

1 **Selection for improved stress tolerance in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*)**
2 **leads to reduced feed waste**

3
4 Øyvind Øverli^{a*}, Christina Sørensen^{a,b}, Anders Kiessling^a, Tom G. Pottinger^c and Hans M.
5 Gjøen^a

6
7
8
9 ^aDepartment of Animal and Aquacultural Sciences, Norwegian University of Life Sciences,
10 P.O. Box 5003, N-1432 Aas, Norway

11 ^bPhysiology Programme, Department of Molecular Biosciences, University of Oslo, PO Box
12 1041, Oslo N-0316, Norway

13 ^cNERC Centre For Ecology and Hydrology, Lancaster Environment Centre, Library Avenue,
14 Bailrigg, Lancaster LA1 4AP, United Kingdom

15

16

17

18 * Corresponding author. Tel.: + 47 41506222 Fax: + 47 64965101

19 e-mail: oyvind.overli@umb.no

20

21

22 **Abstract**

23 The magnitude of the cortisol response to a standardised stressor is a heritable trait in
24 salmonid fishes, and selection for stress responsiveness induces differences in both behaviour
25 and neuroendocrine function. For instance, in laboratory studies, fish with a high cortisol
26 response show a greater propensity for stress induced anorexia. Some authors have, however,
27 commented that controlled studies encompassing relatively small groups of fish hold little or
28 no relevance to practical aquaculture. This notion may be flawed, since understanding the
29 mechanisms behind the behaviour of individuals is a proviso to predict behaviour in groups
30 even with the caveat that some behaviors may be modified by group size. As an example,
31 optimal feeding regimes should be easier to predict in a population consisting of individuals
32 whose appetite is relatively less affected by external stressors. In a fluctuating and potentially
33 stressful environment, such a population should also generate less feed waste, if kept on fixed
34 rations. In the present experiment, we tested this hypothesis by monitoring feed waste and
35 feed conversion efficiency in lines of rainbow trout selected for a low (LR) or high (HR)
36 cortisol response to stress. The study was carried out after fish had been transported between
37 rearing sites in the United Kingdom and Norway. There was significantly more feed waste
38 from rearing units containing HR fish, and these fish also showed lower feed efficiency
39 (growth per unit feed consumed). The difference in feed waste became more apparent with
40 increasing time after transport, as rations increased. Simultaneously, size was more variable
41 and growth was slower in HR rearing units. These results suggest that there are several
42 potential benefits of selection for low stress responsiveness in aquaculture.

43

44

45

46

47 **1. Introduction**

48 Intensive aquaculture of salmonid fish is a relatively new innovation, compared to the
49 cultivation of most other animals. The process of domestication has only just begun in
50 salmonids, and considerable effort is devoted to selecting for and estimating the heritability
51 of economically important traits in these fish, such as growth rate, size at maturity, muscle
52 composition, and disease resistance (see e.g. Gjerde, 1993; Gjøen and Bentsen 1997;
53 Gjedrem, 2000; Midtlyng et al., 2002).

54 Fish under intensive culture conditions are exposed to a regime of both acute and
55 chronic stressors, which have adverse effects on growth, immunocompetence, and flesh
56 quality, among other things (Barton et al., 1987; Barton and Iwama, 1991; Balm, 1997;
57 Sigholt et al., 1997; Schreck et al., 2001; Pottinger, 2001). As in other vertebrates, the blood
58 concentration of corticosteroid hormones is used as an index of stress in fish, and elevated
59 levels of these hormones arise from activation of the hypothalamus-pituitary-interrenal (HPI)
60 axis (Wendelaar-Bonga, 1997). The main corticosteroid in teleosts is cortisol (Kime, 1987),
61 and this steroid is a causal factor in many of the deleterious effects of stress (Barton et al.,
62 1987; Barton and Iwama, 1991; Harris and Bird, 2000; Pankhurst and Van der Kraak, 2000;
63 Schreck et al., 2001; Bernier et al., 2004).

64 In rainbow trout the magnitude of the cortisol response to stress is an individual
65 characteristic which is stable over time, with a moderate to high degree of heritability
66 (Pottinger et al., 1992, 1994; Fevolden et al., 1999). Thus, post-stress cortisol levels provide
67 a trait of functional significance upon which selection pressure can be directed, and lines of
68 high- (HR) and low-responsive (LR) trout have been established at the Windermere
69 laboratory of the UK Natural Environment Research Council, Centre for Ecology and
70 Hydrology (Pottinger and Carrick, 1999).

71 Selection for cortisol responsiveness is associated with a range of behavioural
72 differences between the HR and LR trout lines (reviewed by Øverli et al., 2005). Specifically,
73 LR fish show a tendency to become socially dominant (Pottinger and Carrick, 2001), a rapid
74 recovery of food intake after transfer to a novel environment (Øverli et al., 2002), and a
75 reduced locomotor response in a territorial intrusion test (Øverli et al., 2002). Experiments
76 with non-selected aquaculture populations of rainbow trout later showed that large variation

77 in the cortisol response to stress also exists in such populations, and cortisol responsiveness
78 predicts behaviour in a number of situations (Øverli et al., 2004, 2006).

79 The above associations between individual variation in stress responsiveness and
80 behaviour have all been revealed in laboratory studies encompassing relatively low numbers
81 of fish. There seems to be a strong notion, at least in some research milieus, that tightly
82 controlled small-scale studies hold little or no relevance to practical aquaculture. We will
83 argue, however, that proper understanding of mechanisms behind the behaviour of individual
84 fish is a proviso to comprehend behaviour in groups. The latter a viewpoint is supported by
85 recent work on the relative growth of the HR and LR selected fish over several years and two
86 generations at near-production scale (Pottinger, 2006). In this study, the performance of the
87 two lines in co-culture was found to be consistent with what might be predicted on the basis
88 of the relative competitiveness/aggressiveness of the fish in smaller scale studies.

89 While the conclusions are so far equivocal with regard to the value of directly
90 exploiting the LR line, several potential benefits of selection for reduced stress
91 responsiveness in aquaculture can be nonetheless be identified. For instance, the amount of
92 feed waste from a rearing unit, and the efficiency with which feed is transformed to somatic
93 growth, are economically and environmentally important factors that may be affected by
94 stress responsiveness. Optimal feeding regimes should be easier to predict in a population
95 consisting of individuals whose appetite is less affected by external stressors. In a fluctuating
96 and potentially stressful environment, such a population should also generate less feed waste,
97 if kept on fixed rations.

98 Transport of live fish is a common procedure in aquaculture and fisheries
99 management that exposes fish to multiple stressors, which may in turn cause production loss
100 (see e.g. Iversen et al., 2005, and references therein). It is likely that an effect of heritable
101 variation in stress responsiveness on feed utilisation would be particularly evident following
102 exposure to a stressful procedure such as transport. To test this hypothesis, we monitored
103 feed waste, growth, and conversion efficiency in HR and LR trout rearing units during twelve
104 days after fish had been transported between the original rearing site (Windermere, UK) and
105 a research station in Norway.

106

107 **2. Material and methods**

108 Experimental fish and procedures: The selection procedure yielding the HR and LR trout
109 lines and the effect of the breeding program on cortisol responsiveness has been described in
110 detail elsewhere (Pottinger and Carrick 1999, 2001), and will only be briefly reviewed here.
111 The parental generation of the HR and LR trout lines was established in 1996 by repeated
112 stress testing (3h confinement in 50 L water in groups of 6-7 individuals once monthly) of
113 passive integrated transponder (PIT) tagged 2-year-old rainbow trout. The mean post-stress
114 plasma cortisol content across five episodes of confinement was calculated for each fish, and
115 individuals were ranked accordingly. Between testing, fish were kept in groups of 25 in 1500
116 L holding tanks, and the four most high-responding (HR) and the four most low-responding
117 (LR) fish in each tank were removed from their home tank, segregated by sex, and kept
118 separate in 4 tanks based on group (HR, LR) and sex until maturation.

119 The 1st generation offspring (F1) consisted of 15 HR and 14 LR families each
120 resulting from a unique male-female crossing. A highly significant regression of mid-parent
121 cortisol response on progeny response was seen (estimated r^2 [h^2] value = 0.41), and the six
122 LR families with the lowest mean cortisol response and the six HR families with the highest
123 mean cortisol response were identified and used for further work (Pottinger and Carrick,
124 1999). In the current experiment, 150 PIT-tagged fish from the third generation of offspring
125 from each population (weight HR: 493 ± 12 g, LR: 477 ± 9 g, mean \pm SE) were starved for 5
126 days and loaded on a tank truck equipped to keep water fully oxygenated during transport
127 (Donslund Special Transport, Hejnsvig, Denmark, <http://www.donslund.dk>). Water
128 temperature was 14°C at departure from Windermere, increasing to 18°C during transport. On
129 arrival at the Norwegian Institute for Water Research marine research station (Solbergstrand,
130 Akershus county, Norway) two days later, all fish except 12 HR and 12 LR individuals used
131 for another study were again weighed and distributed in 3 HR and 3 LR rearing units (tank
132 volume 0.9 m³, water flow 30 l / min, 15-17°C). HR and LR fish were not separated during
133 the transport, but were sorted upon arrival based on PIT-tag readings. No mortalities
134 occurred during the transfer.

135 Three days after arrival, a feeding regime was initiated in which all rearing units were
136 hand fed a ration corresponding to 0.5% of the biomass (B.M.) present in that tank
137 (Skretting, Standard Expanded 60). Feed was distributed once daily over a 20 min period.
138 After three days, rations were increased to 1% B.M. / day, and after another 3 days to 2%

139 B.M. / day. All rearing units were equipped with PVC grid pellet traps at the water outlet,
140 and uneaten pellets were collected and counted each day 15 min after termination of feeding.
141 At this point in time, it was visually confirmed that all food had either been consumed or
142 trapped. Quantification of uneaten food was stopped after 6 days of feeding at the 2% level,
143 i.e. 12 days after feeding was initiated. At this point, all fish were again weighed and growth
144 rates were calculated.

145 Data analysis: The feed waste from each rearing unit during the course of the
146 experiment was recorded daily. Mean values for the amount of accumulated feed waste
147 released by HR (n=3) and LR (n=3) rearing units were then expressed as a regression over
148 time. The rate of increase in accumulated feed waste was compared between HR and LR
149 lines using the method suggested by Armitage (1980) to test whether the regression slopes
150 were different (using the t-statistics), and whether the lines were significantly separated
151 (using the t-statistics for adjusted constants). The latter test corresponds to a co-variance
152 analysis using lineage (HR, LR) as independent variable, accumulated feed waste as
153 dependent variable, and time (days of feeding) as co-variate. Total feed waste from the two
154 populations during the whole experiment was also calculated as the percentage of the food
155 delivered to each tank, and compared using two-sided t-test. Two-sided t-tests were also used
156 to compare biomass, size variation (coefficient of variance, CV), growth rates, and feed
157 efficiency ratio (FER = growth / feed consumed) between the two lines. Relationships
158 between relevant variables (see the results section) were tested using Pearson correlation.

159

160 **3. Results**

161 Fish size, growth rates, and feed efficiency: In the following, data are reported as mean \pm
162 S.E.M., and p values are the result of two-sided t-test unless otherwise stated. There was no
163 significant difference in average fish size between the two populations prior to transport (HR:
164 493 ± 12 g, LR: 477 ± 9 g, $p=0.25$), or upon arrival in Norway (HR: 456 ± 12 g, LR: 442 ± 9 g,
165 $p=0.44$). There was a trend towards larger CV's for initial size in HR than LR rearing units
166 (HR: 26.6 ± 1.9 , LR: 23.2 ± 0.6 , $p=0.16$). Growth rates during the feeding experiment were, on
167 average, higher in the LR than in the HR rearing units (HR: 2.1 ± 0.1 % B.W. / day, LR:
168 2.6 ± 0.05 % B.W. / day, $p=0.02$). This difference was not fully explained by higher feed
169 waste in HR rearing units (see below), because there was also a significant difference in feed

170 efficiency (growth/feed consumed) between the two populations (FCR HR: 1.53 ± 0.1 ,
171 LR: 1.86 ± 0.04 , $p=0.03$).

172 Feed waste: Accumulation of feed waste under the three different feeding regimes is
173 shown in figure 1. Data for the two populations were distributed along two separate
174 regression lines under all three feeding regimes, with significant differences between mean y
175 in all cases, and slopes significantly different at the 1.0% and 2.0% feeding regimes, but not
176 under the initial 0.5% regime. Hence, feed waste accumulated more rapidly from HR rearing
177 units in the final stages of the experiment, when rations were higher. Total feed waste during
178 the whole experiment was also calculated in relative terms (percentage of distributed feed
179 lost) and compared between populations by unpaired t-test (figure 2). Despite the relatively
180 low number of rearing units, total feed waste was also significantly higher from the HR
181 rearing units ($p=0.03$).

182 Relationship between size variation and feed waste: The fact that the cumulative
183 difference in feed waste between the two lines increased with time after transport suggests
184 that stress induced reductions in feed intake were not the only factor underlying the greater
185 feed waste from the HR rearing units. As noted above, there was a trend towards a larger
186 variation in fish size in the HR rearing units. We therefore analysed whether there was a
187 correlation between initial size variation and total feed waste from the different rearing units.
188 There was a strong trend towards such a relationship in pooled data from HR and LR rearing
189 units ($p=0.08$, figure 3).

190

191 **Discussion**

192 These data demonstrate conclusively that during a 12 day period after transport between two
193 rearing sites, feed waste from rearing units holding LR fish was lower than that from units
194 containing HR fish. This observation is consistent with an earlier study, in which it was
195 demonstrated that LR fish tend to resume feed intake more rapidly than HR fish in a new
196 environment (Øverli et al., 2002). Furthermore, in the present study LR fish yielded more
197 growth from each unit of food they consumed. Previous studies carried out at the original
198 Windermere rearing site found no significant difference in growth between the lines when
199 they were reared in separate tanks (Pottinger, 2006). Hence, at present it is not known if LR
200 and HR fish also utilize feed resources differently under more undisturbed and stable

201 conditions. It should be noted, however, that in the present study the difference in feed waste
202 between the two lines appeared to increase with time after transport. This may be a
203 consequence of the fact that rations were increased with time, or indicate that different feed
204 utilisation is a permanent characteristic of the HR and LR rainbow trout lines. The latter
205 explanation may seem the less likely, since in a previous study no significant difference in
206 growth was detected over time between HR and LR lines held separately for longer periods
207 of time (Pottinger, 2006). An alternative explanation for this discrepancy could be that
208 divergence in trait characters has been amplified in the subsequent generation of HR and LR
209 fish that was utilised in the present study.

210 In salmonids, appetite inhibition is a prominent behavioural response to stress and
211 aversive experience, which is in part mediated by neurotransmitters and hormones that are
212 also involved in control of the physiological stress response (Øverli et al., 1998; Bernier and
213 Peter, 2001; Carr, 2002; Bernier et al., 2004). It is, however, not immediately clear that
214 increased feed waste from the HR rearing units depends only on stress induced anorexia in
215 these fish. Stress responsiveness is also linked to aggressive behaviour and social dominance
216 (Pottinger and Carrick, 2001; Øverli et al., 2004, 2005; Schjolden et al., 2005), and may
217 thereby affect the structure of social hierarchies. Social interactions are in turn an important
218 factor in the distribution and utilisation of feed resources (e.g. Jobling and Wandsvik, 1983;
219 McCarthy et al., 1992). In the present experiment, there was no initial difference in mean
220 body weight between HR and LR fish. On the other hand, HR fish were somewhat more
221 heterogeneous in size at the beginning of the experiment. Furthermore, the data suggested a
222 positive relationship between initial variance in body weight and feed waste resulting from a
223 rearing unit (c.f. figure 3).

224 In light of the above, it would appear that homogenous populations generate less feed
225 waste. It should, however, be noted that the number of rearing units in this experiment was
226 too low to reveal whether there also was such a relationship within each population. Hence,
227 these data only demonstrate that there was some correspondence between the magnitude of
228 the difference in feed waste and enhanced size heterogeneity in the HR population, and does
229 not constitute proof of a cause-effect relationship. The correlation should nevertheless be
230 taken in concern to remind us that there are several plausible backgrounds for the association
231 between increased feed waste and high stress responsiveness.

232 It should also be kept in mind that these measurements were made in a period
233 following transport and starvation. Compensatory growth in salmonid fish appears to involve
234 both increased feed intake and improved feed efficiency (Miglav and Jobling, 1989; Jobling
235 and Koskela, 1996, Boujard et al., 2000). Hence, our results are in line with recent reports
236 demonstrating that feed conversion efficiency may have a substantial genetic component
237 (Thodesen et al., 2001; Silverstein et al., 2005; Mambrini et al., 2006).

238 In summary, the present study suggests that both improved FCR and reduced feed
239 waste are among the potential benefits selection for stress responsiveness could have on the
240 production of salmonid fish in aquaculture. It should be kept in mind, however, that the present
241 study was carried out after a significant transport stressor, coupled with exposure to a wholly
242 novel environment. In this situation, the results clearly showed that LR fish were able to regain
243 growth more rapidly after transport than HR fish. A reduction in the adverse effects on
244 performance induced by the imposition of unavoidable stressors is likely to be among the
245 potential benefits selection for stress responsiveness offers for the production of salmonid fish
246 in aquaculture. Finally, it should be noted that there was close correspondence between the
247 actual results obtained under conditions of intensive group rearing and the predictions made
248 from previous, small-scale laboratory research. This observation underlines the importance of a
249 functional interface between applied research and fundamental studies on the biology of
250 species currently undergoing domestication.

251

252 **Acknowledgements**

253 This study was supported by the Norwegian Research Council and institutional funds from the
254 Norwegian University of Life Science. David Abel (CEH) is thanked for maintaining the
255 experimental fish populations at CEH Windermere. Arne Storset, director of research and
256 development at Aqua Gen AS, is thanked for valuable discussions and suggestions towards the
257 design of this study.

258

259

260 **References**

261

- 262 Armitage, P., 1980. Statistical methods in medical research. Blackwell Science, Oxford,
263 pp. 279-301.
- 264 Balm, P.H.M., 1997. Immune-endocrine interactions. In: Iwama, G.K., Pickering, A.D.,
265 Sumpter, J.P., Schreck, C.B. (Eds.), Fish stress and health in aquaculture.
266 Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, pp. 195-221.
- 267 Barton, B.A., Schreck, C.B., Barton, L.D., 1987. Effects of chronic cortisol
268 administration and daily acute stress on growth, physiological conditions, and
269 stress responses in juvenile rainbow-trout. *Dis. Aquat. Organ.* 2, 173-185.
- 270 Barton, B. A., G. K. Iwama. 1991. Physiological changes in fish from stress in
271 aquaculture with emphasis on the response and effects of corticosteroids. *Ann.*
272 *Rev. Fish Diseases* 1, 3-26.
- 273 Bernier, N.J., Peter, R.E., 2001. The hypothalamic-pituitary-interrenal axis and the control
274 of food intake in teleost fish. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol. B.* 129, 639-644.
- 275 Bernier, N.J., Bedard, N., Peter, R.E., 2004. Effects of cortisol on food intake, growth, and
276 forebrain neuropeptide Y and corticotropin-releasing factor gene expression in
277 goldfish. *Gen. Comp. Endocrinol.* 135, 230-240.
- 278 Boujard, T., Burel, C., Médale, F., Haylor, G., Moisan, A., 2000. Effect of past
279 nutritional history and fasting on feed intake and growth in rainbow trout
280 *Oncorhynchus mykiss*. *Aquat. Living Res.* 13, 129-137
- 281 Carr, J.A., 2002. Stress, neuropeptides, and feeding behavior: A comparative perspective.
282 *Integr. Comp. Biol.* 42, 582-590.

283 Fevolden, S.E., Røed, K.H., Fjalestad, K.T., Stien, J., 1999. Poststress levels of lysozyme
284 and cortisol in adult rainbow trout: heritabilities and genetic correlations. *J. Fish*
285 *Biol.* 54, 900-910.

286 Gjedrem, T. 2000. Genetic improvement of cold-water fish species. *Aquacult. Res.* 31, 25-
287 33.

288 Gjerde, B. 1993. Breeding and selection. In: Heen, K., Monahan, R., Utter, F. (Eds.),
289 *Salmon Aquaculture*. Blackwell, Oxford, pp. 187–208.

290 Gjøen, H.M., Bentsen, H., 1997. Past, present, and future of genetic improvement in
291 salmon aquaculture. *ICES J. Mar. Sci.* 54, 1009–1014.

292 Harris, J., Bird, D.J., 2000. Modulation of the fish immune system by hormones. *Vet.*
293 *Immunol. Immunopathol.* 77, 163-176.

294 Jobling, M., Wandsvik, A., 1983. Effect of social interactions on growth rates and
295 conversion efficiency of Arctic charr, *Salvelinus alpinus* L. *J. Fish. Biol.* 22, 577-
296 584.

297 Jobling, M., Koskela, J., 1996. Interindividual variations in feeding and growth in rainbow
298 trout during restricted feeding and in a subsequent period of compensatory growth.
299 *J. Fish Biol.* 49, 658–667.

300 Iversen, M., Finstad, B., McKinley, R.S., Eliassen, R.A., Carlsen, K.T., Evjen, T., 2005.
301 Stress responses in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar* L.) smolts during commercial well
302 boat transports, and effects on survival after transfer to sea. *Aquaculture* 243, 373-
303 382.

- 304 Kime, D.E., 1987. The steroids. In: I. Chester-Jones, P. Ingleton, J.G. Phillips (Eds.),
305 Fundamentals of comparative vertebrate endocrinology. Plenum Press, New York,
306 pp. 3–56.
- 307 Mambrini, M., Labbé, L., Randriamanantsoa, F., Boujard, T., 2006. Response of growth-
308 selected brown trout (*Salmo trutta*) to challenging feeding conditions. Aquaculture
309 252, 429-440
- 310 McCarthy, I.D., Carter, C.G., Houlihan, D.F., 1992. The effect of feeding hierarchy on
311 individual variability in daily feeding in rainbow trout, *Oncorhynchus mykiss*
312 (Walbaum). J. Fish Biol. 41, 257-263.
- 313 Midtlyng, P. J., Storset, A., Michel, C., Slierendrecht, W.J., Okamoto, N., 2002. Breeding
314 for disease resistance in fish. Bull. Eur. Assn. Fish Pathol. 22, 166-172.
- 315 Miglavs, I., Jobling, M., 1989. The effects of feeding regime on food consumption, growth
316 rates and tissue nucleic acids in juvenile Arctic charr, *Salvelinus alpinus*, with
317 particular respect to compensatory growth. J. Fish Biol. 34, 947–957.
- 318 Øverli, Ø., Winberg, S., Damsgård, B., Jobling, M., 1998. Food intake and spontaneous
319 swimming activity in Arctic char (*Salvelinus alpinus*): role of brain serotonergic
320 activity and social interactions. Can. J. Zool. 76, 1366-1370.
- 321 Øverli, Ø., Pottinger, T.G., Carrick, T.R., Øverli, E., Winberg, S., 2002. Differences in
322 behaviour between rainbow trout selected for high- and low-stress responsiveness.
323 J. Exp. Biol. 205,391-395.
- 324 Øverli, Ø., Korzan, W.J., Höglund, E., Winberg, S., Bollig, H., Watt, M., Forster, G.L.,
325 Barton, B.A., Øverli, E., Renner, K.J., Summers, C.H., 2004. Stress coping

326 style predicts aggression and social dominance in rainbow trout. *Horm. Behav.*
327 45, 235-241.

328 Øverli, Ø., Winberg, S., Pottinger, T.G., 2005. Behavioral and neuroendocrine correlates of
329 selection for stress responsiveness in rainbow trout - A review. *Integr. Comp. Biol.*
330 45, 463–474.

331 Øverli, Ø., Sørensen, C., Nilsson, G.E. (2006). Behavioral indicators of stress-coping style
332 in rainbow trout: Do males and females react differently to novelty? *Physiol.*
333 *Behav.* 87, 506-512.

334 Pankhurst, N.W., van der Kraak, G., 2000. Evidence that acute stress inhibits ovarian
335 steroidogenesis in rainbow trout in vivo, through the action of cortisol. *Gen.*
336 *Comp. Endocrinol.* 117, 225-237.

337 Pottinger, T.G., Pickering, A.D., Hurley, M.A., 1992. Consistency in the stress response of
338 individuals of two strains of rainbow trout, *Oncorhynchus mykiss*. *Aquaculture*
339 103, 275-289.

340 Pottinger, T.G., Moran, T.A., Morgan, J.A.W., 1994. Primary and secondary indexes of
341 stress in the progeny of rainbow-trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) selected for high and
342 low responsiveness to stress. *J. Fish Biol.* 44, 149-163.

343 Pottinger, T.G., Carrick, T.R., 1999. Modification of the plasma cortisol response to stress
344 in rainbow trout by selective breeding. *Gen. Comp. Endocrinol.* 116, 122-132.

345 Pottinger, T.G., Carrick, T.R., 2001. Stress responsiveness affects dominant-subordinate
346 relationships in rainbow trout. *Horm. Behav.* 40, 419-427.

- 347 Pottinger, T.G., 2001. Effects of husbandry stress on flesh quality indicators in fish. In:
348 S.C. Kestin, P.D. Warriss (Eds). *Farmed Fish Quality*. Blackwell, Oxford, pp. 145-
349 160.
- 350 Pottinger, T.G., 2006. Context dependent differences in growth of two rainbow trout lines
351 selected for divergent stress responsiveness. *Aquaculture* (In press)
- 352 Schreck, C.B., Contreras-Sanchez, W., Fitzpatrick, M.S., 2001. Effects of stress on
353 fish reproduction, gamete quality, and progeny. *Aquaculture* 197, 3-24.
- 354 Schjolden, J., Stoskhus, A., Winberg, S., 2005. Does individual variation in stress
355 responses and agonistic behavior reflect divergent stress coping strategies in
356 juvenile rainbow trout? *Physiol. Biochem. Zool.* 78, 715-723.
- 357 Sigholt, T., Erikson, U., Rustad, T., Johansen, S., Nordtvedt, T.S., Seland, A., 1997.
358 Handling stress and storage temperature affect meat quality of farm-raised Atlantic
359 salmon (*Salmo salar*). *J. Food Sci.* 62, 898-905.
- 360 Silverstein, J.F., Hostuttler, M. Blemings, K.P., 2005. Strain differences in feed
361 efficiency measured as residual feed intake in individually reared rainbow trout,
362 *Oncorhynchus mykiss* (Walbaum). *Aquacult. Res.* 36, 704-711.
- 363 Thodesen, J., Gjerde, B., Grisdale-Helland, B., Storebakken, T., 2001. Genetic variation in
364 feed intake, growth and feed utilization in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*).
365 *Aquaculture* 194, 273– 281.
- 366 Wendelaar-Bonga, S.E., 1997. The stress response in fish. *Physiol. Rev.* 77, 591-625.


367 Figure 1: Accumulation of feed waste from 3 HR (filled circles) and 3LR (open circles)
368 rearing units in A) 0.5%, B) 1.0%, and C) 2.0% B.M. / day feeding regimes (mean \pm S.E.).
369 Note that fish biomass and hence distributed feed was slightly higher in the LR rearing
370 units (see text for details), while feed waste was higher in HR units. Likelihoods that slope
371 and mean y are similar are given in each panel (ANCOVA).


372

373 Figure 2: Total feed waste over the 12 day observation period expressed as the proportion
374 of food not eaten (* = $p > 0.05$, two-sided t-test).

375

376 Figure 3: Relationship between initial size variation and total feed waste in HR and LR
377 rearing units (Pearsson r^2 and p values).





381 Øverli et al., figure 3

382

383

