CLINICAL INQUIRIES

Evidence Based Answers from the Family Physicians Inquiries Network

Tricia C. Elliott, MD Baylor-Kelsey-Seybold Family Medicine Residency Program, Houston

Cathy C. Montoya, MLS Department of Family and Community Medicine, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston

FAST TRACK

All estrogencontaining contraceptives have similar sideeffect profiles, regardless of the route of administration

How does VTE risk for the patch and vaginal ring compare with oral contraceptives?

Evidence-based answer

Evidence is conflicting with regard to the comparative frequency of venous thrombolic events (VTE) among women using the transdermal patch when compared to an oral contraceptive (OC), even though the patch produces a relatively

high serum ethinyl estradiol (EE) level (strength of recommendation [SOR]: **C**, conflicting cohort case-control studies). The vaginal ring has a risk of VTE comparable to that of an OC (SOR: **B**, 1 comparative study).

Clinical commentary

For now, base decisions on patient preference

This review points out that we don't have enough evidence to make a strong recommendation about oral or nonoral estrogen-containing contraceptives based on the risk of thromboembolic disease. All estrogen-containing contraceptives have similar side-effect profiles, regardless of the route of administration.

In my experience, the patch or

Evidence summary

Two nonoral estrogen-progestin contraceptives have been approved by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA). OrthoEvra is a transdermal patch applied weekly for 3 consecutive weeks, followed by 1 patch-free week per cycle.¹ The NuvaRing is a vaginal ring worn for 3 consecutive weeks in a 4-week cycle.²

The patch causes greater estrogen exposure than OCs or the ring In November 2005, the FDA issued an

ring appeals to women who have had difficulty with OCs and need a simpler dosing regimen to improve compliance. The choice between an oral estrogencontaining contraceptive and the patch or ring should be based on the patient's preference, not the risk of thromboembolic disease, until we have evidence to suggest otherwise.

> Richard Williams, MD University of Nevada School of Medicine, Reno

update to the labeling of the Ortho-Evra contraceptive patch, reporting increased systemic estrogen exposure, which may increase the risk of blood clots.³ The FDA warned that the transdermal patch exposes the user to 60% more estrogen than the typical birth control pill containing 35 µg EE.³ In January 2008, the FDA approved an additional update to include the results of a new study that found users of the patch to be at higher risk of developing VTE than OC users.^{3,4}

CONTINUED

VTE risk 4

teatment of on order proving Electron XD in the third interact. Labor Defers, Menting — The effect of tabor and potential for serious adverse neutrons in running infention from Electron XD, advances in the potential for serious adverse neutrons in running infention from Electron XD. The electron XD is a series and the team of the electron XD is a series and the team of the electron XD is a series and the team of the electron XD is a series and the team of the electron XD is a series and the team of the electron XD is a series and the team of the electron XD is a series and the team of the electron XD is a series and the team of the electron XD is a series and the team of the electron XD is a series and the team of the electron XD is a series and the elect General supportive and symptomatic measures are also recommended. Induction of emesis is not recommended. Gashic lavage with a large bore orogostric tube with appropriate airway protection, if needed, may be indicated if performed soon after ingestion or in symptomatic patients. Activited charcoal should be administered. Due to the large volume of distribution of this drug, forced diuresis, dialysis, hemoperfusion, and exchange transfusion are unlikely to be of benefit. No specific antidotes for venilativitie are known. In managing overdosage, consider the possibility of multiple drug involvement. Consider contacting a poison control center for additional information on the treatment of overdose. Telephone numbers for certification poison control centers are listed in the Physicians' Desk Reference? (PDR), DOSAGE AND **ADMINISTRATION**: Consult full prescribing information for dosing instructions. **Switching Patients to or From an MADI**—At least 14 days should elapse between discontinuation of an MADI and initiation of therapy with Effexor XR. At least 7 days should be allowed after stopping Effexor XR before starting an MADI (see **CONTRAINDICATIONS**). This brief summary is based on Effexor XR, Prescribing Information W10404C036 ET01, revised February 2008.

One pharmacokinetic study found that exposure to EE differed among delivery systems. The area under the EE concentrationvs-time curve in the patch group was 1.6 times higher than in the OC group (P < .05) and 3.4 times higher than in the vaginal ring group (*P*<.05).²

So what's the VTE risk? Two studies, contrasting conclusions

A nested case-control study-based on a Phar-Metrics longitudinal database of information from paid claims by managed care health plans-included 215,769 women between the ages of 15 and 44 years who had started using the patch or a norgestimate-EE combination OC since April 1, 2002, when OrthoEvra was first introduced on the US market.5 Investigators identified 68 diagnosed cases of VTE with no identifiable risk factors.

The overall incidence of VTE in this study was 52.8 per 100,000 women-years (95%) confidence interval [CI], 35.8-74.9) among patch users and 41.8 per 100,000 womenyears among OC users (95% CI, 29.4-57.6).5 The study concluded that the risk of nonfatal VTE for the patch isn't higher than the risk for an OC containing 35 µg EE and norgestimate (odds ratio [OR]=0.9; 95% CI, 0.5-1.6; incidence rate ratio [IRR]=1.1; 95% CI, 0.7-1.8).

A recent update to the study evaluated an additional 17 months of data on new cases of women meeting the same criteria. The supplementary results proved consistent with earlier conclusions, indicating that the risk of nonfatal VTE for the patch is similar to the risk for the OC (OR=1.1; 95% CI, 0.6-2.1).6 Combined data from the original study and the update show that the OR for VTE is 1.0 (95% CI, 0.7-(1.5) in users of the patch compared with users of the OC.⁶

Another nested case-control study-based on UnitedHealthcare insurance claims data and confirmatory chart reviews-showed contrasting results. The study included 340,377 women between the ages of 15 and 44 years who were new users of the patch or new and previous users of a norgestimate-EE combination OC from April 1, 2002 through December 31, 2004.3 Investigators verified 57 diagnoses of VTE, controlling for confound-

ing factors. The incidence of VTE in this study was 40.8 per 100,000 womenyears among patch users and 18.3 per 100,000 women-years among users of the norgestimate-35 μ g EE OC. The study reported a more than 2-fold increased risk of VTE in patch users compared to OC users (OR=2.4; 95% CI, 1.1-5.5; IRR=2.2; 95% CI, 1.3-3.8).^{3,7}

Do the differences between studies make a difference?

The 2 studies appear similar in design but have 2 major identifiable differences:

- The first study verified VTE diagnoses by claims for systemic anticoagulants, whereas the second study expanded its analysis by performing confirmatory chart reviews for VTE diagnoses.
- The first study included only new OC and patch users as of April 1, 2002, whereas the second study included new and experienced users of the OC as of April 1, 2002.

The significance of the differences in these studies is debatable; the results have yielded controversial, conflicting evidence.

Safety and tolerability are similar for the vaginal ring and OCs

A 1-year, open-label, randomized Phase III study of 1030 women compared the NuvaRing with a combination OC containing levonorgestrel and 30 µg EE. One case of deep venous thrombosis occurred in the NuvaRing group.

In reviewing the data, the authors concluded that the NuvaRing demonstrated comparable safety and tolerability to the OC.⁸ NuvaRing users experienced similar side effects compared with OC users.⁹

Recommendations

The World Health Organization Medical Eligibility Criteria for Contraceptive Use (WHOMEC) reports that long-term safety data for the estrogen-progestin contraceptive patch are not available.¹⁰ However, the limited studies that are available suggest a safety profile similar to that of combination OCs with comparable hormone formulations.

WHOMEC suggests that the guidelines for combination OCs also should apply to the patch and the ring. Women shouldn't use these contraceptive methods if they have a history of VTE or current VTE or if they are undergoing major surgery that may include prolonged immobilization.¹⁰

References

- Abrams LS, Skee D, Natarajan J, Wong FA. Pharmacokinetic overview of Ortho Evra/Evra. *Fertil Steril.* 2002;77(2 suppl):S3-S12.
- van den Heuvel MW, van Bragt AJ, Alnabawy AK, Kaptein MC. Comparison of ethinylestradiol pharmacokinetics in three hormonal contraceptive formulations: the vaginal ring, the transdermal patch and an oral contraceptive. *Contraception.* 2005;72:168-174.
- US Food and Drug Administration Center for Drug Evaluation and Research. Ortho Evra (norelgestromin/ethinyl estradiol) Information. Available at: www.fda.gov/cder/drug/infopage/orthoevra /default.htm. Accessed July 5, 2008.
- Cole JA, Norman H, Doherty M, Walker AM. Venous thromboembolism, myocardial infarction, and stroke among transdermal contraceptive system users. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2007;109(2 Pt 1):339-346.
- Jick SS, Kaye JA, Russmann S, Jick H. Risk of nonfatal venous thromboembolism in women using a contraceptive transdermal patch and oral contraceptives containing norgestimate and 35 mcg of ethinyl estradiol. *Contraception*. 2006;73:223-228.
- Jick S, Kaye JA, Li L, Jick H. Further results on the risk of nonfatal venous thromboembolism in users of the contraceptive transdermal patch compared to users of oral contraceptives containing norgestimate and 35 mcg of ethinyl estradiol. *Contraception.* 2007;76:4-7.
- Burkman RT. Transdermal contraceptive patch. In: Rose BD, ed. UpToDate [online database]. Waltham, Mass: UpToDate; 2008.
- Oddsson K, Leifels-Fischer B, de Melo NR, et al. Efficacy and safety of a contraceptive vaginal ring (NuvaRing) compared with a combined oral contraceptive: a 1-year randomized trial. *Contraception*. 2005;71:176-182.
- Gaffield ME, Curtis KM, Mohllajee AP, Peterson HB. Medical eligibility criteria for new contraceptive methods: combined hormonal patch, combined hormonal vaginal ring and the etonogestrel implant. *Contraception.* 2006;73:134-144.
- 10. Reproductive Health and Research, World Health Organization. *Medical Eligibility Criteria for Contraceptive Use.* 3rd ed. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; 2004. Available at: www.who. int/reproductive-health/publications/mec/mec.pdf. Accessed September 12, 2008.

FAST TRACK

The patient's preference should determine the choice between an estrogencontaining OC and the patch or ring