroperoxybenzoic acid led to a separable mixture of mono-epoxide ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-36 and enone ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-37 (eqn. II$9)$. Evidence of epoxidation of only a single olefin in ( $\pm$ )-II- $\mathbf{3 6}$ was found in its NMR spectral data; in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum only two olefinic signals were observed and two
new peaks appeared at $\delta \approx 3 \mathrm{ppm}$ corresponding to the oxirane hydrogens. In the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of $( \pm)$-II- $\mathbf{3 6}$ there are only two $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ olefinic carbons compared to ( $\pm$ )-II-29 and two new $\mathrm{sp}^{3} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ peaks appear for ( $\pm$ )-II-36 at $\delta 52.8$ and 54.9 ppm . The relative stereochemistry of ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-36 was assigned by single crystal X-ray diffraction analysis (Figure II-8) where the epoxide ring shows to be trans to the phthalimide group. On the other hand, the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of the enone $( \pm)$-II- $\mathbf{3 7}$ showed two new peaks at $\delta$ 2.93 and 3.10 ppm corresponding to the $\alpha$-keto methylene with a large coupling constant $(\mathrm{J}=15.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$ indicating a geminal relationship. Moreover, there were two fewer olefinic peaks in the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of $( \pm)$-II- $\mathbf{3 7}$ compared to $( \pm)$-II- $\mathbf{3 6}$. This also was supported by the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectral data of $( \pm)$-II- $\mathbf{3 7}$ where a new signals for a $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ signal and a $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ were observed at $\delta 41.5$ and 198.9 ppm respectively.



Figure II-8. X-ray crystal structure of ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-36.

The proposed mechanism for formation of $( \pm)-\mathbf{I I}-\mathbf{3 7}$ is shown in (Scheme II$13)$; under acidic conditions ( $\pm$ )-II-36 gets protonated. Oxirane ring opening occurs at the bond adjacent to the cyclopropane ring to give a carbocation intermediate followed by 1,2-hydride shift to afford an oxycarbenium cation which deprotonated to provide ( $\pm$ )-II37.


Scheme II-13. Mechanism of enone ( $\pm$ )-II- $\mathbf{3 7}$ formation.

Dihydroxylation of $( \pm)$-II- $\mathbf{3 6}$ using a catalytic amount of $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$ and $N$ -methylmorpholine- $N$-oxide gave ( $\pm$ )-II-38 in a good yield (Scheme II-14). The structure of ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-38 was assigned on the basis of single crystal X-ray diffraction analysis where the newly installed OH groups are on the same side as the peroxide ring and opposite to the phthalimide group (Figure 6). Peroxide ring opening of ( $\pm$ )-II-38 was carried out in water using carbon tetrabromide as a catalyst to afford the corresponding bicyclic tetraol $\mathbf{( \pm ) - I I - 3 9}$. The structure of $( \pm)-\mathbf{I I}-39$ was assigned based on its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectral data; in particular the large coupling constant between $\mathrm{H}^{2}-\mathrm{H}^{3}(\mathrm{~J}=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$ and between $\mathrm{H}^{5}-\mathrm{H}^{6}(\mathrm{~J}$ $=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) are consistent with a trans-diaxial relationship for each pair of protons (Figure II-10).


Scheme II-14. Formation of bicyclic tetraol ( $\pm$ )-II-39.


Figure II-9. X-ray crystal structure of ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-38.

The stereochemistry observed in tetraol ( $\pm$ )-II-39 represents epoxide ring opening of ( $\pm$ )-II-38 by exclusive cleavage of the C13-O5 bond (vs C12-O5 bond; arbitrary X-ray structure numbering). This might be rationalized on the basis of a greater stabilization of the partial positive charge on the protonated carbon adjacent to the cyclopropane ring.


Figure II-10. Structural assignments of ( $\pm$ )-II-39.

Reaction of ( $\pm$ )-II-29 with an excess of meta-chloroperoxybenzoic acid led to the formation of bis-epoxide compound ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-40 (eqn. II-10).


The structure of $( \pm)-I I-40$ was assigned based on its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectral data; no olefinic proton signals were observed and instead, four new peaks showed up at $\delta \approx 3$ ppm. This also was observed in the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum where four new signals appeared in the range of $50-60 \mathrm{ppm}$. The relative stereochemistry of ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-40 was assigned based on single crystal X-ray diffraction showing the two epoxide rings are anti to the phthalimide group (Figure II-11).


Figure II-11. X-ray crystal structure of ( $\pm$ )-II-40.

This bisepoxide compound ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-40 underwent epoxide ring opening using water and $\mathrm{CBr}_{4}$ in a catalytic amount to give bicyclic tetraol $( \pm)$-II-41 and the 8membered ring tetraol ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-42 (eqn. II-11).

(II-11)

The assignment of stereochemistry of ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-41 was based on its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectral data; in particular the coupling constant between $\mathrm{H}^{2}$ and $\mathrm{H}^{3}$ is small ( 2.2 Hz ) indicating that they possess a cis orientation since the $\mathrm{H}^{2}$ proton adjacent to the bulky phthalimide is axial, the $\mathrm{H}^{3}$ proton must be equatorial. In contrast, the relatively large coupling constant for $\mathrm{H}^{5}-\mathrm{H}^{6}$ reveals that these two protons must have a trans-diaxial relationship. Since epoxide ring openings are known to occur in an anti fashion, the $\mathrm{H}^{3}$ -
$\mathrm{H}^{4}$ and $\mathrm{H}^{5}-\mathrm{H}^{6}$ relative stereochemistry is assigned as indicated (Figure II-12). It is interesting to note that opening of the $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 5$ oxirane occurs by cleavage of the $\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{O} 2$ bond, which is adjacent to the cyclopropane ring. As in the case of the epoxide ring opening of $\mathbf{( \pm ) - I I - 3 8}$, this may be due to the stabilizing effect of the adjacent threemembered ring on the partial positive charge in the protonated oxirane.


Figure II-12. The assignment of stereochemistry of ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-41.

Examination of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectral data for $( \pm)$-II- $\mathbf{4 2}$ revealed an absence of cyclopropane ring protons, the presence of the two olefinic protons and two new geminal aliphatic protons. The structure of ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-42, including the relative stereochemistry was assigned based on single crystal X-ray diffraction (Figure II-13).


Figure II-13. X-ray crystal structure of ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-42.

The proposed mechanism for ring expansion to afford ( $\pm$ )-II-42 is rationalized through two different pathways (Scheme II-15). In path A, hydrolysis of the epoxide at C3,C4 takes place first to give epoxydiol compound ( $\pm$ )-II-43 which under protonation of C5,C6 epoxide gave the intermediate ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-44. A concerted $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ ' type mechanism with attack of the weak nucleophile water at the cyclopropane ring carbon of ( $\mathbf{\pm} \mathbf{) - I I - 4 4}$ opens the cyclopropane ring with inversion and relieves the strain to end up with octene-tetraol compound ( $\pm$ )-II-42. While in path B , initial concerted $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2^{\prime}$ attack of a water molecule on the protonated intermediate ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-45 gives epoxycyclooctene ( $\pm$ )-II-46 that undergoes epoxide ring opening to give ( $\pm$ )-II-42.


Scheme II-15. Ring expansion mechanism and formation of ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-42.

Cycloaddition of ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-29 with singlet oxygen gave ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-47 as a single diastereomer (Scheme II-16). The relative stereochemistry of ( $\pm$ )-II-47 was tentatively assigned on the basis of the stereochemistry observed for the cycloaddition of ( $\mathbf{\pm} \mathbf{)} \mathbf{- I I}-\mathbf{2 9}$ with nitrosobenzene. ${ }^{140}$ This tentative assignment was eventually corroborated by further chemical reaction including X-ray crystal structure of one derivative. Reduction of ( $\pm$ )-II47 was carried out using activated zinc and glacial acetic acid to afford the diol derivative $( \pm)-\mathrm{II}-48$ in a good yield. The relative stereochemistry of $( \pm)$-II-48 was assigned on the basis of its NMR spectral data; in particular the large coupling constant between $\mathrm{H}^{2}$ and $\mathrm{H}^{3}$ (10.8) Hz indicating these two protons are trans diaxial with respect to each other. Based on this the endoperoxide ring in ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-47 must be trans to the phthalimide group.


Scheme II-16. Singlet oxygen cycloaddition of ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-29 followed by endoperoxide ring opening.

Dihydroxylation of $( \pm)$-II-48 using a catalytic amount of $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$ and N -methylmorpholine- $N$-oxide gave ( $\pm$ )-II-49 in a good yield (eqn. II-12).


The structural assignment of ( $\pm$ )-II-49 was based on its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectral data; in particular the large coupling constants between $\mathrm{H}^{2}$ and $\mathrm{H}^{3}(10.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$ and between $\mathrm{H}^{3}$ $\mathrm{H}^{4}(10.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$ indicate the trans-diaxial orientations of these three protons. Since dihydroxylation takes place in a syn fashion then $\mathrm{H}^{4}$ and $\mathrm{H}^{5}$ are cis with respect to each other (Figure II-14). This was confirmed by having small coupling constant between $\mathrm{H}^{4}$ and $\mathrm{H}^{5}(2.7 \mathrm{~Hz})$. This assignment is consistent with the Kishi model ${ }^{136,137}$ for osmium dihydroxylation of allylic alcohols.


Figure II-14. Structural assignment of ( $\pm$ )-II-49.

Photochemical rearrangement of ( $\pm$ )-II-47 was carried out in benzene as a solvent and using a medium-pressure mercury lamp to give the bisepoxide compound ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-40 which was identified by comparison to a sample previously made (vide supra) (eqn. II-13).


Kornblum-DeLaMare rearrangement ${ }^{84}$ of ( $\pm$ )-II-47 in the presence of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ as a base afforded primarily one regioisomer; ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-50 along with a very small amount of $\mathbf{( \pm ) - I I - 5 1}$ (eqn. II-14). The structural assignment of ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-50 was based on its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectral data; in particular the signal for the $\mathrm{H}^{2}$ proton appears at $\delta 4.95$ has a large
coupling constant ( $\mathrm{J}=10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) indicating a trans-diaxial orientation with the proton next to it $\left(\mathrm{H}^{3}\right)$.


Reduction of $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ketone ( $\pm$ )-II-50 under Luche conditions ${ }^{85}$
provided trans enediol ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-52 (eqn. II-15). The stereochemistry of the OH group at C6 was assigned in order to be unique compared to ( $\pm$ )-II-48.


Dihydroxylation of ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-52 using a catalytic amount of $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$ and N -methylmorpholine- $N$-oxide gave a separable mixture of two diastereomers ( $\pm$ )-II-53 and $\mathbf{( \pm ) - I I - 3 9}$ (eqn. II-16). Product ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-39 was assigned by comparison of its NMR spectral data with that previously obtained.


The other tetraol product was tentatively assigned structure ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-53 in order to have a unique structure arising from a syn-dihydroxylation. This tentative assignment was corroborated by its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectral data; large couplings constant between $\mathrm{H}^{2}$ and $\mathrm{H}^{3}(11.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$ and between $\mathrm{H}^{3}$ and $\mathrm{H}^{4}(9.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$ indicating a trans-diaxial orientation of these three protons. The small coupling constant between $\mathrm{H}^{4}$ and $\mathrm{H}^{5}(1.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$, is consistent with an axial-equatorial stereochemical relationship between these protons (Figure II-15).


Figure II-15. Structural assignment of ( $\pm$ )-II-53.


The relative stereochemistry of ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-54 was assigned based on single crystal X-ray diffraction showing the endoperoxide ring and the epoxy ring are syn with respect to each other (Figure II-16).


Figure II-16. X-ray crystal structure of ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-54.

Reduction of epoxyendoperoxide compound ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-54 using zinc in glacial acetic acid gave epoxydiol ( $\pm$ )-II-55 (Scheme II-17). Hydrolysis of ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-55 in water and catalytic amount of $\mathrm{CBr}_{4}{ }^{138}$ gave the bicyclic tetraol ( $\pm$ )-II-56.




Scheme II-17. Synthesis of ( $\pm$ )-II-56.

The structural assignment of ( $\pm$ )-II-56 was based on its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectral data; large coupling constants between $\mathrm{H}^{1}$ and $\mathrm{H}^{2}(10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), \mathrm{H}^{3}$ and $\mathrm{H}^{4}(9.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$ and $\mathrm{H}^{4}$ and $\mathrm{H}^{5}$ $(\mathrm{J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$ indicating a trans-diaxial orientation of these four protons (Figure II-17).


Figure II-17. Structural assignment of ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-56.

This structural assignment for ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-56 was corroborated by single crystal Xray diffraction (Figure II-18). This structural assignment also confirmed the structural assignment for the hydroxyl groups of epoxydiol ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-55.


Figure II-18. X-ray crystal structure of ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-56.

Epoxidation of ( $\mathbf{\pm} \mathbf{)}$-II-48 using trifluoroperacetic acid gave the corresponding epoxydiol ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-57 (eqn. II-18). The relative stereochemistry of ( $\pm$ )-II-57 was assigned in order to be unique compared to ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-55. This tentative stereochemical assignment was eventually corroborated by single crystal X-ray diffraction that shows the epoxide ring is opposite to the installed diol (Figure II-19).



Figure II-19. X-ray crystal structure of ( $\pm$ )-II-57.

Epoxide ring opening under the standard conditions using deionized water and $\mathrm{CBr}_{4}$ afforded the corresponding unsaturated aldehyde ( $\pm$ )-II-58 (eqn. II-19).


The structural assignment of ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II- $\mathbf{5 8}$ was based on its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectral data; in particular the peak at $\delta 9.54$ shows one aldehydic proton. The large coupling constant ( 8.2 Hz ) between the H 4 and H 5 is indicative of their trans-diaxial orientation. This assignment was corroborated by single crystal X-ray diffraction analysis that shows ring contraction with exocyclic aldehyde group (Figure II-20).


Figure II-20. X-ray crystal structure of ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-58.

The proposed ring contraction mechanism is initiated by protonating the epoxide oxygen making it a good electron sink to give intermediate ( $\mathbf{~}$ )-II-59 (Scheme II18). The nearly antiperiplanar alignment of ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-59 between C3-C4 bond and C5-O2 bond (X-ray crystallographic numbering, Figure II-19) triggers $\sigma \rightarrow \sigma^{*}$ type interaction between the filled bonding orbital between $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4$ bond and the antibonding orbital of C5-O2 bond ( Figure II-19) giving oxocarbenium intermediate ( $\pm$ )-II-60 which upon deprotonation leads to the aldehyde diol compound ( $\pm$ )-II-61. To extend the conjugation and to attain more stability, dehydration takes place to give the corresponding $\alpha, \beta$ unsaturated aldehyde ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-58. Similar ring contraction reactions have been reported by different research groups where they observed ring contraction from epoxycyclohexanol to 2-hydroxycyclopentenal ${ }^{141-143}$.




Scheme II-18. Ring contraction mechanism and formation of ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-58.

## II.4. Evaluation of potential $\boldsymbol{\beta}$-glycosidase inhibitors

$\beta$-Glucosidase is an enzyme which cleaves the $\beta$ linkage between the $\beta$-Dglucoside and an attached substituent, for the purposes of this assay, the substrate is $\mathrm{p}-\beta$ -D-glucoside, since the p-nitrophenolate anion may be quantified spectrophotometrically (Equation II-20). This system was selected for the initial assay as both the enzyme (isolated from almonds) and substrate are commercially available at reasonable cost. Depending on the results from this assay, a determination would be made if further assays against other glucosidases enzyme was warranted on cost and time basis. The enzymatic activity is determined spectrophotometrically by monitoring the release of pnitrophenol/ p-nitrophenolate anion from the substrate in sodium acetate buffer $(\mathrm{pH} 4.8$, $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) by measuring the absorbance at 405 nm . Assays will be conducted under conditions where the amount of p-nitrophenol released is linear with both time and protein concentrations.


The assay was validated by measuring the initial rate of reaction at a variety of different enzyme concentrations. An increase in the initial reaction rate was observed with each increase in enzyme concentration. The linear region was then selected which shows increase in reaction rate with time for each enzyme concentration (Figure II-21).

The initial rates were plotted against enzyme concentration to produce a validation curve Figure II-22).


Figure II-21. Linear relationship between initial reaction rate for each enzyme and time.


Figure II-22. $\beta$-glucosidase validation curve.

Once the linear rate of the hydrolysis versus protein concentration was established, an assay was run using the known $\beta$-glucosidase $\mathrm{xylitol} .{ }^{144}$ The inhibitory effect measured at 100 mM xylitol concentration was similar to that reported by Kelemen and Whelan (43\% inhibition). With this assay validation complete, the assay was performed by preparing serial dilution of each potential enzyme and the $50 \%$ inhibitory concentration for each compound was determined. The results are summarized in Table II-1. The error limitations listed were determined by a non-linear least square fit. For nearly all the phthalimido polyols examined, except II-49, the error limitations were on the same order as the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values. This indicates that the inhibitory activities of these compounds is negligible. Only for II-49 was the error $\sim 15 \%$, which demonstrated an
acceptable reliability. The $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ for II-49 indicates it has limited inhibitory action at approximately 1 mM .

| Compound | Inhibition |
| :--- | :--- |
| II-30 | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=1.7 \mathrm{mM} \pm 1.2 \mathrm{mM}$ |
| II-31 | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=160 \mu \mathrm{M} \pm 60 \mu \mathrm{M}$ |
| $\mathbf{I I - 3 5}$ | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=42 \mu \mathrm{M} \pm 48 \mu \mathrm{M}$ |
| $\mathbf{I I - 3 9}$ | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=1.9 \mathrm{mM} \pm 3.2 \mathrm{mM}$ |
| II-41 | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=43 \mu \mathrm{M} \pm 34 \mu \mathrm{M}$ |
| II-53 | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=0.91 \mu \mathrm{M} \pm 0.14 \mathrm{mM}$ |
| II-56 | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=1.9 \mathrm{mM} \pm 3.2 \mathrm{mM}$ |

Table II-1. The inhibition of the generated phthalimide tetraols.

The most likely reason that none of the phthalimido polyols exhibit significant $\beta$-glucosidase inhibitory activity is that at the pH of the assay, these compounds are neutral (i.e. the phthalimido group is not protonated). So it was important to cleave the phthalimide group. Different attempts were made to cleave the phthalimido group to generate aminocyclitols. Attempted acid hydrolysis lead to products which lacked cyclopropane ring in their ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra. The exact nature of these ring opened
products was not established. Basic hydrolysis also was not ideal way to cleave the phthalimido group as we observed elimination products along with the desired compound. Use of anion exchange resins ${ }^{145}$ gave a mixture of compounds also with very low overall yield. Ganem's research group ${ }^{146}$ reported the deprotection of phthalimides using partial reduction of phthalimide by $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ in acidic medium followed by reflux. Unfortunately this did not produce the free amines for these compounds . $n$ Butylamine ${ }^{147}$ and methylamine ${ }^{148}$ also were used to deprotect the aminocyclitols but these procedures gave a mixture of products in addition to un reacted starting material left over. Finally, the cleavage of the phthalimido tetraols was carried out using hydrazine hydrate in ethanol to give the corresponding aminobicyclicoctitols and the 2,3-dihydro-1,4-phthalazinedione II-62 (Scheme II-19). Attempts to separate these reaction mixtures either by normal or reverse phase chromatography, met with failure. For this reason the crude residue was washed multiple times with diethyl ether and dried under vacuum. In order to determine if further experimentation tp produce pure aminopolyols was warrented (on the basis of significant inhibitory activity) the mixture of the aminopolyol and phthalhydroazide were assayed against $\beta$-glucosidase using the standard protocol. ${ }^{144}$ The assay results for these mixtures (Figure II-23) indicated an activation of the enzyme at higher concentration instead of inhibition. Separate assay of the 2,3-dihydro-1,4phthalazinedione II-62 against $\beta$-glucosidase revealed that this increase in enzyme activity could be attributed to the hydrazinolysis by-product, and not to any specific aminobicyclooctitol. Since the aminobicyclooctitiols did not exhibit any significant against $\beta$-glucosidase activity, further assay against other commercially available enzymes was abandoned.


（土）－II－62
（土）－II－62
（ $\pm$ ）－II－31
OH



（土）－II－41

（土）－II－49

（ $\pm$ ）－II－62
（ $\pm$ ）－II－53


Scheme II－19．Deprotection of the phthalimidetetraols．


Figure II-23. The activity of the bicyclooctitols/phthalhydrazide mixtures against $\beta$ glucosidase from almond.

## III- SUMMARY

The hydrocarbon cyclooctatetraene $\mathbf{I}-106$ [COT] is formed by the Ni-catalyzed cyclotetramerization of acetylene. The use of COT I-106 as a starting material for synthesis has experience a rebirth in the last 6 years, as exemplified by its use in the synthesis of bis-homocon-duritols, bis-homoinositol, pentacycloanammoxic acid methyl ester, and the polyene segment of roxiticin. Complexation of I-106 readily generates $(\mathrm{COT}) \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{3} \mathbf{I}-118$, the reaction of which with electrophiles gives rise to a wide variety of cationic iron complexes via skeletal rearrangements II-7, I-129b and I-132b (Scheme III-1).


Scheme III-1. Preparation of different variety of cationic iron complexes.

## III.1. Skeletal diversity via ring-rearrangement metathesis

Within the general build/couple/pair or functional group pairing strategy for diversity oriented synthesis (DOS), folding pathways allow for the transformation of different substrates into different scaffolds using a common reagent. Toward this end, reaction of cations II-7, I-129b and I-132b with (allyl)tosylamine nucleophiles, followed by oxidative decomplexation and reaction with Grubbs' $1^{\text {st }}$ or $2^{\text {nd }}$ generation catalyst gave a diverse group of polycyclic products $\mathbf{I I} \mathbf{- 2}, \mathbf{I I}-\mathbf{5}$ and $\mathbf{I I}-10$ either via ring-rearrangement metathesis or RCM (Scheme III-2).
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Scheme III-2. Skeletal diversity from COT via ring rearrangement metathesis.

## III.2. Preparation of racemic and optically active aminocycloheptitols

Addition of potassium phthalimide to (6-styrylcycloheptadienyl)Fe(CO)3+
cation II-7 followed by decomplexation gave (6-styryl-2,4-cycloheptadien-1-
yl)phthalimide (Scheme III-3). Sharpless asymmetric dihydroxylation gave a mixture of diastereomeric glycols, which were separable only by prep TLC. Cycloaddition of the mixture of diastereomeric diendiols with singlet oxygen gave a mixture of two
diastereomeric endoperoxides (+)-II-21 and (+)-II-22 which were separable by column chromatography on a 1 gram scale. Each of the individual endoperoxides were further transformed into the enantiomeric protected aminocycloheptitols (>94\% ee each).The racemic mixture was prepared without separating (+)-II-21 and (+)-II-22 and carry the synthesis as shown in scheme III-3.
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Scheme III-3. Asymmetric preparation aminocycloheptitilos from COT.

## III．3．Novel synthesis of bicyclic aminopolyols

Nucleophilic attack of phthalimide on cation I－129 gave the phethalimide derivative，which undergoes oxidative decomplexation using DDQ to give the free bicyclic diene（ $\mathbf{\pm}$ ）－II－29（Scheme III－4）．Dihydroxylation or tetrahydroxylation of（ $\mathbf{\pm}$ ）－II－ 29，using a controlled amount of NMO reoxidant gave a separable mixture of dienediols or tetraols respectively．Reaction of（ $\mathbf{\pm}$ ）－II－29 with one or two equivalents of mCPBA gave a mono－or bis－epoxide respectively．Singlet oxygen cycloaddition gave single endo peroxide（ $\mathbf{\pm}$ ）－II－47，which upon further photolysis gave a bis－epoxide diastereomeric to that obtained from the reaction with mCPBA ．
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Scheme III－4．Oxidation of bicyclo［5．1．0］octadiene（ $\pm$ ）－II－29．

Further elaboration of the tricyclic endoperoxide can be effected by KornblumDeLeMare rearrangement, by O-O bond reduction or by epoxidation (Scheme III-5). These products can be further manipulated to generate diastereomeric tetraols, or diastereomeric epoxydiols.


Scheme III-5. Elaboration of tricyclic endoperoxide.

Hydrolysis of the epoxydiols or bis-epoxides generally proceeds via anti addition to give further diastereomeric tetraols (Scheme III-6). One notable exception is hydrolysis of the epoxydiol ( $\pm$ )-II-57 which gave the 2-formyl-bicyclo[4.1.0]hept-2-ene
( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-58.This outcome was attributed to a stereoelectronic effect due to alignment of the C-C bond in II-57 nearly antiperiplaner with one of the epoxide C-O bonds.





Scheme III-6. Epoxydiols and bisepoxides hydrolyses.

## IV- CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

In this work we have developed a new approach of making aminocycloheptitiols and bicyclic aminocyclooctitiols using organoiron chemistry. The advantages of this method over the other existing methods are using one simple and cheap hydrocarbon starting material to target these molecules and this method give the access to many stereochemical isomers. We have reported also the possibility of obtaining the optically enriched aminocycloheptitols using this approach with high enantiomeric excess (>94\%). Ring rearrangement metathesis reaction was employed to generate molecular complexity and to make diverse structure from cyclooctatetraene (Scheme IV-1). This reactivity could be exploited in the future for natural products synthesis.
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Scheme IV-1. Generation of molecular diversity from cyclooctatetraene.

We produced eight bicyclic aminocyclooctitol isomers out of 16 possible ones. The hydrolysis of epoxide functionality adjacent to cyclopropane functionality in bicycle[5.1.0]octanes proceeded via selective cleavage of the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ bond in proximity to the three-membered ring. This was attributed to stabilization of the cyclopropane ring on partial positive charges at one adjacent carbon. A quantification of this effect could be explored by computational analysis at the DFT/B3LYP level. We encountered purification problems for the hydrolysis of the phthalimido group. The reaction of alternative nitrogen-based nucleophiles in reaction with I-129b might lead to systems more amenable to clean deprotection / separation . Docking the aminobicyclooctitiols in
crystallographically characterized enzyme active sites would be useful tool to see the different types of interactions between the ligand and the amino acid residues in the active site of the enzyme.

## V- EXPERIMENTAL

General methods: All reactions involving moisture- or air-sensitive reagents were carried out under a nitrogen atmosphere in oven-dried glassware with anhydrous solvents. THF and diethyl ether were distilled from sodium/benzophenone. Purifications by chromatography were carried out by using flash silica gel (32-63 m). NMR spectra were recorded on either a Varian Mercury+ 300 MHz or a Varian UnityInova 400 MHz instrument. $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$, and [D6]acetone were purchased from Cambridge Isotope Laboratories. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were calibrated to $\mathrm{d}=7.27 \mathrm{ppm}$ for residual $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~d}=3.31$ ppm for $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{HOD}$, or $\mathrm{d}=2.05 \mathrm{ppm}$ for [D5]acetone. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were calibrated from the central peak at $\mathrm{d}=77.23 \mathrm{ppm}$ for $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~d}=49.15 \mathrm{ppm}$ for $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$, or $\mathrm{d}=29.92$ ppm for [D6]acetone. Coupling constants are reported in Hz .


Tricarbonyl( $\boldsymbol{\eta}^{4}$-cyclooctatetraene)iron(0) (I-118): To a 500 mL round bottomed flask was added cyclooctatetraene $\mathbf{I}-106(5.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 48 \mathrm{mmol})$ dissolved in benzene $(200 \mathrm{~mL})$. Iron pentacarbonyl ( $14 \mathrm{~mL}, 96 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added followed by the addition of trimethylamine N -oxide dihydrate ( $21.33 \mathrm{~g}, 191.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction mixture was heated at reflux for 2 h then filtered and concentrated. The solid residue was washed several times with benzene and the washings were filtered and concentrated. The deepbrownish residue was purified through column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right.$, hexane-ethyl
acetate $=20: 1$ ) to give $\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{1 1 8}$ as deep brown crystals $(9.83 \mathrm{~g}, 100 \%) . \mathrm{mp} 82-86^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\right.$ lit. ${ }^{39}$, $\left.92-93.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$; IR $\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) 2043,1960,{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.25(\mathrm{~s}, 8 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 100.1,212.5$. The NMR spectral data were consistent with the literature values. ${ }^{113}$

(I-132b)

## (2-4:6-7-ๆ-8-Benzoylbicyclo[3.2.1]octadienylium)tricarbonyliron

hexafluorophosphate: $\mathbf{I}-132 \mathrm{~b}$. Anhydrous $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}$, ( $3.3 \mathrm{~g}, 25 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to dry dichloromethane ( 60 mL ). Freshly distilled acetyl chloride ( $4.50 \mathrm{~mL}, 63.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added from a syringe. The resulting deep red solution was added dropwise to a stirred solution of $\left[\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right)(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\right] \mathbf{I}-118(6.00 \mathrm{~g}, 25.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dichloromethane $(60 \mathrm{~mL})$ at 0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over a 20 min period. Stirring was continued for another 10 min at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the reaction mixture then hydrolyzed with ice-cold 5\% hydrochloric acid solution ( 75 mL ). Diethyl ether ( 60 mL ) was added and the organic phase separated, then washed with water ( $3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined aqueous fractions were extracted several times with diethyl ether and the golden yellow aqueous solution cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Addition of $15 \%$ aqueous ammonium hexafluorophosphate solution ( 50 mL ) gave a pale yellow precipitate which was collected and washed with diethyl ether, then dried in vacuo to give the
product. Further purification by dissolving in the minimum volume of acetone and reprecipitating with diethyl ether gave a pale yellow precipitate ( $9.0 \mathrm{~g}, 74 \%$ ). This product was used without further characterization. ${ }^{124}$

(土)-II-1

## $N$-(8-Benzoylbicyclo[3.2.1]octa-3,6-dien-2-yl)-4-methyl-N-2-propen-1-yl-

benzenesulfonamide:( $\mathbf{\pm})$-II-1. To a solution of $\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{1 3 2 b}(0.20 \mathrm{~g}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ in
acetonitrile ( 15 mL ) under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$, was added the potassium salt of tosyl allylamine $(0.250 \mathrm{~g}$, 1.00 mmol ). The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 3 h , at which time monitoring by TLC indicated the disappearance of $\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{1 3 2 b}$. The reaction mixture was filtered under vacuum and the filter bed washed with acetonitrile. To the combined filtrates was added cerium ammonium nitrate ( $0.42 \mathrm{~g}, 0.77 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The mixture was stirred under nitrogen for 2 h , and then filtered through a short column of silica gel, using $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ to complete the elution. The combined filtrates were concentrated and the residue purified by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right.$, hexanes-ethyl acetate $\left.=4: 1\right)$ to give ( $\pm$ )-II-1 $(0.117 \mathrm{~g}, 70 \%)$ as a colorless solid. $\mathrm{mp} 137-138^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $\mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) \mathbf{1 6 7 6}, 1330$, $1157 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.43(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.11(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.22(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=3.0$, 6.6 Hz, 1H), 3.88 (s, 1H), 3.98 (dd, J = 6.4, $16.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.13 (br dd, J = 5.0, 16.8 Hz , $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.27-4.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.04-5.11(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.23(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=1.2,17.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.86-5.93(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 H), 6.18(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=3.2,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.39(\mathrm{ddd}, \mathrm{J}=2.5,6.4,9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.6$
$\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.55(\mathrm{tt}, \mathrm{J}=1.6,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.74(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 7.89 (dd, $\mathrm{J}=1.6,8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 21.7,42.9,47.3,48.9$, $55.3,57.2,117.5,124.6,127.3,128.5,128.8,129.9,130.0,133.1,135.9,136.2,137.9$, 138.5, 140.4, 143.6, 199.4. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{~S} \cdot 1 / 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 70.07$; H, 6.11. Found: C, 70.29; H, 5.90.


## 2-(5-Benzoyl-4-ethenyl-2-cyclopenten-1-yl)-2,5-dihydro-1-[(4-

methylphenyl)sulfonyl]-1H-pyrrole:( $\pm$ )-II-2. To a solution of ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-1 ( $45 \mathrm{mg}, 0.11$ mmol ) in freshly distilled dichloromethane ( 25 mL ), under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$, was added Grubbs' 1st generation catalyst ( $5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.006 \mathrm{mmol}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ). The reaction progress was monitored by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy, which revealed that no starting material was left after 90 min . The reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right.$, hexanes-ethyl acetate $\left.=4: 1\right)$ to give $( \pm)-\mathbf{I I}-\mathbf{2}$ ( $36 \mathrm{mg}, 80 \%$ ) as a colorless oil. IR $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) 1678,1340,1162 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 2.37(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.39-3.46(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.76(\mathrm{tdd}, \mathrm{J}=2.4,4.8,15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80-$ $3.85(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.02-4.10(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.63-4.68(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.93(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.01(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}$ $=1.4,9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.54(\mathrm{qd}, \mathrm{J}=2.0,6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.59(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=2.2,6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.65-5.70$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.91(\mathrm{ddd}, \mathrm{J}=8.8,10.2,17.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.4$
$\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.56(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.00(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=2.0,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 21.7,52.1,55.0,56.0,56.3,69.5,115.9,126.7$, 127.7, 128.6, 128.7, 129.2, 129.8, 129.9, 133.1, 133.9, 134.5, 137.4, 140.3, 143.7, 202.3.

HRMS (ESI): m/z calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{~S}:\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right] ; 442.1453$, found 442.1451 .


II-3

## Dicarbonyl(cyclooctatetraene)(triphenylphosphine)-iron: II-3. To a solution of

 tricarbonyl(cyclooctatetraene)iron $\mathbf{I}-118(2.50 \mathrm{~g}, 10.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ and triphenylphosphine $(4.00 \mathrm{~g}, 15.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetone ( 90 mL ) was added anhydrous trimethylamine N -oxide $(1.34 \mathrm{~g}, 17.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in one portion. Effervescence was observed upon the addition. The reaction was stirred at room temperature under a blanket of $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ and was monitored by TLC. After 60 min , additional triphenylphosphine ( $1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 3.77 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and TMANO ( $0.36 \mathrm{~g}, 4.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added. After another 30 min , a final portion of TMANO ( 0.36 g , 4.7 mmol ) was added. After being stirred for a total of 2 h and 30 min , the reaction mixture was passed through a short bed of silica and the filter bed was washed with reagent acetone until the washings were colorless. The filtrates were concentrated, and the resulting red solid was adsorbed to silica using acetone. The material was purified by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right.$, hexanes-ethyl acetate $=20: 1$ to $4: 1$ gradient $)$ to give the product as a red solid (4.46 g, 93\%): mp 169-171 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $\mathrm{IR}(\mathrm{KBr}) 3053,1969,1913,1481$, $1433 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 4.95\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{HP}}=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.37-7.43(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H})$,7.47-7.57 (m, 6H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 99.4,128.4\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{CP}}=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 130.0(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{CP}}=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 133.3\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{CP}}=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 135.9\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{CP}}=39.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 217.6\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{CP}}=14.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$. The NMR spectral data was consistent with the literature values. ${ }^{125}$


## Dicarbonyl(bicyclo[5.1.0]octadienyl)(triphenylphosphine)iron(1+)

Tetrafluoroborate: I-129b. To an ice-cold solution of iron complex II-3 (4.00 g, 8.36 $\mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}(37 \mathrm{~mL})$ was carefully added a cold solution of aqueous tetrafluoroboric acid ( $60 \mathrm{wt} \%, 7.8 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) in $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}(19 \mathrm{~mL})$. After several minutes of stirring, the orange solution was added dropwise to a large excess of ether $(1300 \mathrm{~mL})$. The resulting precipitate was collected by vacuum filtration, washed with ether, and dried in vacuo to give I-129b as an orange powder (4.34 g, 92\%): mp >133 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ dec; IR $(\mathrm{KBr}) 3075,2025$, 1984, 1481, $1437 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) $\delta 1.09-1.19(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.24-1.34(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.20-2.34(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.34-2.48(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.63-3.79(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.91-5.06$ (br m, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.30-5.43(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.43-5.55(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45-7.68(\mathrm{~m}, 15 \mathrm{H}), 7.71(\mathrm{brt}, \mathrm{J}=5.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; The NMR spectral data was consistent with the literature values. ${ }^{125}$

( $\pm$ )-II-4

## $N$-(Bicyclo[5.1.0]octa-3,5-dien-2-yl)-4-methyl- $N$-2-propen-1-yl-

benzenesulfonamide:( $\mathbf{\pm})$-II-4. To a stirring suspension of cation $\mathbf{I}-129 b(1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 1.77$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in water-saturated ether $(60 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added the potassium salt of N -tosyl allylamine ( $2.76 \mathrm{~g}, 11.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). After 30 min the orange ethereal layer was decanted from any solid and additional moist ether $(60 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to the solid and the mixture stirred for 10 min . This was repeated until the mother liquor was colorless. The collected ethereal layers were combined and concentrated to give a yellow solid ( $1.10 \mathrm{~g}, 90 \%$ ): mp $108-109{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. To a stirring solution of the intermediate complex ( $0.30 \mathrm{~g}, 0.44 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry acetonitrile ( 20 mL ) was added 2,3-dichloro-5,6-dicyano-1,4-benzoquinone ( 0.11 g , $0.48 \mathrm{mmol})$. After 1 h , the starting material had been consumed as indicated by TLC monitoring. The reaction mixture was passed through a short column of silica gel and the column flushed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ until no further product appeared by TLC monitoring. These fractions were combined and concentrated, and the residue was purified by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right.$, hexanes-ethyl acetate $\left.=4: 1\right)$ to give $( \pm)$-II-4 $(81 \mathrm{mg}, 58 \%)$ as a pale yellow oil. IR $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) 1346,1162 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.77(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=$ $4.5,8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.11-1.19(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.71(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=4.8,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.79(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.35(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=6.2,16.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.95(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=5.8,16.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.96$ (br d, J = $11.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $5.07(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=2.0,10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.08-5.12(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.21(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=$ $1.6,18.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.44(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=6.0,11.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.60(\mathrm{ddd}, \mathrm{J}=2.8,6.4,11.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$,
5.93 (tdd, $\mathrm{J}=6.2,10.0,17.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.10(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=7.2,12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25$ and 7.70 (ABq, $\mathrm{J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}$ total); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.4,14.8,21.7,44.1,48.1$, 57.6, 117.1, 122.6, 126.6, 127.5, 127.9, 129.8, 135.3, 136.1, 137.7, 143.3. HRMS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{SNa}:\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right] ; 338.1191$, found 338.1180.


Self metathesis dimer: $( \pm)$-II-5. To a solution of $( \pm)$-II-4 ( $248 \mathrm{mg}, 0.786 \mathrm{mmol})$ in freshly distilled $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ was added Grubbs' 1st generation catalyst ( $39 \mathrm{mg}, 0.047 \mathrm{mmol}, 6 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ). The mixture was heated at reflux and the reaction progress was monitored by NMR spectroscopy. After 6 h additional Grubbs’ I ( 39 mg , $0.047 \mathrm{mmol}, 6 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) was added and heating continued for 12 h . A final portion of Grubbs' catalyst ( $20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.024 \mathrm{mmol}, 3 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) was added and heating continued for 12 h. The reaction mixture was concentrated and purified by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right.$, hexanes-ethyl acetate $=7: 3$ ) to afford a mixture of diastereomeric dimers $( \pm)$-II-5 (180 $\mathrm{mg}, 76 \%)$ as a colorless solid. $\mathrm{mp} 162-163{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) 1336,1161 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.81-0.87(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.12-1.22(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.73-1.85(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.42(\mathrm{~s}$, $6 \mathrm{H}), 3.73(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=2.4,16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.92(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=14.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.94(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=2.8,12.0$
$\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.05-5.10(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.46(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=6.2,11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.61(\mathrm{dtd}, \mathrm{J}=2.8,6.0,12.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.83(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.14(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=7.4,11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.29$ and $7.72(\mathrm{ABq}, \mathrm{J}$ $=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8 \mathrm{H}$ total $) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.2,14.7,21.7,44.1,46.9,57.6$, 122.6, 126.7, 127.5, 127.7, 129.9, 130.5, 135.3, 137.5, 143.4. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}: \mathrm{C}, 67.75 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.35$. Found: C, 67.29; H, 5.92.


Tricarbonyl $\left(\eta^{4}-7\right.$-styrylcyclohepta-1,3,5-triene)iron: $( \pm)$-I-128. To a 1 L roundbottomed flask, (cyclooctatetraene) $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{3} \mathbf{I - 1 1 8}(10.0 \mathrm{~g}, 40.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in dry acetone $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-23{ }^{0} \mathrm{C}$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. Dry pyridine $(3 \mathrm{~mL}, 40.9 \mathrm{mmol}){ }^{129}$ was added and mixture stirred for 5 min . A solution/suspension of tropylium tetrafluoroborate (8.73 $\mathrm{g}, 49.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry acetone ( 400 mL ) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred for 8 h maintaining the temperature at $-23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was warmed to room temperature and stirred overnight. The clear reddish solution was concentrated under reduced pressure and dried. To the solid residue was added ether ( 200 mL ) and the slurry stirred for 2 h and filtered. The above process was repeated three times with the solid residue. The combined filtrate was concentrated and applied to a column of silica. Elution ( $100 \%$ hexane) gave a bright yellow solid ( $\pm$ )-I-128 (10.42 g, 75\%). mp $43-47{ }^{0} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 3.10-3.03(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.34-3.21(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.18-5.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$,
5.43-5.33 (m, 2H), 5.92-5.82 (m, 2H), $6.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37-7.19(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 46.9,55.8,64.9,87.6,95.3,126.4,127.6,128.1,128.9,129.1$, 130.4, 134.2, 137.6 211.3. The NMR spectral data was consistent with the literature values. ${ }^{113}$


Tricarbonyl $\left(\boldsymbol{\eta}^{5}\right.$-7-styrylcyclohepta-2,4-dien-1-yl)iron(+1) tetrafluoroborate:( $\pm$ )-II-7. To a 250 mL round bottomed flask, (7-styrenyl-1,3,5-cycloheptatriene) $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}( \pm)-\mathrm{I}-\mathbf{8 7}$ $(8.0 \mathrm{~g}, 24 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in acetic anhydride $(150 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0{ }^{0} \mathrm{C}$ with stirring. An ice-cold solution of fluoroboric acid ( $60 \mathrm{wt} \%, 23.40 \mathrm{~mL}, 240.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in acetic anhydride ( 25 mL ) was added dropwise to the stirring mixture. After 20 min of stirring a yellow-gray precipitate began to form. The reaction mixture was added dropwise into a large excess of ether (3.5 L). The solid yellow cation was isolated by filtration and dried under high vacuum ( $8.88 \mathrm{~g}, 88 \%$ ). IR (KBr) 2112, 2067, 760, $697 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{d}_{6}$-acetone) $\delta 1.41(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.68(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.25(\mathrm{~m}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.16(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $5.93(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.0,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.29(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.62(\mathrm{~m}, J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$, $7.47(\mathrm{tq}, J=6.1,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. The NMR spectral data was consistent with the literature values. ${ }^{113}$


## $N$-(6-styryl-2,4-cycloheptadien-1-yl)-4-methyl- $N$-2-propen-1-yl-

benzenesulfonamide: $( \pm)$-II-8. To a solution of $( \pm)$-II-7 ( $0.10 \mathrm{~g}, 0.24 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetonitrile ( 10 mL ), under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$, was added the potassium salt of tosyl allylamine ( 0.140 g , $0.562 \mathrm{mmol})$. The mixture was stirred for 2 h , at which time TLC indicated the disappearance of ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-7. The reaction mixture was dried under reduced pressure and the solid residue was purified by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right.$, hexanes-ethyl acetate $=$ 4:1) to give the product ( $0.113 \mathrm{~g}, 86 \%$ ) as a yellow foam. mp 47-48 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $\mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ 2047, 1965, 1338, $1157 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.14(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.55(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.91(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.40(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.82-2.92(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.68(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=6.0,16.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.93(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=5.2,16.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.38(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=3.6,12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.14(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.22-5.33(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.80-5.94(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.33(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20-7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 7.77(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 21.6$, $36.4,44.0,46.2,57.1,58.6,61.5,88.3,88.6,117.0,126.3,127.3,127.6,128.8,129.1$, 130.1, 135.2, 136.5, 137.1, 137.9, 143.8.


## N-(6-styryl-2,4-cycloheptadien-1-yl)-4-methyl-N-2-propen-1-yl-

benzenesulfonamide:( $\pm$ )-II-9. To the prior complex ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-8 $(0.277 \mathrm{~g}, 0.509 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetonitrile ( 15 mL ), under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$, was added cerium ammonium nitrate $(0.470 \mathrm{~g}, 0.858$ $\mathrm{mmol})$. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 h , at which time TLC indicated complete disappearance of the starting material. The reaction mixture was filtered through a short column of silica gel, which was washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ until the entire product was eluted. These fractions were combined, concentrated, and the residue was purified by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right.$, hexanes-ethyl acetate $\left.=17: 3\right)$ to give ( $\left.\mathbf{\pm}\right) \mathbf{- I I}-\mathbf{9}$ $(0.106 \mathrm{~g}, 51 \%)$ as a faint yellow oil. IR $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) 1336,1162 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.96(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=12.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.08(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=10.9,12.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.30-$ $3.42(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.73(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=6.0,16.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=6.0,16.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.85-4.94$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.13(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=0.9,8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.23(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=1.5,16.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.39(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=$ $11.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.64-5.75(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.91(\mathrm{tdd}, \mathrm{J}=6.0,10.5,17.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.11(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.4$, $15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.39(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20-7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 7.77(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 21.7,39.0,43.2,47.9,59.1,117.6,123.9,125.1,126.3,127.4$, $127.5,128.8,129.8,129.9,132.6,134.4,136.1,137.3,137.6,137.9,143.5$. HRMS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{SNa}$ : $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right] ; 428.1660$, found 428.1657.

$N$-Toluenesulfonyl-2-azabicyclo[4.4.1]undeca-5,7,9-triene: ( $\pm$ )-II-10. To a solution of ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-9 ( $60 \mathrm{mg}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol})$ in freshly distilled dichloromethane $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, was added Grubbs' 2 nd generation catalyst ( $7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.008 \mathrm{mmol}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ). The reaction mixture was stirred under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ and the reaction progress was monitored by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy. After 4 h all signals for the starting material disappeared. The reaction mixture was concentrated under a flow of $\mathrm{N}_{2}$, and the residue purified by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right.$, hexanes-ethyl acetate $\left.=4: 1\right)$ to afford $( \pm)-\mathrm{II}-10$ as a colorless oil $(37 \mathrm{mg}, 82 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 2.43(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.81(\mathrm{ddd}, \mathrm{J}=1.2,8.8,14.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.97-2.99$ (narrow m, 2H), 3.06 (ddd, J = 1.2, 3.6, 14.4 Hz, 1H), 4.06-4.09 (narrow m, 2H), 4.59 (td, $\mathrm{J}=4.0,8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.56-5.60($ narrow $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.20-6.24(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.30(\mathrm{qd}, \mathrm{J}=1.2,5.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.43(\mathrm{qd}, \mathrm{J}=2.0,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31$ and $7.73\left(\mathrm{ABq}, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{AB}}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}\right.$ total $) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 21.7,38.0,44.6,55.9,67.6,125.0,127.6,129.5,130.0,130.1$, $131.9,132.6,134.8,143.7,144.5$. This compound decomposed upon standing and thus a satisfactory HRMS was not obtained.

( $\pm$ )-II-11
(6-Styrenyl-2,4-cyclohepta-1-yl)phthalimide: ( $\pm$ )-II-11. In 250 mL round bottom flask cation ( $\pm$ )-II-7 $(1.000 \mathrm{~g}, 2.375 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added freshly distilled $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$. To this suspension was added potassium phthalimide $(0.659 \mathrm{~g}, 3.356 \mathrm{mmol})$. The whole mixture was stirred at room temperature under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ for 3 h and then quenched by adding water ( 50 mL ). The mixture was extracted several times with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, the combined extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated to give a pale yellow crude solid (1.257 g). This crude material was dissolved in methanol $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and stirred under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at room temperature for 10 min , then solid cerium ammonium nitrate (IV) ( $3.42 \mathrm{~g}, 6.24 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the mixture was stirred for 2 h . The solvent was evaporated and water (50 mL ) was added to the solid residue. The product was extracted several times with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; the combined extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated. The residue was purified by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right.$, hexane-ethyl acetate $\left.=3: 1\right)$ to afford a light white solid ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-11 ( $648 \mathrm{mg}, 81 \%$ ). mp 107-108 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 2.05$ (br d, $\mathrm{J}=13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.86(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=11.1,13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.56-3.58(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.29(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.78-5.89(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.18(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.4,15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.48(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.72(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=3.1,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.87(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=3.1,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 38.2,44.0,50.5,123.3,123.9,124.0,126.2,127.3,128.6$,
$129.8,132.0,132.2,133.6,134.1,136.9,137.2,167.7$. elemental analysis calcd (\%) for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ : C 80.92, H 5.61; found: C 80.61, H 5.67.


2-Phthalimido-4-(2'-styrenyl)-6,7-dioxabicyclo[3.2.2]non-8-ene: ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-12. To а 50 mL two-necked round bottom flask equipped with a condenser, was charged with ( $\pm$ )-II$11(1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 2.93 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry chloroform ( 40 mL ) and tetraphenylporphine (TPP) (36 $\mathrm{mg}, 3 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$. The resulting deep purple solution was irradiated with a $100-\mathrm{W}$ halogen lamp, while ultra pure $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ was bubbled through the solution and stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 8 h . The mixture was concentrated and the residue was purified by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right.$, hexane: ethyl acetate $\left.=1: 1\right)$ to give the endoperoxide $( \pm)-\mathbf{I I}-\mathbf{1 2}(923 \mathrm{mg}, 91 \%)$ as a colorless solid, mp 180-181 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.77(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.11(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=10.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.01(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.79(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.84(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=3.1$, $9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.98(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=6.3,11.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.43-6.51(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.89(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=6.3,6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.75(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=3.6,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.86(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=3.5,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 29.8,45.8,52.0,80.4,81.1,123.6,123.8,126.4,127.9,128.7$, 128.8, 130.8, 131.8, 131.9, 134.5, 136.8, 167.8. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{4}: \mathrm{C}, 73.98 ; \mathrm{H}$ 5.13. Found: C, 73.87; H, 5.27.

( $\pm$ )-II-13

4-Phthalimido-6-(2'-styryl)-3,7-dihydroxycycloheptene: ( $\pm$ )-II-13. To a solution of $( \pm)$-II-12 $(50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added activated zinc dust $(50 \mathrm{mg})$. To the resulting suspension was added a solution of glacial acetic acid $(0.02 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.34$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ in 3 portions over 30 min . The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 15 min , after which the mixture was loaded onto a column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right.$, hexanes-ethyl acetate $\left.=2: 3\right)$ to give $( \pm) \mathbf{- I I}-\mathbf{1 3}(47 \mathrm{mg}, 92 \%)$ as a colorless solid; mp 225-227 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) $\delta 1.95(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=2.8,14.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.47(\mathrm{dq}, \mathrm{J}=2.8,10.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.70(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=11.8,14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.25-4.10(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 H), 4.99-4.93(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.70(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=2.8,12.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.80(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=2.8,12.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.19(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=9.0,16.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.46(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.4,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=$ $7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.88-7.75(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, $\mathrm{d}_{6}-$ acetone) $\delta 2.01(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=2.8,14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.53-2.42(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.73(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=11.8,14.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.99(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.16(\mathrm{ddd}, \mathrm{J}=3.2,10.4,12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.31-4.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.53(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.99-4.93(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.73(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=2.8,12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.82(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=$ 2.7, $12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.28(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.8,16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.50(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{tt}, \mathrm{J}=$ $1.6,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.82(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (75 MHz, $\mathrm{d}_{6}$-acetone) $\delta 37.7,50.5,55.5,70.2,73.5,123.7,127.1,127.9,129.2,131.9$,
133.3, 134.4, 134.5, 134.8, 137.4, 138.6, 168.9. HRMS (ESI): m/z calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{4}:\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right] ; 398.1363$, found 398.1358.

(1S*,2S*,5S*,6S*) N-(2,5-Diacetoxy-6-styryl-3-cyclohepten-1(S*)-yl)phthalimide: ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-14. To a mixture of diol $( \pm)-\mathbf{I I}-\mathbf{1 3}(400 \mathrm{mg}, 1.11 \mathrm{mmol})$ and p-toluenesulfonyl chloride ( $21 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added acetic anhydride ( 5 mL ). The resulting suspension was heated at reflux under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ for 1 h . The reaction mixture was concentrated and the residue was purified by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right.$, hexanaes-ethyl acetate $\left.=3: 2\right)$ to afford ( $\pm$ )-II-14 (406 mg, 82\%) as a colorless solid: mp $65-66{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.87(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.01(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=14.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.63(\mathrm{brq}, \mathrm{J}=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.85(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.42(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.57-5.49(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.70(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}$, $\mathrm{J}=13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.86(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=9.4,15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.02(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.34(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}$ $=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.10(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.80-7.63(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 20.9, 21.2, 36.2, 47.6, 51.4, 72.1, 74.1, 123.6, 126.4, 127.7, 128.8, 130.2, 130.3, 131.6, 131.9, 132.7, 134.4, 137.0, 167.9, 169.6, 170.3. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{6}: \mathrm{C}, 70.58 ; \mathrm{H}$ 5.48. Found: C, 70.28; H, 5.45.

rac-2-Formyl-4-phthalimido-6,7-dioxabicyclo[3.2.2]non-8-ene: ( $\pm$ )-II-15. To a mixture of ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-11 $(1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 2.93 \mathrm{mmol})$ in a mixture of $\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{BuOH}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, ethyl acetate ( 5 mL ) and water ( 25 mL , was added at room temperature methanesulfonamide ( 60 mg , 0.59 mmol ). The mixture was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with an ice bath and then solid AD-mix $\beta$ $(4.325 \mathrm{~g})$ was added. The reaction mixture was stirred for 34 h at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, after which monitoring by TLC indicated the disappearance of starting material. The reaction was quenched with water ( 20 mL ). The mixture was transferred to separatory funnel, and the top, organic layer was decanted. The aqueous layer was extracted several times with ethyl acetate and the combined organic layers were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, concentrated and the residue purified by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right.$, hexanes:ethyl acetate $\left.=2: 3\right)$ to afford a $1: 1$ mixture of diastereomeric diols $(1.050 \mathrm{~g}, 96 \%)$ as a colorless foam. This material was used in the next step without further characterization. To a solution of diastereomeric diols ( $1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 2.67 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added tetraphenylporphine ( 15 mg ). The deep purple solution was irradiated for a 5 h period with a commercially 100-W halogen lamp, while ultra pure $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ was bubbled through the solution. The organic solvent was removed to afford a mixture of diastereomeric endoperoxide diols, (+)-II-21 and (+)-II-22 which were used in the next step without further purification (1.005 g). To a solution of (+)-II-21 / (+)-II-22 (500 mg, 1.29 mmol$)$ in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added solid $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4}(544 \mathrm{mg}, 1.23 \mathrm{mmol})$. The
mixture was stirred for 30 min , and then quenched with water. The mixture was extracted several times with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, and the combined extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated. Purification of the residue by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right.$, hexanes:ethyl acetate $=3: 2)$ gave $( \pm)$-II-15 $(244 \mathrm{mg}, 63 \%)$ as a colorless solid: mp 179 $180{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.05-1.97(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.11(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=13.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.16$ $(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=5.0,13.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.86-4.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.26(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.43(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=7.2$, $9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.77(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=7.2,9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.88-7.78(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 9.65(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 23.9,52.1,54.3,75.4,80.0,123.7,124.6,129.8,131.7,134.6$, 167.7, 199.0 ppm ; HRMS (ESI): m/z calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NO}_{5}+\mathrm{Na}+: 322.0686\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]$; found: 322.0685.

(+)-II-21

(+)-II-22

Singlet oxygen cycloaddition of diastereomeric diol mixture: To a stirred solution of the diastereomeric mixture of diols $(1.300 \mathrm{~g}, 3.467 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $35 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ was added tetraphenylporphine (TPP) ( $25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.041 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The deep purple solution was irradiated with a $100-\mathrm{W}$ halogen lamp, while ultra pure $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ was bubbled through the solution and stirred at room temperature for 6 h . The mixture was concentrated and the residue was purified by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right.$, hexanes:ethyl acetate $\mathbf{3 : 2}$ ) to give (+)-II-21 as a white foam ( $671 \mathrm{mg}, 48 \%$ ) followed by (+)-II-22 as a white foam ( $626 \mathrm{mg}, 44 \%$ ).
(Less polar) 4-(1'R,2'R-Dihydroxy-2'-phenylethyl)-2-phthalimido-6S,7R-
dioxabicyclo[3.2.2]non-8-ene: (+)-II-21. $\mathrm{mp} 97-98^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{20}=+41.17$ ( $\mathrm{c}=0.0011$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.60(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=12.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.10-2.03(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.29(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=5.7,12.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.48(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.68(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.43-$ 3.39 (narrow m, 1H), 4.73-4.68 (m, 3H), $5.18(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.41(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=7.5,8.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.71(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=7.2,9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.39-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.85-7.71(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 27.6,43.8,52.2,74.0,76.9,78.1,79.8,123.6,125.8,126.5,128.4$, $128.8,128.9,131.8,134.5,141.0,167.8 ;$ HRMS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{6}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}$: $430.1261\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]$; found: 430.1254.
(More polar) 4-(1'R,2'R-Dihydroxy-2'-phenylethyl)-2-phthalimido-6R,7S-dioxabicyclo[3.2.2]non-8-ene: (+)-II-22. $\mathrm{mp} 90-92^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \cdot[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{20}=+33\left(\mathrm{c}=0.0011, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.65(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=4.0,12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.07-1.98(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.23(\mathrm{q}$, $\mathrm{J}=12.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.25-3.10(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.80-3.75($ narrow m, 1H), $4.49(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=2.4,6.9$ Hz, 1H), $4.58(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=4.4,12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.70(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.47(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.0,8.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.65(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=7.6,8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.40-7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.90-7.70(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 23.4,44.0,52.4,75.1,77.0,79.7,81.4,123.5,126.5,126.6,127.3$, 128.7, 129.0, 131.8, 134.4, 140.7, 167.0. HRMS (ESI): m/z calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{6}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}$ $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]$; found: 430.1252.


2R-Formyl-4S-phthalimido-6,7-dioxabicyclo[3.2.2]non-8-ene: (+)-II-15. Tо а solution of the less polar endoperoxide diol (+)-II-21 ( $650 \mathrm{mg}, 1.60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dissolved in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added solid $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4}(1.061 \mathrm{~g}, 2.396 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction mixture was stirred for 15 min , and then quenched with water, and the mixture was extracted several times with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The combined extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated, and the residue was purified by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right.$, hexanesethyl acetate $=3: 2)$ to afford $(+) \mathbf{- I I}-\mathbf{1 5}(439 \mathrm{mg}, 93 \%)$ as a colorless solid. $\mathrm{m} . \mathrm{p} .55-57$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{20}=+88\left(\mathrm{c} 0.0011, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$; the NMR spectral data for (+)-II-15 was identical to that for the racemic material $( \pm)$-II-15.


2S-Formyl-4R-phthalimido-6,7-dioxabicyclo[3.2.2]non-8-ene: (-)-II-15. The diol cleavage of (+)-II-22 $(0.668,1.641 \mathrm{mmol})$ was carried out in a fashion similar to the cleavage of (+)-II-21 to afford the optically active aldehyde (-)-II-15 (371 mg, $\mathbf{7 5 \%}$ ). mp $95-97{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{20}=-102\left(\mathrm{c} 0.00102, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$. The NMR spectral data for (-)-II-15 was identical with that for the racemic compound ( $\pm$ )-II-15.


4-Hydroxymethyl-2-phthalimido-8,9-dioxabicyclo[3.2.2]non-6-ene: ( $\pm$ )-II-16. To a mixture of THF ( 10 mL ) and glacial acetic acid ( 2 mL ) was added ( $\mathbf{\pm})$-II-15 $(50.0 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.167 mmol ) and the mixture was stirred for 5 min . Solid $\mathrm{NaBH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(16 \mathrm{mg}, 0.254$ mmol ) was added, and monitoring of the reaction by TLC indicated complete disappearance of starting material after 1 h . The solvent was evaporated and the residue was purified by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right.$, hexanes:ethyl acetate $\left.=2: 3\right)$ to afford $( \pm)$ -II-16 (51 mg, quant.) as a colorless solid: $\mathrm{mp}=139-141^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 1.53(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.61(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=4.4,12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.84(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.40-2.31(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.47-3.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.66-3.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.81-4.73(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.98(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.45(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=6.8,9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.77(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=7.2,9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.90-7.72(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\mathrm{d}_{6}$-acetone) $\delta 26.6,44.7,52.3,64.2,78.3,80.0,123.6,124.9,129.7$, 131.9, 134.5, 167.8. HRMS (ESI): m/z calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{5}:\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right] ; 324.0842$, found 324.0839.


## 4(S)-Hydroxymethyl-2(S)-phthalimido-8,9-dioxabicyclo[3.2.2]non-6-ene: (+)-II-16.

 The reduction of (+)-II-15 ( $400 \mathrm{mg}, 1.34 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was carried out in a fashion similar to the reduction of $( \pm) \mathbf{- 1 0 2}$, to afford the optically active primary alcohol (+)-II-16 ( 329 mg , $82 \%) . \mathrm{mp} 163-166{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{20}=+119\left(\mathrm{c} 0.00176, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$. The NMR spectral data for $(+)-\mathrm{II}-16$ was identical with that for the racemic compound ( $\pm$ )-II-16.

## 4(R)-Hydroxymethyl-2(R)-phthalimido-8,9-dioxabicyclo[3.2.2]non-6-ene: (-)-II-16.

 The reduction of (-)-II-15 ( $360 \mathrm{mg}, 1.204 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was carried out in a fashion similar to the reduction of ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-15, to afford the optically active primary alcohol (-)-II-16 (281 $\mathrm{mg}, 78 \%)$. mp 167-169 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{20}=-95\left(\mathrm{c} 0.00082, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$. The NMR spectral data for $(-)$-II-16 was identical with that for the racemic compound ( $\pm$ )-II-16.

## 4-(t-Butyldiphenylsilyloxy)methyl-2-phthalimido-8,9-dioxabicyclo[3.2.2]non-6-ene:

 $( \pm)$-II-17. To a solution of $( \pm)$-II-16 ( $40.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.133 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in freshly distilled $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, was added imidazole ( $18 \mathrm{mg}, 0.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), followed by dropwise addition of t-butylchlorodiphenylsilane ( $44 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) over a period of 15 min at 0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After stirring at room temperature for 3 h , monitoring of the reaction mixture by TLC indicated complete disappearance of starting material. The mixture was quenched with water and extracted several times with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The combined extracts were concentrated and the residue was purified by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right.$, hexanes:ethyl acetate $=4: 1$ ) to give $( \pm)$-II-17 $(65 \mathrm{mg}, 91 \%)$ as a colorless foam; $\mathrm{mp} 44-$ $46{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.06(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.47(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=4.8,12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.78$ $(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=12.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.50-2.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.36(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.6,10.6,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.60(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=5.0$, $10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.72(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.75(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=4.8,12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.01(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.25(\mathrm{ddd}, \mathrm{J}=0.8,7.2,9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.71(\mathrm{ddd}, \mathrm{J}=1.2,7.2,9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.48-$ $7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.65-7.60(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.87-7.70(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 19.4, $26.3,27.0,44.5,52.4,65.0,78.6,80.0,123.5,125.0,128.0,129.4,130.0,131.9,133.3$, 134.4, 135.7, 167.7. HRMS (ESI): m/z calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{Si}:\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]$; 562.2020, found 562.2009.

## 4S-(t-Butyldiphenylsilyloxy)methyl-2S-phthalimido-8,9-dioxabicyclo[3.2.2]non-6-

 ene: (+)-II-17. Protection of (+)-II-17 ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.664 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) with t-butyldiphenylsilyl chloride was carried out in a fashion similar to the reaction of $(\mathbf{\pm})$-II-16, to afford (+)-104 (311 mg, 87\%). $\mathrm{mp} 44-47^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{20}=+48.5\left(\mathrm{c} 0.00132, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$. The NMR spectral data for $(+)-\mathbf{I I}-17$ was identical with that for the racemic compound ( $\pm$ )-II-17.

4R-(t-Butyldiphenylsilyloxy)methyl-2R-phthalimido-8,9-dioxabicyclo[3.2.2]non-6ene: (-)-II-17. Protection of (-)-II-16 ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.664 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) with t-butyldiphenylsilyl chloride was carried out in a fashion similar to the reaction of ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-16, except for 15 hrs to afford (-)-II-17 (358 mg, 99\%). mp 45-47 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{20}=-47.5\left(\mathrm{c} 0.00122, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$. The NMR spectral data for (-)-II-17 was identical with that for the racemic compound ( $\pm$ )-II17.


6-(t-Butyldiphenylsilyloxy)methyl-3,7-dihydroxy-4-phthalimido-cycloheptene ( $\pm$ )-II18. To a solution of ( $\mathbf{\pm})$-II-17 ( $55.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.102 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added activated zinc dust ( 55 mg ). To this suspension was added acetic acid ( $61 \mathrm{mg}, 1.020$ mmol ) dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ dropwise over a 10 min period. The reaction mixture was stirred for 15 min at room temperature, the solvent was evaporated, and the residue was purified by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right.$, hexanes:ethyl acetate $\left.=2: 3\right)$ to afford $( \pm)$ -II-18 (52 mg, 94\%) as a colorless foam: $\mathrm{mp}=51-53^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $1.07(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.56(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=2.4,14.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.87(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.00-1.90(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.38(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=12.0,14.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.69(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=7.2,10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=4.0$, $10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.07(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.14(\mathrm{ddd}, \mathrm{J}=2.4,10.0,12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.45(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}$, $\mathrm{J}=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.93-4.87(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.68(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=2.6,12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.80(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=2.8,12.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.85-7.63(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 19.3$, $27.0,33.1,44.8,55.0,68.7,70.2,74.1,123.4,128.06,128.11,130.17,130.23,132.02$, 132.07, 132.7, 134.2, 135.7, 135.8, 136.3, 168.7. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{NO}_{6} \mathrm{Si}: \mathrm{C}, 70.95$; H 6.51. Found: C, 70.66; H, 6.60.


6S-(t-Butyldiphenylsilyloxy)methyl-3S,7R-dihydroxy-4S-phthalimido-cycloheptene: (+)-II-18. The reduction of endoperoxide (+)-II-17 ( $80 \mathrm{mg}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) with Zn and acetic acid was carried out in a fashion similar to reduction of the racemic endoperoxide ( $\pm$ )-II-17, to afford (+)-II-18 (73 mg, $91 \%$ ). mp $53-55^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{20}=+17(\mathrm{c} 0.0011$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ). The NMR spectral data for (+)-II-18 was identical with that for the racemic compound ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-18.


6R-(t-Butyldiphenylsilyloxy)methyl-3R,7S-dihydroxy-4S-phthalimido-cycloheptene:
(-)-II-18. The reduction of endoperoxide (-)-II-17 (80 mg, 0.15 mmol$)$ with Zn and acetic acid was carried out in a fashion similar to reduction of the racemic endoperoxide $( \pm) \mathbf{- I I}-\mathbf{1 7}$, to afford $(-)$-II-18 (80 mg, $91 \%) . \operatorname{mp} 57-59{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{20}=-11(\mathrm{c} 0.00062$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ). The NMR spectral data for (-)-II-18 was identical with that for the racemic compound ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-18.


## 6-(t-Butyldiphenylsilyloxy)methyl-2,3,4,5-tetrahydroxy-1-phthalimido-

cycloheptane: $\mathbf{( \pm ) - I I - 1 9 .}$ To a solution of $\mathbf{( \pm )} \mathbf{)} \mathbf{I I}-\mathbf{1 8}(44 \mathrm{mg}, 0.081 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetone ( 5 mL ) was added a solution of N -methylmorpholine N -oxide ( $14 \mathrm{mg}, 0.122 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in water $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$, followed by a solution of $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}(0.05 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.2 \mathrm{M}$ in toluene, 0.01 mmol$)$. The reaction mixture was stirred for 2 h at room temperature under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. The solvent was evaporated and the residue was purified by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right.$, hexanes:ethyl acetate $=1: 4)$ to afford $( \pm) \mathbf{- I I}-\mathbf{1 9}(41 \mathrm{mg}, 88 \%)$ as a colorless foam; mp $86-87{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, CD $\left.{ }_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 1.00(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.56(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.76-1.67(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.61(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=12.0,14.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.68-3.58(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.83(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.89(\mathrm{dd}$, $\mathrm{J}=4.2,9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.97(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.11(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=1.6,11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.5(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=$ $7.0,10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.65-7.55(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.90-7.78(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 20.2,27.5,29.4,58.5,67.9,72.1,73.6,75.8,78.5,124.2$, 128.84, 128.88, 130.88, 130.93, 133.5, 135.4, 136.8, 136.9, 169.8. HRMS (ESI): m/z calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{NO}_{7} \mathrm{Si}:\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]$; 598.2232, found 598.2219.


6S-(t-Butyldiphenylsilyloxy)methyl-2R,3S,4R,5S-tetrahydroxy-1S-phthalimidocycloheptane: (-)-II-19. The dihydroxylation of (+)-II-18 (65 mg, 0.12 mmol$)$ with catalytic $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$ was carried out in a fashion to the dihydroxylation of ( $\left.\mathbf{\pm}\right)$ - II-18, to afford (-)-II-19 (61 mg, 88\%). mp 86-88 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{20}=-17(\mathrm{c} 0.0010, \mathrm{MeOH})$. The NMR spectral data for (-)-II-19 was identical with that for the racemic compound ( $\mathbf{\pm} \mathbf{)} \mathbf{- I I - 1 9}$.


6R-(t-Butyldiphenylsilyloxy)methyl-2S,3R,4S,5R-tetrahydroxy-1S-phthalimidocycloheptane: (+)-II-19. The dihydroxylation of (+)-II-18 (75 mg, 0.138 mmol$)$ with catalytic $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$ was carried out in the same fashion as the dihydroxylation of $( \pm)-\mathbf{I I}-\mathbf{1 8}$, to afford (+)-II-19 (70 mg, 88\%). mp 74-76 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{20}=+14(\mathrm{c} 0.00090, \mathrm{MeOH})$. The NMR spectral data for (+)-II-19 was identical with that for the racemic compound ( $\pm$ )-II19.

(S)(-)- $\alpha$-methoxy- $\alpha$-trifluoromethylphenylacetic ester: II-27. To a solution of optically enriched endoperoxide alcohol (+)-II-16 ( $20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.066 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry THF ( 3 mL ) was added (S)(-)- $\alpha$-methoxy- $\alpha$-trifluoromethylphenylacetic acid ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.21 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) followed by $N, N^{\prime}$-dicyclohexylcarbodiimide ( $44 \mathrm{mg}, 0.21 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 4-dimethylaminopyridine ( 5 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.004 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction mixture was stirred for 2 h , then concentrated and water $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. The mixture was extracted several times with ether, and the combined extracts were washed with $10 \% \mathrm{HCl}$, and concentrated. The residue was purified by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right.$, hexanes:ethyl acetate $\left.=7: 3\right)$ to give a colorless oil ( 34 $\mathrm{mg}, 100 \%) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, $\mathrm{d}_{6}$-acetone) $\delta 1.64(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=4.4,12.81 \mathrm{H}), 2.03(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=$ $12.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.44-2.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.58(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.27(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=7.0$ and 11.2 Hz , $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.39(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=5.4,11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.63(\mathrm{ddd}, \mathrm{J}=0.9,4.5,12.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.72(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=$ $7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.92(\mathrm{brd} \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.22(\mathrm{ddd}, \mathrm{J}=1.2,7.2,9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.65(\mathrm{ddd}, \mathrm{J}$ $=0.9,7.2,9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37-7.47(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.51-7.56(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.85(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 26.2,41.1,51.7,55.7,66.6,77.8,79.8,121.8,123.5,123.7$, $124.6,127.1,128.6,129.8,130.1,131.6,132.0,134.4,166.5,167.5$.

(S)(-)- $\alpha$-methoxy- $\alpha$-trifluoromethylphenylacetic ester: II-28. The esterification of optically enriched endoperoxide alcohol (-)-II-16 (20 mg, 0.066 mmol$)$ with (S)(-)- $\alpha-$ methoxy- $\alpha$-trifluoromethylphenylacetic acid was carried out in a fashion to the esterification of (+)-II-16, to afford II-28 (32 mg, 94\%). mp 43-45 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{d}_{6}$-acetone) $\delta 1.67(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=4.6,12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.99(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.45-2.54$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.55(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.38-427(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.64(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=5.0,13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.74(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=$ $7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.93(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.31(\mathrm{ddd}, \mathrm{J}=0.8,7.1,9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.66(\mathrm{ddd}, \mathrm{J}$ $=0.9,7.3,9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45-7.51(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.53-7.58(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.85(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 26.2,41.1,51.5,55.4,66.5,77.6,79.8,121.7,123.4,123.6$, 124.6, 127.2, 128.6, 129.8, 130.2, 131.6, 131.8, 134.3, 166.5, 167.4.

( $\pm$ )-II-29
$N$-(Bicyclo[5.1.0]octa-3,5-dien-2-yl)phthalimide: ( $\pm$ )-II-29. To a stirred suspension of cation I-88b $(8.00 \mathrm{~g}, 14.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in solution of water saturated-ether $($ water: ether $=1: 5)$ was added potassium phthalimide ( $20.22 \mathrm{~g}, 109.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). This suspension was stirred for 150 min , the ether layer was decanted and to the residual solid was added ether ( 100 mL )
and the mixture was stirred for 1 h . This step was repeated 3 times. The combined ethereal fractions were concentrated at low temperature under vacuum to give a yellow foam ( $10.21 \mathrm{~g},>100 \%$ ) which was used in the next step without purification. To a solution of the unpurified complex $(9.00 \mathrm{~g})$ dissolved in acetonitrile ( 100 mL ) was added 2,3-dichloro-5,6-dicyano-1,4-benzoquinone (DDQ) (5.10 g, 22.5 mmol ). After 2 h , monitoring by TLC showed complete disappearance of the complex. The mixture was passed through a short column of silica gel and washed with dichloromethane. The combined fractions were concentrated and the residue purified by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right.$, hexanes-ethyl acetate $\left.=4: 1\right)$ to give a $( \pm)$-II- $\mathbf{2 9}$ as colorless solid (2.66 g, 73\%): mp 162-163 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (KBr) 3023, 1765, 1711, 1607, $1381 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 0.99$ (dddd, $\left.\mathrm{J}=0.9,4.7,8.5,8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 1.22-1.34(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.85-$ $1.95(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.25(\mathrm{ddd}, \mathrm{J}=5.6,5.6,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.43-5.62(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.83(\mathrm{ddd}, \mathrm{J}=2.8$, $6.0,11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.23(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=7.5,11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.69-7.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.82-7.89(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;$ ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (75 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 9.0,15.2,43.8,49.8,122.6,123.4,126.2,126.9,132.1$, 134.1, 135.2, 167.9. The NMR spectral data was consistent with the literature values. ${ }^{125}$

(土)-II-30

( $\pm$ )-II-31
$N-\left(3 R^{*}, 4 R^{*}, 5 S^{*}, 6 S^{*}-t e t r a h y d r o x y b i c y c l o[5.1 .0] o c t-2 S^{*}-y l\right) p h t h a l i m i d e ~( \pm)-I I-30$ and $N$-(3R*,4R*,5R*, 6R*-tetrahydroxybicyclo[5.1.0]oct-2S*-yl)phthalimide ( $\pm$ )-II-31:

To a stirring solution of the diene ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-29 ( $300 \mathrm{mg}, 1.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in acetone ( 5 ml ) was
added a solution of N -methylmorpholine- N -oxide ( $419 \mathrm{mg}, 3.59 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in water ( 1 mL ), followed by addition of a solution of $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}(0.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.2 \mathrm{M}$ in toluene). The mixture was stirred for 24 h at room temperature. Solid $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}(440 \mathrm{mg})$ was added to the mixture and stirred for 30 min , the mixture was concentrated and the residue was dry loaded onto column chromatography for purification $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{MeOH}=10: 1\right)$ to give $( \pm)-\mathbf{I I}-\mathbf{3 0}$ ( $187 \mathrm{mg}, 49 \%$ ) followed by ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-31 ( $79 \mathrm{mg}, 21 \%$ ) both as a colorless solids.
( $\pm$ )-II-30: mp 229-230 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 0.74-0.89(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.19$ (ddt, $\mathrm{J}=2.6,6.6,9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.39(\mathrm{ddt}, \mathrm{J}=4.8,7.0,9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.54(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 4.10-4.14 (m, 1H), 4.27 (dd, J = 1.8, $11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.44-4.50(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.16(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=2.6$, 10.6 Hz, 1H), 7.76-7.89 (m, 4H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$, "doublets" due to slowed rotation of the phthalimide substituent shown in parentheses) $\delta 6.7,18.6,20.7$, 50.7, 68.7, 69.6, 76.0, 80.9, 123.7 (124.1), 133.2 (133.6), 135.1 (135.2), 169.8 (170.2). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{6} \cdot 0.2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 59.51$; H, 5.43 ; N, 4.34. Found: C, $59.56 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.49$; N, 4.35.
( $\pm$ )-II-31 : mp 241-244 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 0.63(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=5.3,9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.02-1.23(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.53-1.63(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.00(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.34(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.59$ $(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.05(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=2.9,11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.75-7.87(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 $\left.\mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 7.2,18.0,19.7,51.6,66.2,68.6,75.8,76.3,123.9$ (br), 133.2 (br), 135.1 (br), 170.1 (br). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{6}$ : C, 60.18 ; H, 5.37. Found: C, $60.18 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.32$.


## $N$-(5R*,6S*-dihydroxybicyclo[5.1.0]oct-3-en-2S*-yl)phthalimide ( $\pm$ )-II-32 and $N$ -

 (3R*,4S*-dihydroxybicyclo[5.1.0]oct-5-en-2S*-yl)phthalimide ( $\pm$ )-II-33. To a solution of bicyclic diene ( $\pm$ )-II-29 ( $300 \mathrm{mg}, 1.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in acetone $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added a solution of N -methylmorpholine- N -oxide ( $0.32 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 50 \% \mathrm{wt}$ in water), followed by a solution of $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}(0.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.2 \mathrm{M}$ in toluene). The mixture was stirred for 1 h at room temperature. The reaction was quenched with $\mathrm{NaHSO}_{3}(100 \mathrm{mg})$ and stirred for 30 min then adsorbed onto silica gel for purification $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right.$, hexanes:ethyl acetate gradient $=3: 1$ to $3: 7)$ to give the starting material $( \pm) \mathbf{- I I} \mathbf{- 2 9}(81 \mathrm{mg})$ followed by $( \pm)$-II- $\mathbf{3 2}(138 \mathrm{mg}$, $56 \%$ based on recovered starting material) and ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-33 ( $72 \mathrm{mg}, 29 \%$ based on recovered starting material) both as colorless solids.( $\pm$ )-II-32: mp 218-221 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.84(\mathrm{dq}, \mathrm{J}=2.0,7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.09(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.15-1.23(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.49($ pentet, $\mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.20-2.43(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.11-4.18(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.64(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.44(\mathrm{qd}, \mathrm{J}=2.3,12.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.56$, $(q d, J=2.3,12.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.68(q u i n, \mathrm{~J}=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.69-7.77(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.82-7.90(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 6.9,16.3,17.6,48.4,71.7,72.7,123.3,127.1,128.4$, 131.9, 134.0, 167.8. HRMS (FAB): m/z calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{4}:\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right] ; 308.0893$, found 308.0894.
( $\pm$ )-II-33: mp 219-220 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) ~ \delta 0.90(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.05$ $(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=4.9,9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.41(\mathrm{dq}, \mathrm{J}=4.3,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.45-1.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.98(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.43(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.33-4.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.25(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=3.9,10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.65(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=7.4,12.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.16(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=5.9,12.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.67-7.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.79-$ $7.88(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 13.2,15.7,19.4,49.9,68.5,70.9,123.0$, 123.2, 132.0, 133.9, 134.4, 169.0. HRMS (FAB): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{4}:\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]$; 308.0893, found 308.0894.


## $N$-(5S*,6S*-dihydroxy-3R*,4R*-epoxybicyclo[5.1.0]oct-2S*-yal)phthalimide ( $\pm$ )-II-

34: Preparation of trifluoroperacetic acid: To an ice cold solution of trifluoroacetic anhydride ( $0.70 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(0.33 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.8 \mathrm{mmol}$, $50 \%$ wt solution). The mixture was stirred for 5 min in the ice cold bath then at room temperature for 1 h . To ice-cold solution of enediol ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-32 (138 mg, 0.484 mmol$)$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ coled in an ice bathwas added the previously prepared solution $\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{3} \mathrm{H}\right)$ drop by drop at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 10 min the mixture was warmed to room temperature and stirred for 1 h and then concentrated. The residue was purified by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right.$, hexanes:ethyl acetate $\left.=3: 7\right)$ to give $( \pm)-\mathbf{I I}-\mathbf{3 4}$ as a colorless solid ( $121 \mathrm{mg}, 83 \%$ ): $\mathrm{mp}>250{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 0.84(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}$ $=6.7,8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.04(\mathrm{tt}, \mathrm{J}=5.9,8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.20(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.61(\mathrm{dq}, \mathrm{J}=$
5.1, 8.6 Hz, 1H), $3.73(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.98(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.36(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1H), $4.49(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.59(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.82(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.94(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}$ $=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.81-7.93(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz, DMSO- $\left._{6}\right) \delta 7.6,14.1,15.4$, 50.7, 70.2, 72.8, 80.6, 81.9, 123.1, 131.2, 134.6, 168.4. HRMS (FAB): m/z calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{5}:\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right] ; 324.0842$, found 324.0846.

$N$-(3S*,4R8,5S*,6S*-tetrahydroxybicyclo[5.1.0]oct-2S*-yal)phthalimide ( $\pm$ )-II-35: A mixture of the epoxy compound ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-34 (120 mg, 0.399 mmol$)$ in THF $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and deionized water ( 10 mL ) was heated until all the solid had dissolved. Carbon tetrabromide ( $26 \mathrm{mg}, 0.079 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the mixture was heated at reflux with stirring for 7 h . The solvent was evaporated and the residue was purified by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{MeOH}=19: 1\right.$ to $9: 1$ gradient $)$ to give the starting material ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-34 (26 mg) followed by ( $\mathbf{~}$ )-III-35 as a colorless solid ( $81 \mathrm{mg}, 81 \%$ based on recovered starting material) : mp 202-204 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) $\delta 0.81$ $(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=5.5,9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.11(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7.8,16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.28-1.41(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.51(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=$ $5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.83(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.04(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=1.6,5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.07(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=1.6,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.63(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.33(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.81-7.86(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.86-7.92(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13}$ $\mathrm{C}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 9.0,18.3,20.1,50.2,68.4,76.4,77.9,78.1,124.3,133.2,135.5$, 170.8. HRMS (FAB): m/z calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{6}$ : $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right] ; 342.0948$, found 342.0950.

$N$-(5R*,6S*-epoxybicyclo[5.1.0]oct-3-en-2S*-yl)phthalimide ( $\pm$ )-II-36 and $N$-(5-oxobicyclo[5.1.0]oct-3-en-2S*-yl)phthalimide ( $\pm$ )-II-37: A solution of diene ( $\pm$ )-II-29 ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.794 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in freshly distilled $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(6 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred for 5 min . A solution of meta-chloroperoxybenzoic acid (mCPBA) (196 mg, $\sim 70 \% \mathrm{wt}, \ldots 0.794 \mathrm{mmol})$ in freshly distilled $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise. The solution was stirred under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ for 5 h , at which time monitoring by TLC showed complete disappearance of starting material. The solvent was evaporated and the residue was treated with saturated bicarbonate solution ( 5 mL ) with stirring for 30 min . The mixture was extracted several times with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, concentrated and purified by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right.$, hexanes:ethyl acetate $=7: 3$ ) to give $( \pm)-\mathbf{I I}-\mathbf{3 7}$ as a colorless solid ( $28 \mathrm{mg}, 12 \%$ ) followed by ( $\pm$ )-II-36 as a colorless solid ( $158 \mathrm{mg}, 70 \%$ ).

7-Phthalimido-2-oxatricyclo[6.1.0 $\left.{ }^{\mathbf{1 , 3}} . \mathbf{0}^{\mathbf{4 , 6}}\right]$ non-8-ene ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-36; mp 196-198 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 0.93(\mathrm{dq}, \mathrm{J}=3.9,8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.07(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.53-1.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.19(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.57(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.63(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=12.0$ Hz, 1H), 5.78 (ddd, J = 2.9, 5.5, 12.0 Hz, 1H), $5.91(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.70-7.93(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.3,13.8,18.8,47.6,52.8,54.9,123.4,123.5,132.2$, 132.8, 134.2, 167.9. HRMS (FAB): m/z calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NO}_{3}:\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right] ; 290.0788$, found 290.0789

7-Phthalimido-8-oxatricyclo[6.1.0 $\left.{ }^{1,3} .0^{4,6}\right]$ non-2-ene ( $\pm$ )-II-37: mp 122-123 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 0.74(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=6.4,9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.03(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.21-$ $1.28(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.95(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.11(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.61-5.64(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.11(\mathrm{ddd}, \mathrm{J}=1.8,3.1,12.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.23(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=2.4,12.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.75-7.91(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 4.6,8.3,17.8,41.5,49.3,123.7,132.0,132.5,1.4 .5$, 141.8, 167.7, 198.9.

$N$-(5S*,6S*-Epoxy-3R*,4R*-dihydroxybicyclo[5.1.0]oct-2S*-yl)phthalimide ( $\pm$ )-II-
38: To a solution of the epoxy compound ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-36 ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.373 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in acetone ( 5 mL ), was added a solution of $N$-methylmorpholine- $N$-oxide ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.857 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in water $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$, followed by addition of a solution of $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}(0.14 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.2 \mathrm{M}$ in toluene $)$. The mixture was stirred for 4 h at room temperature. Solid $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}(60 \mathrm{mg})$ was added to the mixture and stirred for 30 min , the mixture was concentrated and the residue purified by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right.$, hexanes:ethyl acetate gradient $=1: 1$ to $\left.1: 4\right)$ to give ( $\pm$ )-II- $\mathbf{3 8}$ as a colorless solid ( $109 \mathrm{mg}, 97 \%$ ): $\mathrm{mp}>220{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , acetone- $\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \delta 0.85(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=4.7,9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.97(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=4.7,6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.19-1.27(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.56(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.19(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.35(\mathrm{ddd}, \mathrm{J}=0.8,4.2,6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.58(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.17(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.35-4.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.19(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=$ $5.8,10.8,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.84(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , acetone- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 5.8,15.5,19.5,51.6$,
55.1, 57.0, 66.6, 69.3, 122.8, 132.2, 134.0, 168.3. HRMS (FAB): m/z calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{5}:\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right] ; 324.0842$, found 324.0843.

$N-\left(3 R^{*}, 4 R^{*}, 5 S^{*}, 6 R^{*}\right.$-tetrahydroxybicyclo[5.1.0]oct-4-en-2S*-yl)phthalimide( $\pm$ )-II-
39. The hydrolysis of epoxy compound ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II- $\mathbf{3 8}(87 \mathrm{mg}, 0.29 \mathrm{mmol})$ in water ( 3 mL ) with carbon tetrabromide ( $20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.058 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) as catalyst was carried out in a fashion similar to the preparation of $\mathbf{( \pm ) - I I - 3 4}$. Recrystalization of the residue from methanol gave ( $\pm$ )-II-39 ( $61 \mathrm{mg}, 66 \%$ ) as colorless crystals: $\mathrm{mp}>230{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 0.67(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=6.0,9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.90(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.16(\mathrm{ddt}, \mathrm{J}=3.0,6.6$, $9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.31(\mathrm{ddt}, \mathrm{J}=3.6,6.8,9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.06(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.26(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=3.6,9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.30(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.50(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=3.0,11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.76-7.90(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, one proton hidden underneath $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ peak at $3.31 ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 4.9$, $18.1,20.0,50.9,68.6,69.3,74.1,78.1,123.9,124.2,133.4,133.8,135.3,135.4,170.2$, 170.5. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{6}$ : C, 60.18; H, 5.37. Found: C, 59.65; H, 5.38.

$N-\left(3 R^{*}, 4 \mathrm{R}^{*}, 5 S^{*}, 6 S^{*}\right.$-diepoxybicyclo[5.1.0]oct-2S*-yl)ohthalimide ( $\pm$ )-123: To а solution of bicyclic diene ( $\pm$ )-II- $\mathbf{2 9}(130 \mathrm{mg}, 0.516 \mathrm{mmol})$ in freshly distilled $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (5 mL ) was added mCPBA ( $319 \mathrm{mg}, \sim 70 \% \mathrm{wt}, \sim 1.29 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction mixture was stirred under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ for 12 h , after which monitoring by TLC indicated the disappearance of starting material. The mixture was concentrated and the solid residue was treated with saturated aqueous bicarbonate ( 5 mL ) with stirring for 30 min . The mixture was extracted several times with ethyl acetate then dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The combined organic layers were concentrated and the crude was recrystallized from benzene to give colorless crystals of the bisepoxide ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-40 (137 mg, $93 \%$ ): mp $>250^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.03-1.13(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.29(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=4.3,5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.46(\mathrm{tt}, \mathrm{J}=5.9,9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.31(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=2.5,4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.48(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=2.5,4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.51(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=4.5,5.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.70(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=4.3,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.16(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.72-7.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.84-$ $7.93(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 10.9,11.1,19.7,47.3,50.9,52.0,53.5$, 58.3, 123.4, 131.9, 134.1, 167.9. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$ : C, 67.84; H 4.62. Found: C, 67.44; H, 4.68.

This compound also was prepared as follows: In Schlenk flask was added endoperoxide ( $\pm$ )-II-47 ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.352 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in benzene ( 5 mL ) and irradiated with Hg lamp for 6 h , the solvent was evaporated and the residue was washed with diethyl ether, solid precipitate was obtained ( $67 \mathrm{mg}, 67 \%$ ).


$N-\left(3 R^{*}, 4 S^{*}, 5 R^{*}, 6 R *\right.$-tetrahydroxybicyclo[5.1.0]oct-2S*-yl)phthalimide ( $\pm$ )-124 and
 solution of bisepoxide ( $\pm$ )-II-40 ( $90 \mathrm{mg}, 0.32 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 5 mL ) and deionized water ( 7 mL ) was added a catalytic amount of $\mathrm{CBr}_{4}(42 \mathrm{mg}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction mixture was heated at reflux for 8 h . The mixture was concentrated and the residue was purified by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{MeOH}=19: 1\right)$ to give bicyclic tetraol $( \pm)$-II$41(46 \mathrm{mg}, 46 \%)$ followed by 8-membered ring tetraol ( $\pm$ )-II-42 (17 mg, 18\%) both as colorless solids.
( $\pm$ )-II-41 : mp 218-220 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 0.70(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=5.5,9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), $1.03(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.32(\mathrm{ddt}, \mathrm{J}=3.1,6.7,9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.56(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.64(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=1.6,9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.99(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=2.0,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.03(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=1.6,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.28(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=3.5,9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.16(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.78-7.95(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 6.3,15.4,18.3,49.0,68.7,70.8,73.2,74.6,123.1,132.1,134.4$, 169.7. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{6}$ : C, 60.18; H 5.37. Found: C, 59.84; H, 5.29.
( $\pm$ )-II-42: mp 236-238 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 2.3(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=6.2,12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.89(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=9.8,12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.86-393(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.08(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=1.0,6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.30(\mathrm{~d}$, $\mathrm{J}=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.88(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.93(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.74(\mathrm{ddt}, \mathrm{J}=1.6,6.7$, $10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.82(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=6.3,11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.77-7.83(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.84-7.89(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$

NMR (100 MHz; $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 33.2,50.9,67.4,74.7,75.5,76.1,122.7,124.5,131.9,133.9$, 136.8, 169.0. HRMS (FAB): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{6}$ : $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right] ; 342.094808$, found 342.094911 .


Phthalimido-7,8-dioxatricyclo[4.2.2.0 ${ }^{2,4}$ dec-9-ene ( $\pm$ )-II-47 To a stirred solution of the diene ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-29 ( $350 \mathrm{mg}, 1.40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in a test tube dissolved in $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added tetraphenylporphine (TPP) ( $9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The deep purple solution was irradiated with a $100-\mathrm{W}$ halogen lamp, while ultra pure $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ was bubbled through the solution and stirred in water bath at room temperature for 7 h . The reaction mixture was concentrated and the residue was purified by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right.$, hexanes: ethyl acetate $=$ 2:3) to give ( $\pm$ )-II-47 as a colorless solid ( $340 \mathrm{mg}, 85 \%$ ): mp 159-162 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.64-0.74(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.44(\mathrm{pent}, \mathrm{J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.62-1.71(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.45-$ $4.48(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.26-5.33(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.26(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.2,9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.55(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.2,8.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.70-7.90(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 11.1,15.4,17.5,52.2,77.4,77.9$, 123.6, 127.4, 128.7, 132.0, 134.4, 168.4. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$ : C, 67.84; H, 4.63. Found: C, 67.81; H, 4.64.

$N$-(3S*,6S*-Dihydroxybicyclo[5.1.0]oct-4-en-2S*-yl)phthalimide: ( $\pm$ )-II-48 To a solution of endoperoxide ( $\pm$ )-II-47 (250 mg, 0.880 mmol$)$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added activated zinc dust ( 250 mg ), followed by dropwise addition of a solution of acetic acid ( $537 \mathrm{mg}, 8.80 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ over a 10 min period. The reaction mixture was stirred for 2 h at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and then filtered through a celite column. The column was washed with methanol, and the fractions collected were allowed to slowly evaporate under atmospheric pressure and the residue was purified by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right.$, hexanes:ethyl acetate $=1: 4)$ to give $( \pm)-\mathbf{I I}-\mathbf{4 8}(249 \mathrm{mg}, 98 \%)$ as colorless crystals: mp $217-219{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 0.81(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=5.4,9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.95(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=$ $5.8,1 \mathrm{H}), 1.21(\mathrm{ddt}, \mathrm{J}=4.5,6.3,9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.35(\mathrm{tt}, \mathrm{J}=5.7,9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.47(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=$ $1.5,5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.66(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=4.2,10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.78(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=3.0,10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.62$ (ddd, $\mathrm{J}=1.2,3.6,12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.82(\mathrm{ddd}, \mathrm{J}=2.1,6.3,12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.79-7.90(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ;$ ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 9.2,17.1,23.2,53.5,67.2,70.6,124.1,133.3,133.5$, 134.4, 135.4, 170.3. HRMS (FAB): m/z calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{4}:\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right] ; 308.0893$, found 308.0895.

$N-\left(3 R^{*}, 4 S^{*}, 5 R^{*}, 6 S^{*}-t e t r a h y d r o x y b i c y c l o[5.1 .0] o c t-2 S^{*}-y l\right)$ phthalimide ( $\pm$ )-II-49: To a solution of bicyclic enediol $( \pm)-\mathbf{I I}-48(140 \mathrm{mg}, 0.489 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetone $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added a solution of $N$-methylmorpholine- $N$-oxide ( $85 \mathrm{mg}, 0.73 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in water ( 2 mL ), followed by a solution of $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}(0.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.2 \mathrm{M}$ in toluene). The mixture was stirred for 1 $h$ at room temperature under nitrogen. The reaction was quenched with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ (140 mg ) and stirred for 30 min . The mixture was passed through a short column of silica gel while was then washed with ethyl acetate. The combined fractions were concentrated and the residue purified by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right.$, hexanes: ethyl acetate $\left.=1: 4\right)$ to give ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-49 (133 mg, 85\%) as a colorless solid: $\mathrm{mp} 254-255{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 0.71(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=5.5,9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.11(\mathrm{ddt}, 3.9,7.0,9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.17-1.26(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.50(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.64(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=2.7,9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.05(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=2.7,5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.31(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.37(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.71(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=3.8,10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.71-7.93 (m, 4H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 6.1,16.2,18.9,53.8,64.8,70.3$, 72.0, 74.7, 122.8, 131.8, 133.9, 168.6. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{6}$ : C, 60.18; H 5.36.

Found: C, 60.01; H, 5.36.

( $\pm$ )-II-50

( $\pm$ )-II-51
$N$-(3S*-hydroxy-6-oxobicyclo[5.1.0]oct-4-en-2S*-yl)phthalimide ( $\pm$ )-II-50 and $\mathbf{N}$ -(6S*-hydroxy-3-oxobicyclo[5.1.0]oct-4-en-2S*-yl)phthalimide ( $\pm$ )-II-51: To a solution of endoperoxide $( \pm)$-II-47 $(250 \mathrm{mg}, 0.880 \mathrm{mmol})$ in freshly distilled $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added a solution of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.25 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was stirred for 2 h , the solvent was evaporated and the residue was purified by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ to give two regioisomers; $( \pm)$-II- $\mathbf{5 0}(238 \mathrm{mg}$, $95 \%)$ followed by ( $\pm$ )-II-51 ( $5 \mathrm{mg}, 2 \%$ ) both as colorless solids.
( $\pm$ )-II-50: mp 227-228 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 1.44(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=5.7,8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.65(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=5.7,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.84(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.08(\mathrm{ddt}, \mathrm{J}=1.6,5.5,8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.68(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=10.2 \mathrm{MHz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.95(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=2.5,10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.85(\mathrm{ddd}, \mathrm{J}=2.0,2.0$, $13.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.40(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=2.0,13.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.78-7.86(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.86-7.92(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 12.6,20.2,27.2,52.4,67.0,122.9,126.1,132.2,134.1$, 144.0, 167.7, 198.0. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$ : C, 67.84; H 4.62. Found: C, 67.92; H, 4.65.
(土)-II-51: mp 182-183 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 1.01(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.25$ $(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=5.1,7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.61-1.69(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.08(\mathrm{ddt}, \mathrm{J}=4.9,7.5,10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.48(\mathrm{td}$, $\mathrm{J}=2.9,9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.52(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.09(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=2.7,12.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.01(\mathrm{dd}$,
$\mathrm{J}=3.1,12.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.77-7.93(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 13.6,18.2$, $27.5,64.3,74.1,124.5,129.5,135.8,158.2,194.7$.

( $\pm$ )-II-52
$N$-(3S*,6R*-dihydroxybicyclo[5.1.0]oct-4-en-2S*-yl)Phthalimide ( $\pm$ )-II-52: To a solution of ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-50 $(230 \mathrm{mg}, 0.810 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ and methanol $(7 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{CeCl}_{3} .7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(604 \mathrm{mg}, 1.62 \mathrm{mmol})$ and the mixture stirred for 30 min at room temperature until it turned to clear solution. The solution was cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}(62 \mathrm{mg}, 1.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added portionwise. The mixture was stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 h. The solvent was evaporated and the residue was partitioned between water and ethyl acetate. After the solvent was evaporated, examination by NMR spectroscopy showed only a single product ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-52 (220 mg, $94 \%)$ : mp $225-227{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 0.70(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=5.9,8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.96(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.21(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=6.3,9.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.34-1.42(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.49(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.60(\mathrm{qd}, \mathrm{J}=2.4,10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.81-4.84(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.47(\mathrm{qd}, \mathrm{J}=2.0,13.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.55(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=2.3,13.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.78-$ $7.90(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz; $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 2.5,14.7,18.3,52.0,66.8,67.5,122.6$, $128.8,130.5,132.0,133.8,168.4$. HRMS (FAB): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{4}:\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]$; 308.0893, found 308.0895.

( $\pm$ )-II-53

(土)-II-39
$N$-(3R*,4S*,5R*,6R*-tetrahydroxybicyclo[5.1.0]oct-2S*-yl)phthalimide ( $\pm$ )-II-53 and $N-\left(3 R^{*}, 4 R^{*}, 5 S^{*}, 6 R^{*}\right.$-tetrahydroxybicyclo[5.1.0]oct-4-en-2S*-yl)phthalimide( $\pm$ )-II-

39: To a solution of ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-52 $(300 \mathrm{mg}, 1.05 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetone $(8 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added a solution of $N$-methylmorpholine- $N$-oxide ( $184 \mathrm{mg}, 1.57 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in water ( 1 mL ), followed by a solution of $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$ ( $0.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.2 \mathrm{M}$ in toluene). The mixture was stirred for 12 h at room temperature. The reaction was quenched with $\mathrm{NaHSO}_{3}(250 \mathrm{mg})$ and stirred for 30 min, the solved was removed and the residue was purified by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{MeOH}=2: 3\right)$ to give $( \pm) \mathbf{- I I}-\mathbf{5 3}(93 \mathrm{mg}, 28 \%)$ followed by $( \pm)-\mathbf{I I}-\mathbf{3 9}(117$ $\mathrm{mg}, 34 \%$ ) both as colorless solids. Compound ( $\pm$ )-II-39 was identified by comparison of its NMR spectral data with that previously obtained.
( $\pm$ )-II-53: mp 201-203 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 0.69(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=5.5,9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.09(\mathrm{ddt}, \mathrm{J}=2.7,6.3,9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.14-1.24(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.70(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.27(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=1.6,9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.10-4.17(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.45(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=10.2,1 \mathrm{H}), 4.56(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=2.7$, $11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.72-7.91(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ peak at $3.31 ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta$ $8.4,17.8,20.2,55.5,66.4,70.1,76.0,77.0,124.0,124.2,133.3,133.8,135.2,135.5$, 170.0, 170.1. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{6}$ : C, 60.18; H 5.36. Found: C, 59.93; H, 5.29.

Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{6}$ : C, 60.18; H, 5.37. Found: C, 59.93; H, 5.29.


Epoxidation of endoperoxide ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-54 : To an ice cold solution of trifluoroacetic anhydride ( $0.50 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(0.25 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.5 \mathrm{mmol}$, $50 \% \mathrm{wt}$ solution). After stirring for 5 min in the ice cold bath it was then warmed to room temperature for 1 h . To a solution of endoperoxide ( $\pm$ )-II-47 ( $130 \mathrm{mg}, 0.458 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ : THF (1:1, 5 mL ) cooled in an ice bath was added dropwise the previously prepared solution $\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{3} \mathrm{H}\right)$. After 10 min the mixture warmed to room temperature and stirred for 4 h . The solvent was evaporated using nitrogen gas to give ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-54 as a colorless solid ( $136 \mathrm{mg}, 99 \%$ ): mp $205-206{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.96$ (td, J $=6.3,8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.49($ pent, $\mathrm{J}=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.77(\mathrm{ddt}, \mathrm{J}=2.0,6.8,8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.91$ $(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.40(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.49(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.08(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=3.6,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.59(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=3.5,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.73-7.82(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 7.85-7.93 (m, 2H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 9.5,15.6,16.3,46.8,47.7,52.1,74.5$, 78.8, 123.6, 131.6, 134.5, 168.2. HRMS (FAB): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NO}_{5}:\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]$; 322.0686, found 322.0688.

$N$-(4R*, 5S*-Epoxy-3R*, 6S*-dihydroxybicyclo[5.1.0]oct-2S*-yl)phthalimide (土)-II55 : To a solution of ( $\mathbf{\pm})$-II-54 ( $110 \mathrm{mg}, 0.367 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added activated zinc dust ( 110 mg ), followed by dropwise addition of a solution of acetic acid ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 1.54 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ over a 10 min period. The reaction mixture was stirred for 3 h at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and then filtered through a celite column. The column was washed with methanol, and the fractions collected were allowed to slowly evaporate under atmospheric pressure and the residue was purified by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{MeOH}=19: 1\right)$ to give $( \pm)-\mathrm{II}-55(63 \mathrm{mg}, 63 \%)$ as colorless solid: $\mathrm{mp} 194-195{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 0.68(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.78(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=5.5,9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 1.07 (dddt, $\mathrm{J}=0.8,4.0,6.7,9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.15(\mathrm{ddt}, \mathrm{J}=3.5,6.7,9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.20-3.25$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.29(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.43(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.54(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=0.8,11 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.80(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=3.5,11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.73-7.91(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 5.6$, $16.9,18.2,50.1,56.4,59.4,64.0,68.5,122.6,131.9,133.9,168.6$. HRMS (FAB): m/z calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{5}$ : $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right] ; 324.0842$, found 324.0846.

$N$-(3R*, 4S*, 5S*, $\mathbf{6 S}^{*}$-tetrahydroxybicyclo[5.1.0]oct-2S*-yl)phthalimide ( $\pm$ )-II-56: To a solution of ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-55 ( $40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ and deionized water $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added a catalytic amount of $\mathrm{CBr}_{4}(9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction mixture was heated at reflux for 2 h . The mixture was concentrated and the residue was purified by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{MeOH}=9: 1\right)$ to give $( \pm) \mathbf{- I I - 5 6}(39 \mathrm{mg}, 92 \%)$ as a colorless solid; mp $126-128^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 0.80(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=6.1,9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 0.90(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.17(\mathrm{ddt}, \mathrm{J}=3.1,6.3,9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.33(\mathrm{tt}, \mathrm{J}=6.2,9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.45(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.53(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.00(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=9.0,10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 4.30-4.44 (br m, 1H), $4.79(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=2.8,10.8, \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.67-7.91(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 6.3,16.7,17.7,51.9,65.7,68.1,71.5,73.2,122.6,132.0,133.8,168.5$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{6}$ : C, 60.18; H 5.36. Found: C, 59.97; H, 5.36.

$N$-(4S*, 5R*-Epoxy-3R*, 6S*-dihydroxybicyclo[5.1.0]oct-2S*-yl)phthalimide ( $\pm$ )-II-
57: To an ice cold solution of trifluoroacetic anhydride ( $0.35 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.44 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$
( 5 mL ) was added $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(0.17 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 50 \%$ wt solution). The mixture was stirred and warmed to room temperature for 1 h . To a solution of enediol ( $\pm$ )-II-48 (70 mg, 0.25 $\mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and THF ( 2.5 mL ) cooled in an ice bath was added dropwise the previously prepared solution $\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{3} \mathrm{H}\right)$. After 10 min the mixture was warmed to room temperature and stirred for 3 h . The solvent was concentrated and the residue was purified by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right.$, hexanes:ethyl acetate gradient $=2: 3$ to $\left.3: 7\right)$ to give the starting material ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-48 $(9 \mathrm{mg})$ followed by ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-57 as a colorless solid (53 $\mathrm{mg}, 83 \%$ based on recovered starting material); mp 205-206 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , acetone $\left.-\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \delta 0.86(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=4.7,8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.992-1.01(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.20-1.29(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.34$ $(\mathrm{tt}, \mathrm{J}=7.2,9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.03(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=4.7,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 3.19-3.32(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.01(\mathrm{ddd}, \mathrm{J}=$ $4.9,6.9,11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.68-4.86(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.85(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) $\delta 12.9,17.3,23.6,53.1,58.0,59.7,68.2,76.3,123.7,123.9,131.8,135.1,135.2,168.9$. HRMS (FAB): m/z calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{5}:\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right] ; 324.0842$, found 324.0844.

( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-58: To a solution of epoxydiol ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-57 ( $150 \mathrm{mg}, 0.497 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 2 mL ) and deionized water ( 10 mL ) was added a catalytic amount of $\mathrm{CBr}_{4}(33 \mathrm{mg}, 0.099 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction mixture was heated to reflux for 5 h . The mixture was concentrated and the residue was purified by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right.$, hexanes:ethyl acetate gradient $=$ $11: 9$ to $3: 7$ ) to give ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-II-58 as a colorless solid ( $53 \mathrm{mg}, 52 \%$ based on recovered
starting material) followed by the starting material ( $\pm$ )-II-57 (41 mg); mp 215-216 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 1.03(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.13(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=5.1,8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.52$ (ddt, J = 3.9, 6.3, 8.4 Hz, 1H), 2.09 (dt, J = 4.7, 8.2 Hz, 1H), $4.49(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=3.9,9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.09(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.52(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.76-7.96(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 9.54(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 10.0,14.4,14.9,54.7,64.9,124.2,133.5,135.5,144.6,148.0$, 170.3, 194.0. HRMS (FAB): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$ : $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right] ; 306.0737$, found 306.0739 .

## Materials and Methods: Beta-glucosidase Spectrophotometric Kinetics Assay

## Chemicals:

p-Nitrophenyl $\beta$-D-glucoside, xylitol. p-nitrophenyl $\beta$-D-glucoside and $\beta$ glucosidase were purchased from SigmaAldrich

## Enzyme Assay:

To determine the potency of each potential inhibitor, a spectrophotometric kinetic assay was utilized. The assay was modified from one developed by Kelemen and Whelan at Royal Free Hospital School of Medicine, London, England (Kelemen and Whelan 1966). ${ }^{144}$

A 100 mM sodium acetate buffer stock was prepared in double deionized water and the pH adjusted to 4.8 . A $600 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ stock was prepared of the enzyme, $\beta$ glucosidase (from almonds), in double deionized water. A 33 mM stock was prepared of the substrate, p-nitrophenyl $\beta$-D-glucoside (F.W. $301.2494 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$ ) in NaOAc buffer, and a 1 M stock was made in double deionized water of xylitol, a known inhibitor of the enzyme. The final concentrations of each reagent in the assay were $70 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaOAc}, 24$
$\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL} \beta$-glucosidase and $6.6 \mathrm{mM}(2.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL})$ 4-nitrophenyl $\beta$-D-glucoside. When xylitol was utilized as a positive control for inhibition, the final concentration utilized was 100 mM .

The assay was monitored directly at 405 nm , the wavelength of light at which p-nitrophenolate, the cleavage product of the substrate p-nitrophenyl $\beta$-D-glucoside, absorbs light. With an increase in concentration of product formed, an increase in absorbance at 405 nm was observed, therefore enabling the calculation of rate and percent inhibition, relative to full enzyme activity, attributable to each inhibitor at a given concentration.

Initially, the assay was validated in a 1 mL quartz cuvette. An aliquot of buffer stock was added first, followed by the addition of substrate. The cuvette volume was subsequently adjusted with double deionized water, to produce the appropriate concentrations of each reagent. The reaction was initiated with enzyme. Directly after the addition of enzyme, the cuvette was capped and inverted, to ensure even incorporation of reagents throughout the solution.

Prior to measuring rates, however, a reading was taken where the cuvette contained only buffer and substrate, to ensure the absence of background rate due to uncatalyzed substrate dissociation. The results demonstrated a lack of background rate. Six readings were then taken, measuring the initial rate of reaction. The concentration of enzyme utilized increased linearly with each subsequent reading. The concentrations tested were $6,12,24,36,48$ and $60 \mu \mathrm{~mL}$. The volume of water added to the cuvette was adjusted, to accommodate varying enzyme aliquot volumes.

The linear region was consequently selected from each cuvette reading. An appropriate and proportional increase in rate was observed with each increase in enzyme concentration, and initial rates were therefore plotted against concentration to produce a validation curve. From the six readings taken, $24 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ was the concentration of enzyme selected to utilize in the 96-well plate assay format. As Keleman and Whelan identified xylitol as a known inhibitor of $\beta$-glucosidase, this compound was purchased and utilized as a positive control for inhibition. According to Keleman and Whelan, xylitol has a 43\% inhibitory capacity at a concentration of $100 \mathrm{mM} .{ }^{144}$ When a rate was measured in the presence of 100 mM xylitol and compared against full activity of enzyme at the utilized concentration, a similar inhibitory affect was observed, therefore reproducing previously observed effects and further validating the assay.

Prior to performing the assay in 96-well plate format, a series of stocks were prepared by serial dilution for each potential inhibitor, a 25 mM stock, 5 mmM stock, and 0.5 mM stock. Incomplete solubility in water was experienced at higher stock concentrations. Therefore, each stock was made in $50 \%$ DMSO, $50 \%$ water, which permitted complete solubility. However, as each stock contained DMSO, the enzyme was tested for DMSO sensitivity prior to running the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ assay. This was accomplished by measuring rate in a series of eight wells in the absence of DMSO in the same plate as a series of 8 wells containing $10 \%$ DMSO. The average rate between the two sets of wells was compared, and no notable difference was observed, therefore demonstrating a lack of DMSO sensitivity. The assay was subsequently run in 96-well plate format. A 5-point $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ was performed for each potential inhibitor, testing compound concentrations ranging from $10 \mu \mathrm{M}-1 \mathrm{mM}$, equally spaced on a logarithmic scale.

The first column of each plate served as the plate blank, containing solely buffer and substrate. Column two served as a negative control for inhibition, containing buffer, enzyme and substrate, and reflecting full activity of the enzyme. Column three served as a positive control for inhibition, containing buffer, enzyme, substrate, and 100 mM xylitol. The following columns contained increasing concentrations of inhibitor in quadruplate.

First, buffer was added to each well utilizing a multi-channel pipette. Substrate was then added, also using a multi-channel pipette. Each well volume was adjusted with double deionized water, taking into account the volume of inhibitor added to each set of wells. Again, this was performed utilizing a multi-channel pipette. The plate was allowed to equilibrate in the spectrophotometer at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min prior to initiation. After incubation, the reaction was initiated with enzyme, using a single channel pipette and reverse pipetting technique. Enzyme aliquots were hung on the side of each well, directly above the meniscus of solution. This was done to ensure uniform initiation of the reaction.

To initiate the reaction, the plate was tapped gently on the bench top. Prior to inserting the plate into the spectrophotometer, the bottom was quickly cleaned with laboratory tissue to ensure the removal of dust or particles that could interfere with experimental readings. The plate was then placed in the spectrophotometer, shaken for 5 seconds to promote adequate incorporation of reagents into solution, and a ten-minute kinetic read immediately taken.

For each plate assayed, the reduced slope values for all negative control wells were averaged and the obtained value considered representative of full enzymatic activity. Fractional activity was then calculated by dividing the slope of each well by this value and multiplying by $100 \%$. Fractional activity values were used to determine the amount of activity observed at each concentration of inhibitor. These values were then copied to Graph Pad and plotted as percent activity versus the log of the concentration of inhibitor. Data was fit as a nonlinear regression curve, according to the formula $\mathrm{y}=$ Bottom $+\left((\right.$ Top-Bottom $\left.) /\left(1+10 x-\log \mathrm{IC}_{50}\right)\right)$. The fit of the curve permitted the determination of the $50 \%$ inhibitory concentration of each compound.
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## VII- APPENDIX



Table 1 Crystal data and structure refinement for ( $\pm$ )-II-30.

Identification code
Empirical formula
Formula weight
Temperature/K
Crystal system
Space group
a/Å
b/Å
c/Å
$\alpha{ }^{\circ}$
$\beta /{ }^{\circ}$
$\gamma^{\circ}$
Volume/ ${ }^{3}$
Z
$\rho_{\text {calc }} \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mm}^{3}$
$\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$
F(000)
Crystal size $/ \mathrm{mm}^{3}$
$2 \Theta$ range for data collection
Index ranges
Reflections collected
don1v
$\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{7}$
337.32
99.95(10)
triclinic
P-1
8.1821(3)
8.3349(4)
11.7398(5)
78.776(4)
89.260(3)
69.061(4)
732.04(5)

2
1.530
0.121
356.0
$0.35 \times 0.18 \times 0.12$
5.78 to $58.04^{\circ}$
$-11 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 10,-11 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 11,-14 \leq 1 \leq 15$
14805

Independent reflections $\quad 3552[\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{int})=0.0288]$
Data/restraints/parameters 3552/0/252
Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$
1.092

Final R indexes $[\mathrm{I}>=2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})] \quad \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0447, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.1058$
Final R indexes [all data] $\quad \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0541, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.1123$
Largest diff. peak/hole / e $\AA^{-3} 0.46 /-0.32$

Table 2 Fractional Atomic Coordinates $\left(\times 10^{4}\right)$ and Equivalent Isotropic Displacement Parameters $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)$ for don 1 v . $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{eq}}$ is defined as $1 / 3$ of of the trace of the orthogonalised $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{IJ}}$ tensor.

| Atom | $\boldsymbol{x}$ | $\boldsymbol{y}$ | $\boldsymbol{z}$ | $\boldsymbol{U}(\mathbf{e q})$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| O1 | $3552.5(17)$ | $3001.3(17)$ | $6828.5(12)$ | $25.8(3)$ |
| O2 | $2479.5(17)$ | $3397.7(16)$ | $4471.9(11)$ | $23.9(3)$ |
| O3 | $146.2(15)$ | $3610.1(15)$ | $6400(1)$ | $17.6(2)$ |
| O4 | $-1694.1(15)$ | $1142.3(17)$ | $6170.9(11)$ | $20.8(3)$ |
| O5 | $-1792.7(15)$ | $888.4(15)$ | $9276.2(10)$ | $21.5(3)$ |
| O6 | $962.0(15)$ | $-3316.7(15)$ | $7182.8(10)$ | $19.9(3)$ |
| N1 | $-65.3(17)$ | $-1019.5(16)$ | $8167.5(11)$ | $14.3(3)$ |
| C1 | $806(2)$ | $144.1(19)$ | $7566.7(13)$ | $14.4(3)$ |
| C2 | $2773(2)$ | $-749(2)$ | $7820.7(14)$ | $18.1(3)$ |
| C3 | $4150(2)$ | $-114(2)$ | $7227.6(14)$ | $19.3(3)$ |
| C4 | $3848(2)$ | $1511(2)$ | $6299.0(14)$ | $17.7(3)$ |
| C5 | $2463(2)$ | $1908(2)$ | $5320.6(13)$ | $16.5(3)$ |
| C6 | $573(2)$ | $2340.5(19)$ | $5671.8(13)$ | $14.8(3)$ |
| C7 | $152.8(19)$ | $747(2)$ | $6278.1(13)$ | $14.6(3)$ |
| C8 | $3985(2)$ | $-1784(2)$ | $7023.5(15)$ | $22.7(4)$ |
| C9 | $-1316.8(19)$ | $-511(2)$ | $8972.5(13)$ | $15.1(3)$ |
| C10 | $51(2)$ | $-2625.7(19)$ | $7900.6(13)$ | $14.9(3)$ |
| C11 | $-1932.7(19)$ | $-2001(2)$ | $9344.3(13)$ | $14.6(3)$ |
| C12 | $-1146.8(19)$ | $-3248.0(19)$ | $8676.9(13)$ | $14.5(3)$ |
| C13 | $-1478(2)$ | $-4787(2)$ | $8811.6(14)$ | $17.5(3)$ |
| C14 | $-2616(2)$ | $-5050(2)$ | $9665.5(14)$ | $20.1(3)$ |
| C15 | $-3384(2)$ | $-3813(2)$ | $10345.7(15)$ | $20.3(3)$ |
| C16 | $-3062(2)$ | $-2247(2)$ | $10189.9(14)$ | $18.7(3)$ |
| O1W | $6252(4)$ | $3831(4)$ | $7168(3)$ | $36.7(7)$ |
| O2W | $4524(4)$ | $5280(5)$ | $4551(3)$ | $43.7(8)$ |

Table 3 Anisotropic Displacement Parameters $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)$ for don1v. The Anisotropic displacement factor exponent takes the form: $-2 \pi^{2}\left[h^{2} \mathbf{a}^{* 2} \mathbf{U}_{11}+\ldots+2 h k a \times b \times U_{12}\right]$

| Atom | $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{1 1}}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{2 2}}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{3 3}}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{2 3}}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{1 3}}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{1 2}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| O1 | $20.2(6)$ | $26.8(7)$ | $34.1(7)$ | $-14.0(6)$ | $-0.1(5)$ | $-8.7(5)$ |
| O2 | $24.5(6)$ | $20.2(6)$ | $22.6(6)$ | $3.0(5)$ | $7.3(5)$ | $-6.8(5)$ |
| O3 | $18.3(6)$ | $14.2(5)$ | $19.7(6)$ | $-5.5(4)$ | $3.0(4)$ | $-4.2(4)$ |
| O4 | $15.1(6)$ | $22.0(6)$ | $25.9(7)$ | $-2.7(5)$ | $-1.7(5)$ | $-8.3(5)$ |
| O5 | $24.1(6)$ | $16.5(6)$ | $26.1(6)$ | $-9.4(5)$ | $8.3(5)$ | $-7.4(5)$ |
| O6 | $26.2(6)$ | $18.5(6)$ | $16.8(6)$ | $-7.0(4)$ | $5.6(5)$ | $-8.6(5)$ |
| N1 | $18.2(6)$ | $13.1(6)$ | $12.6(6)$ | $-3.3(5)$ | $3.1(5)$ | $-6.5(5)$ |
| C1 | $17.6(7)$ | $13.1(7)$ | $13.6(7)$ | $-2.1(5)$ | $2.2(6)$ | $-7.3(6)$ |
| C2 | $18.5(8)$ | $19.4(8)$ | $14.4(7)$ | $0.7(6)$ | $-0.8(6)$ | $-6.6(6)$ |
| C3 | $13.4(7)$ | $24.3(8)$ | $17.1(8)$ | $-0.5(6)$ | $-1.0(6)$ | $-5.2(6)$ |
| C4 | $14.5(7)$ | $18.0(7)$ | $20.3(8)$ | $-4.1(6)$ | $3.4(6)$ | $-5.4(6)$ |
| C5 | $18.6(7)$ | $14.3(7)$ | $15.4(7)$ | $-0.8(6)$ | $3.8(6)$ | $-5.8(6)$ |
| C6 | $15.3(7)$ | $14.1(7)$ | $13.7(7)$ | $-1.8(6)$ | $0.1(5)$ | $-4.5(6)$ |
| C7 | $13.9(7)$ | $15.0(7)$ | $14.4(7)$ | $-3.0(6)$ | $-0.2(5)$ | $-4.7(6)$ |
| C8 | $18.9(8)$ | $17.9(8)$ | $24.1(9)$ | $0.3(6)$ | $2.5(6)$ | $-0.4(6)$ |
| C9 | $14.4(7)$ | $15.5(7)$ | $14.6(7)$ | $-2.3(6)$ | $0.3(6)$ | $-4.9(6)$ |
| C10 | $17.9(7)$ | $12.6(7)$ | $13.0(7)$ | $-1.3(5)$ | $-1.2(6)$ | $-4.7(6)$ |
| C11 | $13.9(7)$ | $14.4(7)$ | $14.0(7)$ | $-1.0(6)$ | $-1.8(5)$ | $-4.0(6)$ |
| C12 | $14.7(7)$ | $14.8(7)$ | $13.0(7)$ | $-1.2(6)$ | $-1.2(5)$ | $-4.7(6)$ |
| C13 | $19.0(7)$ | $15.6(7)$ | $17.6(8)$ | $-3.1(6)$ | $-1.8(6)$ | $-5.9(6)$ |
| C14 | $20.6(8)$ | $18.4(8)$ | $21.8(8)$ | $0.3(6)$ | $-3.1(6)$ | $-10.1(6)$ |
| C15 | $15.8(7)$ | $24.6(8)$ | $19.9(8)$ | $-0.3(6)$ | $1.8(6)$ | $-8.9(6)$ |
| C16 | $16.3(7)$ | $20.4(8)$ | $19.0(8)$ | $-5.1(6)$ | $2.6(6)$ | $-5.8(6)$ |
| O1W | $26.0(14)$ | $36.1(16)$ | $55.6(19)$ | $-17.7(14)$ | $9.0(13)$ | $-16.3(13)$ |
| O2W | $38.2(18)$ | $39.8(18)$ | $60(2)$ | $-6.4(16)$ | $9.5(14)$ | $-24.6(15)$ |

## Table 4 Bond Lengths for don1v.

Atom Atom Length/Å
$\begin{array}{llr}\mathrm{O} 1 & \mathrm{C} 4 & 1.439(2) \\ \mathrm{O} 2 & \mathrm{C} 5 & 1.4372(18) \\ \mathrm{O} 3 & \mathrm{C} 6 & 1.4328(18) \\ \mathrm{O} 4 & \mathrm{C} 7 & 1.4285(18) \\ \mathrm{O} 5 & \mathrm{C} 9 & 1.2133(19) \\ \text { O6 } & \mathrm{C} 10 & 1.2098(19) \\ \mathrm{N} 1 & \mathrm{C} 1 & 1.4703(18) \\ \mathrm{N} 1 & \mathrm{C} 9 & 1.3936(19) \\ \mathrm{N} 1 & \mathrm{C} 10 & 1.4041(19)\end{array}$

| Atom Atom |  | Length/Å |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C3 | C4 | $1.509(2)$ |
| C3 | C8 | $1.509(2)$ |
| C4 | C5 | $1.527(2)$ |
| C5 | C6 | $1.527(2)$ |
| C6 | C7 | $1.530(2)$ |
| C9 | C11 | $1.489(2)$ |
| C10 | C12 | $1.489(2)$ |
| C11 | C12 | $1.391(2)$ |
| C11 | C16 | $1.383(2)$ |


| C1 | C 2 | $1.517(2)$ | C 12 | C 13 | $1.383(2)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C 1 | C 7 | $1.536(2)$ | C 13 | C 14 | $1.399(2)$ |
| C 2 | C 3 | $1.516(2)$ | C 14 | C 15 | $1.393(2)$ |
| C 2 | C 8 | $1.511(2)$ | C 15 | C 16 | $1.399(2)$ |

Table 5 Bond Angles for don1v.
Atom AtomAtom Angle $/^{\circ}$
C9 N1 C1 122.27(12)

C9 N1 C10 111.71(12)
C10 N1 C1 125.44(12)
N1 C1 C2 109.09(12)
N1 C1 C7 109.44(12)
C2 C1 C7 116.49(13)
C3 C2 C1 125.56(14)
C8 C2 C1 $123.62(14)$
$\mathrm{C} 8 \quad \mathrm{C} 2 \quad \mathrm{C} 3 \quad 59.82(11)$
$\mathrm{C} 4 \quad \mathrm{C} 3 \quad \mathrm{C} 2 \quad 127.32(14)$
C4 C3 C8
124.76(14)

C8 C3 C2
O1 C4 C3
O1 C4 C5
59.94 (11)
109.92(13)
$111.48(13)$
C3 C4 C5
117.10(13)
108.91(13)
106.36(12)
116.25(13)
110.63(12)
109.24(12)

O3 C6 C7
114.33(12)

Table 6 Hydrogen Bonds for don1v.

| $\mathbf{D}$ | $\mathbf{H}$ | $\mathbf{A}$ | $\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{D}-\mathbf{H}) / \AA$ | $\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{H}-\mathbf{A}) / \mathbf{A}$ | $\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{D}-\mathbf{A}) / \mathbf{A}$ | $\mathbf{D}-\mathbf{H}-\mathbf{A} /{ }^{\circ}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| O1 | H1 | O3 | $0.88(3)$ | $1.89(3)$ | $2.6841(17)$ | $150(3)$ |
| O2 | H2A | O2W | $0.88(4)$ | $1.87(4)$ | $2.684(3)$ | $153(4)$ |
| O3 | H3 | O2 $^{1}$ | $0.91(3)$ | $1.76(3)$ | $2.6677(17)$ | $171(3)$ |
| O4 | H4 | O1W $^{2}$ | $0.80(3)$ | $1.98(3)$ | $2.738(3)$ | $158(3)$ |
| O1W H1WA O5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| O1W H1WB O1 | 0.85 | 2.30 | $3.104(4)$ | 156.8 |  |  |
| O2W H2WB O1 $^{4}$ | 0.85 | 1.77 | $2.595(3)$ | 163.3 |  |  |
| O2 | 0.85 | 2.06 | $2.792(3)$ | 143.3 |  |  |

${ }^{1}-\mathrm{X}, 1-\mathrm{Y}, 1-\mathrm{Z} ;{ }^{2}-1+\mathrm{X},+\mathrm{Y},+\mathrm{Z} ;{ }^{3} 1+\mathrm{X},+\mathrm{Y},+\mathrm{Z} ;{ }^{4} 1-\mathrm{X}, 1-\mathrm{Y}, 1-\mathrm{Z}$

Table 7 Torsion Angles for don1v.

C2 C3 C4 C5 -43.3(2)
C16C11C12C10 177.07 (14)
C3 C4 C5 O2 174.68(13)
$\mathrm{C} 16 \mathrm{C} 11 \mathrm{C} 12 \mathrm{C} 13 \quad 1.1(2)$

Table 8 Hydrogen Atom Coordinates $\left(\AA \times 10^{4}\right)$ and Isotropic Displacement Parameters $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)$ for don1v.

| Atom | $\boldsymbol{x}$ | $\boldsymbol{y}$ | $\boldsymbol{z}$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| H1 | $2410(40)$ | $3490(40)$ | $6830(30)$ | $63(9)$ |
| H2A | $3280(50)$ | $3760(50)$ | $4700(30)$ | $14(9)$ |
| H2B | $3350(70)$ | $3120(70)$ | $3970(50)$ | $54(15)$ |
| H3 | $-740(40)$ | $4600(40)$ | $6030(30)$ | $64(8)$ |
| H4 | $-2190(30)$ | $2080(40)$ | $6330(20)$ | $46(8)$ |
| H1A | 426 | 1213 | 7917 | 17 |
| H2 | 3101 | -1297 | 8662 | 22 |
| H3A | 5176 | -358 | 7775 | 23 |
| H4A | 4983 | 1321 | 5917 | 21 |
| H5 | 2783 | 873 | 4938 | 20 |
| H6 | -209 | 2883 | 4944 | 18 |
| H7 | 746 | -242 | 5874 | 17 |
| H8A | 4914 | -2910 | 7384 | 27 |
| H8B | 3485 | -1763 | 6255 | 27 |
| H13 | -956 | -5627 | 8345 | 21 |
| H14 | -2871 | -6095 | 9784 | 24 |
| H15 | -4139 | -4037 | 10926 | 24 |
| H16 | -3594 | -1392 | 10644 | 22 |
| H1WA | 6718 | 3283 | 7842 | 55 |
| H1WB | 5253 | 3753 | 7091 | 55 |
| H2WA | 4354 | 5797 | 5122 | 66 |
| H2WB | 5447 | 5334 | 4232 | 66 |



Table 1 Crystal data and structure refinement for ( $\pm$ )-II-31.

Identification code
Empirical formula
Formula weight
Temperature/K
Crystal system
Space group
a/Å
b/Å
c/Å
$\alpha{ }^{\circ}$
$\beta /{ }^{\circ}$
$\gamma{ }^{\circ} \quad 90.00$
Volume/ ${ }^{3}$
Z
$\rho_{\text {calc }} \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mm}^{3}$
$\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$
F(000)
Crystal size $/ \mathrm{mm}^{3}$
$2 \Theta$ range for data collection
Index ranges
Reflections collected
Independent reflections
Data/restraints/parameters
Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$
Final R indexes $[\mathrm{I}>=2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})]$
don1w
319.31
99.95(10)

P2 ${ }_{1}$ /c
90.00

4
1.474
0.114
672.0

16375
1.085
$\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{6}$
monoclinic
10.91018(19)
7.79863(13)
17.1421(3)
99.5114(16)
1438.47(4)
$0.33 \times 0.14 \times 0.09$
5.76 to $57.9^{\circ}$
$-13 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 14,-9 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 10,-23 \leq 1 \leq 23$
$3507[\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{int})=0.0298]$
3507/0/224
$\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0510, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.1337$

Final R indexes [all data] $\quad \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0585, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.1394$
Largest diff. peak/hole / e $\AA^{-3} 0.83 /-0.26$

Table 2 Fractional Atomic Coordinates $\left(\times 10^{4}\right)$ and Equivalent Isotropic Displacement Parameters $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)$ for don $1 w . U_{e q}$ is defined as $1 / 3$ of of the trace of the orthogonalised $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{IJ}}$ tensor.

| Atom | $\boldsymbol{x}$ | $\boldsymbol{y}$ | $\boldsymbol{z}$ | $\mathbf{U ( e q )}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| O1 | (376.4(13) | $5722.2(19)$ | $2891.4(8)$ | $24.7(3)$ |
| O2 | $4381.7(12)$ | $7438.3(17)$ | $4264.2(8)$ | $21.0(3)$ |
| O3 | $6776.4(12)$ | $10059.9(18)$ | $3549.5(7)$ | $19.9(3)$ |
| O4 | $7339.6(12)$ | $10398.3(17)$ | $5267.3(7)$ | $17.5(3)$ |
| O5 | $10502.6(11)$ | $8019.7(18)$ | $5241.0(7)$ | $19.6(3)$ |
| O6 | $6981.4(12)$ | $6542(2)$ | $6221.4(8)$ | $25.9(3)$ |
| N1 | $8567.8(13)$ | $7329.0(19)$ | $5532.3(8)$ | $15.3(3)$ |
| C1 | $7850.4(15)$ | $7692(2)$ | $4736.5(9)$ | $14.8(3)$ |
| C2 | $7576.2(16)$ | $6022(2)$ | $4295.8(10)$ | $19.2(4)$ |
| C3 | $6534.0(17)$ | $5634(2)$ | $3619.7(11)$ | $20.7(4)$ |
| C4 | $5505.2(16)$ | $6787(2)$ | $3220.5(10)$ | $19.2(4)$ |
| C5 | $5008.7(15)$ | $8206(2)$ | $3695.7(10)$ | $16.5(3)$ |
| C6 | $5979.4(15)$ | $9505(2)$ | $4078.5(10)$ | $15.1(3)$ |
| C7 | $6789.6(15)$ | $8919(2)$ | $4840.8(9)$ | $14.2(3)$ |
| C8 | $6544.0(18)$ | $4824(2)$ | $4420.3(11)$ | $23.8(4)$ |
| C9 | $9844.6(15)$ | $7602(2)$ | $5716.9(10)$ | $14.9(3)$ |
| C10 | $8061.8(16)$ | $6882(2)$ | $6207.5(10)$ | $17.9(4)$ |
| C11 | $10190.0(16)$ | $7304(2)$ | $6584.1(10)$ | $15.2(3)$ |
| C12 | $9114.1(16)$ | $6914(2)$ | $6880.8(10)$ | $17.1(4)$ |
| C13 | $9123.8(17)$ | $6663(3)$ | $7680.8(10)$ | $21.7(4)$ |
| C14 | $10273.3(18)$ | $6799(3)$ | $8177.6(11)$ | $23.5(4)$ |
| C15 | $11354.1(18)$ | $7165(3)$ | $7877.8(11)$ | $22.8(4)$ |
| C16 | $11331.4(16)$ | $7427(2)$ | $7066.8(10)$ | $18.5(4)$ |

Table 3 Anisotropic Displacement Parameters $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)$ for don1w. The Anisotropic displacement factor exponent takes the form: $-2 \pi^{2}\left[h^{2} a^{* 2} U_{11}+\ldots+2 h k a \times b \times U_{12}\right]$

| Atom | $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{1 1}}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{2 2}}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{3 3}}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{2 3}}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{1 3}}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{1 2}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| O1 | $25.0(7)$ | $30.4(8)$ | $17.5(6)$ | $-4.8(6)$ | $-0.3(5)$ | $-8.8(6)$ |
| O2 | $19.9(6)$ | $24.2(7)$ | $19.8(6)$ | $1.1(5)$ | $6.0(5)$ | $-0.9(5)$ |
| O3 | $17.2(6)$ | $28.3(7)$ | $13.8(6)$ | $5.2(5)$ | $1.6(5)$ | $-2.3(5)$ |
| O4 | $17.4(6)$ | $19.3(6)$ | $15.9(6)$ | $-3.6(5)$ | $2.9(5)$ | $-3.0(5)$ |
| O5 | $14.7(6)$ | $29.7(7)$ | $14.8(6)$ | $3.3(5)$ | $3.7(5)$ | $0.1(5)$ |
| O6 | $15.9(6)$ | $40.3(8)$ | $20.9(6)$ | $9.2(6)$ | $1.6(5)$ | $-5.1(6)$ |


| N1 | $13.3(7)$ | $20.3(7)$ | $11.6(6)$ | $2.6(5)$ | $0.1(5)$ | $0.2(5)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| C1 | $13.2(7)$ | $20.7(8)$ | $9.9(7)$ | $1.3(6)$ | $0.5(6)$ | $1.5(6)$ |
| C2 | $17.9(8)$ | $20.4(9)$ | $18.9(8)$ | $-2.1(7)$ | $1.6(7)$ | $5.0(7)$ |
| C3 | $22.4(9)$ | $20.2(9)$ | $19.2(8)$ | $-7.6(7)$ | $2.1(7)$ | $1.8(7)$ |
| C4 | $18.4(8)$ | $25.4(9)$ | $12.9(7)$ | $-3.5(7)$ | $0.3(6)$ | $-2.9(7)$ |
| C5 | $13.7(8)$ | $20.8(9)$ | $14.3(7)$ | $0.0(6)$ | $-0.1(6)$ | $-0.8(6)$ |
| C6 | $14.5(8)$ | $17.1(8)$ | $13.5(7)$ | $1.5(6)$ | $1.7(6)$ | $0.5(6)$ |
| C7 | $14.0(7)$ | $16.2(8)$ | $11.8(7)$ | $-1.8(6)$ | $0.1(6)$ | $-1.0(6)$ |
| C8 | $27(1)$ | $17.6(9)$ | $25.6(9)$ | $1.9(7)$ | $0.9(7)$ | $-0.3(7)$ |
| C9 | $13.9(8)$ | $15.9(8)$ | $14.1(7)$ | $0.9(6)$ | $0.5(6)$ | $2.9(6)$ |
| C10 | $16.1(8)$ | $22.5(9)$ | $14.9(8)$ | $4.6(7)$ | $1.2(6)$ | $-0.9(7)$ |
| C11 | $16.8(8)$ | $15.5(8)$ | $12.7(7)$ | $2.7(6)$ | $0.9(6)$ | $1.8(6)$ |
| C12 | $15.6(8)$ | $20.0(8)$ | $14.9(8)$ | $2.7(6)$ | $0.0(6)$ | $-1.4(7)$ |
| C13 | $19.7(9)$ | $29.4(10)$ | $16.1(8)$ | $6.9(7)$ | $3.2(7)$ | $-0.8(7)$ |
| C14 | $24.6(9)$ | $31.9(10)$ | $13.2(8)$ | $5.0(7)$ | $0.3(7)$ | $-0.3(8)$ |
| C15 | $20.2(9)$ | $29.7(10)$ | $16.1(8)$ | $4.1(7)$ | $-3.8(7)$ | $-2.1(7)$ |
| C16 | $16.3(8)$ | $20.8(9)$ | $17.9(8)$ | $4.3(7)$ | $1.4(6)$ | $-0.7(7)$ |

Table 4 Bond Lengths for don 1 w .
Atom Atom Length $/$ A
O1 C4 1.435(2)
O2 C5 1.413(2)
O3 C6 1.423(2)
O4 C7 1.442(2)
O5 C9 1.217(2)
O6 C10 1.212(2)
N1 C1 1.484(2)
N1 C9 1.393(2)
N1 C10 1.406(2)
C1 C2 1.511(2)
Atom Atom Length/A
C3 C4 1.511(3)
C3 C8 1.509(3)
C4 C5 $\quad 1.525(3)$
C5 C6 1.533(2)
C6 C7 1.522(2)
C9 C11 1.490(2)
C10 C12 1.488(2)
C11 C12 1.388(2)
C11 C16 $1.380(2)$
C12 C13 1.384(2)
C13 C14 1.399(3)
C14 C15 1.392 (3)
C2 C3 1.514(2)
C15 C16 1.401(2)

Table 5 Bond Angles for don1w.

| Atom Atom Atom | Angle $/^{\circ}$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C9 | N1 | C1 | $122.38(14)$ |
| C9 | N1 | C10 | $111.40(13)$ |


| Atom Atom Atom |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| O4 | Angle $/{ }^{\circ}$ |  |  |
| O7 | C1 | $107.40(13)$ |  |
| O4 | C7 | C6 | $109.21(14)$ |


| C10 | N1 | C1 | $125.80(14)$ | C6 | C7 | C1 | $115.43(13)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| N1 | C1 | C2 | $109.01(14)$ | C2 | C8 | C3 | $60.30(12)$ |
| N1 | C1 | C7 | $107.78(13)$ | O5 | C9 | N1 | $124.56(15)$ |
| C2 | C1 | C7 | $120.33(14)$ | O5 | C9 | C11 | $129.10(16)$ |
| C1 | C2 | C3 | $128.05(15)$ | N1 | C9 | C11 | $106.33(14)$ |
| C8 | C2 | C1 | $123.72(16)$ | O6 | C10 | N1 | $125.85(16)$ |
| C8 | C2 | C3 | $59.99(12)$ | O6 | C10 | C12 | $128.08(16)$ |
| C4 | C3 | C2 | $129.48(16)$ | N1 | C10 | C12 | $106.07(14)$ |
| C8 | C3 | C2 | $59.71(12)$ | C12 | C11 | C9 | $108.06(15)$ |
| C8 | C3 | C4 | $123.65(16)$ | C16 | C11 | C9 | $129.92(16)$ |
| O1 | C4 | C3 | $107.95(15)$ | C16 | C11 | C12 | $121.98(16)$ |
| O1 | C4 | C5 | $107.82(14)$ | C11 | C12 | C10 | $108.09(15)$ |
| C3 | C4 | C5 | $119.51(14)$ | C13 | C12 | C10 | $130.17(16)$ |
| O2 | C5 | C4 | $108.43(15)$ | C13 | C12 | C11 | $121.73(16)$ |
| O2 | C5 | C6 | $111.34(13)$ | C12 | C13 | C14 | $116.86(17)$ |
| C4 | C5 | C6 | $115.27(14)$ | C15 | C14 | C13 | $121.33(17)$ |
| O3 | C6 | C5 | $112.33(14)$ | C14 | C15 | C16 | $121.27(17)$ |
| O3 | C6 | C7 | $107.99(13)$ | C11 | C16 | C15 | $116.81(17)$ |
| C7 | C6 | C5 | $115.54(14)$ |  |  |  |  |

Table 6 Hydrogen Bonds for don1w.

| D H A | d(D-H)/̊ | $\mathbf{d ( H - A ) / \AA}$ | $\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{D}-\mathbf{A}) / \AA$ | $\mathbf{D} \mathbf{D}-\mathbf{H}-\mathbf{A} /{ }^{\circ}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| O1H1 O6 $^{1}$ | $0.94(3)$ | $2.08(3)$ | $2.960(2)$ | $156(3)$ |
| O2H2O4 $^{2}$ | $1.03(4)$ | $1.71(4)$ | $2.7417(18)$ | $175(3)$ |
| O3H3O1 $^{3}$ | $0.85(3)$ | $1.84(3)$ | $2.6684(18)$ | $166(3)$ |
| O4H4O5 $^{4}$ | $0.84(3)$ | $2.13(3)$ | $2.9158(18)$ | $155(2)$ |

${ }^{1} 1-X, 1-Y, 1-Z ;{ }^{2} 1-X, 2-Y, 1-Z ;{ }^{3} 1-X, 1 / 2+Y, 1 / 2-Z ;{ }^{4} 2-X, 2-Y, 1-Z$

Table 7 Torsion Angles for don1w.
$\begin{array}{lllllllll}\mathbf{A} & \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{C} & \mathbf{D} & \text { Angle } /^{\circ} & \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{C} & \mathbf{D}\end{array}$ Angle $/{ }^{\circ}$

O1C4 C5 O2 55.84 (17)
O1C4 C5 C6 178.61(14)
O2C5 C6 O3 169.17(14)
O2C5 C6 C7 44.7(2)
O3C6 C7 O4 72.60(16)
O3C6 C7 C1 -48.49(19)

C3 C4 C5 C6 57.8(2)
C4 C3 C8 C2 119.72(19)
C4 C5 C6 O3 45.1(2)
C4 C5 C6 C7 -79.37(19)
C5 C6 C7 O4 160.68(14)
C5 C6 C7 C1 78.24(19)

| O5C9 | C 11 C 12 | 177.71(18) | C7 C1 C2 C3 | 30.9 (3) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O5C9 | C 11 C 16 | $0.2(3)$ | C7 C1 C2 C8 | -45.1(2) |
| O6C10 | C12C11 | 177.6(2) | C8 C2 C3 C4 | 110.5(2) |
| O6C10 | C12C13 | -3.8(3) | $\mathrm{C} 8 \mathrm{C} 3 \quad \mathrm{C} 4 \mathrm{O} 1$ | -77.8(2) |
| N1 C1 | C 2 C 3 | 156.06(17) | C8 C3 C4 C5 | 45.8 (3) |
| N1 C1 | C2 C8 | 80.0 (2) | C9 N1 C1 C2 | 102.95(18) |
| N1 C1 | C7 O4 | 55.34 (17) | C9 N1 C1 C7 | 124.88(16) |
| N1 C1 | C7 C6 | 177.40(14) | C9 N1 C1006 | 178.59(19) |
| N1 C9 | C11C12 | -1.51(19) | C9 N1 C10C12 | 1.3 (2) |
| N1 C9 | C11C16 | 179.06(18) | C9 C11 C12 C10 | 2.3 (2) |
| N1 C10 | C12C11 | -2.3(2) | C9 C 11 C 12 C 13 | 176.40(17) |
| N1 C10 | C12C13 | 176.30(19) | C9 C11 C16C15 | 176.26(18) |
| C1 N1 | C9 O5 | -6.2(3) | C10N1 C1 C2 | -85.1(2) |
| C1 N1 | C9 C11 | 173.03(15) | C10N1 C1 C7 | 47.0 (2) |
| C1 N1 | C1006 | 8.7 (3) | C10N1 C9 O5 | 179.21(17) |
| C1 N1 | C10C12 | 171.37(16) | C10N1 C9 C11 | 0.06 (19) |
| C1 C2 | C 3 C 4 | -0.7(3) | C10C12C13C14 | 179.10(19) |
| C1 C2 | C3 C8 | -111.2(2) | C11-12 C13 C14 | -0.7(3) |
| C1 C2 | C8 C3 | 118.05(19) | C12C11 C16C15 | -1.0(3) |
| C2 C1 | C7 O4 | 178.94(14) | C12C13 C14 C15 | -0.2(3) |
| C2 C1 | C7 C6 | -56.9(2) | C13C14C15C16 | 0.6 (3) |
| C2 C3 | C4 O1 | 154.09(18) ${ }^{-}$ | C14C15 C16C11 | 0.0 (3) |
| C2 C3 | C 4 C 5 | -30.5(3) | C16C11 C12 C10 | 179.92(16) |
| C3 C4 | C5 O2 | -67.8(2) | C16C11 C12 C13 | 1.4 (3) |

Table 8 Hydrogen Atom Coordinates $\left(\AA \times 10^{4}\right)$ and Isotropic Displacement

## Parameters ( $\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}$ ) for don $1 w$.

| Atom | $\boldsymbol{x}$ | $\boldsymbol{y}$ | $\boldsymbol{z}$ | U(eq) |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| H1 | $4110(30)$ | $5220(40)$ | $3290(18)$ | $55(9)$ |
| H2 | $3740(40)$ | $8300(50)$ | $4410(20)$ | $86(12)$ |
| H3 | $6300(30)$ | $10370(40)$ | $3130(17)$ | $39(7)$ |
| H4 | $7820(20)$ | $10830(30)$ | $4985(14)$ | $25(6)$ |
| H1A | 8419 | 8350 | 4445 | 18 |


| H2A | 8354 | 5386 | 4242 | 23 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| H3A | 6804 | 4803 | 3237 | 25 |
| H4A | 5816 | 7351 | 2767 | 23 |
| H5 | 4375 | 8856 | 4322 | 20 |
| H6 | 5513 | 10538 | 5174 | 18 |
| H7 | 6245 | 8333 | 4742 | 17 |
| H8A | 5931 | 5242 | 4476 | 29 |
| H8B | 6738 | 3586 | 7883 | 29 |
| H13 | 8385 | 6409 | 8731 | 28 |
| H14 | 10317 | 6639 | 8230 | 27 |
| H15 | 12122 | 7239 | 6859 | 22 |



Table 1 Crystal data and structure refinement for ( $\pm$ )-II-36.

| Identification code | don1q |
| :--- | :--- |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$ |
| Formula weight | 267.27 |
| Temperature/K | $100.00(10)$ |
| Crystal system | monoclinic |
| Space group | $\mathrm{P}_{1} / \mathrm{n}$ |
| $\mathrm{a} / \AA$ | $10.8711(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{b} / \AA$ | $10.1720(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{c} / \AA$ | $11.3216(4)$ |
| $\alpha^{\circ}$ | 90.00 |
| $\beta /{ }^{\circ}$ | $94.004(3)$ |
| $\gamma /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90.00 |
| Volume $/ \AA^{3}$ | $1248.90(7)$ |
| Z | 4 |
| $\rho_{\text {calc }} \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mm}^{3}$ | 1.421 |
| $\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 0.811 |
| $\mathrm{~F}(000)$ | 560 |
| Crystal size $/ \mathrm{mm}^{3}$ | $0.32 \times 0.14 \times 0.08$ |
| $2 \Theta$ range for data collection | 10.9 to $147.58^{\circ}$ |
| Index ranges | $-13 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 13,-12 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 12,-13 \leq 1 \leq 14$ |
| Reflections collected | 10144 |
| Independent reflections | $2495[\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{int})=0.0288]$ |
| Data/restraints $/$ parameters | $2495 / 0 / 191$ |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.056 |
| Final R indexes $[\mathrm{I}>=2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})]$ | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0418, \mathrm{wR} \mathrm{R}_{2}=0.1074$ |

Final R indexes [all data] $\quad \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0480, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.1126$
Largest diff. peak/hole / e $\AA^{-3} 0.338 /-0.316$

Table 2 Fractional Atomic Coordinates $\left(\times 10^{4}\right)$ and Equivalent Isotropic Displacement Parameters $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)$ for don1q. $U_{e q}$ is defined as $1 / 3$ of of the trace of the orthogonalised $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{IJ}}$ tensor.

| Atom | $\boldsymbol{x}$ | $\boldsymbol{y}$ | $\boldsymbol{z}$ | $\boldsymbol{U}$ U(eq) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| O1 | $5310(1)$ | $2871.5(12)$ | $-887(1)$ | $31.4(3)$ |
| O2 | $1719.6(10)$ | $841.2(11)$ | $-31.1(10)$ | $31.8(3)$ |
| O3 | $2460.7(12)$ | $-516.7(13)$ | $-4027.4(11)$ | $40.9(3)$ |
| N1 | $3523.2(11)$ | $1663.6(12)$ | $-716(1)$ | $23.9(3)$ |
| C1 | $4137.6(13)$ | $2984.4(14)$ | $874.9(13)$ | $23.5(3)$ |
| C2 | $3056.0(13)$ | $2349.4(14)$ | $1139.4(13)$ | $23.0(3)$ |
| C3 | $2519.8(14)$ | $2557.8(16)$ | $2196.8(13)$ | $27.8(3)$ |
| C4 | $3115.1(16)$ | $3444.1(16)$ | $2986.9(14)$ | $31.6(4)$ |
| C5 | $4195.9(15)$ | $4078.2(16)$ | $2726.0(14)$ | $31.2(4)$ |
| C6 | $4730.7(14)$ | $3861.5(16)$ | $1655.1(14)$ | $28.3(3)$ |
| C7 | $4446.0(13)$ | $2554.6(15)$ | $-329.1(13)$ | $24.4(3)$ |
| C8 | $2636.7(13)$ | $1515.4(14)$ | $109.2(13)$ | $23.8(3)$ |
| C9 | $3385.3(14)$ | $1079.6(14)$ | $-1906.4(12)$ | $24.3(3)$ |
| C12 | $2994.3(15)$ | $564.4(18)$ | $-4641.6(14)$ | $33.2(4)$ |
| C13 | $3770.5(17)$ | $-522.4(17)$ | $-4185.1(15)$ | $34.7(4)$ |
| C10 | $3123.0(14)$ | $2152.0(15)$ | $-2823.0(13)$ | $27.4(3)$ |
| C11 | $2914.2(16)$ | $1880.6(17)$ | $-4107.9(14)$ | $32.9(4)$ |
| C14 | $4631.5(17)$ | $-482.6(18)$ | $-3107.3(15)$ | $37.7(4)$ |
| C15 | $4475.6(16)$ | $208.2(17)$ | $-2105.0(14)$ | $33.3(4)$ |
| C16 | $3992.7(19)$ | $2649.0(19)$ | $-3679.8(17)$ | $29.5(5)$ |
| C10A | $4475.6(16)$ | $208.2(17)$ | $-2105.0(14)$ | $33.3(4)$ |
| C11A | $4631.5(17)$ | $-482.6(18)$ | $-3107.3(15)$ | $37.7(4)$ |
| C14A | $2914.2(16)$ | $1880.6(17)$ | $-4107.9(14)$ | $32.9(4)$ |
| C15A | $3123.0(14)$ | $2152.0(15)$ | $-2823.0(13)$ | $27.4(3)$ |
| C16A | $5402(7)$ | $306(8)$ | $-2721(7)$ | $29(2)$ |


| Atom | $\mathbf{U 1 1}_{11}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{22}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{33}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{23}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{13}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{12}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O1 | 25.9(6) | 42.2 (7) | 26.8 (6) | -3.4 (5) | 5.5 (4) | -5.0 (5) |
| O2 | 31.1 (6) | 35.7 (6) | 28.5 (6) | 0.2 (5) | 1.4 (4) | -9.5(5) |
| O3 | 44.6 (7) | 44.1 (7) | 34.4(7) | -5.2(5) | 6.1 (5) | -17.7(6) |
| N1 | 25.6 (6) | 27.4(6) | 18.5 (6) | -0.9(5) | $0.2(5)$ | -0.8(5) |
| C1 | 24.2 (7) | 25.5 (7) | 20.8 (7) | 0.9 (6) | 0.7 (5) | 2.9 (6) |
| C2 | 25.4(7) | 23.5 (7) | 19.8 (7) | 2.5 (5) | -0.5(5) | 2.9 (5) |
| C3 | 28.4(8) | 32.1 (8) | 23.2 (7) | 2.7 (6) | 3.6 (6) | 0.7 (6) |
| C4 | 38.3 (9) | 34.8 (8) | 22.1(7) | -1.9(6) | 5.1 (6) | $3.2(7)$ |
| C5 | 36.1 (8) | 31.7 (8) | 25.3 (8) | -6.8(6) | -1.2(6) | 0.1 (7) |
| C6 | 27.2 (7) | 30.9 (8) | 26.8 (8) | -2.0(6) | 1.4(6) | -1.4(6) |
| C7 | 24.3 (7) | 27.1(7) | 21.4 (7) | 1.2 (6) | -0.4(6) | 1.6 (6) |
| C8 | 25.8(7) | 24.4(7) | 20.9 (7) | 3.7 (5) | -0.4(5) | 1.0 (6) |
| C9 | 28.2 (7) | 26.3(7) | 18.1(7) | -2.2(6) | -0.1(5) | -0.7(6) |
| C12 | 34.3 (8) | 44.8 (9) | 20.4 (7) | -0.2(7) | 1.1 (6) | -9.4(7) |
| C13 | 43.6 (9) | 31.7 (8) | 29.7 (8) | -8.5(7) | 9.1 (7) | -6.9(7) |
| C10 | 32.0 (8) | 27.0 (7) | 23.0 (7) | 0.1 (6) | 0.8 (6) | 4.5 (6) |
| C11 | 34.4(8) | 35.4 (9) | 29.1 (8) | 8.2 (7) | 3.9 (6) | 3.3 (7) |
| C14 | 44.9(10) | 35.8 (9) | 33.0 (9) | 3.0 (7) | 8.0 (7) | 15.1(8) |
| C15 | 39.4(9) | 32.6 (8) | 26.8 (8) | -1.1(6) | -5.7(7) | 10.3(7) |
| C16 | 34.7(11) | 25.6(10) | 27.6(10) | 2.5 (7) | -2.3(8) | -3.6(8) |
| C10A | 39.4(9) | 32.6 (8) | 26.8 (8) | -1.1(6) | -5.7(7) | 10.3(7) |
| C11A | 44.9(10) | 35.8 (9) | 33.0 (9) | 3.0 (7) | 8.0 (7) | 15.1(8) |
| C14A | 34.4(8) | 35.4 (9) | 29.1(8) | 8.2 (7) | 3.9 (6) | 3.3 (7) |
| C15A | 32.0 (8) | 27.0 (7) | 23.0 (7) | 0.1 (6) | 0.8 (6) | 4.5(6) |
| C16A | 22 (4) | 34 (4) | 30 (4) | -7(3) | 5 (3) | -3(3) |

## Table 4 Bond Lengths for don1q.

Atom Atom Length/Å

| O1 | C7 | $1.2115(18)$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| O2 | C8 | $1.2114(18)$ |
| O3 | C12 | $1.444(2)$ |
| O3 | C13 | $1.447(2)$ |
| N1 | C7 | $1.3994(19)$ |
| N1 | C8 | $1.3965(18)$ |

Atom Atom Length/Å
C3 C4 1.397(2)

C4 C5 1.390(2)
C5 C6 1.398(2)
C9 C10 1.519(2)
C9 C15 1.509(2)
C12 C13 1.463(3)

| N 1 | C 9 | $1.4709(18)$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| C 1 | C 2 | $1.392(2)$ |
| C 1 | C 6 | $1.383(2)$ |
| C 1 | C 7 | $1.492(2)$ |
| C 2 | C 3 | $1.384(2)$ |
| C 2 | C 8 | $1.488(2)$ |


| C 12 | C 11 | $1.474(2)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C 13 | C 14 | $1.486(3)$ |
| C 10 | C 11 | $1.483(2)$ |
| C 10 | C 16 | $1.489(2)$ |
| C 11 | C 16 | $1.463(3)$ |
| C 14 | C 15 | $1.355(2)$ |

Table 5 Bond Angles for don1q.

| Atom Atom Atom | Angle $/^{\circ}$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| C12 | O3 | C13 | $60.79(11)$ |
| C7 | N1 | C9 | $124.98(12)$ |
| C8 | N1 | C7 | $111.95(12)$ |
| C8 | N1 | C 9 | $122.57(12)$ |
| C2 | C1 | C7 | $107.92(13)$ |

C6 C1 C2 121.66(14)
C6 C1 C7 130.41(14)
C1 C2 C8 108.24(12)
C3 C2 C1 121.87(14)
C3 C2 C8 129.86(14)
$\mathrm{C} 2 \quad \mathrm{C} 3 \quad \mathrm{C} 4 \quad 116.65(15)$
C5 C4 C3 121.61(15)
C4 C5 C6 121.32(15)
C1 C6 C5 116.88(14)
O1 C7 N1 125.03(14)
O1 C7 C1 129.07(14)
N1 C7 C1 105.89(12)
O2 C8 N1 125.00(14)
O2 C8 C2 129.04(14)

| Atom Atom Atom | Angle $/{ }^{\circ}$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| N1 | C8 | C2 | $105.96(12)$ |
| N1 | C9 | C10 | $109.77(12)$ |
| N1 | C9 | C15 | $110.05(12)$ |
| C15 | C9 | C10 | $115.59(13)$ |
| O3 | C12 | C13 | $59.71(11)$ |
| O3 | C12 | C11 | $117.20(13)$ |
| C13 | C12 | C11 | $126.20(14)$ |
| O3 | C13 | C12 | $59.50(11)$ |
| O3 | C13 | C14 | $117.87(14)$ |
| C12 | C13 | C14 | $125.75(15)$ |
| C11 | C10 | C9 | $123.05(13)$ |
| C11 | C10 | C16 | $58.99(11)$ |
| C16 | C10 | C9 | $126.25(14)$ |
| C12 | C11 | C10 | $124.19(14)$ |
| C16 | C11 | C12 | $123.51(15)$ |
| C16 | C11 | C10 | $60.74(12)$ |
| C15 | C14 | C13 | $126.40(16)$ |
| C14 | C15 | C9 | $125.07(15)$ |
| C11 | C16 | C10 | $60.27(11)$ |

Table 6 Torsion Angles for don1q.
$\begin{array}{lllll}A & \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{C} & \mathbf{D} & \text { Angle }{ }^{\circ}\end{array}$

O3 C12 C13 C14 -104.14(18)
O3 C12 C11 C10 41.8(2)
O3 C12 C11 C16 116.80(18)
O3 C13 C14 C15 -37.5(3)

| N1 | C9 | C10 | C1 | -178.85 (13) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N1 | C9 | C10 | C16 | 107.71(17) |
| N1 | C9 | C15 | C14 | 179.60 (17) |
| C1 | C2 | C3 | C4 | 0.3 (2) |
| C1 | C2 | C8 | O2 | -177.47(15) |
| C1 | C2 | C8 | N1 | 1.88 (16) |
| C2 | C1 | C6 | C5 | 0.2 (2) |
| C2 | C1 | C7 | O1 | -179.87(15) |
| C2 | C1 | C7 | N1 | -0.58(16) |
| C2 | C3 | C4 | C5 | -0.4(2) |
| C3 | C2 | C8 | O2 | 0.7 (3) |
| C3 | C2 | C8 | N1 | -179.91(15) |
| C3 | C4 | C5 | C6 | 0.4 (3) |
| C4 | C5 | C6 | C1 | -0.3(2) |
| C6 | C1 | C2 | C3 | -0.2(2) |
| C6 | C1 | C2 | C8 | 178.16(13) |
| C6 | C1 | C7 | O1 | 1.3 (3) |
| C6 | C1 | C7 | N1 | -179.41(15) |
| C7 | N1 | C8 | O 2 | 177.06(14) |
| C7 | N1 | C8 | C2 | -2.32(16) |
| C7 | N1 | C9 | C10 | -63.09(18) |
| C7 | N1 | C9 | C15 | 65.20 (18) |
| C7 | C1 | C2 | C3 | -179.18(14) |
| C7 | C1 | C2 | C8 | -0.80(16) |
| C7 | C1 | C6 | C5 | 178.92(15) |
| C8 | N1 | C7 | O1 | -178.82(14) |
| C8 | N1 | C7 | C1 | 1.85 (16) |
| C8 | N1 | C9 | C10 | 108.16(15) |
| C8 | N1 | C9 | C15 | -123.55(15) |
| C8 | C2 | C3 | C4 | -177.71(15) |
| C9 | N1 | C7 | O1 | -6.8(2) |
| C9 | N1 | C7 | C1 | 173.90(12) |
| C9 | N1 | C8 | O 2 | 4.8 (2) |
| C9 | N1 | C8 | C2 | -174.60(12) |
| C9 | C10 | C11 | C12 | -3.0(2) |
| C9 | C10 | C1 | C16 | -115.60 (17) |
| C9 | C10 | C16 | C11 | 110.38(18) |
| C12 | O3 | C13 | C14 | 117.10(17) |


| C12 C13 C14 C15 | $33.4(3)$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| C12 C11 C16 C10 | $-113.68(17)$ |  |  |
| C13 O3 C12 C11 | $-117.96(17)$ |  |  |
| C13 C12 C11 C10 | $-29.1(3)$ |  |  |
| C13 | C12 | C11 C16 | $45.9(2)$ |
| C13 C14 C15 C9 | $-0.4(3)$ |  |  |
| C10 C9 C15 C14 | $-55.4(2)$ |  |  |
| C11 C12 | C13 O3 | $103.21(17)$ |  |
| C11 C12 C13 C14 | $-0.9(3)$ |  |  |
| C15 C9 C10 C11 | $56.0(2)$ |  |  |
| C15 C9 | C10 C16 | $-17.5(2)$ |  |
| C16 C10 C11 C12 | $112.61(19)$ |  |  |

Table 7 Hydrogen Atom Coordinates $\left(\AA \times 10^{4}\right)$ and Isotropic Displacement Parameters ( $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)$ for don $1 q$.

| Atom | $\boldsymbol{x}$ | $\boldsymbol{y}$ | $z$ | $\mathbf{U}(\mathbf{e q})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H3 | 1784 | 2120 | 2376 | 33 |
| H4 | 2773 | 3618 | 3721 | 38 |
| H5 | 4579 | 4671 | 3287 | 37 |
| H6 | 5467 | 4296 | 1472 | 34 |
| H9 | 2641 | 500 | -1929 | 29 |
| H12 | 2812 | 549 | -5520 | 40 |
| H13 | 4022 | -1145 | -4808 | 42 |
| H10 | 2568 | 2854 | -2545 | 33 |
| H11 | 2244 | 2433 | -4502 | 39 |
| H14 | 5363 | -991 | -3122 | 45 |
| H15 | 5101 | 138 | -1479 | 40 |
| H16A | 3988 | 3603 | -3851 | 35 |
| H16B | 4812 | 2224 | -3682 | 35 |
| H10A | 4682 | -351 | -1392 | 40 |
| H11A | 4912 | -1394 | -2896 | 45 |
| H14A | 2716 | 2601 | -4620 | 39 |
| H15A | 3091 | 3038 | -2561 | 33 |
| H16C | 5484 | 1130 | -3175 | 34 |
| H16D | 6194 | -53 | -2376 | 34 |



Table 1 Crystal data and structure refinement for ( $\pm$ )-II-38.

Identification code
Empirical formula
Formula weight
Temperature/K
Crystal system
Space group
a/Å
b/Å
c/Å
$\alpha{ }^{\circ}$
$\beta /{ }^{\circ}$
$\gamma^{\circ}$
Volume/ $\AA^{3}$
Z
$\rho_{\text {calc }} \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mm}^{3}$
$\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$
don1r5
$\mathrm{C}_{16.04948} \mathrm{H}_{14.82598} \mathrm{NO}_{5}$
301.71
99.9(3)
monoclinic
P2 ${ }_{1} / \mathrm{m}$
8.4819(5)
7.2208(4)
$11.3135(7)$
90.00
106.409(6)
90.00
664.68(7)

2
1.507
0.946

F(000)
Crystal size $/ \mathrm{mm}^{3}$
$2 \Theta$ range for data collection
Index ranges
Reflections collected
Independent reflections
Data/restraints/parameters
Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$
Final $R$ indexes $[I>=2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})]$
Final R indexes [all data] $\quad \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.1028, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.2891$
Largest diff. peak/hole / e $\AA^{-3} 1.03 /-0.40$

Table 2 Fractional Atomic Coordinates $\left(\times 10^{4}\right)$ and Equivalent Isotropic
Displacement Parameters $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)$ for don1r5. $U_{\text {eq }}$ is defined as $1 / 3$ of of the trace of the orthogonalised $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{IJ}}$ tensor.

| Atom | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | U(eq) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O1 | 4232 (4) | 2932 (13) | -309 (3) | 87 (3) |
| O2 | 2273 (3) | 2194(11) | 3016 (2) | 46.0 (19) |
| O3 | 5120 (4) | 5891(4) | 2486 (3) | 53.0 (8) |
| O4 | 8548(4) | 4110 (6) | 3036 (3) | 62.6 (9) |
| O5 | 9517 (3) | 2238 (15) | 5082 (3) | 47 (2) |
| N1 | 3666 (3) | 2630 (17) | 1550 (2) | 40.6(13) |
| C1 | 1453 (4) | 2586 (10) | -173 (3) | 42.7 (9) |
| C2 | 844 (4) | 2350 (7) | 832 (3) | 35.6 (7) |
| C3 | -814 (4) | 2155 (7) | 712 (4) | 44.5 (17) |
| C4 | -1856(4) | 2211(13) | -489(4) | 49 (2) |
| C5 | -1276(4) | 2430 (20) | -1486(3) | 53.2 (11) |
| C6 | 407 (5) | 2660 (20) | -1361 (3) | 58 (2) |
| C7 | 3239 (4) | 2747(17) | 288 (3) | 49 (2) |
| C8 | 2267 (4) | 2350 (20) | 1965 (3) | 38.4(14) |
| C9 | 5407(4) | 2609 (13) | 2310 (3) | 51.9 (13) |
| C10 | 5752(14) | 4291 (13) | 3168 (8) | 49 (2) |
| C11 | 7557 (6) | 4494 (8) | 3805 (5) | 42.3(12) |
| C12 | 8168(17) | 3570 (20) | 4949(15) | 42 (2) |
| C13 | 7924 (15) | 1580 (20) | 5101 (12) | 33.0 (18) |
| C14 | 7105 (6) | 146 (7) | 4074 (5) | 37.1 (10) |
| C15 | 5882(14) | 777 (16) | 2823 (9) | 60 (2) |
| C16 | 5279 (5) | 18 (7) | 3821 (4) | 54.4(10) |

Table 3 Anisotropic Displacement Parameters $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)$ for don1r5. The Anisotropic displacement factor exponent takes the form: $-2 \pi^{2}\left[h^{2} \mathbf{a}^{* 2} \mathbf{U}_{11}+\ldots+2 h k a \times b \times U_{12}\right]$

| Atom | $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{1 1}}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{2 2}}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{3 3}}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{2 3}}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{1 3}}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{1 2}}$ |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| O1 | $35.9(12)$ | $181(10)$ | $43.8(13)$ | $32(3)$ | $10.1(10)$ | $-29(3)$ |
| O2 | $45.7(11)$ | $55(6)$ | $39.2(11)$ | $1.1(13)$ | $15.2(9)$ | $-8.4(16)$ |
| O3 | $55.4(17)$ | $50.1(18)$ | $51.5(16)$ | $6.9(12)$ | $11.8(13)$ | $6.1(13)$ |
| O4 | $42.7(16)$ | $91(3)$ | $54.4(17)$ | $5.2(15)$ | $13.8(13)$ | $-17.0(16)$ |
| O5 | $27.7(10)$ | $41(6)$ | $66.0(13)$ | $-1.1(15)$ | $1.3(9)$ | $0.1(14)$ |
| N1 | $26.1(10)$ | $54(3)$ | $38.5(11)$ | $11(3)$ | $3.7(9)$ | $-18(3)$ |
| C1 | $29.1(13)$ | $55(2)$ | $39.6(13)$ | $7(5)$ | $2.9(11)$ | $-7(5)$ |
| C2 | $25.9(11)$ | $33.4(19)$ | $43.8(13)$ | $3(3)$ | $3.6(10)$ | $4(3)$ |
| C3 | $28.7(14)$ | $43(5)$ | $62.5(19)$ | $-8.8(18)$ | $14.6(13)$ | $0.9(15)$ |
| C4 | $26.0(13)$ | $36(7)$ | $76(2)$ | $-6(2)$ | $-3.1(13)$ | $0.3(16)$ |
| C5 | $37.0(16)$ | $55(3)$ | $54.0(16)$ | $-2(7)$ | $-8.7(13)$ | $-12(7)$ |
| C6 | $42.6(16)$ | $80(5)$ | $44.0(15)$ | $16(4)$ | $-1.8(13)$ | $-20(4)$ |
| C7 | $31.7(14)$ | $71(7)$ | $41.3(14)$ | $18(3)$ | $4.7(12)$ | $-15(3)$ |
| C8 | $33.4(12)$ | $40(4)$ | $39.3(13)$ | $4(3)$ | $5.8(10)$ | $-1(3)$ |
| C9 | $34.6(16)$ | $84(3)$ | $32.9(12)$ | $9(3)$ | $2.4(11)$ | $-40(3)$ |
| C10 | $38(3)$ | $40(3)$ | $55(4)$ | $-15(3)$ | $-8(3)$ | $10(2)$ |
| C11 | $31(2)$ | $27(2)$ | $62(3)$ | $-10.8(18)$ | $2.4(19)$ | $6.9(17)$ |
| C12 | $29(4)$ | $43(3)$ | $52(5)$ | $2(3)$ | $10(2)$ | $-12(3)$ |
| C13 | $25(4)$ | $39(3)$ | $31(3)$ | $-7.1(19)$ | $2(2)$ | $8(3)$ |
| C14 | $34(2)$ | $21(2)$ | $51(2)$ | $-1.4(16)$ | $3.9(18)$ | $-4.1(17)$ |
| C15 | $36(3)$ | $64(4)$ | $68(5)$ | $-26(3)$ | $-6(3)$ | $8(3)$ |
| C16 | $45(2)$ | $59(3)$ | $52(2)$ | $-12(2)$ | $1.0(18)$ | $2.7(19)$ |

Table 4 Bond Lengths for don1r5.

| Atom Atom | Length/A | Atom Atom |  |  | Length/Å |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| O1 | C7 | $1.226(5)$ | C2 | C8 | $1.492(4)$ |
| O2 | C8 | $1.194(4)$ | C3 | C4 | $1.397(6)$ |
| O3 | C10 | $1.408(11)$ | C4 | C5 | $1.361(6)$ |
| O4 | C11 | $1.397(7)$ | C5 | C6 | $1.404(5)$ |
| O5 | C12 | $1.470(13)$ | C9 | C10 | $1.531(10)$ |
| O5 | C13 | $1.437(11)$ | C9 | C15 | $1.455(12)$ |
| N1 | C7 | $1.374(4)$ | C10 | C11 | $1.503(12)$ |


| N 1 | C 8 | $1.407(5)$ | C 11 | C 12 | $1.417(15)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| N 1 | C 9 | $1.484(4)$ | C 12 | C 13 | $1.467(7)$ |
| C 1 | C 2 | $1.386(5)$ | C 13 | C 14 | $1.566(12)$ |
| C 1 | C 6 | $1.387(5)$ | C 14 | C 15 | $1.568(12)$ |
| C 1 | C 7 | $1.461(5)$ | C 14 | C 16 | $1.497(7)$ |
| C 2 | C 3 | $1.381(4)$ | C 15 | C 16 | $1.471(10)$ |

Table 5 Bond Angles for don1r5.
Atom Atom Atom Angle/ ${ }^{\circ}$

C13 O5 C12
C7 N1 C8
C7 N1 C9
C8 N1 C9
C2 C1 C6
C2 2 C1
C6 C1 C7
C1 C2 C8
C3 C2 C1
C3 C2 C8
C2 C3 4
C5 C4 C3
C4 C5 C6
C1 C6 C5
O1 C7 N1
O1 C7 C1
N1 C7 C1
O2 C8 N1
O2 C8 C2
N1 C8 C2
N1 C9 $\quad \mathrm{C} 10$

Angle ${ }^{\circ}$
60.6(3)
110.9(3)
122.0(3)
126.9(3)
121.0(3)
107.9(3)
131.1(3)
107.8(3)
122.5(3)
129.7(3)
116.1(4)
122.1(3)
121.7(3)
116.6(4)
124.0(3)
128.1(3)
107.9(3)
125.4(3)
129.1(3)
105.5(3)
109.9(8)

| Atom Atom | Atom | Angle ${ }^{\circ}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| C15 | C9 | N1 | $111.0(8)$ |
| C15 | C9 | C10 | $119.1(4)$ |
| O3 | C10 | C9 | $109.1(6)$ |
| O3 | C10 | C11 | $111.2(8)$ |
| C11 | C10 | C9 | $111.6(6)$ |
| O4 | C11 | C10 | $113.1(5)$ |
| O4 | C11 | C12 | $110.2(6)$ |
| C12 | C11 | C10 | $116.9(9)$ |
| C11 | C12 | O5 | $118.3(10)$ |
| C11 | C12 | C13 | $122.7(18)$ |
| C13 | C12 | O5 | $58.6(7)$ |
| O5 | C13 | C12 | $60.8(8)$ |
| O5 | C13 | C14 | $115.4(8)$ |
| C12 | C13 | C14 | $127.5(17)$ |
| C13 | C14 | C15 | $121.2(9)$ |
| C16 | C14 | C13 | $113.7(6)$ |
| C16 | C14 | C15 | $57.3(5)$ |
| C9 | C15 | C14 | $131.5(9)$ |
| C9 | C15 | C16 | $121.8(8)$ |
| C16 | C15 | C14 | $58.9(4)$ |
| C15 | C16 | C14 | $63.8(5)$ |

Table 6 Hydrogen Bonds for don1r5.
D $\mathbf{H}$ A $\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{D}-\mathbf{H}) / \AA \quad \mathbf{d}(\mathbf{H}-\mathbf{A}) / \AA \quad \mathbf{d}(\mathbf{D}-\mathbf{A}) / \AA \quad \mathbf{D}-\mathbf{H}-\mathbf{A} /{ }^{\circ}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\mathrm{O} 3 \mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{Ol}^{1} & 0.84 & 2.05 & 2.803(5) & 149.2\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\mathrm{O} 4 \mathrm{H} 4 \mathrm{O} & 0.84 & 2.05 & 2.604(7) & 122.7\end{array}$

Table 7 Torsion Angles for don1r5.
$\begin{array}{lllll}A & \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{C} & \mathbf{D} & \text { Angle } /^{\circ}\end{array}$
O3 C10 C11 O4 -82.1(6)

O3 C10 C11 C12 148.3(9)
O4 C11 C12 O5 -7.9(16)
O4 C11 C12 C13 -77.0(14)
O5 C12 C13 C14 -100.9(13)
O5 C13 C14 C15 -94.0(13)
O5 C13 C14 C16 -158.9(9)
N1 C9 C10 O3 -47.6(8)
N1 C9 C10 C11 -170.8(7)
N1 C9 C15 C14 -146.7(9)
N1 C9 C15 C16 -71.9(10)
C1 C2 C3 C4 -0.1(4)
C1 C2 C8 O2 179.7(12)
C1 C2 C8 N1 $1.0(9)$
C2 C1 C6 C5 -1.8(12)
C2 C1 C7 O1 178.4(10)
C2 C1 C7 N1 $\quad$-0.7(9)
C2 C3 C4 C5 0.3(11)
C3 C2 C8 O2 $\quad-0.4(17)$
C3 C2 C8 N1 -179.1(5)
C3 C4 C5 C6 $\quad$-1.4(17)
$\mathrm{C} 4 \quad \mathrm{C} 5 \quad \mathrm{C} 6 \quad \mathrm{C} 1 \quad 2.1(17)$
C6 C1 C2 C3 0.9(6)
C6 C1 C2 C8 $\begin{array}{llll} & -179.3(8)\end{array}$
C6 C1 C7 O1 $\quad$-2.6(15)
C6 C1 C7 N1 178.2(8)
C7 1 N1 C8 O2 179.7(12)
C7 N1 C8 C2 $\quad$-1.5(12)
C7 N1 C9 C10 118.3(10)
C7 N1 C9 C15 -107.9(10)
C7 C1 C2 C3 179.9(5)
C7 C1 C2 C8 $\quad-0.2(7)$
C7 C1 C6 C5 179.4(9)

| C8 | N1 | C7 | O1 | $-177.8(11)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| C8 | N1 | C7 | C1 | $1.5(12)$ |
| C8 | N1 | C9 | C10 | $-68.6(13)$ |
| C8 | N1 | C9 | C15 | $65.3(13)$ |
| C8 | C2 | C3 | C4 | $-179.9(8)$ |
| C9 | N1 | C7 | O1 | $-3.6(17)$ |
| C9 | N1 | C7 | C1 | $175.6(9)$ |
| C9 | N1 | C8 | O2 | $6(2)$ |
| C9 | N1 | C8 | C2 | $-175.3(10)$ |
| C9 | C10 | C11 | O4 | $39.9(9)$ |
| C9 | C10 | C11 | C12 | $-89.7(11)$ |
| C9 | C15 | C16 | C14 | $-122.4(10)$ |
| C10 | C9 | C15 | C14 | $-17.6(10)$ |
| C10 | C9 | C15 | C16 | $57.2(9)$ |
| C10 | C11 | C12 | O5 | $123.1(11)$ |
| C10 | C11 | C12 | C13 | $54.0(14)$ |
| C11 | C12 | C13 | O5 | $105.6(11)$ |
| C11 | C12 | C13 | C14 | $5(2)$ |
| C12 | O5 | C13 | C14 | $120.5(19)$ |
| C12 | C13 | C14 | C15 | $-22.4(17)$ |
| C12 | C13 | C14 | C16 | $-87.3(14)$ |
| C13 | O5 | C12 | C11 | $-113(2)$ |
| C13 | C14 | C15 | C9 | $7.1(14)$ |
| C13 | C14 | C15 | C16 | $-99.7(7)$ |
| C13 | C14 | C16 | C15 | $113.1(9)$ |
| C15 | C9 | C10 | O3 | $-177.2(8)$ |
| C15 | C9 | C10 | C11 | $59.6(9)$ |
| C16 | C14 | C15 | C9 | $106.8(11)$ |

Table 8 Hydrogen Atom Coordinates $\left(\AA \times 10^{4}\right)$ and Isotropic Displacement Parameters ( $\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}$ ) for don1r5.

| Atom | $\boldsymbol{x}$ | $y$ | $z$ | U(eq) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H3 | 5676 | 6132 | 1997 | 80 |
| H4 | 9372 | 3498 | 3428 | 94 |
| H3A | -1221 | 1993 | 1406 | 53 |
| H4A | -3007 | 2089 | -614 | 59 |
| H5 | -2030 | 2436 | -2286 | 64 |


| H6 | 808 | 2857 | -2054 | 70 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| H9 | 6066 | 2821 | 1715 | 62 |
| H10 | 5164 | 4112 | 3811 | 59 |
| H11 | 7722 | 5843 | 4003 | 51 |
| H12 | 8232 | 4346 | 5693 | 50 |
| H13 | 7854 | 1236 | 5940 | 40 |
| H14 | 7711 | -1040 | 4067 | 44 |
| H15 | 5814 | -160 | 2159 | 72 |
| H16A | 4782 | -1234 | 3699 | 65 |
| H16B | 4745 | 889 | 4264 | 65 |



Table 1 Crystal data and structure refinement for ( $\pm$ )-II-40.

| Identification code | don1u |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$ |
| Formula weight | 283.27 |
| Temperature/K | 99.8(3) |
| Crystal system | monoclinic |
| Space group | C2/c |
| a/Å | 22.7463(8) |
| b/Å | 7.8917(3) |
| c/Å | 14.3099(6) |
| $\alpha{ }^{\circ}$ | 90.00 |
| $\beta /{ }^{\circ}$ | 100.110(4) |
| $\gamma^{\circ}$ | 90.00 |
| Volume/Å ${ }^{3}$ | 2528.85(17) |
| Z | 8 |
| $\rho_{\text {calc }} \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mm}^{3}$ | 1.488 |
| $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 0.897 |
| $\mathrm{F}(000)$ | 1184.0 |
| Crystal size/mm ${ }^{3}$ | $0.1 \times 0.02 \times 0.02$ |
| $2 \Theta$ range for data collection | 7.9 to $147.68^{\circ}$ |
| Index ranges | $-27 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 28,-8 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 9,-17 \leq 1 \leq 17$ |
| Reflections collected | 8848 |
| Independent reflections | $2514[\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{int})=0.0286]$ |
| Data/restraints/parameters | 2514/0/226 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.058 |

Final R indexes $[\mathrm{I}>=2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})] \quad \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0351, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0875$
Final R indexes [all data] $\quad \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0414, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0930$
Largest diff. peak/hole / e $\AA^{-3} 0.20 /-0.21$

Table 2 Fractional Atomic Coordinates $\left(\times 10^{4}\right)$ and Equivalent Isotropic
Displacement Parameters $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)$ for don $1 u$. $U_{\text {eq }}$ is defined as $1 / 3$ of of the trace of the orthogonalised $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{IJ}}$ tensor.

| Atom | $\boldsymbol{x}$ | $\boldsymbol{y}$ | $\boldsymbol{z}$ | $\boldsymbol{U}(\mathbf{e q})$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| O1 | $3378.6(5)$ | $999.6(14)$ | $2929.5(7)$ | $26.8(3)$ |
| O2 | $2302.9(4)$ | $1143.0(13)$ | $1413.7(7)$ | $24.9(2)$ |
| O3 | $3575.7(4)$ | $5558.5(12)$ | $1370.7(7)$ | $22.0(2)$ |
| O4 | $4812.1(4)$ | $1007.5(12)$ | $1252.3(8)$ | $24.3(2)$ |
| N1 | $4071.0(5)$ | $3005.1(14)$ | $1323.7(8)$ | $16.8(2)$ |
| C1 | $3545.8(5)$ | $1911.4(16)$ | $1307.3(9)$ | $16.5(3)$ |
| C2 | $3654.6(6)$ | $651.7(17)$ | $2111.1(9)$ | $18.1(3)$ |
| C3 | $3179.3(6)$ | $-441.5(18)$ | $2328.9(10)$ | $21.5(3)$ |
| C4 | $2550.6(6)$ | $-432.9(19)$ | $1810.3(11)$ | $23.7(3)$ |
| C5 | $2390.3(6)$ | $-244.4(18)$ | $772.6(10)$ | $22.2(3)$ |
| C6 | $2803.5(6)$ | $61.4(18)$ | $93.3(10)$ | $21.0(3)$ |
| C7 | $3372.3(6)$ | $1078.9(17)$ | $338.9(9)$ | $18.4(3)$ |
| C8 | $3406.0(6)$ | $-798.2(18)$ | $185.3(10)$ | $21.4(3)$ |
| C9 | $4029.7(6)$ | $4773.1(16)$ | $1332.2(9)$ | $16.3(3)$ |
| C10 | $4651.7(6)$ | $2466.2(16)$ | $1276.7(9)$ | $17.2(3)$ |
| C11 | $4638.5(6)$ | $5423.0(16)$ | $1288.9(9)$ | $15.5(3)$ |
| C12 | $5012.5(6)$ | $4037.8(16)$ | $1269.9(9)$ | $16.0(3)$ |
| C13 | $5614.5(6)$ | $4226.7(17)$ | $1250.0(9)$ | $18.2(3)$ |
| C14 | $5830.9(6)$ | $5885.0(17)$ | $1238.1(9)$ | $18.8(3)$ |
| C15 | $5452.9(6)$ | $7276.3(17)$ | $1232.9(9)$ | $18.8(3)$ |
| C16 | $4845.6(6)$ | $7066.6(17)$ | $1264.8(9)$ | $18.2(3)$ |

Table 3 Anisotropic Displacement Parameters $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)$ for don1u. The Anisotropic displacement factor exponent takes the form: $-2 \pi^{2}\left[h^{2} \mathbf{a}^{*} \mathbf{U}_{11}+\ldots+2 h k a \times b \times U_{12}\right]$

| Atom | $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{1 1}}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{2 2}}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{3 3}}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{2 3}}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{1 3}}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{1 2}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| O1 | $28.4(5)$ | $34.2(6)$ | $19.0(5)$ | $-4.6(4)$ | $7.6(4)$ | $-8.8(4)$ |
| O2 | $18.5(5)$ | $24.2(5)$ | $32.5(6)$ | $-0.5(4)$ | $6.1(4)$ | $0.3(4)$ |
| O3 | $15.6(4)$ | $16.6(5)$ | $33.5(5)$ | $0.3(4)$ | $3.2(4)$ | $2.1(4)$ |


| O4 | $22.0(5)$ | $13.2(5)$ | $39.5(6)$ | $0.8(4)$ | $10.5(4)$ | $1.4(4)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| N1 | $14.1(5)$ | $12.6(5)$ | $23.8(5)$ | $0.3(4)$ | $3.8(4)$ | $-1.1(4)$ |
| C1 | $14.7(5)$ | $13.7(6)$ | $21.5(6)$ | $0.4(5)$ | $4.1(5)$ | $-2.5(5)$ |
| C2 | $17.7(6)$ | $20.3(6)$ | $16.3(6)$ | $-0.5(5)$ | $2.8(5)$ | $-2.5(5)$ |
| C3 | $24.0(7)$ | $21.3(7)$ | $19.3(6)$ | $3.1(5)$ | $3.6(5)$ | $-4.3(5)$ |
| C4 | $20.9(7)$ | $22.8(7)$ | $28.4(7)$ | $2.7(6)$ | $7.1(6)$ | $-5.7(5)$ |
| C5 | $17.1(6)$ | $19.5(7)$ | $29.1(7)$ | $0.8(5)$ | $1.2(5)$ | $-4.1(5)$ |
| C6 | $20.2(6)$ | $20.9(7)$ | $20.3(6)$ | $0.2(5)$ | $-1.0(5)$ | $-3.0(5)$ |
| C7 | $18.0(6)$ | $18.9(7)$ | $18.0(6)$ | $2.8(5)$ | $2.5(5)$ | $-2.3(5)$ |
| C8 | $22.7(7)$ | $20.3(7)$ | $21.3(7)$ | $-4.2(5)$ | $4.1(5)$ | $-1.7(5)$ |
| C9 | $17.1(6)$ | $12.9(6)$ | $18.0(6)$ | $0.6(5)$ | $0.9(5)$ | $0.1(5)$ |
| C10 | $17.5(6)$ | $14.2(6)$ | $20.4(6)$ | $1.1(5)$ | $4.8(5)$ | $-0.2(5)$ |
| C11 | $15.8(6)$ | $14.7(6)$ | $15.6(6)$ | $-0.5(5)$ | $1.6(4)$ | $-0.9(5)$ |
| C12 | $18.1(6)$ | $13.6(6)$ | $16.3(6)$ | $0.5(4)$ | $2.9(5)$ | $-0.8(5)$ |
| C13 | $17.1(6)$ | $17.7(6)$ | $20.3(6)$ | $0.0(5)$ | $4.3(5)$ | $1.6(5)$ |
| C14 | $15.7(6)$ | $21.8(7)$ | $19.1(6)$ | $-1.2(5)$ | $3.5(5)$ | $-4.7(5)$ |
| C15 | $20.1(6)$ | $15.5(6)$ | $20.6(6)$ | $-0.1(5)$ | $2.8(5)$ | $-4.0(5)$ |
| C16 | $18.1(6)$ | $14.7(6)$ | $21.1(6)$ | $-0.6(5)$ | $1.4(5)$ | $0.4(5)$ |

Table 4 Bond Lengths for don1u.

| Atom | Atom | Length/Å | Atom | Atom | Length/Å |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O1 | C2 | 1.4488(16) | C4 | C5 | 1.473 (2) |
| O1 | C3 | 1.4494 (17) | C5 | C6 | 1.485 (2) |
| O2 | C4 | 1.4400(18) | C6 | C7 | 1.5109(18) |
| O2 | C5 | 1.4642 (18) | C6 | C8 | 1.5141(19) |
| O3 | C9 | 1.2139(16) | C7 | C8 | 1.5015 (19) |
| O4 | C10 | 1.2099(16) | C9 | C11 | 1.4883 (17) |
| N1 | C1 | $1.4705(15)$ | C10 | C12 | $1.4882(17)$ |
| N1 | C9 | 1.3986 (17) | C11 | C12 | 1.3884 (18) |
| N1 | C10 | $1.4005(16)$ | C11 | C16 | 1.3825 (18) |
| C1 | C2 | 1.5075(18) | C12 | C13 | $1.3826(18)$ |
| C1 | C7 | 1.5217(18) | C13 | C14 | 1.3994 (18) |
| C2 | C3 | 1.4590 (18) | C14 | C15 | 1.3938 (19) |
| C3 | C4 | 1.4911(19) | C15 | C16 | 1.4001(18) |

Table 5 Bond Angles for don1u.
Atom Atom Atom Angle $/^{\circ}$

| C 2 | O 1 | C 3 | $60.45(8)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C 4 | O 2 | C 5 | $60.95(9)$ |

C9 N1 C1 122.01(10)
C9 N1 C10 111.63(10)
C10 N1 C1 126.25(11)
$\mathrm{N} 1 \quad \mathrm{C} 1 \quad \mathrm{C} 2 \quad 110.54(10)$
N1 C1 C7 110.37(10)
$\mathrm{C} 2 \quad \mathrm{C} 1 \quad \mathrm{C} 7 \quad 113.06(11)$
O1 C2 C1 117.10(11)
O1 C2 C3 59.80(9)
C3 C2 C1 121.63(11)
O1 C3 C2 59.75 (8)
O1 C3 C4 117.67(12)
$\mathrm{C} 2 \mathrm{C} 3 \quad \mathrm{C} 4 \quad 124.95(12)$
$\mathrm{O} 2 \quad \mathrm{C} 4 \quad \mathrm{C} 3 \quad 118.34(12)$
O2 C4 C5 60.34(9)
C5 C4 C3 123.24(12)
$\mathrm{O} 2 \mathrm{C} 5 \quad \mathrm{C} 4 \quad 58.71(9)$
O2 C5 C6 117.36(11)
C4 C5 C6 127.08(12)
C5 C6 C7 123.26(12)
C5 C6 C8 122.50(12)

Table 6 Torsion Angles for don1u.

| A | $\mathbf{B}$ | $\mathbf{C}$ | $\mathbf{D}$ | Angle $/{ }^{\circ}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| O1 | C 2 | C 3 | C 4 | $104.38(16)$ |
| O1 | C 3 | C 4 | O 2 | $39.15(18)$ |
| O1 | C 3 | C 4 | C 5 | $110.56(15)$ |
| O2 | C 4 | C 5 | C 6 | $102.41(15)$ |
| O2 | C 5 | C 6 | C 7 | $37.37(19)$ |
| O2 | C 5 | C 6 | C 8 | $109.82(15)$ |
| O3 | C 9 | C 11 | C 12 | $179.01(13)$ |
| O3 | C 9 | C 11 | C 16 | $-1.2(2)$ |
| O4 | C 10 | C 12 | C 11 | $178.90(14)$ |


| O |  | C 12 C 13 | -1.2(2) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N1 | C1 | C2 O1 | 102.61(12) |
| N | C1 | C2 C3 | 172.21(12) |
| N1 | C1 | C7 C6 | -172.46(11) |
| N1 | C1 | C7 C8 | 114.77(13) |
| N1 | C9 | C11 C12 | -0.79(14) |
| N1 | C9 | C11 C16 | 178.95(13) |
| N1 | C10 | C12 C11 | -1.42(14) |
| N1 | C10 | C12 C13 | 178.49(13) |
| C1 | N1 | C9 O3 | 3.5 (2) |
| C1 | N1 | C9 C11 | -176.66(10) |
| C1 | N1 | C10 O4 | -3.0(2) |
| C1 | N1 | C10 C12 | 177.28(11) |
| C1 | C2 | C3 O1 | -105.09(14) |
| C1 | C2 | C3 C4 | -0.7(2) |
| C1 | C7 | C8 C6 | 109.69(14) |
| C2 | O1 | C3 C4 | -116.29(14) |
| C2 | C1 | C7 C6 | 63.15 (16) |
| C2 | C1 | C7 C8 | -9.62(17) |
| C2 | C3 | C4 O2 | -31.7(2) |
| C2 | C3 | $\mathrm{C} 4 \quad \mathrm{C} 5$ | 39.7 (2) |
| C3 | O1 | C2 C1 | 112.57(13) |
| C3 | C4 | C5 O2 | -106.26(15) |
| C3 | C4 | C5 C6 | -3.9(2) |
| C4 | O 2 | C5 C6 | -118.69(14) |
| C4 | C5 | C6 C7 | -32.6(2) |
| C4 | C5 | C6 C8 | 39.8 (2) |
| C5 | O 2 | C4 C3 | 114.18(14) |
| C5 | C6 | C7 1 | -2.0(2) |
| C5 | C6 | C7 C8 | 111.07(15) |
| C5 | C6 | C8 C7 | -112.31(15) |
| C7 | C1 | C2 O1 | -133.09(11) |
| C7 | C1 | C2 C3 | -63.49(16) |
| C8 | C6 | C7 C1 | -113.11(14) |
| C9 | N1 | C1 C2 | -126.07(12) |
| C9 | N1 | C1 C7 | 108.11(13) |
| C9 | N1 | C10 O4 | -179.38(13) |
| C9 | N1 | C10 C12 | 0.92 (14) |


| C | C |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C9 | C13 | -178. |
|  | C11 C16 C15 | 17 |
|  | N1 $\mathrm{C} 1 \quad \mathrm{C} 2$ |  |
|  | N1 C1 C | -67 |
|  | N1 $\mathrm{C} 9 \quad \mathrm{O} 3$ | -179. |
|  | N1 C9 C1 | -0.13(14) |
|  | C13 C14 | 179 |
|  | C 13 C 14 | -0 |
|  | C16 C15 | -0. |
|  | C14 C15 | -0.88 |
|  | C15 C16 | 1. |
|  | 5 C 16 C 11 | -0.82 |
|  | C12 C10 | -178.42 |
| C16 | C12 C13 |  |

Table 7 Hydrogen Atom Coordinates $\left(\AA \times 10^{4}\right)$ and Isotropic Displacement Parameters $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)$ for don1u.

| Atom | $\boldsymbol{x}$ | $\boldsymbol{y}$ | $\boldsymbol{z}$ | U(eq) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| H1 | $3216(7)$ | $2690(20)$ | $1402(11)$ | $16(4)$ |
| H2 | $4057(8)$ | $250(20)$ | $2258(11)$ | $17(4)$ |
| H3 | $3300(8)$ | $-1530(20)$ | $2612(13)$ | $28(4)$ |
| H4 | $2281(8)$ | $-1070(20)$ | $2153(12)$ | $24(4)$ |
| H5 | $1997(7)$ | $-740(20)$ | $496(11)$ | $17(4)$ |
| H6 | $2587(8)$ | $210(20)$ | $-555(13)$ | $27(4)$ |
| H7 | $3462(8)$ | $1800(20)$ | $-188(12)$ | $25(4)$ |
| H8A | $3530(8)$ | $-1170(20)$ | $-403(13)$ | $24(4)$ |
| H8B | $3523(8)$ | $-1510(20)$ | $747(13)$ | $27(4)$ |
| H13 | 5869 | 3275 | 1245 | 22 |
| H14 | 6243 | 6066 | 1233 | 23 |
| H15 | 5610 | 8387 | 1207 | 23 |
| H16 | 4587 | 8011 | 1270 | 22 |



Table 1 Crystal data and structure refinement for ( $\pm$ )-II-42.

Identification code
Empirical formula
Formula weight
Temperature/K
Crystal system
Space group
a/Å
b/Å
c/Å
$\alpha{ }^{\circ}$
$\beta /{ }^{\circ}$
$\gamma /{ }^{\circ}$
Volume/A ${ }^{3}$
Z
$\rho_{\text {calc }} \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mm}^{3}$
$\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$
F(000)
Crystal size $/ \mathrm{mm}^{3}$
$2 \Theta$ range for data collection
Index ranges
Reflections collected
Independent reflections
Data/restraints/parameters
Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$
Final R indexes $[\mathrm{I}>=2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})]$
don1x
$\mathrm{C}_{16.75} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{NO}_{6.75}$
343.34
100.00(10)
triclinic
P-1
10.4024(3)
12.8740(4)
14.1877(4)
95.258(2)
108.218(3)
111.130(3)
1638.06(8)

4
1.392
0.108
726.0
$0.32 \times 0.1 \times 0.03$
5.9 to $58.14^{\circ}$
$-14 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 14,-17 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 16,-18 \leq 1 \leq 19$
28946
7852[R(int) $=0.0284]$
7852/0/493
1.135
$\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0434, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.1146$

Final R indexes [all data] $\quad \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0530, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.1199$
Largest diff. peak/hole / e $\AA^{-3} 0.40 /-0.25$

Table 2 Fractional Atomic Coordinates $\left(\times 10^{4}\right)$ and Equivalent Isotropic Displacement Parameters $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)$ for don 1 x . $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{eq}}$ is defined as $1 / 3$ of of the trace of the orthogonalised $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{IJ}}$ tensor.

| Atom | $\boldsymbol{x}$ | $\boldsymbol{y}$ | $\boldsymbol{z}$ | $\boldsymbol{U}(\mathbf{e q})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| O1 | $10200.2(12)$ | $3048.6(10)$ | $4490.2(8)$ | $15.9(2)$ |
| O2 | $6479.7(13)$ | $1980.6(11)$ | $11.1(9)$ | $19.8(3)$ |
| O3 | $5097.0(12)$ | $2008.3(10)$ | $1509.1(9)$ | $17.9(2)$ |
| O4 | $8973.4(12)$ | $3997.7(10)$ | $2941.1(9)$ | $15.8(2)$ |
| O5 | $6199.3(13)$ | $737.1(10)$ | $4483.2(10)$ | $22.1(3)$ |
| O6 | $8386.4(12)$ | $4610.5(9)$ | $4838.9(9)$ | $18.3(2)$ |
| N1 | $7278.9(14)$ | $2620.4(11)$ | $4396(1)$ | $14.4(3)$ |
| C1 | $7535.4(16)$ | $2336.4(13)$ | $3460.9(11)$ | $13.9(3)$ |
| C2 | $8939.7(17)$ | $2088.1(13)$ | $3774.1(12)$ | $14.8(3)$ |
| C3 | $9298.2(17)$ | $1687.7(14)$ | $2876.3(12)$ | $17.6(3)$ |
| C4 | $7956.6(18)$ | $734.6(14)$ | $2073.4(12)$ | $18.8(3)$ |
| C5 | $7012.7(18)$ | $913.0(14)$ | $1293.9(12)$ | $18.1(3)$ |
| C6 | $7213.8(17)$ | $2077.1(14)$ | $1085.3(12)$ | $16.2(3)$ |
| C7 | $6598.5(16)$ | $2720.4(13)$ | $1651.4(12)$ | $14.8(3)$ |
| C8 | $7504.1(16)$ | $3226.5(13)$ | $2804.4(11)$ | $14.0(3)$ |
| C9 | $6637.2(17)$ | $1744.6(14)$ | $4843.5(12)$ | $16.6(3)$ |
| C10 | $7750.0(16)$ | $3705.0(13)$ | $5010.6(12)$ | $14.9(3)$ |
| C11 | $6653.9(17)$ | $2322.7(14)$ | $5808.7(12)$ | $17.2(3)$ |
| C12 | $7299.3(16)$ | $3497.3(14)$ | $5898.5(12)$ | $16.1(3)$ |
| C13 | $7459.9(17)$ | $4271.4(15)$ | $6713.6(13)$ | $19.0(3)$ |
| C14 | $6965.2(18)$ | $3824.3(16)$ | $7458.2(13)$ | $22.4(4)$ |
| C15 | $6335.1(18)$ | $2647.4(16)$ | $7374.5(14)$ | $23.6(4)$ |
| C16 | $6162.1(18)$ | $1869.5(15)$ | $6543.5(13)$ | $21.5(3)$ |
| O1A | $9078.5(12)$ | $6121.5(10)$ | $3500.6(9)$ | $16.2(2)$ |
| O2A | $6356.9(13)$ | $6635.7(10)$ | $-791.6(9)$ | $19.4(2)$ |
| O3A | $6180.1(14)$ | $7898.8(10)$ | $971.0(9)$ | $22.3(3)$ |
| O4A | $6212.7(12)$ | $5311.1(9)$ | $1788.4(9)$ | $17.5(2)$ |
| O5A | $6189.5(12)$ | $5645.8(10)$ | $3749.6(9)$ | $19.5(2)$ |
| O6A | $8615.9(14)$ | $9489.8(10)$ | $4034.0(9)$ | $23.4(3)$ |
| N1A | $7544.9(14)$ | $7513.5(11)$ | $3696.5(10)$ | $15.4(3)$ |
| C1A | $7828.5(16)$ | $7323.6(13)$ | $2756.7(11)$ | $13.7(3)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |


| C2A | $9216.1(16)$ | $7051.2(13)$ | $3012.2(12)$ | $14.7(3)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| C3A | $9578.8(17)$ | $6750.0(14)$ | $2089.7(12)$ | $17.4(3)$ |
| C4A | $9697.8(18)$ | $7631.5(15)$ | $1461.8(13)$ | $20.1(3)$ |
| C5A | $8573.0(18)$ | $7577.4(15)$ | $659.0(13)$ | $20.2(3)$ |
| C6A | $7044.0(17)$ | $6623.8(14)$ | $260.9(12)$ | $16.6(3)$ |
| C7A | $6072.8(17)$ | $6758.8(13)$ | $839.7(12)$ | $15.9(3)$ |
| C8A | $6372.4(16)$ | $6474.7(13)$ | $1894.4(12)$ | $14.4(3)$ |
| C9A | $6770.4(17)$ | $6673.1(14)$ | $4113.2(12)$ | $16.7(3)$ |
| C10A | $7972.8(17)$ | $8623.6(14)$ | $4257.4(12)$ | $17.6(3)$ |
| C11A | $6760.9(17)$ | $7298.0(15)$ | $5044.9(12)$ | $19.2(3)$ |
| C12A | $7453.7(18)$ | $8462.7(15)$ | $5121.5(12)$ | $19.6(3)$ |
| C13A | $7573(2)$ | $9265.9(17)$ | $5902.9(13)$ | $25.7(4)$ |
| C14A | $6982(2)$ | $8850.3(19)$ | $6614.2(14)$ | $30.6(4)$ |
| C15A | $6309(2)$ | $7682.2(19)$ | $6548.5(14)$ | $30.1(4)$ |
| C16A | $6176.5(18)$ | $6875.2(17)$ | $5751.7(13)$ | $24.4(4)$ |
| O1S | $3172.7(14)$ | $-285.3(11)$ | $1086(1)$ | $23.7(3)$ |
| C1S | $1898(2)$ | $-99.3(17)$ | $518.5(15)$ | $27.3(4)$ |
| O2S | $7838(3)$ | $4284(2)$ | $-108(2)$ | $29.8(6)$ |
| C2S | $9368(5)$ | $4666(4)$ | $107(4)$ | $48.3(12)$ |

Table 3 Anisotropic Displacement Parameters $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)$ for don1x. The Anisotropic displacement factor exponent takes the form: $-2 \pi^{2}\left[h^{2} a^{* 2} \mathbf{U}_{11}+\ldots+2 h k a \times b \times U_{12}\right]$

| Atom | $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{1 1}}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{2 2}}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{3 3}}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{2 3}}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{1 3}}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{1 2}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| O1 | $14.8(5)$ | $12.5(5)$ | $13.9(5)$ | $0.5(4)$ | $-0.4(4)$ | $4.2(4)$ |
| O2 | $25.3(6)$ | $21.5(6)$ | $12.3(5)$ | $2.0(5)$ | $2.3(5)$ | $13.8(5)$ |
| O3 | $12.7(5)$ | $16.7(6)$ | $19.6(6)$ | $4.0(5)$ | $1.3(4)$ | $5.0(4)$ |
| O4 | $14.0(5)$ | $11.6(5)$ | $17.5(6)$ | $1.5(4)$ | $3.3(4)$ | $3.3(4)$ |
| O5 | $22.2(6)$ | $13.3(6)$ | $28.2(7)$ | $4.1(5)$ | $9.4(5)$ | $4.5(5)$ |
| O6 | $19.5(6)$ | $13.1(5)$ | $17.9(6)$ | $2.8(4)$ | $4.4(4)$ | $4.3(4)$ |
| N1 | $13.4(6)$ | $11.7(6)$ | $14.7(6)$ | $2.0(5)$ | $2.7(5)$ | $4.0(5)$ |
| C1 | $13.8(7)$ | $11.3(7)$ | $12.9(7)$ | $1.2(6)$ | $1.5(5)$ | $4.6(6)$ |
| C2 | $14.8(7)$ | $11.5(7)$ | $13.3(7)$ | $0.7(6)$ | $0.1(6)$ | $5.2(6)$ |
| C3 | $18.0(7)$ | $18.3(8)$ | $15.3(7)$ | $0.8(6)$ | $1.7(6)$ | $10.6(6)$ |
| C4 | $23.8(8)$ | $14.0(8)$ | $16.5(8)$ | $-0.4(6)$ | $3.1(6)$ | $9.9(6)$ |
| C5 | $19.0(7)$ | $14.1(8)$ | $16.0(8)$ | $-1.8(6)$ | $2.4(6)$ | $5.8(6)$ |
| C6 | $16.4(7)$ | $16.2(8)$ | $12.4(7)$ | $1.6(6)$ | $0.7(6)$ | $7.2(6)$ |
| C7 | $13.0(7)$ | $12.9(7)$ | $14.4(7)$ | $1.8(6)$ | $1.1(6)$ | $4.6(6)$ |

$\left.\begin{array}{lrrrrrr}\text { C8 } & 12.3(7) & 12.6(7) & 13.8(7) & 1.2(6) & 1.8(5) & 4.4(6) \\ \text { C9 } & 12.3(7) & 16.2(8) & 19.7(8) & 5.4(6) & 4.2(6) & 5.2(6) \\ \text { C10 } & 11.8(7) & 15.3(7) & 15.4(7) & 2.2(6) & 1.4(6) & 6.7(6) \\ \text { C11 } & 12.8(7) & 17.9(8) & 18.8(8) & 4.0(6) & 3.9(6) & 5.9(6) \\ \text { C12 } & 10.7(7) & 19.3(8) & 16.5(7) & 4.8(6) & 2.4(6) & 6.4(6) \\ \text { C13 } & 14.7(7) & 20.3(8) & 19.8(8) & 2.6(6) & 4.1(6) & 7.2(6) \\ \text { C14 } & 17.5(8) & 30.3(10) & 19.4(8) & 2.0(7) & 6.0(6) & 11.4(7) \\ \text { C15 } & 18.2(8) & 32.3(10) & 23.4(9) & 9.2(7) & 10.8(7) & 10.5(7) \\ \text { C16 } & 16.3(7) & 23.3(9) & 25.4(9) & 8.6(7) & 8.5(7) & 7.4(7) \\ \text { O1A } & 20.7(6) & 15.9(6) & 13.6(5) & 4.9(4) & 5.1(4) & 10.0(5) \\ \text { O2A } & 17.5(6) & 18.9(6) & 15.2(6) & 3.8(5) & 0.7(4) & 4.8(5) \\ \text { O3A } & 20.8(6) & 15.5(6) & 24.1(6) & 1.9(5) & -1.6(5) & 9.6(5) \\ \text { O4A } & 18.9(5) & 12.6(5) & 16.1(6) & 2.3(4) & 2.6(4) & 4.6(4) \\ \text { O5A } & 17.4(5) & 17.4(6) & 21.3(6) & 4.5(5) & 6.1(5) & 5.6(5) \\ \text { O6A } & 29.1(6) & 15.2(6) & 20.7(6) & 2.4(5) & 4.2(5) & 8.3(5) \\ \text { N1A } & 16.1(6) & 13.5(6) & 15.1(6) & 1.8(5) & 3.2(5) & 6.9(5) \\ \text { C1A } & 14.5(7) & 12.6(7) & 12.7(7) & 2.3(6) & 3.1(6) & 5.8(6) \\ \text { C2A } & 12.9(7) & 13.3(7) & 14.9(7) & 3.2(6) & 2.3(6) & 4.6(6) \\ \text { C3A } & 13.9(7) & 22.4(8) & 16.3(8) & 5.1(6) & 4.3(6) & 8.8(6) \\ \text { C4A } & 14.4(7) & 22.3(8) & 20.6(8) & 6.8(7) & 5.7(6) & 4.5(6) \\ \text { C5A } & 17.3(7) & 18.9(8) & 21.1(8) & 7.4(7) & 5.8(6) & 4.4(6) \\ \text { C6A } & 14.8(7) & 16.6(8) & 15.0(7) & 4.7(6) & 1.1(6) & 6.3(6) \\ \text { C7A } & 12.5(7) & 12.8(7) & 16.9(7) & 1.3(6) & 0.1(6) & 4.3(6) \\ \text { C8A } & 11.7(7) & 12.8(7) & 16.5(7) & 1.9(6) & 2.9(6) & 5.0(6) \\ \text { C9A } & 14.2(7) & 19.9(8) & 16.6(7) & 4.9(6) & 3.5(6) & 9.5(6) \\ \text { C10A } & 17.4(7) & 18.4(8) & 14.9(7) & 1.5(6) & -0.3(6) & 11.0(6) \\ \text { C11A } & 15.3(7) & 27.2(9) & 15.5(7) & 3.1(7) & 2.7(6) & 12.2(7) \\ \text { C12A } & 17.8(7) & 25.6(9) & 15.4(7) & 2.2(6) & 1.3(6) & 13.7(7) \\ \text { C13A } & 28.0(9) & 29.4(10) & 19.5(8) & -0.5(7) & 2.1(7) & 18.9(8) \\ \text { C14A } & 30.2(9) & 48.4(12) & 16.9(8) & -1.2(8) & 4.2(7) & 26.2(9) \\ \text { C15A } & 23.2(9) & 52.5(13) & 18.1(8) & 5.9(8) & 8.1(7) & 19.6(9) \\ \text { C16A } & 16.7(8) & 36.1(10) & 19.8(8) & 5.5(7) & 5.6(6) & 11.6(7) \\ \text { O1S } & 22.5(6) & 19.0(6) & 25.4(6) & 2.1(5) & 5.9(5) & 7.1(5) \\ \text { C1S } & 24.7(9) & 30.1(10) & 28.4(9) & 6.9(8) & 10.6(7) & 11.9(8) \\ \text { O2S } & 34.4(14) & 27.8(15) & 31.3(14) & 9.0(12) & 15.4(12) & 14.0(12) \\ \text { C2S } & 36(2) & 49(3) & 54(3) & -5(2) & 19(2) & 13(2) \\ & & & & & & 10\end{array}\right)$

Table 4 Bond Lengths for don1x. Atom Atom Length/Å
O1 C2 1.4312 (18)
O2 C6 1.4459(18)
O3 C7 1.4343(18)
O4 C8 1.4299(18)
O5 C9 1.208(2)
O6 C10 1.2054(19)
N1 C1 1.472(2)
N1 C9 1.405(2)
N1 C10 1.402(2)
C1 C2 1.546(2)
C1 C8 $1.544(2)$
C2 C3 $\quad 1.530(2)$
C3 C4 1.505(2)
C4 C5 1.331(2)
C5 C6 1.507(2)
C6 C7 1.524(2)
C7 C8 1.545(2)
C9 C11 1.489(2)
C10 C12 1.490(2)
C11 C12 $1.390(2)$
C11 C16 1.385(2)
C12 C13 1.381(2)
C13 C14 1.396(2)
C14 C15 1.394(3)
C15 C16 1.395(3)
O1A C2A 1.4226(19)

| Atom Atom | Length/Å |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| O2A C6A | $1.4441(18)$ |
| O3A C7A | $1.4212(19)$ |
| O4A C8A | $1.4346(19)$ |
| O5A C9A | $1.218(2)$ |
| O6A C10A | $1.205(2)$ |
| N1A C1A | $1.471(2)$ |
| N1A C9A | $1.398(2)$ |
| N1A C10A | $1.409(2)$ |
| C1A C2A | $1.549(2)$ |
| C1A C8A | $1.545(2)$ |
| C2A C3A | $1.526(2)$ |
| C3A C4A | $1.500(2)$ |
| C4A C5A | $1.328(2)$ |
| C5A C6A | $1.503(2)$ |
| C6A C7A | $1.531(2)$ |
| C7A C8A | $1.536(2)$ |
| C9A C11A | $1.488(2)$ |
| C10A C12A | $1.489(2)$ |
| C11A C12A | $1.386(2)$ |
| C11A C16A | $1.383(2)$ |
| C12A C13A | $1.387(2)$ |
| C13A C14A | $1.390(3)$ |
| C14A C15A | $1.391(3)$ |
| C15A C16A | $1.400(3)$ |
| O1S C1S | $1.436(2)$ |
| O2S C2S | $1.405(5)$ |

Table 5 Bond Angles for don1x.

| Atom Atom Atom | Angle ${ }^{\circ}$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C9 | N1 | C1 | $119.97(13)$ |
| C10 | N1 | C1 | $128.20(13)$ |
| C10 | N1 | C9 | $111.41(13)$ |
| N1 | C1 | C2 | $107.52(12)$ |


| Atom Atom Atom | Angle $^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| C9A N1A C1A | $126.61(13)$ |
| C9A N1A C10A | $111.62(13)$ |
| C10A N1A C1A | $121.65(13)$ |
| N1A C1A C2A | $108.60(12)$ |


| N1 | C1 | C8 | 112.38(12) | N1A C1A C8A | 109.40(12) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C8 | C1 | C2 | 116.86(13) | C8A C1A C2A | 118.68(13) |
| O1 | C2 | C1 | 111.33(12) | O1A C2A C1A | 112.26(12) |
| O1 | C2 | C3 | 110.56 (13) | O1A C2A C3A | 106.41(12) |
| C3 | C2 | C1 | 114.24 (12) | C3A C2A C1A | 114.35 (12) |
| C4 | C3 | C2 | 111.62 (13) | C4A C3A C2A | 113.05 (14) |
| C5 | C4 | C3 | 123.21(15) | C5A C4A C3A | 123.63(15) |
| C4 | C5 | C6 | 123.92(15) | C4A C5A C6A | 124.38(15) |
| O2 | C6 | C5 | 110.47 (13) | O2A C6A C5A | 106.54 (13) |
| O2 | C6 | C7 | 106.54(12) | O2A C6A C7A | 108.91(12) |
| C5 | C6 | C7 | 114.53(13) | C5A C6A C7A | 113.39(13) |
| O3 | C7 | C6 | 110.56(12) | O3A C7A C6A | 109.87(13) |
| O3 | C7 | C8 | 108.77(12) | O3A C7A C8A | 107.01 (13) |
| C6 | C7 | C8 | 116.17(12) | C6A C7A C8A | 117.71(13) |
| O4 | C8 | C1 | 111.21(12) | 04A C8A C1A | 113.14(12) |
| O4 | C8 | C7 | 108.71(12) | 04A C8A C7A | 108.80(12) |
| C1 | C8 | C7 | 115.16(12) | C7A C8A C1A | 116.07 (13) |
| O5 | C9 | N1 | 124.10(15) | 05A C9A N1A | 125.75(15) |
| O5 | C9 | C11 | 129.65(15) | 05A C9A C11A | 128.28(15) |
| N1 | C9 | C11 | 106.25 (13) | N1A C9A C11A | 105.93(14) |
| O6 | C10 | N1 | 126.07(15) | O6A C10A N1A | 124.18(16) |
| O6 | C10 | C12 | 127.99(15) | O6A C10A C12A | 130.08(16) |
| N1 | C10 | C12 | 105.94(13) | N1A C10A C12A | 105.73(14) |
| C12 | C11 | C9 | 107.87(14) | C12A C11A C9A | 108.43(15) |
| C16 | C11 | C9 | 130.53(15) | C16A C11A C9A | 129.68(16) |
| C16 | C11 | C12 | 121.59(16) | C16A C11A C12A | 121.89(16) |
| C11 | C12 | C10 | 108.45(14) | C11A C12A C10A | 108.21(14) |
| C13 | C12 | C10 | 129.69(15) | C11A C12A C13A | 121.61(17) |
| C13 | C12 | C11 | 121.86(15) | C13A C12A C10A | 130.17(17) |
| C12 | C13 | C14 | 117.06(16) | C12A C13A C14A | 116.98(18) |
| C15 | C14 | C13 | 121.08(16) | C13A C14A C15A | 121.50 (17) |
| C14 | C15 | C16 | 121.56(16) | C14A C15A C16A | 121.27(18) |
| C11 | C16 | C15 | 116.84(16) | C11A C16A C15A | 116.75(18) |

## Table 6 Hydrogen Bonds for don1x.

| $\mathbf{D}$ | $\mathbf{H}$ | $\mathbf{A}$ | $\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{D}-\mathbf{H}) / \mathbf{A}$ | $\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{H}-\mathbf{A}) / \mathbf{A}$ | $\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{D}-\mathbf{A}) / \mathbf{A}$ | $\mathbf{D} \mathbf{D - H - A} /{ }^{\circ}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| O1 | H1 | O4 | $0.81(2)$ | $2.16(2)$ | $2.7955(16)$ | $135(2)$ |
| O1 | H1 | O6 $^{1}$ | $0.81(2)$ | $2.21(2)$ | $2.7486(16)$ | $124(2)$ |
| O2 | H2 | O1S $^{2}$ | $0.85(3)$ | $1.90(3)$ | $2.7595(18)$ | $178(3)$ |
| O3 | H3 | O2A $^{3}$ | $0.86(2)$ | $1.91(2)$ | $2.7636(17)$ | $169(2)$ |
| O4 | H4 | O1A $^{2}$ | $0.85(3)$ | $1.88(3)$ | $2.7294(17)$ | $176(2)$ |
| O1A H1A O1 | $0.86(3)$ | $1.86(3)$ | $2.7124(16)$ | $176(2)$ |  |  |
| O2A H2A O4A $^{3}$ | $0.89(3)$ | $1.87(3)$ | $2.7537(16)$ | $168(2)$ |  |  |
| O3A H3A O2 $^{3}$ | $0.90(3)$ | $1.86(3)$ | $2.7496(17)$ | $171(2)$ |  |  |
| O4A H4A O5A | $0.86(3)$ | $2.02(3)$ | $2.7854(17)$ | $147(2)$ |  |  |
| O1S H1S O3 | $0.89(3)$ | $1.92(3)$ | $2.7837(17)$ | $163(2)$ |  |  |
| O2S H2S O2 | $1.00(7)$ | $1.84(7)$ | $2.835(3)$ | $172(6)$ |  |  |

${ }^{1} 2-X, 1-Y, 1-Z ;{ }^{2} 1-X,-Y,-Z ;{ }^{3} 1-X, 1-Y,-Z$

Table 7 Torsion Angles for don1x.

| A | B | C | D | Angle ${ }^{\circ}$ | A | B | C | D | Angle ${ }^{\circ}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O1 | C2 | C3 | C4 | 175.73(13) | 01A | C2A | C3A | C4A | 177.58(12) |
| O2 | C6 | C7 | O3 | 71.96 (15) | O2A | C6A | C7A | O3A | -72.06(15) |
| O2 | C6 | C7 | C8 | 163.48(12) | O2A | C6A | C7A | C8A | 165.18(13) |
| O3 | C7 | C8 | O4 | 176.05(12) | O3A | C7A | C8A | O4A | 177.60(11) |
| O3 | C7 | C8 | C1 | 58.42 (16) | O3A | C7A | C8A | C1A | -53.45(17) |
| O5 | C9 | C11 | C12 | 178.72(16) | 05A | C9A | C11A | C12A | 174.97(16) |
| O5 | C9 | C11 | C16 | -0.8(3) | 05A | C9A | C11A | C16A | 4.2 (3) |
| O6 | C10 | C12 | C11 | 177.37(15) | O6A | C10A | C12A | C11A | 179.58(16) |
| O6 | C10 | C12 | C13 | 1.8 (3) | O6A | C10A | C12A | C13A | -0.2(3) |
| N1 | C1 | C2 | O1 | -58.30(16) | N1A | C1A | C2A | O1A | 54.50 (16) |
| N1 | C1 | C2 | C3 | 175.60(12) | N1A | C1A | C2A | C3A | 175.86(12) |
| N1 | C1 | C8 | O4 | 101.06(14) | N1A | C1A | C8A | O4A | -94.55(15) |
| N1 | C1 | C8 | C7 | 134.71(13) ${ }^{-}$ | N1A | C1A | C8A | C7A | 138.63(13) |
| N1 | C9 | C11 | C12 | -0.30(16) | N1A | C9A | C11A | C12A | 2.69 (17) |
| N1 | C9 | C11 | C16 | - | N1A | C9A | C11A | C16A | - |


|  |  | 179.86(16) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N1 | C10 C12 C11 | 2.75 (16) |
| N1 | C10 C12 C13 | 178.05(15) |
| C1 | N1 C9 O5 | -3.8(2) |
| C1 | N1 C9 C11 | 175.31(12) |
| C1 | N1 C10 O6 | 4.6(2) |
| C1 | N1 C10 C12 | 175.49(13) |
| C1 | C2 $2 \mathrm{C} 3 \quad \mathrm{C} 4$ | -49.22(18) |
| C2 | C1 188 | -23.93(18) |
| C2 | C1 C8 87 | 100.30(15) |
| C2 | C3 3 C4 4 | 90.4(2) |
| C3 | C4 C5 C6 | 3.6 (3) |
| C4 | C5 C6 O2 | 153.48(16) |
| C4 | C5 C6 C7 | -86.2(2) |
| C5 | C6 6703 | -50.49(17) |
| C5 | C6 C7 C8 | 74.07 (17) |
| C6 | $\begin{array}{llll}\text { C7 } & \mathrm{C} 8 & \mathrm{O} 4\end{array}$ | 58.48 (17) |
| C6 | C7 C8 C1 | -67.05(17) |
| C8 | C1 C2 O1 | 69.10 (17) |
| C8 | C1 C2 C3 | -57.00(18) |
| C9 | $\mathrm{N} 1 \quad \mathrm{C} 1 \quad \mathrm{C} 2$ | -77.83(16) |
| C9 | N 1 C 1 C 8 | 152.20(13) |
| C9 | N1 C10 O6 | 177.11(15) |
| C9 | N1 C10 C12 | -3.00(16) |
| C9 | C11 C12 C10 | -1.50(17) |
| C9 | C11 C12 C13 | 179.22(14) |
| C9 | C11 C16 C15 | 179.98(15) |
|  | $0 \mathrm{~N} 1 \mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2$ | 94.09 (17) |
| C10 | 0 N1 C1 C8 | -35.9(2) |
| C10 | 0 N 1 C 9 O | 176.96(15) ${ }^{-}$ |
| C10 | 0 N 1 C 9 C 11 | 2.12 (16) |
|  | 0 C 12 C 13 C 14 | 178.13(15) |

178.18(16)

N1A C10A C12A C11A $0.14(17)$
N1A C10A C12A C13A $179.61(16)^{-}$
C1A N1A C9A O5A -1.1(2)
C1A N1A C9A C11A 178.81(13)
C1A N1A C10A O6A -1.5(2)
C1A N1A C10A C12A 178.01(12)
C1A C2A C3A C4A 53.06(18)
C2A C1A C8A O4A $30.76(19)$
C2A C1A C8A C7A -96.06(16)
C2A C3A C4A C5A -93.3(2)
C3A C4A C5A C6A -1.0(3)
C4A C5A C6A O2A 158.02(17)
C4A C5A C6A C7A 82.2(2)
C5A C6A C7A O3A 46.37(17)
C5A C6A C7A C8A -76.40 (18)
C6A C7A C8A O4A -58.20(17)
C6A C7A C8A C1A $70.76(18)$
C8A C1A C2A O1A $-71.20(17)$
C8A C1A C2A C3A $50.16(18)$
C9A N1A C1A C2A -83.61(17)
C9A N1A C1A C8A 47.33(19)
C9A N1A C10A O6A $177.85(15)^{-}$
C9A N1A C10AC12A $1.64(16)$
C9A C11A C12A C10A $-1.72(17)$
C9A C11AC12AC13A $178.05(14)$
C9A C11A C16A C15A $178.60(16)^{-}$
C10A N1A C1A C2A 100.59(15)
C10A N1A C1A C8A $128.46(14)$
C10A N1A C9A O5A 175.08(15)
C10A N1A C9A C11A -2.66(16)
C10A C12A C13A C14A $179.54(16)$

| C11 C12 C13 C14 | $1.0(2)$ | C11A C12A C13A C14A | $0.7(2)$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| C12 C11 C16 C15 | $0.5(2)$ | C12A C11A C16A C15A | $0.4(2)$ |
| C12 C13 C14 C15 | $-0.2(2)$ | C12A C13A C14A C15A | $0.4(3)$ |
| C13 C14 C15 C16 | $-0.5(3)$ | C13A C14A C15A C16A | $-1.1(3)$ |
| C14 C15 C16 C11 | $0.3(2)$ | C14A C15A C16A C11AA | $0.7(3)$ |
| C16 C11 C12 C10 | $178.12(14)$ | C16A C11A C12A C10A | $179.06(14)$ |
| C16 C11 C12 C13 | $-1.2(2)$ | C16A C11A C12A C13A | $-1.2(2)$ |

Table 8 Hydrogen Atom Coordinates $\left(\AA \times 10^{4}\right.$ ) and Isotropic Displacement Parameters $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)$ for don 1 x .

| Atom | $\boldsymbol{x}$ | $y$ | $z$ | U(eq) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H1 | 10300(20) | 3610 (20) | 4253 (17) | 28 (6) |
| H2 | 6570 (30) | 1450 (20) | -340(20) | 45 (7) |
| H3 | 4550 (30) | 2350 (20) | 1242 (18) | 34 (6) |
| H4 | 9050 (30) | 4670 (20) | 3128 (19) | 42 (7) |
| H1B | 6685 | 1598 | 3044 | 17 |
| H2B | 8744 | 1449 | 4134 | 18 |
| H3B | 9665 | 2341 | 2570 | 21 |
| H3C | 10097 | 1420 | 3127 | 21 |
| H4B | 7775 | -32 | 2126 | 23 |
| H5 | 6161 | 268 | 842 | 22 |
| H6 | 8300 | 2551 | 1284 | 19 |
| H7 | 6571 | 3377 | 1331 | 18 |
| H8 | 7035 | 3691 | 3054 | 17 |
| H13 | 7888 | 5073 | 6766 | 23 |
| H14 | 7060 | 4331 | 8031 | 27 |
| H15 | 6016 | 2368 | 7896 | 28 |
| H16 | 5728 | 1067 | 6484 | 26 |
| H1A | 9270 (30) | 6350 (20) | 4140 (20) | 40 (7) |
| H2A | 5600 (30) | 5950 (20) | -1083 (19) | 43 (7) |
| H3A | 5300 (30) | 7890 (20) | 595 (19) | 40 (7) |
| H4A | 6350 (30) | 5210 (20) | 2400 (20) | 48 (7) |
| H1AA | 8102 | 8072 | 2551 | 16 |
| H2AA | 10087 | 7744 | 3492 | 18 |
| H3AA | 10530 | 6666 | 2327 | 21 |
| H3AB | 8795 | 6004 | 1655 | 21 |
| H4AA | 10629 | 8264 | 1649 | 24 |


| H5A | 8747 | 8182 | 313 | 24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H6A | 7127 | 5877 | 295 | 20 |
| H7A | 5020 | 6245 | 402 | 19 |
| H8A | 5548 | 6500 | 2103 | 17 |
| H13A | 8038 | 10064 | 5950 | 31 |
| H14A | 7039 | 9376 | 7158 | 37 |
| H15A | 5931 | 7427 | 7054 | 36 |
| H16A | 5708 | 6076 | 5699 | 29 |
| H1S | 3930 (30) | 400 (20) | 1275 (19) | 42 (7) |
| H1SA | 2134 | 364 | 35 | 41 |
| H1SB | 1621 | 303 | 989 | 41 |
| H1SC | 1067 | -838 | 144 | 41 |
| H2S | 7430(70) | 3460 (60) | -80 (50) | 73 (19) |
| H2SA | 9529 | 4234 | -419 | 72 |
| H2SB | 9855 | 4548 | 775 | 72 |
| H2SC | 9789 | 5483 | 113 | 72 |



Table 1 Crystal data and structure refinement for ( $\pm$ )-II-54.

Identification code
Empirical formula
Formula weight
Temperature/K
Crystal system
Space group
a/Å
b/Å
c/Å
$\alpha /{ }^{\circ}$
$\beta /{ }^{\circ}$
$\gamma^{\circ} \quad 90.00$
Volume/A ${ }^{3}$
Z
$\rho_{\text {calc }} \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mm}^{3}$
$\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$
F(000)
Crystal size $/ \mathrm{mm}^{3}$
$2 \Theta$ range for data collection
Index ranges
Reflections collected
Independent reflections
Data/restraints/parameters
Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$
Final R indexes $[\mathrm{I}>=2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})]$
Final R indexes [all data]
don2a
$\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NO}_{5}$
299.27
100.00(10)
orthorhombic
Pbca
15.3092(4)
7.2876(2)
23.3953(6)
90.00
90.00
2610.17(12)

8
1.523
0.962
1248.0
$0.2135 \times 0.0449 \times 0.0185$
7.56 to $141.94^{\circ}$
$-18 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 18,-8 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 8,-28 \leq 1 \leq 22$
11665
2444 [R(int) $=0.0364]$
2444/0/199
1.028
$\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0361, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0898$
$\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0438, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0942$

Largest diff. peak/hole / e $\AA^{-3} \quad 0.23 /-0.26$
Table 2 Fractional Atomic Coordinates $\left(\times 10^{4}\right)$ and Equivalent Isotropic
Displacement Parameters $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)$ for don 2 a . $U_{\text {eq }}$ is defined as $1 / 3$ of of the trace of the orthogonalised $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{IJ}}$ tensor.

|  | Atom | $\boldsymbol{x}$ | $y$ |  | $z$ | U(eq) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O1 |  | $6456.8(7)$ |  | 5304.9(17) | $7284.1($ 5) | $\begin{array}{r} 26.01 \\ 3) \end{array}$ |
| O2 |  | $\begin{array}{r} 4759.3(7) \\ ) \end{array}$ |  | 4653.6(14) | $\begin{array}{r} 7006.5( \\ 5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18.3( \\ 2) \end{array}$ |
| O3 |  | $\begin{array}{r} 5167.6(7) \\ ) \end{array}$ |  | 5725.8(14) | $\begin{array}{r} 6528.9( \\ 5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19.7( \\ 2) \end{array}$ |
| O4 |  | $5034.2(6)$ |  | 2702.5(15) | $\begin{array}{r} 4739.9( \\ 5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19.8( \\ 2) \end{array}$ |
| O5 |  | $7569.8(7)$ |  | 2834.3(16) | $\begin{array}{r} 5779.3( \\ 5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 23.31 \\ 3) \end{array}$ |
| N1 |  | 6181.7(8) |  | 3009.7(16) | $\begin{array}{r} 5378.5( \\ 5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14.4( \\ 3) \end{array}$ |
| C1 |  | $\begin{array}{r} 5607.2(9) \end{array}$ |  | 3385.2 (19) | $5870.11$ <br> 6) | $\begin{array}{r} 14.3( \\ 3) \end{array}$ |
| C2 |  | $\begin{array}{r} 5281.5(9) \end{array}$ |  | 1611.9(19) | $6132.7 \text { ( }$ 6) | $\begin{array}{r} 17.0( \\ 3) \end{array}$ |
| C3 |  | $\begin{array}{r} 5069.2(1 \\ 0) \end{array}$ |  | 1445 (2) | $\begin{array}{r} 6761.4( \\ 7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19.8( \\ 3) \end{array}$ |
| C4 |  | $\begin{array}{r} 5229.6(1 \\ 0) \end{array}$ |  | 3035 (2) | $\begin{array}{r} 7167.1( \\ 6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18.5( \\ 3) \end{array}$ |
| C5 |  | $\begin{array}{r} 6197.4(1 \\ 0) \end{array}$ |  | 3414(2) | $\begin{array}{r} 7227.6( \\ 7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 23.0( \\ 3) \end{array}$ |
| C6 |  | $\begin{array}{r} 6575.9(1 \\ 0) \end{array}$ |  | 4376(2) | $\begin{array}{r} 6741.1( \\ 7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 22.31 \\ 3) \end{array}$ |
| C7 |  | $\begin{array}{r} 5928.4(9) \end{array}$ |  | 4909.8(19) | $\begin{array}{r} 6278.3( \\ 6) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17.0( \\ 3) \end{array}$ |
| C8 |  | $\begin{array}{r} 5817.1(1 \\ 1) \end{array}$ |  | 362 (2) | $\begin{array}{r} 6501.5( \\ 7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 23.7( \\ 3) \end{array}$ |
| C9 |  | $\begin{array}{r} 5808.9(9) \end{array}$ |  | 2628.1(18) | $4843.21$ <br> 6) | $\begin{array}{r} 15.0( \\ 3) \end{array}$ |
| C10 |  | $\begin{array}{r} 7084.7(9) \end{array}$ |  | 2703.7(18) | $5373.31$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15.7( \\ 3) \end{array}$ |
| C11 |  | 6542.0(9) |  | 2139.6(18) | $4457.61$ 6) | $\begin{array}{r} 14.9( \\ 3) \end{array}$ |
| C12 |  | 7308.2(9) |  | 2206.5(18) | $4772.61$ <br> 6) | $\begin{array}{r} 15.3( \\ 3) \end{array}$ |
| C13 |  | $8114.1(9)$ |  | 1867.2(19) | $4527.7($ 6) | $\begin{array}{r} 17.2( \\ 3) \end{array}$ |
| C14 |  | $\begin{array}{r} 8120.1(9) \end{array}$ |  | 1401 (2) | $\begin{array}{r} 3946.8( \\ 7) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18.4( \\ 3) \end{array}$ |


| C15 | $7348.3 \text { (1 }$ <br> 0 ) | 1310.1(19) | $3632.5($ <br> 6) | $18.1 \text { ( }$ 3) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C16 | $6540.7(9$ <br> ) | 1706.5(19) | $3882.2($ <br> 6) | $16.51$ 3) |

## Table 3 Anisotropic Displacement Parameters $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)$ for don2a. The Anisotropic

 displacement factor exponent takes the form: $-2 \pi^{2}\left[h^{2} a^{* 2} U_{11}+\ldots+2 h k a \times b \times U_{12}\right]$| Atom | $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{1 1}}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{2 2}}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{3 3}}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{2 3}}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{1 3}}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{1 2}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| O1 | $23.4(5)$ | $32.7(6)$ | $21.9(6)$ | $-12.6(5)$ | $-0.8(4)$ | $-5.1(4)$ |
| O2 | $18.8(5)$ | $18.8(5)$ | $17.3(5)$ | $1.5(4)$ | $5.5(4)$ | $0.3(4)$ |
| O3 | $24.8(5)$ | $16.7(5)$ | $17.7(5)$ | $2.9(4)$ | $7.5(4)$ | $3.0(4)$ |
| O4 | $12.6(5)$ | $28.4(5)$ | $18.4(5)$ | $-1.5(4)$ | $-1.4(4)$ | $0.4(4)$ |
| O5 | $16.6(5)$ | $35.7(6)$ | $17.6(6)$ | $-4.7(4)$ | $-3.0(4)$ | $5.2(4)$ |
| N1 | $12.1(5)$ | $18.0(5)$ | $13.2(6)$ | $-0.3(4)$ | $0.6(5)$ | $0.2(4)$ |
| C1 | $13.4(6)$ | $16.4(6)$ | $13.1(7)$ | $-0.9(5)$ | $0.5(5)$ | $0.8(5)$ |
| C2 | $20.4(7)$ | $15.8(6)$ | $14.7(7)$ | $-2.4(5)$ | $2.2(5)$ | $-2.5(5)$ |
| C3 | $26.1(7)$ | $16.8(7)$ | $16.6(7)$ | $0.9(5)$ | $3.2(6)$ | $-2.3(5)$ |
| C4 | $22.3(7)$ | $18.2(7)$ | $15.0(7)$ | $1.3(5)$ | $0.6(6)$ | $1.2(6)$ |
| C5 | $24.2(8)$ | $26.2(8)$ | $18.6(7)$ | $-5.6(6)$ | $-5.8(6)$ | $3.9(6)$ |
| C6 | $17.2(7)$ | $27.7(7)$ | $22.0(8)$ | $-11.7(6)$ | $-1.7(6)$ | $-1.9(6)$ |
| C7 | $18.2(7)$ | $15.0(6)$ | $17.8(7)$ | $-2.8(5)$ | $5.5(5)$ | $-2.1(5)$ |
| C8 | $33.3(8)$ | $16.3(7)$ | $21.4(8)$ | $0.0(6)$ | $3.2(7)$ | $3.1(6)$ |
| C9 | $15.6(6)$ | $13.3(6)$ | $16.1(7)$ | $0.9(5)$ | $0.6(5)$ | $0.2(5)$ |
| C10 | $14.0(6)$ | $16.0(6)$ | $17.1(7)$ | $0.2(5)$ | $-0.1(6)$ | $1.3(5)$ |
| C11 | $14.1(7)$ | $12.7(6)$ | $17.9(7)$ | $0.3(5)$ | $1.0(5)$ | $0.5(5)$ |
| C12 | $16.2(7)$ | $13.4(5)$ | $16.2(7)$ | $0.3(5)$ | $0.1(5)$ | $-0.2(5)$ |
| C13 | $14.1(7)$ | $17.5(6)$ | $20.0(7)$ | $0.3(5)$ | $0.1(5)$ | $0.8(5)$ |
| C14 | $16.5(7)$ | $17.5(6)$ | $21.2(7)$ | $0.8(6)$ | $4.6(6)$ | $1.4(5)$ |
| C15 | $21.2(7)$ | $17.4(6)$ | $15.9(7)$ | $-0.8(5)$ | $1.9(6)$ | $0.5(5)$ |
| C16 | $16.5(7)$ | $15.7(6)$ | $17.2(7)$ | $-0.4(5)$ | $-1.3(5)$ | $-0.2(5)$ |

## Table 4 Bond Lengths for don2a.

Atom Atom Length/Å

| O 1 | C 5 | $1.440(2)$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| O 1 | C 6 | $1.4512(18)$ |
| O 2 | O 3 | $1.4999(14)$ |
| O 2 | C 4 | $1.4319(18)$ |
| O 3 | C 7 | $1.4331(17)$ |

Atom Atom Length/Å

| C3 | C4 | $1.518(2)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C3 | C8 | $1.518(2)$ |
| C4 | C5 | $1.514(2)$ |
| C5 | C6 | $1.457(2)$ |
| C6 | C7 | $1.518(2)$ |


| O 4 | C 9 | $1.2116(18)$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| O 5 | C 10 | $1.2095(19)$ |
| N 1 | C 1 | $1.4736(18)$ |
| N 1 | C 9 | $1.4040(18)$ |
| N 1 | C 10 | $1.4004(18)$ |
| C 1 | C 2 | $1.5152(19)$ |
| C 1 | C 7 | $1.5454(19)$ |
| C 2 | C 3 | $1.511(2)$ |
| C 2 | C 8 | $1.499(2)$ |

Table 5 Bond Angles for don2a.

| Atom Atom Atom | Angle ${ }^{\circ}$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| C5 | O1 | C6 | $60.52(10)$ |
| C4 | O2 | O3 | $114.52(10)$ |
| C7 | O3 | O2 | $115.29(10)$ |
| C9 | N1 | C1 | $119.37(11)$ |
| C10 | N1 | C1 | $128.72(12)$ |
| C10 | N1 | C9 | $111.22(12)$ |
| N1 | C1 | C2 | $110.76(11)$ |
| N1 | C1 | C7 | $115.21(11)$ |
| C2 | C1 | C7 | $117.87(12)$ |
| C3 | C2 | C1 | $122.27(12)$ |
| C8 | C2 | C1 | $124.86(13)$ |
| C8 | C2 | C3 | $60.55(10)$ |
| C2 | C3 | C4 | $120.80(13)$ |
| C2 | C3 | C8 | $59.32(10)$ |
| C8 | C3 | C4 | $121.69(14)$ |
| O2 | C4 | C3 | $112.55(12)$ |
| O2 | C4 | C5 | $111.51(12)$ |
| C5 | C4 | C3 | $110.86(13)$ |
| O1 | C5 | C4 | $116.93(13)$ |
| O1 | C5 | C6 | $60.11(10)$ |
| C6 | C5 | C4 | $113.81(13)$ |
| O1 | C6 | C5 | $59.36(10)$ |


| C 9 | C 11 | $1.4833(19)$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| C 10 | C 12 | $1.491(2)$ |
| C 11 | C 12 | $1.386(2)$ |
| C 11 | C 16 | $1.383(2)$ |
| C 12 | C 13 | $1.383(2)$ |
| C 13 | C 14 | $1.401(2)$ |
| C 14 | C 15 | $1.393(2)$ |
| C 15 | C 16 | $1.398(2)$ |

Table 6 Torsion Angles for don2a.

| A B | C D | Angle ${ }^{\circ}$ | A | B | C | D | Angle ${ }^{\circ}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O1 C5 | C6 C7 | $105.48(14)^{-}$ | C3 | C4 | C5 | C6 | 76.44(16) |
| O1 C6 | C7 O3 | -19.91(17) | C4 | O2 | O3 | C7 | 4.25 (16) |
| O1 C6 | C7 C1 | $142.90(13)$ | C4 | C3 | C8 | C2 | 109.48(16) |
| O2 O3 | C7 C1 | 78.54 (14) | C4 | C5 | C6 | O1 | 108.50(14) |
| O2 O3 | C7 C6 | -50.48(15) | C4 | C5 | C6 | C7 | 3.02 (19) |
| O 2 C 4 | C5 O1 | 17.44(19) | C5 | O1 | C6 | C7 | 105.26(15) |
| O 2 C 4 | C5 C6 | -49.81(18) | C5 | C6 | C7 | O3 | 46.29 (17) |
| O3 O2 | C4 C3 | -79.05(14) | C5 | C6 | C7 | C1 | -76.70(17) |
| O3 O2 | C4 C5 | 46.26(16) | C6 | O1 | C5 | C4 | 103.32(15) |
| O4 C9 | C11 C12 | 178.67(14) | C7 | C1 | C2 | C3 | 14.18 (19) |
| O4 C9 | C11 C16 | 2.4 (2) | C7 | C1 | C2 | C8 | -60.23(18) |
| O5 C10 | C12 C11 | 177.19(14) | C8 | C2 | C3 | C4 | 110.94(16) |
| O5 C10 | C12 C13 | -3.6(2) | C8 | C3 | C4 | O2 | 129.60(14) |
| N1 C1 | C2 C3 | 149.88(13) | C8 | C3 | C4 | C5 | 3.9 (2) |
| N1 C1 | C2 C8 | 75.47(17) | C9 | N1 | C1 | C2 | 81.89(15) |
| N1 C1 | C7 O3 | 151.02(11) | C9 | N1 | C1 | C7 | 141.15(13) |
| N1 C1 | C7 C6 | -84.39(16) | C9 | N1 |  |  | 176.30(14) |
| N1 C9 | C11 C12 | 1.25(14) | C9 | N1 |  | C12 | 4.01 (15) |
| N1 C9 | C11 C16 | 177.63(14) | C9 |  | C12 | 2 C 10 | 1.15(14) |
| N1 C10 | C12 C11 | -3.13(14) | C9 |  |  | 2 C 13 | 178.16(12) |
| N1 C10 | C12 C13 | 176.11(14) | C9 |  |  | 6 C 15 | 179.68 (13) |
| C1 N1 | C9 O4 | 5.3 (2) | C10 |  | C1 | C2 | -87.71(16) |
| C1 N1 | C9 C11 | 174.66(11) | C10 | N1 |  | C7 | 49.26 (19) |
| C1 N1 | C10 O5 | -6.0(2) | C10 | N1 | C9 | O4 | 176.57(13) |
| C1 N1 | C10 C12 | 174.29(12) | C10 |  | C9 | C11 | -3.35(15) |
| C1 C2 | C3 C4 | -3.9(2) | C10 | C12 | 2 C 13 | C14 | 179.17(13) |
| C1 C2 | C3 C8 | 114.81(16) |  | C12 | 2 C 13 | C14 | -1.7(2) |
| C1 C2 | C8 C3 | 110.74(16) | C12 |  | 1 C 16 | 6 C 15 | 0.9 (2) |
| C2 C1 | C7 O3 | -75.19(15) | C12 | C13 | 3 C 14 | C15 | 0.8 (2) |


| C2 C1 | C7 | C6 | $49.40(18)$ | C13 C14 C15 C16 | $1.0(2)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| C2 C3 | C4 | O2 | $58.88(18)$ | C14 C15 C16 C11 | $-1.8(2)$ |
| C2 C3 | C4 | C5 | $-66.79(18)$ | C16 C11 C12 C10 | $179.86(12)$ |
| C3 C4 | C5 51 | O143.69(13) | C16 C11 C12 C13 | $0.8(2)$ |  |

Table 7 Hydrogen Atom Coordinates $\left(\mathbf{A} \times 10^{4}\right)$ and Isotropic Displacement Parameters $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)$ for don2a.

| Atom | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | U(eq) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H1 | 5072 | 3925 | 5692 | 17 |
| H2 | 4860 | 939 | 5882 | 20 |
| H3 | 4536 | 704 | 6848 | 24 |
| H4 | 5010 | 2654 | 7552 | 22 |
| H5 | 6564 | 2465 | 7422 | 28 |
| H6 | 7184 | 4047 | 6623 | 27 |
| H7 | 6214 | 5872 | 6038 | 20 |
| H8A | 6420 | 749 | 6596 | 28 |
| H8B | 5738 | -977 | 6453 | 28 |
| H13 | 8639 | 1946 | 4743 | 21 |
| H14 | 8660 | 1142 | 3764 | 22 |
| H15 | 7371 | 972 | 3241 | 22 |
| H16 | 6015 | 1680 | 3667 | 20 |



Table 1 Crystal data and structure refinement for ( $\pm$ )-II-56.

Identification code
Empirical formula
Formula weight
Temperature/K
Crystal system
Space group
a/Å
b/Å
c/Å
$\alpha{ }^{\circ}$
$\beta /{ }^{\circ}$
$\gamma /{ }^{\circ}$
Volume/ $\AA^{3}$
Z
$\rho_{\text {calc }} \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mm}^{3}$
$\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$
F(000)
Crystal size $/ \mathrm{mm}^{3}$
$2 \Theta$ range for data collection
Index ranges
Reflections collected
Independent reflections
Data/restraints/parameters
Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$
don2b4
$\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{7}$
351.35
100.00(10)
monoclinic
P2 ${ }_{1} / \mathrm{c}$
14.4945(17)
10.6522(10)
11.1524(14)
90.00
108.353(13)
90.00
1634.3(3)

4
1.428
0.941
744.0
$0.32 \times 0.06 \times 0.05$
10.5 to $141.98^{\circ}$
$-13 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 17,-12 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 10,-13 \leq 1 \leq 13$
6895
$3035[\mathrm{R}($ int $)=0.0290]$
3035/0/247
1.029

Final R indexes $[\mathrm{I}>=2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})] \quad \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0377, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0966$
Final R indexes [all data] $\quad \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0453, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.1012$
Largest diff. peak/hole / e $\AA^{-3} 0.32 /-0.19$

Table 2 Fractional Atomic Coordinates $\left(\times 10^{4}\right)$ and Equivalent Isotropic
Displacement Parameters $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)$ for don 2 b 4 . $U_{\text {eq }}$ is defined as $\mathbf{1 / 3}$ of of the trace of the orthogonalised $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{IJ}}$ tensor.

| Atom | $\boldsymbol{x}$ | $\boldsymbol{y}$ | $\boldsymbol{z}$ | $\boldsymbol{U}(\mathbf{e q})$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| O1 | $4424.5(8)$ | $711.1(11)$ | $5815.9(10)$ | $21.9(3)$ |
| O2 | $5162.9(9)$ | $-352.6(11)$ | $8203.9(11)$ | $23.0(3)$ |
| O3 | $4726.1(8)$ | $1564.2(11)$ | $9544.4(10)$ | $19.7(2)$ |
| O4 | $3804.8(8)$ | $3721.3(10)$ | $8311.1(10)$ | $17.9(2)$ |
| O5 | $2744.4(9)$ | $4729.8(11)$ | $4688.6(11)$ | $24.8(3)$ |
| O6 | $1397.4(8)$ | $3195.9(13)$ | $7587.4(11)$ | $29.0(3)$ |
| N1 | $2255.9(9)$ | $3707.7(13)$ | $6218.7(12)$ | $17.8(3)$ |
| C1 | $2921.7(11)$ | $2620.9(14)$ | $6409.4(14)$ | $16.2(3)$ |
| C2 | $2336.6(11)$ | $1506.3(16)$ | $5736.7(14)$ | $20.1(3)$ |
| C3 | $2779.0(12)$ | $231.9(16)$ | $5731.1(15)$ | $21.6(3)$ |
| C4 | $3840.6(12)$ | $-15.6(15)$ | $6383.1(14)$ | $19.2(3)$ |
| C5 | $4227.1(11)$ | $238.4(15)$ | $7791.9(14)$ | $17.2(3)$ |
| C6 | $4365.9(11)$ | $1615.7(15)$ | $8193.6(13)$ | $15.7(3)$ |
| C7 | $3477.7(11)$ | $2495.9(14)$ | $7835.1(14)$ | $15.1(3)$ |
| C8 | $2039.4(12)$ | $428.4(17)$ | $6411.5(16)$ | $24.9(4)$ |
| C9 | $2246.5(11)$ | $4679.9(15)$ | $5372.1(14)$ | $18.5(3)$ |
| C10 | $1572.6(11)$ | $3902.4(17)$ | $6839.7(14)$ | $21.1(3)$ |
| C11 | $1504.2(11)$ | $5599.3(16)$ | $5491.9(15)$ | $21.3(3)$ |
| C12 | $1109.6(11)$ | $5137.3(17)$ | $6379.8(15)$ | $23.1(4)$ |
| C13 | $418.2(12)$ | $5813(2)$ | $6729.0(17)$ | $31.8(4)$ |
| C14 | $136.5(13)$ | $6967(2)$ | $6142(2)$ | $38.6(5)$ |
| C15 | $521.8(14)$ | $7409.5(19)$ | $5233(2)$ | $36.9(5)$ |
| C16 | $1220.3(13)$ | $6734.3(17)$ | $4888.5(18)$ | $29.2(4)$ |
| O7 | $6104.2(9)$ | $1784.5(13)$ | $5855.6(12)$ | $29.6(3)$ |
| C17 | $6828.8(14)$ | $2087(2)$ | $6986.8(19)$ | $37.3(5)$ |


| Atom | $\mathbf{U 1 1}_{11}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{22}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{33}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{23}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{13}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{12}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O1 | 26.2 (6) | 29.6(6) | 12.5 (5) | 2.7 (4) | 9.6(4) | -1.1(5) |
| O2 | 29.0 (6) | 29.0 (7) | 13.5 (5) | 4.9 (5) | 10.1(5) | 10.9(5) |
| O3 | 24.5 (6) | 22.9 (6) | 10.7 (5) | 1.0 (4) | 4.0 (4) | -2.2(5) |
| O4 | 25.3 (6) | 16.9(6) | 13.3(5) | -1.7(4) | 8.7 (4) | -2.6(4) |
| O5 | 30.2 (6) | 27.7 (7) | 21.6(6) | 7.1 (5) | 15.3(5) | 5.7 (5) |
| O6 | 23.6 (6) | 48.0 (8) | 19.2 (6) | 5.8 (5) | 12.0(5) | 2.0 (5) |
| N1 | 19.1(6) | 23.2 (7) | 13.5(6) | 1.0 (5) | 8.7 (5) | 3.4 (5) |
| C1 | 18.4(7) | 18.5 (8) | 13.4 (7) | 0.5 (6) | 7.4 (6) | 2.8 (6) |
| C2 | 20.0 (7) | 24.7 (9) | 14.6(7) | -2.1(6) | 3.9 (6) | 0.5 (6) |
| C3 | 27.4(8) | 21.7 (8) | 15.9(7) | -4.9(6) | 7.0 (6) | -3.0(7) |
| C4 | 27.2 (8) | 18.7(8) | 14.3(7) | -1.1(6) | 10.4(6) | -0.1(6) |
| C5 | 21.8 (7) | 18.6(8) | 13.5 (7) | 1.9 (6) | 8.7 (6) | 2.6 (6) |
| C6 | 19.1(7) | 19.7 (8) | $9.2(7)$ | 0.8 (5) | 5.6 (5) | -0.8(6) |
| C7 | 19.1(7) | 15.4(7) | 12.2 (7) | -0.9(5) | 7.0 (6) | -1.7(6) |
| C8 | 22.6 (8) | 27.8 (9) | 25.5 (8) | -5.1(7) | 9.4(7) | -8.3(7) |
| C9 | 20.2 (7) | 20.3(8) | 14.9(7) | -1.4(6) | 5.1 (6) | 1.8 (6) |
| C10 | 17.0 (7) | 33.7 (10) | 13.7(7) | -3.6(6) | 6.5 (6) | $0.6(7)$ |
| C11 | 18.6 (7) | 22.8 (8) | 20.6 (8) | -6.0(6) | 3.3 (6) | 2.0 (6) |
| C12 | 17.6(7) | 31.3 (9) | 19.1(7) | -8.8(7) | 3.9 (6) | 2.7 (7) |
| C13 | 20.2 (8) | 47.9(12) | 26.7(9) | -14.5(8) | $6.7(7)$ | 5.1 (8) |
| C14 | 23.0 (9) | 42.4(12) | 44.1(12) | -22.4(9) | 1.3 (8) | 10.5 (8) |
| C15 | 29.8(10) | 27.3(10) | 44.8(12) | -10.4(8) | -1.0(8) | 8.5 (8) |
| C16 | 26.7 (8) | 24.2 (9) | 32.0 (9) | -2.0(7) | 2.6 (7) | 3.2 (7) |
| O7 | 30.5 (6) | 34.9 (7) | 21.4(6) | 2.6 (5) | 5.4 (5) | -0.5(5) |
| C17 | 30.9 (9) | 43.5(12) | 31.6(10) | -11.0(9) | 1.4(8) | 7.7 (8) |

Table 4 Bond Lengths for don2b4.
Atom Atom Length/Å

| O1 | C4 | $1.4328(19)$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| O2 | C5 | $1.4334(19)$ |
| O3 | C6 | $1.4317(17)$ |
| O4 | C7 | $1.4324(18)$ |
| O5 | C9 | $1.205(2)$ |
| O6 | C10 | $1.208(2)$ |

Atom Atom Length/Å

| C3 | C8 | $1.510(2)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C4 | C5 | $1.517(2)$ |
| C5 | C6 | $1.529(2)$ |
| C6 | C7 | $1.540(2)$ |
| C9 | C11 | $1.492(2)$ |
| C10 | C12 | $1.492(2)$ |


| N 1 | C 1 | $1.4791(19)$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| N 1 | C 9 | $1.399(2)$ |
| N 1 | C 10 | $1.3912(19)$ |
| C 1 | C 2 | $1.514(2)$ |
| C 1 | C 7 | $1.545(2)$ |
| C 2 | C 3 | $1.502(2)$ |
| C 2 | C 8 | $1.508(2)$ |
| C 3 | C 4 | $1.504(2)$ |


| C 11 | C 12 | $1.381(2)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C 11 | C 16 | $1.382(2)$ |
| C 12 | C 13 | $1.386(2)$ |
| C 13 | C 14 | $1.393(3)$ |
| C 14 | C 15 | $1.385(3)$ |
| C 15 | C 16 | $1.391(3)$ |
| O 7 | C 17 | $1.401(2)$ |

Table 5 Bond Angles for don2b4.

| Atom | Atom | Atom | Angle ${ }^{\circ}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C9 | N1 | C1 | 122.95(12) |
| C10 | N1 | C1 | 125.43(13) |
| C10 | N1 | C9 | 111.63(13) |
| N1 | C1 | C2 | 107.72(12) |
| N1 | C1 | C7 | 108.70(12) |
| C2 | C1 | C7 | 118.14(13) |
| C3 | C2 | C1 | 122.52 (13) |
| C3 | C2 | C8 | 60.23 (11) |
| C8 | C2 | C1 | 123.60(14) |
| C2 | C3 | C4 | 121.97(14) |
| C2 | C3 | C8 | 60.08(11) |
| C4 | C3 | C8 | 124.15(14) |
| O1 | C4 | C3 | 110.40(13) |
| O1 | C4 | C5 | 106.50(12) |
| C3 | C4 | C5 | 117.23(13) |
| O2 | C5 | C4 | 104.91(12) |
| O2 | C5 | C6 | 107.67(12) |
| C4 | C5 | C6 | 116.50(13) |
| O3 | C6 | C5 | 104.03(12) |
| O3 | C6 | C7 | 107.13(11) |
| C5 | C6 | C7 | 119.08(13) |


| Atom | Atom | Atom | Angle $/{ }^{\circ}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O4 | C7 | C1 | 107.90(12) |
| O4 | C7 | C6 | 107.85(12) |
| C6 | C7 | C1 | 116.23(12) |
| C2 | C8 | C3 | 59.69 (11) |
| O5 | C9 | N1 | 125.41(14) |
| O5 | C9 | C11 | 128.35(15) |
| N1 | C9 | C11 | 106.24 (13) |
| O6 | C10 | N1 | 126.02 (16) |
| O6 | C10 | C12 | 128.09(15) |
| N1 | C10 | C12 | 105.89(14) |
| C12 | C11 | C9 | 107.67(15) |
| C12 | C11 | C16 | 122.27(16) |
| C16 | C11 | C9 | 130.05 (16) |
| C11 | C12 | C10 | 108.52(14) |
| C11 | C12 | C13 | 121.08(18) |
| C13 | C12 | C10 | 130.38(17) |
| C12 | C13 | C14 | 117.21 (19) |
| C15 | C14 | C13 | 121.24(17) |
| C14 | C15 | C16 | 121.51(19) |
| C11 | C16 | C15 | 116.67(19) |

Table 6 Torsion Angles for don2b4.

| A B | C D | Angle ${ }^{\circ}$ | A | B | C | D | Angle ${ }^{\circ}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O1 C4 | C5 O2 | -69.45 (15) | C3 | C4 | C5 | C6 | -74.66(18) |
| O1 C4 | C5 C6 | 49.49 (17) | C4 | C3 | C8 | C2 | 110.36(17) |
| O2 C5 | C6 O3 | -62.81(14) | C4 | C5 | C6 | O3 | 179.76(12) |
| O2 C5 | C6 C7 | 178.09(11) | C4 | C5 | C6 | C7 | 60.66 (17) |
| O3 C6 | C7 O4 | 62.94(14) | C5 | C6 | C7 | O4 | 179.57(12) |
| O3 C6 | C7 C1 | 175.82(12) | C5 | C6 | C7 | C1 | -58.33(18) |
| O5 C9 | C11 C12 | 179.10(16) | C7 | C1 | C2 | C3 | -56.3(2) |
| O5 C9 | C11 C16 | -1.9(3) | C7 | C1 | C2 | C8 | 17.2 (2) |
| O6 C10 | C12 C11 | $177.52(17)^{-}$ | C8 | C2 | C3 | C4 | 113.85(16) |
| O6 C10 | C 12 C 13 | 4.0 (3) | C8 | C3 | C4 | O1 | 136.50(16) |
| N1 C1 | C2 C3 | 179.88(13) | C8 | C3 | C4 | C5 | -14.4(2) |
| N1 C1 | C2 C8 | $106.35(16)^{-}$ | C9 | N1 | C1 | C2 | 104.18(16) |
| N1 C1 | C7 O4 | -45.32(15) | C9 | N1 | C1 | C7 | 126.71(14) |
| N1 C1 | C7 C6 | 166.54(12) | C9 | N1 |  |  | 177.23(16) |
| N1 C9 | C11 C12 | -0.39(17) | C9 | N1 |  | C12 | -2.21(17) |
| N1 C9 | C11 C16 | 178.66(16) | C9 |  |  | C10 | -0.92 (18) |
| N1 C10 | C12 C11 | 1.91 (17) | C9 |  | C12 | C13 | 177.71(15) |
| N1 C10 | C12 C13 | 176.55(17) | C9 | C11 | C16 | C15 | 177.93(17) |
| C1 N1 | C9 O5 | 2.3 (2) | C10 |  | C1 | C2 | 75.95 (17) |
| C1 N1 | C9 C11 | 178.21(13) | C10 | N1 | C1 | C7 | -53.16(19) |
| C1 N1 | C10 O6 | -2.9(3) | C10 | N1 | C9 | O5 | 177.84(15) |
| C1 N1 | C10 C12 | 177.67(13) | C10 | N1 | C9 | C11 | 1.67 (17) |
| C1 C2 | C3 C4 | -0.8(2) | C10 |  | C13 | C14 | 178.70(17) |
| C1 C2 | C3 C8 | 113.04(17) | C11 |  | C13 | C14 | 0.4 (2) |
| C1 C2 | C8 C3 | 111.32 (16) |  | C11 | C16 | C15 | 1.0 (3) |
| C2 C1 | C7 O4 | 168.38(12) | C12 | C13 | C14 | C15 | 1.0(3) |

C2 C1 C7 C6 70.41(17)
C13 C14 C15 C16 -1.4(3)
C2 C3 C4 O1 -63.19(18)
C14 C15 C16 C11 0.4 (3)
C2 C3 C4 C5 59.0(2)
C16 C11 C12 C10 179.94(15)
C3 C4 C5 O2 166.41(13)
C16 C11 C12 C13 -1.4(3)

Table 7 Hydrogen Atom Coordinates $\left(\AA \times 10^{4}\right)$ and Isotropic Displacement Parameters $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)$ for don $2 b 4$.

| Atom | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | U(eq) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H1 | 4141(17) | 790 (20) | 4980 (30) | 48 (7) |
| H2 | 5316(19) | -540(20) | 8960 (30) | 53 (8) |
| H3 | 5159(16) | 2060 (20) | 9800 (20) | 28 (6) |
| H4 | 4132 (17) | 3990 (20) | 7920 (20) | 39 (7) |
| H1A | 3412 | 2818 | 5975 | 19 |
| H2A | 1837 | 1729 | 4917 | 24 |
| H3A | 2513 | -221 | 4909 | 26 |
| H4A | 3963 | -921 | 6251 | 23 |
| H5 | 3793 | -175 | 8215 | 21 |
| H6 | 4879 | 1988 | 7877 | 19 |
| H7 | 3012 | 2190 | 8268 | 18 |
| H8A | 1383 | 67 | 6028 | 30 |
| H8B | 2274 | 430 | 7347 | 30 |
| H13 | 148 | 5501 | 7343 | 38 |
| H14 | -329 | 7460 | 6369 | 46 |
| H15 | 304 | 8192 | 4836 | 44 |
| H16 | 1489 | 7038 | 4269 | 35 |
| H7A | 5580 (20) | 1240(30) | 6050(30) | 74 (9) |
| H17A | 6540 | 2154 | 7669 | 56 |
| H17B | 7127 | 2890 | 6890 | 56 |
| H17C | 7326 | 1428 | 7192 | 56 |



Table 1 Crystal data and structure refinement for ( $\pm$ )-II-57.

Identification code
Empirical formula
Formula weight
Temperature/K
Crystal system
Space group
a/Å
b/Å
c/Å
$\alpha{ }^{\circ}$
$\beta /{ }^{\circ}$
$\gamma /{ }^{\circ}$
Volume/Å ${ }^{3}$
Z
$\rho_{\text {calc }} \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mm}^{3}$
$\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$
F(000)
Crystal size $/ \mathrm{mm}^{3}$
$2 \Theta$ range for data collection
Index ranges
Reflections collected
Independent reflections
Data/restraints/parameters
Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$
Final R indexes $[\mathrm{I}>=2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})]$
Final R indexes [all data]
don1y5
$\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{5}$
301.29
100.00(10)
triclinic
P-1
7.40297(16)
10.1453(2)
18.2027(3)
82.2109(16)
85.4289(16)
84.8574(17)
1345.90(5)

4
1.487
0.934
632.0
$0.32 \times 0.15 \times 0.03$
8.82 to $141.9^{\circ}$
$-9 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 9,-12 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 12,-22 \leq 1 \leq 22$
9087
$9087[\mathrm{R}($ int $)=0.0000]$
9087/0/414
1.067
$\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0507, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.1441$
$\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0516, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.1452$

Largest diff. peak/hole / e $\AA^{-3} 0.34 /-0.29$
Table 2 Fractional Atomic Coordinates $\left(\times 10^{4}\right)$ and Equivalent Isotropic Displacement Parameters $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)$ for don $1 y 5 . U_{\text {eq }}$ is defined as $1 / 3$ of of the trace of the orthogonalised $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{IJ}}$ tensor.

| Atom | $\boldsymbol{x}$ | $y$ | $z$ | U(eq) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O1 | 535.8(16) | 1754.9(11) | -2247.3(6) | 29.5(3) |
| O2 | 4886.3(14) | 1517.9(11) | -1877.0(6) | 24.3(2) |
| O3 | 5698.3(14) | 1575.8(11) | -122.0(6) | 25.1(2) |
| O4 | 2030.7(14) | 512.8 (10) | 751.5 (6) | 23.7 (2) |
| O5 | $3459.2(15)$ | 4778.7(10) | 881.5 (6) | 27.5(2) |
| N1 | 2778.9(17) | 2713.6(12) | 617.0 (7) | 20.4 (3) |
| C1 | 2985.1(19) | 3027.4(14) | -195.5(7) | 19.8(3) |
| C2 | 1133.3(19) | 3443.3 (15) | -504.3(8) | 22.7 (3) |
| C3 | 415 (2) | 3002.8(15) | -1188.9(8) | 23.3(3) |
| C4 | 1493(2) | 2011.7(15) | -1643.0(8) | 22.9 (3) |
| C5 | 3335 (2) | 2506.1(15) | -1893.9(8) | 23.4(3) |
| C6 | 4674 (2) | 2462.2(14) | -1342.2(8) | 21.4(3) |
| C7 | 4156.8(19) | 1917.5(14) | -545.5 (8) | 19.7(3) |
| C8 | -395 (2) | 2539.0(17) | -423.6(9) | 27.5(3) |
| C9 | 2345.1(19) | 1497.3(14) | 1017.2(8) | 20.1 (3) |
| C10 | 3081.0(19) | 3644.8 (14) | 1087.5 (8) | 20.1 (3) |
| C11 | 2355.0(18) | 1667.6(14) | 1815.4 (8) | 19.8 (3) |
| C12 | 2814.3(19) | 2955.1(15) | 1859.2 (8) | 19.8(3) |
| C13 | 2965.5(19) | 3402.6(16) | 2533.4(8) | 23.9 (3) |
| C14 | 2647 (2) | 2501.7(17) | 3172.1 (8) | 27.0 (3) |
| C15 | 2180 (2) | 1216.7(17) | 3125.2 (8) | 27.6 (3) |
| C16 | 2021 (2) | 769.6(15) | 2442.9 (8) | 24.4(3) |
| O1A | 9365.3(16) | 3924.7(11) | 6819.5 (6) | 25.8(2) |
| O2A | 5165.6(14) | 4214.3(11) | 6336.3(6) | 25.9(2) |
| O3A | 4439.5(15) | 3475.8 (12) | 4691.4 (7) | 27.8(2) |
| O4A | 6342.8(16) | 28.7 (11) | 4020.7 (6) | 28.7(2) |
| 05A | 8522.3(14) | 4147.8(10) | 3838.9 (6) | 23.2 (2) |
| N1A | 7456.3(17) | 2053.7(12) | 4124.1 (7) | 19.9(3) |
| C1A | 7148 (2) | 1992.4(15) | 4936.8 (8) | 20.6(3) |
| C2A | 8912 (2) | 1632.0(15) | 5318.4 (8) | 22.4 (3) |
| C3A | 9561 (2) | 2283.9(15) | $5945.2(8)$ | 22.5 (3) |
| C4A | 8518 (2) | 3501.0(14) | 6222.1 (8) | 21.4 (3) |
| C5A | 6624 (2) | 3169.9(14) | 6492.1(8) | 22.6(3) |


| C6A | $5344(2)$ | $3045.1(15)$ | $5937.1(8)$ | $22.9(3)$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C7A | $5978.2(19)$ | $3264.1(15)$ | $5125.0(8)$ | $20.6(3)$ |
| C8A | $10565(2)$ | $2429.6(17)$ | $5188.5(8)$ | $27.3(3)$ |
| C9A | $6912.9(19)$ | $1068.0(14)$ | $3731.7(8)$ | $20.4(3)$ |
| C10A | $8017.8(18)$ | $3146.6(14)$ | $3644.6(8)$ | $18.6(3)$ |
| C11A | $7195.8(18)$ | $1584.8(14)$ | $2930.5(8)$ | $19.5(3)$ |
| C12A | $7844.6(18)$ | $2840.5(14)$ | $2877.2(8)$ | $18.9(3)$ |
| C13A | $8172(2)$ | $3604.7(15)$ | $2197.3(8)$ | $23.8(3)$ |
| C14A | $7828(2)$ | $3058.2(17)$ | $1563.6(9)$ | $27.7(3)$ |
| C15A | $7191(2)$ | $1793.5(17)$ | $1616.3(8)$ | $26.9(3)$ |
| C16A | $6861(2)$ | $1034.2(15)$ | $2306.9(8)$ | $23.7(3)$ |

Table 3 Anisotropic Displacement Parameters $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)$ for don1y5. The Anisotropic displacement factor exponent takes the form: $-2 \pi^{2}\left[h^{2} a^{* 2} U_{11}+\ldots+2 h k a \times b \times U_{12}\right]$

| Atom | $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{1 1}}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{2 2}}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{3 3}}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{2 3}}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{1 3}}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{1 2}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| O1 | $37.1(6)$ | $28.3(6)$ | $25.3(5)$ | $-3.7(5)$ | $-12.9(5)$ | $-4.4(5)$ |
| O2 | $26.7(5)$ | $27.8(5)$ | $19.3(5)$ | $-8.0(4)$ | $-0.2(4)$ | $-1.6(4)$ |
| O3 | $21.4(5)$ | $31.9(6)$ | $23.2(5)$ | $-7.2(4)$ | $-4.5(4)$ | $-0.4(4)$ |
| O4 | $28.0(5)$ | $22.1(5)$ | $22.2(5)$ | $-5.0(4)$ | $0.2(4)$ | $-7.6(4)$ |
| O5 | $36.4(6)$ | $22.6(5)$ | $24.9(5)$ | $-5.3(4)$ | $0.2(5)$ | $-8.6(4)$ |
| N1 | $24.7(6)$ | $22.0(6)$ | $15.2(6)$ | $-3.8(5)$ | $0.9(5)$ | $-5.5(5)$ |
| C1 | $24.1(7)$ | $20.9(6)$ | $14.9(6)$ | $-1.8(5)$ | $1.0(5)$ | $-7.0(5)$ |
| C2 | $22.7(7)$ | $24.9(7)$ | $20.4(7)$ | $-4.3(6)$ | $0.3(6)$ | $-1.0(6)$ |
| C3 | $21.4(7)$ | $27.0(7)$ | $21.2(7)$ | $-0.5(6)$ | $-3.7(6)$ | $-3.3(6)$ |
| C4 | $27.0(7)$ | $24.2(7)$ | $18.6(7)$ | $-1.2(5)$ | $-7.3(6)$ | $-4.7(6)$ |
| C5 | $31.1(8)$ | $22.2(7)$ | $16.8(6)$ | $-2.9(5)$ | $0.0(6)$ | $-2.6(6)$ |
| C6 | $23.7(7)$ | $21.8(7)$ | $19.5(7)$ | $-5.4(6)$ | $3.0(5)$ | $-5.1(5)$ |
| C7 | $19.1(6)$ | $24.4(7)$ | $16.7(6)$ | $-3.1(5)$ | $-1.9(5)$ | $-5.7(5)$ |
| C8 | $23.1(7)$ | $36.1(8)$ | $22.7(7)$ | $-0.5(6)$ | $-1.1(6)$ | $-4.9(6)$ |
| C9 | $18.6(7)$ | $23.7(7)$ | $18.3(7)$ | $-3.4(5)$ | $1.1(5)$ | $-4.2(5)$ |
| C10 | $18.3(6)$ | $23.0(7)$ | $19.9(7)$ | $-6.1(5)$ | $0.7(5)$ | $-3.6(5)$ |
| C11 | $16.1(6)$ | $25.6(7)$ | $18.1(7)$ | $-2.8(5)$ | $-0.8(5)$ | $-3.2(5)$ |
| C12 | $15.1(6)$ | $25.2(7)$ | $19.5(7)$ | $-5.0(6)$ | $0.1(5)$ | $-2.2(5)$ |
| C13 | $19.5(7)$ | $31.1(8)$ | $22.9(7)$ | $-9.1(6)$ | $-0.6(5)$ | $-4.1(6)$ |
| C14 | $19.7(7)$ | $43.7(9)$ | $18.5(7)$ | $-8.0(6)$ | $0.4(5)$ | $-3.7(6)$ |
| C15 | $22.0(7)$ | $40.0(9)$ | $19.2(7)$ | $2.3(6)$ | $0.9(6)$ | $-5.3(6)$ |
| C16 | $21.6(7)$ | $27.7(8)$ | $23.0(7)$ | $0.5(6)$ | $1.3(6)$ | $-4.5(6)$ |


| O1A | $31.9(6)$ | $27.5(5)$ | $19.4(5)$ | $-1.3(4)$ | $-5.4(4)$ | $-9.2(4)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| O2A | $26.2(5)$ | $29.2(6)$ | $22.8(5)$ | $-8.1(4)$ | $-0.2(4)$ | $1.3(4)$ |
| O3A | $23.2(5)$ | $34.6(6)$ | $26.0(5)$ | $-3.7(5)$ | $-5.2(4)$ | $-2.9(4)$ |
| O4A | $40.9(6)$ | $21.2(5)$ | $25.7(5)$ | $-3.2(4)$ | $-0.5(5)$ | $-12.0(5)$ |
| O5A | $26.4(5)$ | $21.9(5)$ | $22.8(5)$ | $-4.8(4)$ | $0.6(4)$ | $-8.4(4)$ |
| N1A | $25.4(6)$ | $19.4(6)$ | $15.6(6)$ | $-2.3(5)$ | $0.3(5)$ | $-7.1(5)$ |
| C1A | $25.6(7)$ | $22.0(7)$ | $14.6(6)$ | $-2.0(5)$ | $0.8(5)$ | $-6.8(6)$ |
| C2A | $25.5(7)$ | $23.0(7)$ | $17.9(7)$ | $-2.4(5)$ | $0.5(6)$ | $0.4(6)$ |
| C3A | $22.2(7)$ | $27.4(7)$ | $17.7(7)$ | $-2.2(5)$ | $-1.3(5)$ | $-2.1(5)$ |
| C4A | $24.8(7)$ | $23.2(7)$ | $16.8(6)$ | $-0.9(5)$ | $-3.6(5)$ | $-5.4(6)$ |
| C5A | $29.1(8)$ | $21.3(7)$ | $17.7(6)$ | $-3.1(5)$ | $0.2(6)$ | $-4.0(6)$ |
| C6A | $21.5(7)$ | $24.2(7)$ | $24.0(7)$ | $-6.5(6)$ | $1.8(6)$ | $-4.9(5)$ |
| C7A | $19.1(7)$ | $24.9(7)$ | $18.5(7)$ | $-4.4(5)$ | $-2.0(5)$ | $-3.1(5)$ |
| C8A | $20.8(7)$ | $39.9(9)$ | $19.8(7)$ | $-0.8(6)$ | $-0.9(6)$ | $-0.4(6)$ |
| C9A | $22.5(7)$ | $20.1(7)$ | $19.6(7)$ | $-5.3(5)$ | $-2.7(5)$ | $-2.7(5)$ |
| C10A | $17.6(6)$ | $20.2(7)$ | $18.2(6)$ | $-2.2(5)$ | $-0.7(5)$ | $-3.5(5)$ |
| C11A | $16.8(6)$ | $22.2(7)$ | $20.0(7)$ | $-4.7(5)$ | $-1.2(5)$ | $-1.5(5)$ |
| C12A | $16.6(6)$ | $22.3(7)$ | $18.0(7)$ | $-3.4(5)$ | $-0.7(5)$ | $-2.1(5)$ |
| C13A | $21.9(7)$ | $27.3(8)$ | $21.6(7)$ | $-0.5(6)$ | $1.0(6)$ | $-4.7(6)$ |
| C14A | $24.9(7)$ | $37.6(9)$ | $19.2(7)$ | $-0.3(6)$ | $0.1(6)$ | $-1.2(6)$ |
| C15A | $22.6(7)$ | $40.4(9)$ | $19.1(7)$ | $-9.7(6)$ | $-3.9(6)$ | $0.3(6)$ |
| C16A | $21.9(7)$ | $27.2(7)$ | $23.9(7)$ | $-9.2(6)$ | $-2.4(6)$ | $-2.8(6)$ |

## Table 4 Bond Lengths for don1y5.

## Atom Atom Length/Å

| O 1 | C 4 | $1.4197(17)$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| O 2 | C 5 | $1.4543(18)$ |
| O 2 | C 6 | $1.4461(17)$ |
| O 3 | C 7 | $1.4191(17)$ |
| O 4 | C 9 | $1.2149(18)$ |
| O 5 | C 10 | $1.2110(18)$ |
| N 1 | C 1 | $1.4688(17)$ |
| N 1 | C 9 | $1.3952(18)$ |
| N 1 | C 10 | $1.4014(18)$ |
| C 1 | C 2 | $1.526(2)$ |
| C 1 | C 7 | $1.5354(19)$ |

## Atom Atom Length/Å

O1A C4A 1.4298(17)
O2A C5A 1.4598(18)
O2A C6A 1.4637(17)
O3A C7A 1.4213(17)
O4A C9A 1.2086(18)
O5A C10A 1.2177(17)
N1A C1A 1.4724(17)
N1A C9A 1.4084(18)
N1A C10A 1.3880 (18)
C1A C2A 1.520 (2)
C1A C7A 1.5506(19)

| C2 | C3 | 1.528 (2) | C2A C3A | 1.523 (2) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C2 | C8 | 1.505 (2) | C2A C8A | 1.513 (2) |
| C3 | C4 | 1.519 (2) | C3A C4A | 1.520 (2) |
| C3 | C8 | $1.504(2)$ | C3A C8A | $1.508(2)$ |
| C4 | C5 | 1.511 (2) | C4A C5A | 1.500 (2) |
| C5 | C6 | 1.460 (2) | C5A C6A | 1.464 (2) |
| C6 | C7 | 1.5124(19) | C6A C7A | $1.508(2)$ |
| C9 | C11 | 1.4869(19) | C9A C11A | 1.4865 (19) |
| C10 | C12 | 1.489 (2) | C10A C12A | 1.4890 (18) |
| C11 | C12 | 1.393 (2) | C11A C12A | 1.3899(19) |
| C11 | C16 | 1.382 (2) | C11A C16A | 1.380 (2) |
| C12 | C13 | 1.381 (2) | C12A C13A | 1.385 (2) |
| C13 | C14 | 1.396 (2) | C13A C14A | 1.396 (2) |
| C14 | C15 | 1.394 (2) | C14A C15A | 1.395 (2) |
| C15 | C16 | 1.396 (2) | C15A C16A | $1.398(2)$ |

Table 5 Bond Angles for don1y5.

| Atom Atom Atom | Angle ${ }^{\circ}$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| C 6 | O 2 | C 5 | $60.43(9)$ |
| C 9 | N 1 | C 1 | $126.54(12)$ |
| C 9 | N 1 | C 10 | $111.75(12)$ |
| C 10 | N 1 | C 1 | $121.68(12)$ |
| N 1 | C 1 | C 2 | $110.07(11)$ |
| N 1 | C 1 | C 7 | $111.15(12)$ |
| C 2 | C 1 | C 7 | $116.76(11)$ |
| C 1 | C 2 | C 3 | $126.85(12)$ |
| C 8 | C 2 | C 1 | $123.39(13)$ |
| C 8 | C 2 | C 3 | $59.44(9)$ |
| C 4 | C 3 | C 2 | $122.50(12)$ |
| C 8 | C 3 | C 2 | $59.53(10)$ |
| C 8 | C 3 | C 4 | $120.10(13)$ |
| O 1 | C 4 | C 3 | $111.98(12)$ |
| O 1 | C 4 | C 5 | $112.22(12)$ |
| C 5 | C 4 | C 3 | $109.38(12)$ |
| O 2 | C 5 | C 4 | $117.18(12)$ |
| O 2 | C 5 | C 6 | $59.51(9)$ |


| Atom | Atom Atom | Angle ${ }^{\circ}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C5A | O2A C6A | 60.09(9) |
| C9A | N1A C1A | 122.32(12) |
| C10A | N1A C1A | 125.45(12) |
| C10A | N1A C9A | 111.44(12) |
| N1A | C1A C2A | 111.34(12) |
| N1A | C1A C7A | 109.18(11) |
| C2A | C1A C7A | 117.62(12) |
| C1A | C2A C3A | 126.93(13) |
| C8A | C2A C1A | 125.13(13) |
| C8A | C2A C3A | 59.56 (10) |
| C4A | C3A C2A | 121.61(12) |
| C8A | C3A C2A | 59.89 (10) |
| C8A | C3A C4A | 119.24(13) |
| O1A | C4A C3A | 111.72(12) |
| O1A | C4A C5A | 108.49(12) |
| C5A | C4A C3A | 109.37(12) |
| O2A | C5A C4A | 116.90(12) |
| O2A | C5A C6A | $60.09(9)$ |


| C6 | C5 | C4 | $118.55(12)$ | C6A C5A C4A | $118.03(12)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| O2 | C6 | C5 | $60.06(9)$ | O2A C6A C7A | $116.89(12)$ |
| O2 | C6 | C7 | $116.76(12)$ | C5A C6A O2A | $59.82(9)$ |
| C5 | C6 | C7 | $118.52(12)$ | C5A C6A C7A | $118.87(13)$ |
| O3 | C7 | C1 | $107.48(11)$ | O3A C7A C1A | $109.62(12)$ |
| O3 | C7 | C6 | $112.19(11)$ | O3A C7A C6A | $109.18(12)$ |
| C6 | C7 | C1 | $107.34(11)$ | C6A C7A C1A | $108.00(12)$ |
| C3 | C8 | C2 | $61.03(10)$ | C3A C8A C2A | $60.56(10)$ |
| O4 | C9 | N1 | $125.76(13)$ | O4A C9A N1A | $124.42(13)$ |
| O4 | C9 | C11 | $128.17(13)$ | O4A C9A C11A | $129.57(13)$ |
| N1 | C9 | C11 | $106.07(12)$ | N1A C9A C11A | $106.01(12)$ |
| O5 | C10 | N1 | $124.98(13)$ | O5A C10A N1A | $124.87(13)$ |
| O5 | C10 | C12 | $128.95(13)$ | O5A C10A C12A | $128.63(13)$ |
| N1 | C10 | C12 | $106.07(12)$ | N1A C10A C12A | $106.49(11)$ |
| C12 | C11 | C9 | $108.26(12)$ | C12A C11A C9A | $108.05(12)$ |
| C16 | C11 | C9 | $129.81(13)$ | C16A C11A C9A | $130.37(13)$ |
| C16 | C11 | C12 | $121.93(14)$ | C16A C11A C12A | $121.54(14)$ |
| C11 | C12 | C10 | $107.85(13)$ | C11A C12A C10A | $108.00(12)$ |
| C13 | C12 | C10 | $130.40(14)$ | C13A C12A C10A | $130.25(13)$ |
| C13 | C12 | C11 | $121.75(14)$ | C13A C12A C11A | $121.72(13)$ |
| C12 | C13 | C14 | $116.94(14)$ | C12A C13A C14A | $117.12(14)$ |
| C15 | C14 | C13 | $121.08(14)$ | C15A C14A C13A | $121.19(14)$ |
| C14 | C15 | C16 | $121.82(14)$ | C14A C15A C16A | $121.08(14)$ |
| C11 | C16 | C15 | $116.48(14)$ | C11A C16A C15A | $117.35(14)$ |

Table 6 Hydrogen Bonds for don1y5.

| D | H | A | $\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{D}-\mathbf{H}) / \mathbf{A}$ | $\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{H}-\mathbf{A}) / \mathbf{A}$ | d(D-A)/Å | D-H-A/ ${ }^{\circ}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O1 | H1 | O1A ${ }^{1}$ | 0.96 (2) | 1.79(2) | $2.7132(16)$ | 161 (2) |
| O3 | H3 | O4 ${ }^{2}$ | 0.91 (3) | 1.98 (3) | $2.8883(15)$ | 179 (2) |
| O1A | H1A | O5A ${ }^{3}$ | 0.96 (3) | 1.76 (3) | $2.7056(15)$ | 171 (3) |
| O3A | H3A | $\mathrm{O} 2 \mathrm{~A}^{4}$ | 0.88 (3) | 1.94 (3) | 2.8150 (16) | 176(2) |

Table 7 Torsion Angles for don1y5.
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { A } & \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{C} & \mathbf{D} & \text { Angle } /{ }^{\circ}\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { A } & \text { B } & \mathbf{C} & \mathbf{D} & \text { Angle } /{ }^{\circ}\end{array}$

| O1 | C4 | C5 | O 2 | -94.95(15) | O1A | C4A | C5A | O2A | 95.88(14) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O1 | C4 | C5 | C6 | 163.23(12) | O1A | C4A | C5A | C6A | 164.57(12) |
| O2 | C5 | C6 | C7 | 106.17(14) | O2A | C5A | C6A | C7A | 106.08(14) |
| O 2 | C6 | C7 | O3 | 94.26(14) | O2A | C6A | C7A | O3A | -94.77(15) |
| O2 | C6 | C7 | C1 | 147.89(12) | O2A | C6A | C7A | C1A | 146.09(12) |
| O4 | C9 | C11 | C12 | 179.08(14) | O4A | C9A | C11A | C12A | 178.84(15) |
| O4 | C9 | C1 | C16 | $0.2(3)$ | O4A | C9A | C11A | C16A | 1.1(3) |
| O5 | C10 | C12 | C11 | 178.61(14) | O5A | C10A | C12 | C11A | 179.30(14) |
| O5 | C10 | C12 | C13 | -1.7(3) | 05A | C10A | C12 | C13A | -1.3(3) |
| N1 | C1 | C2 | C3 | 136.32(14) | N1A | C1A | C2A | C3A | 135.43(14) |
| N1 | C1 | C2 | C8 | -61.81(18) | N1A | C1A | C2A | C8A | 59.68 (19) |
| N1 | C1 | C7 | O 3 | -45.62(15) | N1A | C1A | C7A | O3A | 49.05 (15) |
| N1 | C1 | C7 | C6 | 166.49(11) | N1A | C1A | C7A | C6A | 167.91(11) |
| N1 | C9 | C11 | C12 | -0.85(15) | N1A | C9A | C11A | C12A | -1.22(15) |
| N1 | C9 | C11 | C16 | 179.78(14) | N1A | C9A | C11A | C16A | 178.92(14) |
| N1 | C10 | C12 | C11 | -0.55(15) | N1A | C10A | C12A | C11A | -0.15(15) |
| N1 | C10 | C12 | C13 | 179.12(14) | N1A | C10A | C12A | C13A | 177.84(14) |
| C1 | N1 | C9 | O4 | -1.7(2) | C1A | N1A | C9A | O4A | -8.5(2) |
| C1 | N1 | C9 | C11 | 178.23(13) | C1A | N1A | C9A | C11A | 171.53(12) |
| C1 | N1 | C10 | O5 | 2.9 (2) | C1A | N1A | C10A | 05A | 8.5 (2) |
| C1 | N1 | C10 | C12 | 177.84(12) | C1A | N1A | C10A | C12A | 170.66(12) |
| C1 | C2 | C3 | C4 | 2.5 (2) | C1A | C2A | C3A | C4A | -5.3(2) |
| C1 | C2 | C3 | C8 | 110.86(16) | C1A | C2A | C3A | C8A | 113.16(17) ${ }^{-}$ |
| C1 | C2 | C8 | C3 | 116.42(15) | C1A | C2A | C8A | C3A | 116.02(16) |
| C2 | C1 | C7 | O3 | 173.00(11) | C2A | C1A | C7A | O3A | 177.15(12) |
| C2 | C1 | C7 | C6 | 66.13 (15) | C2A | C1A | C7A | C6A | -63.99(16) |
| C2 | C3 | C4 | O1 | 179.57(13) | C2A | C3A | C4A | O1A | 179.07(12) |
| C2 | C3 | C4 | C5 | -55.38(17) | C2A | C3A | C4A | C5A | 58.94 (17) |
| C3 | C4 | C5 | O2 | 140.15(12) | C3A | C4A | C5A | O2A | 142.04(12) ${ }^{-}$ |
| C3 | C4 | C5 | C6 | 71.86(16) | C3A | C4A | C5A | C6A | -73.35(16) |


| C4 | C3 | C8 C2 | 112.31(15) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C4 | C5 | C6 O2 | 106.45(14) |
| C4 | C5 | C6 C7 | $0.3(2)$ |
| C5 | O2 | C6 C7 | 109.06(14) |
| C5 | C6 | C7 O3 | 163.03(12) |
| C5 | C6 | C7 C1 | -79.11(15) |
| C6 | O2 | C5 C4 | 108.72(14) |
| C7 | C1 | C2 C3 | -8.4(2) |
| C7 | C1 | C2 C8 | 66.10 (18) |
| C8 | C2 | C3 C4 | 108.36(15) |
| C8 | C3 | C4 O1 | 108.58(15) |
| C8 | C3 | C4 C5 | 126.38(14) |
| C9 | N1 | C1 C2 | 84.47(17) |
| C9 | N1 | C1 7 | -46.48(18) |
| C9 | N1 | C10 O5 | 179.20(14) |
| C9 | N1 | C10 C12 | 0.01 (15) |
| C9 |  | C12 C10 | 0.86 (15) |
| C9 |  | C12 C13 | 178.85(13) |
| C9 | C11 | C16 C15 | 178.38(14) |
| C |  | C1 C2 | -98.01(15) |
| C1 | N1 | C1 ${ }^{\text {C7 }}$ | 131.04(13) |
| $\mathrm{C} 10$ | N1 | C9 O4 | ) |
| C10 | N1 | C9 C 11 | 0.50 (15) |
| C1 | C12 | C13 C14 | 179.27(14) |
| C11 | C1 | C13 C14 | 0.4 (2) |
| C12 | C11 | C16 C15 | -0.4(2) |
| C1 | C13 | C14 C15 | -0.7(2) |
| C13 | C1 | C15 C16 | 0.4 (2) |
| C14 | C15 | C16 C11 | 0.1 (2) |

C4A C3A C8A C2A $111.70(15)$
C4A C5A C6A O2A 106.56(14)
C4A C5A C6A C7A -0.5(2)
C5A O2A C6A C7A $109.34(14)^{-}$
C5A C6A C7A O3A 163.42(13)
C5A C6A C7A C1A $77.44(16)$
C6A O2A C5A C4A 108.43(14)
C7A C1A C2A C3A 8.4(2)
C7A C1A C2A C8A $-67.38(19)$
C8A C2A C3A C4A 107.82(15)
C8A C3A C4A O1A $110.24(14)$
C8A C3A C4A C5A 129.64(14)
C9A N1A C1A C2A 107.20(15)
C9A N1A C1A C7A $121.27(14)$
C9A N1A C10A O5A 178.54 (13)
C9A N1A C10A C12A $-0.66(16)$
C9A C11A C12A C10A $0.84(15)$
C9A C11A C12A C13A $177.36(13)$
C9A C11A C16A C15A $177.06(14)$
C10A N1A C1A C2A -83.82(17)
C10A N1A C1A C7A 47.71(18)
C10A N1A C9A O4A $178.90(14)^{-}$
C10A N1A C9A C11A $1.15(16)$
C10A C12A C13A C14A $177.97(14)$
C11A C12A C13A C14A $-0.2(2)$
C12A C11A C16A C15A $-0.4(2)$
C12A C13A C14A C15A $-0.3(2)$
C13A C14A C15A C16A $0.5(2)$
C14A C15A C16A C11A $\quad-0.2(2)$
C16 C11 C12 C10 179.89(13)
C16 C11 C12 C13 0.2(2)

C16A C11A C12A C10A 178.78(13)
C16A C11A C12A C13A $\quad 0.6(2)$

Table 8 Hydrogen Atom Coordinates $\left(\AA \times 10^{4}\right)$ and Isotropic Displacement Parameters $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)$ for don $1 y 5$.

| Atom | $\boldsymbol{x}$ | $\boldsymbol{y}$ | $z$ | U(eq) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H1 | 210 (30) | 2630 (20) | -2501 (13) | 47(6) |
| H3 | 6420(30) | 930 (20) | -327(14) | 50 (6) |
| H1A | 3689 | 3836 | -298 | 24 |
| H2 | 698 | 4384 | -437 | 27 |
| H3A | -358 | 3708 | -1484 | 28 |
| H4 | 1692 | 1151 | -1309 | 28 |
| H5 | 3368 | 3259 | -2309 | 28 |
| H6 | 5522 | 3190 | -1419 | 26 |
| H7 | 3446 | 1121 | -532 | 24 |
| H8A | -123 | 1593 | -219 | 33 |
| H8B | -1629 | 2922 | -279 | 33 |
| H13 | 3272 | 4283 | 2561 | 29 |
| H14 | 2750 | 2769 | 3646 | 32 |
| H15 | 1963 | 629 | 3570 | 33 |
| H16 | 1700 | -106 | 2412 | 29 |
| H1AA | 10220(40) | 4550 (30) | 6614 (17) | 78 (9) |
| H3AA | 4600 (30) | 4180 (30) | 4359 (15) | 56 (7) |
| H1AB | 6376 | 1231 | 5099 | 25 |
| H2A | 9254 | 649 | 5374 | 27 |
| H3AB | 10188 | 1656 | 6334 | 27 |
| H4A | 8457 | 4247 | 5803 | 26 |
| H5A | 6488 | 2576 | 6975 | 27 |
| H6A | 4423 | 2377 | 6083 | 28 |
| H7A | 6718 | 4054 | 5023 | 25 |
| H8AA | 11771 | 1933 | 5133 | 33 |
| H8AB | 10445 | 3312 | 4881 | 33 |
| H13A | 8611 | 4464 | 2164 | 29 |
| H14A | 8031 | 3557 | 1088 | 33 |
| H15A | 6978 | 1442 | 1176 | 32 |
| H16A | 6425 | 173 | 2345 | 28 |



Table 1 Crystal data and structure refinement for ( $\pm$ )-II-58.

Identification code
Empirical formula
Formula weight
Temperature/K
Crystal system
Space group
a/Å
b/Å
c/A
$\alpha{ }^{\circ}$
$\beta /{ }^{\circ}$
$\gamma /{ }^{\circ}$
Volume/A ${ }^{3}$
Z
$\rho_{\text {calc }} \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mm}^{3}$
$\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$
F(000)
Crystal size $/ \mathrm{mm}^{3}$
$2 \Theta$ range for data collection
Index ranges
Reflections collected
Independent reflections
Data/restraints/parameters
Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$
Final R indexes $[\mathrm{I}>=2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})]$
Final R indexes [all data]
don $1 z$
$\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$
283.27
100.00(10)
monoclinic
C2/c
14.7396(2)
8.13114(12)
21.9186(3)
90.00
104.2876(16)
90.00
2545.69(7)

8
1.478
0.107
1184.0
$0.2983 \times 0.1942 \times 0.1143$
6.04 to $58^{\circ}$
$-19 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 20,-10 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 10,-29 \leq 1 \leq 29$
14590
$3106[\mathrm{R}($ int $)=0.0264]$
3106/0/195
1.085
$\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0404, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0942$
$\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0466, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0982$

Largest diff. peak/hole / e $\AA^{-3} 0.38 /-0.24$

Table 2 Fractional Atomic Coordinates $\left(\times 10^{4}\right)$ and Equivalent Isotropic
Displacement Parameters $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)$ for don 1 z . $U_{\text {eq }}$ is defined as $1 / 3$ of of the trace of the orthogonalised $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{IJ}}$ tensor.

| Atom | $\boldsymbol{x}$ | $\boldsymbol{y}$ | $\boldsymbol{z}$ | $\boldsymbol{U}(\mathbf{e q})$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| O1 | $1235.1(7)$ | $2548.0(15)$ | $3312.2(5)$ | $23.8(3)$ |
| O2 | $4301.5(7)$ | $-1803.5(13)$ | $3186.5(5)$ | $17.7(2)$ |
| O3 | $6019.3(7)$ | $2399.6(14)$ | $3282.7(5)$ | $21.7(3)$ |
| O4 | $5646.3(7)$ | $-1276.0(13)$ | $4788.5(5)$ | $17.3(2)$ |
| N1 | $5571.9(8)$ | $612.2(15)$ | $3984.1(5)$ | $12.5(2)$ |
| C1 | $4546.9(9)$ | $746.4(17)$ | $3792.9(6)$ | $12.2(3)$ |
| C2 | $4234.2(9)$ | $2498.5(17)$ | $3839.7(7)$ | $13.7(3)$ |
| C3 | $3216.2(10)$ | $2852.6(18)$ | $3530.6(7)$ | $15.2(3)$ |
| C4 | $2669.8(10)$ | $1476.8(18)$ | $3180.5(6)$ | $14.5(3)$ |
| C5 | $3076.7(10)$ | $151.0(18)$ | $2994.9(6)$ | $15.4(3)$ |
| C6 | $4122.6(9)$ | $-80.2(17)$ | $3153.3(7)$ | $14.1(3)$ |
| C7 | $3995.1(10)$ | $3626.0(18)$ | $3282.8(7)$ | $17.3(3)$ |
| C8 | $1644.6(10)$ | $1505.7(19)$ | $3082.8(7)$ | $17.3(3)$ |
| C9 | $6212(1)$ | $1533.6(17)$ | $3746.1(7)$ | $14.3(3)$ |
| C10 | $6027.4(9)$ | $-326.4(17)$ | $4506.4(6)$ | $12.9(3)$ |
| C11 | $7149.4(10)$ | $1208.0(17)$ | $4178.9(7)$ | $14.5(3)$ |
| C12 | $7035.7(9)$ | $100.8(17)$ | $4635.7(7)$ | $13.5(3)$ |
| C13 | $7779.1(10)$ | $-422.8(19)$ | $5112.8(7)$ | $17.6(3)$ |
| C14 | $8658.9(10)$ | $224.1(19)$ | $5119.2(8)$ | $21.0(3)$ |
| C15 | $8778.8(10)$ | $1323.9(19)$ | $4660.1(8)$ | $21.4(3)$ |
| C16 | $8020.3(10)$ | $1836.2(18)$ | $4177.4(7)$ | $18.9(3)$ |

Table 3 Anisotropic Displacement Parameters $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)$ for don1z. The Anisotropic displacement factor exponent takes the form: $-2 \pi^{2}\left[h^{2} \mathbf{a}^{*} \mathbf{U}_{11}+\ldots+2 h k a \times b \times U_{12}\right]$

| Atom | $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{1 1}}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{2 2}}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{3 3}}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{2 3}}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{1 3}}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{1 2}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| O1 | $15.0(5)$ | $28.5(6)$ | $27.2(6)$ | $-7.8(5)$ | $3.8(4)$ | $5.6(4)$ |
| O2 | $13.2(5)$ | $13.6(5)$ | $25.8(6)$ | $-4.2(4)$ | $3.9(4)$ | $2.6(4)$ |
| O3 | $20.5(5)$ | $25.3(6)$ | $20.3(5)$ | $7.9(5)$ | $7.1(4)$ | $0.2(4)$ |
| O4 | $15.6(5)$ | $17.7(5)$ | $19.1(5)$ | $5.2(4)$ | $5.1(4)$ | $0.1(4)$ |
| N1 | $10.1(5)$ | $13.9(6)$ | $13.5(6)$ | $0.9(4)$ | $2.7(4)$ | $-0.2(4)$ |


| C1 | $9.4(6)$ | $13.9(6)$ | $12.9(6)$ | $0.1(5)$ | $2.3(5)$ | $0.6(5)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| C2 | $12.4(6)$ | $13.3(7)$ | $14.5(6)$ | $-0.5(5)$ | $1.9(5)$ | $0.8(5)$ |
| C3 | $13.5(6)$ | $14.4(7)$ | $17.3(7)$ | $-1.7(5)$ | $3.1(5)$ | $3.1(5)$ |
| C4 | $13.2(6)$ | $17.4(7)$ | $12.3(6)$ | $-0.4(5)$ | $1.7(5)$ | $2.5(5)$ |
| C5 | $12.1(6)$ | $18.4(7)$ | $14.2(6)$ | $-2.5(5)$ | $0.6(5)$ | $0.3(5)$ |
| C6 | $13.4(6)$ | $14.2(7)$ | $14.5(6)$ | $-2.3(5)$ | $3.1(5)$ | $2.0(5)$ |
| C7 | $17.5(7)$ | $14.8(7)$ | $19.1(7)$ | $2.6(6)$ | $3.6(5)$ | $1.5(5)$ |
| C8 | $14.5(6)$ | $20.5(7)$ | $15.2(7)$ | $-1.8(6)$ | $0.1(5)$ | $2.1(6)$ |
| C9 | $13.5(6)$ | $13.9(7)$ | $16.6(7)$ | $-1.6(5)$ | $5.7(5)$ | $-0.7(5)$ |
| C10 | $12.7(6)$ | $12.5(6)$ | $13.5(6)$ | $-1.7(5)$ | $2.8(5)$ | $1.7(5)$ |
| C11 | $13.7(6)$ | $12.5(6)$ | $17.5(7)$ | $-3.6(5)$ | $4.4(5)$ | $0.7(5)$ |
| C12 | $12.4(6)$ | $11.6(6)$ | $16.6(7)$ | $-3.7(5)$ | $3.8(5)$ | $0.2(5)$ |
| C13 | $15.6(7)$ | $16.3(7)$ | $18.8(7)$ | $-3.3(6)$ | $0.7(5)$ | $2.4(5)$ |
| C14 | $13.9(7)$ | $18.7(7)$ | $26.9(8)$ | $-7.6(6)$ | $-1.8(6)$ | $2.9(6)$ |
| C15 | $11.6(6)$ | $18.3(7)$ | $34.0(9)$ | $-8.7(6)$ | $5.3(6)$ | $-2.2(6)$ |
| C16 | $16.7(7)$ | $14.8(7)$ | $26.7(8)$ | $-3.6(6)$ | $8.5(6)$ | $-2.0(6)$ |

Table 4 Bond Lengths for don 1 z .
Atom Atom Length/Å

| O 1 | C 8 | $1.2187(18)$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| O 2 | C 6 | $1.4243(17)$ |
| O 3 | C 9 | $1.2107(18)$ |
| O 4 | C 10 | $1.2107(17)$ |
| N 1 | C 1 | $1.4689(17)$ |
| N 1 | C 9 | $1.4023(17)$ |
| N 1 | C 10 | $1.4015(17)$ |
| C 1 | C 2 | $1.5087(19)$ |
| C 1 | C 6 | $1.5413(19)$ |
| C 2 | C 3 | $1.5139(19)$ |
| C 2 | C 7 | $1.4975(19)$ |
| C 3 | C 4 | $1.478(2)$ |


| Atom Atom |  | Length/Å |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| C3 | C7 | $1.522(2)$ |
| C4 | C5 | $1.345(2)$ |
| C4 | C8 | $1.4732(19)$ |
| C5 | C6 | $1.5061(19)$ |
| C9 | C11 | $1.4941(19)$ |
| C10 | C 12 | $1.4839(19)$ |
| C11 | C12 | $1.387(2)$ |
| C11 | C16 | $1.382(2)$ |
| C12 | C13 | $1.382(2)$ |
| C13 | C14 | $1.396(2)$ |
| C14 | C15 | $1.390(2)$ |
| C15 | C16 | $1.400(2)$ |

Table 5 Bond Angles for don1z.

| Atom Atom Atom | ${\text { Angle } /{ }^{\circ}}^{l}$ |  | Atom Atom Atom |  | Angle ${ }^{\circ}$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| C 9 | N 1 | C 1 | $126.38(12)$ | C 2 | C 7 | C 3 | $60.17(9)$ |
| C 10 | N 1 | C 1 | $121.68(11)$ | O 1 | C 8 | C 4 | $123.08(14)$ |
| C 10 | N 1 | C 9 | $111.36(11)$ | O 3 | C 9 | N 1 | $125.65(13)$ |
| N 1 | C 1 | C 2 | $111.14(11)$ | O 3 | C 9 | C 11 | $128.64(13)$ |
| N 1 | C 1 | C 6 | $112.65(11)$ | N 1 | C 9 | C 11 | $105.70(12)$ |
| C 2 | C 1 | C 6 | $114.29(11)$ | O 4 | C 10 | N 1 | $125.13(12)$ |
| C 1 | C 2 | C 3 | $115.78(12)$ | O 4 | C 10 | C 12 | $128.38(13)$ |
| C 7 | C 2 | C 1 | $122.49(12)$ | N 1 | C 10 | C 12 | $106.49(11)$ |
| C 7 | C 2 | C 3 | $60.72(9)$ | C 12 | C 11 | C 9 | $108.42(12)$ |
| C 2 | C 3 | C 7 | $59.11(9)$ | C 16 | C 11 | C 9 | $130.30(14)$ |
| C 4 | C 3 | C 2 | $116.19(12)$ | C 16 | C 11 | C 12 | $121.28(13)$ |
| C 4 | C 3 | C 7 | $119.33(12)$ | C 11 | C 12 | C 10 | $107.92(12)$ |
| C 5 | C 4 | C 3 | $122.51(13)$ | C 13 | C 12 | C 10 | $129.95(13)$ |
| C 5 | C 4 | C 8 | $119.14(13)$ | C 13 | C 12 | C 11 | $122.13(13)$ |
| C 8 | C 4 | C 3 | $118.12(12)$ | C 12 | C 13 | C 14 | $116.90(14)$ |
| C 4 | C 5 | C 6 | $122.54(13)$ | C 15 | C 14 | C 13 | $121.27(14)$ |
| O 2 | C 6 | C 1 | $110.74(11)$ | C 14 | C 15 | C 16 | $121.21(14)$ |
| O 2 | C 6 | C 5 | $107.49(11)$ | C 11 | C 16 | C 15 | $117.20(14)$ |
| C 5 | C 6 | C 1 | $108.53(11)$ |  |  |  |  |

Table 6 Hydrogen Bonds for don 1 z .
D $\mathbf{H}$ A $\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{D}-\mathbf{H}) / \mathbf{A} \quad \mathbf{d}(\mathbf{H}-\mathbf{A}) / \mathbf{A}$
$\mathrm{O} 2 \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{O} 1^{1} \quad 0.91(2) \quad 1.94(2) \quad 2.8442(14) \quad 174(2)$
${ }^{1} 1 / 2+\mathrm{X},-1 / 2+\mathrm{Y},+\mathrm{Z}$

Table 7 Torsion Angles for don1z.

| $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { A } & \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{D}\end{array}$ | Angle ${ }^{\circ}$ | A | B | C | D | Angle/ ${ }^{\circ}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O3 C9 C11 C12 | 178.35(15) | C4 | C5 | C6 | C1 | 31.07 (19) |
| O3 C9 C11 C16 | 2.4 (3) | C5 | C4 | C8 | O1 | 169.66(14) |
| O4 C10 C12 C11 | 178.34(14) | C6 | C1 | C2 | C3 | 38.99 (16) |
| O4 C10 C12 C13 | -2.3(3) | C6 | C1 | C2 | C7 | -31.36(18) |
| N1 C1 C2 C3 | 167.85(11) | C7 | C2 | C3 | C4 | 109.99(14) |


| N1 C1 | C2 | C7 | 97.51(15) | C7 | C3 | C4 | C5 | 50.1 (2) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N1 C1 | C6 | O 2 | 63.26 (15) | C7 | C3 | C4 | C8 | 135.43(14) |
| N1 C1 | C6 | C5 | 178.97(11) | C8 | C4 | C5 | C6 | 171.63(13) |
| N1 C9 | C11 | C12 | 1.52(15) | C9 | N1 | C1 | C2 | -53.34(17) |
| N1 C9 | C11 | C16 | 177.76(14) | C9 | N1 | C1 | C6 | 76.40(17) |
| N1 C10 | C12 | C11 | -2.35(15) | C9 | N1 |  | O4 | 177.24(13) |
| N1 C10 | C12 | C13 | 177.00(14) | C9 | N1 |  | C12 | 3.43 (15) |
| C1 N1 | C9 | O3 | -11.9(2) | C9 | C11 |  | C10 | 0.51 (15) |
| C1 N1 | C9 | C11 | 168.21(12) | C9 | C11 | C12 | C13 | 178.91(13) |
| C1 N1 | C10 | O4 | 11.0(2) | C9 | C11 | C16 | C15 | 178.41(14) |
| C1 N1 | C10 | C12 | 168.36(11) | C10 | N1 | C1 | C2 | 117.14(14) |
| C1 C2 | C3 | C4 | -4.41(18) |  | N1 | C1 | C6 | 113.11(14) |
| C1 C2 | C3 | C7 | 114.40(14) |  | N1 | C9 | O3 | 176.77(14) |
| C1 C2 | C7 | C3 | 103.53(14) |  | N1 | C9 | C11 | -3.10(15) |
| C2 C1 | C6 | O2 | 168.63 (11) |  | C12 | C13 | C14 | 178.84(14) |
| C2 C1 | C6 | C5 | -50.86(15) | C11 | C12 | C13 | C14 | 0.4 (2) |
| C2 C3 | C4 | C5 | -17.6(2) |  | C11 | C16 | C15 | -0.8(2) |
| C2 C3 | C4 | C8 | 156.90(13) | C12 | C13 | C14 | C15 | -0.9(2) |
| C3 C4 | C5 | C6 | 2.8 (2) |  | C14 | C15 | C16 | 0.6 (2) |
| C3 C4 | C8 | O1 | -5.0(2) |  | C15 | C16 | C11 | 0.3 (2) |
| C4 C3 | C7 | C2 | 104.70(14) |  | C11 | C12 | C10 | 179.86(13) |
| C4 C5 | C6 | O 2 | 150.88(13) | C16 | C11 | C12 | C13 | $0.4(2)$ |

Table 8 Hydrogen Atom Coordinates $\left(\AA \times 10^{4}\right)$ and Isotropic Displacement Parameters $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)$ for don 1 z .

| Atom | $\boldsymbol{x}$ | $\boldsymbol{y}$ | $\boldsymbol{z}$ | U(eq) |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| H2 | $4921(15)$ | $-1960(30)$ | $3207(9)$ | $33(5)$ |
| H1 | 4309 | 109 | 4112 | 15 |
| H2A | 4488 | 3046 | 4255 | 16 |
| H3 | 2879 | 3595 | 3765 | 18 |


| H5 | 2690 | -672 | 2754 | 18 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| H6 | 4388 | 427 | 2819 | 17 |
| H7A | 4090 | 3213 | 2878 | 21 |
| H7B | 4117 | 4815 | 3358 | 21 |
| H8 | 1286 | 673 | 2829 | 21 |
| H13 | 7695 | -1187 | 5422 | 21 |
| H14 | 9186 | -94 | 5444 | 25 |
| H15 | 9387 | 1736 | 4674 | 26 |
| H16 | 8101 | 2584 | 3862 | 23 |
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