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# REMARKS ON BLOW UP TIME FOR SOLUTIONS OF A NONLINEAR DIFFUSION SYSTEM WITH TIME DEPENDENT COEFFICIENTS 
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#### Abstract

We investigate the blow-up of the solutions to a nonlinear parabolic system with Robin boundary conditions and time dependent coefficients. We derive sufficient conditions on the nonlinearities and the initial data in order to obtain explicit lower and upper bounds for the blow up time $t^{*}$.


1. Introduction. The blow-up phenomena for solutions to linear and nonlinear parabolic equations and systems have been widely studied and different methods have been introduced in order to find bounds for blow-up time $t^{*}$. We refer to the books of Straughan [11] and Quittner-Souplet [10], and the papers of Vazquez [12] and Weissler [13], [14]. Recently Payne, Philippin, Schaefer in [5][6] and Payne, Philippin and Vernier-Piro in [7]-[8] (see also references therein) have considered nonlinear boundary value problems under different boundary

[^0]conditions, deriving lower and upper bounds for the blow-up time $t^{*}$ as well as sufficient conditions for global existence of the solutions.

In this paper we investigate the blow-up phenomena of the classical solution $(u(x, t), v(x, t))$ of the following Robin system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\Delta u+K_{1}(t) f_{1}(v)=u_{t} \quad \text { in } \Omega \times\left(0, t^{*}\right)  \tag{1.1}\\
\Delta v+K_{2}(t) f_{2}(u)=v_{t} \quad \text { in } \Omega \times\left(0, t^{*}\right) \\
\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}(x, t)=-\alpha u, \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega \times\left(0, t^{*}\right) \\
\frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu}(x, t)=-\beta v, \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega \times\left(0, t^{*}\right) \\
u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x) \geq 0, \quad \text { on } \Omega \\
v(x, 0)=v_{0}(x) \geq 0, \quad \text { on } \Omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\Omega$ is a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}, N \geq 2$ with $\partial \Omega$ sufficiently smooth, the coefficients $K_{1}(t), K_{2}(t)$ and $f_{1}, f_{2}$ positive functions and $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}, \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu}$ are the outward normal derivative of the vector-valued solution $(u, v)$ on the boundary $\partial \Omega$, $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are two positive constants satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq \alpha \leq \beta \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The initial data $u_{0}(x), v_{0}(x)$ are nonnegative smooth functions, such that $\frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial \nu}=-\alpha u_{0}, \frac{\partial v_{0}}{\partial \nu}=-\beta v_{0}, x \in \partial \Omega$, while $t^{*}$ stands for the blow-up time if blow up occurs, otherwise $t^{*}=\infty$. It is well known that the solution can fail to exist only by blowing up at finite time ([1], [2]) and the geometry of the domain $\Omega$, the nonlinearities, the boundary data and the initial conditions greatly affect the evolution in time of the solution.

We note that $u$ and $v$ are non negative in $x$ and $t \in\left(0, t^{*}\right)$ by the parabolic maximum principle applied to the the system (1.1).

The main aim of this paper is to derive explicit upper and lower bounds for the blow-up time for the solution of (1.1). We remark that if $K_{1}, K_{2}$ are constant, bounds for the blow-up time are derived in [3], where on $\partial \Omega \times\left(0, t^{*}\right)$ $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}=g_{1}(u), \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu}=g_{2}(v), g_{1}, g_{2}$ positive functions.

For systems with Dirichlet and Neumann boundary conditions we refer to [3] and [9].

We consider another class of parabolic systems with Robin type boundary conditions in [4], where sufficient conditions are introduced in order to obtain
global existence of the solution as well as upper and lower bounds for the blowup, if blow-up occurs.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we derive a lower bound for the blow up time $t^{*}$ under suitable conditions on data and for convex domain in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. In Section 3 we remove the restriction on the domain and under alternative conditions on data an upper bound is obtained.

Throughout the paper the notations $u_{i}=\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}, i=1, \ldots, N$ and $(\cdot)^{\prime}$ for the derivative of the coefficients will be used and the summation convention over repeated indexes will be assumed.
2. Lower bound. Under suitable conditions on non linearities and $\Omega$ we get a differential inequality and we derive a lower bound for $t^{*}$.

To this end we will use a Sobolev-type inequality introduced in [3] which plays an important role in deriving the lower bound. However, it must be noted that the inequality holds only if we consider our domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$.

Let us suppose $K_{1} \leq K_{2}$ and we define the auxiliary function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(t)=K_{2}^{2}(t) \int_{\Omega}\left(u^{2}+v^{2}\right)^{2 p} d x, \quad p>1 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We say that $(u, v)$ blows up in $\Phi$-measure when

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow t^{*}} \Phi(t)=\infty
$$

We now prove the following result
Theorem 2.1. Let $(u, v)$ be the solution of (1.1), in a convex domain $\Omega \subset R^{3}$, blowing up at time $t^{*}$ in $\Phi$-measure. Assume that there exists a positive constant $C_{0}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u f_{1}(v)+v f_{2}(u) \leq C_{0}\left(u^{2}+v^{2}\right)^{p+1} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover assume that $K_{2}(t)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{K_{2}^{\prime}(t)}{K_{2}(t)} \leq \delta, \quad \delta>0 \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the solution blows up in the $\Phi$-measure and

$$
\begin{equation*}
t^{*} \geq T:=\int_{\Phi(0)}^{\infty} \frac{d \eta}{\phi(\eta)} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\phi(\Phi)=\sum_{i=1}^{3}\left(\xi_{i} \Phi^{h_{i}}\right)$ with some if not all $h_{i}$ greater than one.
Proof. We compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi^{\prime}(t)= & 2\left(\frac{K_{2}^{\prime}}{K_{2}}\right) K_{2}^{2} \int_{\Omega}\left(u^{2}+v^{2}\right)^{2 p}+4 p K_{2}^{2} \int_{\Omega}\left(u^{2}+v^{2}\right)^{2 p-1}(u \Delta u+v \Delta v) d x \\
& +4 p K_{2}^{2} \int_{\Omega}\left(u^{2}+v^{2}\right)^{2 p-1}\left[K_{1} u f_{1}(v)+K_{2} v f_{2}(u)\right] d x
\end{aligned}
$$

Now by (2.2), (2.3) and the assumption $K_{1} \leq K_{2}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi^{\prime}(t) \leq & 2 \delta \Phi+4 p K_{2}^{2} \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div}\left[\left(u^{2}+v^{2}\right)^{2 p-1}(u \nabla u+v \nabla v)\right] d x \\
& -8 p(2 p-1) K_{2}^{2} \int_{\Omega}\left(u^{2}+v^{2}\right)^{2 p-2}(u \nabla u+v \nabla v)(u \nabla u+v \nabla v) d x \\
& -4 p K_{2}^{2} \int_{\Omega}\left(u^{2}+v^{2}\right)^{2 p-1}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+|\nabla v|^{2}\right) d x+4 p C_{0} K_{2}^{3} \int_{\Omega}\left(u^{2}+v^{2}\right)^{3 p} d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now by divergence theorem and boundary conditions in (1.1) and assumption (1.2) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Phi^{\prime}(t) \leq 2 \delta \Phi-4 p K_{2}^{2} \alpha \int_{\partial \Omega}\left(u^{2}+v^{2}\right)^{2 p} d s  \tag{2.5}\\
& \quad-8 p(2 p-1) K_{2}^{2} \int_{\Omega}\left(u^{2}+v^{2}\right)^{2 p-2}(u \nabla u+v \nabla v)(u \nabla u+v \nabla v) d x \\
& \quad-4 p K_{2}^{2} \int_{\Omega}\left(u^{2}+v^{2}\right)^{2 p-1}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+|\nabla v|^{2}\right) d x+4 p C_{0} K_{2}^{3} \int_{\Omega}\left(u^{2}+v^{2}\right)^{3 p} d x
\end{align*}
$$

Now we neglect the second (negative) term in (2.5), and we use the inequality $\left(u^{2}+v^{2}\right)\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+|\nabla v|^{2}\right) \geq(u \nabla u+v \nabla v)(u \nabla u+v \nabla v)$ in the fourth term to have

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi^{\prime}(t) \leq & 2 \delta \Phi-4 p(4 p-1) K_{2}^{2} \int_{\Omega}\left(u^{2}+v^{2}\right)^{2 p-2}(u \nabla u+v \nabla v)(u \nabla u+v \nabla v) d x  \tag{2.6}\\
& +4 p C_{0} K_{2}^{3} \int_{\Omega}\left(u^{2}+v^{2}\right)^{3 p} d x
\end{align*}
$$

For simplicity we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=\left(u^{2}+v^{2}\right)^{p} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{i} V_{i}=4 p^{2}\left(u^{2}+v^{2}\right)^{2 p-2}(u \nabla u+v \nabla v)(u \nabla u+v \nabla v) . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

By plugging (2.7) and (2.8) in (2.6) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi^{\prime}(t) \leq 2 \delta \Phi-\left(4-\frac{1}{p}\right) K_{2}^{2} \int_{\Omega} V_{i} V_{i}+4 p C_{0} K_{2}^{3} \int_{\Omega} V^{3} d x \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the third term in (2.9) we use the inequality

$$
\int_{\Omega} V^{3} d x \leq\left\{\frac{3}{2 \rho_{0}} \int_{\Omega} V^{2} d x+\left(1+\frac{d}{\rho_{0}}\right)\left(\int_{\Omega} V^{2} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{\Omega}|\nabla V|^{2} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\}^{\frac{3}{2}}
$$

with

$$
\rho_{0}=\min _{\partial \Omega}\left(x_{i} \cdot \nu_{i}\right), \quad d^{2}=\max _{\bar{\Omega}}\left(x_{i} \cdot x_{i}\right)
$$

obtained by application of a Sobolev type inequality derived in [8] Lemma A.2, valid only in a convex domain $\subset R^{3}$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
K_{2}^{3}(t) \int_{\Omega} V^{3} d x & \leq\left\{\frac{3}{2 \rho_{0}} K_{2}^{2} \int_{\Omega} V^{2} d x\right.  \tag{2.10}\\
& \left.+\left(1+\frac{d}{\rho_{0}}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\tau} K_{2}^{2} \int_{\Omega} V^{2} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\tau K_{2}^{2} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla V|^{2} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\}^{\frac{3}{2}}
\end{align*}
$$

$\tau>0$ a suitable constant to be chosen later on.
In (2.10) we use the basic inequality $(a+b)^{\frac{3}{2}} \leq \sqrt{2}\left(a^{\frac{3}{2}}+b^{\frac{3}{2}}\right)$ and we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
K_{2}^{3}(t) \int_{\Omega} V^{3} d x \leq \sqrt{2} & \left(\frac{3}{2 \rho_{0}}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \Phi^{\frac{3}{2}}  \tag{2.11}\\
& +\sqrt{2}\left(1+\frac{d}{\rho_{0}}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\tau} \Phi\right)^{\frac{3}{4}}\left(\tau K_{2}^{2} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla V|^{2} d x\right)^{\frac{3}{4}}
\end{align*}
$$

Now we use the inequality $a^{r} b^{s} \leq r a+s b, r+s=1$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& K_{2}^{3} \int_{\Omega} V^{3} d x \leq \frac{3^{\frac{3}{2}}}{2 \rho_{0}^{\frac{3}{2}}} \Phi^{\frac{3}{2}}+\frac{1}{2^{\frac{3}{2}} \tau^{3}}\left(1+\frac{d}{\rho_{0}}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \Phi^{3}  \tag{2.12}\\
& +\left(\frac{3}{2^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right)\left(1+\frac{d}{\rho_{0}}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \tau K_{2}^{2} \int_{\Omega} V_{i} V_{i} d x=C_{1} \Phi^{\frac{3}{2}}+C_{2} \Phi^{3}+C_{3} \tau K_{2}^{2} \int_{\Omega} V_{i} V_{i} d x
\end{align*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{1}=\frac{3^{\frac{3}{2}}}{2 \rho_{0}^{\frac{3}{2}}}, \quad C_{2}=\frac{1}{2^{\frac{3}{2}} \tau^{3}}\left(1+\frac{d}{\rho_{0}}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}, \quad C_{3}=\frac{3}{2^{\frac{3}{2}}}\left(1+\frac{d}{\rho_{0}}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inserting (2.12) in (2.9) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi^{\prime}(t) \leq 2 \delta \Phi-\left(4-\frac{1}{p}-4 p C_{3} C_{0} \tau\right) K_{2}^{2} \int_{\Omega} V_{i} V_{i}+4 p C_{1} C_{0} \Phi^{\frac{3}{2}}+4 p C_{2} C_{0} \Phi^{3} \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

By choosing $\tau=\frac{4-\frac{1}{p}}{4 p C_{3} C_{0}}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi^{\prime}(t) \leq \xi_{1} \Phi+\xi_{2} \Phi^{\frac{3}{2}}+\xi_{3} \Phi^{3}=\phi(\Phi) \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\xi_{1}=2 \delta, \xi_{2}=4 p C_{1} C_{0}, \xi_{3}=4 p C_{2} C_{0}$.
Then if $\Phi$ blows up at time $t^{*}$, there exists a time $t_{0}$ such that $\Phi(t)>\Phi(0)$ and by integration from 0 to $t^{*}$, we obtain the following lower bound for $t^{*}$

$$
\int_{\Phi(0)}^{\infty} \frac{d \eta}{\phi(\eta)} \leq t^{*}-t_{0} \leq t^{*}
$$

which is the desired lower bound (2.4).
3. Upper bound. We seek in this section an upper bound for the blow up time $t^{*}$ by defining the following auxiliary function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi(t):=\chi_{1}(t)+\chi_{2}(t)=K_{1}(t)^{\frac{1}{q-1}} \int_{\Omega} u \varphi_{1} d x+K_{2}(t)^{\frac{1}{q-1}} \int_{\Omega} v \varphi_{1} d x \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varphi_{1}$ is the first eigenfunction of the following membrane problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\Delta \varphi_{1}+\lambda_{1} \varphi_{1}=0, \quad \varphi_{1}>0, \quad \text { in } \Omega  \tag{3.2}\\
\frac{\partial \varphi_{1}}{\partial \nu}=-\beta \varphi_{1}, \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} \varphi_{1} d x=1 \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\beta$ in (1.1) satisfying (1.2). We prove the following
Theorem 3.1. Let $(u, v)$ be the solution of (1.1), in a bounded domain $\Omega$ in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. Let $K(t)=\min \left\{K_{1}(t), K_{2}(t)\right\}$. Assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{i}(s) \geq s^{q} \quad q>1, \quad i=1,2 \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{K_{i}^{\prime}}{K_{i}} \geq \tilde{\gamma} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\tilde{\gamma}$ a positive constant. Assume moreover that there exists a constant $\bar{\gamma}$ with $0<\bar{\gamma} \leq 1$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{K}{K_{i}} \geq \bar{\gamma} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $(u, v)$ becomes unbounded in $\chi$ measure at some finite time $t^{*}$, then $t^{*}$ is bounded from above by $T$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=\int_{\chi(0)}^{\infty} \frac{d \eta}{\gamma_{2} \eta^{q}-\gamma_{1} \eta} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\gamma_{1}=\lambda_{1}-\frac{\tilde{\gamma}}{q-1}, \quad \gamma_{2}=2^{1-q} \bar{\gamma}^{\frac{q}{q-1}}
$$

provided

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{2} \chi^{q-1}(0)>\gamma_{1} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By derivative of (3.1) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\chi^{\prime}(t)= & \frac{1}{q-1}\left(\frac{K_{1}^{\prime}}{K_{1}}\right) K_{1}^{\frac{1}{q-1}} \int_{\Omega} u \varphi_{1} d x+\frac{1}{q-1}\left(\frac{K_{2}^{\prime}}{K_{2}}\right) K_{2}^{\frac{1}{q-1}} \int_{\Omega} v \varphi_{1} d x  \tag{3.9}\\
& +K_{1}^{\frac{1}{q-1}} \int_{\Omega} u_{t} \varphi_{1} d x+K_{2}^{\frac{1}{q-1}} \int_{\Omega} v_{t} \varphi_{1} d x
\end{align*}
$$

By applying in (3.9) the condition (3.5) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\chi^{\prime}(t) \geq & \frac{\tilde{\gamma}}{q-1} \chi+K_{1}^{\frac{1}{q-1}} \int_{\Omega} \Delta u \varphi_{1} d x+K_{2}^{\frac{1}{q-1}} \int_{\Omega} \Delta v \varphi_{1} d x  \tag{3.10}\\
& +K_{1}^{\frac{q}{q-1}} \int_{\Omega} f_{1} \varphi_{1} d x+K_{2}^{\frac{q}{q-1}} \int_{\Omega} f_{2} \varphi_{1} d x
\end{align*}
$$

We compute

$$
\int_{\Omega} \Delta u \varphi_{1} d x=\int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div}\left[\nabla\left(u \varphi_{1}\right)\right] d x-\int_{\Omega} u \Delta \varphi_{1} d x-2 \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla \varphi_{1} d x .
$$

By the equation in (3.2), the divergence theorem and boundary condition in (3.2) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} \Delta u \varphi_{1} d x=(\beta-\alpha) \int_{\partial \Omega} u \varphi_{1} d s-\lambda_{1} \int_{\Omega} u \varphi_{1} d x \geq-\lambda_{1} \int_{\Omega} u \varphi_{1} d x \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

analogously, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} \Delta v \varphi_{1} d x=-\lambda_{1} \int_{\Omega} v \varphi_{1} d x \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

By inserting (3.11) and (3.12) in (3.10) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\chi^{\prime}(t) \geq & \frac{\tilde{\gamma}}{q-1} \chi-\lambda_{1}\left[K_{1}^{\frac{1}{q-1}} \int_{\Omega} u \varphi_{1} d x+K_{2}^{\frac{1}{q-1}} \int_{\Omega} v \varphi_{1} d x\right]  \tag{3.13}\\
& +K_{1}^{\frac{q}{q-1}} \int_{\Omega} f_{1} \varphi_{1} d x+K_{2}^{\frac{q}{q-1}} \int_{\Omega} f_{2} \varphi_{1} d x \\
= & -\left(\lambda_{1}-\frac{\tilde{\gamma}}{q-1}\right) \chi+K_{1}^{\frac{q}{q-1}} \int_{\Omega} v^{q} \varphi_{1} d x+K_{2}^{\frac{q}{q-1}} \int_{\Omega} u^{q} \varphi_{1} d x
\end{align*}
$$

In the last two terms of (3.13) we used (3.4), and by expression of $K(t)$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi^{\prime}(t) \geq-\gamma_{1} \chi+K^{\frac{q}{q-1}} \int_{\Omega}\left(u^{q}+v^{q}\right) \varphi_{1} d x \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\gamma_{1}=\lambda_{1}-\frac{\tilde{\gamma}}{q-1}$.
To estimate $\int_{\Omega}\left(u^{q}+v^{q}\right) \varphi_{1} d x$ we use Hölder inequality and (3.3)

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\int_{\Omega} u^{q} \varphi_{1} d x & \geq\left(\int_{\Omega} u \varphi_{1} d x\right)^{q}  \tag{3.15}\\
\int_{\Omega} v^{q} \varphi_{1} d x & \geq\left(\int_{\Omega} v \varphi_{1} d x\right)^{q}
\end{align*}\right.
$$

By substitution of (3.15) in (3.14) we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi^{\prime}(t) \geq-\gamma_{1} \chi+K^{\frac{q}{q-1}}\left\{\left(\int_{\Omega} u \varphi_{1} d x\right)^{q}+\left(\int_{\Omega} v \varphi_{1} d x\right)^{q}\right\} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
=-\gamma_{1} \chi+\left(\frac{K}{K_{1}}\right)^{\frac{q}{q-1}} \chi_{1}^{q}+\left(\frac{K}{K_{2}}\right)^{\frac{q}{q-1}} \chi_{2}^{q}
$$

By inserting in the last term of (3.16) the assumption (3.6), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi^{\prime}(t) \geq-\gamma_{1} \chi+\bar{\gamma}^{\frac{q}{q-1}}\left(\chi_{1}^{q}+\chi_{2}^{q}\right) \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using in (3.17) the inequality $\chi_{1}^{q}+\chi_{2}^{q} \geq 2^{1-q}\left(\chi_{1}+\chi_{2}\right)^{q}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi^{\prime}(t) \geq-\gamma_{1} \chi+\gamma_{2} \chi^{q} \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\gamma_{2}=2^{1-q} \bar{\gamma}^{\frac{q}{q-1}}$.
Since (3.8) holds we conclude that $\chi(t)$ is an increasing function of $t \in$ $\left(0, t^{*}\right)$ and that by integration of (3.18) from 0 to $t$ we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\chi(0)}^{\chi(t)} \frac{d \eta}{\gamma_{2} \eta^{q}-\gamma_{1} \eta} \geq t \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we obtain the upper bound for $t^{*}$ :

$$
t^{*} \leq \int_{\chi(0)}^{\infty} \frac{d \eta}{\eta\left(\gamma_{2} \eta^{q-1}-\gamma_{1}\right)}
$$
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