

Lingueteca activities


Diana Santos
Luís Costa
www.lingueteca.pt



Telecom and Informatics

Purpose of the talk


- Introduce *Lingueteca*
 - as a SINTEF project
 - as an international organization
- Show work done
- Propose contact points with SINTEF and 4030



Telecom and Informatics

History at SINTEF


- May 1998 The *Computational Processing of Portuguese* project is launched (as a two-year special project)
- May 2000 The *Computational Processing of Portuguese* project is extended as an ordinary SINTEF project for three more years, whose goals also include the launching of a larger (virtual) organization
- February 2002 The name is changed to *Lingueteca* and the whole project redesigned so that it should last until 2006



Telecom and Informatics

What is Linguateca

- Improve Portuguese processing
 - Dissemination
 - Resource creation
 - Evaluation
- A virtual organization with four nodes
 - Oslo, Braga, Lisbon, Oporto, ... 5 full-time, 3 part-time workers
 - Collaboration partners in more locations: Odense, Lisbon, São Carlos, Porto Alegre, ...
- A follow-up of the *Computational Processing of Portuguese* project, created in 1998, by the then Ministry of Science and Technology




Telecom and Informatics

The Linguateca context

- Customers: the Portuguese authorities
- Primary users: the NLP, HLT, LE, CL community dealing with the Portuguese language
- Other users: researchers and teachers of Portuguese; IR people
- Goal: improve the work and the results of the product developers and language researchers, so that the whole Portuguese-speaking community could later on benefit


NLP: natural language processing; HLT: human language technology;
LE: language engineering; CL: computational linguistics



Telecom and Informatics

Assumptions of Linguateca

- First things first
 - Find out what are the problems and bottlenecks of Portuguese processing
- International entities or bodies cannot solve our problems
 - In any case not better than us
 - Resource building is time consuming, and "market driven"
- Language (and not region, or nation) should be the unit for natural language processing
 - So Brazil and Portugal should cooperate closely
- Public resources are a must for scientific progress
 - There are enough barriers already



Telecom and Informatics

Linguateca activities

- Dissemination of information and resources on Portuguese processing
 - Web catalogue with a dedicated search engine
 - Forum and a contact service
- Creation of publically available language resources
 - Making the available resources more available: Web services
 - Creating new ones: both Web and physical access
- Promotion of joint evaluation using the evaluation contest or evaluation campaign model
 - Web site and discussion list [avalia]
 - Organization of a workshop (June 2002) and a conference (AVALON' 2003)
 - Organization of the first evaluation contest for Portuguese: *Morfolimpiadas*

Dissemination: some numbers

- size of site
 - 1,047 Web pages
 - 1,392 resource links
 - 643 own documentation
 - 723 publication entries
- size of audience (1st May 2003)
 - number of visits: 926,887
 - number of queries to our on-line services: 50,954
- size of recognition
 - 685 Web pointers to us
- published papers or reports, and other presentations
 - 24 (+2) in Portuguese; 15 (+4) in English

Creation of language resources

- copyright clearing
- creation
- programming resource specific tools
- testing
- version dealing
- producing information and documentation
- evaluation
 - does it meet the goals?
 - is it being correctly used?
- giving support

Resource creation and dissemination

- AC/DC: querying a variety of (annotated) corpora
 - developed outside (rights obtained), or in-house
- COMPARA: querying English-Portuguese
- CETEMPúblico and CETENFolha: large amounts of newspaper language, divided in extracts and scrambled
- *Floresta Sintá(c)tica*: manually revised syntactically analysed text
- Web services
 - AC/DC service collection
 - DISPARA
 - Águia
 - AnELL (Lisbon): morphosyntactic tagging of private texts
 - GC (Oporto): comparable corpora environment (English-Portuguese)

COMPARA

- On-going collaboration with Ana Frankenberg-Garcia
- Text team (Lisbon) and engineering team (Oslo): email communication; clearly defined workflow, with at least six steps for each text pair
- A general Web system for parallel corpora, DISPARA, evolved
- Currently 29 text pairs; 36 in the processing queue
- 12,500 queries since May 2000 from all over the world

<http://www.linguateca.pt/COMPARA>

Floresta Sintá(c)tica

The first treebank for Portuguese

- Collaboration with Eckhard Bick and the VISL project (Odense)
- Main activities: October 2000 to December 2001; a few things added afterwards
- Workflow: a complex process with several revision steps and three different automatic modules (a parser, a tree transducer and a CQP converter)
- Tools: Pica-Pau, a tree editor; Águia, a Web interface
- Resource: 1,500 trees (ca. 35,000 words) in phrase structure format and in CG dependency format, both Web searchable and downloadable
- Sub-projects: inter-annotator test; sentence separation evaluation; streamlined revision using Águia; use as golden standard in Morfolimpiadas
- Status: waiting for renovation; discussion in Avalon' 2003

<http://www.linguateca.pt/Floresta/>

Evaluation

- The most challenging task
- History:
 - Tutorial on evaluation of NLP systems in Atibaia (Brazil), 2000
 - Some papers on resource and problem evaluation (2001, 2002)
 - Movement with a Web site and a dedicated mailing-list in 2002
 - Preparatory encounter dedicated to "joint evaluation" June 2002
 - Morfolimpiadas
 - Trial in September 2002 - March 2003
 - Contest May-June 2003
 - Avalon'2003
 - Named entity recognition
 - Portuguese IR
 - Machine translation and alignment
 - Some syntax evaluation

Morfolimpiadas: cooperatively evaluating morphological analysers for Portuguese

- Evaluation contest paradigm
 - Importance for science and for community building
 - Shared task, consensual result, objective measures, knowledgeable organization
- Why morphology
 - Mildly inflected language (70 verb forms)
 - Simple and well defined (?) problem, no infinite set of members
 - Traditionally the first module in a set of NLP tools
 - The task for which there was greater interest
- Goals
 - Exemplify the paradigm with a relatively short schedule
 - Assess the state of the art in morphology (also looking at tokenization)
 - Measure the problem

1.^{as} Morfolimpiadas: overview

- Seven participating systems, out of 16-20 out there
 - 3 Portugal 2 Brazil 2 Int
 - 5 "real" morphological analysers, 1 spellchecker and 1 stemmer
- Organization: Linguateca Oslo (+Oporto+contractors)
- Setup:
 - Registration, providing some data
 - Ran their system over 80,000 running text words, in three different formats
 - Processing:

uts.SYSTEM_def.preze.ze.hi.gr.un.le

Zebras: transform into an internal format



- Every system (with a wildly different output format) is turned into "zebraic" format
- Every zebra output is apparently similar but intriguingly different
- Zebras may still require hienas to deal with complex issues (clitics and contractions)
- Zebra programming requires a full understanding of the high and low level details of the systems (underlying linguistic conception, tokenization behaviour)

Further processing

- Grammatical analyses are turned into one analysis named GRAM
- Some sets of always ambiguous interpretations in the verbal paradigm are turned into one
 - first and third person singular of some tenses
 - personal and impersonal infinitive
 - third person plural of Perfeito and Mais que perfeito
- Numbers are dealt with in a simple form
- Punctuation marks and proper names are handled to yield a hopefully more similar output
- Tokenization problems are dealt with to some extent

Leos: tearing files to pieces



- Distribution by text
- Distribution by variant
- Distribution by genre
- Distribution by medium
- Rationale:
Is system performance correlated with type of text? Variant?

System's signature

- No. of tokens
- No. of analyses
- Distribution of analyses per form
- Distribution of PoS ambiguity
- Distribution of lemma ambiguity
- No. of verbs
 - No. of tokens which can be analysed as verbs
 - No. of verb analyses
- No. of guessed analyses
- No. of derived analyses
- ...

System comparison

- Qualitative: different kinds of information
- Using the raw output
 - ranking systems in terms of tokenization, verbishness, etc. indexed per text genre, variant, etc.
- Using a golden list: a set of manually agreed upon "right answers" to input forms
 - (Extremely) time consuming task
 - Large room for disagreement
 - Several decision sources (dictionaries, Web, own intuition)
 - Large gray zones (foreign terms, colloquial language, specialized words, PoS classification vs. the flexibility of natural language, common faults, tokenization)
- Using sets of cleverly chosen forms from the automatic output conflation

Domadores: still more is required

- Partially agreeing pieces of information (systems more informative than others)
- ADJ of kind t3 : Noun and Adj
- VPP vs ADJ: VPP and ADJ: only VPP
 - amado vs. *amada* VPP amar



Reducing information

Adding information

Challenge(s) with *Morfolimpiadas*

- Produce informative and intuitively satisfying measures
- Satisfy participants while at the same time showing problems and remaining work
- Produce quantitative and qualitative data that can be used beyond the actual contest
- Make it interesting enough to have further contests in the future, with more participants (e.g. from industry) and maybe several tracks
- Reuse the experience gained in the organization of other evaluation contests

Concluding remarks

- Research as a goal? No, this is a political, facilitating project
- Research as a precondition
- Research as a side effect
- (Development and maintenance) and (observation and contact) are the main keywords
- Evaluation of activity
 - Remarkable increase in number of public resources
 - Large maintained site with a considerable number of visits
 - Occurrence of the first evaluation contest for Portuguese
- Problems
 - Too few people for too large an endeavour