April 2003 (Vol. 52, No. 4)

FROM THE FAMILY PRACTICE INQUIRIES NETWORK

Is osteoporosis screening in postmenopausal women effective?

John Phillips, MDAlex Krist, MD Fairfax Family Practice Residency, Virginia Commonwealth University, Fairfax; Laura Wilder, MLS University of Texas Southwestern, Dallas

EVIDENCE-BASED ANSWER

No single study evaluates the effectiveness of osteoporosis screening. However, screening women over the age of 65 years—or those between 60–64 years with certain risk factors—is recommended based on available evidence.

First, osteoporosis is common, and its prevalence increases with age (strength of recommendation [SOR]: **A**—prospective cohort studies). Second, low bone mineral density predicts fracture risk (SOR: **A**—prospective cohort studies). Finally, the likelihood of osteoporotic fracture is reduced with therapy, such as alendronate 10 mg/day or risedronate 5 mg/day plus adequate daily calcium and vitamin D (SOR: **A**—meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials).

Women under 60 years should not be screened (SOR: **B**—clinical decision rule). There is no evidence to guide decisions about screening interval or at what age to stop screening. The long-term risks of newer medications used for osteoporosis are unknown.

EVIDENCE SUMMARY

Osteoporosis results in significant morbidity and mortality. In a prospective observational study of women over 50 years of age, 39.6% had osteopenia and 7.2% had osteoporosis. Osteoporosis was associated with a fracture rate 4 times that of normal bone mineral density.¹ People with vertebral or hip fractures have a reduced relative 5-year survival of 0.81. Excess mortality occurred within the first 6 months following fracture.²

One prospective cohort study identified 14 independent risk factors for hip fracture.³ The best predictors were female gender, age, low weight, and no current estrogen use. For women aged >65 years with no other risks, 12% to 28% have osteoporosis.⁴ Multiple risk assessment scales have been studied to identify women aged >65 years who are at increased risk; however, none of the scales had good discriminatory performance.⁵ As a

result, it is unclear which factors for women under 65 years should trigger screening.

While multiple technologies exist to measure bone mineral density, dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry (DEXA) has been the most validated test for predicting fractures. A meta-analysis of 11 prospective cohort trials showed that all sites of bone mineral density measurements correlated with fractures (relative risk [RR], 1.5; 95% confi-dence interval [CI], 1.4–1.6.). However, DEXA of the femoral neck predicted hip fracture better than other measures (RR, 2.6; 95% CI, 2.0–3.5).⁶

Additionally, heel ultrasonography was compa-rable with hip DEXA for predicting hip fractures for women over 65 years (probability of fracture 0.018 vs. 0.023); no studies have compared effec-tiveness for women under 65 years.

Multiple therapeutic interventions for osteo-porosis have been demonstrated to reduce frac-tures. Adequate calcium and vitamin D appear to prevent fractures. Alendronate and rise-dronate are the only prescription medications with evidence showing they prevent hip fractures.

A meta-analysis of 11 randomized controlled trials including 11,808 women found fewer hip fractures in women taking 10 mg/day of alendronate (RR, 0.51; 95% CI, 0.38–0.69; number needed to treat [NNT]=24), and fewer vertebral fractures in women taking 5 mg/day of alendronate (RR, 0.52; 95% CI, 0.43–0.65; NNT=72).⁷

For these results to apply to screening, study participants must be similar to those identified by general population screening. All trials included healthy women with low bone mineral density who were not using estrogen, which is similar to women identified by general screening. However, 57% of women recruited for the second Fracture Intervention Trial (FIT-II), the largest study, were classified as ineligible. This raises concern about the study's generalizability.⁸

The US Preventive Services Task Force did an outcomes estimation of screening effectiveness, combining all of the above data (**Table**).⁹ Screening 731 women aged 65 to 69 years would prevent 1 hip fracture if those with indications for treat-ment took it; screening 248 women would prevent 1 vertebral fracture. As the **table** demonstrates, benefits increase with age. For women under 65 years, benefits are relatively small, unless they have other risk factors for osteoporosis.

Screening outcomes		Age (years)	
	55–59	65–69	75–79
Identified with osteoporosis	445	1200	2850
Hip fracture prevented with medication	2	14	70
NNS to prevent 1 hip fracture	4338	731	143

Hip and vertebral fracture outcomes for osteoporosis screening in 10,000 postmenopausal women⁹

NNT to prevent 1 hip fracture	193	88	41
Vertebral fractures prevented	7	40	134
NNS to prevent 1 vertebral fracture	1338	248	75
NNT to prevent 1 vertebral fracture	60	30	21
The calculations in this table ass fracture by 48%, the risk of hip fr to therapy. Table modified from U	acture to 36%, ar		

RECOMMENDATIONS FROM OTHERS

Based on their outcomes model, the US Preventive Services Task Force recommends screening for women aged >65 years, and those aged 60 to 65 years who have risk factors.⁹ In 1998, the National Osteoporosis Foundation, in collaboration with many other professional organ-izations, recommended bone mineral density test-ing for all women aged >65 years and younger postmenopausal women who have had or are at risk for fractures.¹⁰ The 2000 Consensus Development Conference from the National Institutes of Health recommended an individual-ized approach to screening, stating evidence for universal osteoporosis screening is inconclusive.¹¹ The American Association of Clinical Endo-crinologists revised guidelines in 2001 to include screening younger postmenopausal women with a body weight <127 lbs or a family history of nontraumatic spine or hip fracture.¹²

CLINICAL COMMENTARY

Michael L. Lefevre, MD, MSPH

Department of Family and Community Medicine, University of Missouri-Columbia

The value of screening for osteoporosis is a much bigger issue for clinicians since the pub-lication of the Women's Health Initiative study and the consequent decline in the number of postmenopausal women using HRT. Evidence for pharmacologic prevention of fractures in women who do not meet conventional criteria for osteoporosis is lacking. Data on fracture risk with osteoporosis are short-term, and the risks and benefits of long-term treatment of women who do have osteoporosis are unknown for all of the treatment options.

The conclusion to focus our screening efforts on women aged 65 years and older, where the near-term benefits seem to clearly outweigh the risks, is certainly clinically prudent. Irrespective of our wishes, many women in their fifties are getting osteoporosis screening at health fairs or shopping malls. Although I do not encourage this age group to be

screened, when faced with results showing osteoporosis, I do still treat with a bisphosphonate, based on the trials noted above.

REFERENCES

- Siris ES, Miller PD, Barrett-Connor E, et al. Identification and fracture outcomes of undiagnosed low bone mineral density in postmenopausal women: results from the National Osteoporosis Risk Assessment. JAMA 2001;286:2815–2822.
- Cooper C, Atkinson EJ, Jacobsen SJ, O'Fallon WM, Melton LJ 3rd. Populationbased study of survival after osteo-porotic fractures. Am J Epidemiol 1993;137:1001–1005.
- Cummings SR, Nevitt MC, Browner WS, et al. Risk factors for hip fracture in white women. Study of Osteoporotic Fractures Research Group. N Engl J Med 1995;332:767–773.
- Cadarette SM, Jaglal SB, Kreiger N, McIsaac WJ, Darlington GA, Tu JV. Development and validation of the Osteoporosis Risk Assessment Instrument to facilitate selection of women for bone densitometry. CMAJ 2000;162:1289–1294.
- Cadarette SM, Jaglal SB, Murray TM, McIsaac WJ, Joseph L, Brown JP. Evaluation of decision rules for referring women for bone densitometry by dualenergy x-ray absorptiometry. JAMA 2001;286:57–63.
- Marshall D, Johnell O, Wedel H. Meta-analysis of how well measures of bone marrow density predict occurrence of osteoporotic fractures. BMJ 1996;312:1254– 1259.
- Cranney A, Tugwell P, Adachi J, et al. Meta-analyses of therapies for postmenopausal osteoporosis. III. Meta-analysis of risedronate for the treatment of postmenopausal osteoporosis. Endocr Rev 2002;23:517–523.
- Cummings SR, Black DM, Thompson DE, et al. Effect of alendronate on risk of fracture in women with low bone density but without vertebral fractures: results from the Fracture Intervention Trial. JAMA 1998;280:2077–2082.
- Nelson HD, Helfand M, Woolf SH, Allan JD. Screening for postmenopausal osteoporosis: a review of the evidence for the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. Ann Intern Med 2002;137:529–541.
- Physicians Guide to Prevention and Treatment of Osteoporosis. National Osteoporosis Foundation. Wash-ington, DC: National Osteoporosis Foundation; 1999. Available at: www.nof.org/physguide. Accessed on February 24, 2003.
- Osteoporosis prevention, diagnosis, and therapy. NIH Consensus Statement.
 2000; 17:1–45. Available at: http://odp.od.nih.gov/consensus/cons/111/111_statement.htm. Accessed on February 24, 2003.
- American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists. 2001 Medical Guidelines for Clinical Practice for the Prevention and Management of Postmenopausal Osteoporosis. Available at: www.aace.com/clin/guidelines/osteoporosis2001.pdf. Accessed on February 24, 2003.

THE JOURNAL OF FAMILY PRACTICE ©2003 Dowden Health Media