| 1  |  |
|----|--|
| 2  | Rapid bioconcentration of steroids in the plasma of  |
| 3  | sticklebacks (Gasterosteus aculeatus) exposed to water-  |
| 4  | borne testosterone and 17ß-estradiol   |
| 5  |  |
| 6  |  |
| 7  | R. J. Maunder <sup>a, *</sup> , P. Matthiessen <sup>a</sup> , J. P. Sumpter <sup>b</sup> and T. G. |
| 8  | Pottinger <sup>a</sup>   |
| 9  |  |
| 10 |  |
| 11 | <sup>a</sup> NERC Centre for Ecology and Hydrology, Lancaster Environment Centre,                  |
| 12 | Library Avenue, Bailrigg, Lancaster LA1 4AP, U.K.  |
| 13 | <sup>b</sup> Institute for the Environment, Brunel University, Uxbridge Middlesex, UB8             |
| 14 | 3PH, U.K.  |
| 15 |  |
| 16 |  |
| 17 |  |
| 18 |  |
| 19 |  |
| 20 |  |
| 21 | *Corresponding author. Tel.: +44 1524 595800; fax: +44 1524 61536.                                 |
| 22 | E-mail address: rjmaun@ceh.ac.uk (R. J. Maunder)   |

23

#### Abstract

This study investigated the relationship over time between the concentrations 24 25 of two steroids, singly and in combination, in a static exposure system and in the blood of sticklebacks, Gasterosteus aculeatus, held within the exposure system. 26 Groups of sticklebacks were exposed (nominally) to either 1000 ng l<sup>-1</sup> 17ß-estradiol 27 (E2), Testosterone (T) or E2 & T in combination at the same concentrations for 6 28 days. Both water and fish were sampled at intervals and steroid concentrations in 29 both compartments were determined. The plasma steroid time profile revealed a 30 rapid bioconcentration within the first 6 hours of exposure. The plasma steroid levels 31 attained at this time point  $(20 - 90 \text{ ng ml}^{-1})$  were up to 50-fold (E2) and 200-fold (T) 32 greater than the actual levels of steroid measured in the exposure water, while levels 33 in the blood of control fish did not exceed 4 ng ml<sup>-1</sup>. The substantial elevation of 34 plasma steroid levels relative to the concentrations of steroid to which the fish were 35 exposed in the ambient water gives scope for delivery of the steroids to target 36 endocrine tissues at levels far in excess of what might be predicted on the basis of 37 passive branchial uptake alone. These results are discussed in relation to endocrine 38 disruption, and in particular the occurrence of effects in fish exposed to levels of 39 endocrine active substances (EAS) that are seemingly physiologically irrelevant. 40

41

42

43 *Keywords:* 17ß-estradiol; testosterone; stickleback; plasma; uptake;
44 bioconcentration

### 45 **1. Introduction**

The exposure of fish to chemicals in solution by immersion in either static, 46 semi-static, or flow-through systems is a technique routinely employed in aquatic 47 toxicology. Dose response endpoint data are related to the nominal or actual 48 concentrations of test chemical in the water. However, linking data obtained from in 49 vivo exposure systems with data from in vitro tests is difficult. This is primarily 50 because we remain largely ignorant of the dynamics of uptake and excretion of the 51 test chemical by the exposed fish other than in those studies where bioaccumulation 52 53 and depuration are specifically measured (Specker & Chandlee, 2003). This absence of information on the uptake of chemicals by test animals is particularly pertinent to 54 the current interest in EASs. 55

A range of *in vitro* systems is routinely used for screening chemicals for 56 agonistic or antagonistic endocrine effects, exemplified by the recombinant yeast 57 screen for estrogens and androgens (Routledge & Sumpter, 1996; Harris et al., 1997; 58 Thomas et al., 2002). This system and others (Legler et al., 2002) are extremely 59 responsive to chemicals possessing steroidal activity. However, it is difficult to relate 60 with confidence the dose response data obtained from such a system with the likely 61 sensitivity of an intact animal exposed to the same chemical, or to predict the risk 62 posed by concentrations of the chemical in the environment. One factor contributing 63 to this uncertainty is lack of information relating environmental concentrations to 64 levels in the blood of exposed organisms. In two British rivers in which fish are 65 showing estrogenic effects (Nene and Lea), environmental levels of E2 have been 66 shown to be in the range 0.4 - 4 ng  $I^{-1}$  (Williams *et al.*, 2003), though they may be 67 further diluted or concentrated by changeable river flows or inputs downstream of 68 sewage works. Despite these exposure concentrations being at levels which appear 69

to be physiologically irrelevant, numerous effects linked to the activity of E2 and other
estrogenic chemicals have been widely reported from these and other rivers (Jobling *et al.*, 1998; van Aerle *et al.*, 2001; Kirby *et al.*, 2004).

The aim of this study was to provide an insight into the relationship between the concentration of steroid hormone in the water within which the fish is immersed, and the concentration of this hormone in the blood. This relationship was investigated over a 6 day period, making the assumptions for functional purposes that the blood concentration equates to that delivered to the target tissues and that no significant changes in internal steroid metabolism were induced by the hormone exposure.

A static exposure system utilising the three-spined stickleback (Gasterosteus 79 aculeatus) was adopted. The biology of the stickleback is well described (Wootton, 80 1976; Wootton, 1984; Bell & Foster, 1994) and this species is widely used in 81 behavioural, ecological, physiological and toxicological studies. In the context of 82 endocrine disruption, the stickleback represents a test species with three distinct 83 endpoints of relevance: a range of endocrine-dependent behaviours (Bell, 2001), the 84 estrogen sensitive biomarker vitellogenin (VTG; (Katsiadaki et al., 2002b; Hahlbeck 85 et al., 2004), and a unique androgen sensitive endpoint, the nest building glue, 86 spiggin (Jones et al., 2001; Katsiadaki et al., 2002a). Use of the stickleback in studies 87 of endocrine active substances is increasingly widespread. In this study, the fish 88 were exposed to two natural steroids, testosterone and 17ß-estradiol, both singly and 89 in combination, and concentrations of these steroids were determined in the water, 90 and blood plasma. 91

92

93

#### 95 **2. Materials and methods**

96

### 97 2.1 Experimental fish

Sticklebacks (Gasterosteus aculeatus L.) were obtained from a commercial 98 supplier (Moore and Moore, Reading) and held in groups of 200 fish in each of four 99 1000 litre circular outdoor tanks supplied with a constant flow of Windermere lake 100 water (10 I min<sup>-1</sup>) and natural photoperiod and temperature range  $(4^{\circ}C - 17^{\circ}C)$ 101 annually). During the summer of 2002 the fish were sexed by external inspection and 102 103 then held in single sex groups until the time of study, during December 2002. At this time, water temperature was 11°C, pH was within the range 6.5 to 7.2, hardness 110 104 - 140 mg  $l^{-1}$  CaCO<sub>3</sub>, and dissolved O<sub>2</sub> 91-98% saturation. The fish were fed three 105 times weekly on commercial trout feed (Skretting fry crumb 02). At the time of the 106 study the average size of the fish was (mean  $\pm$  SEM) 3.41  $\pm$  0.05 g, n = 144. There 107 was no significant difference in mass between the male and female groups. 108

109

#### 110 2.2 Exposure system

The experiment was carried out at 11 °C in a temperature controlled 111 laboratory with an 8h light : 16h dark photoperiod. Eight 50 litre glass aquaria were 112 filled with sand-filtered lake water and aerated for 48 h before the introduction of the 113 114 fish. Four groups of 21 male fish and four groups of 21 female fish were selected at random from the stock ponds and transferred to the exposure aquaria. The fish were 115 allowed to acclimate to these conditions for one week. All fish were adults, but 116 reproductively quiescent, and did not display any secondary sexual characteristics. 117 The fish were fed once daily with commercial trout feed 2 hours into the light period. 118 A 50% water change was carried out twice prior to the start of the exposure period. 119

The general health of the fish was observed to be good throughout the study, with active, inquisitive behaviours and no visibly evident infections or parasites. Two fish died during the experiment, though the mortalities were not considered to be treatment related.

- 124
- 125 **2.3 Dosing**

Stock solutions (50 mg l<sup>-1</sup>) containing testosterone or 17ß-estradiol (Sigma-126 Aldrich) were made up in acetone. Four treatments were randomly applied to the four 127 128 aquaria housing male fish and to the four aquaria housing female fish: (1) E2 at a nominal concentration of 1000 ng  $I^{-1}$ ; (2) E2 and T in combination, both at nominal 129 concentrations of 1000 ng  $l^{-1}$ ; (3) T at a nominal concentration of 1000 ng  $l^{-1}$ ; (4) 130 Control group, solvent carrier only (20 µl l<sup>-1</sup>). Steroids were introduced into the tanks 131 by removing 1.0 I of tank water in a beaker, adding 1 ml of stock solution containing 132 either E2, T or E2 and T to the beaker, and after mixing, replacing the water in the 133 tank (all tanks contained 0.002% acetone). 134

135

# 136 2.4 Sampling procedures

Groups of six fish (three males and three females) were sampled at 0, 6, 24, 137 48, 72, & 144 hours from commencement of exposure. The fish were caught in a dip 138 net and rapidly transferred to anaesthetic (2-phenoxyethanol; 1:2000) before being 139 killed by cranial compression using forceps. Blood samples were obtained by 140 severing the caudal peduncle and collecting blood in a heparinised capillary tube. 141 The blood sample was then transferred to a capped 1.5 ml centrifuge tube and held 142 on ice before being centrifuged. Plasma was transferred to a second, individually pre-143 weighed 1.5 ml tube. The tube and plasma were then weighed together to calculate 144

the volume of plasma sample obtained (assuming density of 1g ml<sup>-1</sup>; mean 26.3  $\mu$ l). Samples were stored frozen at -20°C until required for analysis. Water samples were taken from the middle of the aquaria by siphon at 0, 72 and 144 hours. These were transferred to stoppered plastic bottles and stored frozen for later analysis.

149

## 150 2.5 Extraction of water samples

The water samples, ranging from 497-677ml in volume were thawed overnight 151 at 4°C, filtered under vacuum (Whatman GF/C microfibre filter) and then pumped 152 153 through a methanol (Analar) primed Sep-Pak C18 (Waters Ltd) solid phase extraction cartridge at 5 ml min<sup>-1</sup>. Steroids retained on the cartridge were eluted with 5 ml 154 methanol. The methanol eluate was dried under nitrogen at 30°C in a heating block 155 and the sample was redissolved in 1.0 ml of ethyl acetate (Analar), which was stored 156 at -20°C until required for assay. This method consistently provided recoveries of 157 =85% (86.5  $\pm$  0.63, n=8) when run with radiolabelled steroids and estimates of 158 dissolved steroid concentrations in the exposure tanks are corrected for this level of 159 loss. 160

161

#### 162 2.6 Steroid assays

163 Steroids were extracted from plasma samples with ethyl acetate (5:1, ethyl 164 acetate : plasma) and aliquots of plasma extracts from every fish were analysed for 165 both E2 and T using previously validated radioimmunoassay procedures (Pottinger & 166 Pickering, 1985; Pottinger & Pickering, 1990). The assay detection limit is 6 pg 167 steroid per assay tube. All of the extracted water samples were also assayed for both 168 steroids using the same procedures.

### 170 2.7 Statistical analysis

Multifactorial analysis of variance (ANOVA, Genstat 5) was employed to 171 assess the significance of changes in steroid levels in steroid-exposed and control 172 groups with time and between sexes. Where mean and variance did not vary 173 independently, as indicated by a plot of residuals against fitted values, a log 174 transformation was applied to improve the homogeneity of variance. Significant 175 differences between treatment groups, times, or sexes were determined using the 176 estimated standard error of the differences between means. Where no overall 177 significant difference was found (P>0.05) between the male and female response, 178 their data were combined. 179

180

### 181 **3. Results**

### 182 3.1 Concentrations of steroid in the exposure water

The concentrations of E2 in the exposure tanks are depicted in Table I. In 183 control tanks, receiving vehicle only, concentrations of E2 were very low ranging from 184 not detectable to 8.4 ng l<sup>-1</sup>. In the four tanks receiving E2 only or a combination of E2 185 and T, maximum levels of E2 (50% to 80% of nominal concentration) were detected 186 at 6 h. In both sets of tanks there was a progressive decline in the concentration of 187 E2 during the course of the study and this was most pronounced in the tanks 188 receiving both E2 and T, such that at 144 h after the start of the study, concentrations 189 had declined to between 2.5% to 34% of the nominal. The concentration of T in the 190 control tanks was also very low (= 5 ng  $I^{-1}$ ; Table II) but, in contrast to E2, water-191 borne T concentrations declined from approximately 400 ng l<sup>-1</sup> (40% to 50% of the 192 nominal value) to control levels within 72 h of the start of the study in both the T-only 193

9

and combined T and E2 exposure tanks. Overall, there was good agreement in the
 water chemistry between the duplicate tanks containing male and female fish.

196

## 197 3.2 Plasma steroid concentrations: E2

Plasma E2 levels are presented in Fig. 1. There was no significant difference 198 between E2 levels in male and female controls (consistently <0.5 ng ml<sup>-1</sup>), so these 199 were combined. In fish from both treatment groups (E2 and E2 + T), plasma E2 200 concentrations were significantly greater than those in the control fish (P<0.001) 201 throughout. There was no significant difference in the plasma E2 levels between 202 males and females exposed to E2 alone and these data were therefore also 203 combined (Fig. 1a). There was a rapid increase in plasma E2 levels in these fish 204 within 6 h of the start of the exposure period, with mean plasma E2 levels rising from 205  $0.8 \pm 0.2$  ng ml<sup>-1</sup> at 0 h to 22.3 ± 5.3 ng ml<sup>-1</sup>. Plasma levels of E2 were maintained at 206 or around this level throughout the study, remaining significantly elevated at  $17.9 \pm$ 207 4.2 ng ml<sup>-1</sup> at 144 h. Plasma levels of E2 in fish from the combined E2 and T 208 exposure tanks showed a similar pattern (Fig. 1b). However, in this case, there was a 209 difference in plasma E2 levels between the sexes, with levels in male fish being 210 significantly greater overall than levels in female fish. Plasma E2 levels in both sexes 211 rose rapidly after the start of the exposure period to reach maximum levels at 6 h 212 (males:  $39.9 \pm 10$  ng ml<sup>-1</sup>; females:  $31.4 \pm 6$  ng ml<sup>-1</sup>), after which there was a decline 213 to approximately 12 ng m<sup>-1</sup> at the end of the study. Mean plasma E2 levels in fish 214 exposed to T alone were significantly (P<0.001) higher than control levels (Fig. 1c) at 215 0, 6, 24 and 144 h, although they did not exceed 10 ng ml<sup>-1</sup> at any time. Male plasma 216 contained significantly more E2 than females only at 24 h. 217

#### 219 3.3 Plasma steroid concentrations: T

In fish exposed to T alone, male and female plasma T levels were statistically 220 distinct from each other and are plotted separately. Both sexes however did display 221 the same general trend of a rapid and pronounced increase in plasma T levels within 222 6 h of the start of the exposure (female:  $25.1 \pm 7.1$  ng ml<sup>-1</sup>; male:  $17.8 \pm 3.5$  ng ml<sup>-1</sup>), 223 followed by a relatively rapid return to pre-exposure levels within 48h (Fig. 2a). A 224 similar temporal pattern was observed in plasma T levels in fish exposed to a 225 combination of E2 and T (Fig. 2b), although in this case maximum levels achieved 226 were considerably higher, ranging from  $1.1 \pm 0.4$  ng ml<sup>-1</sup> at 0 h to 87.7  $\pm$  18 ng ml<sup>-1</sup> 227 within 6 h of the start of the exposure. T levels in males and females exposed to T 228 alone only differed significantly at 24 and 48 h. Mean plasma T concentrations in 229 male and female fish exposed to E2 only were low, remaining below 4 ng ml<sup>-1</sup> 230 throughout the study (Fig. 2c). 231

232

### 233 **4. Discussion**

The concentrations of steroids in the exposure system changed markedly with time; 234 an effect that was most pronounced in the case of T. Factors likely to have reduced 235 the concentration of dissolved steroids in the static exposure system include the 236 uptake of steroids by the experimental fish, biodegradation by water borne bacteria 237 and adsorption to surfaces of the exposure vessel. These issues have been 238 discussed elsewhere (Nimrod & Benson, 1998; Jurgens et al., 2002; Kiparissis et al., 239 2003). In this instance, the rate of disappearance of T in both single exposure (T 240 only) and dual exposure (T + E2) tanks was much higher than the rate of 241 disappearance of E2. The initial concentrations (at 6 h) of T achieved in both systems 242 were similar to the concentrations of E2 measured in E2-dosed tanks at the same 243

time. However, substantial concentrations of E2 were detected in water throughout 244 the course of the study, suggesting that the rapid loss of T from the tanks in which it 245 was administered was a phenomenon specific to this steroid. Given the broadly 246 similar physicochemical characteristics of the two steroids, and that no evidence to 247 the contrary can be found, differences in the adsorption of T and E2 seem unlikely. It 248 is therefore suspected that either differential metabolism by micro organisms, or 249 differences in the uptake and metabolism of the two steroids by the fish themselves 250 must account for the disparities. While previous reports suggest that differences in 251 252 the rate of uptake of steroids by fish may exist (Piferrer & Donaldson, 1994), these data are not entirely consistent with the results of the present study. In terms of 253 metabolism, the rapid reduction of plasma T levels compared to plasma E2 levels 254 may in part be attributed to the aromatisation of androgens to estrogens (Borg et al., 255 1987; Andersson et al., 1988; Afonso et al., 1999) but could equally reflect the 256 reduced availability of T in the surrounding water. 257

258

Analysis of T and E2 levels in the blood of the exposed fish revealed that there was a 259 rapid uptake of steroids from the water. The excellent gas transfer properties of fish 260 gills facilitate the movement of chemicals from the water to the blood and the speed 261 at which this occurs depends upon a number of factors such as respiration rate, 262 lamellar recruitment, and the physicochemical properties of the compound (Randall 263 et al., 1996). Steroids are moderately lipophilic molecules (log  $K_{OW}$  of E2 and T are 264 4.01 and 3.32 respectively (Hansch et al., 1995)) which have a high transfer capacity 265 through the gill epithelium and it is therefore unsurprising that blood levels of T and 266 E2 in the exposed sticklebacks were markedly elevated within 6 h of the start of the 267 exposure period. However, the concentrations of steroid measured in the blood of the 268

exposed fish at this time far exceeded levels that might have been predicted on the 269 basis of passive diffusion across the gill epithelium. Others authors have shown that 270 for a system at equilibrium, expected plasma concentrations of a chemical can be 271 calculated from the associated log  $K_{OW}$  value and concentration in the exposure 272 water (Huggett et al., 2003). The expected concentration ratio between the water and 273 the blood at equilibrium, known as the blood water partition coefficient ( $P_{BW}$ ) has 274 been modelled for rainbow trout by the equation: log  $P_{BW} = 0.73 \log K_{OW} - 0.88$ 275 (Fitzsimmons et al., 2001), yielding  $P_{BW}$  values of 7.74 and 4.68 for E2 and T 276 277 respectively. As stated, these values are based on a formula constructed from data on trout at steady state, and hence are not directly related to the dynamic uptake 278 observed within the first 6h here. However, they do still significantly underestimate 279 the actual blood concentrations observed. 280

281

For both steroids, mean concentrations in the plasma of exposed sticklebacks were 282 within the range 20 - 100 ng ml<sup>-1</sup> within 6 h. This contrasts significantly with the 283 concentrations of each steroid in the water within which the fish were immersed, 284 which were within the range 300 - 900 ng l<sup>-1</sup> (= 0.3-0.9 ng ml<sup>-1</sup>). When matched to 285 their respective water concentration, steroid levels within the blood of the exposed 286 sticklebacks were between 35 and 200-fold greater than those in the water within 6 h 287 of the start of the study. Plasma E2 and T levels in all the control groups were very 288 low throughout the study and all the fish were reproductively inactive, so we assume 289 that the increase in plasma steroid levels can be attributed wholly to uptake from the 290 water. In the case of the increase in plasma E2 during the T exposure (Fig. 1c), this 291 is presumably attributable to partial aromatisation of the absorbed T. It is unclear why 292 the plasma levels of E2 in fish from the combined E2 and T exposure tanks (Fig. 1b) 293

294 showed a disparity between the sexes, with male levels significantly higher than 295 female. This may be due to sex-related differences in how the steroids are 296 metabolised.

297

The exposure concentrations of E2 and T were selected to ensure measurable 298 concentrations in the blood of the exposed fish with no foreknowledge of the outcome 299 of the study and are therefore much higher than those that occur in rivers 300 downstream of sewage treatment works discharges, eq. E2 < 50 ng  $l^{-1}$  (Desbrow et 301 al., 1998). However, the plasma levels of T achieved in this study were within the 302 range observed in naturally breeding sticklebacks and therefore did not represent a 303 supra-physiological challenge to the fish. Pall et al., (2005) have shown that 304 circulating T levels reach up to 70 ng ml<sup>-1</sup> at their peak in males during the sexual 305 phase in the breeding season, declining to 8 ng ml<sup>-1</sup> in the paternal phase. Borg et 306 al., (1995) report that mature females have plasma T levels of up to 24 ng ml<sup>-1</sup>, while 307 the E2 plasma levels in these same fish was not detectable. Further information on 308 plasma E2 levels in wild sticklebacks cannot be found for comparison. 309

310

Rapid uptake of steroids from the water by fish has been reported previously in 311 studies where measurements have been made on whole-body concentrations. For 312 example, whole-body levels of E2 were maximal within 30 mins of the onset of 313 exposure in summer flounder (Paralichthys dentatus) exposed to water-borne E2 314 (Specker & Chandlee, 2003). In a more recent study, the plasma bioconcentration of 315 tritiated E2 and T was monitored in tench (Tinca tinca). After 6 - 7 hours, the ratio of 316 radioactivity in plasma compared to the surrounding water was similar to those 317 observed for actual steroid in the present study (Scott et al., 2005). The phenomenon 318

has also been observed at this laboratory in chub (*Leuciscus cephalus*) exposed to
E2 in a flow-through system (T. G. Pottinger & N. Rajapakse, unpublished data).

321

Given the fact that the difference in concentration of steroids across the gill 322 epithelium cannot easily be explained by the hydrophobicity/lipophilicity of the 323 chemicals, other contributing factors must be considered. It might be postulated that 324 active transport of the steroids across the gill epithelium may also account for the 325 maintenance of this concentration gradient but we are unaware of any reports that 326 327 such a mechanism exists in the fish gill. It is more likely that the maintenance of this high concentration gradient in steroid levels across the gill epithelium is related to the 328 presence in the blood of a sex hormone-binding globulin (SHBG). In seeking to 329 explain similar observations of steroid uptake in T. tinca, Scott et al. (2005) 330 demonstrated a clear relationship between the rate of uptake of specific steroids and 331 their relative affinity for native tench SHBG. Although it is the case that a SHBG has 332 not yet been identified in the three-spined stickleback, the presence of SHBGs in the 333 blood of other teleost fish is well-documented (Pottinger, 1988; Pottinger & Pickering, 334 1990; Laidley & Thomas, 1997; Hobby et al., 2000; Miguel-Queralt et al., 2004) and it 335 is therefore reasonable to assume that the stickleback also possesses a homologous 336 steroid-binding protein. SHBGs are assumed to perform a transport/protective role for 337 steroids (Rosner, 1990) and in fish are characterised by high affinity for both 338 androgens and estrogens (Ovrevik et al., 2001) and a moderately high binding 339 capacity (Pottinger, 1988). It has been shown that >95% of circulating gonadal 340 steroids in fish is protein bound (Freeman & Idler, 1971). 341

The functional implications of these findings may be of some significance to the 343 interpretation of the mode of action of environmental estrogens and androgens and 344 their mimics. These data suggest that steroidal estrogens and androgens dispersed 345 in the aquatic environment, that are capable of binding to SHBGs, may be delivered 346 to target tissues at a concentration far in excess of that to which the fish is exposed. 347 It has been demonstrated that in addition to the native ligands, T and E2, certain 348 endocrine active substances also bind to teleost SHBG. In rainbow trout plasma, 349 ethynylestradiol, diethylstilbestrol, 4-hydroxytamoxifen, genistein, zearalenone, 4-t-350 351 octylphenol, bisphenol A and o,p'-DDT all compete for binding sites with E2, although with varying efficacy (Tollefsen, 2002), and the SHBG may therefore play a role in 352 potentiating the activity of these estrogenic chemicals. It has also been shown that 353 the binding properties of SHBG may be modulated by exposure in the field to 354 constituents of endocrine active effluents (Pryce-Hobby et al., 2003), a factor which 355 may further disrupt normal endocrine function. 356

357

These findings confirm previous speculation concerning the mechanism underlying 358 VTG induction in fish exposed to very low levels of an estrogen, eg significant VTG 359 elevation in several species following exposure to estrone, E2, or 17a-ethinyl 360 estradiol (Purdom et al., 1994; Panter et al., 1998; Rose et al., 2002; Thorpe et al., 361 2003). In summary the uptake of endocrine active substances from the aquatic 362 environment by three-spined sticklebacks may be bioconcentrated in the blood, 363 resulting in the delivery of higher than predicted levels of such chemicals to target 364 tissues. 365

366

#### 368 Acknowledgements

369 R.J.M was supported by a CEH NERC studentship.

370

#### 371 **References**

- 372 Afonso, L. O. B., Iwama, G. K., Smith, J. & Donaldson, E. M. (1999). Effects of the aromatase inhibitor
- Fadrozole on plasma sex steroid secretion and oocyte maturation in female coho salmon (Oncorhynchus kisutch) during vitellogenesis. *Fish Physiology and Biochemistry* **20**, 231-241.
- 375 Andersson, E., Borg, B. & Lambert, J. G. D. (1988). Aromatase activity in brain and pituitary of immature and
- 376 mature Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar L.) parr. *General and Comparative Endocrinology* **72**, 394-401.
- Bell, A. M. (2001). Effects of an endocrine disrupter on courtship and aggressive behaviour of male three-spined
   stickleback, Gasterosteus aculeatus. *Animal Behaviour* 62, 775-780.
- Bell, M. A. & Foster, S. A. (1994). The Evolutionary Biology of the Threespine Stickleback. Oxford: Oxford
   University Press.
- 381 Borg, B., Timmers, R. J. M. & Lambert, J. G. D. (1987). Aromatase activity in the brain of the three-spined
- stickleback, Gasterosteus aculeatus . 1. Distribution and effects of season and photoperiod. *Exp. Biol.* **47**, 63-68.
- Borg, B. & Mayer, I. (1995). Androgens and behaviour in the three-spined stickleback. *Behaviour* **132**, 1025-1035.
- 385 Desbrow, C., Routledge, E. J., Brighty, G. C., Sumpter, J. P. & Waldock, M. (1998). Identification of estrogenic
- chemicals in STW effluent. 1. Chemical fractionation and in vitro biological screening. *Environmental Science & Technology* 32, 1549-1558.
- 388 Fitzsimmons, P. N., Fernandez, J. D., Hoffman, A. D., Butterworth, B. C. & Nichols, J. W. (2001). Branchial
- elimination of superhydrophobic organic compounds by rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss). Aquatic
- 390 *Toxicology* **55**, 23-34.
- Freeman, H. & Idler, D. (1971). Binding affinities of blood proteins for sex hormones and corticosteroids in fish.
   *Steroids* 17, 233-250.
- Hahlbeck, E., Katsiadaki, L., Mayer, I., Adolfsson-Erici, M., James, J. & Bengtsson, B. E. (2004). The juvenile
- 394 three-spined stickleback (Gasterosteus aculeatus L.) as a model organism for endocrine disruption II kidney 395 hypertrophy, vitellogenin and spiggin induction. *Aquatic Toxicology* **70**, 311-326.
- Hansch, C., Leo, A. & Hoekman, D. (1995). Exploring QSAR Hydrophobic, Electronic and Steric Constants.
- 397 Washington DC: American Chemical Society.
- Harris, C. A., Henttu, P., Parker, M. G. & Sumpter, J. P. (1997). The estrogenic activity of phthalate esters in
   vitro. *Environmental Health Perspectives* 105, 802-811.
- 400 Hobby, A. C., Pankhurst, N. W. & Geraghty, D. P. (2000). A comparison of sex steroid binding protein (SBP) in
- 401 four species of teleost fish. *Fish Physiology and Biochemistry* **23**, 245-256.
- 402 Huggett, D. B., Cook, J. C., Ericson, J. F. & Williams, R. T. (2003). A theoretical model for utilizing
- 403 mammalian pharmacology and safety data to prioritize potential impacts of human pharmaceuticals to fish.
   404 *Human and Ecological Risk Assessment* 9, 1789-1799.
- Jobling, S., Nolan, M., Tyler, C. R., Brighty, G. & Sumpter, J. P. (1998). Widespread sexual disruption in wild
   fish. *Environmental Science & Technology* 32, 2498-2506.
- 407 Jones, I., Lindberg, C., Jakobsson, S., Hellqvist, A., Hellman, U., Borg, B. & Olsson, P. E. (2001). Molecular
- 408 cloning and characterization of spiggin An androgen-regulated extraorganismal adhesive with structural
- 409 similarities to von Willebrand Factor-related proteins. Journal of Biological Chemistry 276, 17857-17863.
- 410 Jurgens, M. D., Holthaus, K. I. E., Johnson, A. C., Smith, J. J. L., Hetheridge, M. & Williams, R. J. (2002). The
- 411 potential for estradiol and ethinylestradiol degradation in English rivers. *Environmental Toxicology and*412 *Chemistry* 21, 480-488.
- 413 Katsiadaki, I., Scott, A. P., Hurst, M. R., Matthiessen, P. & Mayer, I. (2002a). Detection of environmental
- 414 androgens: A novel method based on enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay of spiggin, the stickleback
- 415 (Gasterosteus aculeatus) glue protein. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry* **21**, 1946-1954.
- 416 Katsiadaki, I., Scott, A. P. & Mayer, I. (2002b). The potential of the three-spined stickleback (Gasterosteus
- 417 aculeatus L.) as a combined biomarker for oestrogens and androgens in European waters. *Marine Environmental* 418 *Research* 54, 725-728.

- 419 Kiparissis, Y., Akhtar, P., Hodson, P. V. & Brown, R. S. (2003). Partition-controlled delivery of toxicants: A
- 420 novel in vivo approach for embryo toxicity testing. *Environmental Science & Technology* **37**, 2262-2266.
- 421 Kirby, M. F., Allen, Y. T., Dyer, R. A., Feist, S. W., Katsiadaki, I., Matthiessen, P., Scott, A. P., Smith, A.,
- 422 Stentiford, G. D., Thain, J. E., Thomas, K. V., Tolhurst, L. & Waldock, M. J. (2004). Surveys of plasma
- 423 vitellogenin and intersex in male flounder (Platichthys flesus) as measures of endocrine disruption by estrogenic
- 424 contamination in United Kingdom estuaries: Temporal trends, 1996 to 2001. *Environmental Toxicology and*
- 425 *Chemistry* **23**, 748-758.
- 426 Laidley, C. W. & Thomas, P. (1997). Changes in plasma sex steroid-binding protein levels associated with
- 427 ovarian recrudescence in the spotted seatrout (Cynoscion nebulosus). *Biology of Reproduction* **56**, 931-937.
- 428 Legler, J., Dennekamp, M., Vethaak, A. D., Brouwer, A., Koeman, J. H., van der Burg, B. & Murk, A. J. (2002).
- 429 Detection of estrogenic activity in sediment-associated compounds using in vitro reporter gene assays. *Science of* 430 *the Total Environment* **293**, 69-83.
- 431 Miguel-Queralt, S., Knowlton, M., Avvakumov, G. V., Al-Nuono, R., Kelly, G. M. & Hammond, G. L. (2004).
- 432 Molecular and functional characterization of sex hormone binding globulin in zebrafish. *Endocrinology* 145,
   433 5221-5230.
- Nimrod, A. C. & Benson, W. H. (1998). Reproduction and development of Japanese medaka following an early
  life stage exposure to xenoestrogens. *Aquatic Toxicology* 44, 141-156.
- 436 Ovrevik, J., Stenersen, J., Nilssen, K. & Tollefsen, K. E. (2001). Partial characterization of a sex steroid-binding
  437 protein in plasma from Arctic charr (Salvelinus alpinus L.). *General and Comparative Endocrinology* 122, 31438 39.
- 439 Pall, M. K., Hellqvist, A., Schmitz, M., Olsson, P.-E., Mayer, I. & Borg, B. (2005). Changes in reproductive
- physiology and behaviour over the nesting cycle in male three spined sticklebacks. *Journal of Fish Biology* 66, 1400-1410.
- 442 Panter, G. H., Thompson, R. S. & Sumpter, J. P. (1998). Adverse reproductive effects in male fathead minnows
- 443 (Pimephales promelas) exposed to environmentally relevant concentrations of the natural oestrogens, oestradiol 444 and oestrone. *Aquatic Toxicology* **42**, 243-253.
- 445 Piferrer, F. & Donaldson, E. M. (1994). Uptake and Clearance of Exogenous Estradiol-17-Beta and Testosterone
- 446 During the Early Development of Coho Salmon (Oncorhynchus-kisutch), Including Eggs, Alevins and Fry. *Fish* 447 *Physiology and Biochemistry* 13, 219-232.
- 448 Pottinger, T. G. & Pickering, A. D. (1985). The Effects of 11-Ketotestosterone and Testosterone on the Skin
- 449 Structure of Brown Trout, Salmo-trutta-L. *General and Comparative Endocrinology* **59**, 335-342.
- 450 Pottinger, T. G. (1988). Seasonal-Variation in Specific Plasma-Tissue and Target-Tissue Binding of Androgens,
- 451 Relative to Plasma Steroid-Levels, in the Brown Trout, Salmo-trutta-L. General and Comparative
- 452 Endocrinology 70, 334-344.
- 453 Pottinger, T. G. & Pickering, A. D. (1990). The Effect of Cortisol Administration on Hepatic and Plasma
- 454 Estradiol-Binding Capacity in Immature Female Rainbow-Trout (Oncorhynchus-mykiss). *General and* 455 *Comparative Endocrinology* **80**, 264-273.
- 456 Pryce-Hobby, A. C., McMaster, M. E., Hewitt, L. M. & Van der Kraak, G. (2003). The effects of pulp mill
- effluent on the sex steroid binding protein in white sucker (Catostomus commersoni) and longnose sucker (C.
  catostomus). *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology C-Toxicology & Pharmacology* 134, 241-250.
- 459 Purdom, C. E., Hardiman, P. A., Bye, V. J., Eno, N. C., Tyler, C. R. & Sumpter, J. P. (1994). Estrogenic effects 460 of effluents from sewage treatment works. *Chemistry and Ecology* **8**, 275-285.
- 461 Randall, D. J., Brauner, C. J., Thurston, R. V. & Neuman, J. F. (1996). Water chemistry at the gill surfaces of
- 462 fish and uptake of xenobiotics. In *Toxicology of Aquatic Pollution* (Taylor, E. W., ed. pp. 1-16. Cambridge:
- 463 Cambridge University Press.
- 464 Rose, J., Holbech, H., Lindholst, C., Norum, U., Povlsen, A., Korsgaard, B. & Bjerregaard, P. (2002).
- 465 Vitellogenin induction by 17 beta-estradiol and 17 alpha- ethinylestradiol in male zebrafish (Danio rerio).
- 466 *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology C-Toxicology & Pharmacology* **131**, 531-539.
- 467 Rosner, W. (1990). The Functions of Corticosteroid-Binding Globulin and Sex Hormone-Binding Globulin -
- 468 Recent Advances. *Endocrine Reviews* **11**, 80-91.
- 469 Routledge, E. J. & Sumpter, J. P. (1996). Estrogenic activity of surfactants and some of their degradation
- 470 products assessed using a recombinant yeast screen. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry* **15**, 241-248.
- 471 Scott, A. P., Pinillos, M. L. & Huertas, M. (2005). The rate of uptake of sex steroids from water by Tinca tinca is
- 472 influenced by their affinity for sex steroid binding protein in plasma. *Journal of Fish Biology* **67**, 182-200.
- 473 Specker, J. L. & Chandlee, M. K. (2003). Methodology for estradiol treatment in marine larval and juvenile fish:
- 474 uptake and clearance in summer flounder. *Aquaculture* **217**, 663-672.
- 475 Thomas, K. V., Hurst, M. R., Matthiessen, P., McHugh, M., Smith, A. & Waldock, M. J. (2002). An assessment
- 476 of in vitro androgenic activity and the identification of environmental androgens in United Kingdom estuaries.
- 477 Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry 21, 1456-1461.

- 478 Thorpe, K. L., Cummings, R. I., Hutchinson, T. H., Scholze, M., Brighty, G., Sumpter, J. P. & Tyler, C. R.
- 479 (2003). Relative potencies and combination effects of steroidal estrogens in fish. Environmental Science & 480 Technology 37, 1142-1149.
- 481 Tollefsen, K. E. (2002). Interaction of estrogen mimics, singly and in combination, with plasma sex steroid-
- 482 binding proteins in rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss). Aquatic Toxicology 56, 215-225.
- 483 van Aerle, R., Nolan, M., Jobling, S., Christiansen, L. B., Sumpter, J. P. & Tyler, C. R. (2001). Sexual disruption 484 in a second species of wild cyprinid fish (the Gudgeon, gobio gobio) in United Kingdom freshwaters.
- 485 Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry 20, 2841-2847.
- 486 Williams, R. J., Johnson, A. C., Smith, J. J. L. & Kanda, R. (2003). Steroid estrogens profiles along river
- stretches arising from sewage treatment works discharges. Environmental Science & Technology 37, 1744-1750. 487
- Wootton, R. J. (1976). The Biology of the Sticklebacks. London: Academic Press. 488
- Wootton, R. J. (1984). A functional biology of Sticklebacks. London: Croom Helm. 489 490
- 491

Tables 492

493

Table I. 494

The concentration of 17ß-estradiol (E2; ng l<sup>-1</sup>) in water samples collected from the 495

exposure tanks at intervals during a 6 day static exposure. 496

497

Table I

|             |               | Concentration of E2 (ng l <sup>-1</sup> ) in each<br>treatment group |       |         |
|-------------|---------------|--|-------|---------|
| Time<br>(h) | Tank<br>(M/F) | E2   | E2+T  | Control |
|             | Male          | 514.7  | 737.6 | 0.6     |
| 6           | Female        | 682.4  | 849.4 | 0.2     |
|             | Male          | 428.6  | 400.3 | 2.5     |
| 72          | Female        | 445.8  | 496.7 | 8.4     |
|             | Male          | 189.3  | 139.2 | 1.9     |
| 144         | Female        | 339.5  | 25.7  | 0.0     |

498

Table II. 499

The concentration of testosterone (T; ng  $I^{-1}$ ) in water samples collected from the 500

exposure tanks at intervals during a 6 day static exposure. 501

## Table II

|             |               | treatment group |       |         |  |
|-------------|---------------|-----------------|-------|---------|--|
| Time<br>(h) | Tank<br>(M/F) | Т               | E2+T  | Control |  |
|             | Male          | 460.2           | 452.1 | 5.0     |  |
| 6           | Female        | 331.7           | 542.9 | 4.8     |  |
|             | Male          | 0.1             | 2.2   | 3.8     |  |
| 72          | Female        | 0.0             | 0.0   | 4.8     |  |
|             | Male          | 2.8             | 0.0   | 3.5     |  |
| 144         | Female        | 2.0             | 3.3   | 1.8     |  |

Concentration of T (ng  $l^{-1}$ ) in each

## 502 Figure legends

503 Figure 1.

Plasma E2 levels in sticklebacks sampled at intervals during a 6 day static exposure 504 to (a) E2 at a nominal concentration of 1000 ng l<sup>-1</sup>, (b) E2 and T combined at nominal 505 concentrations of 1000 ng  $l^{-1}$ , and (c) T at a nominal concentration of 1000 ng  $l^{-1}$ . 506 Symbols denote: ? (solid triangle) combined male and female controls; ? (open 507 triangle) combined male and female steroid-exposed; ? (open circle) male steroid-508 exposed; ? (solid circle) female steroid-exposed. Each point represents the mean ± 509 SEM (sexes combined, n=6; single sex, n=3; error bars are obscured by symbols for 510 control values). Significant differences between control and exposed fish are denoted 511 by \*\*\* P<0.001. Significant differences between exposed male and female fish are 512 denoted by letters: a, P<0.05; b, P<0.01; c, P<0.001. 513

514

515 Figure 2.

Plasma T levels in sticklebacks sampled at intervals during a 6 day static exposure to 516 (a) T at a nominal concentration of 1000 ng  $l^{-1}$ , (b) E2 and T together at nominal 517 concentrations of 1000 ng  $I^{1}$ , (c) E2 at a nominal concentration of 1000 ng  $I^{1}$ . 518 Symbols denote: ? (solid triangle) combined male and female controls; ? (open 519 triangle) combined male and female exposed; ? (open circle) male exposed; ? (solid 520 circle) female exposed. Each point represents the mean ± SEM (sexes combined, 521 single sex, n=3; error bars are obscured by symbols for control values). *n*=6; 522 Significant differences between control and exposed fish are denoted by \*\*\* P<0.001. 523 524 Significant differences between exposed male and female fish are denoted by letter: a, *P*<0.05. 525



Maunder, Matthiessen, Sumpter & Pottinger Fig. 1



Maunder, Matthiessen, Sumpter & Pottinger Fig. 2