MU Guide

PUBLISHED BY UNIVERSITY EXTENSION, UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI-COLUMBIA

Total Mixed Dairy Rations on Your Farm?

Myron Bennett and Donald Osburn, Department of Agricultural Economics
Jim Spain, Department of Animal Science
David Williams, Department of Agricultural Engineering

Total mixed dairy rations (TMR) offer an opportunity to improve business profits through improved animal performance and health, decreased feed wastage, improved labor efficiency and improved butterfat. The installation of a TMR system normally requires added investments in feed mixing and distribution equipment. Additional storage facilities may be required as well.

With a TMR system, each bite is a balanced diet. For small herds, a limiting factor influencing milk production is balancing the ration for the broad range of production levels within the herd. Diets too low in energy and protein may limit production of early lactating cows or result in thin cows with lower production and reduced reproductive efficiency. In contrast, diets too high in energy and protein can result in overconditioned cows at freshening with fat-cow problems. In larger herds, cows can be grouped more homogeneously to better balance for nutrient requirements.

In considering the use of TMR, several advantages and limitations are important to consider. The expected benefits, costs and capital investments associated with TMR systems were researched and the results reported in Extension publication MP 662, "Total Mixed Dairy Rations: Costs and Benefits by Herd Size." Refer to this publication for details regarding the implementation of a TMR system for your farm. You are urged to read this publication prior to working through the economic analysis included in this guide.

The purpose of this guide is to provide a format for evaluating the economic consequences of changing to a TMR system. Planning will enable you to determine what investments, labor costs, power costs, etc., are needed to implement the system before making new investments or changes in your operation.

Adopting the TMR feeding system will change your dairy enterprise costs and returns. To evaluate this change, the following partial budgeting procedure (economic analysis) will allow you to balance expected total gains against losses that will result if you switch from parlor grain feeding to a TMR.

The following budgeting procedure allows you to estimate (1) added capital investments needed to make the change; (2) added returns from increased milk production, higher butterfat test, and reduced feed wastage; and (3) added costs associated with the TMR system. This "ballpark" analysis will help determine if the potential added returns will outweigh the added costs, indicating whether the proposed change will be profitable for your operation.

Brief instructions follow:

- 1. Section A is available to think through and outline the additional cost of equipment and buildings that are needed to switch to the TMR feeding system. Be as accurate as possible on your needs and cost of each investment.
- 2. Section B provides a procedure for entering present average milk production per cow and estimating the increase in milk production per cow with TMR (3-10%) and future average milk price per cwt. for planning purposes. Items 1 and 2 provide a method of calculating the value of increased milk production for the total herd. Item 3 is provided to calculate the added returns resulting from TMR due to reduced feed wastage. Research has indicated a 3 to 5 percent reduction in feed wastage. Guides for feed cost per cow are shown based on different levels of milk production (rolling herd average). If you know your total feed costs per cow (forage, pasture and concentrate), use your own costs rather than the guides. Item 4 is available to include other added returns such as reduced veterinary and medicine costs due to more healthy cows, etc. (You should have a good, reliable reason for these values.)
- **3. Section C** is available for itemizing appropriate costs associated with the TMR system. Items 1 and 2 provide formulas for calculating additional feed and labor costs.

Item 3 provides guidelines and a method for calculating tractor power costs that are necessary to operate the mixer wagon and front-end loader. Tractor costs are allocated to TMR on a cost per hour of operation basis because the tractor is usually used for other business activities. Thus this is an easy method of allocating this specific cost to TMR. Because labor and machinery operate together, the hours per cow are the same as the labor hours used in Item 2. Also, you can use variable or total costs per hour of tractor operation. For example, if the tractor you plan to use is an older tractor that is fully depreciated, it would be appropriate to use variable costs only. But if you have a newer tractor or have to purchase a tractor specifically for the TMR system, you should use total costs per hour of operation to calculate power costs.

Items 4 and 5 are self-explanatory. Just fill in the blanks and calculate the answer. Note that the marketing costs in Item 4 are based on hundredweight of milk, not pounds.

Item 6 may not be a cost to you. If it isn't, leave it blank.

4. Section D is available to calculate the potential gain or loss from the switch to the TMR system. If line 3 is negative, there is no need to calculate the rate of return on line 4.

1. Equipment:		2. Buildings and facilities:***	
Roller mill	\$	Commodity building	\$
Mixer wagon with scales**	\$	Additional bunk space	\$
Front-end loader	\$	Lot fences for cow grouping	\$
Other	\$	Other	\$
Total	\$	Other	\$
on B: Added Returns and/o	r Reduced Costs		
Estimated increase in value of	milk production:		
Present average annual milk p	production/cow is		lbs.(a
Expected increase in production per cow (guide 3-10%)			lbs.(t
Projected milk price (3.5%)/cwt. \$plus value for 0.1% butterfat¢/cwt. is projected price for 3.6% milk		\$(c)	
1. Value of increased milk prod	luction		
no. cows x(b) ll milk + 100 =cwt. x \$	os. increase/cow = (c) price for	total lbs. 3.6% milk =	\$
2. Increased value of butterfat	for present product	ion	
no. cows x(a)	bs. present production	on/cow =	
total lbs. milk ÷ 100 =			•
x¢/cwt. per 0.1% add	led butterfat =		\$
3. Reduced feed wastage (3-5%	6 of total feed cost)		
Feed cost guides based on milk production per cow:	12,500 lbs. = \$1, 15,000 lbs. = \$1,	Section of the Property of the	
no cowe + ¢ for	d/cow - total value f	ed x % wastage =	\$

Double | Durdwet Analysis

G 3106 Page 2

MP 662, page 13, for itemized list and cost of new 1 MH equipment.

^{**}Know horsepower requirement to operate. Will you need to buy a tractor to operate or can you use your present tractor?

^{***}Do not include hay, grain or silage storage investments. Feed costs included in Section B3 are based on market value plus transportation and storage costs, therefore investments for hay, grain and silage storage should not be included.

(Use only the extra gra	ain ration required for the	added production.)		
	tionlbs./cow ÷			
milk/feed ratio	o** (guide 2-3 lbs.) =		•	
ibs. grain ratio	on x¢/lb. x	no. cows =	\$	-
2. Labor costs				
Guides for added lal	bor for TMR system per cow by 100-cow herd–5.5 hrs./cow	herd size:		
	200-cow herd-4.1 hrs./cow			
	300-cow herd–3.7 hrs./cow 500-cow herd–3.6 hrs./cow			
I A		4	•	
hrs./cow x	no. cows x \$	/hr. =	\$	- 3
3.Power costs				
(Use variable costs for	r tractors presently owner	d and total costs for purchased	I tractor for the TMR sy	ystem
Guides for tractor co				
	Tractor Costs per ho hp <u>Variable</u>	our operation <u>Total</u>		
	50 \$3.35	\$7.50		
	60 \$3.75	\$8.50		
	90 \$5.63 100 \$6.75	\$12.50 \$15.00		
hrs./cow.(san	ne as labor hours in item	00 0000 5 G		
	/hr. (costs/hour b		\$	_
4. Marketing costs of m	nilk			
-	d milk/cow xno.	COWS =		
	÷ 100 =cwt. x 7		\$	
5. Fixed costs associat			· 	-
	t \$(line A1) x 23		¢	(a)
	s investment \$(lir		\$ \$	_(u) _(b)
Total fixed costs (add		16 AZ) X 14.2 /6 =	Ψ \$	
· ·	·		Ψ	(c)
6 Mutritian concultation	n, feed analysis, comp			
	$_{ extsf{V}}$ (guide \$6-\$18/cow) $_{ extsf{X}}$ $_{ extsf{L}}$	no. cows =	\$	-
\$cost per cow			Φ.	
			\$	-
	. (guido do diolocti) k_	10. 00110 =		

G 3106 Page 3

Section D: Analysis	
(Analysis shows the potential gains or losses from the switch to the TMR feeding system.)	
1. Total estimated added returns (Section B, line 5)	\$
2. Minus estimated total added costs (Section C, line 8)	\$
3. Equals profit or loss from TMR (line 1 minus line 2)	\$
4. Average rate of return on investment*	
Profit (line 3) \$ + \$ average investment on new investment** ÷ \$ total new investment x 100 = return on initial investment	%
*For the change to TMR to be profitable, the percent return should be at least 7-8 percent. If from business earnings or savings, compare to returns from a Certificate of Deposit or other are financed, compare rate of return with cost of borrowed money.	
**Average interest on new investment is total new investments (Section A, line 1 + line 2) \$ interest rate (equivalent to 12 percent APR).	x 6 percent average

Summary

The Partial Budget Analysis Worksheet allows you to estimate the rate of return from the investment needed to implement a total mixed ration system in your dairy operation. The answer calculated on line D3 indicates whether the change has a potential of producing a positive or negative annual profit. Line D4 converts the dollar profit into a percent return to the additional capital needed to make the change, i.e., from parlor feeding to TMR system. For this reason the major capital investments needed for the TMR system should be identified and analyzed as accurately as possible.

The actual increase in milk production and the milk price received will vary. Therefore, two or more analyses based on different levels of increased milk production per cow such as 500, 750, 900, 1,200 pounds and at different price levels such as \$11, \$12, \$13/cwt.

should be calculated. At least a best-case and worst-case scenario should be thought through and analyzed to develop a bracket in which you will be operating.

Producers who feed balanced, palatable rations can expect less productive gains from TMR (lower benefit levels) than others whose rations fall short of nutritional requirements. Under such conditions, producers should experience the higher gains per cow.

Another important consideration is the investment requirement from a total business perspective. If higher outlays for equipment and power are required and must be obtained by borrowing money, debt service becomes an important risk consideration. This could be judged in terms of cash flow obligations to service debt and whether the added debt influences the financial statement of the total business in an adverse fashion (debt/equity ratio).

Page 4 G 3106

Partial Budget Analysis (Example)

Change considered: Switch to total mixed dairy ration from present feeding system described as Parlor feeding with forages feed outside to a 110-cow herd

Section A: Added Capital Investments Needed to Make Change*

1. Equipment:		2. Buildings and facilities:***	
Roller mill	\$ 3,500	Commodity building	\$ 16,000
Mixer wagon with scales**	\$ 7,200	Additional bunk space	\$ <u>2,000</u>
Front-end loader	\$ Have one	Lot fences for cow grouping	\$ 1,500
Other	\$	Other	\$
Total	\$10,700	Other	\$ 19,50D
ection B: Added Returns and/or	Reduced Costs	3	
Estimated increase in value of	milk production:		
Present average annual milk p	roduction/cow is		<u>/5,000</u> lbs.(a)
Expected increase in production	n per cow (guide 3	-10%)	800 _lbs.(b)
Projected milk price (3.5%)/cwt 0.1% butterfatl0¢/cwt.			\$ <u>//.60</u> (c)
1. Value of increased milk prod	uction		
<u>/// no. cows x /// 200 (b) lb</u> milk + 100 = /// 380 cwt. x \$_	s. increase/cow = _ (c) price fo	88,000 total lbs. r 3.6% milk =	\$ <i>10,20</i> 8
2. Increased value of butterfat f	or present produc	ction	
_ <i>ll0</i> _no. cows x _ <i>l5,000</i> _(a) lb	s. present product		
/_650,000total lbs. milk + 100 = x/0c/cwt. per 0.1% add			\$ <u>1,650</u>
3. Reduced feed wastage (3-5%	of total feed cost)	
Feed cost guides based on milk production per cow:	12,500 lbs. = \$ 15,000 lbs. = \$		
	d/cow = total value	fed x 3 % wastage =	\$ <u>3,795</u>
4. Other returns or reduced cos	4. Other returns or reduced costs (i.e., salvage value of old feeding system)		
5. Total estimated added returns (add lines 1 through 4)			\$ <i>1</i> 5,653
ection C: Added Costs and/or R	educed Returns	Associated with the TMR Sys	stem
ection C: Added Costs and/or R	educed Returns	Associated with the TMR Sys	stem
			stem
Added feed cost* (Use only the extra grain ration Increased milk production 800)	required for the ad		stem
1. Added feed cost* (Use only the extra grain ration Increased milk production 800 2.5 milk/feed ratio** (guide	required for the ad J lbs./cow + 2-3 lbs.) =	ded production.)	
1. Added feed cost* (Use only the extra grain ration Increased milk production 800 2.5 milk/feed ratio** (guide 320 lbs. grain ration x 7.5	required for the ad J lbs./cow + 2-3 lbs.) =		stem \$ <i>.2,640</i> _
1. Added feed cost* (Use only the extra grain ration Increased milk production 800 2.5 milk/feed ratio** (guide 320 lbs. grain ration x 7.5 2. Labor costs	required for the ad 2lbs./cow + 2-3 lbs.) = 6¢/lb. x <i>ll0</i>	ded production.) _no. cows =	
1. Added feed cost* (Use only the extra grain ration Increased milk production 800 2.5 milk/feed ratio** (guide 320 lbs. grain ration x 7.5 2. Labor costs Guides for added labor for TMR 100-cow	required for the ad 2lbs./cow + 2 2-3 lbs.) = 6¢/lb. x/lo system per cow by here herd-5.5 hrs./cow	ded production.) _no. cows =	
1. Added feed cost* (Use only the extra grain ration Increased milk production 800 2.5 milk/feed ratio** (guide 320 lbs. grain ration x 7.5 2. Labor costs Guides for added labor for TMR 100-cow 200-cow	required for the ad 2_lbs./cow + 2-3 lbs.) = 6¢/lb. x/l0 system per cow by here	ded production.) _no. cows =	
1. Added feed cost* (Use only the extra grain ration Increased milk production 800 2.5 milk/feed ratio** (guide 320 lbs. grain ration x 7.5 2. Labor costs Guides for added labor for TMR 100-cow 200-cow 300-cow 300-cow	required for the ad 2 lbs./cow + 2-3 lbs.) = 6 ¢/lb. x //O system per cow by hero herd-5.5 hrs./cow	ded production.) _no. cows =	
1. Added feed cost* (Use only the extra grain ration Increased milk production 800 2.5 milk/feed ratio** (guide 320 lbs. grain ration x 7.5 2. Labor costs Guides for added labor for TMR 100-cow 200-cow 300-cow 300-cow	required for the ad 2lbs./cow + 2 -3 lbs.) = 6¢/lb. x/lO system per cow by herd- therd-5.5 hrs./cow herd-4.1 hrs./cow herd-3.7 hrs./cow herd-3.6 hrs./cow	ded production.) _no. cows =	

G 3106 Page 5

3. Power costs

(Use variable costs for tractors presently owned and total costs for purchased tractor for the TMR system.)

E-10 0 10	(21)		
Guides	for	trantar	conta.
Guides	101	liacion	WSIS.

Tractor	Costs per hour operatio	
hp	<u>Variable</u>	Total
50	\$3.35	\$7.50
60	\$3.75	\$8.50
90	\$5.63	\$12.50
100	\$6.75	\$15.00

5.5 hrs./cow (same as labor hours in item 2) x	
/// no. cows x \$ 3.75 /hr. (costs/hour based on tractor hp) =	\$ 2,186
60 ha tracker -degreciated out	

4. Marketing costs of milk

800 lbs. increased milk/cow x ///0	no. cows =	
88 000 total lbs. milk + 100 = 880 c	:wt. x 75¢/cwt. =	\$ 660

5. Fixed costs associated with new capital investments

Equipment investment \$ <u>/0,700</u> (line A1) x 23% =	\$ 2,461 (a)
Buildings and facilities investment \$_/9,500 (line A2) x 14.2% =	\$ 2,769 (b)
Total fixed costs (add lines a and b)	\$ 5,230 (c)

6. Nutrition consultation, feed analysis, computer programs	
\$ cost per cow (guide \$6-\$18/cow) x//no. cows =	\$ 550
\$ cost per cow (guide \$6-\$18/cow) x/ no. cows = (Forage Analysis and radion consultation) 7. Other added costs or reduced returns	\$ <u> </u>
8. Total added costs (add lines 1, 2, 3, 4, 5c, 6 and 7)	\$ <u>14,764</u>

Section D: Analysis

(Analysis shows the potential gains or losses from the switch to the TMR feeding system.)

1. Total estimated added returns (Section B, line 5)	\$ <i>15,653</i>
2. Minus estimated total added costs (Section C, line 8)	\$ <i>14,764</i>
3. Equals profit or loss from TMR (line 1 minus line 2)	\$ 889

4. Average rate of return on investment*

Profit (line 3) \$ 889 + \$ / 812 average investment		
on new investment** + \$ 30,200 total new investment x 100 =	99	
return on initial investment	8.9	_%

- *For the change to TMR to be profitable, the percent return should be at least 7-8 percent. If providing added purchases from business earnings or savings, compare to returns from a Certificate of Deposit or other alternative. If purchases are financed, compare rate of return with cost of borrowed money.
- **Average interest on new investment is total new investments (Section A, line 1 + line 2) \$ 30,200 x 6 percent average interest rate (equivalent to 12 percent APR).



■ Issued in furtherance of Cooperative Extension Work Acts of May 8 and June 30, 1914 in cooperation with the United States Department of Agriculture. Gail L. Imig, Director, Cooperative Extension Service, University of Missouri and Lincoln University, Columbia, Missouri 65211. ■ An equal opportunity institution.