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## Evaluation

- One can never overemphasize its importance
- Evaluation relative to a particular language
- Are aligners something that is worthwhile to evaluate in itself?
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The importance of evaluation

- Evaluation is itself a first-class research activity: creation of effective evaluation methods drives more rapid progress and better communication within a research community (Hirschman, 1988:302f)
- (Johansson, 1976?)
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## Relative to language

- Language-independence is a myth
- Good strategies for one language do not necessarily carry through to other languages
- It is important to evaluate in a particular language in context, beyond "general" evaluation

Santos (1999)

## Evaluation of alignment

- Gaizauskas (1998:252) distinguishes between user-visible vs. user-transparent tasks : Clearly alignment is a usertransparent task
- Simard et al. (2000:38), however, states that "[bilingual text alignment] has in fact become a key element leading to recent advances in translation automation"
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## The COMPARA/DISPARA project

- Initiative of Ana Frankenberg-Garcia (AFG), implementation in collaboration with our project
COMPARA is an English-Portuguese parallel corpus of originals and translations in the 2 directions
- DISPARA is a Web interface developed in connection with the COMPARA corpus, to make parallel corpora available through the Web

The process

Textual data ranges from simple text to SGML
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Information added: from paragraph marking to parsing the text


## Distributing corpora on the Web
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## Creation of COMPARA

- Choice of texts and copyright clearance: AFG
- From raw to Web searchable
- initial preparation of the texts: AFG
- preliminary encoding and alignment: CPP
- alignment revision and annotation: AFG
- final encoding: CPP
- Interface (DISPARA) design
- functionalities, user requirements: both
- Web page design: AFG
- implementation: CPP
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## DISPARA's look \& feel



## Hofland's aligner vs. EasyAlign <br> ...from a user's perspective

- Human input required • No human input
- Anchor list required • No anchor list
- Adds <s corresp> tags • Encodes in CQP format
- Easy to change manually the result
- Expects human revision
DOS
Both cases are far from easy to evaluate !!!
- Requires programming to change/inspect results
- Human revision implies second pass
- Unix
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## Example of EasyAlign output

```
Displaying alignment for [teste-i, teste] from file 'testeni.alin'
Dispiaying auignm
>> 1:1-alignment [0,9] x [0, 11] (169)========================-=-
beneath Flaubert's statue . boule sob a estattua de Flaubert.
```



```
Clean cracks sounded over the grumble As pancauas secas ouviam-se sobre
of jammed traffic. [00] [ [386, 421] (255), ruido do trânsito congestionado.
```



```
coxa he Flaubert teve de ser remendac
is moustache have fallen off:
structural wires poke out like twigs
from a concrete stub on his upper lip
coxa de Flaubert teve de ser remendad
e cairam farripas do bigode . No lábio
como ramos de um toro de cimento.
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```


## Evaluation

- First phase (Santos \& Oksefjell 2000)
- Basic quantitative measures
- Full anchor list; full corpus
- Second phase
- More linguistically motivated evaluation
- Comparison with other published evaluations


## S\&O 2000's reported evaluations

1. Estimate the revision and correction involved for a given set of E-P texts
2. Estimate the contribution of the anchor word list to the above process
3. Look at the content of the anchor word list, for each entry and for each pair

Interesting findings (S\&O 2000)

- Many independent indicators make for a robust performance
- Cross-categorial match

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { nation.*? / ((país.*?)(naç.*?)(naciona.*?)) } \\
& \text { imagin.*? / imagin.*? }
\end{aligned}
$$

- Success not always linguistically motivated
- not expected
- wrong match; right alignment


## Unexpected hits (S\&O 2000)

buil.* / constru.*

- Once the job is finished the builders are killed
- Terminada a obra, os construtores serão mortos.
earli.* / cedo:
- She worked harder than anybody else, got up earlier, came to bed long after the others
- Ela trabalhava mais que qualquer um, acordava cedo, era a que ia dormir mais tarde.
crim.* / crim.*:
- ... arrived in Sicily from somewhere far away, perhaps the Crimea, and within a few days ...
- ... chegou à Sicília vinda de um lugar distante, talvez da Criméia, 2006-10-10 e em poucos dias ...


## Hits by chance (S\&O 2000)

## look.* / olh.*

- which makes my face look pallid and ill, with circles under the eyes. - que dá à minha cara um aspecto pálido e doentio, com olheiras.
be / ((ser)|(estar))
- one will always be a stranger
- um ser ('being', n.) humano sempre será um estranho
couple.* / par.*
- After a couple of years to settle down,...
- Depois de alguns anos para ('for') se estabelecer, ...
little / pequen.*
- was a little group of children, the eldest girl wheeling a pushchair with two smaller children,
- ia um grupo de crianças, a mais velha a empurrar um carrinho, com 2006-1duas crianças mais pequenas, uma de cada lado


## Concluding remarks (S\&O 2000)

- Evaluation is a time and resource consuming task
- The strengths of an NLP system may not depend crucially on the quality of the linguistic information
- A language-dependent system may not be that language-dependent after all
- Decrease of performance by adapting to a new language pair is hard to measure
- Such a detailed study pinpoints interesting areas of textual differences between the two languages, and thus areas for contrastive research


## Interesting research questions

- Are grammatical or lexical clues the best anchors?
- How much does crosscategorial translation help?
- Does translation direction play a role?
- What kind of translation profile is best for alignment?

