Mathematica Balkanica

New Series Vol. 26, 2012, Fasc. 1-2

Exact Solutions of Nonlocal Pluriparabolic Problems

Georgi Chobanov ¹, Ivan Dimovski ²

Presented at 6th International Conference "TMSF' 2011"

A generalization of the classical Duhamel principle for the pluriparabolic equation

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t_1} + \dots + \frac{\partial u}{\partial t_n} = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + F(x, t_1, \dots, t_n)$$
 in $0 \le x \le a, \ 0 \le t_k \le T_k$

with time-nonlocal initial value conditions of the form

$$\chi_{k,\tau}\{u(x,t_1,\ldots,t_{k-1},\tau,t_{k+1},\ldots,t_n)\}=f_k(x,t_1,\ldots,t_{k-1},t_{k+1},\ldots,t_n)$$

with linear functionals χ_k on $C[0,T_k]$ $(k=1,\ldots,n)$, a space-local boundary value condition of the form

$$u(0,t_1,\ldots,t_n)=\psi(t_1,\ldots,t_n)$$

and a space-nonlocal boundary value condition of the form

$$\Phi_{\xi}\{u(\xi,t_1,\ldots,t_n)\} = \phi(t_1,\ldots,t_n)$$

with a linear functional Φ on $C^1[0,a]$ is proposed. To this end two non-classical convolutions $\phi^{t_1\dots t_n}$ ψ and $F^{xt_1\dots t_n}$ G are used: the first one for functions of t_1,\dots,t_n only and the second – for functions of x,t_1,\dots,t_n . The corresponding Duhamel representation takes the following form: If $\Omega(x,t_1,\dots,t_n)$ is a solution for the boundary value problem for the special choice $F\equiv 0,\ f_k\equiv 0,\ \psi\equiv 0$ and $\phi\equiv 1$, then for $\psi\equiv 0,f_k\equiv 0,k=1,\dots,n$ (under some additional assumptions for smoothness of the boundary function ϕ and the function F)

$$u(x,t_1,\ldots,t_n) = \frac{\partial^n}{\partial t_1 \ldots \partial t_n} (\Omega^{t_1 \ldots t_n} \circ \phi) + \frac{\partial^n}{\partial t_1 \ldots \partial t_n} (\Omega^{xt_1 \ldots t_n} \circ F).$$

 $MSC\ 2010$: 44A35, 44A40

 $\label{eq:Key Words: operational calculus, nonlocal boundary conditions, pluriparabolic equations$

1. Introduction

In the present paper it is proposed a generalization of the classical Duhamel principle for the pluriparabolic equation

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t_1} + \dots + \frac{\partial u}{\partial t_n} = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + F(x, t_1, \dots, t_n) \quad \text{in} \quad 0 \le x \le a, \ 0 \le t_k \le T_k$$
 (1)

with local and nonlocal boundary value conditions (BVCs) of the form: nonlocal initial conditions

$$\chi_{k,\tau}\{u(x,t_1,\ldots,t_{k-1},\tau,t_{k+1},\ldots,t_n)\} = f_k(x,t_1,\ldots,t_{k-1},t_{k+1},\ldots,t_n)$$
 (2)

(k = 1, ..., n), and local and nonlocal boundary conditions

$$u(0, t_1, \dots, t_n) = \psi(t_1, \dots, t_n), \qquad \Phi_{\mathcal{E}}\{u(\xi, t_1, \dots, t_n)\} = \phi(t_1, \dots, t_n).$$
 (3)

Here χ_k , k = 1, ..., n are linear functionals on $C[0, T_k]$ and Φ is a linear functional on $C^1[0, a]$.

Such problems for a pluriparabolic equation with energy functional of the form

$$\Phi_{\xi}\{u(\xi, t_1, \dots, t_n)\} = \int_0^a u(\xi, t_1, \dots, t_n) d\xi$$
 (4)

are considered by J.R. Cannon [3], A. Bouziani [2], S. Mesloub [9].

2. Convolutions

Our basic tool for obtaining explicit solutions of the problem considered are some multidimensional non-classical convolutions. Their construction begins with the simplest one-dimensional case (Dimovski [5]).

Consider the elementary one-dimensional BVP in $C[0, T_k]$

$$y' - \mu y = f(t), \qquad \chi_k \{y\} = 0.$$
 (5)

For the sake of some technical simplifications we assume that the constant function $\{1\}$ does not belong to the kernel of the functional χ_k , $k = 1, \ldots, n$, i.e. $\chi_k\{1\} \neq 0$. Then without any loss of generality we can assume $\chi_k\{1\} = 1$. Its solution is

$$y = r_k(f, \mu)(t_k) = \int_0^{t_k} e^{\mu(t_k - \sigma)} f(\sigma) d\sigma - \chi_k \left\{ \int_0^{\sigma} e^{\mu(\tau - \sigma)} f(\xi) d\xi d\sigma \right\} \frac{e^{\mu t_k}}{G_k(\mu)}, (6)$$

where $G_k(\mu) = \chi_{k,\tau}\{e^{\mu\tau}\}$ is the exponential indicatrix of the functional χ_k . Our assumption $\chi_k\{1\} \neq 0$ is equivalent to $G_k(0) \neq 0$, i.e. $\mu = 0$ is not an eigenvalue of BVP (5). Then instead of (6) we may consider the special case

$$r_k(f,0) = l_k f = \int_0^{t_k} f(\sigma) d\sigma - \chi_k \left(\int_0^{\sigma} f(\xi) d\xi d\sigma \right)$$
 (7)

which defines a right inverse operator l_k of $\frac{d}{dt_k}$ on the space $C[0, T_k]$ satisfying the following identity

$$l_k f'(t_k) = f(t_k) - \chi_{k,\tau} f(\tau). \tag{8}$$

Theorem 1. (Dimovski [5]) The operation

$$(f * g)(t_k) = \chi_{k,\tau} \left(\int_{\tau}^{t_k} f(t_k + \tau - \sigma)g(\sigma)d\sigma \right), \tag{9}$$

where the subscript τ means that χ_k acts on the variable τ only, is a commutative and associative in $C[0,T_k]$ such that

$$l_k f(t_k) = \{1\} \stackrel{t_k}{*} f(t_k) \tag{10}$$

and

$$r_k(f,\mu)(t_k) = \left\{ \frac{e^{\mu t_k}}{G_k(\mu)} \right\} * f(t_k).$$
 (11)

Next we need an one-dimensional convolution, connected with $\frac{d^2}{dx^2}$ in $C^1[0,a]$. Consider the elementary BVP

$$y'' + \lambda^2 y = f(x), \qquad y(0) = 0, \quad \Phi\{y\} = 0$$
 (12)

with a non-zero linear functional Φ on $C^1[0,a]$. In order it to have a solution, it is necessary to assume $\Phi_{\xi}\{\xi\} \neq 0$. Again, without essential loss of generality, one can assume $\Phi_{\xi}\{\xi\} = 1$. The solution is

$$y = R_{-\lambda^2} f(x) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_0^x \sin \lambda (x - \xi) f(\xi) d\xi - \Phi_{\xi} \left\{ \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_0^x \sin \lambda (a - \xi) f(\xi) d\xi \right\} \frac{\sin \lambda x}{\lambda E(\lambda)},$$
(13)

where $E(\lambda) = \Phi_{\xi} \left\{ \frac{\sin \lambda \xi}{\lambda} \right\}$ is the *sine-indicatrix* of the functional Φ . For a simplification of the next consideration it is useful to assume that $\lambda = 0$ is not

an eigenvalue of (12). Since $E(0) = \Phi_{\xi}\{\xi\}$ by the above assumptions we have E(0) = 1. Now

$$R_0 f(x) = L f(x) = \int_0^x (x - \xi) f(\xi) d\xi - x \Phi_{\xi} \left\{ \int_0^{\xi} (\xi - \eta) f(\eta) d\eta \right\}$$
(14)

defines a right inverse operator L of $\frac{d^2}{dx^2}$ on the space C[0,a] satisfying the identity

$$Lf''(x) = f(x) + [x\Phi(1) - 1]f(0) - x\Phi_{\varepsilon}[f(\xi)]. \tag{15}$$

Theorem 2. (Dimovski [5]) The operation

$$(f * g)(x) = -\frac{1}{2}\widetilde{\Phi}_{\xi} \left[\int_{x}^{\xi} f(\xi + x - \eta)g(\eta)d\eta - \int_{-x}^{\xi} f(|\xi - x - \eta|)g(|\eta|)\operatorname{sgn}(\xi - x - \eta)\eta d\eta \right],$$
(16)

where $\widetilde{\Phi}_{\xi} = \Phi_{\xi} \circ \int_{0}^{\xi}$, is commutative and associative in C[0,a] such that

$$Lf(x) = \{x\} \stackrel{x}{*} f(x) \tag{17}$$

and

$$R_{-\lambda^2} f(x) = \left\{ \frac{\sin \lambda x}{\lambda E(\lambda)} \right\} * f(x).$$
 (18)

Next the following multidimensional generalizations of the Duhamel convolution are given.

Theorem 3. The operation

$$(\phi \overset{t_1...t_n}{*} \psi)(t_1, \dots, t_n) = \chi_{n,\tau_n} \dots \chi_{1,\tau_1} \left[\int_{\tau_n}^{t_n} \int_{\tau_1}^{t_1} \phi(t_1 + \tau_1 - \sigma_1, \dots, t_n + \tau_n - \sigma_n) \psi(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n) d\sigma_1 \dots d\sigma_n \right]$$

$$(19)$$

for $\phi, \psi \in C([0, T_1] \times \cdots \times [0, T_n])$ is bilinear, commutative and associative and

$$l_1 \dots l_n \phi = \{1\} \stackrel{t_1 \dots t_n}{*} \phi. \tag{20}$$

Using definition (19) of the operation $\phi^{t_1...t_n} \psi$ on $C([0,T_1] \times \cdots \times [0,T_n])$, we

define a (n+1)-dimensional convolution in $C([0,a] \times [0,T_1] \times \cdots \times [0,T_n])$.

Definition 1. For
$$F, G \in C([0, a] \times [0, T_1] \times \cdots \times [0, T_n])$$
, let

$$(F^{xt_1...t_n} G)(x, t_1, ..., t_n)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2} \widetilde{\Phi_{\xi}} \left[\int_{x}^{\xi} F(\xi + x - \eta, t_1, ..., t_n) \overset{t_1...t_n}{*} G(\eta, t_1, ..., t_n) d\eta \right]$$

$$- \int_{-x}^{\xi} F(|\xi - x - \eta|, t_1, ..., t_n) \overset{t_1...t_n}{*} G(|\eta|, t_1, ..., t_n) \operatorname{sgn}(\xi - x - \eta) \eta d\eta \right].$$
(21)

Theorem 4. The operation defined by (21) is bilinear, commutative and associative in $C([0,a] \times [0,T_1] \times \cdots \times [0,T_n])$ such that

$$Ll_1 \dots l_n f = \{x\} \stackrel{xt_1 \dots t_n}{*} f.$$
 (22)

Sketch of the proof. The proof of both Theorems 1 and 2 goes along the same line. First, we verify the assertions for products

$$\phi(t_1,\ldots,t_n)=\phi_1(t_1)\ldots\phi_n(t_n)\quad \psi(t_1,\ldots,t_n)=\psi_1(t_1)\ldots\psi_n(t_n),$$

or

$$F(x, t_1, \dots, t_n) = f(x)\phi_1(t_1)\dots\phi_n(t_n)$$
 $G(x, t_1, \dots, t_n) = g(x)\psi_1(t_1)\dots\psi_n(t_n)$

and reduce them to the one dimensional assertions. Next we approximate the arbitrary functions ϕ, ψ and F, G by products, e.g. by polynomials.

The following analogues of the identities (8) and (15) hold for functions $u \in C([0, a] \times [0, T_1] \times \cdots \times [0, T_n])$:

$$l_k u_{t_k}(x, t_1, \dots, t_n) = u(x, t_1, \dots, t_n) - \chi_{k, \tau_k}[u(x, t_1, \dots, \tau_k, \dots, t_n)]$$
 (23)

 $(k=1,\ldots,n)$ and

$$Lu_{xx}(x, t_1, \dots, t_n) = u(x, t_1, \dots, t_n) + [x\Phi(1) - 1]u(0, t_1, \dots, t_n) - x\Phi_{\xi}[u(\xi, t_1, \dots, t_n)].$$
(24)

3. Rings of multipliers of convolution algebras

In what follows, let $C = C([0, a] \times [0, T_1] \times \cdots \times [0, T_n])$ and let (C, *) be the respective convolution algebra. We follow a standard procedure for constructing of an operational calculus for BVP (1) - (3) based on convolution (21) and its multipliers as outlined in Dimovski [5].

Let us remind the notion of multiplier of the algebra (C, *) (Larsen, [7]). An operator $M: C \to C$ is said to be a *multiplier* of the convolution algebra (C, *), iff the relation

$$M(f * g) = (Mf) * g$$

holds for arbitrary $f, g \in C$.

The multipliers of (C, *) form a commutative ring \mathfrak{M} without annihilators with respect to the usual multiplication of operators. Let \mathfrak{N} be the multiplicative set of the non-divisors of 0 of the ring \mathfrak{M} . \mathfrak{N} evidently is nonempty since at least the identity operator and the multiplier convolution operator $L = \{x\}$ * are non-divisors of 0. Another examples are the operators l_k .

Consider the formal fractions A/B where $A \in \mathfrak{M}$, $B \in \mathfrak{N}$.

Definition 2. The ring $\mathcal{M} = \mathfrak{N}^{-1}\mathfrak{M}$ of the multiplier fractions is the quotient of the ring $\mathfrak{M} \times \mathfrak{N}$ with respect to the equivalence relation

$$(A, B) \sim (C, D) \Leftrightarrow AD = BC,$$

i.e. $\mathcal{M} = \mathfrak{M} \times \mathfrak{N} / \sim$.

 \mathcal{M} ;

Theorem 5. The ring \mathcal{M} of the multiplier fractions contains subrings isomorphic to: a) \mathbb{R} , b) $\left(C[0,a],\overset{x}{*}\right)$, c) $\left(C[0,T_k],\overset{t_k}{*}\right)$, d) (C,*).

Proof. a) The correspondence $\alpha \longmapsto \frac{\alpha L}{L}$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ is an embedding $\mathbb{R} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}$;

- b) The correspondence $f \longmapsto \frac{(Lf)^{\frac{x}{*}}}{L}$ is an embedding $(C[0,a],^{\frac{x}{*}}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M};$
- c) The correspondence $\varphi \longmapsto \frac{(l_k \varphi) \stackrel{t_k}{*}}{l_k}$ is an embedding $\left(C[0, T_k], \stackrel{t_k}{*}\right) \hookrightarrow$
- d) The correspondence $u \longmapsto \frac{\{u\}^*}{I}$ where I is the identity operator of C is an embedding $(C,*) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}$.

The verification is immediate. Let us prove for example b). Let $f,g\in C[0,a].$ We are to prove that

$$f \stackrel{x}{*} g \longmapsto \frac{(Lf) \stackrel{x}{*}}{L} \cdot \frac{(Lg) \stackrel{x}{*}}{L}$$

Indeed,

$$f \stackrel{x}{*} g \longmapsto \frac{\{L(f \stackrel{x}{*} g)\}_{*}}{L} = \frac{L\left[\{L(f \stackrel{x}{*} g)\}_{*}\right]}{L^{2}} = \frac{\left\{(Lf) \stackrel{x}{*} (Lg)\right\}_{*}^{x}}{L^{2}}$$
$$= \left[\frac{(Lf) \stackrel{x}{*}}{L}\right] \left[\frac{(Lg) \stackrel{x}{*}}{L}\right].$$

Here we make use of the convolution property

$$L(f * g) = (Lf) * g = f * (Lg).$$

For every $\phi \in C([0, T_1] \times \cdots \times [0, T_n])$ the partial convolution (19) defines a multiplier acting on $F \in C$ as follows

$$\phi \stackrel{t_1...t_n}{*} F. \tag{25}$$

The corresponding equivalence class in \mathcal{M} is called *constant with respect to x* and is denoted by

$$[\phi]_x \tag{26}$$

Similarly, let $f \in C([0, a] \times [0, T_1] \times \cdots \times [0, T_{k-1}] \times [0, T_{k+1}] \times \cdots \times [0, T_n])$. The partial convolution operator

$$f \overset{xt_1...t_{k-1}t_{k+1}...t_n}{*} \tag{27}$$

defines a multiplier in an obvious manner. Its class is called *constant with respect* to t_k and is denoted by

$$[f]_{t_k}. (28)$$

4. Algebraization of the BVP (1)-(3)

Crucial for the algebraization of the problem are the reciprocal elements to L and l_1, \ldots, l_n in \mathcal{M} . Let they be denoted by S, s_1, \ldots, s_n , respectively. Now

$$S\{x\} = SL = 1, s_k l_k = 1 (k = 1, ..., n)$$
 (29)

where 1 denotes the unit of the algebra \mathcal{M} . For a function $u = u(x, t_1, \dots, t_n)$ this together with (23) and (24) gives

$$u_{t_k} = s_k u - [\chi_{k,\tau} \{ u(x, t_1, \dots, \tau_{t_n}) \}]_{t_k} \qquad (k = 1, \dots, n).$$
 (30)

_

and the last term is a constant with respect to the variable t_k . Similarly,

$$u_{xx} = Su + (x\Phi(1) - 1)u(0, t_1, \dots, t_n) + [\phi(t_1, \dots, t_n)]_x$$
(31)

and the last term is a constant with respect to the variable x. Suppose $u = u(x, t_1, \ldots, t_n)$ is a solution to the boundary value problem (1)–(3). Then (30) and (31) reduce the BVP (1)–(3) to a simple linear algebraic equation for the function u:

$$(s_1 + \dots + s_n - S)u = (x\Phi(1) - 1)\psi(t_1, \dots, t_n) + [\phi(t_1, \dots, t_n)]_x$$
$$+ \sum_{k=1}^n [f_k(x, t_1, \dots, t_{k-1}, t_{k+1}, \dots, t_n)]_{t_k} + \{F(x, t_1, \dots, t_n)\}. \quad (32)$$

Definition 3. A function $u \in C([0, a] \times [0, T_1] \times \cdots \times [0, T_n])$ is a weak solution to BVP (1)-(3) if it satisfies (32).

In order to reveal the basic ideas, we restrict BVP (1)-(3) to the case

$$\chi_{k,\tau}\{u(x,t_1,\ldots,t_{k-1},\tau,t_{k+1},\ldots,t_n)\}=0, \qquad k=1,\ldots,n$$
 (33)

and

$$u(0, t_1, \dots, t_n) = 0, \qquad \Phi_{\xi}\{u(\xi, t_1, \dots, t_n)\} = \phi(t_1, \dots, t_n).$$
 (34)

Suppose $s_1 + \cdots + s_n - S = \Sigma$ is a non-divisor of zero. Then $\frac{1}{\Sigma}$ is well defined. If u is a weak solution of BVP (1),(33) and (34) then formally we obtain

$$u = \frac{1}{\Sigma} [\phi(t_1, \dots, t_n)]_x + \frac{1}{\Sigma} \{ F(x, t_1, \dots, t_n) \}.$$
 (35)

In order to interpret (35) as a function, we need some algebraic manipulations:

$$u = (s_1 \dots s_n) \frac{1}{(s_1 \dots s_n) \Sigma} [\phi(t_1, \dots, t_n)]_x + (s_1 \dots s_n) \frac{1}{(s_1 \dots s_n) \Sigma} \{F(x, t_1, \dots, t_n)\}.$$
(36)

Assuming that $\frac{1}{(s_1 \dots s_n)\Sigma}$ can be interpreted as a continuous function $\Omega(x, t_1, \dots, t_n)$ then it can be considered as a weak solution of the homogeneous problem with $\phi \equiv 1$. Indeed, the product $l_1 \dots l_n$ can be interpreted as the numerical multiplier $[1]_x$, i.e.

$$l_1 \dots l_n = \{1\} \overset{t_1 \dots t_n}{*}.$$

Hence

$$\Omega(x, t_1, \dots, t_n) = (s_1 \dots s_n) \frac{1}{(s_1 \dots s_n) \Sigma} l_1 \dots l_n.$$
(37)

Now we can formulate the following *conditional theorem of existence* (a generalization of Duhamel principle).

Theorem 6. If BVP (1),(33) and (34) has a weak solution Ω for $F\equiv 0$, $\phi\equiv 1$, then

$$\Omega(x, t_1, \dots, t_n) = \frac{1}{(s_1 \dots s_n)\Sigma}$$
(38)

and the BVP (1),(33) and (34) with "arbitrary" F and ϕ also has a weak solution of the form

$$u(x, t_1, \dots, t_n) = \frac{\partial^n}{\partial t_1 \dots \partial t_n} (\Omega^{t_1 \dots t_n} \phi) + \frac{\partial^n}{\partial t_1 \dots \partial t_n} (\Omega^{xt_1 \dots t_n} F), \qquad (39)$$

provided F and ϕ have continuous partial derivatives

$$\frac{\partial^n F}{\partial t_1 \dots \partial t_n}$$
 and $\frac{\partial^n \phi}{\partial t_1 \dots \partial t_n}$.

The proof requires some differentiation properties of the convolutions involved, but here we will not enter into details.

5. Uniqueness of the solution for BVP (1)–(3).

Theorem 6 is a conditional theorem of existence of solution of BVP (1)–(3). As for the uniqueness problem we can state a more definite assertion. To this end, we study the uniqueness for BVP (1)–(3) by means of the spectral properties of the one-dimensional problems that compose it, taking advantage from the fact that these problems are better studied. The eigenvalues $\mu_m^{(k)}$ $(k=1,\ldots,n;$ $m=1,\ldots,\infty)$ for (5) are the zeros of the indicatrices $G_k(\mu)=\chi_{k,\tau}\left\{e^{\mu\tau}\right\}$. The projections on the respective eigenspaces are

$$p_{k,\mu_m^{(k)}}(\phi) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_{\mu_m^{(k)}}} r_k(\phi,\mu) d\mu = -\left\{ \frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{\Gamma_{\mu_m^{(k)}}} \frac{e^{\mu t_k} d\mu}{G_k(\mu)} \right\}^{t_k} \phi, \tag{40}$$

where $\Gamma_{\mu_m^{(k)}}$ is a small contour around the eigenvalue $\mu_m^{(k)}$ (see [6]).

We will prove a theorem for uniqueness of the solution of BVP (1)–(3) under some additional restrictions on the time-functionals χ_k (k = 1, ..., n).

Definition 4. A linear functional χ_k on $C[0, T_k]$ is called strongly nonlocal if its support includes the endpoints of the interval $[0, T_k]$, i.e. $0, T_k \in \text{supp}\chi_k$. The corresponding BVPs are called *strongly nonlocal*.

Further we consider only strongly nonlocal BVPs with respect to the time variables. In the case of simple eigenvalues $\mu_m^{(k)}$ the respective eigenspaces are one-dimensional and spanned on the functions $e^{\mu_m^{(k)}t_k}$ and, moreover,

$$p_{k,\mu_m^{(k)}}(\phi) = \phi * \left\{ -\frac{e^{\mu_m^{(k)} t_k}}{G_k'(\mu_m^{(k)})} \right\}. \tag{41}$$

Similarly the eigenvalues λ_l $(l=1,\ldots,\infty)$ for (12) are the zeros of $E(\lambda)=\Phi\left\{\frac{\sin\lambda\xi}{\lambda}\right\}$.

In order to state the uniqueness result, we need a lemma:

Lemma 1. The following equalities hold

$$S\{\sin \lambda_l x\} = -\lambda_l^2 \sin \lambda_l x \quad \text{and} \quad s_k \{e^{\mu_m^{(k)} t_k}\} = \mu_m^{(k)} e^{\mu_m^{(k)} t_k}, \tag{42}$$

 $k = 1, \ldots, n; \ l, m = 1, \ldots, \infty.$

Proof. It is enough to apply (8) and (15).

It is easily seen that

$$\Sigma \left\{ \sin \lambda_{l} x e^{\mu_{m_{1}}^{(1)} t_{1}} \dots e^{\mu_{m_{n}}^{(n)} t_{n}} \right\} = (\mu_{m_{1}}^{(1)} + \dots + \mu_{m_{n}}^{(n)} + (\lambda_{l})^{2}) \left\{ \sin \lambda_{l} x e^{\mu_{m_{1}}^{(1)} t_{1}} \dots e^{\mu_{m_{n}}^{(n)} t_{n}} \right\}$$
(43)

and if $\mu_{m_1}^{(1)} + \cdots + \mu_{m_n}^{(n)} + (\lambda_l)^2 = 0$, then Σ is a divisor of zero.

If there is no dispersion relation of this form, i.e if

$$\mu_{m_1}^{(1)} + \dots + \mu_{m_n}^{(n)} + (\lambda_l)^2 \neq 0$$
 (44)

for each combination of eigenvalues $\mu_{m_1}^{(1)}, \dots \mu_{m_n}^{(n)}, \lambda_l$, then Σ is a non-divisor of 0

Lemma 2. (Multidimensional Schwartz-Leontiev theorem) If $\phi \in C([0, T_1] \times ... [0, T_k])$ and

$$\prod_{k=1}^{n} p_{k,\mu_m^{(k)}}(\phi) = 0 \tag{45}$$

for all combinations of eigenvalues, then $\phi \equiv 0$.

Proof. The proof of Lemma 2 follows from the one-dimensional Schwartz-Leontiev theorem (see [1], p. 198, [6], pp. 92-93, [10] and [8], pp. 260-261).

Proof of Proposition 1. Suppose that Σ is a divisor of 0, i.e. that for some u

$$[s_1 + \dots + s_n - S]u(x, t_1, \dots, t_n) = 0.$$

Let

$$u_{m_1...m_n}(x,t_1,\ldots,t_n) = u(x,t_1,\ldots,t_n) *^{t_1...t_n} \{e^{\mu_{m_1}^{(1)}t_1} \ldots e^{\mu_{m_n}^{(n)}t_n}\}.$$

Now, from (41) it follows

$$u_{m_1...m_n}(x,t_1,\ldots,t_n) = U(x)e^{\mu_{m_1}^{(1)}t_1}\ldots e^{\mu_{m_n}^{(n)}t_n}$$

and the function U(x) must satisfy

$$(\mu_{m_1}^{(1)} + \dots + \mu_{m_n}^{(n)} - S)U = 0$$

Now (44) and [5], Theorem 1.4.1 guarantee the existence of $(\mu_{m_1}^{(1)} + \cdots + \mu_{m_n}^{(n)} - S)^{-1}$ and hence U = 0. Equivalently the function U must satisfy

$$\frac{d^2U}{dx^2} - (\mu_{m_1}^{(1)} + \dots + \mu_{m_n}^{(n)})U = 0$$

and U(0) = 0, $\Phi(U) = 0$ and since (44) implies that $\mu_{m_1}^{(1)} + \cdots + \mu_{m_n}^{(n)}$ is not an eigenvalue of (12), then $U \equiv 0$.

Remark. The assumption that the eigenvalues are simple is a technical one and is used only to simplify the above argument. The proof for multiple eigenvalues $\mu_{m_k}^{(k)}$ is somewhat more involved, but the conclusion is the same.

Now we can state

Theorem 7. The conditions that (44) holds for all possible combinations of eigenvalues of the one-dimensional eigenvalue problems are sufficient for uniqueness of the solution of BVP (1)–(3), provided all the time nonlocal BVCs are strong.

Example. Consider the BVP

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t_1} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial t_2} = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + F(x, t_1, t_2) \quad \text{in} \quad 0 \le x \le 1, \ 0 \le t_1, t_2 \le T$$
 (46)

with nonlocal initial condition of the form

$$\frac{1}{\mu - 1} \left[\mu u(x, t_1, 0) - u(x, t_1, T) \right] = 0 \quad \frac{1}{\mu - 1} \left[\mu u(x, 0, t_2) - u(x, T, t_2) \right] = 0 \quad (47)$$

(Dezin nonlocal conditions, see [4]) and local and nonlocal energy boundary conditions

$$u(0, t_1, t_2) = 0$$
 and $\int_0^1 u(x, t_1, t_2) dx = \phi(t_1, t_2).$ (48)

The spectral properties of the last problem (Samarskiy-Ionkin spectral problem) are studied from operational calculus point of view in [5], Theorem 3.4.4. The eigenvalues are $2n\pi$ with multiplicity two and the corresponding eigenspaces are spanned on the functions $\sin 2n\pi x$ and $x \cos 2n\pi x$.

Since the eigenvalues of the corresponding one dimensional problems with Dezin condition are of the form $\mu_m^{(k)} = \frac{1}{T}(\ln|\mu| + 2m^{(k)}\pi i), k = 1, 2, m^{(k)} \in \mathbb{Z}$ we can have dispersion relation only if the imaginary part (of the sum corresponding

to (44)) is zero i.e. if $m^{(1)}=m^{(2)}=0$ or $m^{(1)}=-m^{(2)}$. In both cases the condition $\frac{2}{T}\ln\mu\neq(2\pi n)^2$ for all $n\in\mathbb{N}$ guarantees that the real part is nonzero and hence that there is no dispersion relation of the form $\mu_{m_1}^{(1)}+\mu_{m_2}^{(2)}+(2n\pi)^2=0$.

Acknowledgements. The authors are supported by Grant No D ID 02/25/2009 "ITMSFA" of the National Science Fund – Ministry of Education, Youth and Science of Bulgaria and by Grant No 174, of NSF of Bulgaria.

References

- [1] N.S. Bozhinov, Convolutional Representations of Commutants and Multipliers, Pub. House of BAS, Sofia (1988).
- [2] A. Bouziani, On the solvability of nonlocal pluriparabolic problems, *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations*, Vol. 2001, No 21 (2001), 1–16; http://ejde.math.swt.edu or http://ejde.math.unt.edu.
- [3] J.R. Cannon, The solution of heat equation subject to specification of energy, Quart. Appl. Math., 21 (1963), 155-160.
- [4] G. Chobanov, I. Dimovski, Extension of the Duhamel principle for the heat equation with Dezin's initial condition, Annuaire de l'Univ. de Sofia "St. Kliment Ohridski", Fac. de Mathematiques et Informatique, Livre 1 Mathematiques et Mecanique, 93 (1999), 73-92.
- [5] I.H. Dimovski, *Convolutional Calculus*, Kluwer, Dordrecht N.Y. London (1990).
- [6] I.H. Dimovski, R.I. Petrova, Finite integral transforms for non-local boundary value problems. In: *Generalized Functions and Convergence* (Eds.: P. Antosik, A. Kaminski), World Scientific, Singapore (1990), 89-103.
- [7] R. Larsen, An Introduction to the Theory of Multipliers, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York (1972).
- [8] A.F. Leontiev, Series of Exponents. Moscow, Nauka (1976) (Russian).
- [9] S. Mesloub, On a nonlocal problem for a pluriparabolic equation *Proc. of the Institute of Mathematics of NAS of Bielorussia*, **6** (2000), 116.
- [10] L. Schwartz, Approximation d'une fonction quelconque par des sommes d'exponentielles imaginaires, Ann. Fac. Sci de l'Univ. de Toulouse, 6 (1943), 110-175.

Institute of Mathematics and Informatics, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences
"Acad. G. Bontchev" Str., Block 8
Sofia - 1113, BULGARIA Received: October 21, 2011
e-mails: \(^1\) chobanov@math.bas.bg , \(^2\) dimovski@math.bas.bg