

Growth Theorem and the Radius of Starlikeness of Close-to-Spirallike Functions

*Yaşar Polatoğlu*¹, *Melike Aydoğan*², *Arzu Yemisci*³

Presented at 6th International Conference “TMSF’ 2011”

Let A be the class of all analytic functions in the open unit disc $\mathbb{D} = \{z \mid |z| < 1\}$ of the form $f(z) = z + a_2z^2 + a_3z^3 + \dots$. Let $g(z)$ be an element of A and satisfy the condition $Re(e^{i\alpha} \frac{g'(z)}{g(z)}) > 0$ for some α , $|\alpha| < \frac{\pi}{2}$. Then $g(z)$ is said to be α -spirallike. Such functions are known to be univalent in \mathbb{D} . It was shown by L. Spacek [11], that the α -spirallike functions are univalent in $|z| < 1$.

Let S_α^* denote the class of all functions $g(z)$ satisfying the above condition for a given α . A function $f(z) \in A$ is called close-to- α spirallike, if there exists a function $g(z)$ in S_α^* such that $Re(\frac{f(z)}{g(z)}) > 0$. The class of such functions is denoted by S_α^*K .

The aim of this paper is to give a growth theorem and the radius of starlikeness of the class S_α^*K .

AMS Subj. Classification: 30C45

Key Words: close-to- α spirallike functions, growth theorem, radius of starlikeness

1. Introduction

Let Ω be the family of functions $\phi(z)$ which are regular in \mathbb{D} and satisfying the condition $\phi(0) = 0$, $|\phi(z)| < 1$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$. The family of functions $p(z) = 1 + p_1z + p_2z^2 + \dots$ analytic in \mathbb{D} , and satisfying the conditions $p(0) = 1$, $Re p(z) > 0$ is denoted by \mathcal{P} such that $p(z)$ in \mathcal{P} if and only if

$$p(z) = \frac{1 + \phi(z)}{1 - \phi(z)} \tag{1.1}$$

for some $\phi(z) \in \Omega$, and every $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Then we say that $p(z) \in \mathcal{P}$ is the Caratheodory function, see [1].

Next, let A be the family of functions which are analytic in the open unit disc $\mathbb{D} = \{z : |z| < 1\}$. Let $g(z)$ be an element of A and satisfying the condition,

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(e^{i\alpha} \frac{g'(z)}{g(z)}\right) > 0 \quad (1.2)$$

for some α , $|\alpha| < \frac{1}{2}$, then $g(z)$ is said to be α -spirallike. The class of such functions is denoted by S_α^* , see [2]. A function $f(z) \in A$ is close-to- α -spirallike if there exists a function $g(z)$ in S_α^* such that

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{f(z)}{g(z)}\right) > 0 \quad (1.3)$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$. The class of such functions is denoted by S_α^*K . By taking $\alpha = 0$, we see that every close-to- α -spirallike functions reduces to a close-to-star function, see [6]. Close-to-star functions are not always univalent in \mathbb{D} ; consequently, close-to- α -spirallike functions need not to be univalent in \mathbb{D} . We also note that if $g(z) \in S_\alpha^*$, the introduction of appropriate normalizing factors enables us to write

$$\sec \alpha \left[e^{i\alpha} z \frac{g'(z)}{g(z)} - i \sin \alpha \right]_{z=0} = 1. \quad (1.4)$$

This leads to a useful representation formula for being member of S_α^* in terms of functions \mathcal{P} . The function $g(z)$ is α -spirallike function if and only if there exists a function $p(z)$ in \mathcal{P} ,

$$e^{i\alpha} z \frac{g'(z)}{g(z)} = \cos \alpha p(z) + i \sin \alpha. \quad (1.5)$$

Many geometric and analytic properties of α -spirallike functions can be obtained from (1.5). Using (1.5), D. Pashkouleva [8] found the radius of spiral convexity of a close-to-spirallike functions.

Finally, we need to give a description. Let $F(z) = z + \alpha_2 z^2 + \alpha_3 z^3 + \dots$ and $G(z) = z + \beta_2 z^2 + \beta_3 z^3 + \dots$ be analytic functions in \mathbb{D} , if there exists a function $\phi(z) \in \Omega$ such that $F(z) = G(\phi(z))$ for every $z \in \mathbb{D}$, then we say that $F(z)$ is subordinate to $G(z)$, and we write $F(z) \prec G(z)$. We also note that if $F(z) \prec G(z)$, then $F(\mathbb{D}) \subset G(\mathbb{D})$. The following lemma is due to I. S. Jack, see [2] and plays very important role in our proof of Theorem 2.2.

Lemma 1.1. *Let $w(z)$ be regular in the unit disc with $w(0) = 0$. Then if $|w(z)|$ obtains its maximum value on the circle $|z| = r$ at the point z_1 , one has. $z_1 w'(z_1) = k w(z_1)$, for some $k \geq 1$.*

2. Main results

Lemma 2.1. ([7]) *Let $g(z)$ be an element of S_α^* , then*

$$\frac{r}{(1-r)^{\cos^2 \alpha - \cos \alpha} (1+r)^{\cos^2 \alpha + \cos \alpha}} \leq |g(z)| \leq \frac{r}{(1-r)^{\cos^2 \alpha + \cos \alpha} (1+r)^{\cos^2 \alpha - \cos \alpha}}. \quad (2.1)$$

This inequality is sharp because the extremal function is

$$f(z) = z(1-z)^{2 \cos \alpha e^{-i\alpha}}$$

with

$$\zeta = \frac{r(r - e^{i\alpha})}{1 - re^{i\alpha}},$$

then

$$\zeta \frac{g'(z)}{g(z)} = \frac{1 - 2 \cos \alpha r + e^{-2i\alpha} r^2}{1 - r^2}. \quad (2.2)$$

Proof. Let $g(z) \in S_\alpha^*$. If there exists a function $p(z)$ in \mathcal{P} such that

$$e^{i\alpha} z \frac{g'(z)}{g(z)} = \cos \alpha p(z) + i \sin \alpha. \quad (2.3)$$

By using $p(z)$ is subordinate to $(\frac{1+z}{1-z})$, we obtain ([1]).

$$\left| p(z) - \frac{1+r^2}{1-r^2} \right| \leq \frac{2r}{1-r^2}. \quad (2.4)$$

Equations (2.3) and (2.4) yield

$$\left| z \frac{g'(z)}{g(z)} - \frac{1 + e^{-2i\alpha} r^2}{1 - r^2} \right| \leq \frac{2r \cos \alpha}{1 - r^2}. \quad (2.5)$$

which gives:

$$\frac{1 - (2 \cos \alpha)r + (\cos 2\alpha)r^2}{1 - r^2} \leq \operatorname{Re}\left(z \frac{g'(z)}{g(z)}\right) \leq \frac{1 + (2 \cos \alpha)r + (\cos 2\alpha)r^2}{1 - r^2}. \quad (2.6)$$

On the other hand we have

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(z \frac{g'(z)}{g(z)}\right) = r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \log |g(z)|. \quad (2.7)$$

Using (2.7) and after the simple calculations we get,

$$\frac{1 - (2 \cos \alpha)r + (\cos 2\alpha)r^2}{r(1-r)(1+r)} \leq \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \log |g(z)| \leq \frac{1 + (2 \cos \alpha)r + (\cos 2\alpha)r^2}{r(1-r)(1+r)}. \quad (2.8)$$

then after integration we obtain (2.1). ■

Theorem 2.2.

$$g(z) \in S_\alpha^* \Leftrightarrow \left(z \frac{g'(z)}{g(z)} - 1 \right) \prec \frac{2(e^{-i\alpha} \cos \alpha)z}{1-z} = F(z). \quad (2.9)$$

Proof. Let $g(z)$ be an element of S_α^* , then we define the function $\phi(z)$ by

$$\frac{g(z)}{z} = (1 - \phi(z))^{-2 \cos \alpha e^{-i\alpha}}, \quad (2.10)$$

where $(1 - \phi(z))^{-2 \cos \alpha e^{-i\alpha}}$ has the value 1 at $z = 0$, then $\phi(z)$ is analytic and $\phi(0) = 0$. If we take logarithmic derivative from (2.10), we get

$$\left(z \frac{g'(z)}{g(z)} - 1 \right) = \frac{(2 \cos \alpha e^{-i\alpha})z\phi'(z)}{1 - \phi(z)}. \quad (2.11)$$

Now it is easy to realize that the subordination is equivalent $|\phi(z)| < 1$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Indeed, assume to the contrary: Then there exists $z_1 \in \mathbb{D}$ such that $|\phi(z_1)| = 1$. So from I. S. Jack Lemma, $z_1\phi'(z_1) = k\phi(z_1)$ for some $k \geq 1$ and for such $z_1 \in \mathbb{D}$, we have

$$\left(z_1 \frac{g'(z_1)}{g(z_1)} - 1 \right) = \frac{(2 \cos \alpha e^{-i\alpha})k\phi(z_1)}{1 - \phi(z_1)} = F(\phi(z_1)) \notin F(\mathbb{D}).$$

But this contradicts (2.11); so our assumption is wrong, i.e., $|\phi(z)| < 1$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$. This shows that

$$\left(z \frac{g'(z)}{g(z)} - 1 \right) \prec \frac{(2e^{-i\alpha} \cos \alpha)z}{1-z}.$$

Conversely,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(z \frac{g'(z)}{g(z)} - 1 \right) \prec \frac{e^{-i\alpha}(2 \cos \alpha)z}{1-z} &\Rightarrow \\ z \frac{g'(z)}{g(z)} - 1 = e^{-i\alpha} \frac{(2 \cos \alpha)\phi(z)}{1 - \phi(z)} &\Rightarrow \end{aligned}$$

$$e^{i\alpha} z \frac{g'(z)}{g(z)} = \cos \alpha \frac{1 + \phi(z)}{1 - \phi(z)} + i \sin \alpha.$$

This shows that $g(z) \in S_{\alpha}^*$. ■

Corollary 2.3. *Let $g(z)$ be an element of S_{α}^* , then*

$$\left| \left(\frac{z}{g(z)} \right)^{\frac{e^{i\alpha}}{2 \cos \alpha}} - 1 \right| < 1. \quad (2.12)$$

Proof. Since

$$\frac{g(z)}{z} = (1 - \phi(z))^{-2 \cos \alpha e^{-i\alpha}}. \quad (2.13)$$

is analytic and $(1 - \phi(z))^{-2 \cos \alpha e^{-i\alpha}}$ has the value 1 at $z = 0$, then after simple calculations from (2.12) with $|\phi(z)| < 1$ we get (2.13). We also note that the inequality (2.12) is the Marx-Strohhacker inequality for α -spirallike functions. If we take $\alpha = 0$ we obtain,

$$\left| \left(\frac{z}{g(z)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} - 1 \right| < 1. \quad (2.14)$$

The inequality (2.14) is the Marx-Strohhacker inequality for starlike functions, see [5]. ■

Theorem 2.4. *Let $f(z)$ be an element of S_{α}^*K , then*

$$\begin{aligned} r(1+r)^{\cos^2 \alpha + \cos \alpha - 1} (1-r)^{\cos^2 \alpha - \cos \alpha + 1} &\leq |f(z)| \\ &\leq r(1+r)^{\cos^2 \alpha - \cos \alpha + 1} (1-r)^{\cos^2 \alpha + \cos \alpha - 1}. \end{aligned}$$

This inequality is sharp because the extremal function is

$$f(z) = z(1+z)(1-z)^{-2 \cos \alpha e^{-i\alpha} - 1}$$

with

$$\zeta = \frac{r(r - e^{i\alpha})}{1 - r e^{i\alpha}}.$$

Proof. Using the definition of close-to- α -spirallike function, we can write;

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{f(z)}{g(z)} \right) > 0 \Rightarrow \frac{f(z)}{g(z)} = p(z) \Rightarrow \left| \frac{f(z)}{g(z)} \right| = |p(z)|. \quad (2.15)$$

On the other hand, since $p(z) \in \mathcal{P}$, then we have;

$$\frac{1-r}{1+r} \leq |p(z)| \leq \frac{1+r}{1-r}. \quad (2.16)$$

Considering the inequalities (2.15) and (2.16) together we get the result. \blacksquare

Lemma 2.5. *Let $f(z)$ be an element of S_α^*K , then*

$$\operatorname{Re} \left[(1-z)^{2\cos\alpha e^{-i\alpha}} \frac{f(z)}{z} \right] > 0. \quad (2.17)$$

Proof. Since

$$g(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)^{2\cos\alpha e^{-i\alpha}}}$$

is α -spirallike function, then using the definition of close-to- α -spirallike function we get (2.17). \blacksquare

Theorem 2.6. ([7]) *The radius of starlikeness of the class of S_α^*K is*

$$r = \frac{1}{(1 + \cos \alpha) + \sqrt{(1 + \cos \alpha)^2 - \cos 2\alpha}}. \quad (2.18)$$

This radius is sharp because the extremal function is

$$f(z) = \frac{z(1+z)}{(1-z)^{2\cos\alpha e^{-i\alpha}-1}}$$

with

$$\zeta = \frac{r(r - e^{i\alpha})}{1 - re^{i\alpha}}.$$

Proof. Using Lemma 2.5 and after straightforward calculations, we get

$$z \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} = z \frac{p'(z)}{p(z)} + \frac{1 + (2\cos\alpha e^{-i\alpha} - 1)z}{1-z}. \quad (2.19)$$

On the other hand if we take

$$w = \frac{1 + (2\cos\alpha e^{-i\alpha} - 1)z}{1-z},$$

then we obtain

$$\operatorname{Re} w \geq \frac{1 - 2r \cos \alpha r + \cos 2\alpha r^2}{1 - r^2}. \quad (2.20)$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}\left(z \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)}\right) &= \operatorname{Re} z \frac{p'(z)}{p(z)} + \operatorname{Re} w \geq \frac{-2r}{1-r^2} + \frac{1-2\cos\alpha r + \cos 2\alpha r^2}{1-r^2} \Rightarrow \\ \operatorname{Re}\left(z \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)}\right) &\geq \frac{1-2(1+\cos\alpha)r + \cos 2\alpha r^2}{1-r^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.21)$$

From (2.21) we conclude that the radius of starlikeness of S_α^*K is the smallest positive root of

$$1 - 2(1 + \cos \alpha)r + \cos 2\alpha r^2,$$

which is the result. ■

Corollary 2.7. *If we take $\alpha = 0$, then we obtain*

$$r = \frac{1}{2 + \sqrt{3}} = \frac{2 - \sqrt{3}}{4 - 3} = 2 - \sqrt{3}. \quad (2.22)$$

This is the radius of starlikeness of the class of close-to-star functions which was obtained by Sakaguchi, see [10].

References

- [1] A.W. Goodman, *Univalent Functions*, Volume I, Mariner Publishing, Tampa Florida, 1984.
- [2] I.S. Jack, Functions starlike and convex of order α . *J. London. Math. Soc.* **2**, No 3(1971), 469-474.
- [3] R.J. Libera, Univalent α -spiral functions. *Canad. J. Math.*, **19** (1967), 449-456.
- [4] R.J. Libera, M.R. Ziegler, Regular functions $f(z)$ for which $zf'(z)$ is α -spiral. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **166** (1972), 361-370.
- [5] O.R. Maxwell, On close-to-convex functions. *Michigan Mathematical Journal*, **3**, Issue 1 (1955/1956), 59-62.
- [6] S.S. Miller, P.T. Mocanu and M.O. Reade, On generalized Convexity in Conformal mapping, II. *Rev. Roum. Math. Pures. et Appl.*, Tome **XXI**, No 2 (1976), 219-225.
- [7] Z. Nehari, *Conformal Mapping*. Dover Publications, New-York, 1952.
- [8] D.Z. Pashkouleva, The radius of spiral-convexity of a class of spiral-like functions. *C. R. Acad. Bulg. Sci.*, **30** (1977), 1675-1677.
- [9] M.S. Robertson, A characterization of the class of the class of starlike univalent functions. *Michigan Math. J.*, **26**, Issue 1 (1979), 65-69.

- [10] K. Sakaguchi, A variational method for functions with positive real part. *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, **16** (1964), 287-297.
- [11] L. Spaček, Contribution à la theorie des fonctions univalents. *Časopis Pěst. Mat. Fys.*, **62** (1933), 12-19.

¹ *Department of Mathematics and Computer Sciences
İstanbul Kültür University, İstanbul, TURKEY
e-mail: y.polatoglu@iku.edu.tr*

² *Department of Computer Engineering
Yeni Yuzyil University
Cevizlibag, Topkapi, İstanbul, TURKEY
e-mail: melike.aydogan@yeniyuzyil.edu.tr*

Received: June 28, 2011

³ *Department of Mathematics and Computer Sciences
İstanbul Kültür University, İstanbul, TURKEY
e-mail: a.sen@iku.edu.tr*