First Joint International Meeting RSME-SCM-SEMA-SIMAI-UMI Bilbao (June 30 - July 4, 2014)

Reference point approaches in Stochastic Multiobjective Programming

Special Session on "Multiobjective Optimization and Variational Problems"

Mariano Luque

Universidad de Málaga. Campus de Excelencia Internacional Andalucía Tech

Introduction

Stochastic Multiobjective Programming Problem (SMOP)

$$\max_{\mathbf{x} \in X} \left(\widetilde{z}_1(\mathbf{x}, \widetilde{\mathbf{c}}), \widetilde{z}_2(\mathbf{x}, \widetilde{\mathbf{c}}), \dots, \widetilde{z}_k(\mathbf{x}, \widetilde{\mathbf{c}}) \right)$$

 $\mathbf{x} \in X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is the deterministic feasible set

 $\widetilde{\mathbf{c}} \in E \subset \mathbb{R}^s$ is a continuous random vector

 $\tilde{z}_i(\mathbf{x}, \tilde{\mathbf{c}})$ i = 1, 2, ..., k are the stochastic objective functions.

Introduction

Most widely used criteria for the stochastic - deterministic transformation

☐ Minimum risk (Stancu-Minasian, 1984):

Max
$$(P(\widetilde{z}_1(\mathbf{x}, \widetilde{c}) \ge u_1), \dots, P(\widetilde{z}_k(\mathbf{x}, \widetilde{c}) \ge u_k))$$

s.t.
$$\mathbf{x} \in X$$

u-efficient solution

 \square Gen. solution with probability β (Kataoka, 1963):

$$Max \left(u_1, u_2, \cdots, u_k\right)$$

s.t.
$$\mathbf{x} \in X$$

$$P(\widetilde{z}_i(\mathbf{x},\widetilde{c}) \ge u_i) \ge \beta_i \quad i = 1, \dots, k$$

 β – efficient solution

- Synchronous Approach in Interactive Multiobjective Optimization (Miettinen and Mäkelä, 2006)
- ☐ Interactive multiobjective fuzzy random linear programming: Maximization of possibility and probability

 (Katagiri, Sakawa, Kato and Nishizaki, 2008)
- INTEREST method (Muñoz, Luque and Ruiz, 2010)

Synchronous Approach in Interactive Multiobjective Optimization (Miettinen and Mäkelä, 2006)

- Linear and nonlinear problems (only multiobjective but not stochastic).
- ☐ Interactive Method is based on the Reference Point approach.
- ☐ At each iteration, the DM must provide some aspirations levels.
- Using the same preference information (aspiration levels), four solutions are generated by considering four different achievement scalarizing functions.

Interactive multiobjective fuzzy random linear programming: Maximization of possibility and probability (Katagiri, Sakawa, Kato and Nishizaki, 2008)

- ☐ Linear problems with fuzzy random variables in coefficients.
- ☐ Interactive Method is based on the Reference Point approach.
- Initially, the DM must provide some reference levels for the objective functions. After, the DM provides some reference probabilities for these reference values, which he or she can change at each iteration.
- Reference probabilities are regarded as reference point for the achievement scalarizing function, while the reference levels are considered as hard constraints.

INTEREST method (Muñoz, Luque and Ruiz, 2010)

- Linear and nonlinear problems.
- Parameters are continuous random variables with known distribution
- Interactive Method is based on the Reference Point approach.
- At each iteration, the DM must provide, for each objective, a reference (desired) level and a minimum probability he is willing to assume.
- The desired reference levels are regarded as the reference point for the achievement scalarizing function, while all the desired reference probabilities are considered as hard constraints.

- ☐ Linear and nonlinear problems.
- Parameters are continuous random variables with known distribution
- Interactive Method is based on the Reference Point approach.
- ☐ At each iteration, the DM can provide, for each objective, a reference (desired) level and a reference (desired) probability.
- Given this information, three different scalarized optimization problems are used in order to generate three solutions, taking into account the nature of SMOP problems.

SOLUTION (Case 1):

All the desired probabilities $\overline{\beta} = (\overline{\beta}_1,...,\overline{\beta}_k)$ must be verified (as hard conditions) while the vector with the reference levels $\overline{\mathbf{u}} = (\overline{u}_1,...,\overline{u}_k)$ is regarded as the reference point.

☐ Scalarized problem

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}\in X(\overline{\beta},\mathbf{u})} \max_{i=1,\ldots,k} \left\{ \mu_i \left(\overline{u}_i - u_i \right) \right\}$$

☐ The same problem

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}} \max_{i=1,...,k} \left\{ \mu_i \left(\overline{u}_i - u_i \right) \right\}$$
s.t.:
$$P(\widetilde{z}_i(\mathbf{x}, \widetilde{\mathbf{c}}) \ge u_i) \ge \overline{\beta}_i \quad i = 1,...,k$$

SOLUTION (Case 2):

We will assume that all reference levels $\overline{\mathbf{u}} = (\overline{u}_1,...,\overline{u}_k)$ must be satisfied (as hard conditions) while the vector with the probabilities $\overline{\beta} = (\overline{\beta}_1,...,\overline{\beta}_k)$ is regarded now as the reference point.

☐ Scalarized problem

$$\min_{\mathbf{x} \in X(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \overline{\mathbf{u}})} \max_{j=1,\dots,k} \left\{ \omega_j \left(\overline{\beta}_j - \beta_j \right) \right\}$$

$$\omega_{i} = 1$$
 $j = 1,...,k$

☐ The same problem

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{\beta}} \quad \max_{j=1,...,k} \left\{ \omega_{j} \left(\overline{\beta}_{j} - \beta_{j} \right) \right\}$$
s.t.:
$$P\left(\widetilde{z}_{i}(\mathbf{x}, \widetilde{\mathbf{c}}) \geq \overline{u}_{i} \right) \geq \beta_{i} \qquad i = 1,...,k$$

$$\mathbf{x} \in X$$

SOLUTION (Case 3):

Both the probabilities $\overline{\beta} = (\overline{\beta}_1,...,\overline{\beta}_k)$ and the reference levels $\overline{\mathbf{u}} = (\overline{u}_1,...,\overline{u}_k)$ are considered as reference points simultaneously.

 \Box Scalarized problem (γ_1 , $\gamma_2 > 0$)

$$\min_{\mathbf{x} \in X(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \mathbf{u})} \gamma_1 \max_{i=1,\dots,k} \left\{ \mu_i \left(\overline{u}_i - u_i \right) \right\} + \gamma_2 \max_{j=1,\dots,k} \left\{ \omega_j \left(\overline{\beta}_j - \beta_j \right) \right\}$$

 \Box The same problem $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2 > 0)$

$$\min_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{u},\mathbf{\beta}} \quad \gamma_1 \max_{i=1,\dots,k} \left\{ \mu_i \left(\overline{u}_i - u_i \right) \right\} + \gamma_2 \max_{j=1,\dots,k} \left\{ \omega_j \left(\overline{\beta}_j - \beta_j \right) \right\}$$

s.t.:
$$P(\widetilde{z}_i(\mathbf{x}, \widetilde{\mathbf{c}}) \ge u_i) \ge \beta_i$$
 $i = 1,...,k$

$$\mathbf{x} \in X$$

Preference information provided by the DM can be relaxed

- If only reference levels $\overline{\mathbf{u}} = (\overline{u}_1, ..., \overline{u}_k)$ for the stochastic functions are provided, we use Solution 2, setting $\overline{\beta}_j = 1 \ \forall j = 1,...,k$
- If only reference probabilities $\overline{\beta} = (\overline{\beta}_1, ..., \overline{\beta}_k)$ are provided, we use Solution 1, setting

$$\overline{u}_i = z_i^U \quad \forall i = 1, ..., k$$

Efficiency results

- □ If $\mu_i > 0 \ \forall i = 1,...,k$, the Solution 1 is β –weakly efficient solution of SMOP (efficient if it is unique)
- If $\omega_j > 0 \quad \forall j = 1,...,k$, the Solution 2 is $\overline{\mathbf{u}}$ —weakly efficient solution of SMOP (efficient if it is unique)
- If μ_i , $\omega_i > 0$, $\forall i = 1,...,k$, the Solution 3 is $\boldsymbol{\beta}^*$ weakly efficient solution and \boldsymbol{u}^* weakly efficient of SMOP (efficient if it is unique), being $(\boldsymbol{x}^*, \boldsymbol{u}^*, \boldsymbol{\beta}^*)$ the optimal solution.

Conclusions

- ☐ The interactive reference point approaches can be very useful in SMOP, similar to deterministic MOP.
- □ Preference information from the DM (reference levels and probabilities) can be reflected in different ways by considering different scalarized problems and taking into account the stochastic nature of these problems.
- □ Even, it is possible to relax this preference information, asking for the DM only reference levels or only probabilities.
- □ Scalarized problems generate (weakly) efficient solutions.