# Starting a 75-Cow Intensive Rotational Grazing Dairy 

This guide examines the financial feasibility of starting a 75 -cow intensive rotational grazing dairy in Missouri. The model dairy described is designed to be a pasture-based dairy to use labor and capital as efficiently as possible. This dairy is designed to be located in an area where winter weather conditions and soil types allow cattle to be housed outside all year.

## Farm description

In this model dairy, the farm is a carefully selected 65 -acre piece of land purchased specifically for developing a grazing dairy. The 65 -acre farm is purchased for $\$ 2,000 /$ acre .

- 56 acres for paddocks ( 1.35 cows per acre) and 9 acres for farmstead and facilities.
- Permanent lanes, water lines and paddocks are established.
- No irrigation or winter housing is planned.
- A new swing-12 parabone parlor is built near the center of the farm.


## Herd management

The beginning herd for this dairy is assumed to include only purchased crossbred dairy heifers. While the heifers will be purchased with an eye to selecting cattle types best suited for grazing, the genetics of the cattle are assumed to be unknown. Because U.S. dairies have only recently started deliberately crossbreeding cattle to produce milk under intensive rotational grazing systems, a higher cull rate is assumed at start-up. By the fifth year of operation, the cull rates are expected to have declined to their expected long-term average.

Cows are expected to be culled from the herd based on involuntary factors (e.g., death, disease, problem breeders) and voluntary factors (e.g., low milk production, disposition). Projected cow culling rates, death losses and the calving interval for the next five years are listed in Table 1. It is assumed that the average cull rate (voluntary

[^0]and involuntary) would be 25 percent in the first year and gradually fall to 18 percent in year five. Death loss rate would be 4 percent in all years. The total herd turnover rate would be 29 percent in year one and then gradually fall with lower rates until reaching a steady state of 22 percent by year five.

Table 1. Herd turnover and mortality rates

| Description | Year <br> $\mathbf{1}$ | Year <br> $\mathbf{2}$ | Year <br> $\mathbf{3}$ | Year <br> $\mathbf{4}$ | Year <br> $\mathbf{5}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Target herd size (head) | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 |
| Annual cull rate, excluding <br> deaths (\%) | 25 | 22 | 20 | 18 | 18 |
| Annual death loss (\%) | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Calving interval (months) | 14.0 | 13.5 | 13.0 | 12.8 | 12.8 |

This entire dairy system is built around a seasonal grass-based dairy concept with a 12 -month calving interval. However, when starting a dairy using purchased genetics selected for high production, there will be a few years of transition needed. For the first year of production, many heifers will enter the herd and not rebreed within the window to remain seasonal. They will be rebred eventually but outside the window necessary to calve seasonally. These animals will be sold as breeding stock to nonseasonal dairy producers. This allows the dairy to cull as needed for


Figure 1. The farm should be planted with annual forage and improved perennial forage varieties.
reproduction, without having to sell all the cull cows for slaughter.

The whole herd calving interval will drop as the hard breeders are selected out of the herd. By year four, the calving interval is expected to be 12.8 months. Further improvement can be expected as genetic crosses with higher reproductive performance continually enter the herd.

Crossbred dairy cows are specified in this grazing dairy system because of their ability to make better use of pasture and their higher reproductivity and overall hybrid vigor. They typically can be purchased for lower prices than those for Holsteins that are traditionally selected for their high milk production traits. In this model, all calves are to be sold within one week of birth to a contract heifer grower and later to be repurchased from the contract heifer grower. All replacement heifers will be purchased as needed for $\$ 1,250$ each. All heifer calves will be sold for $\$ 250$, and bull calves for $\$ 75$.

Table 2 shows annual milk production estimates and estimated rolling herd average. In the model, 95 percent of the total volume of milk is sold, and 5 percent from fresh or treated cows is discarded or consumed by calves.

Table 2. Daily milk production and rolling herd averages

| Description | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Year 4 | Year 5 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pounds per day | 38.0 | 44.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 |
| 365-day rolling herd <br> average | 10,999 | 12,736 | 12,894 | 12,825 | 12,825 |

Supplementary feeds are designed to complement the characteristics of the pasture forage at a reasonable cost (see Tables 3 and 4). Hay and concentrate are purchased in the dairy model. Ten pounds of concentrate costing $\$ 200 /$ ton delivered is fed to each cow in the parlor for the milking group. Five pounds of purchased hay or silage costing $\$ 0.08 / \mathrm{lb}$ of dry matter is fed as needed throughout the year to the milking group. The dry cow group is being fed 5 pounds of concentrate costing $\$ 0.09 / \mathrm{lb}$ and 20 pounds of purchased hay at $\$ 0.045 / \mathrm{lb}$ as needed throughout the year.

Table 3. Daily purchased feed costs/cow for the milking period

| Description | Cost/cow/day |
| :--- | :---: |
| Purchased concentrates | $\$ 1.00$ |
| Purchased hay | $\$ 0.40$ |
| Total feed cost | $\$ 1.40$ |

Table 4. Daily purchased feed costs/cow for the dry cow period

| Description | Cost/cow/day |
| :--- | :---: |
| Purchased concentrates | $\$ 0.45$ |
| Purchased hay | $\$ 0.90$ |
| Total feed cost | $\$ 1.35$ |

## Milk marketing

Financial projections in this model use a farm-level gross milk price of $\$ 17.45$ per hundredweight (cwt). This price level is considered realistic based on long-term historical milk prices and relationships in Missouri (see Table 5). Justification of the price expectation is presented below. Marketing costs that are deducted from the gross milk price in the model include CWT (Cooperatives Working Together) program assessment (\$0.10/cwt), advertising ( $\$ 0.15 / \mathrm{cwt}$ ), co-op fee ( $\$ 0.10 / \mathrm{cwt}$ ) and hauling ( $\$ 0.80 / \mathrm{cwt}$ ).

Table 5. Estimated Missouri milk price

| Description | Milk price |
| :--- | :---: |
| Class III average | $\$ 14.19$ |
| Long-term basis in Missouri | $\$ 2.66$ |
| Hauling premium | $\$ 0.03$ |
| Gross milk price per cwt | $\$ 17.19$ |

## Labor management

A grazing dairy that milks two times daily will ideally plan to spend no more than 2.5 hours in the parlor per milking. Outsourcing of any necessary forage harvest and heifer development is used to keep labor costs low. A husband and wife team will receive a salary of $\$ 30,000$, and no additional labor will be hired. Benefits cost for labor is assumed to include only the employer's share of Social Security and Medicare taxes. A 2.5 percent inflation rate is built into all of the labor and operating expenses (see Table 6).

Table 6. Projected labor summary

| Description | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Year 4 | Year 5 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Full-time equivalents <br> (FTEs) (based on labor <br> hours) | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 |
| Pounds milk per FTE | 376,656 | 432,099 | 437,483 | 435,134 | 435,134 |
| Annual benefits | $\$ 2,295$ | $\$ 2,352$ | $\$ 2,411$ | $\$ 2,471$ | $\$ 2,533$ |
| Total salaried labor | $\$ 30,000$ | $\$ 30,750$ | $\$ 31,519$ | $\$ 32,307$ | $\$ 33,114$ |
| Total labor cost | $\$ 32,295$ | $\$ 33,102$ | $\$ 33,930$ | $\$ 34,778$ | $\$ 35,648$ |

## Capital investments

Capital investments for this start-up operation are listed in Table 7. These investments include land, real estate, machinery, equipment and livestock. The total capital invested in the dairy will be $\$ 601,833$, or $\$ 8,024$ per cow. This includes all the minimum components necessary to make the dairy operational.

The financial success of grazing dairies depends upon keeping the capital investment and the operating expenses low. Careful farm selection is critical both to minimize the investment needed and to enabling future low operating costs. To avoid investments in livestock housing, the farm site must have well-drained soils. To keep feed costs low, the dairy needs mostly open ground with productive soils that can be managed for high-producing pastures that can be
planted with annual forage and improved perennial forage varieties.

Table 7. Capital investments for the 75-cow grazing dairy model

| Description | Quantity | Cost/Unit | Investment |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Land | 65 acres | $\$ 2,000$ | $\$ 130,000$ |
| Dairy cows | 75 cows | $\$ 1,250$ | $\$ 93,750$ |
| Buildings and farm setup |  |  |  |
| Milking parlor, equipment, <br> tank, holding area and <br> office | 24 stalls | $\$ 5,600$ | $\$ 134,400$ |
| Manure storage for parlor <br> and holding area |  |  |  |
| Feed bins (15 tons each) <br> Hay barn and equipment <br> storage | $2,000 \mathrm{ft}$ | $\$ 40,000$ | $\$ 40,000$ |
| Lanes | $5,703 \mathrm{ft}$ | $\$ 2.00$ | $\$ 11,406$ |
| Watering system (without | $5,703 \mathrm{ft}$ | $\$ 1.50$ | $\$ 8,555$ |
| well and pump) |  |  | $\$ 20,000$ |
| Fencing and paddock setup | $25,992 \mathrm{ft}$ | $\$ 0.75$ | $\$ 19,494$ |
| Establishing new forages <br> (fertilizer, seed, tillage) | 56 acres | $\$ 138.00$ | $\$ 7,728$ |
| Machinery and equipment |  |  | $\$ 12,000$ |
| Tractor | 1 | $\$ 40,000$ | $\$ 40,000$ |
| Pickup | 1 | $\$ 25,000$ | $\$ 25,000$ |
| ATV | 1 | $\$ 4,500$ | $\$ 4,500$ |
| Clipper mower | 1 | $\$ 15,000$ | $\$ 15,000$ |
| Silage feeding equipment | 1 | $\$ 20,000$ | $\$ 20,000$ |
| Other farm equipment | 1 | $\$ 20,000$ | $\$ 20,000$ |
| Total investment |  |  | $\$ 601,833$ |
| Investment per cow |  |  | $\$ 8,024$ |

Investments in the milking center include a milking parlor, milking equipment, holding area, utility room, milk room, rest rooms and tanks. Milking equipment includes parabone stalls designed for rapid cow flow, a flush system for the parlor, automatic take-offs, plate cooler with chilled water, and a heater. The parlor is assumed to be a swing-12 parabone parlor with automatic take-offs. The basic philosophy of most graziers carries over to the milking parlor. They want a facility that is both inexpensive and efficient and can be updated or improved as cash flow permits. Most producers want a parlor large enough to allow them to complete each milking in 2.5 hours. Parabone swing parlors were used to promote production efficiency by emphasizing cow comfort, cow movement and efficient use of labor.

Permanent lanes, water lines and paddocks are established in this dairy. Lanes are essential in a pasturebased dairy to move cows easily from pasture to parlor, whether the grazing cell design is fixed or flexible.

Constructing raised lanes with adequate drainage capacity and using crushed rock, lime screenings or other stabilizing material reduces annual maintenance needs and keeps cows cleaner and healthier. Electrified 12.5-gauge high-tensile wire is used for perimeter fence and permanent paddock fencing in this dairy system. Water systems include buried water lines and permanently installed stock tanks.

Initial expenses of forage establishment are also included in the capital investments. These expenses include fertilizer, seed and tillage. Pastures can be seeded either on a prepared seedbed or by no-till drilling, depending on site conditions and crop requirements. Machinery investments include a tractor, pickup, ATV, silage feeding wagon, and other farm equipment. Other facility investments include equipment storage, hay barn and feed bins.

## Financial analysis and statements

The 75 -cow model dairy will gross $\$ 163,680$ per year in milk and young stock sales. This farm will have a profit of $\$ 2,843$ after all operating costs, labor and depreciation are deducted (see Tables $8-11$ ). On a per cow basis, this is a gross operating income of $\$ 2,182$ per cow and a net operating income of $\$ 38$ per cow, after labor and depreciation are deducted.

The model represents a dairy using 100 percent equity financing, with no debt. Although unrealistic, this simplifying assumption helps lenders analyze the free cash flow to determine how much debt the operation will support. Adding net income from operations plus the building and machinery depreciation yields a free cash flow of $\$ 36,259$ available for principal and interest payments $(\$ 2,843$ net income $+\$ 33,416$ depreciation $=\$ 36,259)$. On a per cow basis, this is equivalent to $\$ 483$ of cash available for principal and interest payments. This free cash flow estimate assumes no additional cash will be used for family living expenses other than what is already used to pay labor in the dairy.
The character of the investments in the dairy reduces a lender's risk because a high percentage of the initial investment is concentrated in appreciating land and reproducing cattle, rather than specialized assets that are harder to liquidate at full value.

Table 8. Financial measurements of the 75-cow grazing dairy model

|  | Year <br> $\mathbf{1}$ | Year <br> $\mathbf{2}$ | Year <br> $\mathbf{3}$ | Year <br> $\mathbf{4}$ | Year <br> $\mathbf{5}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Current ratio | 1.84 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.67 |
| Return on assets | $-2.1 \%$ | $1.2 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ | $1.3 \%$ | $1.2 \%$ |
| Operating expense ratio | $80.7 \%$ | $72.1 \%$ | $71.7 \%$ | $72.6 \%$ | $73.4 \%$ |
| Depreciation expense <br> ratio | $27.8 \%$ | $24.1 \%$ | $23.6 \%$ | $23.4 \%$ | $23.4 \%$ |
| Net farm income from <br> operations ratio | $-8.4 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ | $4.7 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ | $3.2 \%$ |

Table 9. Dairy enterprise budget for the 75-cow grazing dairy model (5-year average)

|  | Herd | Per cow | Per cwt | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| INCOME FROM OPERATIONS |  |  |  |  |
| Milk sales | \$152,807 | \$2,037 | \$17.19 | 93.4\% |
| Sales of young stock and calves | \$10,872 | \$145 | \$1.22 | 6.6\% |
| Total gross receipts | \$163,680 | \$2,182 | \$18.41 | 100.0\% |
| OPERATING EXPENSES |  |  |  |  |
| Feed |  |  |  |  |
| Feedstuffs | \$38,035 | \$507 | \$4.28 | 23.6\% |
| Total feed | \$38,035 | \$507 | \$4.28 | 23.6\% |
| Herd replacement costs |  |  |  |  |
| Depreciation - dairy cows | \$6,473 | \$86 | \$0.73 | 4.0\% |
| Loss on sale of cows | \$4,085 | \$54 | \$0.46 | 2.5\% |
| Total herd replacement costs | \$10,558 | \$141 | \$1.19 | 6.6\% |
| OTHER OPERATING EXPENSES |  |  |  |  |
| Cow expenses |  |  |  |  |
| Hired labor (including benefits) | \$33,951 | \$453 | \$3.82 | 21.1\% |
| DHIA ${ }^{1}$ testing | \$1,200 | \$16 | \$0.13 | 0.7\% |
| Semen/breeding | \$2,250 | \$30 | \$0.25 | 1.4\% |
| Real estate/personal property taxes | \$394 | \$5 | \$0.04 | 0.2\% |
| Milk marketing ${ }^{2}$ | \$10,223 | \$136 | \$1.15 | 6.4\% |
| Repairs/truck/fuel | \$4,500 | \$60 | \$0.51 | 2.8\% |
| Vet/medicine | \$3,510 | \$47 | \$0.39 | 2.2\% |
| Parlor supplies | \$3,453 | \$46 | \$0.39 | 2.1\% |
| Utilities | \$4,242 | \$57 | \$0.48 | 2.6\% |
| Insurance | \$1,051 | \$14 | \$0.12 | 0.7\% |
| Other expenses | \$2,500 | \$33 | \$0.28 | 1.6\% |
| Forage expenses |  |  |  |  |
| Fertilizer | \$4,731 | \$63 | \$0.53 | 2.9\% |
| Seed/spray | \$2,103 | \$28 | \$0.24 | 1.3\% |
| Custom hire | \$1,577 | \$21 | \$0.18 | 1.0\% |
| Fuel | \$1,250 | \$17 | \$0.14 | 0.8\% |
| Real estate/ personal property taxes | \$394 | \$5 | \$0.04 | 0.2\% |
| Fence/water | \$1,500 | \$20 | \$0.17 | 0.9\% |
| Depreciation | \$33,416 | \$446 | \$3.76 | 20.8\% |
| Total other operating expenses | \$112,244 | \$1,497 | \$12.63 | 69.8\% |
| TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES | \$160,837 | \$2,144 | \$18.09 | 100.0\% |
| NET INCOME FROM OPERATIONS | \$2,843 | \$38 | \$0.32 |  |
| Notes <br> 1 Dairy Herd Improvement Association <br> 2 Includes milk hauling, state and fe | ting fees and | t of mark |  |  |

Table 10. Pro forma cash flow statement for the 75-cow grazing dairy model

|  | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Year 4 | Year 5 | 5-year average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CASH INFLOWS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Farm cash receipts |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Milk sales | \$135,969 | \$155,983 | \$157,927 | \$157,079 | \$157,079 | \$152,807 |
| Livestock sales | \$24,300 | \$22,992 | \$22,275 | \$21,366 | \$21,366 | \$22,460 |
| TOTAL | \$160,269 | \$178,976 | \$180,202 | \$178,445 | \$178,445 | \$175,267 |
| CASH OUTFLOWS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cow expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Purchased concentrates | \$24,370 | \$24,258 | \$24,138 | \$24,075 | \$24,075 | \$24,183 |
| Purchased hay | \$13,682 | \$13,783 | \$13,892 | \$13,950 | \$13,950 | \$13,852 |
| Hired labor (including benefits) | \$32,295 | \$33,102 | \$33,930 | \$34,778 | \$35,648 | \$33,951 |
| DHIA ${ }^{1}$ testing | \$1,200 | \$1,200 | \$1,200 | \$1,200 | \$1,200 | \$1,200 |
| Semen/breeding | \$2,250 | \$2,250 | \$2,250 | \$2,250 | \$2,250 | \$2,250 |
| Real estate/ personal property taxes | \$375 | \$384 | \$394 | \$404 | \$414 | \$394 |
| Milk marketing ${ }^{2}$ | \$9,096 | \$10,435 | \$10,565 | \$10,508 | \$10,508 | \$10,223 |
| Repairs/truck/fuel | \$4,500 | \$4,500 | \$4,500 | \$4,500 | \$4,500 | \$4,500 |
| Vet/medicine | \$3,510 | \$3,510 | \$3,510 | \$3,510 | \$3,510 | \$3,510 |
| Parlor supplies | \$3,285 | \$3,367 | \$3,451 | \$3,538 | \$3,626 | \$3,453 |
| Utilities | \$4,035 | \$4,136 | \$4,239 | \$4,345 | \$4,454 | \$4,242 |
| Insurance | \$1,000 | \$1,025 | \$1,051 | \$1,077 | \$1,104 | \$1,051 |
| Other expenses | \$2,500 | \$2,500 | \$2,500 | \$2,500 | \$2,500 | \$2,500 |
| Total cow expenses | \$102,098 | \$104,452 | \$105,621 | \$106,635 | \$107,739 | \$105,309 |
| Forage expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fertilizer | \$4,500 | \$4,613 | \$4,728 | \$4,846 | \$4,967 | \$4,731 |
| Seed/spray | \$2,000 | \$2,050 | \$2,101 | \$2,154 | \$2,208 | \$2,103 |
| Custom hire | \$1,500 | \$1,538 | \$1,576 | \$1,615 | \$1,656 | \$1,577 |
| Fuel | \$1,250 | \$1,250 | \$1,250 | \$1,250 | \$1,250 | \$1,250 |
| Real estate/ personal property taxes | \$375 | \$384 | \$394 | \$404 | \$414 | \$394 |
| Fence/water | \$1,500 | \$1,500 | \$1,500 | \$1,500 | \$1,500 | \$1,500 |
| Total forage expenses | \$11,125 | \$11,334 | \$11,549 | \$11,769 | \$11,994 | \$11,554 |
| Capital purchases |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Breeding livestock | \$27,186 | \$24,378 | \$22,500 | \$20,625 | \$20,625 | \$23,063 |
| TOTAL | \$140,409 | \$140,163 | \$139,670 | \$139,029 | \$140,358 | \$139,926 |
| NET CASH FLOW | \$19,860 | \$38,812 | \$40,532 | \$39,416 | \$38,087 | \$35,341 |
| Notes <br> 1 Dairy Herd Improvement Association <br> 2 Includes milk hauling, state and feder | motion, co- | marketing | nd the cost | rketing bee |  |  |

Table 11. Pro forma income statement for the 75-cow grazing dairy model

|  | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Year 4 | Year 5 | 5-year average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GROSS REVENUE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Milk sales | \$135,969 | \$155,983 | \$157,927 | \$157,079 | \$157,079 | \$152,807 |
| Calves and heifers sold | \$10,237 | \$10,617 | \$11,025 | \$11,241 | \$11,241 | \$10,872 |
| Total gross revenue | \$146,206 | \$166,600 | \$168,952 | \$168,320 | \$168,320 | \$163,680 |
| OPERATING EXPENSES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Purchased concentrates | \$24,370 | \$24,258 | \$24,138 | \$24,075 | \$24,075 | \$24,183 |
| Purchased hay | \$13,682 | \$13,783 | \$13,892 | \$13,950 | \$13,950 | \$13,852 |
| Total operating expenses | \$38,052 | \$38,042 | \$38,031 | \$38,025 | \$38,025 | \$38,035 |
| HERD REPLACEMENT COSTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Depreciation-dairy cows | \$7,208 | \$6,733 | \$6,387 | \$6,018 | \$6,018 | \$6,473 |
| Loss on sale of cows | \$4,695 | \$4,263 | \$3,992 | \$3,738 | \$3,738 | \$4,085 |
| Total herd replacement costs | \$11,903 | \$10,996 | \$10,379 | \$9,756 | \$9,756 | \$10,558 |
| OTHER OPERATING EXPENSES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cow expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hired labor (includes benefits) | \$32,295 | \$33,102 | \$33,930 | \$34,778 | \$35,648 | \$33,951 |
| DHIA ${ }^{1}$ testing | \$1,200 | \$1,200 | \$1,200 | \$1,200 | \$1,200 | \$1,200 |
| Semen/breeding | \$2,250 | \$2,250 | \$2,250 | \$2,250 | \$2,250 | \$2,250 |
| Real estate/personal property taxes | \$375 | \$384 | \$394 | \$404 | \$414 | \$394 |
| Milk marketing ${ }^{2}$ | \$9,096 | \$10,435 | \$10,565 | \$10,508 | \$10,508 | \$10,223 |
| Repairs/truck/fuel | \$4,500 | \$4,500 | \$4,500 | \$4,500 | \$4,500 | \$4,500 |
| Vet/medicine | \$3,510 | \$3,510 | \$3,510 | \$3,510 | \$3,510 | \$3,510 |
| Parlor supplies | \$3,285 | \$3,367 | \$3,451 | \$3,538 | \$3,626 | \$3,453 |
| Utilities | \$4,035 | \$4,136 | \$4,239 | \$4,345 | \$4,454 | \$4,242 |
| Insurance | \$1,000 | \$1,025 | \$1,051 | \$1,077 | \$1,104 | \$1,051 |
| Other expenses | \$2,500 | \$2,500 | \$2,500 | \$2,500 | \$2,500 | \$2,500 |
| Total cow expenses | \$64,046 | \$66,410 | \$67,590 | \$68,610 | \$69,714 | \$67,274 |
| Forage expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fertilizer | \$4,500 | \$4,613 | \$4,728 | \$4,846 | \$4,967 | \$4,731 |
| Seed/spray | \$2,000 | \$2,050 | \$2,101 | \$2,154 | \$2,208 | \$2,103 |
| Custom hire | \$1,500 | \$1,538 | \$1,576 | \$1,615 | \$1,656 | \$1,577 |
| Fuel | \$1,250 | \$1,250 | \$1,250 | \$1,250 | \$1,250 | \$1,250 |
| Real estate/personal property taxes | \$375 | \$384 | \$394 | \$404 | \$414 | \$394 |
| Fence/water | \$1,500 | \$1,500 | \$1,500 | \$1,500 | \$1,500 | \$1,500 |
| Total forage expenses | \$11,125 | \$11,334 | \$11,549 | \$11,769 | \$11,994 | \$11,554 |
| Depreciation (buildings and equipment) | \$33,416 | \$33,416 | \$33,416 | \$33,416 | \$33,416 | \$33,416 |
| Total other operating expenses | \$108,587 | \$111,160 | \$112,555 | \$113,795 | \$115,124 | \$112,244 |
| TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES | \$158,541 | \$160,197 | \$160,965 | \$161,576 | \$162,905 | \$160,837 |
| INCOME BEFORE FINANCING COSTS | $(\$ 12,335)$ | \$6,403 | \$7,987 | \$6,744 | \$5,415 | \$2,843 |
| NET INCOME (LOSS) | $(\$ 12,335)$ | \$6,403 | \$7,987 | \$6,744 | \$5,415 | \$2,843 |
| Notes <br> 1 Dairy Herd Improvement Associ <br> 2 Includes milk hauling, state and | motion, c | marketing | and the c | marketing |  |  |
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