## UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI COLLEGE OF AGRICULTURE AGRICULTURAL EXPERIMENT STATION

### Research Bulletin 180

# **GROWTH AND DEVELOPMENT**

With Special Reference to Domestic Animals

XXVI. The Energy Increment of Standing Over Lying and the Cost of Getting Up and Lying Down in Growing Ruminants (Cattle and Sheep): Comparison of Pulse Rate, Respiration Rate, Tidal Air, and Minute Volume of Pulmonary Ventilation During Lying and Standing.

WARREN C. HALL AND SAMUEL BRODY

(Publication Authorized November 22, 1932)



COLUMBIA, MISSOURI JANUARY, 1933 UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI

COLLEGE OF AGRICULTURE

# Agricultural Experiment Station

EXECUTIVE BOARD OF CURATORS.—MERCER ARNOLD, Joplin; F. M. McDAVID. Springfield; H. J. BLANTON, Paris

ADVISORY COUNCIL.-THE MISSOURI STATE BOARD OF AGRICULTURE

#### STATION STAFF, JANUARY 1933

#### WALTER WILLIAMS, LL. D., President

F. B. MUMFORD, M. S., D. Agr., Director S. B. SHIRKY, A. M., Asst. to Director MISS ELLA PAHMEIER, Secretary

AGRICULTURAL CHEMISTRY A. G. Hogan, Ph.D. L. D. Haigh, Ph.D. W. S. Ritchie, Ph.D. E. W. Cowan, A.M. ROBERT BOUCHER, JR., A.M. LUTHER R. RICHARDSON, Ph.D. U. S. ASHWORTH, A.B. AGRICULTURAL ECONOMICS O. R. Johnson, A.M. Ben H. Frame, A.M. F. L. Thomsen, Ph.D. C. H. Hammar, Ph.D. AGRICULTURAL ENGINEERING J. C. Wooley, M.S. Mack M. Jones, M.S. R. R. Parks, A.M. D. D. Smith, A.M. ANIMAL HUSBANDRY ANIMAL HOSBANDER E. A. TROWBRIDCE, B.S. in Agr. L. A. WEAVER, B.S. in Agr. A. G. HOGAN, Ph.D. F. B. MUMFORD, M.S., D. Agr. D. W. CHITTENDEN, A.M. F. F. MCKENZIE, Ph.D.\* J. E. COMFORT, A.M.\* H. C. MOFFETT, A.M. RALPH W. PHILLIPS, A.M. S. R. JOENSON, A.M. C. E. TERRILL, B.S. BOTANY AND PHYSIOLOGY W. J. ROBBINS, Ph.D. C. M. TUCKER, Ph.D. DAIRY HUSBANDRY A. C. RAGSDALE, M.S. WM. H. E. REID, A.M. SAMUEL BRODY, Ph.D. C. W. TURNER, Ph.D. WARREN GIFFORD, A.M.† E. R. GARRISON, A.M. H. A. HERMAN, A.M. M. N. HALES, B.S. WARREN C. HALL, A.M. HAROLD ALLEY, B.S. WILLIAM E. ECKLES, B.S. ENTOMOLOGY LEONARD HASEMAN, Ph.D. T. E. BIRKETT, A.M. FIELD CROPS W. C. Etheridge, Ph.D. C. A. Helm, A.M.\* L. J. Stadler, Ph.D.\* R. T. Kirkpatrick, A.M. \*In cooperative service with the U.S. Department of Agriculture.

B. M. King, A.M.\* E. Marion Brown, A.M.\* Miss Clara Fuhr, M.S.\*

#### HOME ECONOMICS

MABEL CAMPBELL, A.M. JESSIE ALICE CLINE, A.M. ADELLA EPPEL GINTER, M.S. SYLVIA COVER, A.M. HELEN BERESFORD, B.S. BERTHA BISBEY, Ph.D. JESSIE V. COLES, Ph.D. MINERVA V. GRACE, M.S. FRANCES SEEDS, M.S. BERTHA K. WHIPPLE, M.S.

#### HORTICULTURE

T. J. Talbert, A.M. A. E. Murneek, Ph.D. H. G. Swartwout, A.M. Geo. Carl. Vinson, Ph.D. Arthur Meyer, A.M.

POULTRY HUSBANDRY H. L. Kempster, M.S. E. M. Funk, A.M.

RURAL SOCIOLOGY E. L. Morgan, A.M. Walter Burr, A.M. Henry J. Burt, A.M. Arthur S. Emig, Ph.D.

SOILS M. F. MILLER, M.S.A. H. H. KRUSEKOPF, A.M. W. A. ALBRECHT, Ph.D. HANS JENNY, Ph.D. L. D. BAVER, Ph.D. HAROLD F. RHOADES, A.M. WILBUR BRYANT, B.S. E. E. SMITH, B.S. R. L. LOVVORN, B.S.

VETERINARY SCIENCE A. J. DURANT, A.M., D.V.M. J. W. CONNAWAY, D.V.M., M.D. Cecil Elder, A.M., D.V.M. O. S. Crisler, D.V.M. Andrew Uren, D.V.M. A. M. McCapes, D.V.M. Harold C. McDougle, A.M.

OTHER OFFICERS R. B. PRICE, B.L., Treasurer LESLIE COWAN, B.S., Sec'y of University A. A. JEFFREY, A.B., Agricultural Editor J.F. BARHAM, Photographer JANE FRODSHAM, Librarian

tOn leave of absence.

## CONTENTS

FOREWORD AND ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	4
ABSTRACT	. 5
I. INTRODUCTION	. 5
II. LITERATURE	- 6
III. METHODS	- 9
IV. RESULTS	.10
A. Energy Metabolism	.10
1. The heat increment of standing over lying	.10
a. "Resting" metabolism	.10
b. Fasting metabolism	.13
2. The combined energy cost of getting up and	
lying down	17
B. A Comparison of Cardiorespiratory Activities	
During Standing and Lying	.19
1. Pulse Rate	.20
2. Respiration Rate	20
3. Tidal Air	20
4. Minute Volume of Pulmonary Ventilation	20
V. SUMMARY	21
REFERENCES	23
TABLE 3	24

### FOREWORD

The special investigation on the growth and development is a cooperative enterprise in which the departments of Animal Husbandry, Dairy Husbandry, Agricultural Chemistry, and Poultry Husbandry have each contributed a substantial part. The plans for the investigation in the beginning were inaugurated by a committee including F. B. Mumford, A. C. Ragsdale, E. A. Trowbridge, H. L. Kempster, A. G. Hogan. Samuel Brody served as Chairman of this committee and has been chiefly responsible for the execution of the plans, interpretation of results and the preparation of the publications resulting from this enterprise.

The investigation has been made possible through a grant by the Herman Frasch Foundation represented by Dr. R. W. Thatcher, who has given valuable advice from the beginning of the investigation.

F. B. MUMFORD, Director Agricultural Experiment Station

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.—Much of the laborious work involved in measuring the metabolism and computing the results was done by the following undergraduate student assistants: Harold Kaufman, William Harrison and Virgil Herring.

## GROWTH AND DEVELOPMENT

With Special Reference to Domestic Animals.

XXVI. The Energy Increment of Standing Over Lying and the Energy Cost of Getting Up and Lying Down in Growing Ruminants (Cattle and Sheep); Comparison of Pulse Rate, Respiration Rate, Tidal Air, and Minute Volume of Pulmonary Ventilation During Lying and Standing.

WARREN C. HALL AND SAMUEL BRODY

ABSTRACT.—Data are presented for energy metabolism, pulse rate, respiration rate, tidal air, and minute volume of pulmonary ventilation in cattle (and metabolism only of sheep) during lying and standing. The extra energy expended during standing as compared to lying under the same conditions is 9% for cattle and sheep populations, and 13% for an unusually heavy steer weighing over 2000 pounds. The above values do not include the energy expended for standing up from the lying position or lying down from the standing position. In the case of cows weighing about 350 kilos the combined energy of standing up and lying down is of the order of 2.5 kilo-calories per 100 kilos of live weight. The pulse rate during standing is about 2% above that during lying; the respiration rate during standing is about 11% below that during lying, while the tidal air is about 25% above that during lying. The data which include about 2000 metabolism measurements on 34 animals (2 Guernsey cows, 13 Jersey cows, 11 Holstein cows, 1 Hereford cow, 1 Hereford steer, 2 Holstein calves, 2 Hereford calves, and 2 Dorset sheep) were analyzed statistically and the results indicate that the differences between most of the limits of error of these measurements. The metabolism measurements were made on well-trained animals by the closed-circuit oxygen-consumption method, with the oxygen spirometer attached to the respiratory system of the animal by means of a rubber sleeve.

### I. INTRODUCTION

The total energy expended by an animal is, of course, the sum of the energies expended for each of the constituent processes, as for example: basal metabolism ("the maintenance requirement of net energy" of the resting animal in the lying position); heat increment of standing; energy expense for getting up and lying down; energy expenses for other movements such as walking, running, etc.; energy expenses incident to the reproductive processes, including gestation and lactation. The preceding reports were

This bulletin contains parts of the data included in a dissertation by Warren C. Hall.

Paper No. 53 in the Herman Frasch Foundation Series.

concerned with the *basal* and *resting* metabolism in growing animals: this report is concerned with the energy increment of standing over lying, and the energy expenses of getting up and lying down. Incidentally, data are also presented for pulse rate, respiration rate, tidal air, and minute volume of pulmonary ventilation during standing and lying.

### II. LITERATURE

It would not be profitable to go into the details of the literature on this problem, partly because the results are very conflicting, but mainly because such reviews have recently been published by Forbes, Kriss, and associates, and by Benedict and Ritzman. A few quotations from these authors on their results, and on their reviews will serve as a brief summary of the problem, and as an introduction to the literature.

The literature, up to 1927, as it relates to cattle is summarized by Forbes and associates as follows:

"The quantitative determination of the comparative energy metabolism during standing and lying, either by direct or indirect calorimetry, presents during standing and lying, either by direct or indirect calorimetry, presents many difficulties, and the results obtained by different investigators vary widely, in accord with differences in fundamental conceptions involved, and under the influence of different conditions of experimentation, especially as to size of animal and plane of nutrition. Thus, Hagemann, in two experi-ments with steers, reported increases of 28 per cent and 30 per cent in the total heat production of standing as compared with lying. Dahm, working in Zuntz's laboratory, and by Zuntz's method, found an increase of only 8 per cent in the appropriate compared with lying. per cent in the respiratory excretion of carbon dioxide by a young bull, when standing as compared with lying. Klein studied the respiratory exchange of a steer, during standing and lying, by means of a tracheal cannula, and found an increase of 20.7 per cent in the heat production of standing as compared with lying. Armsby and Fries calculated that in 37 published ex-periments with steers the increase in the directly determined heat production during standing as compared with lying varied from a minimum of 28.3 per cent to a maximum of 64.5 per cent, averaging 41.4 per cent; and they stated that a considerable number of other experiments, unpublished at that time, gave similar results. Armsby and Fries also reported results of six experiments with a steer in which the carbon dioxide and water vapor, as well as the heat produced, were determined separately for intervals of standing and lying, and showed increases in carbon dioxide elimination during standing as compared with lying varying from 20.4 to 35.1 per cent, with corresponding increases in heat production varying between 32.3 and 40.0 per cent.

"In all of the experiments referred to above the animals received feed.

"Recently Fries and Kriss pointed out certain instrumental errors and imperfections in the method used by Armsby and Fries to separate the directly measured heat production between intervals of standing and lying, especially in feeding periods, and by an indirect computation concluded that a fasting cow, weighing 400 kg., gave off while standing 26.3 Calories more per hour than while lying, this increase being equal to 9.8 per cent of the total heat producton.

"This figure was derived from observations on a single cow, but under conditions regarded at the time as unusually favorable. In the light of the present paper, however, which is based upon much more extensive data, and improved technic and computations, the problem presented by the instrumental lag, in the study by Fries and Kriss, appears not to have been successfully handled; and it seems imperative, therefore, to reconsider the whole subject."

Accordingly, Forbes and associates of the Pennsylvania Institute of Animal Nutrition then described a new method for measuring the heat increment of standing for overcoming the instrumental lag. They used for this purpose their customary respiration calorimeter on two steers. The new method consisted in sampling and analyzing for  $CO_2$  the outgoing air at 15-minute intervals; plotting the results against time of standing or lying, and determining from the resulting curve the  $CO_2$  production when the time curve reached a constant value of  $CO_2$  production. The heat increment of standing was then computed from the resulting data. They summarize their findings by this new method as follows:

"The determination of the energy expenditure of cattle in the standing compared with the lying position, as a basis for the computation of the heat production to a standard day as to standing and lying, has been reconsidered in the light of new evidence of the extent of the experimental lag, affecting the measurements of  $CO_2$  and heat in the use of the respiration calorimeter.

"A steer weighing 468 kgs. produced 10.8 liters more  $CO_2$  per hour (2.31 liters per 100 kg. live weight) during standing than during lying. On the basis of a determined heat- $CO_2$  ratio of 6.55, the increase of heat production for standing as compared with lying was 70.7 Calories per head, or 15.1 Calories per 100 kg. live weight, per hour."

The following comment by Benedict and Ritzman (referring apparently to the older work at Pennsylvania) may be pertinent in this connection:

"The Pennsylvania investigators computed that the basal katabolism of their cattle per square meter of body surface, when the cattle were standing for the entire 24 hours, was 1,365 calories or 401 calories greater than when the animal was lying 24 hours. The increment due to the standing position is thus 41 per cent. The difference of approximately 41 per cent between the metabolism in the lying and standing positions is, in accordance with the latest published and corrected computations from the Pennsylvania institute, very large, for the more recent figures of Fries and Kriss would imply a difference of approximately 9 per cent. The standards of Fries and Kriss are derived from a series of experiments with one especially satisfactory animal, cow 874, which gave off 4.9162 calories per minute while standing and 4.4771 calories per minute while lying. The difference is 0.4391 calorie, which represents a decrease in the heat-production with a change in body position from standing to lying of about 9 per cent. Our own data regarding the difference in metabolism in the two positions are, as already stated (see  $p_4$  202), not extensive enough to permit or drawing definite conclusions, but on the basis of our results it seems highly probable that the correction factor might in general be nearer 20 than 9 per cent, with a probable influence of the length of time since food was withheld."

The findings of Benedict and Ritzman on the heat increment of standing in steers are summarized in their 1927 paper as follows (p. 238):

"A difference from 20 to 30 per cent between the metabolism in the lying and in the standing position was noted on days with feed. In some instances this difference diminished during fasting and practically disappeared after the second or third day, but in other instances it persisted even to the fourth or fifth day."

Ritzman and Benedict (1931, p. 17), on the basis of unpublished data. state that

"With dry cows the difference between standing and lying varies immensely (i. e., from 10 to 40 per cent) on feed days, but on fasting the difference diminished after several days to less than 10 per cent, and in some cases showed a tendency to disappear entirely."

They explain the influence of fasting on the heat increment of standing as follows:

"Since cattle tend to be somewhat restless in standing while being regularly fed, but become more or less inert and lifeless on prolonged fast, it seems reasonable to conclude that the excess in energy production in standing over lying is largely due to restlessness and apart from the requirements of supporting the body."

Ritzman and Benedict also quote from one of their preceding reports to the effect that "with steers a difference of about 17 per cent was found, although variations up to 30 per cent did occur also on days when the animals were regularly fed."

As regards the heat increment of standing in sheep, the following averages from Ritzman and Benedict (1931, p. 16) summarize their findings:

Body Wt. Kgs.	Hours Without Food	Percentage increase in Metabolism due to Standing
53.1	18	13
51.3	42	32
55.8	50	8
48.1	24	35
45.4	48	18
37.9	26-37	11
34.7	26-37	12

The two high values (32 and 35 per cent) were obtained on animals that were very active while standing.

The above quotations cover the literature on the energy increment of standing in cattle and sheep, and they indicate that our knowledge of this subject is rather incomplete.

We shall not attempt to review the literature on this problem as it relates to humans except to note that Benedict and Johnson report that the energy metabolism in young women standing quietly is 9 per cent above that for sitting quietly. This finding is of interest to us because we found a similar heat increment of standing for *well-trained* cattle. Mention need also be made that Benedict and Murschhouser found that the manner of standing exerts an influence on the metabolic rate in humans.

### III. METHODS

With the exception of the measurements reported by Dahm and Klein from Zuntz' laboratory (cited by Forbes, *et al* above) the published reports on this problem were made with the aid of respiration chambers or respiration-calorimeter chambers. By this method, the investigator has no control over the animal. Besides, as pointed out by Fries and Kriss, and Forbes and Kriss, there is a serious instrumental lag inherent in this method so that the results of the standing and lying metabolism can not be differentiated clearly. This necessitates making complicated corrections, with possibility of introducing computational errors.

The mask method used by us, on the other hand, obviates these difficulties. The method is described in detail on pp. 6 to 14, Missouri Research Bulletin 143. There is practically no instrumental lag by this method and consequently no corrections need be made; the animals are under nearly full control of the investigator, as they are trained to stand up or to lie down at the wish of the operator; the periods are short so that usually the animals do not get tired or restless; the method is simple so that hundreds of records can be taken and the averages arrived at statistically.

Our measurements were taken regularly about three times a week for a period of six months (February to July inclusive, 1932). The measurements were made in the morning, before the morning feeding (about 8 hours following the preceding evening's feeding in dairy cows, and about 12 hours after the preceding' feeding in the other animals). On one day the lying measurement (15-minute record) was made first, followed by the standing measurement (15-minute record): then on the following morning, the standing measurement was made first followed by the lying measurement.

The lying measurements were made in the habitual haunches position as described in the preceding reports of this series. The standing measurements were made while the animal's neck was supported by a customary cattle stanchion. This kept the animal in place in its habitual manner. There was nothing unusual, uncomfortable, or strained for the animal.

As the animal was rested before the beginning of a measurement, the given measurement therefore represents strictly the metabolism either of standing or of lying, and exclusive of the energy expense of the act of getting up and lying down.

The energy of getting up and lying down was measured separately as follows: First, a usual 15-minute lying record was obtained. While the animal's respiratory system continues to be connected to the oxygen spirometer, the animal rises to the standing position and then lies down again within the period of about a minute. The animal continues in the lying position for 15 or 30 minutes longer, and the graphic record continues to be made. The energy of the entire cycle--standing up and lying down---is then computed from these graphic records, as will be explained presently.

In order to determine the influence of fasting and feeding on the heat increment of standing, a number of animals were fasted for from 2 to 6 days, and the measurements carried out in the usual manner.

### IV. RESULTS

Table 1 contains all our data in the form of breed or group averages for the entire six-month period of observation.

Table 2 contains the statistical constants of the measurements for the dairy cows only.

### A. Energy Metabolism

Metabolism measurements were made to evaluate the heat increment of standing over lying when the animals were on their usual diets, and while fasting; also to evaluate the energy expense of standing up and lying down.

1. The Heat Increments of Standing over Lying. (a) "Resting" Metabolism:—As previously noted, resting metabolism refers to the heat production while the animal is resting and before the morning feeding, which is 8 to 12 hours after the preceding evening's feeding. The animals are thus not in post-absorptive condition, as it requires 48 to 72 hours of fasting in ruminants to reach this condition. We assume indeed that under normal conditions of feeding as practiced on commercial farms, the specific dynamic action is approximately constant from hour to hour during the entire 24 hours, and the "resting metabolism" thus includes this constant specific dynamic action of the diet.

From Table 1, it is seen that the average heat increment of standing over lying, under customary conditions of feeding (that is, under conditions of "resting" metabolism) is 8.4% for Guernsey cows, 9.1% for Jersey cows, 8.8% for Holstein cows, 7.2% for a Hereford cow, 13.1% for the heavy Hereford steer (weighing over 2000 pounds), 9.1% for the Holstein calves, 6.1% for the Hereford calves, and 8.9% for the Dorset sheep.

TABLE 1.-GROUP AVERAGES

					1 1		1							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
	No.		Ave.	Ave.	Ave. Sur-	Ave. M Cals.	Rest. et. ⁄Day	Ave S	. Heat tanding Cals	Increme over L ./Day	ents of ying	Pı	ilse Ra	te	Resp.	Rate	Tida (S. T	l Air '. P.)	Venti (S.	il. Rate T. P.)
Breed, Group and Sex	Anim. in Group	Total No. Meas.	Age Anim. Mos.	Wt. Anim. Kgs.	face Area Sq. M.	Lying (2)	Stand_ ing	Total (1)	Per 100 kg.	Per Sq. M.	Per cent. (1)/(2)	L.	S.	Diff. %	L.	s.	L. Lit	S. ers	L. Liters/	S. Minute
Guernsey Cows Jersey Cows Holstein Cows Hereford Cows Hereford Steer Holstein Heifer	2 13 11 1 1	115 701 607 64 65	36 31 30 40 40	410 403 514 422 875	$\begin{array}{r} 4.36 \\ 4.32 \\ 4.94 \\ 4.43 \\ 5.78 \end{array}$	10209 9615 12262 9300 12864	11068 16496 13342 9972 14547	859 881 1080 672 1683	2.10 2.19 2.10 1.59 2.13	197 204 210 152 291	8.41 9.16 8.81 7.20 13.10	69 74 71 57 59	71 75 73 58 61	2.9 1.3 2.8 1.8 3.4	30 30 30 30 29	29 27 27 29 27	3.1 2.7 3.4 3.4 4.2	3.7 3.4 4.2 3.8 5.0	93 82 104 102 121	107 92 114 109 135
Calves Hereford Heifer	2	199	4.7	164	2.61	5708	6226	518	3.15	198	9.07	72	75	4.2	35	31	1.7	2.0	59	61
Calves Dorset Ewes	2 2	187 51	4.5 24	144 63	$\begin{array}{c} 2.43\\ 1.34 \end{array}$	4959 2059	5262 2242	303 183	2.10 2.81	125 141	$6.11 \\ 8.92$	76	78	2.6	34	32	1.4	1.5	46	49

TABLE 2.—STATISTICAL CONSTANTS FOR WEIGHT, DAILY METABOLISM, PULSE RATE, RESPIRATION RATE, TIDAL AIR, AND VENTILATION RATE DURING STANDING AND LYING FOR JERSEY AND HOLSTEIN COWS

			HOLSTEIN	S			JERSEYS			
	Position	Mean	Stand. Devia.	Coeff. of Varia.	Per cent S over L	Mean	Stand. Devia.	Coeff. of Varia.	Per cent S over L	Per cent Holsteins over Jerseys
Wt. Kgs. Metab. Cals. Per Day Pulse Rate Per Min Respirations Per Min Tidal Air Liters Ventil. Rate Lit./M	ടപടപടപട പടപട പട	$\begin{array}{c} 516.1 \pm 1.400 \\ 13205.2 \pm 61.429 \\ 12118.8 \pm 58.342 \\ 72.5 \pm 0.109 \\ 70.5 \pm 0.202 \\ 26.05 \pm 0.208 \\ 29.94 \pm 0.183 \\ 4.23 \pm 0.002 \\ 3.34 \pm 0.002 \\ 109.30 \pm 0.687 \\ 101.24 \pm 0.660 \end{array}$	51.62256.82143.46.646.747.076.220.6660.55623.3922.46	9.998 17.09 17.68 9.16 9.56 27.10 20.80 15.72 16.66 21.40 22.18		$\begin{array}{c} 404.9\pm1.069\\ 10528.0\pm61.502\\ 9685.3\pm53.246\\ 75.3\pm0.164\\ 74.1\pm0.169\\ 26.64\pm0.194\\ 29.79\pm0.179\\ 3.42\pm0.002\\ 2.68\pm0.002\\ 20.62\pm0.680\\ 90.25\pm0.680\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 40.2\\ 2310.5\\ 2000.4\\ 5.96\\ 6.19\\ 7.10\\ 6.55\\ 0.568\\ 0.577\\ 22.45\end{array}$	9.930 21.95 20.65 7.92 8.35 26.64 21.99 16.59 21.54 24.87		27.50 25.43 25.13  23.60 24.68 21.10

Average Age of Holsteins 30 Months-range 22-43 months.

Average Age of Jerseys 31 Months-range 23-45 months.

All measurements were made under customary dairy-barn conditions before the regular morning feeding, which is about 8 hours following the preceding evening feeding and while the animals were very quiet. The probable error of the mean =  $0.6745 \ge \sigma / \sqrt{n}$ 

The standard deviation,  $\sigma_1 = \sqrt{\Sigma f d^2 / n}$ 

Coefficient of variation = 100  $x\sigma/m$ Percentage increment of standing (S) over lying (L) is the difference between S and L, divided by L, and multiplied by 100.

\*In this and all succeeding tables, negative percentage difference indicates value lower for standing than for lying.

To indicate the nature of the distribution of individual measurements, the data for the dairy cows are shown in Fig. 1 in the form of a conventional frequency polygon representing the frequency distribution of variations of the percentage heat increments of standing over lying.

The chart shows that occasionally the standing metabolism was lower than the lying metabolism; but this must be due to some experimental error, or to the fact that the animal was uncomfortable in the lying position. The latter is particularly true of animals in advanced stages of gestation, or in their flush of lactation. The animals are then visibly uncomfortable while lying, trying to shift their position so as to avoid pressure on the abdomen, or on the mammary glands. They are correspondingly more comfortable in the standing position. The high heat increments of standing are due to similar causes, when the animals are more restless in the standing position (as when the body is too heavy for the legs as in case of steer 815) shifting their weight, etc., and correspondingly more quiet in the lying position.



Fig. 1 is useful in indicating that it is dangerous to draw conclusions concerning the heat increment of standing from one or two measurements. Statistical studies of populations offer a more certain approach to results on energy metabolism, as they do in most other biological problems, especially problems involving physiological processes.

Table 3 (at the end of this bulletin) presents more detailed information about the individual dairy cows under observation. Here are given the calendar months, live weights, ages, the lying and standing metabolism in Calories per day, percentage differences between lying and standing, average deviations from the mean values for lying and standing, and time of calving.

(b) Fasting Metabolism:—We next consider the influence of fasting on the heat increment of standing. This is important in view of the fact that Benedict and Ritzman report that "Since cattle tend to be somewhat restless in standing while being regularly fed, but become more or less inert and lifeless on prolonged fast, it seems reasonable to conclude that the excess in energy production in standing over lying is largely due to restlessness and apart from the requirements of supporting the body."

Unfortunately, we could not fast our well-trained dairy cows because they were either gestating or lactating and mostly on Advanced Registry tests. We were therefore obliged to confine our fasting experiments to the four calves (205, 206, 669, 670) and to the unusually heavy steer 815. The steer's body, as noted, seemed to be too heavy for his legs, so that he swayed his body and shifted his weight while standing. The consequences are that his heatincrement values of standing are not very consistent, depending on the way he felt about it at the given time.

The results of a few fasting experiments are presented in Table 4, but they will be most easily understood by presenting them in graphic form. Accordingly, the results are thus presented in Figs. 2 and 3.

In Fig. 2 we have the absolute values (Calories per day) plotted against time of fasting for the animals. The circles represent standing metabolism while the stars represent lying metabolism. In several cases the curves include the period of refeeding, beginning with the vertical broken line indicated on the chart.

Fig. 3 represents the same measurements but in terms of percentages of the heat increments of standing over lying.

The distribution of the data points, especially as shown in Fig. 3, is admittedly very irregular. But still the data serve for indicating as to whether or not feeding or fasting influences the energy cost of standing over lying. The fluctuations in the values appear to be fortuitous rather than systematic, thus leading to the conclusion that feeding or fasting exerts but slight, if any, influence on the heat increment of standing.



Fig. 2.-Comparison of Standing and Lying Energy Metabolism During Fasts.



Fig. 3.—Percentage Differences of Standing and Lying Energy Metabolism During Fasts.

TABLE 4.—INFLUENCE OF FASTING ON ENERGY METABOLISM AND CARDIORESPIRATORY ACTIVITY DURING LYING AND STANDING

Time After Feed	Barn	Met.	Cals. /D	ay	Pı	ilse R	ate	Resp.	Rate	Tida (S. T	1 Air 7. P.)	Ventil. (S. T.	Rate P.)
ing Hrs.	Temp. °C.	Lying	Stand.	Diff. %	L.	s.	Diff. %	L.	s.	L. Lit	S. ers	L. Liters / 1	S. Minute
	H	ereford S	teer # 81.	5; Wei	ght 8	85 kg	s.; Ag	ge 46 N	Aos.;	Date 9	/24/	32.	
1 6 8 11 20	18 20 20 20 15	11136 10445 11674 11674 10598	13286 13594 14438 13056 13056	19 30 24 12 23	66 66 62 64 58	68 66 64 66 62	3 0 3 7	27 30 29 24 21	25 26 26 22 20	$4.8 \\ 4.2 \\ 4.2 \\ 3.6 \\ 4.2$	5.4 5.1 5.3 5.0 4.9	130 126 121 86 89	136 133 139 111 97
30 35 44 53 57 58 61 71	19 18 22 22 22 22 22 20	10061 8986 9370 9139 10138 9677 9293 9600	12442 11827 11750 12518 12902 12672 11981 12672	24 32 25 37 27 31 29 32	60 56 48 48 46 52	64 60 50 52 48 50 54	77948404	28 24 21 23 23 25 24	22 20 20 22 23 24 22 22	3.9 3.6 4.2 3.5 3.7 3.7 3.7	4.5 4.88 5.33 5.3 4.7 3.5	109 86 88 84 81 86 93 64	99 96 96 116 121 104 82 78
83 86 92	22 18 20	8832 8832 8832	11520 11520 10906	30 30 23	48 46 48	50 46 50	4 0 4	23 21 18	25 19 15	3.2 4.9 3.9	$4.4 \\ 4.7 \\ 5.4$	$\begin{array}{r} 74\\102\\70\end{array}$	110 89 81
	He	olstein Fe	emale #6	69; W	eight	254 1	cgs.; A	Age 9 ]	Mos.;	Date 9	7/7/3	2.	
2 18 23 25 26 28	25 25 26 26 26 26	7392 6950 6893 7565 7315 7469	8717 8218 8141 8314 8141 8218	18 17 18 9 11 10	90 74 80 82 80 74	96 72 86 88 78	-3 5 10 5	40 33 36 32 31	37 42 39 29 33	1.7 1.8 1.7 2.2 1.9 2.0	2.2 2.2 2.0 2.3 2.2 2.3	68 59 61 84 61 62	81 92 68 67 64 76
52 34 42 47 49 51	23 23 25 25 30 30	7430 6605 6797 7046 6374 6624	7949 7949 7718 7392 7872 8006	20 14 5 24 21	70 66 66 64 66	82 72 64 66 68 64	-2 -3 -3 -3 -3	29 25 37 37 35	30 31 32 30 28	1.9 1.7 1.6 1.7 1.7 1.7	2.2 2.0 1.9 2.0 2.3 2.5	68 49 40 63 63 67	81 60 59 64 69 70
11	Ho.	stein Fei 7040	male # 67	'0; We	ight 0	236 k	gs.; A	ge 7 N	10s.; ]	Date 8	/29/3	32.	
11 26 29 31 34 50 53 56 59	26 28 28 28 28 23 22 22 22	7949 6912 6816 6240 6413 6125 5414 5837 5242	8870 7392 7642 7392 6912 6298 6182 6010 5914	$12 \\ 7 \\ 12 \\ 17 \\ 8 \\ 3 \\ 14 \\ 3 \\ 13 \\ 13 \\ 12 \\ 12 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 12 \\ 12$	90 80 80 68 70 58 60 64 62	90 722 72 74 64 62 64 64	-8 -10 6 14 -3 3	41 43 39 38 45 29 30 25	41 43 33 41 22 29 27	2.0 1.6 1.1 1.6 2.2 2.4 2.2 2.1	$2.7 \\ 1.3 \\ 1.7 \\ 1.9 \\ 2.3 \\ 2.4 \\ 2.6 \\ 2.5 \\ 2.5 \\$	82 69 43 61 86 57 70 66 53	111 56 60 94 53 57 75 68
2	Here	eford Fer	nale # 20	5; We	ight	240 k	gs.; A	.ge 8 1	Mos.;	Date 9	9/12/	<sup>'32.</sup>	100
2 9 12 21 30 36 45	25 28 28 28 23 25 24 22 Here	9696 8064 7488 6835 6163 5261 5549 4934 ford Fen	9466 8237 7411 6568 5933 6067 5357	17 10 8 7 13 9 9	90 86 80 58 58 54	90 92 93 84 78 64 58 2031	2 8 5 26 10 10 7	48 40 42 43 38 29 38 28 28	38 32 40 33 31 23 29 26 2 Moi	$ \begin{array}{c} 1.9\\ 1.7\\ 1.4\\ 1.5\\ 1.4\\ 1.3\\ 1.5\\ 1.5\\ \end{array} $	2.7 2.4 2.3 2.2 2.3 2.0 2.3	90 68 60 53 42 50 41 7 7 32	102 78 91 71 68 53 59 61
4	25	7219	7469	3	100	110	10	52	45	1.4	1.7	73	77
5 12 13 20 30 35 36 53	25 22 25 26 23 20 30	7123 5894 5894 6451 5295 5914 5491 5242	7891 6893 6970 7373 6643 6509 6682 6547	11 17 18 14 23 10 22 25	92 90 72 90 72 68 68	98 86 96 76 72 70	7 -4 11 7 6 6 3	45 35 31 35 45 31 36 36 36	47 352 493 483 483 483	1.9 2.3 1.7 1.3 1.4 1.0 1.0 1.2	2.2 1.8 2.0 1.7 1.6 1.3 1.4	86 81 53 56 49 46 37 43	103 63 84 66 69 57 53 69
43 59 61	24 25	4819 5050 4954	6048 6067 5875	26 20 19				39 36 30	45 47 29	1.0 1.2 1.2	$1.3 \\ 1.2 \\ 1.6$	39 43 36	59 56 46

2. The Combined Energy Cost of Getting Up and Lying Down:—Having determined the energy increment of standing over lying, it then seemed logical to attempt to evaluate the energy of getting up and lying down. This was done as follows: A graphic record was first made of the lying metabolism, as indicated in Fig. 4 by records I and II, and by lines A and B up to the point X. Without removing the mask, the animal then got up at point X, and lay down at point Y, and the making of the record continued. Line B was then drawn for record II, which is a continuation of, and is therefore parallel to, line A. The point P was then located on graphic record III, indicating the end of the lag period; that is, where the upper graphic record III becomes parallel to the lower graphic record I. Line C is drawn through this point P parallel to line B. The distance D between point P and line B then represents the extra oxygen used for getting up and lying down; from which the energy of getting up and lying down is computed in the usual manner.



Fig. 4.—A Graphic Record and Measurements Used in Determining the Increase in Oxygen Consumption Due to Combined Acts of Getting Up and Lying Down. Record I is graphic record above line A. Record II is graphic record above line B. Record III is graphic record above line C.

The results obtained on two cows are shown in Table 5. The combined energy cost of getting up and lying down is seen to be, for these animals (age 2½ years, weight 330 to 390 kgs.) from 8 to 9 Calories; or 2.5 Calories per 100 kilograms of live weight.

For purposes of comparison, Table 5 also contains values for resting metabolism (Calories per minute) of the animals at the various trials and the lag period (minutes required to reach the original metabolic rate).

The above values represent the combined energy of getting up and lying down. We have also attempted to determine *separately* the energy expense of getting up and lying down. However, we decided not to publish the results as, separately, they come dangerously close to being within the limits of error of measuring metabolism in cattle; since for this purpose the reference base, corresponding to graphic record I in Fig. 4, has to be established at a different time than when the energy of lying down is measured, and metabolism in cattle made at different times can not be relied upon with certainty to agree to within 5%.

In order to determine what agreement might be expected for measurements made on the same animal on successive days under comparable conditions, the resting (lying) metabolism data of cows 428 to 829 given in Table 5 were analyzed statistically, with the results given in Table 6. It is there seen that the coefficients

	A	Guernsey ge 2.5 years	Cow 428 , Wt. 330 kg	·s.	A	Jersey ( ge 2.5 years	Cow 829 , Wt. 390 kg	8.
	Energy, g and lyir	etting up ng down	Pasting	Lag	Energy, g and lyir	etting up ng down	Resting	Lag
Expt. No.	Total Cals.	Cal. per 100 kg.	Metab. Cal/Min.	Period Min.	Total Cals.	Cal. per 100 kg.	Metab. Cal/Min.	Period Min.
1234567890 111234567890 111234567890 111234567899 22222222222222222222222222222222222	$\begin{array}{c} 7.8\\ 8.6\\ 12.5\\ 8.3\\ 8.5\\ 7.2\\ 8.8\\ 4.3\\ 10.4\\ 9\\ 11.0\\ 10.1\\ 7.2\\ 7.8\\ 5.1\\ 13.4\\ 5.6\\ 5.6\\ 7.0\\ 5.9\\ 4.3\\ 10.1\\ 7.4\\ 11.0\\ 10.1\\ 7.2\\ 8.6\\ 5.6\\ 7.0\\ 7.4\\ 11.0\\ 8.6\\ 5.6\\ 7.0\\ 7.4\\ 8.6\\ 7.4\\ 7.8\\ 7.8\\ 7.8\\ 7.8\\ 7.8\\ 7.8\\ 7.8\\ 7.8$	$\begin{array}{c} 2.4\\ 2.6\\ 3.5\\ 2.6\\ 2.7\\ 1.3\\ 3.2\\ 1.3\\ 3.3\\ 3.1\\ 2.2\\ 1.3\\ 1.5\\ 4.2\\ 3.3\\ 2.2\\ 1.5\\ 1.5\\ 1.5\\ 1.6\\ 1.7\\ 2.1\\ 2.3\\ 1.8\\ 2.0\\ 1.8\\ 2.2\\ 2.4 \end{array}$	6.1 6.9 5.3 7.1 5.5 6.1 5.5 6.1 5.5 6.1 1.1 6.1 5.9 5.9 9.9 5.5 6.2 1 6.2 5.5 5.5 6.2 1 6.1 5.5 5.5 6.2 5.5 5.5 6.5 5.5 6.5 5.5 6.5 5.5 6.5 5.5 6.5 5.5 6.5 5.5 6.5 5.5 6.5 5.5 6.5 5.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7	5796699656607553765564555 <b>4</b> 5566	$\begin{array}{c} 8.5\\ 14.9\\ 10.5\\ 13.0\\ 9.5\\ 7.2\\ 7.0\\ 5.6\\ 12.6\\ 8.8\\ 7.4\\ 8.8\\ 10.1\\ 8.1\\ 8.1\\ 8.1\\ 8.1\\ 10.1\\ 7.4\\ 8.3\\ 7.3\\ 7.5\\ 7.8\\ 10.6\\ 8.8\\ 10.1\\ 4.5\\ 9.8\\ 8.8\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2.2\\ 3.8\\ 3.3\\ 3.3\\ 3.5\\ 3.5\\ 3.5\\ 3.5\\ 3.5\\ 3.5$	5537479027862950243876544775670 8888988998888999988889999999999999999	778655658765766736566987568436

TABLE 5.—COMBINED ENERGY EXPENSE OF GETTING UP AND LYING DOWN

Table 6.—Statistical Constants of Cows 829 and 428 for August and September

			COW	7 829		1			COW	7 428		
	M	ean	Stand	. Dev.	Coet Va:	f. of ria.	Me	an	Stand	. Dev.	Coet Vai	ff. of ria.
	Aug.	Sept.	Aug.	Sept.	Aug.	Sept.	Aug.	Sept.	Aug.	Sept.	Aug.	Sept.
Metabolism												
Day	12810	12582	699	335	5.5	2.7	9684	8650	597	312	6.2	3.6
Pulse Rate Per Min.	78.3	74.3	3.4	4.6	4.4	6.1	62.7	61.0	2.3	4.2	3.7	6.8
Per Min.	43.8	34.9	7.0	4.1	15.9	11.7	26.6	24.6	2.5	4.4	9.2	17.9
in cc.	2870	3115	55	99	1.9	3.2	3230	3540	479	89	14.8	2.5
Ventila-												÷
tion Liters /Min.	125	108	15.2	5.6	12.6	5.2	85	86	6.8	9.5	8.0	11.0

of variation of the metabolism data of cow 829, varied for the two sub periods from 5.5 in August to 2.7 in September. In the case of cow 428, the coefficient of variation was 6.2 in August and 3.6 in September. These two animals, it must be noted, are our best experimental subjects, and the greatest possible care was taken in securing these measurements. Table 2 shows that the coefficient of variation for the resting metabolism of the entire cow population for the entire 6-months period (including different stages of gestation, lactation, environmental temperature, and diet) is about 17.1 for the Holstein cattle and about 21 for the Jersey cattle.

It is interesting to note that the variability of the various kinds of measurements shown in Table 2 fall into two classes. We have on one hand body weight and pulse rate with a variation of the order of 8 to 10%. On the other hand, we have oxygen consumption ("metabolism"), minute volume of pulmonary ventilation, tidal air, and respiration rate with a variability of 20 to 25%. The excess variability in the second class of measurements presumably represents variability due to environmental conditions. Dairymen are familiar with similar differences in variability as regards quantity of milk secretion, and percentage of fat in milk of cow populations. The variability of milk secretion (which is sensitive to environmental conditions) is between 20 and 25%; while of the percentage of fat in milk (not easily affected by environmental conditions), it is of the order of 8 to 10%. The situation as regards the variability in Table 6 differs from that in Table 2 in that in Table 6 the measurements were made on the same animal, and thus individual variability is entirely eliminated; and that the measurements were confined to relatively very short periods (12 days), thus eliminating largely variations due to environmental changes.

## B. A Comparison of Cardiorespiratory Activities During Standing and Lying

There is, of course an intimate relation between respiration, circulation, and oxygen consumption (energy metabolism). The respiratory system takes in the oxygen, and the circulatory system transports it to the tissues for the needed energy production. It would then be reasonable to assume that on changing from the lying to the standing position, there would be parallel percentage increases in the time volumes of oxygen consumption, blood flow, and pulmonary ventilation. Boothby has indeed found such parallelism in men doing moderate, progressively increasing amounts of work. We were not in a position to measure the time rate of blood flow, but we have measured the pulse rate, which ordinarily coincides with the heart rate; and the graphic method of measuring oxygen consumption gave us, incidentally, records of the respiration rates, tidal air (amounts of air breathed out in normal expiration) and, therefrom, the time rate of pulmonary ventilation.

These results are presented in Tables 1 and 2 in summary form, and very briefly commented upon here.

1. Pulse Rate:—Tables 1 and 2 show that in dairy cows the average pulse rate is between 69 and 74 beats per minute during lying, and 71 to 75 during standing; in the beef cow, the average pulse rate during lying is 57, and during standing 58; in the beef steer, the increase is from 59 to 61. The percentage increase in pulse rate during standing is thus about one-fourth of the increase above lying in the case of metabolism. The pulse rate in the calves is somewhat higher than in the older animals.

In adult humans, the average basal pulse rate is 62 for males and 69 for females.

2. Respiration Rate.—Tables 1 and 2 show that the average respiration rates in dairy cows are about 30 during lying, and about 27 during standing, or an average decrease of about 10 per cent. (The respiration rate in adult humans is 15 to 20, twice as great in children, and 50 to 70 in new-born infants.) Table 3 shows, as might be expected, that the respiration rate tends to increase with increasing temperature.

3. Tidal Air.—The *decrease* in respiration rate during standing as compared to lying, is compensated by a corresponding *increase* in tidal air (volume of air breathed out, or taken in during each respiration). The tidal air is presented in terms of liters, corrected to standard temperature and pressure. The tidal air in dairy cows during lying is seen to be about 3.1 liters, and during standing, 3.8 liters. The tidal air of the large steer, 815, is 4.2 liters during lying, and 4.9 liters during standing. In the calves, it is 1.2 to 1.4 liters lying, and 1.3 to 1.7 standing.

In humans the average tidal air is said to be only 500 cc.

4. Ventilation Rate.—Tables 1 and 2 show that the volume of air expired (or inspired) per minute by dairy cows is about 91 liters while lying, and about 100 liters while standing. The increase for standing over lying is thus about 10%, which is of the same order of increase as for oxygen consumption. Table 3 shows, that the ventilation rate tends to increase with the approach of hot weather, as also the percentage difference between lying and standing.

The calves show but a very slight increase in ventilation rate during standing; this may indicate a more efficient cardiorespiratory apparatus in the young animals.

The above data refer to measurements made in the morning before the morning feeding, which is about 12 hours following the preceding feeding in non-lactating animals, and 8 hours following feeding in lactating animals. All measurements were made with the animals connected to the respiration apparatus.

On fasting, the cardiorespiratory activities naturally decrease as shown in Table 4. The greatest percentage decrease during fast occurs in the ventilation rate followed, in order, by respiration rate, pulse rate, oxygen consumption, and last by tidal air. Thus in a typical 72-hour fast on steer 815, the decreases from the beginning to the end of fast were, ventilation rate, 36% for standing and 39% for lying; respiration rate, 38% for standing and 35% for lying; pulse rate, 23% for standing and 26% for lying; oxygen consumption 21% for standing and 24% for lying; tidal air, 6% for standing and 15% for lying.

### SUMMARY

Data are presented showing that the energy increments of standing over lying are, in round numbers, 9% for dairy cattle and sheep, 7% for a Hereford cow; 13% for a very heavy fat Hereford steer.

The above averages are based on a total of about 2000 measurements made on 34 animals (2 Guernsey cows, 13 Jersey cows, 11 Holstein cows, 1 Hereford cow, 1 Hereford steer, 2 Holstein calves, 2 Hereford calves, 2 Dorset ewes). The group averages for weight, age, metabolism, pulse rate, respiration rate, tidal air, and minute volume of pulmonary ventilation are given in Table 1. Statistical constants of these measurements for the Holstein and Jersey cattle are given in Tables 2 and 6.

The percentage increase in pulse rate on standing over lying is  $\frac{1}{3}$  to  $\frac{1}{4}$  of the percentage increase in oxygen consumption. The respiration rate is *decreased* by 10 to 12% on standing as compared to lying; but this decrease in respiration rate is compensated by a proportional *increase* in the tidal air during standing, so that the increase in the volume of air exhaled per minute (minute volume of pulmonary ventilation) is of the same order as the increase in oxygen consumption.

The pulse rate in quietly resting cattle 8 to 14 hours after feeding is about 69 beats per minute; that is, it is of the same order as in humans. The respiration rate in cattle under the given conditions of food supply, about 29 per minute, is much higher than in humans. The tidal air in cattle varies largely with live weight, but it is of the order of 3000 c.c. in medium sized cows, 4500 c.c., in a very heavy steer (weighing over 2000 pounds), and 1400 to 2000 cc. in 4months old calves (as compared to about 500 cc. in humans). The minute volume of pulmonary ventilation varies directly with the oxygen consumption; it is from 82 to 92 liters per minute in Jersey cows, 93 to 107 liters per minute in Guernsey cows, 104 to 114 liters per minute in Holstein cows, 121 to 135 liters per minute in the large steer, and 46 to 61 liters per minute in 4-months old calves.

During fasting, all the cardiorespiratory activities decline. Thus in a 72-hour fast in the steer, the oxygen consumption declined by about 22%, the pulse rate by 24%, the respiration rate declined by 36%, the tidal air by 10%, and minute volume of ventilation by 37%. The percentage decline during fasting was least for the tidal air and greatest for respiration and ventilation rates. These, to our knowledge, are the first published data on the tidal air and minute volume of ventilation in farm animals.

The combined energy cost of getting up and lying down for medium sized cattle is of the order of 8 Calories (kilo calories) per animal, or 2.5 Calories per 100 kilos live weight. It appears that most of this energy is expended for getting up, and that little of it is expended for lying down.

The statistical analyses of the dairy cattle populations (Table 2) for the entire 6-month period of observation (including extreme range of temperatures and all stages of lactation and gestation) show that the body weight and pulse rate have a coefficient of variation which is of the same order (about 10%); while oxygen consumption (metabolism), ventilation rate, tidal air, and respiration rate also have a coefficient of variation of the same order (about 20%), but which is about double that for body weight and pulse rate. If, however, as shown in Table 6, the coefficients of variation are computed for short intervals (11 sto 12 day periods) on the same individual, then the coefficients of variation are reduced to from 1/4 to 1/7 of that found for the entire population. Thus, the coefficient of variation for resting metabolism of the entire Jersey cow population for the entire 6-month interval is seen to be 22 (Table 2); while of the individual Jersey cow 829, it is only 5.5 for the 12 measurements in August, and 2.7 for the 12 measurements in September.

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Armsby, H. P., and Fries, J. A., Influence of Standing or Lying Upon the Metabolism of Cattle. Amer. J. Physiol., 1913, 31, 245.
- Benedict, F. G., and Ritzman, E. G., The Metabolism of the Fasting Steer.
   Carnegie Institution of Washington, Public. 377, 1927; Über die den Energieumsatz bei schafen beeinflussenden Faktoren. Arch. Tierernahrung u. Tierzucht, Abt. B., 1931, I, 1.
  - Benedict, E. G., and Johnson, A., The Energy Loss of Young Women During the Muscular Activity of Light Household Work. Am. Philosophical Soc., 1919, 1viii, 89.
  - Boothby, W. M., A Determination of the Circulation Rate in Man at Rest and at Work. Am. J. Physiol., 1915, 57, 383.
  - Dahm, K., Die Bedeutung des mechanischen teils der verdauungsarbeit für den Stoffwechsel des Rindes. Bioc. Z., 1910, 28, 457.
  - Forbes, E. B., Kriss, M., Braman, W. W., et al. The Influence of Position of Cattle, as to Standing and Lying, on the Rate of Metabolism. J. Agric. Res., 1927, 35, 947.
  - Fries, J. A., and Kriss, M., Metabolism of Cattle During Standing and Lying. Am. J. Physiol., 1924, lxxi, 60.
- Hagemann, O., Das Respirations-Calorimeter in Bonn und Einige Untersuchungen mit demselben bei zwei Rindern und einem Pferde. Landw. Jahrb., 1911, 41 (Ergänzbd. 1), 61, 128.
- Heide, R. von der Klein, and Zunt, N., Respirations und Stoffwechselversuche am Rinde über den Nährwert der Kartoffelschlempe und ihrer ausgangsmaterialien. Landw. Jahrb., 1913, 44, 765.
- Pearce, R. G., The Cardiorespiratory Mechanism in Health and Diseasc. Arch. Int. Med., 1921, 27, 139.
- Read, J. M., Correlation of Basal Metabolic Rate With Pulse Rate and Pulse Pressure. J. Am. Med. Assn., 1922, 78, 1887.
- Ritzman, E. G. and Bened et F. G. The Energy Metabolism of Sheep Univ. New Hampshire, Apric Expt. Sta, Tech. Bull. 43, 1930; The Heat Production of Sheep Under Varying Conditions. Id., Tech. Bull. 45, 1931.
- Suttliff, W. D., and Holt, E., The Age Curve of Pulse Rate Under Basal Conditions. Arch. Int. Med., 1925, 35, 224.

	"Resting Live A Wt. D h Kgs. Lying			Iet. Cal.	/day		Pu	lse Ra	te	Re	esp. Ra	ite	T (Lit	idal Ai ., S. T.	r P.)	Venti (Liter	lation rs, S. T	Rate . P.)
Month	Live Wt. Kgs.	Lying	Ave. Dev. %	Stand.	Ave. Dev. %	Diff.	L.	s.	Diff. %	L.	S,	Diff. %	L.	s.	Diff. %	L.	s.	Diff. %
				Guer	nsey Fer	nale #	127, Me	dium	Milker;	Avera	ge Age	43 Mo	onths.					
Feb.	476	12240	6	13603	5	11	72	74	3	27	19	-10	3.4	4.2	24	102	114	12
Mar.*	480	10799	7	11673	5	8	67	69	3	28	23	-18	3.1	3.9	26	87	90	4
Apr.	430	11342	8	12268	9	8	63	66	5	26	27	4	3.8	4.3	15	98	11/	19
May	432	11809	5	12626	5	7	63	64	2	27	29	1	3.7	4.3	18	99	126	27
June	434	11562	4	12545	4	9	57	59	4	30	34	13	3.4	3.9	15	101	131	30
July	426	11965	8	12822	8	7	57	60	5	33	39	18	3.8	4.1	19	125	101	29
				Guer	nsey Fer	nale #4	428, Me	dium	Milker;	Avera	ge Age	29 Mc	onths					
Feb.	384	8223	6	8777	4	7	77	80	4	36	30	-17	2.5	3.2	25	91	95	4
Mar.	406	8420	6	9155	5	9	76	79	4	32	26	-19	2.5	3.3	31	80	85	6
Apr.*	414	7925	11	8686	8	10	80	84	5	31	26	-16	2.4	3.1	28	75	80	7
May	358	9878	7	10732	6	9	80	83	4	26	23	-12	3.0	3.7	24	78	85	
June	340	8842	6	9888	8	12	67	72	8	29	29	0	3.0	3.5	17	86	100	17
July	338	9499	4	10043	- 4	6	64	65	2	28	33	18	3.2	3.2	0	90	104	16
				Ho	lstein Fe	emale :	591, G	ood M	ilker; A	verage	Age 3	9 Mont	ths.					
Feb.	509	14062	10	16082	7	14												
Mar.	527	15696	6	17193	4	10	78	82	5	30	28	-6	4.3	5.0	17	126	138	10
Apr.	550	16457	4	17579	8	7	77	80	4	29	27	-6	4.4	5.1	17	126	139	10
May	540	15206	4	16569	4	9	78	79	2	32	27	-16	4.4	4.9	11	141	132	-7
June	554	15067	5	15936	6	6	76	80	5	37	34	-9	4.1	4.3	6	152	146	-4
July*	545	15094	8	16083	5	7	77	80	5	40	39	-3	4.0	4.6	15	161	179	11
				Hols	stein Fen	nale #5	92, Me	dium l	Milker;	Averag	ge Age	36 Mo	nths.					
Feb.	494	14930	9	15606	5	5	64	66	3	30	28	-5	3.4	5.8	68	102	120	19
Mar.	504	14967	6	16140	4	8	66	69	6	27	26	-4	3.8	4.4	. 17	103	116	12
Apr.	510	13295	. 5	14601	5	10	63	68	9	27	25	-6	3.7	4.3	18	· 99	110	11
May	499	13810	3	14344	3	4	62	64	2	29	28	-3	3.6	4.1	12	106	116	9
Tune	544	13351	8	13960	3	5	61	63	5	37	36	-4	3.3	4.0	19	124	142	14
July	508	14065	4	14690	5	4	65	65	8	42	43	2	3.1	3.6	15	131	154	18
*Calved																		

TABLE 3MONTHLY AVERAGES	of "Resting"	Energy	METABOLISM	DURING S	Standing	AND	Lying in	INDIVIDUAL	CATTLE.
DATA ON THE PULSE RATE	e, Respiration	Rate, 1	TIDAL AIR, AN	AD MINUTE	e Volume	OF	Pulmonar	Y VENTILAT	ION.

Holstein Female #593, Good Milker; Average Age 33 Months.

Feb.	532	13517	6	15347	11	14	70	72	3	34	28	-18	4.0	5.0	25	137	141	3
Mar.	533	14923	5	16632	8	12	69	71	3	29	25	-14	3.9	4.8	24	113	120	7
Apr.	543	15295	6	16209	6	6	71	73	3	29	25	-14	4.1	5.5	34	120	139	16
May	532	15331	· 3	16507	3	8	68	69	2	28	27	-4	4.0	4.9	21	113	132	17
June	515	13137	4	14798	4	13	63	65	3	35	34	-3	3.8	4.6	21	133	158	19
July	523	12945	3	14536	3	12			-			-						
				Ho	lstein Fen	nale #	594, Go	ood Mil	ker; A	verage	Age 3	1 Mont	hs.					•
Feb.	556	13413	7	14153	6	6	68	69	2	29	24	-17	3.2	4.2	31	92	101	-10
Mar.*	542	14432	3	16287	6	13	74	76	3	29	24	-19	2.8	3.9	39	82	93	14
Apr.	493	15025	5	16491	6	10	77	80	4	31	25	-18	3.3	4.5	36	103	114	11
May	490	14874	2	15961	2	7	69	70	3	31	28	-10	3.6	4.5	25	112	125	11
June	494	15172	6	16292	7	7	64	65	2	40	40	0	3.2	3.8	19	129	151	16
July	479	13010	3	14408	5	11	55	57	4	44	42	- 5	2.8	3.3	18	122	140	15
				Ho	lstein Fen	nale #.	597, Go	ood Mil	ker; A	verage	Age 3	0 Mont	hs.					
Feb.	464	9379	5	10133	4	8	76	78	2	25	20	-20	3.1	4.2	35	76	84	9
Mar.	484	9744	7	10912	5	12	74	74	0	24	18	-25	3.3	4.5	36	79	83	5
Apr.	508	10958	5	11660	7	6	77	79	2	27	21	-25	3.3	4.5	36	90	94	4
May	528	11684	4	12337	4	6	81	81	0	27	24	-11	3.3	3.8	15	89	92	3
June*	405	11770	2	12730	3	8	79	79	0	36	39	8	3.0	3.3	10	107	128	20
July	379	10929	4	12120	6	11	71	73	2	30	29	-3	3.5	4.2	20	104	121	16
				Ho	lstein Fen	nale #	599, Go	ood Mill	ker; A	verage	Age 2	9 Mont	hs.					
Feb.	503	9609	8	10910	5	14 "	Ź1	72	1	25	24	-4	3.3	4.0	21	82	97	17
Mar.	527	10786	8	11545	8	7	72	73	1	27	23	-15	3.1	4.5	45	82	104	26
Apr.	552	11754	5	12672	6	8	76	72	3	26	22	-15	3.4	4.1	21	89	90	2
May	575	12569	7	13256	6	6	80	82	3	26	25	-4	3.3	4.0	21	87	99	14
June*	465	11175	9	12178	10	9	76	77	1	32	28	-13	2.8	3.4	21	89	96	7
July	437	10974	. 8	12437	6	13	64	65	2	34	32	-6	3.0	3.6	20	102	114	12
				Hols	tein Fema	ale #60	00, Med	lium M	ilker;	Averag	e Age	28 Mo	nths.					
Feb.	474	9634	5	10569	5	1Ö	69	71	3	32	26	-19	3.0	3.5	17	95	91	-5
Mar.	497	10270	8	11565	8	13	71	74	4	31	26	-16	2.8	3.7	32	87	96	10
Apr.	520	10335	6	11659	7	13	72	77	7	32	26	-19	3.0	4.0	33	96	105	10
May	537	11821	3	12918	4	9	79	80	1	33	30	-9	3.0	3.6	20	99	107	8
June*	431	12384	2	13052	5	5	82	82	Ō	32	27	-16	2.8	3.7	32	90	100	10
July	396	11230	6	12749	4	14	71	72	1	33	33	0	3.4	3.9	15	111	128	15
*Calv	ed.																	

		"Res	ting" N	let. Cal.	/day		Pu	ılse Rat	e	Re	sp. Ra	ıte	T (Lit	idal Ai ., S. T.	r P.)	Venti (Liter	lation rs, S. T	Rate C. P.)
Month	Live Wt. Kgs.	Lying	Ave. Dev. %	Stand.	Ave. Dev. %	Diff.	L.	S.	Diff.	Ĭ"	S.	Diff.	Ť.,	S.	Diff.	T.	s	Diff.
			,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	TT 1								70.			70			/0
Fab	504	8515	4	Hols	tein Fem	ale $#60$	$M_{i}$ , Mea	lium M	ilker;	Averag	e Age	28 Moi	nths.		00	70		10
Mar	522	10829	T Q	10170	12	12	67	60	2	24	22	8	3.3	4.3	30	/9	94	19
Apr	551	11767	5	12190	12	13	67	69	3	28	23	-18	3.3	4.1	24	93	95	3
May	574	17499	5	13055	Ţ	11	00 70	20	2	32	21	-10	3.1	3.7	19	89	101	2
Tuno	588	12713	5	13290	5	0	70	72	3	32	34	0	3.1	3.1	19	199	124	25
July*	400	12180	0	12600	0 0	± 1	60	60	3	30	37	5	2.9	3.5	21	106	129	22
July	170	12109	,	12099		1 11/1	00	09		. 35	33	-0	2.9	3.1	/	102	104	2
Esh	402	0270	r	HOIS	tein Fem	ale #60	12, Mee	dium M	ilker;	Averag	e Age	26 Mo	nths.					
red.	493	10220	2	10307	2	11	65	69	6	27	19	-30	3.0	4.5	50	81	86	6
Mar.	525	10220	4	10897	3	10	69	70	Ţ	28	20	-29	3.2	4.7	47	89	94	5
Apr.	550	104/1	0	12119	ð r	16	/1	72	1	31	22	-29	3.2	4.5	41	100	100	0
Turne	5/1	12046	2 7	122/0	ິ	11	/1	/3	3	31	24	-23	3.0	4.4	47	94	105	12
June	280	12040	2	12806	5	6	/2	74	3	38	25	-34	3.1	4.4	42	117	111	-6
July	200	12/14	3	13/98	4	. 9	76	79	4	38	28	-26	2.9	4.3	48	110	120	9
- 1			-		Holstei	n Femal	e #60	3, Dry;	Avera	ge Age	25 M	onths.						
Feb.	466	9845	5	10834	5	10	65	67	3	25	24	-3	3.5	4.3	23	88	100	16
Mar.	499	11046	7	12509	8	13	67	69	3	25	20	-20	3.5	4.3	23	88	85	-4
Apr.	529	11459	5	12502	6	9	69	71	3	28	26	-7	3.3	3.9	18	92	102	10
May	560	12518	5	13008	6	4	73	74	1	32	27	-16	3.4	3.9	15	107	107	0
June	575	12758	7	13529	6	6	70	71	1	33	28	-15	3.3	3.8	15	110	105	-4
July	598	13424	5	14476	3	8	80	81	1	36	37	3	2.9	3.5	21	106	129	22
					Holstei	n Femal	e #60	4, Dry;	Avera	ge Age	24 M	onths.						
Feb.	447	9162	4	9953	5	9	67	71	6	20	18	-9	4.0	5.0	25	81	91	13
Mar.	459	9544	7	9987	5	5	67	69	3	19	16	-19	4.0	5.3	33	78	83	10
Apr.	478	9225	8	10137	9	10	64	67	4	22	19	-13	3.8	5.1	34	85	98	16
May	504	9851	5	10912	4	11	65	68	5	26	23	-12	3.8	4.5	18	96	101	5
June	524	10143	5	11059	5	9	67	70	4	27	23	-15	3.5	4.5	29	97	106	10
July	538	11309	. 6	12639	6	12	68	71	5	32	28	-14	3.8	4.6	21	123	128	84

TABLE 3.—MONTHLY AVERAGES OF "RESTING" ENERGY METABOLISM DURING STANDING AND LYING IN INDIVIDUAL CATTLE. Data on the Pulse Rate, Respiration Rate, Tidal Air, and Minute Volume of Pulmonary Ventilation. (Continued)

Jersey Female #819, Good Milker; Average Age 42 Months.

Feb. Mar.*	472 482	11684 11844	8 9	13226 13200	12 11	13 11	76 80	75 82	$-1 \\ 2$	30 32	26 26	-14 -18	$3.4 \\ 2.9$	$\begin{array}{c} 4.1 \\ 4.0 \end{array}$	21 38	101 92	104 103	4 12
Apr.	436	12073	11	13901	10	15	72	74	3	24	23	5	3.8	4.8	26	93	112	20
May	421	11981	7	14011	7	17	71	72	2	25	24	-6	3.7	4.4	19	92	103	12
Tune	400	11737	5	13358	7	14	64	66	3	30	31	5	3.3	4.1	24	98	128	31
Ťuly	405	11589	4	13452	5	16	59	61	3	40	42	3	2.9	3.7	28	117	156	33
	. •			Jers	ey Female	#821	, Medi	um Mi	ilker; A	verage	Age 4	10 Mon	ths.	- <i>ć</i>			101	0
Feb.	465	12012	10	12787	14	7	77	78	1	46	34	-27	2.7	3.6	33	125	121	-3
Mar.	472	13584	5	15254	5	12	77	79	2	40	31	-22	2.5	3.7	48	101	116	15
Apr.	478	12595	5	13962	9	11	78	81	4	42	32	-23	2.4	3.4	42	102	108	6
May	458	11438	5	13910	5	22	73	75	3	32	25	-23	2.5	3.5	40	80	88	10
June*	475	11443	8	13171	9	15	75	77	3	36	33	-9	2.3	3.2	39	83	107	29
July	419	10913	6	12219	5	12	67	68	2	37	40	9	2.4	2.7	13	89	108	22
				Je	rsey Femal	le #8	82, Poo	r Milk	er; Ave	erage A	ge 36	Month	s.			105		
Feb.	398	11251	6	12275	6	9	71	74	4	36	30	-17	2.9	3.9	34	105	117	11
Mar.	406	10665	4	11620	4	9	73	76	4	30	22	-27	3.3	4.4	33	99	96	-3
Apr.	423	8744	10	9901	8	13	73	73	0	27	21	-21	2.9	4.0	38	17	83	8
May	429	8971	10	9768	8	9	74	74	0	26	25	-4	3.0	3.8	27	79	95	20
Tune	425	7515	10	8326	7	11	64	64	0	28	25	-9	2.8	3.6	29	79	91	15
July	433	9436	5	10263	7	9	70	70	0	33	29	-10	3.0	3.7	23	99	107	9
				Jers	sey Female	#82:	3, Medi	um Mi	ilker; A	verage	Age 3	35 Mon	ths.				100	0
Feb.	365	12633	12	13517	7	7	79	82	4	37	30	-19	3.0	4.0	33	111	120	9
Mar.	372	13094	5	13657	5	4	76	79	4	37	27	-27	2.9	3.8	31	108	102	-6
Apr.	383	11750	7	12256	8	4	73	75	3	36	29	-14	3.1	4.3	39	112	125	12
May	384	11170	6	12338	6	10	72	73	1	34	29	-15	2.7	4.1	41	93	119	27
June	392	10949	7	11465	8	5	68	70	3	37	35	-7	2.7	3.4	26	101	118	18
July	394	10337	7	11236	5	9	66	68	3	36	40	11	2.4	2.7	13	87	108	24
				Je	rsey Femal	le #8	27, Goo	d Mill	ker; Av	erage	Age 30	Month	is.		10		70	0
Feb.	407	8097	7	9139	6	13	81	82	2	34	29	-16	2.3	2.6	13	//	/5	-2
Mar.	421	10037	7	11025	9	10	83	85	2	34	29	-16	2.2	2.8	27	//	80	10
Apr.*	429	10879	8	11462	9	6	83	86	3	35	31	-12	2.1	2.6	24	/1	80	12
May	388	11167	9	12556	15	12	84	85	2	33	28	-14	2.6	3.1	19	87	88	10
June	375	11387	5	12477	12	10	76	77	2	40	41	2	2.4	2.7	13	98	110	12
July	374	11319	10	12296	11	9			-									

\*Calved.

		"Res	ting"	Met. Cal.	/day		Pu	ılse Ra	te	Re	esp. Ra	lte	T (Lit	ʻidal A ., S. T.	ir P.)	Vent (Lite	ilation rs, S. 1	Rate . P.)
Month	Live Wt. Kgs.	Lying	Ave. Do %	ev. Stand.	Ave. Dev. %	Diff. %	L.	s.	Diff. %	L.	s.	Diff. %	L.	s.	Diff. %	L.	s.	Diff. %
Feb.	372	7756		9542	Jersey	Female	#828 67	, Dry;	Averag 5	e Age 2	29 Moi	nths.	28	3.6	20	67	70	10
Mar.	388	8640	10	9683	6	12	69	70	1	$\tilde{23}$	21	-9	2.8	3.6	29	64	75	17
Apr.	409	7641	10	8486	4	11	73	75	3	22	25	14	2.6	3.4	31	60	84	39
May	423	8856		9446	5	7	77	77	0	23	26	13	2.8	3.5	25	64	91	42
June	433	8423		3 9440	7	12	74	76	3	24	25	4	2.8	3.2	14	67	80	18
July	443	10575		7 11133	6	5	77	77	0	28	29	4	2.8	3.1	11	79	90	13
				J	ersey Fem	ale #82	29, Go	od Mill	ker; Av	erage I	Age 28	Month	s.					
Feb.	408	8781		5 9766	6	11	73	73	0	28	23	-18	2.7	3.3	22	76	77	1
Mar.	422	9427		3 10090	4	7	75	. 78	4	30	23	-23	2.5	3.5	40	76	81	7
Apr.	442	8951		9676	9	8	80	80	0	29	25	-14	2.5	3.4	36	74	85	16
May*	463	10034		5 10617	6	6	82	82	0	29	27	-7	2.5	3.1	24	74	85	15
June	405	11645		5 12240	11	5	84	82	-2	35	36	3	3.1	3.4	10	110	123	12
July	385	11821		£ 12358	4	5	74	70	-5	40	38	5	3.0	3.3	10	119	126	6
					Jersey	Female	#831	, Dry;	Averag	e Age	27 Mo	nths.						
Feb.	379	7955		3 8762	8	10	72	72	0	29	22	-24	2.8	3.6	29	82	80	$^{-2}$
Mar.	397	9346		7 9669	6	4	78	77	-1	29	23	-21	2.9	3.9	34	84	89	5
Apr.	415	7949		8354	11	5	78	77	-1	27	23	-15	2.5	3.4	36	68	78	14
May	431	8914		/ 8969	5	1	/9	11	-3	29	24	-1/	2.6	3.4	31	76	82	8
June	451	9454		/ 9038	4	2	80	/6	5	29	29	0	2.6	3.3	27		. 97	26
July	468	10870		5 10973	. 6	1	82	82	0	. 33	32	-2	2.8	3.3	18	91	107	18
		0075		Je	sey Fema	ile #83.	3, Med	lium M	ilker; A	Average	e Age 2	27 Mon	ths.					
Feb.	363	8075		3 9018	8	12	70	74	6	27	24	-11	3.1	4.1	32	83	98	18
Mar.	385	9629	1	5 98/4 1 09/9	10	3	75	11	3	24	23	-4	3.0	3.5	1/	71	81	14
Apr. Mar	403	9039	1	1 9040 9 101 <i>51</i>	10	9	70	70	1 2	27	27	0	3.0	3.6	20	81	98	21
Tune*	380	10193		6 11541	9	13	72	75	2	32	20	4	2.1	3.5	13	21 21	100	18
July	360	10411	م 	6 11573	5	11	69	72	4	37	40	8	2.5	2.7	<sup>20</sup> 4	95	109	34 13

TABLE 3.—MONTHLY AVERAGES OF "RESTING" ENERGY METABOLISM DURING STANDING AND LYING IN INDIVIDUAL CATTLE. DATA ON THE PULSE RATE, RESPIRATION RATE, TIDAL AIR, AND MINUTE VOLUME OF PULMONARY VENTILATION. (Continued)

					Jersey	Female	#834,	Dry;	Average	Age 2	26 Moi	1ths.						
Feb.	327	7012	8	7588	4	8	70	70	0	30	25	-17	2.5	2.9	16	74	72	-3
Mar.	341	7144	4	7612	4	7	71	72	1	27	22	-19	2.6	3.2	23	69	70	2
Apr.	358	6966	9	7050	8	1	71	$\dot{74}$	4	28	24	-14	24	2.9	21	66	71	7
Mav	377	7903	6	8193	Ğ	â	75	77	2	วัง	26	7	2.1	21	10	77	80	12
Tune	387	7830	12	8233	7	ŝ	75	75	ň	20	20	14	2.0	- 1 0	12	74	00	25
Tuly	402	9365	Ĩ	10483	7	12	70	91	2	20	26	14	2.0	2.7	12	71	92	17
July	102	2005	5	10105	T. '	F 1	13	D 01	, J		30	. 3	2.0	2.3	15	/1	83	17.
Fab	227	7100	۲	7(10	Jersey	Female	#835,	Dry;	Average	Age 2	25 Moi	nths.						
Man	217	7194	ے بر	7019	2	6	68	70	3	24	18	-25	2.7	3.8	41	65	68	6
Mar.	34/	7414	2	/84/	/	6.	69	70	1	24	18	-25	2.5	3.2	28	60	57	4
Apr.	366	/18/	8 Ž	7215	7	1	66	70	6	22	20	-9	2.5	3.3	32	56	65	17
May	375	7662	7	7863	6	3	72	72	0	23	22	-4	2.6	3.2	23	59	70	19
June	390	7283	9	7648	7	5	74	72	-3	24	23	-4	2.6	3.0	15	63	70	11
July	406	8874	6	9275	3	5	75	75	0	26	27	4	2.5	3.1	24	65	83	27
					Jersey	Female	#836.	Drv:	Average	Age 2	25 Mo	nths.						
Feb.	338	7656	5	8194	7	7	70	70	0	18	17	-7	3.5	4.0	14	63	67	6
Mar.	347	7942	7	9153	9	15	71	73	3	19	16	-15	3 1	4 0	29	59	64	8
Apr.	363	8140	6	8751	8	8	73	75	ž	21	19	-12	3 1	3 9	26	67	74	10
May	380	8855	7	9784	Ğ	11	71	72	1	21	20		3 1	3.5	13	65	69	10
Iune	392	13233	6	13896	š	ΪŜ	75	77	2	21	20	-0	0.7	2.0	11	64	74	17
Inly	410	9393	7	10287	7	10	70	01	2	24	20	5	2.1	3.0	10	04	74	13
July	110	2020	· 1	10407	т		1007	D 01	, 3	20	20		2.5	2.0	12	00	//	17
Rah	252	6750		7640	Jersey	remaie	₩83/,	Dry;	Average	Age 2	S Moi	iths.			~ ~			
FeD.	333	0/30	4	7642	2	13	/1	73	3	23	20	-13	2.7	3.7	37	63	75	19
Mar.	368	7196	ð	8106	/	13	72	72	0	24	17	-29	2.8	4.0	43	67	67	0
Apr.	389	//12	1	8723	8	13	76	76	0	26	20	-23	2.7	3.6	33	69	72	4
May	405	7951	4	9013	3	13	75	77	3	27	21	-22	2.6	3.4	31	70	70	0
June	419	8344	5	9493	4	14	73	75	3	30	23	-23	2.8	3.7	32	84	85	1
July	433	8879	5	10172	3	15	79	79	0	34	29	-15	2.6	3.6	38	88	105	21
					Here	ford Ste	er #8	15; Av	erage A	ge 40	Month	s.						
Dec.	842	11566	7	13701	11	19	58	58	ŏ	ິ 28	27	-4	3.9	4.7	21	108	127	17
Jan.	864	10752	7	12339	8	15	59	61	3	27	26	4	4.4	4.9	11	119	128	8
Feb.	871	10642	16	11707	9	10	55	59	7	25	25	Ô	4 8	5 3	10	119	134	12
Mar.	887	13261	7	15014	5	13	55	57	4	29	27	-7	4.2	4 8	14	122	130	6
Apr.	892	13173	7	14242	7	- 8	56	58	4	27	27	ó	4 Õ	4 4	10	109	110	10
May	882	13305	5	15225	4	14	57	60	ŝ	25	25	ŏ	4 6	5 3	15	115	122	15
Tune	882	15078	8	16793	Ŕ	11	65	65	õ	34	32	-6	4 4	5.5	18	140	168	1.3
Inly	878	13683	7	15520	5	13	64	65	2	31	30	3	4 0	17	10	197	140	15
Aug	880	12481	6	16260	1	20	4	60	2	21	20	3	1.0	4.7	10	124	142	15
mug.	000	14101	0	10209	4	- 50	05	69	0	51	28	-10	3.9	4.9	26	122	136	11

5

\*Calved.

**Research Bulletin 180** 

		"Res	ting" M	let. Cal.,	/day		Pul	se Rat	e	Res	p. Rat	e	Ti (Lit.,	dal Ai S. T. I	r P.)	Ventil (Liters,	ation I S. T.	Rate P.)
Month	Live Wt. Kgs.	Lying	Ave. Dev. %	Stand.	Ave. Dev. %	Diff. %	L.	s.	Diff. %	L.	s.	Diff. %	L.	s.	Diff. %	L.	s.	Diff.
_					Herefo	rd Fema	le #81	6, Dry	: Avera	ige Age	40 M	onths.						
Dec.	381	6912	28	7649	27	11	54	´54´	0	31	29	7	3.0	3.3	10	92	96	4
Jan.	382	6690	13	7048	10	5	53	54	2	23	23	0	3.6	3.9	8	82	91	11
Feb.	391	5831	9	6202	11	6	52	52	0	21	20	- 5	4.5	4.7	4	94	93	-1
Mar.	402	8243	6	8762	5	6	50	51	2	23	23	0	3.9	4.2	8	89	97	10
Apr.	420	10290	5	10847	1	5	52	54	4	30	30	0	3.4	3.8	12	103	113	10
May	444	11251	5	11645	8	4	59	60	2	34	33	-3	3.5	3.8	9	119	126	6
June	481	13809	8	14484	5	5	60	64	7	43	36	-16	2.9	3.5	20	126	127	1
Ang	4/4	12256	12	13/41	10	12		27	-	55								~ -
Aug.	400	11301	/	12683	6	12	72	74	3	35	34	-3	3.2	3.8	19	112	130	16
TP 1	<i></i>	0000				Hereford	Femal	e #20	15; Borr	n 1/12	/32.							
Feb.	54	2380	17	2510	19	6	27		-	21	19	-10	0.7	0.9	29	15	16	10
Mar.	100	3865	6	3856	5	0	81	83	3	21	22	5	0.8	0.8	0	16	18	12
Apr.	102	4122	6	4122		0	79	80	1	24	23	-4	1.0	1.0	0	23	23	0
Tuno	120	4344	ð	5003	11	10	79	82	4	28	24	-14	1.4	1.6	14	38	38	0
June	196	6160	4	6095	4	6	76	/8	3	36	31	-14	1.5	1.8	20	55	55	0
Ana	105	6172	5	6090	37	9	/5	//	3	41	36	-12	1.7	2.0	18	70	71	1
Sen't	231	6250	05	7142	/ r	11	83	88	6	44	39	-11	1.6	2.1	31	72	84	16
Oct.	251	6183	5	6062	2	14	03	66	2	36	28	-22	1.9	2.8	47	69	78	18
ocu	250	0105	. J	0903	· · .			03 1100	0		31	-21	2.3	3.0	30	91	92	1
Feb	52	2216	10	2202	14	Hereford	Femal	le #20	6; Borr	1 1 / 16	/32.		<u> </u>					
Mar	70	2210	10	2303	14		/3	/3	0	33	43	30	0.4	0.4	0	14	17	27
Anr.	93	4755	15	1766	13	0	84	8/	4	32	31	-3	0.8	0.9	13	26	27	5
May	118	4746	7	4/61	5	U C	75	70	4	36	33	-8	0.9	1.0	11	31	32	5
Tune	134	4547	6	4654	0	2	72	74	3	35	35	10	1.0	1.1	10	35	39	10
Tulv	152	5603	5	5934	8	6	73	73	5	40	30	- 10	1.2	1.4	1/	49	49	0
Aug.	162	5507	5	6011	4	Q	70	70	9	42	43	2	1.3	1.3	10	53	55	3
Sept.	188	6393	10	6781	10	6	78	70	1	30 97	21	-0	1.0	1.8	13	59	60	1
Oct.	229	7102	7	7975	8	12	76	76	ò	36	36	13	1.7	1.9	12	4/	58	25

TABLE 3.—MONTHLY AVERAGES OF "RESTING" ENERGY METABOLISM DURING STANDING AND LYING IN INDIVIDUAL CATTLE. Data on the Pulse Rate, Respiration Rate, Tidal Air, and Minute Volume of Pulmonary Ventilation. (Continued)

-					E	lolstein	Female	#669	; Born	12/20	/31.							
Feb.	69	3115	13	3304	13	6				, 	·							
Mar.	92	4465	11	4609	10	3	73	75	3	33	33	0	1.0	1.0	0	32	34	- 7
Apr.	119	5785	4	5873	6	2	73	75	3	34	32	-6	1 2	1 3	Ř	42	41	
May	151	5860	7	6074	8	4	73	73	ŏ	35	31	-11	1 5	1 5	ŏ	51	40	-2
June	177	6181	4	6624	4	7	73	73	ŏ	39	37		1.5	1.5	ň	61	40	-0
July	199	6466	5	6947	4	7	74	76	š	38	33	-13	1 7	1.0	12	62	60	-2
Aug.	212	6544	4	7035	Ĝ	Ŕ	75	76	1	37	33	_11	1 0	2.1	11	03	03	1
Sept.	238	7189	ŝ	8295	ő	15	71	81	14	25	20		1.0	2.1	27	09	00	-1
Oct.	283	7906	6	8657	3 3	10	/1	01	17	34	27	-1/	2.0	2.0	3/	67	/5	12
	200	1200	0	0057	0	,				51	21	- 41	2.0	2.3	10	60	62	-9
					т	т т	T 1	11 4 11 0			100							
<b>D</b> 1	50				F	Iolstein	Female	#670	; Born	1/16	/32.							
Feb.	58	2691	13	2779	12 F	Iolstein 3	Female	#670	; Born	1/16/	/32.							
Feb. Mar.	58 80	2691 3473	13 9	2779 3668	12 8	Iolstein 3 6	Female	$\frac{4670}{72}$	); Born <u>8</u>	1/16, 24	∕32. 23	_4	$\overline{0.7}$	1.0	<b>4</b> 3	18	24	36
Feb. Mar. Apr.	58 80 100	2691 3473 4354	13 9 8	2779 3668 4408	12 8 7	Iolstein 3 6 1	Female 67 65	#670 72 66	); Born 	1/16, $\bar{24}$ 26	$\sqrt{32}$ . $\bar{23}$ 24	$-4 \\ -8$	0.7	1.0	$\frac{1}{43}$	$\overline{18}$	$\overline{\overline{24}}$	36
Feb. Mar. Apr. May	58 80 100 123	2691 3473 4354 4752	13 9 8 15	2779 3668 4408 5218	F 12 8 7 14	Iolstein 3 6 1 10	Female 67 65 78	#670 72 66 79	; Born 8 2 1	1/16, $\bar{24}$ 26 30	$\sqrt{32}$ . $\bar{23}$ 24 25	-4 -8 -17	$0.7 \\ 0.9 \\ 1 4$	1.0 1.1 1.6	$\frac{1}{43}$	18 24 43	24 26	36 8
Feb. Mar. Apr. May June	58 80 100 123 155	2691 3473 4354 4752 6153	13 9 8 15 4	2779 3668 4408 5218 6497	F 12 8 7 14 5	Iolstein 3 6 1 10 6	Female 67 65 78 73	#670 72 66 79 76	); Born 	1/16, $2\bar{4}$ 26 30 37	$\sqrt{32}$ . $\overline{23}$ 24 25 32	-4 -8 -17 -14	$0.7 \\ 0.9 \\ 1.4 \\ 1.4$	1.0 1.1 1.6 1.6		18 24 43 53		36 8 5
Feb. Mar. Apr. May June July	58 80 100 123 155 186	2691 3473 4354 4752 6153 6602	13 9 8 15 4 5	2779 3668 4408 5218 6497 7669	F 12 8 7 14 5 6	Iolstein 3 6 1 10 6 16	Female 67 65 78 73 74	#670 72 66 79 76 74	); Born 8 2 1 4 0	1/16, $\bar{24}$ 26 30 37 45	$\overline{23}$ $\overline{23}$ 24 25 32 38	-4 -8 -17 -14 -16	$0.7 \\ 0.9 \\ 1.4 \\ 1.4 \\ 1.7$	1.0 1.1 1.6 1.6 2.2		18 24 43 53	24 26 41 52	36 8 5 -2
Feb. Mar. Apr. May June July Aug.	58 80 100 123 155 186 200	2691 3473 4354 4752 6153 6602 6701	13 9 8 15 4 5 8	2779 3668 4408 5218 6497 7669 7603	F 12 8 7 14 5 6 9	Iolstein 3 6 1 10 6 16 14	Female 67 65 78 73 74 76	#670 72 66 79 76 74 78	); Born 8 2 1 4 0 3	1/16, $\bar{24}$ 26 30 37 45 43	<ul> <li>32.</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>32</li> <li>38</li> <li>40</li> </ul>	-4 -8 -17 -14 -16 -7	$0.7 \\ 0.9 \\ 1.4 \\ 1.7 \\ 2.2$	1.0 1.1 1.6 1.6 2.2 2.5	43 22 14 14 29	18 24 43 53 78	24 26 41 52 82	$\bar{36}_{8}_{5}_{-2}_{-2}_{6}_{5}$
Feb. Mar. Apr. May June July Aug. Sept.	58 80 100 123 155 186 200 238	2691 3473 4354 4752 6153 6602 6701 7373	13 9 8 15 4 5 8 6	2779 3668 4408 5218 6497 7669 7603 8300	F 12 8 7 14 5 6 9 6	Holstein 3 6 1 10 6 16 14 13	Female 67 65 78 73 74 76 62	#670 72 66 79 76 74 78 64	); Born 8 2 1 4 0 3 3	1/16, $\bar{24}$ 26 30 37 45 43 35	<ul> <li>32.</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>32</li> <li>38</li> <li>40</li> <li>29</li> </ul>	-4 -8 -17 -14 -16 -7 -17	$ \begin{array}{c} 0.7 \\ 0.9 \\ 1.4 \\ 1.7 \\ 2.2 \\ 2.3 \\ \end{array} $	1.0 1.1 1.6 2.2 2.5 3.1	43 22 14 14 29 14 25	18 24 43 53 78 95	24 26 41 52 82 100	36 8 5 -2 6 5
Feb. Mar. Apr. May June July Aug. Sept. Oct.	58 80 100 123 155 186 200 238 261	2691 3473 4354 4752 6153 6602 6701 7373 7125	13 9 8 15 4 5 8 6 3	2779 3668 4408 5218 6497 7669 7603 8300 8509	F 12 7 14 5 6 9 6 3	Holstein 3 6 1 10 6 16 14 13 19	Female 67 65 78 73 74 76 62 78	#670 72 66 79 76 74 78 64 82	); Born 8 2 1 4 0 3 5	1/16, $\bar{24}$ 26 30 37 45 43 35 37	<ul> <li>32.</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>32</li> <li>38</li> <li>40</li> <li>29</li> <li>32</li> </ul>	-4 -8 -17 -14 -16 -7 -17 -17	$ \begin{array}{r}     0.7 \\     0.9 \\     1.4 \\     1.7 \\     2.2 \\     2.3 \\     2.6 \\ \end{array} $	1.0 1.1 1.6 2.2 2.5 3.1 2.2	43 22 14 14 29 14 35	18 24 43 53 78 95 79	24 26 41 52 82 100 90	

\*Calved.